



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1080/09687637.2018.1527893](https://doi.org/10.1080/09687637.2018.1527893)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hines, L. A., Morley, K. I., Strang, J. S., & Lynskey, M. (2018). Characterising opportunity to use heroin reveals new avenues for intervention: context, outcomes, and latency to initiation. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687637.2018.1527893>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Characterising opportunity to use heroin reveals new avenues for intervention:**
2 **context, outcomes, and latency to initiation**

3 **Abstract**

4 Preceding initiation, opportunity to use heroin is the earliest necessary condition for heroin
5 related outcomes to occur. This study aimed to characterise first heroin use opportunity (prior
6 to initiation), and to identify heroin-related outcomes associated with earlier age at first
7 opportunity. Structured interviews were conducted with 93 opiate substitution treatment
8 clients in UK drug and alcohol treatment clinics. The majority of participants (64.8%)
9 reported initiating heroin use on the same day as being first presented with the opportunity to
10 use heroin. Of those who reported early age at opportunity to use heroin, 77.4% reported this
11 came from friends/partner/family compared to 59.3% of those who reported later
12 opportunities. After adjustment, overdose was found to be more than twice as likely amongst
13 those who reported first opportunity to use heroin at age 17 or under (AOR 2.82 95% CI 1.57
14 - 5.05). Findings indicate the early drug use environment is linked to later risk of overdose.
15 Greater consideration of context surrounding heroin use opportunity may indicate
16 mechanisms to disrupt or prevent initiation of heroin use and later drug-related harms. Given
17 short latency to initiation, focus should be placed on preventing initiation of heroin use
18 through injecting.

19 **Introduction**

20 Dependence on heroin and other opioids accounts for the highest proportion of the illicit drug
21 contribution to Disability Adjusted Life Years (Degenhardt, Whiteford, & Hall, 2014). This is
22 despite low prevalence of use in general population samples (UNODC, 2014). Aside from
23 dependence, heroin use is associated with a number of significant health risks. Overdose is
24 the leading cause of mortality amongst those who use this drug (Degenhardt et al., 2011),

1 whilst the administration of heroin through injection increases risks for blood borne virus
2 transmission through syringe sharing (Strang et al., 1998).

3 These harms cannot occur amongst individuals who do not have the opportunity to use heroin
4 (Hines, Morley, Mackie, & Lynskey, 2015). The first stage of drug involvement is having the
5 opportunity to use a drug (regardless of whether the individual uses the drug or not). Having
6 the opportunity to use a drug is **distinct** from initiation of drug use, and has been previously
7 defined in the literature as an individual being offered a drug, or being present when others
8 were using so that an individual could have used it if they had wanted to (Storr, Wagner,
9 Chen, & Anthony, 2011). The first opportunity to use a drug forms an individual's earliest
10 necessary condition from which they are at risk of developing dependence (Wagner &
11 Anthony, 2002a), but having the opportunity to use a drug does not equate to an individual
12 initiating use. For example, research has identified a significant minority who report having
13 the opportunity to use cannabis, but do not report having used cannabis (Hines et al., 2016).
14 Following on from opportunity, an individual may initiate drug use, and can progress to
15 subsequent use and regular drug use.

16 Research into drug use is increasingly exploring variation in drug opportunity to understand
17 the mechanisms underlying initiation of use and development of dependence (Burdzovic
18 Andreas & Bretteville-Jensen, 2017, 2018; Hines et al., 2016; Wagner & Anthony, 2002b).
19 Focus in this area can provide insight into the pathways through which drug use develops.
20 Additionally, there is a need to understand the context of opportunities, such as the age at
21 which they occur and the people who are providing them, to inform interventions that target
22 the primary mechanisms progressing drug use (Fink, 2017). Considering the opportunity to
23 use heroin (preceding initiation of use) and the context in which it occurs may help to inform
24 interventions to prevent initiation of use and the spread of injecting.

