



# **King's Research Portal**

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.044046

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Helfer, T., Lim, E. A., Garcia, M. A. G., & Amin, M. A. (2019). Gravitational Wave Emission from Collisions of Compact Scalar Solitons. *Physical Review D (Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology)*, *99*(4), 1-8. Article 044046. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.044046

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Gravitational wave emission from collisions of compact scalar solitons

Thomas Helfer,<sup>1,\*</sup> Eugene A. Lim,<sup>1,†</sup> Marcos A. G. Garcia,<sup>2,‡</sup> and Mustafa A. Amin<sup>2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology Group, Physics Department, Kings College London,

Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005-1827, USA

(Received 28 February 2018; published 26 February 2019)

We numerically investigate the gravitational waves generated by the head-on collision of equal-mass, self-gravitating, real scalar field solitons (oscillatons) as a function of their compactness C. We start with solitons that are initially at rest with respect to each other and show that there exist three different possible outcomes resulting from their collisions: (1) an excited stable oscillaton for low C, (2) a merger and formation of a black hole for intermediate C, and (3) a premerger collapse of both oscillatons into individual black holes for large C. For (1), the excited, aspherical oscillaton continues to emit gravitational waves. For (2), the total energy in gravitational waves emitted increases with compactness and possesses a maximum which is greater than that from the merger of a pair of equivalent mass black holes. The initial amplitudes of the quasinormal modes in the postmerger ringdown in this case are larger than that of collisions of corresponding mass black holes—potentially a key observable to distinguish black-hole mergers from their scalar mimics. For (3), the gravitational wave output is indistinguishable from a similar mass, black hole—black hole merger. Based on our results, LIGO may be sensitive to oscillaton collisions from light scalars of mass  $10^{-12}$  eV  $\leq m \leq 10^{-10}$  eV.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.044046

## I. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The spectacular recent detections of gravitational waves from binary black-hole mergers has heralded a new golden age in gravitational wave physics [1–3]. Gravitational waves from the merger of compact objects are one of our best resources for probing the strong-field regime of gravity. They also provide us with a probe of the nature of the compact objects themselves.

In addition to black holes (BH) and neutron stars (NS), the expected quality of the gravitational wave data could allow for the search of exotic compact objects as progenitors in such collisions [4]. In particular, coherent, self-gravitating, nontopological solitons made of scalar fields are known to have highly compact cores [5–7]. Their collisions may generate observable amounts of gravitational waves and whose waveforms can deviate from those of BH-BH or NS-NS mergers (see in particular [8–11]).

In this paper, we study the head-on collisions of a class of real scalar-field solitons called "oscillatons" [12] using GRChombo [13] in full general relativity. Unlike boson stars made of complex scalar fields, oscillatons do not have a conserved U(1) charge, but can nevertheless be stable on cosmological time scales [14]. For example, such objects can consist of a spatially localized condensate of an axion field oscillating near the minimum of the potential [15].



FIG. 1. Fraction of initial rest mass energy of the two oscillatons  $(E_{tot})$  radiated into gravitational waves  $(E_{gw})$  as a function of the initial compactness (C) of each oscillaton. In the subcritical case, oscillatons collide to form a new stable but aspherical, excited oscillaton. In the critical regime, oscillatons collide to yield a black hole after/during the collision. In the degenerate case, individual oscillatons collapse to black holes before the collision. Note that in the critical regime (and possibly in the subcritical regime also), the emitted fraction in gravitational waves can exceed that of corresponding mass black holes (0.06% dashed line).

thomashelfer@live.de

eugene.a.lim@gmail.com

marcos.garcia@rice.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>mustafa.a.amin@gmail.com

Such axion fields are ubiquitous in many high-energy physics theories and are considered to be plausible dark matter candidates (see [16] for a review).<sup>1</sup>

Our main result for the gravitational wave output from equal-mass oscillaton collisions as a function of the compactness of the solitons is shown in Fig. 1. In particular, the new results are (1) a jump in the fractional gravitational wave output near a critical compactness value  $C \approx 0.14$ , and (2) the fractional gravitational wave output near this C value exceeds that of corresponding mass black holes. In order to achieve these results, we constructed unexcited oscillaton binaries which possess no spurious additional modes. Such "clean" initial conditions allow us to accurately extract the GW production efficiency  $E_{gw}/E_{tot}$ . Furthermore, we also compute the gravitational waveforms for such collisions to show that the quasinormal modes are significantly different from equivalent BH-BH collisions during merger and in their ringdown phase, which suggests that they can be distinguished.

