



# **King's Research Portal**

DOI: 10.1038/s41390-018-0171-0

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Cox, D. J., Bai, W., Price, A. N., Edwards, A. D., Rueckert, D., & Groves, A. M. (2018). Ventricular remodeling in preterm infants: computational cardiac magnetic resonance atlasing shows significant early remodeling of the left ventricle. *Pediatric Research*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-018-0171-0

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **AUTHOR VERSION**

## Author Version: Published ahead of online first



Ventricular remodeling in preterm infants: computational cardiac magnetic resonance atlasing shows significant early remodeling of the left ventricle

David J Cox, Wenjia Bai, Anthony N Price, A. David Edwards, Daniel Rueckert, Alan M Groves

This is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication. Springer Nature are providing this early version of the manuscript as a service to our customers. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting and a proof review before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

## Cover page

Full title: Ventricular remodeling in preterm infants: computational cardiac magnetic

resonance atlasing shows significant early remodeling of the left ventricle

Running title: Neonatal CMR confirms preterm remodeling

## List of authors and institutional affiliations:

Dr David J Cox<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr Wenjia Bai PhD<sup>2</sup>, Dr Anthony N Price<sup>1</sup>, Professor A. David Edwards<sup>1</sup>, Professor Daniel Rueckert<sup>2</sup>, Dr Alan M Groves<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centre for the Developing Brain, King's College London, London, UK, <sup>2</sup>Department of Computing, Imperial College London, London, UK <sup>3</sup>Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York \*Correspondence and Requests for materials should be addressed to: Centre for the Developing Brain, 1st Floor South Wing, St Thomas' Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, United Kingdom, SE1 7EH. E-mail: david.cox@kcl.ac.uk, Telephone: +44(0)2071889145, Fax: +44(0)2071889154. Statement of financial support: Funding for the study was received from the Medical Research Council & SPARKS Children's Medical Research charity (in the form of a Clinical Research Fellowship grant for Dr David Cox, 2012-2015).

**Disclosure:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. Views expressed in this submission are the authors' and not an official position of their institutions nor the sponsoring bodies.

## Category of Study: Clinical Research

#### <u>Abstract</u>

## Background

Premature birth is associated with ventricular remodeling, early heart failure, and altered left ventricular (LV) response to physiological stress.

Using computational cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging we aimed to quantify preterm ventricular remodeling in the neonatal period, and explore contributory clinical factors.

## Methods

73 CMR scans (34 preterm infants, 10 term controls) were performed to assess in-utero development and preterm ex-utero growth.

End-diastolic computational atlases were created for both cardiac ventricles; t statistics, linear regression modeling and principal component analysis (PCA) were used to describe the impact of prematurity and perinatal factors on ventricular volumetrics, ventricular geometry, myocardial mass and wall thickness.

#### Results

All preterm neonates demonstrated greater weight-indexed LV mass and higher weightindexed end-diastolic volume at term-corrected age (P<0.05 for all preterm gestations). Independent associations of increased term-corrected age LV myocardial wall thickness were (false discovery rate <0.05): degree of prematurity, antenatal glucocorticoid administration, requirement for > 48 hours' postnatal respiratory support.

PCA of LV geometry showed statistical differences between all preterm infants at termcorrected age and term controls.

#### Conclusions

Computational CMR demonstrates significant LV remodeling occurs soon after preterm delivery and is associated with definable clinical situations. This suggests that neonatal interventions could reduce long-term cardiac dysfunction.

Author accepted manuscript

#### <u>Body text</u>

#### **Introduction**

Globally there are more than 15 million preterm births (prior to 37 weeks' gestation) per year. Advances in obstetric care and neonatal practice are improving survival rates and leading to an ever-increasing cohort of adults born prematurely.(1,2)

Premature birth is associated with hypertension, myocardial infarction, adult heart failure and potentially early death from cardiac causes.(3,4,5,6) Recent studies have identified early impacts of prematurity on cardiac development and function including altered early myocardial development, heart failure in childhood and altered left ventricular response to physiological stress.(7,8,9)

The phenotype of pathological cardiac development following premature birth was defined in young adults by the application of MRI-based cardiac atlases; this phenotype included altered human ventricular geometry, increased indexed myocardial mass and associations with reduced biventricular function.(10,11,12) Whilst both cardiac ventricles are affected, studies in young adults suggest a greater functional impact on the ex-preterm right ventricle (RV) compared to the left ventricle (LV).(11) More recent echocardiographic studies have shown increased indexed LV and RV mass at 3 months in premature neonates, though early changes in LV geometry were thought to be transient.(7)

As many authors acknowledge, assumptions about ventricular geometry necessitate caution when interpreting echocardiographic-derived absolute mass measures and inferences on ventricular geometry, particularly for the RV.(7) However, optimized

neonatal CMR has demonstrated robust, validated in-vivo volumetric measurements of the preterm ventricles, and CMR atlasing is the proven gold-standard tool for generating robust cardiac geometries, though not previously tested for the neonatal

population.(13,14,15)

This study adapted available neonatal CMR and adult computational atlasing techniques to confirm the hypothesis that preterm-associated ventricular remodeling originates in the neonatal period, demonstrate the phenotype of neonatal remodeling, and robustly explore e therape e ther associated clinical factors which could potentially offer future therapeutic targets.

#### **Methods**

The study was approved by the North West London Research Ethics Committee (06/Q0406/137). All CMR scans were performed for research purposes only, written informed parental consent was obtained in all cases.