1 To date, no research has considered heroin opportunity as distinct from initiation of heroin
2 use. We know that initiation of drug use at earlier ages is commonly associated with
3 increased risks of substance use disorder development (Swift, Coffey, Carlin, Degenhardt, &
4 Patton, 2008), and that younger age of starting to use heroin is associated with increased rates
5 of non-fatal overdose (Lynskey & Hall, 1998). However, research has not established when
6 first opportunity to use heroin typically occurs, who provides those opportunities, and
7 whether the timing of heroin use opportunity (preceding initiation) is associated with greater
8 risk of harms once use has been initiated.

9 The low prevalence of heroin opportunity and use in longitudinal, general population samples
10 (Allen et al., 2017; Van Etten & Anthony, 1999) necessitates the use of populations in contact
11 with clinical services to study heroin use, which leads to low variation in dependence status
12 of the samples. However, using a treatment sample allows exploration of the latency from
13 heroin opportunity to initiation, networks through which heroin opportunity is provided, and
14 heroin-related harms associated with earlier opportunity (preceding initiation). To this end, a
15 sample of individuals in treatment for their heroin use were recruited to address the following
16 aims:

- 17 1. Characterise first opportunity to use heroin (preceding initiation) in a treatment-
18 seeking sample
- 19 2. Identify relationship between timing of opportunity and latency of progression to
20 initiation, injection onset and daily use.
- 21 3. Identify demographic factors and heroin-use outcomes associated with age of
22 opportunity to use heroin.

23

24 **Methods**

25 *Procedure*

1 Data collection took place in two UK drug and alcohol treatment services within the South
2 London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLAM). In total, 93 participants were
3 recruited into the study; with a participant response rate of 89%. Participants provided
4 responses to a short structured quantitative interview administered by a researcher, and were
5 reimbursed £10 upon interview completion. All responses were provided through self-report.

6 *Ethical approval*

7 Ethical approval was granted by the NHS Research Ethics Committee (15 /LO/0705) and
8 SLAM Research and Development.

9 *Measures*

10 *Age of First Opportunity to Use Heroin*

11 Respondents were asked to specify the age (in years) at which they first had the opportunity
12 to use heroin, whether or not they actually used heroin at that time. To improve
13 understanding, participants were given the following prompt: “By an opportunity I mean
14 someone either offered you heroin, or you were present when others were using and you
15 could have used if you wanted to” (Storr et al., 2011).

16 *Early and Later Opportunity to Use Heroin*

17 Due to the non-normal distribution of age of first opportunity to use heroin, the continuous
18 age measure of this item was transformed into tertiles. Participants who were in the lowest
19 tertile, reporting opportunity to use heroin at age 17 or under, were classified as experiencing
20 early opportunity. Those aged 18 or over were classified as experiencing later opportunity to
21 use heroin.

22 *Heroin Outcomes*

1 Participants self-reported whether they had ever experienced overdose. Information on the
2 amount of heroin used was collected through the item “At your period of heaviest use, what
3 amount of heroin/opiates were you using on a typical day?” Participants were asked to
4 respond with an amount in grams and/or in pounds sterling (£). To combine response in £
5 and responses in grams, the continuous age measure of both these items was transformed into
6 tertiles. Participants who were in the highest tertile for reported amount in grams or in £ were
7 classified as reporting heroin heavy use.

8 *Onset of Heroin Use Behaviours Following First Opportunity*

9 Participants self-reported their age at initiation of heroin use, age at initiation of injecting
10 heroin, age at onset of daily heroin use, and the length of time between having the first
11 opportunity to use heroin (preceding initiation) and initiating heroin use. Participants
12 provided responses in days, weeks, months or years. These responses were coded to a
13 categorical variable of “initiated use same day”, “initiated use within 1-7 days”, “initiated use
14 between one week and one month following opportunity”, “initiation within the same year as
15 opportunity” and “initiation more than a year after opportunity”.

16 *Contextual factors*

17 Participants were asked who they were with when they first had the opportunity to use heroin.
18 Participants selected from the options spouse/partner/boyfriend/girlfriend, parent, step or
19 foster parent, sibling, other relative, friend, acquaintance, stranger; due to low group numbers
20 the variable was collapsed into stranger/acquaintance, friend/partner, or family. Participants
21 who spontaneously reported being alone at first opportunity (N=4) were coded as missing.