## **II. INITIAL SETUP OF SOLITONS**

We consider a free, massive, real scalar field, which is minimally coupled to gravity with the action<sup>2</sup>

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{R}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric, R is the Ricci scalar, and m is the mass of the real scalar field<sup>3</sup>  $\phi$ . We briefly discuss self-interactions in the Appendix B. Conservatively, the results of our paper are expected to apply for solitons made of a subdominant axionic dark matter component with the axion decay constant  $f \gtrsim m_{\text{Pl}}$ . Assuming we have a scenario similar to [17], for  $f \gtrsim m_{\text{Pl}}$ , the total dark matter abundance bound requires the axion to be unacceptably light ( $m \lesssim 10^{-30}$  eV), in conflict with observations [16]. We further discuss this issue and possible solutions in the Appendix.

This theory contains a single parameter family of localized, solitonic solutions called oscillatons (once the mass m is scaled out). We choose to parametrize our solutions in terms of their compactness, which we define as



FIG. 2. Relationship between the mass *M* and the compactness *C* of the oscillaton. Note that for  $C \gtrsim 0.14$  ( $M \approx 0.605 m_{\rm Pl}^2/m$ ) oscillatons become unstable under perturbations (grayed area).

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \frac{GM}{R},\tag{2}$$

where *M* is the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass, and *R* is the effective radius of the oscillaton which encompasses 95% of its mass. The maximum mass of the oscillaton  $M_{\text{max}} \approx 0.605 m_{\text{Pl}}^2/m$  occurs when  $C \approx 0.14$ . When C < 0.14, the oscillatons are stable against perturbations. For C > 0.14, they are unstable with respect to perturbations [18] (Fig. 2).

To ensure that these results are qualitatively and quantitatively robust, we implemented several steps such that the initial conditions for these oscillatons are in their unexcited "ground" state. We refer the reader to the Appendix for details of this construction, and other numerical convergence tests.

We set up two equal C (and hence equal mass) oscillatons at a distance of 60 m<sup>-1</sup>, both of which are initially at rest, and explore the end-state of the collision and gravitational wave signature as a function of C. These oscillatons can also have a relative phase  $0 < \Delta \theta < \pi$  between their respective oscillations. Oscillatons are considered "in-phase" when  $\Delta \theta = 0$ ; this is the scenario we focus on in this paper. "Out-of-phase"  $\Delta \theta \neq 0$  oscillatons exhibit additional *repulsive* force that, at sufficiently large phase differences, prevents a merger from occurring. We will leave the results of out-of-phase initial conditions to a future publication.

## III. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM COLLISIONS

We find that there are three possible outcomes of head-on collisions of equal mass solitons depending on the initial C of the oscillatons.

#### A. Subcritical case $C \lesssim 0.04$

Collisions of these less compact oscillatons form another more massive and quasistable, but aspherical and excited

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We cannot claim that such compact soliton collisions are likely sources of gravitational waves; an estimate of their population and distribution would be needed, which is beyond the scope of this paper. We hope that the results from this work will motivate such studies further.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We use the -+++ convention for the metric, and set  $\hbar = c = 1$ . Our Planck mass  $m_{\rm Pl} = 1/\sqrt{G}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We have ignored possible self-interactions of  $\lambda \phi^3$  and higherorder terms.



FIG. 3. The panel shows the numerically evaluated gravitational wave waveforms (the dominant quadrupole mode: l = 2, m = 0 is shown) for typical subcritical (C = 0.03), critical (C = 0.10) and degenerate (C = 0.15) collisions. For comparison, the waveform for the corresponding mass black-hole collision is also shown in black. Note that in the sub-critical case (left panel), the resulting excited oscillaton continues to emit gravitational waves. In the critical case (middle panel), the waveform is qualitatively similar to a BH-BH merger, but importantly, the postmerger QNM amplitude is greater for the oscillaton merger as they are less "rigid". Since there is some mass loss during the merger, the final mass is less than that of the equivalent BH-BH merger, leading to a slightly shorter QNM period (as observed). Finally, the degenerate case is almost indistinguishable from a BH-BH collision (right panel). The vertical dashed line indicates time of BH formation during the merger in the critical case, and premerger in the degenerate case. Note that  $r\Psi_4 \sim r\ddot{h}$ , and t = 0 is associated with the time when the objects are  $\approx 60 \text{ m}^{-1}$  apart. Movie links for the time evolution of the gravitational wave signal and the energy density  $\rho$  are available for the subcritical, critical and degenerate mergers [21–23].