#### **Study Cohort**

Preterm infants (born < 37 weeks' gestation) and healthy term controls (born between 37-42 weeks gestational age (GA)) were recruited from the Neonatal Unit and postnatal wards of St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK, between 2012 and 2015. Study exclusion criteria were: contraindications to CMR scanning, congenital heart disease (transitional conditions such as patent foramen ovale (PFO) and patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) were not excluded), parents in whom obtaining consent was deemed non-ethical, and neonates deemed too sick to tolerate a CMR study by their consultant neonatologist. 34 preterm 'baseline' CMR scans representing a surrogate of in-utero growth were performed within the first 7 days, and 29 'term-corrected age' scans were performed in preterm neonates at 37-42 weeks corrected gestational age (CGA) to allow description of ex-utero preterm cardiac development and facilitate direct comparison between preterm infants and 10 healthy term-born controls (scanned within 7 days of birth). Further patient demographics are shown in Table 1.

The results of CMR scanning did not alter the neonates' clinical care; decisions on clinical management including investigation and treatment for PDA, use of inotropic and respiratory support, and nutritional/feeding regimen were governed entirely by the clinical team responsible for the neonates' care, who were blinded to the research findings.

## **Medical Data Collection**

Data on antenatal history and neonatal care was collected from in-patient medical notes and electronic patient records. Structured data collection targeted factors postulated to affect cardiac remodeling including patient gender, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), antenatal glucocorticoid administration, postnatal inotrope use, PDA (defined in this study as clinical concern of PDA with confirmation of PDA on echocardiography; PDA treatment was at the discretion of individual clinicians and recorded separately), and mode and duration of respiratory support. All stored data, including MR data, were coded with subject- and study-specific identifiers to ensure anonymity and blinded analysis.

#### **CMR** imaging acquisition

All scans were performed using a 'feed and wrap' free-breathing technique without sedation or anesthesia, as described previously by Merchant et al.(16) CMR data was acquired on a Philips 3.0-Tesla MR Achieva scanner (Best, Netherlands) using a specialized 8-channel pediatric body receive coil for neonates >2 kg, and an 8-channel small extremity receive coil for neonates <2 kg. Neonatal CMR scanning and parameter optimization has been previously described by Price et al.(15) Steady-state free-precession (SSFP) cine sequences were acquired to localize cardiac anatomy, before acquisition of an optimized retrospectively-VCG-gated 2D SSFP 10-slice short axis cine stack. SSFP image acquisition parameters were: acquired in-plane resolution  $1 \times 1$  mm; 20 retrospectively gated phases per cardiac cycle, average temporal resolution 22.2 milliseconds (range 17.6 - 33.3 milliseconds); slice thickness 4-mm; repetition time 3.8 milliseconds; echo time 1.9 milliseconds; flip angle  $35^{\circ}$ ; 4 signal averages. Varied negative inter-slice gap, enabled interpolation of slice thickness to below 4mm whilst

ensuring full coverage from apex to base of the both ventricles in all individuals. The post-processing steps further interpolated the slice thickness to achieve a reconstructed isotropic voxel resolution. No CMR-acceleration methods or respiratory gating techniques were used; acquisition time ranged between 4 and 6 minutes. Anonymized CMR data was stored on a protected digital archive for subsequent analysis.

#### CMR data post-processing

All post-processing was performed on end-diastolic (ED) CMR frames. Short-axis and 4chamber DICOM files were visualized and segmented using Segment v1.8 R1172 software (segment.heiberg.se)(17) to quantify ventricular cavity volume, ensuring correct ED frame selection.

# sted Ventricular computational atlas creation

## Image segmentation

The ED datasets were converted to NIfTI (Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative) format. Segmentation was performed by a single researcher, with 9 months prior training, using ITK-SNAP dedicated image segmentation software (www.itksnap.org) which allows for semi-automatic segmentation using active contour methods and manual delineation.(18) While various software programs are available for cardiac segmentation, ITK-SNAP was chosen due to previous researcher experience of robust performance in segmenting neonatal CMR datasets and the availability of a seedbased 'Snake' tool which allowed for region-growing, level-set segmentation. Ventricular myocardium and blood pools were labelled through manual tracing of the endo- and epicardial borders for each slice. The LV outflow tract was included in the ventricular

blood pool volume when representing < 50% of the LV blood volume on the slice, and the papillary muscles were excluded from the myocardial segmentation and included in the LVEDV to facilitate more robust subsequent image registration. RV segmentation followed similar principles and techniques to that of the LV, however segmentation of the RV included regions of the interventricular septum considered to be RV in developmental origin due to the smooth geometric continuity with the RV free wall mass. Image segmentation provided quantification of ventricular myocardial mass from volume ((enddiastolic epicardial – endocardial volume) x 1.05) and EDV (endocardial volume) for both RV and LV for each subject; 1.05g/ml representing the predicted density of mar myocardial tissue.

## Image registration

Image registration techniques were performed using Image Registration Toolkit software (IRTK, <u>www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dr/software/</u>).(19,20,21) The segmented datasets were manually landmarked using LV and RV landmarks including the myocardial apex, and septal mid-point, RV insertion points and center of the blood pool at mid-ventricular and basal levels. Landmarks were chosen based on apparent reliability and repeatability of assessment in the context of a known paucity of true anatomical landmarks identifiable on cine MR scanning. The registration and atlasing technique used had previously been optimized and validated for neonatal CMR data and followed the principles described by Frangi et al.(22) First, a point-based rigid registration of the anatomical landmarks is used to align the segmentations to a common coordinate system. A voxel-based multiresolution, free-form, non-rigid registration algorithm was used to warp individual segmentations to a pre-assigned template, the target segmentation. The chosen template

segmentation was the dataset with highest segmentation repeatability and robustness of landmarking. The non-rigid registration algorithm embedded labelled segmentations into a multi-nodal volumetric mesh through modeling the spatial deformation between images as an interpolated field governed by a set of B-spline basis functions. The deformation was progressively refined through multiple resolution stages by increasing the density of the B-spline modes.(16)

Unbiased templates for each ventricle were created through a 2-step iteration; initial registration to an assigned, biased, target segmentation to warp all segmentations to a common coordinate space, with subsequent averaging forming unbiased LV and RV templates. Repetition of the registration process registered all segmentations to the unbiased templates, producing deformation field files detailing the size and shape difference of all patient segmentations relative to the unbiased template. Individual warped segmentations, produced through application of the deformation field files to the unbiased templates, were resampled using shape-based interpolation and the application of a marching cubes algorithm created individual surface meshes facilitating visualization and statistical analysis.