22 *Demographic variables*

1 Participants self-reported their gender, ethnicity (collapsed into the categories White British,
2 Other White, and Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) Groups), highest level of education
3 completed, and age at interview.

4 ***Analysis***

5 Associations between age of first opportunity to use heroin (preceding initiation) and
6 demographic, contextual and heroin outcome factors were initially assessed through t-test and
7 chi square analysis (or fishers exact test when group N <5). Associations between the
8 exposure age of opportunity to use heroin and heroin outcomes that were identified as
9 significant ($P < 0.05$) were further assessed through univariate logistic and linear regression
10 (logistic regression for binary outcomes provides an Odds Ratio (OR) as measure of effect,
11 and linear regression for continuous outcomes provides a Beta coefficient (Beta) as measure
12 of effect). Multivariable regression adjusted for age at interview and age of initiation, serving
13 as a proxy for adjustment for duration of heroin use. The Huber-White estimator was applied
14 to all models to account for clustering of observations from the same clinic.

15 **Results**

16 ***Sample Description***

17 This sample of participants in treatment for their heroin use were predominately male
18 (74.2%) and White British (55.9%), with a high school education or lower (67.8%). The
19 mean age of initiation of heroin use (following opportunity) was 22.6 (95% CI 21.1 – 24.1),
20 mean age of injecting onset was 26.1 (95% CI 24.3 – 27.9), and mean age at onset of daily
21 heroin use was 25 (95% CI 23.2 – 26.6). Lifetime heavy heroin use was reported by 36.4% of
22 the sample, and lifetime overdose reported by 54.8% of the sample. See Tables 1 and 2 for
23 complete data.

24 [Table 1 near here]

1 ***Characterising First Opportunity to use Heroin (preceding initiation)***

2 The mean age of first opportunity to use heroin (preceding initiation of use) was 21.5 (SD =
3 7.08), with a range of 8-42 years. The majority of participants reported initiating heroin use
4 on the same day as having their first opportunity to use. Amongst those who did not
5 immediately initiate use following this first opportunity, initiation of heroin use was most
6 commonly over a year later, or was within the 2-12 months following opportunity. Over half
7 of participants reported that their first opportunity to use heroin was provided by a
8 friend/partner, over a third reported that their first opportunity to use heroin was provided by
9 a stranger/acquaintance, and a minority reported their first opportunity to use heroin was
10 provided by a family member.

11 [Table 2 near here]

12 ***Early Opportunity and Later Heroin Outcomes***

13 Of those who reported their age of first opportunity to use heroin, 34.4% (N=31) reported
14 having their first opportunity at age 17 or under, and 65.6% (N=59) reported having their first
15 opportunity to use heroin at age 18 or over. Between those reporting early and late
16 opportunity there were significant differences in report of overdose, mean age of initiation of
17 heroin use, mean age of injecting onset, and age of onset of daily heroin use. Between those
18 reporting early opportunity to use heroin and those reporting later opportunity there were no
19 significant differences in gender, ethnicity, education, who was present at their first heroin
20 use opportunity (see Table 1), time from first opportunity to initiating heroin use, or
21 heaviness of heroin use (see Table 2). However, it is notable that a much lower proportion of
22 those who had early opportunity to use heroin compared to later opportunity to use heroin
23 experienced this opportunity through strangers/acquaintances, indicating a trend towards
24 friends/partner and family providing earlier heroin use opportunities.

1 Identified associations between age of first opportunity to use heroin and heroin-related
2 outcomes were analysed through multivariable regression analysis, adjusting for age at
3 interview and reported age at initiation of heroin use to act as a proxy for duration of use.
4 After adjustment, early opportunity to use heroin was not associated with lower age of
5 injecting onset and lower age of onset of daily heroin use (see Table 3). However, those who
6 reported early opportunity to use heroin (preceding initiation) were more than twice as likely
7 to report having experienced overdose after adjustment for duration of heroin use (see Table
8 3).