oscillaton. The merger proceeds via multiple stages. As we have shown in Fig. 4, the oscillatons collide and initially form a very perturbed oscillaton, whose density oscillates in a "+" pattern (i.e., periodically becomes elongated along two perpendicular axes). A significant amount of mass is lost during the initial collision. This mass loss can be inferred from the fact that  $M(\mathcal{C} = 0.03) = 0.41m_{\rm Pl}^2/m > 0.5M_{\rm max}$ -this is consistent with the results first obtained in [19]. Without any mass loss, the final oscillaton in this case would have been unstable, which is not seen in our simulations. The oscillaton continues to radiate scalar waves and, notably, a long-time-scale continued emission of gravitational waves [4,20].

From the first panel in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, we see repeated alternating max/min bursts of gravitational waves coinciding with the maximum deformation of the perturbed oscillaton perpendicular-to/along the axis of collision. While the lack of computational resources prevented us from evolving this end state further in time, we expect that the continued emission of both scalar waves and GW will eventually sphericalize the oscillaton. This so-called "super-emitter" [20] will eventually emit more total GW energy than the corresponding equal-mass BH-BH merger. We have only found the lower bound on this GW energy output numerically.

#### **B.** Critical case $0.04 \lesssim C \lesssim 0.14$

These more massive and compact oscillatons collide to form a black hole surrounded by a thin scalar field "wig." There is a slight mass loss during the collision, but the majority ( $\approx 90\%$ ) of the initial mass remains in the final black-hole state. The total GW energy emitted by this merger monotonically scales with C in this regime. However, interestingly, for oscillatons with C > 0.06, the fraction of the emitted gravitational wave energy<sup>4</sup> to the total initial energy,  $E_{gw}/E_{tot}$ , is *greater* than that from an equivalent head-on merger of a pair of equal mass black holes ( $E_{gw}/E_{tot} = 0.06\%$ ). The maximum gravitational wave energy emitted  $E_{gw}/E_{tot} \approx 0.11\%$  occurs at  $C \approx 0.14$ , the boundary where the individual oscillatons themselves become unstable.

A typical waveform of the merger from this region is shown in the middle panel of Fig. 3. Black-hole formation occurs rapidly after the initial merger. For less compact oscillatons, not surprisingly, the scalar dynamics during merger will lead to different GW waveforms that distinguishes it from that of a BH-BH merger [4,11]. Crucially however, even for very compact oscillatons where BH formation is very rapid, the waveform differs from that of a BH-BH collision even in the postmerger ringdown stages. The quasinormal mode (QNM) frequency during the

$$\frac{dE_{\rm gw}}{dt} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^2}{16\pi} \oint \left| \int_{-\infty}^t \Psi_4(r) dt' \right|^2 d\Omega, \tag{3}$$

where  $\Psi_4$  is the Newman-Penrose scalar. For our simulations the extraction radius  $r = 60 \text{ m}^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $E_{\text{tot}} = 2M$ , i.e., total initial ADM mass of the oscillatons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This energy is computed by integrating over time the total power given by



FIG. 4. Numerically evaluated gravitational wave waveform for a typical subcritical (C = 0.03) collision, demonstrating bursts of repeating gravitational waveforms. Inset shows the "+" pattern of oscillations of the density of the perturbed final state.

ringdown is close to that of a BH-BH merger (as expected) with a shorter period due to mass loss during merger. Importantly, the initial amplitude of the QNM is different. In particular, we find that for  $C \gtrsim 0.06$  the initial QNM amplitude is *larger* than that of an equal mass BH-BH merger leading to the aforementioned higher output in total GW emission (see Fig. 1).

We believe that this higher initial amplitude for the QNM is due to the fact that oscillatons are "less rigid" than black holes, and hence easier to excite during the initial merger phase.