#### Neonatal ventricular atlas construction

Patients were grouped into cohorts by gestational age at birth. Concatenation of deformation fields within a cohort created atlases representative of that population. 5 preterm cohorts were created that spanned the viable preterm gestational age range: neonates born <26 weeks, 26-29 weeks, 29-32 weeks, 32-35 weeks and 35-37 weeks. Each cohort had 2 sets of atlases created, at baseline and at term-corrected age. An atlas was also produced for the healthy term control cohort. Dice similarity coefficients were

used to analyze how robustly cohort atlases represented all individual constitutive patients.(23) Myocardial and ventricular blood pool surface meshes were composed of 27797 and 14099 mesh points respectively for the LV, and 28986 and 14798 mesh points for the RV. Each mesh point identified spatial location, with the myocardial surface mesh points providing additional data on local wall thickness.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22 and MATLAB R2015a (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Comparison of continuous variables within patient groups was conducted with a 2-sided, independent samples Student's *t*-test where data was normally distributed; categorical variables were compared with a  $\chi^2$  test. Myocardial mass and end-diastolic volume (EDV) data were indexed for patient weight at the time of scan.

Standardization of the number of nodes and alignment of myocardial meshes allowed calculation of mean wall thickness at every nodal point. Generated *P*-values were corrected for multiple comparisons by adjusting for false discovery rate (FDR). Assessment of perinatal factors associated with altered wall thickness was performed by regression analysis using the principles of generalized linear modeling with an ANCOVA.(24) Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to identify the key modes of shape variation in preterm hearts at term-corrected age – the parametric space was reduced from >14,000 modes of variation to the principal 10 which accounted for approximately 95% of the shape variation seen for each ventricle. Throughout the reported results values of P < 0.05 (after correction for multiple comparisons) were considered significant.

#### **Results**

#### **Study population characteristics**

Baseline demographics, clinical parameters and scan timings for the preterm, preterm at term and term control cohorts are shown in Table 1.

# Elevated weight-corrected ventricular mass and end-diastolic volume in preterm cohorts

Postnatal baseline assessment (scans performed within 7 days of life) in all cohorts born >29 weeks GA demonstrated higher weight-indexed values for RVEDV than for LVEDV, but reduced weight-indexed RV mass (RVm) compared with LV mass (LVm) for equivalent GA (Table 2).

All preterm cohorts scanned at term-corrected age demonstrated greater mean indexed values (P < 0.05) for LVm and LVEDV than healthy term controls; calculated ex-utero weight-indexed growth percentages showed increases between 14.0 and 32.0% for both in LVm and LVEDV contrasting with reduction in weight-indexed in-utero percentage values of similar magnitude. (Table 2 & Figure 1). Infants born at <29 weeks demonstrated 64% greater weight-indexed LVm at term-corrected age than the term control cohort (1.64  $\pm$  0.04 vs 0.99  $\pm$  0.17 g/kg, P < 0.0001).

Whilst a trend towards greater mean indexed RVm and RVEDV was noted for pretermborn infants at term-corrected age compared with the term-born control cohort, the only preterm cohort in which a statistically-significant difference was noted was the cohort born between 29-32 weeks GA. (Table 2, Figure 1).

Values for LV and RV myocardial mass and EDV indexed for body surface area (BSA) correlated closely with the respective weight-indexed values for preterm cohorts scanned

at term corrected age. However, there was a significant discrepancy between the weight and BSA indexed values for preterm cohorts at baseline; this is likely due to the challenges in obtaining accurate BSA values for sick preterm infants. (Supplemental Tables S1-S2 (online)). Weight-indexed values were used to draw conclusions on cardiac development due to the improved accuracy of assessment in the neonatal population.

#### Computational neonatal ventricular atlas creation and analysis

9 cohort atlases of similarly matched GA were created for each ventricle, displaying shape and computed myocardial wall thickness values (Figures 2 & 3). Dice similarity metrics demonstrated the generated atlas for each cohort to be unbiased towards individual constituent scans; comparison of atlases with individual scans from other cohorts demonstrated the specificity of an atlas to their GA cohort (Supplemental Tables S3-S6 (online)).

## LV shape analysis

Baseline scans were conducted within the first 7 days in an attempt to limit the impact of early postnatal pathophysiological remodeling. Significant changes in postnatal ventricular volumes may occur over the first few days. However, visual analysis of the consistency of LV geometry and LV wall thickness observed in the postnatal baseline atlases, despite images having been taken at a range of 3-7 postnatal days, suggests relatively uniform third trimester in-utero development and early postnatal adaptation. This correlates with the quantified increases in absolute LVm and LVEDV (Table 2 & Figure 2).

PCA performed on all LV models created from term-age equivalent scans showed 2 leading modes accounting for 57% of the population's LV geometric shape variation

(mode 1 = 35%, mode 2 = 22%). Mode 1 altered LV tapering towards the apex of the ventricle, mode 2 affected LV cavity diameter between the septum and lateral free wall (Figure 4). A clear distinction in shape was seen between all the preterm infants scanned at term-corrected age and the healthy term controls; preterm hearts demonstrating a more globular LV shape with more spherical blood pool (Figure 4, mode 1 P < 0.0001; mode 2 P = 0.003).

