



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1177/2050640618821800](https://doi.org/10.1177/2050640618821800)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Everson, M., Garcia-Peraza-Herrera, L. C., Li, W., Luengo, I. M., Ahmad, O., Banks, M., Magee, C., Alzoubaidi, D., Hsu, H., Graham, D., Vercauteren, T., Lovat, L. B., Ourselin, S., Kashin, S., Wang, H., Wang, W., & Haidry, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence for the real-time classification of intrapapillary capillary loop patterns in the endoscopic diagnosis of early oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: A proof-of-concept study. *United European Gastroenterology Journal*, 7(2), 297-306. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2050640618821800>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1
2
3
4 Artificial intelligence for the real time classification of
5 intrapapillary capillary loop patterns in the endoscopic
6 diagnosis of early oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a
7 proof of concept study.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **M Everson^{1,2} LCGP Herrera³, W Li³, I Muntion Luengo³, O Ahmad^{1,3}, M Banks^{1,2}, C**
17 **Magee^{1,2}, D Alzoubaidi^{1,2}, HM Hsu⁵, D Graham^{1,2}, T Vercauteren³, L Lovat^{1,2,3}, S**
18 **Ourselin³, S Kashin⁴, Hsiu-Po Wang⁵, *Wen-Lun Wang⁶, *RJ Haidry^{1,2}**
19

20
21 ¹Division of Surgery & Interventional Science, University College London; ²Department of Gastroenterology, University College Hospital
22 NHS Foundation Trust, London; ³ Wellcome/EPSRC Centre for Interventional and Surgical Sciences (WEISS), UCL, London, UK;
23 ⁴Yaroslavl Regional Cancer Hospital, Yaroslavl, Russia; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁶
24 Department of Internal Medicine, E-Da Hospital/I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

25 **Conflicts of interest:** RJH has received research grant support from Pentax Medical, Cook Endoscopy, Fractyl Ltd, Beamline Ltd and
26 Covidien plc to support research infrastructure. No other conflicts of interest

27 **All correspondence to:** *Joint senior authors

28 Dr Rehan Haidry, Consultant Gastroenterologist, Director of Endoscopy, 235 Euston Road, London, United Kingdom, NW1 2BU
29 Email: r.haidry@ucl.ac.uk

30
31 Wen-Lun Wang, MD, PhD. Department of Internal Medicine, E-Da Hospital/I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan No. 1, Yida Road,
32 E-mail: warrengodr@gmail.com

33
34 **ME, LCGPH, HPW, WLW, RJH** - study concept and design; acquisition of data; analysis and
35 interpretation of data; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important
36 intellectual content; statistical analysis; obtained funding; administrative, technical, or material
37 support; study supervision

38 **WL, IML, TV, SO** - analysis and interpretation of data; statistical analysis

39
40 **OA, MB, CM, DA, HMH, DG, LL, SO, SK** - critical revision of the manuscript for important
41 intellectual content; material support
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Background

Intrapapillary capillary loops (IPCLs) represent an endoscopically visible feature of early squamous cell neoplasia (ESCN), which correlate with invasion depth – an important factor in the success of curative endoscopic therapy. IPCLs visualised on ME with narrow band imaging (ME-NBI) can be used to train convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to detect the presence and classify staging of ESCN lesions.

Methods

7046 sequential HD ME-NBI images from 17 patients (10 ESCN, 7 normal) were used to train a CNN. IPCL patterns were classified by three expert endoscopists according to the Japanese Endoscopic Society (JES) classification. Normal IPCLs were defined as type A, abnormal as B1-3. Matched histology was obtained for all imaged areas.

Results

This CNN differentiates abnormal from normal IPCL patterns with 93.7% accuracy (86.2-98.3%), sensitivity and specificity for classifying abnormal IPCL patterns of 89.3% (78.1-100%) and 98% (92-99.7%) respectively. Our CNN operates in real time with diagnostic prediction times between 26.17-37.48ms.

Conclusion

Our novel and proof of concept application of computer-aided endoscopic diagnosis (CAED) shows that a CNN can accurately classify IPCL patterns as normal or abnormal. This system could be used as an in-vivo, real-time clinical decision support tool for endoscopists assessing and directing local therapy of ESCN.