Interestingly, in [4], the authors argue instead that collisions of more massive boson stars will lead to a more rapid collapse into BHs and hence to a smaller deviation from a BH-BH merger. Our results here show that the deviation is *more* significant for oscillatons, allowing us to directly test for such non-BH merger scenarios.<sup>5</sup>

#### C. Degenerate case C > 0.14

Oscillatons with C > 0.14 are inherently unstable to perturbations. We find that as they fall towards one another, mutual tidal forces generate sufficient perturbations to cause the oscillatons to collapse into a pair of BHs before they collide. The new BHs (with a thin wig) then collide and merge as in the standard BH-BH case to form a larger black hole. The waveform (see rightmost panel of Fig. 3) and the fraction of energy in gravitational waves is indistinguishable from the BH case and remains constant as we continue to increase the compactness (see Fig. 1). This expected behavior in the degenerate case makes for a strikingly steep transition in the emitted gravitational wave energy from the critical to the degenerate regime (near  $C \approx 0.14$ , see Fig. 1).

#### **IV. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Our main results can be seen in Figs. 1 and 3. Through detailed calculations using the full power of numerical GR, we showed that oscillaton head-on mergers have distinctly different GW signatures than that of their corresponding equal mass BH-BH counterparts. We found three different outcomes of collisions depending on the initial compactness of the oscillatons: formation of excited oscillatons (sub-critical), formation of a black hole after collision (critical) and formation of black holes before collision (degenerate) due to tidal forces.

In terms of gravitational waves, the subcritical merger results in a potentially long lived source of gravitational waves. The gravitational waveform is qualitatively different from the black-hole merger case with multiple postmerger pulses. For the degenerate case, the dynamics and gravitational wave signatures are very similar to that of corresponding mass black holes.

Most interestingly, for critical mergers where the final state is a BH, the postmerger QNM mode has a significantly larger amplitude than that of an equivalent BH-BH merger (for sufficiently compact oscillatons). We believe that this is due to the fact that oscillatons are less rigid and easier to excite than BHs. This raises the possibility that, without inspiral GW information, compact oscillatons mergers may mimic BH mergers of a larger mass, though QNM frequency information will allow us to break this degeneracy. Conversely with inspiral information, this may provide a distinct GW signature for the detection of such exotic compact objects. If these results carry through to inspiral mergers, the ratio of the GW amplitude during the inspiral phase and the ringdown phase will be a strong indicator of exotic mergers. While these are plausible arguments, more work is needed in the inspiral case to make a convincing argument regarding observationally distinguishing BH-BH mergers from OS-OS ones.

For the cases checked (in head-on collisions), we found that the fraction of energy density in gravitational waves is relatively independent of the initial separation of the solitons (within numerical error, we confirmed this for separations of 40 m<sup>-1</sup>, 55 m<sup>-1</sup> and 65 m<sup>-1</sup> in the critical and degenerate regimes). The critical-degenerate boundary in Fig. 1 is similarly robust, suggestive of some novel criticality in terms of the dynamics and the gravitational wave output near  $C \approx 0.14$ , which is worth investigating in detail. Further investigation of this criticality by scanning through different initial velocities, relative phases and a larger variance in distances is needed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Furthermore we note that while boson star mergers can be qualitatively similar to our oscillaton mergers, there are differences. For example, a collision between a boson star and anti-boson star can lead to annihilation, with a dispersal of most of the field to infinity [24]. Analogs of boson star/anti-boson star pairs are not present in the oscillaton merger case. Note that an initial phase difference between premerger oscillatons cannot mimic these configurations.

Assuming that our oscillatons are stellar mass so that their QNM frequencies fall within LIGO range, this allows us to probe light oscillaton masses of  $10^{-12}$  eV  $\leq m \leq 10^{-10}$  eV. On the other hand, interactions of free scalar fields with rotating black holes can cause a superradiance instability, robbing the black holes of their spin–LIGO (LISA) observations of stellar mass (supermassive) spinning black holes can potentially rule out  $10^{-13} \leq m \leq 10^{-12}$  eV ( $10^{-19} \leq m \leq 10^{-17}$  eV) [25].