9 [Table 3 near here]

10 **Discussion**

11 This study is the first to characterise opportunity to use heroin (being offered heroin or being
12 around individuals using the drug) as an event separate from and preceding the initiation of
13 heroin use. Analysis of data collected from a treatment-seeking sample has identified
14 variation in the age at which individuals report having had their first opportunity to use
15 heroin. The majority of the sample reported initiating heroin use immediately following their
16 first opportunity, but a sizeable minority of individuals report a delay of over a year between
17 first having the opportunity to use heroin and initiating use. The majority of the sample report
18 that their opportunity to use came through friends or partners. Those who report first
19 opportunity to use heroin at age 17 and under have twice the likelihood of reporting overdose
20 during their heroin use career. This association remained after controlling for age of initiation
21 and duration of heroin use. After adjustment for age at initiation of use and duration of use,
22 earlier opportunity to use heroin was not associated with age of injection onset and age at
23 daily heroin use.

1 By collecting retrospective data on first opportunity to use heroin, this study has clearly
2 differentiated the opportunity to use heroin as distinct from initiation of use. The majority of
3 the sample reported initiating heroin use on the day of their first opportunity to use the drug
4 (and it is plausible to assume initiation immediately followed this first opportunity).
5 However, a third of the sample report a delay of weeks, months or years between their first
6 opportunity to use heroin and initiating use. This study is the first to report variation in
7 latency between opportunity and initiation of heroin use. Further study of this area may
8 reveal prevention targets to disrupt progression from opportunity to initiation amongst those
9 who experience a delay. However, over half the sample report initiating use immediately
10 after having first opportunity to use; if this initiation is through injecting drug use, the
11 transition to daily heroin use will be especially rapid (Hines et al., 2017). This suggests the
12 most effective harm reduction approach would be policy level interventions to reduce uptake
13 of injecting, such as altering policing to penalise the supply of injectable heroin whilst being
14 more tolerant of the supply of heroin that could only be smoked (Strang & King, 1997).

15 Opportunity to use heroin (distinct from initiation of heroin use) is a previously overlooked
16 mechanism for intervention. However, the present analyses suggest consideration of this
17 stage of drug use has utility for prevention; not only for disrupting heroin use initiation, but
18 also for reducing overdose. The association between earlier opportunity to use heroin and
19 increased likelihood of overdose – which remained after controlling for age of initiation and
20 duration of heroin use - parallels findings that younger age of heroin initiation is associated
21 with overdose (Lynskey & Hall, 1998). In line with recent calls to expand the concept of
22 toxicity (Strang, Neale, McDonald, & Kalk, 2018), these findings suggest that the field may
23 benefit from expanding our concept beyond pharmacology to consider motivations and risk
24 behaviours underlying overdose. It is plausible that those who have earlier opportunity to use
25 heroin are growing up in environments in which potentially dangerous drug use habits, such

1 as concomitant use of multiple drugs, will develop. Further exploring the mechanisms
2 through which early drug use behaviours are linked to later drug use outcomes is a viable
3 route to reveal overlooked targets for intervention.

4 Targeting prevention messages and interventions at the families and networks of those
5 involved in heroin use may be necessary to pre-emptively disrupt progression to initiation. It
6 is notable that a greater proportion of those who experience early heroin opportunity report
7 having this opportunity through friends/partner or their family, compared to
8 strangers/acquaintances. Knowledge of where opportunities are arising may act as a
9 mechanism to disrupt initiation of use (Fink, 2017). Previous qualitative research into
10 friendship networks associated with heroin use has reported that these change as use develops
11 (Best, Manning, & Strang, 2007), but no existing quantitative research has considered the
12 effect of peers at the time of heroin exposure. Intervening with networks of people who inject
13 drugs to discourage initiation of others into injecting (Hunt, Stillwell, Taylor, & Griffiths,
14 1998) is one method through which this high-risk behaviour may be limited.