#### *RV* shape analysis

Visual analysis and growth percentage comparison of RV cohort atlases constructed from postnatal baseline CMR scans again suggested consistent in-utero ventricular growth and early postnatal volumetric adaptation, with RV shape preservation from 29 weeks' gestation (Table 2 & Figure 3). The preterm RVs scanned at term-corrected age displayed very similar size and shape characteristics to term controls (Figure 3). PCA performed on all RV models created from term-equivalent scans again showed 2 modes of variation accounting for approximately 60% of the population's RV geometric variation (mode 1 = 47.5%, mode 2 = 13%). Mode 1 altered RV base-apex length, mode 2 affected the RV blood pool shape, particularly septal wall curvature – termed 'globularity' for the purpose of graphical demonstration (Figure 4). No statistically-significant differences in RV shape were observed between preterm infants scanned at term-corrected age and the healthy term controls, however, graphical representation demonstrated clustering of preterm RV geometry towards greater ventricular base-apex length and more pronounced septal curvature (Figure 4).

#### LV regional wall thickness analysis

Assessment of different cohorts with paired *t*-tests generated FDR-corrected *P*-value maps showing myocardial regions with statistically significant differences in wall thickness. Surrogates of in-utero growth (comparison of preterm ventricles at baseline versus healthy term-born controls) show consistent significant increases in weight-indexed wall thickness in the LV septal region throughout the third trimester (Figure 5). Myocardial wall thickness values in other regions increased at a lower rate, not reaching statistical significance. Ex-utero myocardial development through the third trimester (comparison of the preterm ventricles at baseline and term-corrected age) displayed contrasting and more diffuse patterns of statistically significant LV wall thickness growth. (Figure 5)

Postnatal myocardial remodeling was assessed by comparing wall thickness in preterm cohorts at term-corrected age with healthy term controls. Neonates born < 29 weeks had large areas of statistically-significant point-registered LV myocardial thickening, encompassing greater than 50% of the LV and including the septal and free ventricular walls. As preterm GA at birth increased the total area of altered LV myocardial thickness decreased. (Figure 5).

#### RV regional wall thickness analysis

Analysis of in-utero RV growth, ex-utero RV myocardial development, and postnatal RV remodeling did not demonstrate any regions of myocardium with statistically-significant wall thickness change after correction for FDR.

## Perinatal factors affecting wall thickness remodeling

Generalized linear modeling on all term-age ventricular models, with statistical parametric mapping of the ventricles allowed independent assessment of multiple clinical covariates.(18) The results were processed to show regions of statistically significant increases in myocardial wall thickness affected by each covariate and demonstrated on standardized myocardial meshes. All quoted *P*-values are post FDR correction. The degree of prematurity was strongly associated with changes in wall thickness over the whole LV ( $P < 1 \ge 10^{-5}$  for all nodes). Clear independent associations were also observed for requirement for respiratory support >48 hours and the administration of antenatal glucocorticoids – the majority of the LV was affected (P < 0.05) with sparing only at the ventricular apex. Very little association was seen between LV wall thickness and PDA, IUGR or gender (Figure 6).

RV analysis revealed no perinatal factors associated with wall thickness change after correction for FDR.

#### **Discussion**

Computational atlasing of neonatal cardiac MRI data demonstrates that premature birth causes definable changes to LVm and wall thickness, EDV and geometry in the neonatal period, which were quantifiably greater for birth at earlier gestation (Figures 1 & 6).

#### Impact of prematurity on LVm, wall thickness and EDV

The extent of the observed change in preterm neonatal LVm broadly correlates with recent echocardiographic data in the first 3 months of life but is greater than the approximate 20% increase in biventricular mass quantified by computational cardiac CMR atlasing studies in young adults.(7,10) Our results show premature infants born at <29 weeks demonstrate a 64% greater indexed-LVm at term-corrected age than healthy term-born controls. Whilst previous research has indicated that cardiac growth tracks from early postnatal life into childhood, and from childhood to adult life, we believe future longitudinal studies are required to demonstrate if, and when, the large increase in indexed LVm regresses to that observed in studies at young adulthood.(25,26) Increased LVm is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality; if the increases in observed LVm do persist into adulthood, this degree of increased LVm would likely lead to significantly elevated risk of clinical cardiovascular events later in life.(6,27)

Our preterm cohort demonstrated significant increases in LVEDV compared to term-born controls, whereas young adults born prematurely display decreased LV chamber volumes. Increased neonatal LVEDV might be expected due to physiological adaptation to increased postnatal pulmonary venous return, particularly in the presence of a patent ductus arteriosus.

Increased LV wall thickness was independently associated with degree of prematurity, antenatal glucocorticoid exposure and respiratory support requirement for >48 hours. Association between steroid administration and cardiac hypertrophy in the newborn period is well-described, though in most cases the effect is felt to be transient.(28,29,30) However, exposure to antenatal glucocorticoids impacts key regulators of cardiac function and maturation of the developing myocardium such that longer term programming may be effected.(31)

Respiratory support may be only casually associated with LV remodeling, since infants with circulatory compromise generally require respiratory support. However, respiratory support also impacts lung volume, intrathoracic pressure, venous return and coronary artery flow, and the mechanics of myocardial contraction; all of which could contribute to remodeling.(32)

# Impact of prematurity on LV shape

Principal component analysis showed preterm neonates to have more globular hearts with more spherical shape. Mann et al. comment that increased LV sphericity is one of the first observations of pathophysiological significance in LV remodeling.(33) The mechanisms leading to the development of this spherical shape in preterm hearts are likely to be similar to those seen in adult cardiomyopathies.(34) The change from ellipse to more spherical LV shape increases meridional wall stress and so creates a further energetic burden for the immature myocardium.(33) The long-term prognostic significance of neonatal LV shape change is unclear, though in adult patients greater LV sphericity is associated with heart failure, reduced exercise tolerance and an increased incidence of mitral regurgitation.(35,36) Any increased neonatal LV sphericity that

compromised future valvular integrity would lead to greater LV preload and a potential cycle of adverse LV remodeling.