In conclusion, we have found that in head-on collisions, compact scalar field solitons can be louder in gravitational waves than their black-hole counterparts. Moreover, a new critical transition in the GW amplitude is seen at  $C \approx 0.14$ . It will be interesting to see if these results are replicated in the inspiral case (e.g., [11]), which we are currently investigating.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ricardo Becerril for the use of his initial condition code for oscillatons and acknowledge useful conversations with Katy Clough, Vitor Cardoso, James Cook, William East, Scott Hughes and Matt Johnson. T.H. and E.L. are supported by STFC AGP Grant No. ST/P000606/1. M.A. and M.G. are supported by U. S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-SC0018216. We would also like to thank the GRChombo team (http:// www.grchombo.org/) and the COSMOS team at DAMTP, Cambridge University, for their ongoing technical support. Numerical simulations were performed on the COSMOS supercomputer, funded by DIRAC/BIS, on BSC Marenostrum IV via Partnership for Advanced Computing in Europe (PRACE) Grant No. Tier-0 PPFPWG, by the Supercomputing Centre of Galicia and La Palma Astrophysics Centre via BSC/RES Grants No. AECT-2017-2-0011 and No. AECT-2017-3-0009 and on SurfSara Cartesius under Tier-1 PRACE Grant No. FI-2017-1-0042. This work was done in part at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-106629. Some simulation results are analyzed using the visualization toolkit YT [26].

### APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL METHODOLOGY

#### 1. Constructing initial data

We solve for a single oscillaton (OS) profile for  $\phi$ ,  $\pi$ ,  $\gamma_{ij}$  at some initial hyperslice  $t = t_0$  as described in Refs. [12,18,27,28] where  $\pi = \alpha^{-1}(\partial_t \phi - \beta^i \partial_i \phi)$  is the initial kinetic term of the scalar, and  $\gamma_{ij}$  is the 3-metric defined as usual in the ADM line element

$$ds^{2} = -\alpha^{2}dt^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(dx^{i} + \beta^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + \beta^{j}dt).$$
(A1)

The determinant of the spatial metric  $\gamma_{ij}$  will be denoted by det  $\gamma$ . We also set the extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij} = 0$ .



FIG. 5. The Hamiltonian constraint violation of the OS-OS initial data before relaxation for C = 0.13 along the axis of the two OS. By choosing  $h_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}|_{2x_0}$  the Hamiltonian constraint violation is reduced by an order of magnitude from 2.6% to 0.3%. An additional relaxation routine in  $\chi$  is implemented after this improvement is applied.

Given this single oscillaton profile, we generate static OS-OS initial data by superposing two single OS solutions:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\text{tot}} &= \phi|_{x-x_0} + \phi|_{x+x_0} \\ \pi_{\text{tot}} &= \pi|_{x+x_0} + \pi|_{x-x_0} \\ \gamma_{ij,\text{tot}} &= \gamma_{ij}|_{x+x_0} + \gamma_{ij}|_{x-x_0} - h_{ij}, \end{split}$$
(A2)

where  $\pm x_0$  are the locations of the centers of the two oscillatons, and  $h_{ij}$  is a constant metric. The choice of  $h_{ij}$ turns out to be important in setting up the initial conditions. Naively, one would use  $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ , which would make the asymptotic values the same as for a single OS. However, this choice induces large radial modes in both oscillatons. These modes are caused by the change in the volume element near the center of each OS due to the influence of the companion (as compared to the case of an isolated OS). This difference is clearly seen in Fig. 6, where the black curve is the volume element related to an isolated OS, whereas the orange curve is the volume element obtained by using  $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ .

An estimate for the change in the volume element can be obtained as follows. Consider OS<sub>1</sub> at  $x_0$ , with its companion OS<sub>2</sub> located at  $-x_0$ . Assuming a Schwarzchild metric far from the surface of OS<sub>1</sub>, the volume element at  $-x_0$  due to OS<sub>1</sub> is  $\sqrt{\det \gamma} = \sqrt{(1-2GM/d)^{-1}} \approx \mathcal{O}(1.01)$ . We assumed a distance  $d = 2x_0 = 60 \text{ m}^{-1}$  and  $M \approx 0.5m_{\text{Pl}}^2/m$ . By subtracting off  $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ , we are still left with ~1% extra volume at  $x = -x_0$  compared to the case where OS<sub>2</sub> was isolated (and vice-versa for OS<sub>1</sub>). That is, the oscillatons are "puffed up" initially. These radially excited OS are *not* the initial conditions we seek as they add additional energy and induce instabilities into the initial conditions for single oscillatons. In particular, the central densities and radii of these excited



FIG. 6. The volume element det  $\gamma$  of the OS-OS initial data before relaxation for  $\phi_{m,0}(0) = 0.44$ , C = 0.13 on a line in the *x* direction which goes through the center of both OS. In this example, we have positioned the stars at distance  $\pm 15 \text{ m}^{-1}$  as opposed to  $\pm 30 \text{ m}^{-1}$  to illustrate our point. Note that the values are closer to the single OS solution when the metric values are conserved in the center of the OS.