15 Limitations of this study must be considered when interpreting the results. Data were
16 collected on only a small number of potential covariates, and consequently detailed analysis
17 of what may underlie the relationship between age of opportunity to use heroin and overdose
18 cannot be conducted. The data were collected through self-report, which is unlikely to bias
19 results in samples of people who inject drugs when recall is short-term (Darke, 1998).

20 However, the use of retrospective self-report introduces the potential for recall bias to affect
21 the results. Studies of cannabis, alcohol and tobacco have identified moderate reliability for
22 self-reported age of onset (Ensminger, Juon, & Green, 2007; Huerta, Chodick, Balicer,
23 Davidovitch, & Grotto, 2005; Parra, O'Neill, & Sher, 2003; Shillington, Cottler, Mager, &
24 Compton III, 1995). The present findings would benefit from being replicated in prospective
25 research, but this presents challenges given the low prevalence of heroin use in the general

1 population. The small sample size in this exploratory study may have led to limited power to
2 detect significant associations, and precluded greater variation in the analysis of age of
3 opportunity onset and time from opportunity to initiation. Additionally, the small sample
4 precluded adjustment for potentially confounding variables beyond the continuous variables
5 of age at heroin initiation and age at interview.

6 ***Conclusions***

7 Considering opportunity to use heroin as an occurrence distinct from initiation of heroin use
8 has identified an association between earlier opportunity to use heroin and later overdose, and
9 has revealed that only a third of participants report a delay of weeks, months or years
10 between opportunity and initiation. Two thirds of participants reported initiating heroin use
11 on the same day as having their first opportunity to use the drug. Efforts to disrupt
12 progression to heroin use initiation should focus on minimising drug use opportunity in high-
13 risk environments. Given the short latency from opportunity to initiation, more focus should
14 be placed on preventing the initiation of heroin use through injecting routes to minimise
15 harms.

16 ***Acknowledgements***

17 The authors would like to thank the Addictions Service User Research Group (SURG),
18 hosted by the Aurora Project Lambeth, for their feedback during the study design stage.

19 ***Declaration of interest***

20 [redacted] is a researcher and clinician and has worked with a range of types of treatment and
21 rehabilitation service-providers. [redacted] has also worked with pharmaceutical companies
22 to seek to identify new or improved treatments, and also with a range of governmental and
23 non-governmental organisations. [redacted] employer ([redacted]) has registered intellectual

1 property on an innovative medication development with which [redacted] is involved, and
2 [redacted] has been named as inventor in a patent registration by a Pharma company for a
3 new medication. A fuller account of [redacted] interests is at [redacted]. [redacted] is also
4 supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre
5 for Mental Health at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College
6 London.

7 There are no other declarations of interest from authors of this paper.

8

9 **References**

- 10 Allen, H. K., Caldeira, K. M., Bugbee, B. A., Vincent, K. B., O'Grady, K. E., & Arria, A. M.
11 (2017). Drug involvement during and after college: Estimates of opportunity and use
12 given opportunity. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *174*, 150–157.
- 13 Best, D., Manning, V., & Strang, J. (2007). Retrospective recall of heroin initiation and the
14 impact on peer networks. *Addiction Research & Theory*, *15*, 397–410.
- 15 Burdzovic Andreas, J., & Bretteville-Jensen, A. L. (2017). Ready, willing, and able: the role
16 of cannabis use opportunities in understanding adolescent cannabis use. *Addiction*,
17 *112*, 1973–1982.
- 18 Burdzovic Andreas, J., & Bretteville-Jensen, A. L. (2018). Consideration of use
19 opportunities, novel products, and user modes in cannabis monitoring, research, and
20 policy: a response to the commentary. *Addiction*, *113*, 575–576.
- 21 Darke, S. (1998). Self-report among injecting drug users: A review. *Drug and Alcohol*
22 *Dependence*, *51*, 253–263.
- 23 Degenhardt, L., Bucello, C., Mathers, B., Briegleb, C., Ali, H., Hickman, M., & McLaren, J.
24 (2011). Mortality among regular or dependent users of heroin and other opioids: a