## Pathophysiology underlying neonatal LV remodeling

Overall, very little is definitively known about pathophysiology of LV remodeling in human preterm cohorts. It has been hypothesized that remodeling occurs secondary to changes in ventricular workload resulting from differences in preload, intracardiac flow pattern and afterload. Shunting of blood through a PDA is a common cause for increased preload in preterm infants and has previously been associated with increased LVm in the neonatal period.(13) However, our study did not detect a significant association between PDA and remodeling of either ventricle; we did not systematically assess preterm patterns of disrupted intracardiac flow which result in reduced kinetic energy maintenance, and may necessitate greater preload to maintain sufficient cardiac function.(37) Our findings may have been affected by the low number of neonates with PDA in the study and association of PDA with co-variates of earlier gestational age, receipt of antenatal glucocorticoids and need for prolonged respiratory support, making identification as an independent risk modifier more challenging. Study of a larger cohort is required before drawing definitive conclusions on the effect of PDA on ventricular remodeling.

The functional and histological immaturity of the preterm myocardium leaves it vulnerable to increases in afterload in the neonatal period, including cord-clamping and medical therapies such as inotropes and vasopressors.(38,39) The consequence of a

sustained high afterload on immature myocardium may be early adaptive myocardial hypertrophy, with the later corollary being persistence of hypertrophic remodeling. Preterm lamb models show 5- to 7-fold increases in neonatal interstitial collagen deposition, and evidence of myocardial fibrosis.(40) Myocardial growth and compliance is dependent upon optimal cellular and extracellular matrix composition, and similar findings in humans would alter the mechanical scaffold of the heart and likely restrict normal LV cavity growth.

Hyperoxia may be a further trigger for preterm cardiac remodeling. In small animal models transient exposure to hyperoxia produces long term cardiac remodeling and vulnerability to early cardiac failure.(41) These changes appear to be mediated by alterations in renin-angiotensin activity. Preterm infants are exposed to relative hyperoxia (50-70mmHg) compared to the fetal environment (30-40mmHg) and hyperoxia may be compounded by supplemental oxygen therapy for respiratory disease. Preterm neonates exhibit prolonged alterations in renin-angiotensin activity with higher levels of angiotensin II in infants with respiratory disease.(42)

#### Remodeling of the preterm RV

Surrogates for in-utero ventricular blood pool volumetrics from >29 weeks GA demonstrated consistently greater indexed-RVEDV values when compared to the LV, in keeping with perceived fetal right ventricular dominance.(43) Changes in RV growth in the neonatal period were significantly reduced compared with the LV, with nonsignificant increases in indexed RVm and RVEDV for most gestational age cohorts at term-corrected age. This again contrasts with Lewandowski et al. who showed a greater

increase in RVm than LVm in young adults.(11) However, the dominant fetal RV shows a relative regression in healthy term newborns as pulmonary vascular resistance falls and the RV no longer contributes to systemic perfusion. During this process the RV loses around 40% of its bodyweight-indexed mass. We compared our preterm infants to a cohort of term newborns yet to undergo the physiologic reduction in RVm. Therefore, a weight-indexed RVm increase of 22% in infants born <29 weeks may actually demonstrate a significant failure of RVm regression rather than an absence of significant growth increase. Our study suggests increased preterm-related indexed RVEDV in the neonatal period, compared to the decrease seen in young adults. Again whether the change we have observed in the neonatal period will persist through childhood is currently unknown.

#### **Study limitations**

This study provides only single-center insight into neonatal cardiac remodeling and though computational atlasing facilitates statistically powerful information from comparatively few scans, the number of studied infants was relatively small. Different patient populations and neonatal care practices may produce different patterns of remodeling.

A notable limitation was that comparative measures of normal development were only taken from a term control group scanned within 7 days of birth. As neonates undergo significant circulatory transition and cardiac adaptation after birth it would have been useful to assess and document normal development of healthy term neonatal ventricles with repeat scanning and computational atlasing at 4-6 weeks of postnatal age. Whilst there is literature suggesting what these outcomes may be, there would be value in using

the same assessment technique in an older term cohort to complete the understanding of what constitutes normal development as opposed to pathological early remodeling. Our technique for left ventricular segmentation differs from that of the SCMR consensus status due to difficulties visualizing the aortic valve and robustly planning short axis stacks along the basal plane of the atrioventricular valve.(44) However the repeatability metrics for the technique allied to our close correlation of DSC values, for LV cohorts , i .atasets an .a infants. particularly, lends support to the use of our technique for these datasets and the applicability of remodeling results for all analyzed preterm infants.

## **Conclusions**

Cardiac remodeling associated with premature birth occurs in the neonatal period and leads to alterations in left ventricular mass, volume and shape. The degree of hypertrophic and geometric remodeling in the most prematurely-born infants demonstrated in this study is significant and, if sustained, may explain the increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality observed in young adults.

Further research is required to understand the pathophysiology, but with increasing evidence suggesting early ventricular remodeling occurs, and preterm infants suffer long-term health impacts, the neonatal period may provide a potential diagnostic and future therapeutic window.