Established knowledge on the subject

- The JES classification provides a clinically useful and simplified system by which to predict histology in early squamous lesions based on IPCL patterns
- Artificial intelligence may have a role in improving clinicians endoscopic recognition of IPCL patterns – no previous study has yet been reported in the literature

Significant new findings in this study

- We introduce a novel artificial intelligence (AI) system capable of classifying IPCL patterns as neoplastic or non-neoplastic in real-time, using ME-NBI images acquired during endoscopy.
- Our system demonstrates an impressive accuracy and could potentially assist clinicians in the recognition of neoplastic tissue, as well as providing a clinical decision support tool to guide endoscopists considering whether lesions are amenable to endoscopic resection.
- Our system provides clinically interpretable images of which IPCLs are abnormal to assist clinicians delineating lesions
- Our system provides a performance benchmark for the assessment of other AI systems designed for this purpose in the future.

Introduction

Oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma is the eighth most common cause of cancer worldwide and the sixth most common cause of cancer deaths¹, with the highest incidence across a 'cancer belt' extending from East Africa, the Middle East to China and Japan¹⁻³. Gastroscopy remains the investigation of choice for the diagnosis of early squamous cell neoplasia (ESCN). The endoscopic features of these early lesions are subtle and easily missed during endoscopy - studies show a significant miss rate for UGI cancers on endoscopies undertaken within three years of diagnosis⁴. Early detection and accurate characterisation of ESCN lesions is vital, in order to predict histology and guide intervention. Lesions confined to the mucosa have low rates of local lymph node metastasis (<2%) compared to lesions invading the submucosa (8-45.9%) and so are amenable to endoscopic therapy^{5,6,7,8}. Endoscopic therapy, through endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) or submucosal dissection (ESD) is associated with excellent rates of 5 year survival⁹ and spares patients the attendant morbidity and mortality of oesophagectomy after a late diagnosis^{10,11}.

Intrapapillary capillary loops (IPCLs) are microvessels that were first characterised using magnification endoscopy^{12,13}. They are now an established marker of ESCN and changes in their morphology correlate with the invasion depth of ESCN^{14,15}. Normal IPCLs arise from the submucosal vessels, run adjacent to basal layer of the oesophageal epithelium and are seen as fine calibre looped structures on magnified endoscopy. As an ESCN progresses there is stepwise destruction of the oesophageal wall architecture, which manifests as morphologic changes in the ICPL pattern. Initially IPCLs become more tortuous and dilated. As the ESCN progresses and interrupts the mucosal layer, the IPCLs lose their looped structure and form linear dilated vessels. Avascular areas form between these non-looped vessels which also correspond to invasion depths deep to the mucosal layer. As the ESCN invades the deeper submucosal layer, the IPCLs are almost completely obliterated. In their place neovascularisation occurs with the formation of tortuous, grossly dilated and non-looped vessels¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

1
2
3 Advanced endoscopic imaging modalities, such as narrow band imaging (NBI) in combination
4 with magnification endoscopy, afford improved visualisation of subtle microvascular patterns
5 in the oesophageal mucosa of patients with ESCN¹⁹. Several classifications have been proposed
6 to define the abnormal ICPL morphologies that correlate with histological invasion depth of
7 ESCN lesions. Classifications described by Inoue et al^{12,13}. and Arima et al.²⁰; associate
8 progressive morphological abnormalities in IPCLs with deeper invasion of the ESCN¹⁴. These
9 classifications are complex and require a high degree of interpretation by endoscopists – as
10 such their utility in a clinical setting is debatable.

11
12
13
14
15 The recently published Japanese Endoscopic Society (JES) IPCL classification is a comparably
16 simplified system, allowing easy recognition of ESCN by endoscopists^{21,15}. The JES
17 classification has become widely accepted in areas of high prevalence such as China and Japan.
18 Importantly each ICPL subgroup corresponds with high accuracy to a given histological grade
19 and invasion depth of ESCN – with increasing irregularity of the IPCL patterns representing
20 more advanced, invasive disease¹⁵ (figure 1a and 1b). The accuracy of the JES classification
21 as reported by Oyama et al is high compared to other classifications – with the overall accuracy
22 for histology prediction 90.5% across type B1-3. Overall accuracies for histology prediction
23 were 91.9%, 93.4% and 95.9% for type B1, B2 and B3 IPCL patterns respectively¹⁵. Kim et al
24 also report excellent interobserver agreement using the JES classification²².