OS can deviate from their unexcited counterparts by  $\mathcal{O}(100\%)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ , respectively, as they evolve, potentially rendering any results that we obtain unreliable.<sup>6</sup>

As quantitative test, we set up a single OS with compactness C = 0.10, and then imposing a 0.1% perturbation in its radius achieved simply by remapping the field values with  $r \rightarrow 0.999r$ . This small change results in a large oscillating radial mode with a  $\gtrsim 10\%$  fluctuation in maximum amplitude of the central density.<sup>7</sup> Not surprisingly, the radiated GW energy becomes strongly dependent on the choice of the initial separation causing varying results for different initial distances, thus making it a bad approximation for an unexcited OS falling in from infinity.

Our solution to this problem is to choose  $h_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}|_{2x_0}$ , which leaves the metric values at the center of each OS unchanged from the isolated case and thus also its volume element.<sup>8</sup> From the close match between the green curve  $(h_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}|_{2x_0})$  and the black curve (isolated OS) in Fig. 6, one can see how this choice is a significant improvement over the orange curve  $(h_{ij} = \delta_{ij})$ .

Furthermore, defining the relative Hamiltonian violation as

$$\max\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{16\pi\rho}\right),\,$$

we see a significant improvement in relative violation from 2.6% to 0.3% (see Fig. 5). Finally, we apply a relaxation



FIG. 7. The plot shows the central density of OS with C = 0.10 at a distance 60 m<sup>-1</sup> from its counterpart. The high frequency oscillation with wavelength  $\lambda \approx 2\pi$  m<sup>-1</sup> is the natural breathing of the oscillaton while the low frequency mode is caused by the radial mode. Applying the choice  $h_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}|_{2x_0}$  removes this radial mode. Animations depicting the evolution of the central density with and without radial modes are available [31,32].

routine to reduce this Hamiltonian constraint violation further. The result of our method is shown in Fig. 7 where it is clear that we have eliminated the large low frequency radial modes (orange curve) to leave only the physical high frequency central density fluctuation present in the original single OS solution (green curve).

#### 2. Numerical methodology and convergence tests

All grids for extraction of gravitational waves have a side length of 512 m<sup>-1</sup>, with the coarsest resolution being  $\Delta x = 2 \text{ m}^{-1}$ . We extract  $r\Psi_4$  at a radius of 60 m<sup>-1</sup> and we set a fixed resolution over the region containing the extraction sphere. Depending on the scenario, we use from five to six levels of refinement, which corresponds to a smallest resolution of 0.0625 m<sup>-1</sup> and 0.03125 m<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Since for all simulations the box size is 500 m<sup>-1</sup> and our extraction sphere is positioned at radius 60 m<sup>-1</sup> from the center, we choose the maximum run-time at around 380 m<sup>-1</sup> in order to prevent spurious reflections at the boundary from contributing to the final results.

We use the following to measure the volume-averaged Hamiltonian constraint violation:

$$L^{2}(H) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{V} \int_{V} |\mathcal{H}^{2}| dV}, \qquad (A3)$$

where V is the box volume with the interior of the apparent horizon excised. As can be seen in Fig. 8, we have good control over the constraint violation throughout the simulation.

We test the convergence of our simulations with the collision of two oscillatons with  $\phi_{m,0}(0) = 0.33$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This is reminiscent of the old "self-crushing" problem in the setup of binary neutron stars initial conditions [29].

<sup>&#</sup>x27;An animation showing the evolution in time of the central density is available [30].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>A further refinement of this method is to include a factor such that  $\lim_{r\to\infty} h_{ij} \to \delta_{ij}$ .



FIG. 8. The plot shows the  $L^2$  norm (A3) of the Hamiltonian constraint violation over time, with excision of the black-hole interior (which forms around t = 700). The green region shows the relaxation time, with data points extracted every 100th cycle. There is a jump after the relaxation, likely caused by regridding during transition from relaxation to evolution, but still extremely good overall.