© 2018 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.

## **Acknowledgements**

The Image Registration Toolkit was used under Academic License from Ixico Ltd This study was supported by the Centre for the Developing Brain, King's College London & Department of Computing, Imperial College London

Author accepted manuscript

## Author contributions

- Substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data – Dr David Cox, Dr Wenjia Bai, Dr Anthony Price, Prof. Daniel Rueckert, Prof. A. David Edwards, Dr Alan Groves
- Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content Dr David Cox, Dr Wenjia Bai, Dr Anthony Price, Prof. Daniel Rueckert, Prof. A. David Edwards, Dr Alan Groves
- 3. Final approval of the version to be published Dr David Cox, Prof. A. David Edwards, Dr Alan Groves

© 2018 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.

## Sources of Funding

Funding for the study was received from the Medical Research Council & SPARKS Children's Medical Research charity.

## **Disclosure**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author accepted manuscript

## **References**

(1) World Health Organization, Media Centre. Preterm Birth. Fact sheet No. 36; Updated November 2014

(2) Beck S, Wojdyla D, Say L, Betran AP, Merialdi M, Requejo JH, Rubens C, Menon R, Look PFV. The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of mortality and morbidity. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2010; 88:31-38.

(3) Barker DJ, Eriksson JG, Forsén T, Osmond C. Fetal origins of adult disease: strength of effects and biological basis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2002; 31:1235-1239.

(4) Centra JC, Roberts G, Opie G, Chong J, Doyle LW. Masked hypertension in extremely preterm adolescents. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*. 2015;51:1060-1065

(5) Bassareo PP, Fanos V. Editorial: cardiovascular drug therapy in paediatric age: from metabolomics to clinical practice. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*. 2014; 21:3107.
(6) Crump C, Sundquist K, Sundquist J, Winkleby MA. Gestational age at birth and mortality in young adulthood. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2011;306:1233-1240

(7) Aye CYL, Lewandowski AJ, Lamata P, Upton R, Davis E, Ohuma EO, Kenworthy Y, Boardman H, Wopperer S, Packham A1, Adwani S, McCormick K, Papageorghiou AT, Leeson P. Disproportionate cardiac hypertrophy during early postnatal development in infants born preterm. *Pediatric Research*. 2017 Jul; 82(1): 36–46.

(8) Carr H, Cnattingius S, Granath F, Ludvigsson JF, Edstedt Bonamy A-K. Preterm Birth and Risk of Heart Failure Up to Early Adulthood. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2017; 69(21): 2634-2642.

(9) Huckstep OJ, Williamson W, Telles F, Burchert H, Bertagnolli M, Herdman C, Arnold L, Smillie R, Mohamed A, Boardman H, McCormick K, Neubauer S, Leeson P, Lewandowski AJ. Physiological Stress Elicits Impaired Left Ventricular Function in Preterm-Born Adults. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2018; 71(12): 1347–1356.

(10) Lewandowski AJ, Augustine D, Lamata P, Davis EF, Lazdam M, Francis J,
McCormick K, Wilkinson AR, Singhal A, Lucas A, Smith NP, Neubauer S and Leeson P.
Preterm heart in adult life: cardiovascular magnetic resonance reveals distinct differences in left ventricular mass, geometry, and function. *Circulation*. 2013; 127:197-206.
(11) Lewandowski AJ, Bradlow WM, Augustine D, Davis EF, Francis J, Singhal A,
Lucas A, Neubauer S, McCormick K and Leeson P. Right ventricular systolic dysfunction in young adults born preterm. *Circulation*. 2013; 128:713-720.
(12) Lewandowski AJ, Lamata P, Francis JM, Piechnik SK, Ferreira VM, Boardman H,
Neubauer S, Singhal A, Leeson P, Lucas A. Breast Milk Consumption in Preterm
Neonates and Cardiac Shape in Adulthood. *Pediatrics*. 2016; 138:e20160050
(13) Broadhouse KM, Finnemore AE, Price AN, Durighel G, Cox DJ, Edwards AD,
Hajnal JV, Groves AM. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance of cardiac function and myocardial mass in preterm infants: a preliminary study of the impact of patent ductus arteriosus. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2014; 16:54.

(14) Groves AM, Chiesa G, Durighel G, Goldring ST, Fitzpatrick JA, Uribe S, Razavi R,

Hajnal JV, Edwards AD. Functional cardiac MRI in preterm and term newborns. *Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition.* 2011; 96:F86-91.

(15) Price AN, Malik SJ, Broadhouse KM, Finnemore AE, Durighel G, Cox DJ, Edwards AD, Groves AM, Hajnal JV. Neonatal cardiac MRI using prolonged balanced SSFP imaging at 3T with active frequency stabilization. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*.
2013; 70:776-784.

(16) Merchant N, Groves A, Larkman DJ, Counsell SJ, Thomson MA, Doria V, Groppo M, Arichi T, Foreman S, Herlihy DJ, Hajnal JV, Srinivasan L, Foran A, Rutherford M, Edwards AD, Boardman JP. A patient care system for early 3.0 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging of very low birth weight infants. *Early Human Development*. 2009; 85:779-783.
(17) Heiberg E, Sjögren J, Ugander M, Carlsson M, Engblom H, Arheden H. Design and validation of segment – a freely available software for cardiovascular image analysis. *BMC Medical Imaging*. 2010; 10:1.

(18) Yushkevich PA, Piven J, Cody Hazlett H, Gimpel Smith R, Ho S, Gee JC, Gerig G. User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: Significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *Neuroimage* 2006; 31:1116-1128.