25
26
27
28
29 Computer-aided endoscopic diagnosis (CAED) with AI could provide a useful adjunct to
30 endoscopists assessing ESCN lesions; a system capable of recognising and highlighting the
31 presence of ESCN could improve detection rates. CAED using convolutional neural networks
32 (CNNs) has shown great potential in a range of medical specialties, but its use in the recognition
33 of endoscopically detected neoplasia is in its infancy²³. We propose that the distinct patterns
34 observed in IPCL morphology could provide the input data to train a CNN to classify such
35 microvasculature patterns as normal or abnormal. Such a system could improve and automate
36 the characterisation and prediction of ESCN invasion depth, which would be particularly useful
37 in settings where endoscopists are unfamiliar with the endoscopic appearance of early
38 squamous lesions. Furthermore, a downstream application of such a validated system, trained
39 to differentiate IPCL subtypes has a role as a support tool for endoscopists to triage lesions as
40 either amenable or unamenable to EET – based on the predicted invasion depth deduced from
41 the IPCL patterns, reducing procedure time and preventing endoscopic resection in patients for
42 whom it would be either inappropriate or futile.

43 **Study aims:**

- 44 1. To develop a novel AI system that can classify IPCL patterns as normal or abnormal in
45 **endoscopically resectable lesions** (<SM1 invasion) in real time on videos acquired
46 during magnification endoscopy
- 47 2. To develop a methodology that can be used to develop further AI systems, for use in
48 vivo, that accurately predicts the grade of a lesions histology and invasion depth based
49 on IPCL patterns

Methods

Patient recruitment, inclusion/exclusion criteria

Patients attending two ESCN referral centres in Taiwan (National Taiwan University Hospital and E-Da Hospital) were recruited with consent. Patients were only included if pathological samples (EMR, ESD, oesophagectomy specimens) were acquired at the time of their endoscopy or subsequent surgery. Patients with oesophageal ulceration were excluded. Our study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Institutional Review Board of E-Da Hospital approved this study (IRB number: EMRP-097-022. July 2017).

Endoscopic procedures and video acquisition

Gastrosopies were performed by two expert endoscopists (WLW, HPW), both performing >50 ESCN assessments per year. Endoscopies were performed using a HD ME-NBI GIF-H260Z endoscope, with Olympus Lucera CV-290 processor (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The oesophageal mucosa was cleaned with a Simethicone solution prior to the interrogation of the IPCL patterns using magnification endoscopy with narrow band imaging (ME-NBI). Magnification endoscopy was performed on areas of interest at 80-100x magnification. After assessment of the lesion, pathological samples of the imaged area were acquired through EMR or ESD. Histopathological analysis was undertaken by two expert gastrointestinal pathologists and reported according to the Vienna classification system²⁴.

Labelling ME-NBI videos and establishing an expert consensus

HD endoscopic videos were reviewed independently by three expert upper gastrointestinal endoscopists with extensive experience in the endoscopic assessment of ESCN lesions (WLW, RJH and HPW). The visualised IPCL patterns were classified by consensus based on the JES classification system. Classifications were then correlated with histology from the imaged area before a final classification was assigned to each image. Type A IPCLs were considered normal, type B1, 2 and 3 IPCLs were considered abnormal. Videos were sampled at 30fps to generate sequential still images which were stored as the lossless .png format.

Rationale for selecting images as a data input

An AI system for use in-vivo needs to be able to classify IPCL patterns at video rate. A video is simply a rapid sequence of images and can be broken down into individual frames. Individual frames provide more data to train the CNN and is acceptable as a data input for training AI networks for video classification.