1 systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Addiction (Abingdon,*
2 *England), 106, 32–51.*

3 Degenhardt, L., Whiteford, H., & Hall, W. D. (2014). The Global Burden of Disease projects:
4 What have we learned about illicit drug use and dependence and their contribution to
5 the global burden of disease? *Drug and Alcohol Review, 33, 4–12.*

6 Ensminger, M. E., Juon, H.-S., & Green, K. M. (2007). Consistency between adolescent
7 reports and adult retrospective reports of adolescent marijuana use: Explanations of
8 inconsistent reporting among an African American population. *Drug & Alcohol*
9 *Dependence, 89, 13–23.*

10 Fink, D. S. (2017). Commentary on Burdzovic Andreas & Bretteville-Jensen (2017):
11 Cannabis use opportunities—an under-researched factor in substance use
12 epidemiology. *Addiction, 112, 1983–1984.*

13 Hines, L. A., Lynskey, M., Morley, K. I., Griffiths, P., Gossop, M., Powis, B., & Strang, J.
14 (2017). The relationship between initial route of heroin administration and speed of
15 transition to daily heroin use. *Drug and Alcohol Review, 36, 633–638.*

16 Hines, L. A., Morley, K. I., Mackie, C., & Lynskey, M. (2015). Genetic and Environmental
17 Interplay in Adolescent Substance Use Disorders. *Current Addiction Reports, 2, 122–*
18 *129.*

19 Hines, L. A., Morley, K. I., Strang, J., Agrawal, A., Nelson, E. C., Statham, D., ... Lynskey,
20 M. T. (2016). Onset of opportunity to use cannabis and progression from opportunity
21 to dependence: Are influences consistent across transitions? *Drug and Alcohol*
22 *Dependence, 160, 57–64.*

23 Huerta, M., Chodick, G., Balicer, R. D., Davidovitch, N., & Grotto, I. (2005). Reliability of
24 self-reported smoking history and age at initial tobacco use. *Preventive Medicine, 41,*
25 *646–650.*

- 1 Hunt, N., Stillwell, G., Taylor, C., & Griffiths, P. (1998). Evaluation of a Brief Intervention
2 to Prevent Initiation into Injecting. *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*, 5, 185–
3 194.
- 4 Lynskey, M. T., & Hall, W. (1998). Cohort trends in age of initiation to heroin use. *Drug and*
5 *Alcohol Review*, 17, 289–297.
- 6 Parra, G. R., O’Neill, S. E., & Sher, K. J. (2003). Reliability of self-reported age of substance
7 involvement onset. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 17, 211–218.
- 8 Shillington, A. M., Cottler, L. B., Mager, D. E., & Compton III, W. M. (1995). Self-report
9 stability for substance use over 10 years: data from the St. Louis Epidemiologic
10 Catchment Study. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 40, 103–109.
- 11 Storr, C. L., Wagner, F. A., Chen, C. Y., & Anthony, J. C. (2011). Childhood predictors of
12 first chance to use and use of cannabis by young adulthood. *Drug and Alcohol*
13 *Dependence*, 117, 7–15.
- 14 Strang, J., Bearn, J., Farrell, M., Finch, E., Gossop, M., Griffiths, P., ... Wolff, K. (1998).
15 Route of drug use and its implications for drug effect, risk of dependence and health
16 consequences. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 17, 197–211.
- 17 Strang, J. & King, L. (1997). Heroin is more than just diamorphine. *Addiction Research*, 5.
- 18 Strang, J., Neale, J., McDonald, R., & Kalk, N. (2018). Toxicity: exploring and expanding the
19 concept. *Addiction*, 113, 592–594.
- 20 Swift, W., Coffey, C., Carlin, J. B., Degenhardt, L., & Patton, G. C. (2008). Adolescent
21 cannabis users at 24 years: trajectories to regular weekly use and dependence in
22 young adulthood. *Addiction*, 103, 1361–70.
- 23 UNODC. (2014). *World Drug Report 2014*. United Nations publication.