(19) Rueckert D, Sonoda LI, Hayes C, Hill DLG, Leach MO, Hawkes DJ. Non-rigid registration using free-form deformations: Application to breast MR images. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. 1999; 18:712-721.

(20) Schnabel JA, Rueckert D, Quist M, Blackall JM, Castellano Smith AD, Hartkens T, Penney GP, Hall WA, Liu H, Truwit CL, Gerritsen FA, Hill DLG, Hawkes DJ. A generic

framework for non-rigid registration based on non-uniform multi-level free-form deformations. *MICCAI*. 2001:573-581.

(21) Studholme C, Hill DLG, Hawkes DJ. An Overlap Invariant Entropy Measure of 3D Medical Image Alignment. *Pattern Recognition*. 1999; 32:71-86.

(22) Frangi AF, Rueckert D, Schnabel JA, Niessen WJ. Automatic Construction of Multi-

Object Three Dimensional Statistical Shape Models: Application to Cardiac Modeling.

*IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. 2002; 21:1151-1166.

(23) Crum WR, Camara O, Hill DLG. Generalized Overlap Measures for Evaluation and Validation in Medical Image Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 2006; 25:1451-1461.

(24) Kiebel SJ. The general linear model. In Frackowiak RSJ, Friston KJ, Frith C, Dolan

R, Friston KJ, Price CJ, Zeki S, Ashburner J, Penny WD, editors, *Human Brain Function*. *Academic Press, 2nd edition*, 2003.

(25) Janz KF, Dawson JD, Mahoney LT. Predicting heart growth during puberty: the Muscatine Study. *Pediatrics*. 2000; 105:63.

(26) Geelhoed JJM, Steegers EAP, van Osch-Gevers L, Verburg BO, Hofman A,Witteman JCM, van der Heijden AJ, Helbing WA, Jaddoe VWV. Cardiac structures trackduring the first 2 years of life and are associated with fetal growth and haemodynamics:The Generation R Study. *American Heart Journal*. 2009; 158:71-77.

(27) Loral BH, Carabello BA. Left ventricular hypertrophy: pathogenesis, detection, and prognosis. *Circulation*. 2000; 102:470-479.

(28) de Vries WB, van der Leij FR, Bakker JM, Kamphuis PJ, van Oosterhout MF, Schipper ME, Smid GB, Bartelds B, van Bel F. Alterations in adult rat heart after neonatal dexamethasone therapy. *Pediatric Research*. 2002; 52:900-906

(29) Paech C, Wolf N, Thome UH, Knüpfer M. Hypertrophic intraventricular flow obstruction after very-low-dose dexamethasone (Minidex) in preterm infants: case presentation and review of the literature. *Journal of perinatology*. 2014; 34:244-246

(30) Vimala J, Prabhu A, Pavithran S, Kumar RN. Hydrocortisone induced hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2011;150:e94-95

(31) Eiby YA, Lumbers ER, Staunton MP, Wright LL, Colditz PB, Wright IM, Lingwood BE. Endogenous angiotensins and catecholamines do not reduce skin blood flow or prevent hypotension in preterm piglets. *Physiological Reports*. 2014; 23: pii: e12245.

(32) Haruki N, Takeuchi M, Kaku K, Yoshitani H, Kuwaki H, Tamura M, Abe H,

Okazaki M, Tsutsumi A, Otsuji Y. Comparison of acute and chronic impact of adaptive servo-ventilation on left chamber geometry and function in patients with chronic heart failure. *European Journal of Heart Failure*. 2011; 13:1140-6.

(33) Mann DL, Bogaev R, Buckberg GD. Cardiac remodeling and myocardial recovery: lost in translation? *European Journal of Heart Failure*. 2010; 12:789-796.

(34) D'Armiento J. Matrix metalloproteinase disruption of the extracellular matrix and cardiac dysfunction. *Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2002; 12:97-101.

(35) Harjai KJ, Edupuganti R, Nunez E, Turgut T, Scott L, Pandian NG. Does Left Ventricular Shape Influence Clinical Outcome in Heart Failure? *Clinical Cardiology*.
2000; 23:813-819.

(36) Koilpillai C, Quinones MA, Greenberg B, Limacher MC, Shindler D, Pratt CM, Benedict CR, Kopelen H, Shelton B. Relation of ventricular size and function to heart failure status and ventricular dysrhythmia

in patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction. *American Journal of Cardiology*. 1996; 77:606-611.

(37) Groves AM, Durighel G, Finnemore A, Tusor N, Merchant N, Razavi R, Hajnal JV,Edwards AD. Disruption of intracardiac flow patterns in the newborn infant. PediatricResearch. 2012; 71:380-5.

(38) Cox DJ, Groves AM. Inotropes in preterm infants – evidence for and against. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2012; 101:17-23.

(39) Takahashi Y, Harada K, Kishkurno S, Arai H, Ishida A, Takada G. Postnatal left ventricular contractility in very low birth weight infants. *Pediatric Cardiology*.1997; 18:112-117.

(40) Bensley JG, Stacy VK, De Matteo R, Harding R, Black MJ. Cardiac remodeling as a result of pre-term: implications for future cardiovascular disease. *European Heart Journal*. 2010; 31:2058-2066.

(41) Bertagnolli M, Dios A, Béland-Bonenfant S, Gascon G, Sutherland M, Lukaszewski MA, Cloutier A, Paradis P, Schiffrin EL, Nuyt AM. Activation of the Cardiac Renin-Angiotensin System in High Oxygen-Exposed Newborn Rats: Angiotensin Receptor Blockade Prevents the Developmental Programming of Cardiac Dysfunction.