 $(\mathcal{C} = 0.20)$ . We use a box of side length 256 m<sup>-1</sup>, and initial separation of the oscillatons of 40  $m^{-1}$ . As we have turned on adaptive refinement, we use three different coarse resolutions of 1 m<sup>-1</sup>, 2 m<sup>-1</sup> and 4 m<sup>-1</sup>. This allows for six levels of 2:1 refinement each with corresponding finest possible resolutions of  $0.015\,625$  m<sup>-1</sup>,  $0.03\,125$  m<sup>-1</sup> and 0.0625 m<sup>-1</sup>. We extract the l = 2, m = 0 mode of  $r\Psi_4$  at distance 60  $m^{-1}$  from the center. The results are shown in Fig. 9, where we obtain between second- and third-order convergence on average. While we have used a fourth-order scheme, the large amount of regridding required to track the collision results in some loss of accuracy which is not surprising.<sup>9</sup> Lastly, we note that an estimate for the error bars in the energy extraction (Fig. 1) is obtained by doubling the resolution of the simulations described in the main text, and computing the energy for this higher resolution case. The difference of the results at two different resolutions gives us an estimate for the error.

#### **APPENDIX B: SELF-INTERACTIONS**

In our study, we have ignored possible self-interactions of the scalar field  $\phi$ . Here, we discuss the domain of validity of our results.

Let us first consider the case where our compact scalar solitons are made of axionic dark matter. In this case, the potential  $V(\phi) = m^2 f^2 [1 - \cos \phi/f] = m^2 \phi^2 / 2 - \lambda \phi^4 / 4! + \cdots$ , where  $\lambda = m^2 / f^2$ . By comparing the self-interaction and the gravitational interaction, the gravitational interaction dominates our solitons for  $\phi/f \lesssim C^{1/2}$  (where the



FIG. 9. Convergence test for the l = 2, m = 0 mode of  $r\Psi_4$ , showing convergence between second and third order. The convergence test is done with three different coarsest resolutions of 4 m<sup>-1</sup>, 2 m<sup>-2</sup> and 1 m<sup>-1</sup>, six levels of 2:1 refinement, with corresponding finest resolutions of 0.0625 m<sup>-1</sup>, (0.0625/2) m<sup>-1</sup> and (0.0625/4) m<sup>-1</sup>. Our evolution scheme is fourth order, and the lowered accuracy is due to the large amount of regridding required to track the motion of the oscillaton through to final state.

dimensionless compactness C = GM/R is of the order of the typical gravitational potential associated with each soliton).<sup>10</sup> For our merger simulations, the maximum value of the field is typically  $\phi_{\text{max}} \lesssim 0.24m_{\text{Pl}}$  (estimated as twice the maximum field value at the center of individual oscillatons). Hence, for  $f \gtrsim m_{\text{pl}}$ , we expect our results will remain unchanged.

Although it is not impossible to envision a mechanism through which such a large value of the decay constant would be set in the effective theory [33,34],  $f \gtrsim m_{\rm Pl}$ is phenomenologically problematic if  $\phi$  constitutes the totality of dark matter. Assuming we have a scenario similar to [17], for  $f \gtrsim m_{\rm Pl}$ , the total dark matter abundance bound requires the axion to be unacceptably light ( $m < 10^{-30}$  eV), in conflict with observations [16]. An obvious way around this abundance bound is to assume that the field  $\phi$  corresponds to a subdominant dark matter component. Conservatively, the results of our paper are therefore expected to apply for solitons made of a subdominant axionic dark matter component with  $f \gtrsim m_{\rm Pl}$ .

As we have discussed, the upper bound of  $f \leq m_{\text{Pl}}$  is desirable from both a model-building perspective and from abundance constraints. In the regime  $f \ll m_{\text{Pl}}$ , we would expect self-interactions to be relevant.

However, for  $f \leq 10^{-2} m_{\text{Pl}}$ , the self-gravitating real-scalar lumps cannot reach compactness values that would make them approximate mimickers of BHs [15]. Hence, including self-interactions, a typical f value of interest for gravitational wave emission would be  $f \sim 10^{-1} m_{\text{Pl}}$ . For this value of f, we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Using fixed grids, we have demonstrated fourth-order convergence of the code consistent with methods used [13,15].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>For nonaxionic cases with an attractive self-interaction,  $\phi \leq (m/\sqrt{\lambda})C^{1/2}$ .