- 1 Van Etten, M. L., & Anthony, J. C. (1999). Comparative epidemiology of initial drug
2 opportunities and transitions to first use: marijuana, cocaine, hallucinogens and
3 heroin. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 54, 117–125.
- 4 Wagner, F. A., & Anthony, J. C. (2002a). From first drug use to drug dependence;
5 developmental periods of risk for dependence upon marijuana, cocaine, and alcohol.
6 *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 26, 479–88.
- 7 Wagner, F. A., & Anthony, J. C. (2002b). Into the world of illegal drug use: Exposure
8 opportunity and other mechanisms linking the use of alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, and
9 cocaine. *Journal of Epidemiology*, 918–925.
- 10

1 Table 1: Chi square analysis of relationship between demographic and contextual factors and
 2 age of first opportunity to use heroin

Variable		Whole sample	Early first opportunity to use heroin (≤ 17)	Later first opportunity to use heroin (≥ 18)	Chi square P value
		% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	
Gender	Male	74 (69)	77 (24)	73 (43)	0.72
	Female	31 (29)	26 (8)	26 (15)	
Ethnicity	White British	56 (52)	58 (18)	56 (33)	0.65
	Other white	23 (21)	26 (8)	20 (12)	
	BME	21 (20)	16 (5)	24 (14)	
Education	Did not complete high school	41 (38)	42 (13)	39 (23)	0.96
	High school	27 (25)	26 (8)	27 (16)	
	Further/higher education	32 (30)	32 (10)	34 (20)	
Present at first opportunity	Stranger/acquaintance	35 (30)	23 (7)	41 (22)	0.10
	Friend/partner	58 (50)	64 (20)	56 (30)	
	Family	7 (6)	13 (4)	4 (2)	

3

4

1 Table 2: Chi square/t-test analysis of relationship between age of first opportunity to use
 2 heroin and heroin-related outcomes

Variable		Whole sample % (N)	Early first opportunity to use heroin (≤ 17) % (N)	Later first opportunity to use heroin (≥ 18) % (N)	Chi square P value
Time from first opportunity to initiation of use	Same day	65 (57)	64 (20)	65 (37)	0.98
	1-7 days	1 (1)	0 (0)	2 (1)	
	1 week – 1 month	3 (3)	3 (1)	3 (2)	
	Within a year	11 (10)	13 (4)	10 (6)	
	+1 year	19 (17)	19 (6)	19 (11)	
Heavy heroin use		36 (32)	43 (12)	31 (18)	0.28
Overdose		55 (51)	74 (23)	46 (27)	0.01
		Whole sample Mean (95% CI)	Early first opportunity (≤ 17) Mean (95% CI)	Later first opportunity (≥ 18) Mean (95% CI)	T-test P value
Age heroin use initiation		22.6 (21.1 – 24.1)	16.2 (14.5 – 18.0)	25.9 (24.2 – 27.6)	<0.001
Age injecting onset		26.1 (24.3 – 27.9)	22.3 (19.3 – 25.4)	28.8 (26.7 – 30.9)	<0.001
Age daily use onset		25.0 (23.3 – 26.6)	19.6 (17.3 – 21.9)	27.7 (25.9 – 29.6)	<0.001

Table 3: Regression coefficients (95% Confidence intervals) between age of first opportunity to use heroin and heroin-related outcomes

	Overdose N=51		Injecting onset (≤ 24) N = 25		Age of daily heroin use	
	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariable ¹ AOR (95% CI)	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariable ¹ AOR (95% CI)	Univariate Beta (95% CI)	Multivariable ¹ Beta (95% CI)
Later first opportunity to use heroin (≥ 18) N = 59	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0	0
Early first opportunity to use heroin (≤ 17) N = 31	3.41** (2.64 – 4.39)	2.58*** (1.40 – 4.76)	7.77*** (6.08 – 9.92)	0.81 (0.29 – 2.22)	-8.14* (-14.1 – -2.21)	1.42 (0.94 – 1.91)

¹Adjusted for age at interview and age at heroin use initiation

*P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001