Image quality control

Images were manually quality controlled by a senior clinician study member (ME); those that were blurred, or if there was obscuration of the mucosa by blood or mucus were removed from both the training and testing datasets. The black borders surrounding the endoscopic image were also removed

Formation of image datasets

The full dataset consisted of 7046 images (8193 excluded), with resolutions ranging from 458x308 to 696x308 pixels. We employed 5-fold cross validation to generate five distinct datasets with different combinations of images (figure 2a). 5-fold validation serves two purposes; first, to maximise the number of images that can be used for training, validation and testing. For any given fold, images of patients used within the training dataset were not used in the validation or testing dataset (summarised in table 1 and 2). Secondly each fold generates a distinct CNN, by developing multiple networks simultaneously, one can interrogate that the designed network architecture performs well across a range of patients and is not simply performing by 'fluke' on one particular combination of patients images.

Convolutional neural network design

A full description of our technical methodology has previously been described by our group²⁵ (figure 2b). Quality controlled ME-NBI images of ESCNs were used as the input data. Each image was labelled as representative of a specific IPCL subtype. In training, input images at various magnifications are passed through layers of the CNN. Images pass through 5 CNN layers, with a fixed learning rate of 1^{-6} , momentum of 0.9 and a batch size of 1. Stochastic Gradient Descent was the optimiser. All folds were trained with a maximum iteration of 4x the number of images in the training set. Explicit class activation maps (eCAMs) are generated to depict visually areas of each image that the CNN finds most discriminative when classifying them as normal or abnormal. Images were not resized but global average pooling was employed after the decoder and prior to classification/ In a final output layer the CNN classifies the IPCL pattern as normal or abnormal based on a 1x1 convolution. If the prediction is correct compared to the clinician labelled input image, filters are conserved. Once training is complete, new, unseen images are inputted into the CNN and its classification performance is assessed.

Statistical analysis

Accuracy, F1 scores (a weighted average of precision and sensitivity), sensitivity and specificity for abnormal IPCL classification were calculated. Power calculations were not

1
2
3 deemed necessary for this study since it is entirely novel and a proof of concept work. We are
4 attempting only to benchmark the currently achievable diagnostic performance of AI systems
5 in the classification of IPCL patterns.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Results**

24 *Patient characteristics*

25
26 17 patients were included in our dataset; 10 with ESCN and 7 with a normal squamous
27 oesophagus. All patients were deemed to have endoscopically resectable lesions, a summary
28 of the histological invasion depth given in table 3.
29
30
31

32 *CNN performance for IPCL classification*

33 Our CNN operates at video rate, capable of classifying sequential HD-images acquired from
34 endoscopic videos. The classification interval time varied according to the size of the images
35 analysed, but ranged from 26.17ms to 37.48ms. The CNN demonstrated a mean accuracy for
36 the differentiation of abnormal IPCL patterns (B1/B2/B3) from normal type A patterns of
37 93.3% (range 86.2-98.3%). The average F1 score (a weighted average of precision and
38 sensitivity) for identifying ESCN based on the IPCL pattern was similarly high at 92.7% (range
39 85.4-98.2%). Our algorithm achieved a sensitivity and specificity for abnormal IPCL
40 classification of 89.7% (range 78.1-100%) and 96.9% (range 92-99.7%) respectively. There
41 was some variability in performance statistics between folds, which likely represents that the
42 CNN is still not adept at distinguishing all of the varied IPCL features that represent abnormal
43 tissue (Type B1/2/3). While our dataset is the largest in the published literature it is highly
44 likely that more images are required to further train the network to recognise the full spectrum
45 of variability in IPCL patterns. Our CNN performance statistics are summarised in table 4.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 *Analysis of explicit class activation maps (eCAMs) provides a clinically interpretable neural 56 network output*

57
58 The distribution of abnormal IPCL patterns are heterogeneous between images, eCAMs were
59 therefore generated to elucidate which visual features within the images the CNN was using to
60

1
2
3 discriminate healthy and unhealthy tissue. They also provide a clinically interpretable network
4 output. This served as a further validation step to ensure that the CNN was using the IPCL
5 patterns in order to classify images as normal or abnormal, rather than other subtle
6 discriminative features. Analysis of the eCAMs patterns (figure 3), offers several interesting
7 insights into the decision-making process of our CNN. Firstly, as expected, our CNN appears
8 to use the IPCL patterns as its most discriminative feature. Secondly it is capable of recognising
9 discrete areas of abnormal IPCL patterns within otherwise normal mucosa and ignores other
10 uninformative features such as specular reflections. Interestingly, the CNN did not use
11 avascular areas between the grossly abnormal B2 IPCLs seen in figure, but remained able to
12 discriminate the abnormal vessels highly selectively. We also note that within some images of
13 healthy tissue the CNN has a tendency to classify the deep submucosal vessels as abnormal –
14 which may potentially lead to classifications of normal tissue as abnormal.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Discussion