Hypertension. 2016; 67:774-782

(42) Miyawaki M, Okutani T, Higuchi R, Yoshikawa N. The plasma angiotensin II level increases in very low-birth weight infants with neonatal chronic lung disease. *Early Human Development*. 2008; 84:375-379

(43) Hamill N, Yeo L, Romero R, Hassan SS, Myers SA, Mittal P, Kusanovic JP,

Balasubramaniam M, Chaiworapongsa T, Vaisbuch E, Espinoza J, Gotsch F, Goncalves LF, Lee W. Fetal cardiac ventricular volume, cardiac output, and ejection fraction determined with 4-dimensional ultrasound using spatiotemporal image correlation and virtual organ computer-aided analysis. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*,

2011: 76:e1-10.

(44) Schulz-Menger J, Bluemke DA, Bremerich J, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG, Kim RJ, von Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F, Kramer CM, Pennell DJ, Plein S, Nagel E. Standardized image interpretation and post processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) board of trustees task force on standardized post processing. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 2013;15:35.s

#### **Figure legends**

Figure 1 – Graphs showing ventricular volumetrics for preterm cohorts indexed for weight; P-values compare preterm cohorts with term controls. Boxplots represent median and interquartile ranges for each cohort

Figure 2 – Graphic showing left ventricular myocardial atlases for the different gestational age cohorts at baseline and at term-corrected age. Point myocardial wall thickness in millimetres demonstrated by colour

Figure 3 – Graphic showing right ventricular myocardial atlases for the different gestational age cohorts at baseline and at term-corrected age. Point myocardial wall thickness in millimetres demonstrated by colour

Figure 4 – Principal component analysis (PCA) defined main 2 modes of shape variation in neonatal left and right ventricles at term corrected age. Red ellipse encompasses at term controls for the left ventricle (top graph), and most term controls for the right ventricle (lower graph); blue shapes encompass all preterm-born left ventricles at termcorrected age (top graph), and the majority of preterm-born right ventricular geometries (lower graph)

Figure 5 – Graphic contrasting in-utero and ex-utero left ventricular myocardial wall thickness change to up term-corrected age; non-significant change (FDR > 0.05) depicted

by yellow colour, all other colours indicate significant differences (FDR < 0.05) between cohorts at term-corrected age

Figure 6 – Fetal and neonatal factors associated with alterations in left ventricular

myocardial wall thickness in preterms at term-corrected age compared to term controls;

areas of increased myocardial wall thickness meeting statistical-significance at FDR <

manuscrip 0.05 shown by non-yellow colours on ventricular models

Tables

Table 1 -	Patient characteristics of neonates	contributing to the	<b>CMR</b> computational
atlacas			

allases				
Variable	Preterm subjects at baseline	Preterm subjects at term corrected age	Term controls	
Number of	24	20	10	
subjects	54	29	10	
GA birth	33 + 2 (24 + 2 - 36	31 + 5 (25 + 1 - 36	39 + 5 (37 + 1 - 41	
	+ 4) †	+ 4) †	+ 6)	
Corrected GA scan	33 + 6 (25 + 1 - 37	41 + 3 (39 + 5 - 42	40 + 1(37 + 3 - 42)	
	+ 1) †	+ 0) †	+ 3)	
	+			
Day of scan	5.1 (3 – 7) †‡	69.3 (33 – 136) †	3.5 (2 - 7)	
Weight at scan	1.85 (0.71 – 2.94) †‡	3.21 (2.28 - 4.44)	3.48 (2.84 - 3.92)	
(kg)				
BSA at scan (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.15 (0.08 - 0.21) †‡	0.22 (0.18 – 0.27)	0.23 (0.20 - 0.25)	
Gender (M:F ratio)	1.83:1	1.64:1	1:1	
IUGR	7 †	5 †	0	
Antenatal	23 †	25 †	1	
glucocorticoids				

Diagnosed PDA	4 †	5 †	0
Respiratory support > 48hours	10 †	9 †	0

Values given represent either absolute values or mean values with ranges in parentheses.  $\dagger$  denotes preterm cohort parameters with difference of P<0.05 from the 'Term control' cohort

 $\ddagger$  denotes parameters in the 'Preterm subject at baseline' cohort with difference of P<0.05 from the 'Preterm subjects at term corrected age' cohort



Table 2 – Weight-indexed ventricular mass,	end-diastolic volume,	and growth
values for the different preterm cohorts		

hort	LV mass (g/kg)	LV end-diastolic volume (mls/kg)	Growth control o	n vs Term cohort (%)	RV mass (g/kg)	RV end-diastolic volume (mls/kg)	Growth vs control coh
	Baseline (<7d)		LVm	LVEDV	Baseline (<7d)		RVm
weeks	1.41	2.81	-29.8	-17.4	1.63	2.36	-41.1
2 weeks	1.16	2.72	-14.7	-14.7	1.01	3.07	-5.0
5 weeks	1.13	2.86	-12.4	-18.9	0.99	3.10	-3.0
7 weeks	1.09	2.78	-9.2	-16.5	1.00	3.04	-4.0
	Term corrected	C	LVm	LVEDV	Term corrected		RVm
weeks	1.64	3.49	16.3	24.2	1.18	3.27	-27.6
2 weeks	1.52	3.59	31.0	32.0	1.11	3.41	9.9
5 weeks	1.35	3.36	19.5	17.5	1.04	3.12	5.1
7 weeks	1.25	3.17	14.7	14.0	0.92	2.94	8.0
ontrols	0.99	2.32	-	-	0.96	2.79	-

Mean values for weight-indexed measurements











29 - 32 wk preterm cohort vs term controls



32 - 35 wk preterm cohort vs term controls



35 - 37 wk preterm cohort vs term controls







29-32 week difference in wall thickness 32-35 week difference in wall thickness 35-37 week difference in wall thickness