#### HELFER, LIM, GARCIA, and AMIN

have found that the compactness of our configuration of mass M can change by *at most* 20% relative to the noninteracting case. How does this affect our results? While the compactness for a given mass changes, *if* the fractional gravitational wave output is a function of compactness only, our curve in Fig. 1 should remain unchanged.

These heuristic arguments deserve a more complete study, which will be taken up in future works. The main difficulty lies in setting up initial conditions. The construction of an unexcited ultracompact initial configuration with significant self-interactions within full nonlinear GR is still an open problem [15].

- B. P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo and LIGO Scientific Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [2] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo and LIGO Scientific Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 241103 (2016).
- [3] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (VIRGO and LIGO Scientific Collaborations), Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 221101 (2017).
- [4] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. B. Macedo, C. Palenzuela, and P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D 94, 084031 (2016).
- [5] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee, and Y. Pang, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3640 (1987).
- [6] M. Colpi, S. L. Shapiro, and I. Wasserman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2485 (1986).
- [7] E. Seidel and W. M. Suen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1659 (1991).
- [8] C. Palenzuela, I. Olabarrieta, L. Lehner, and S. L. Liebling, Phys. Rev. D 75, 064005 (2007).
- [9] M. W. Choptuik and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 111101 (2010).
- [10] N. Sennett, T. Hinderer, J. Steinhoff, A. Buonanno, and S. Ossokine, Phys. Rev. D 96, 024002 (2017).
- [11] C. Palenzuela, P. Pani, M. Bezares, V. Cardoso, L. Lehner, and S. Liebling, Phys. Rev. D 96, 104058 (2017).
- [12] E. Seidel and W.-M. Suen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1659 (1991).
- [13] K. Clough, P. Figueras, H. Finkel, M. Kunesch, E. A. Lim, and S. Tunyasuvunakool, Classical Quantum Gravity 32, 245011 (2015); 32, 245011 (2015).
- [14] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D 70, 023002 (2004).
- [15] T. Helfer, D. J. E. Marsh, K. Clough, M. Fairbairn, E. A. Lim, and R. Becerril, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2017) 055.
  [16] D. L. F. Marsh, Phys. Rep. 642, 1 (2016).
- [16] D. J. E. Marsh, Phys. Rep. 643, 1 (2016).
- [17] L. Hui, J. P. Ostriker, S. Tremaine, and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 95, 043541 (2017).
- [18] M. Alcubierre, R. Becerril, S. F. Guzmán, T. Matos, D. Núñez, and L. A. Ureña-López, Classical Quantum Gravity 20, 2883 (2003).

- [19] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, C. F. B. Macedo, H. Okawa, and C. Palenzuela, Phys. Rev. D 93, 044045 (2016).
- [20] C. Hanna, M. C. Johnson, and L. Lehner, Phys. Rev. D 95, 124042 (2017).
- [21] GRChombo, Subcritical merger, 2018, https://youtu.be/ ri2jkxA-a-o.
- [22] GRChombo, Critical merger, 2018, https://youtu.be/ FHYvFCSwcaY.
- [23] GRChombo, Degenerate merger, 2018, https://youtu.be/ R6Hz2Q4FbIU.
- [24] M. Bezares, C. Palenzuela, and C. Bona, Phys. Rev. D 95, 124005 (2017).
- [25] R. Brito, S. Ghosh, E. Barausse, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, I. Dvorkin, A. Klein, and P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D 96, 064050 (2017).
- [26] M. J. Turk, B. D. Smith, J. S. Oishi, S. Skory, S. W. Skillman, T. Abel, and M. L. Norman, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **192**, 9 (2011).
- [27] L. A. Ureña-López, T. Matos, and R. Becerril, Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 6259 (2002).
- [28] L. A. Ureña-López, Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 2617 (2002).
- [29] I. Suh, G. J. Mathews, J. R. Haywood, and N. Q. Lan, Adv. Astron. 2017, 6127031 (2017).
- [30] GRChombo, Stiffness test of oscillatons, 2018, https://youtu .be/LCpTmEuZm-I.
- [31] GRChombo, Unfixed radial mode in oscillaton, 2018, https://youtu.be/h0MXVNd8u2E.
- [32] GRChombo, Fixed radial mode in oscillaton, 2018, https:// youtu.be/W95UkprupyA.
- [33] J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles, and M. Peloso, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 01 (2005) 005.
- [34] R. Kappl, S. Krippendorf, and H. P. Nilles, Phys. Lett. B 737, 124 (2014).