24 We introduce the first application of CAED and AI for the accurate, video rate characterisation
25 of ESCN through the classification of intrapapillary capillary loops seen during magnification
26 endoscopy based on the JES system.
27
28

29 Accurate assessment and characterisation of ESCN lesions is vital in order to predict histology
30 and invasion depth to guide appropriate intervention. Lesions confined to the mucosa have low
31 rates of local lymph node metastasis (<2%) and so are amenable to endoscopic therapy^{5,6,7,8},
32 with reported 5 year survivals of 75-100% for mucosal ESCN⁹.
33
34
35

36 Several classification systems exist to assist clinicians with the characterisation of IPCL
37 patterns. Inoue et al (2001) were the first to propose a five-part classification of IPCL patterns²⁶.
38 Type I-III IPCL patterns were associated with normal mucosa, inflammation or LGIN. Type
39 IV IPCLs were suggestive of HGD. Type V IPCLs were subdivided into V₁ (M1 carcinoma in
40 situ), V₂ (M2 carcinoma in situ), V₃ (M3 or early SM1 invasion) and V₄ (invasion to at least
41 SM2) based on the progression of abnormal IPCL morphology²⁶. In one study, the Inoue
42 classification enabled identification of early mucosal lesions (M1-2) with 89.5% sensitivity¹⁴.
43 The Inoue classification sensitivity for prediction of ESCN invasion into SM1 and SM2 was
44 much lower at 58.7% and 55.8% respectively¹⁴. Arima et al (2005) proposed an alternative
45 four-part classification system with type 1, 2, 3 and 4 IPCLs representing normal mucosa,
46 inflammatory changes, M1-2 carcinoma in situ and >M3 invasion respectively²⁰.
47
48
49
50
51

52 We elected to use the JES IPCL classification of ESCN as the input and output for our CNN
53 as it is the most contemporary and accurate system and is widely used in areas of high ESCN
54 prevalence. We believe that a CNN capable of using the JES classification will remain
55 clinically relevant as it becomes more widely used. We suggest that the classifications proposed
56 by Inoue²⁶ and Arima²⁰ are complex and may not be as intuitive to use.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Our study, the first reported use of CNNs for this purpose, uses sequential still images captured
4 from HD videos of endoscopic examinations to train a CNN to characterise ESCN lesions
5 based on the IPCL patterns visualised at endoscopic assessment. This CAED platform achieved
6 an accuracy of 93.7% for the characterisation of abnormal IPCL patterns. The sensitivity and
7 specificity of our CNN compares favourably to other published work at 89.7% and 96.9%
8 respectively. Our CNN operates at video rate, but it should be noted that more work is required
9 for this to be put to clinical use; including training a CNN to remove uninformative frames
10 without the need for manual selection.
11
12
13
14

15 We envisage that our CNN could assist endoscopists in the recognition of abnormal IPCL
16 patterns in patients undergoing assessment for suspected ESCN and consideration of
17 endoscopic treatment. Such a system could shorten the time required for endoscopic
18 evaluation, reduce interobserver variability and importantly inform in-vivo clinical decision
19 making on what lesions require or are amenable to endoscopic resection. Wang et al (2017)
20 demonstrate that in a non-expert panel of endoscopists accuracy of histology prediction using
21 the JES classification ranged from 48-57% after a short training program²⁷. Kim et al (2017)
22 also demonstrated an overall accuracy of 78.6% for the correct prediction of histology²². Our
23 CNNs overall accuracy of 93.7% therefore compares very favourably to this. We would
24 therefore expect that our system would most benefit for non-expert endoscopists or centres
25 where the endoscopic assessment of ESCN is less common and so clinicians may feel less
26 confident in recognising and classifying IPCL patterns.
27
28
29
30
31

32 Our study has several limitations, all of which we argue are to be expected in a feasibility study,
33 but have nonetheless attempted to mitigate. Firstly, our patient sample size is small, using 7046
34 images generated from 17 patients. However, this is currently the largest image dataset used to
35 train a CNN for this specific application reported in the literature. To mitigate this, we utilised
36 5-fold cross validation, as outlined in table 4, in order to benchmark the ability of the CNN to
37 recognise a range of IPCL patterns under different operating conditions. This method ensures
38 that our network was trained and tested on all available images, whilst ensuring that no images
39 were used for both training and testing. Our novel use of eCAMs also acts as an additional
40 form of validation; we have demonstrated that the ICPL patterns are the visual features the
41 network finds discriminative when classifying images. We therefore expect that with greater
42 patient numbers we should continue to see such high accuracies for IPCL characterisation.
43
44
45
46
47

48 Secondly, our system accurately differentiates normal (type A) compared to abnormal (type
49 B1-3) IPCL patterns, but is not yet able to differentiate individual subtypes with sufficient
50 accuracy for clinical use. This is comparable to other studies using the Inoue and Arima
51 classifications which reported less accurate characterisation of >SM1 lesions based on IPCL
52 patterns. We have only included images of type A, B1 and B2 IPCLs as an input to our CNN
53 for this study, since we currently seek to develop a system that highlights abnormal areas of
54 potentially resectable lesions to clinicians in the first instance.
55
56
57
58

59 Lastly, our gold standard used three expert clinicians. We feel that for a pilot study this is
60 sufficient to provide accurate ground truth to develop a CNN, particularly since the consensus

1
2
3 classifications were correlated with histological results. We recognise that future work is
4 needed to validate this CNNs performance against a larger panel of clinicians of varying
5 experience before it could be used in a clinical environment. It is important to note that
6 published work on the JES classification reports interobserver variability of between 0.61²⁷
7 and 0.86²². A CNN developed to classify IPCL patterns should therefore only be expected to
8 obtain this level of variability during external validation. An automated method of removing
9 uninformative frames may also reduce the selection bias introduced by a clinician removing
10 uninformative frames.
11
12
13
14

15 Further work currently underway aims to produce a CNN capable of more precise classification
16 based on the individual JES subgroups. Such a validated system would also improve the
17 characterisation of ESCN and could allow clinicians to triage, in real—time, lesions that would
18 be amenable to EET, compared to lesions which would be too advanced to be cured
19 endoscopically. This would prevent patients with advanced disease undergoing unnecessary
20 endoscopic therapy, while ensuring that they are referred promptly for surgical management.
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Word count 2997 – excluding abstracts, figures, references
28
29
30
31
32

33 Acknowledgments

34 This work was supported by Wellcome Trust [WT101957, 203145Z/16/Z], EPSRC
35 [NS/A000027/1, NS/A000050/1], NIHR BRC UCLH/UCL High Impact Initiative and a UCL
36 EPSRC CDT Scholarship Award [EP/L016478/1]. The authors would like to thank NVIDIA
37 Corporation for the donated GeForce GTX TITAN X GPU.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 References


- 52
53
54 1. Zhang, Y. Epidemiology of esophageal cancer. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **19**,
55 5598–5606 (2013).
56
57
- 58 2. Eslick, G. D. Epidemiology of Esophageal Cancer. *Gastroenterol. Clin. North*
59
60





- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Am.* **38**, 17–25 (2009).
3. Taylor, P. R., Abnet, C. C. & Dawsey, S. M. Squamous dysplasia – the precursor lesion for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **22**, 540–552 (2013).
 4. Menon, S. & Trudgill, N. How commonly is upper gastrointestinal cancer missed at endoscopy? A meta-analysis. *Endosc. Int. Open* **2**, E46–E50 (2014).
 5. Cho, J. W. *et al.* Lymph Node Metastases in Esophageal Carcinoma: An Endoscopist’s View. *Clin. Endosc.* **47**, 523–529 (2014).
 6. Shimada, H. *et al.* Impact of the Number and Extent of Positive Lymph Nodes in 200 Patients with Thoracic Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma after Three-field Lymph Node Dissection. *World J. Surg.* **30**, 1441–1449 (2006).
 7. Sgourakis, G. *et al.* Detection of lymph node metastases in esophageal cancer. *Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther.* **11**, 601–612 (2011).
 8. Kodama, M. & Takegawa, T. Treatment of superficial cancer of the esophagus: a summary of responses to a questionnaire on superficial cancer of the esophagus in Japan. *Surgery* **123**, 432–439 (1998).
 9. Shimizu, Y. *et al.* Long-term outcome after endoscopic mucosal resection in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma invading the muscularis mucosae or deeper. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **56**, 387–390 (2018).
 10. Inoue, H., Minami, H., Kaga, M., Sato, Y. & Kudo, S. Endoscopic Mucosal Resection and Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Esophageal Dysplasia and Carcinoma. *Gastrointest. Endosc. Clin. N. Am.* **20**, 25–34 (2010).
 11. Oyama, T. *et al.* Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection of Early Esophageal Cancer. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **3**, S67–S70 (2018).
 12. INOUE, H. *et al.* Ultra-high magnification endoscopy of the normal esophageal mucosa. *Dig. Endosc.* **8**, 134–138 (1996).
 13. INOUE, H. *et al.* Ultra-high magnification endoscopic observation of carcinoma

- 1
2
3
4 in situ of the esophagus. *Dig. Endosc.* **9**, 16–18 (1997).
5
6
7 14. Sato, H. *et al.* Utility of intrapapillary capillary loops seen on magnifying
8 narrow-band imaging in estimating invasive depth of esophageal squamous
9 cell carcinoma. *Endoscopy* **47**, 122–128 (2015).
10
11
12 15. Oyama, T. *et al.* Prediction of the invasion depth of superficial squamous cell
13 carcinoma based on microvessel morphology: magnifying endoscopic
14 classification of the Japan Esophageal Society. *Esophagus* **14**, 105–112
15 (2017).
16
17
18 16. Kaga, M., Inoue, H., Kudo, S.-E. & Hamatani, S. Microvascular architecture of
19 early esophageal neoplasia. *Oncol. Rep.* **26**, 1063–1067 (2011).
20
21
22 17. Inoue, H. *et al.* Magnification endoscopy in esophageal squamous cell
23 carcinoma: a review of the intrapapillary capillary loop classification. *Ann.*
24 *Gastroenterol. Q. Publ. Hell. Soc. Gastroenterol.* **28**, 41–48 (2015).
25
26
27 18. Kumagai, Y., Toi, M., Kawada, K. & Kawano, T. Angiogenesis in superficial
28 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: magnifying endoscopic observation and
29 molecular analysis. *Dig. Endosc.* **22**, 259–267 (2010).
30
31
32 19. Gono, K. *et al.* Appearance of enhanced tissue features in narrow-band
33 endoscopic imaging. *J. Biomed. Opt.* **9**, 568–577 (2004).
34
35
36 20. Arima, M., Tada, M. & Arima, H. Evaluation of microvascular patterns of
37 superficial esophageal cancers by magnifying endoscopy. *Esophagus* **2**, 191–
38 197 (2005).
39
40
41 21. Oyama, T. A new classification of magnified endoscopy for superficial
42 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Esophagus* **8**, 247–251 (2011).
43
44
45 22. Kim, S. J. *et al.* New magnifying endoscopic classification for superficial
46 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* **23**,
47 4416–4421 (Baishideng Publishing Group Inc, 2017).
48
49
50 23. Zhang, C. *et al.* Tu1217 The Use of Convolutional Neural Artificial Intelligence
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 Network to Aid the Diagnosis and Classification of Early Esophageal
5 Neoplasia. A Feasibility Study. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **85**, AB587-AB588
6 (2018).
7
8
9
10 24. Schlemper, R. J. *et al.* The Vienna classification of gastrointestinal epithelial
11 neoplasia. *Gut* **47**, 251–255 (2000).
12
13
14 25. Garcia-Peraza-Herrera, L. *et al.* Interpretable Fully Convolutional Classification
15 of Intrapapillary Capillary Loops for Real-Time Detection of Early Squamous
16 Neoplasia. *Arxiv* (2018). doi:arXiv:1805.00632
17
18
19
20 26. Inoue, H. Magnification endoscopy in the esophagus and stomach. *Dig.*
21 *Endosc.* **13**, (2001).
22
23
24
25 27. Wang, W.-L. *et al.* A training program of a new simplified classification of
26 magnified narrow band imaging for superficial esophageal squamous cell
27 carcinoma. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* n/a-n/a doi:10.1111/jgh.14071
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




JES type		Typical morphology	Typical histology
A		Small, non-dilated, fine calibre looped vessels with no gross abnormality. Some vessels may take a more elongated form with inflammation or LGIN. Submucosal vessels may be visible with the background mucosa of a uniform colour	Normal/LGIN
B1		Subtle abnormalities in IPCLs observed. Increased tortuosity, increased vessel calibre and density but looped structure is retained. There may be a brown hue to the mucosa	HGIN/LP
B2		Grossly abnormal IPCLs. Linear vessel formation with loss of the normal loop structure. There is also gross dilation and tortuosity of vessels. Formation of avascular areas between vessels observed	MM/SM1
B3		Dilated non-looping vessels typically 3x calibre of B2 vessels seen. IPCLs are tortuous and highly irregular in appearance. Evidence of extensive neovascularisation and avascular areas seen. Mucosal contour may be distorted. Other adjacent IPCLs are typically abnormal	SM2 or deeper


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

IPCL classification	Neoplastic	Typical histology	Amenable to EET?
A	No	Normal/LGD	Yes
B1	Yes	HGD/LP	Yes
B2	Yes	MM/SM1	Possibly
B3	Yes	SM2 or deeper	No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



1
2
3 **Figure 1a:** Representative examples of lesions containing each of the JES IPCL subtypes, with a description of
4 key features and the associated histological invasion depth which correlates with each type. LGIN – low grade
5 intraepithelial neoplasia, HGIN – high grade intraepithelial neoplasia, LP – lamina propria, MM – muscularis
6 mucosa, SM – submucosa
7
8
9

10 **Figure 1b:** Summary of the Japanese Endoscopic Society magnification endoscopy IPCL classification system;
11 typical invasion depths of ESCN compared with the observed IPCL patterns. LGIN – low grade intraepithelial
12 neoplasia. HGIN – high grade intraepithelial neoplasia. LP – lamina propria. MM – muscularis mucosa. SM -
13 submucosa
14
15
16

17 **Figure 2a:** Representative example of how 5 fold cross validation was applied to the datasets used in of our
18 study
19
20

21 **Figure 2b:** Schematic representation of our study workflow and CNN design
22
23
24

25 **Figure 3:** Input images (left column) with corresponding eCAMs (right column), illustrating visual features
26 recognised by the CNN when classifying images. a) recognition of abnormal IPCLs patterns. b) specular
27 reflections are ignored by the CNN c) high selectivity between normal mucosa and abnormal IPCLs.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fold	Training	Validation	Testing
1	2620	201	577
2	1792	891	715
3	1822	685	891
4	1792	715	891
5	1559	685	1154
Average	1917	635	646

Table 1: Number of frames in each fold used for cross validation containing normal IPCL patterns

Fold	Training	Validation	Testing
1	2803	258	587
2	2205	739	704
3	1549	1360	739
4	1912	961	775
5	1754	743	1151
Average	2045	812	791

Table 2: Number of frames in each fold used for cross validation containing abnormal IPCL patterns

Patient demographic		
ESCN characteristics		
IPCL patterns	Type A	7
	Type B1	5
	Type B2	5
Histology	Normal	7
	HGIN (M1)	1
	Lamina propria (M2)	4
	Muscularis mucosa (M3)	4
	Submucosa (SM1)	1

Table 3: Summary of demographics and lesion information for patients recruited

Fold	Accuracy (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	F1 score (%)
1	86.2	80.4	92	85.4
2	89.0	78.1	99.7	87.6
3	97.7	100	95.9	97.6
4	98.3	99.4	97.3	98.2
5	95.1	90.6	99.6	94.9
Average	93.3	89.7	96.9	92.7

Table 4: Summary of CNN performance statistics for detection of abnormal IPCL patterns