



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1192/bjo.2019.28

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Molyneaux, E. F. L., Turner, A., Candy, B., Landau, S., Johnson, S., & Lloyd-Evans, B. (2019). Crisis-planning interventions for people with psychotic illness or bipolar disorder: Systematic review and meta-Analyses. *BJPsych Open*, *5*(4), 1-9. Article e53. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2019.28

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Crisis Planning Interventions for People with Psychotic Illness or Bipolar Disorder: A
- 2 Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses
- Emma Molyneaux*, Amelia Turner*, Bridget Candy, Sabine Landau, Sonia Johnson, Brynmor
 Lloyd-Evans
- 5 *Joint first author
- 6

7 Abstract

8 Background

- 9 Mental health services lack a strong evidence base on the most effective interventions to
- 10 reduce compulsory admissions. However, some research suggests that crisis planning
- 11 interventions (including advance statements and joint crisis plans) may be beneficial.
- 12

13 **Aims**

- 14 This review aimed to synthesise evidence from RCTs on the effectiveness of crisis planning
- 15 interventions in reducing rates of compulsory hospital admissions for people with psychotic
- 16 illness or bipolar disorder, compared with usual care.
- 17

18 Method

- 19 Systematic searches of six online databases were conducted in October 2018. The primary
- 20 outcome was compulsory psychiatric admissions and secondary outcomes included other
- 21 psychiatric admissions, therapeutic alliance, perceived coercion and cost effectiveness.
- 22 Trials were assessed for bias using the Cochrane Collaboration Tool.
- 23

24 Results

- 25 The search identified 1,428 studies and five RCTs were included in the review. One study
- 26 had high risk of bias due to incomplete primary outcome data. Random effects meta-
- 27 analysis showed a 25% reduction in compulsory admissions among those receiving crisis
- 28 planning interventions compared with usual care (pooled estimate from five studies: RR
- 29 0.75, 95%CI 0.61-0.93, p=0.008). There was no statistical evidence that the intervention
- 30 reduced the risk of voluntary psychiatric admissions or combined voluntary and compulsory
- 31 admissions. Few studies assessed other secondary outcomes.
- 32

33 Conclusions

- Our meta-analysis suggests that crisis planning interventions substantially reduce the risk of compulsory admissions among individuals with psychotic illness or bipolar disorder. Despite several common components, the interventions varied in their content and intensity across the trials. The optimal model of crisis planning interventions requires further investigation, as does the implementation of these interventions in routine clinical practice.
- 39
- 40 PROSPERO registration number: CRD42018084808
- 41

43 Introduction

- 44 The number of people compulsorily admitted to psychiatric hospitals in England is rising
- 45 each year (1), with increases also observed in several other European countries (2, 3). Whilst
- 46 compulsory admission may help mitigate short-term risks, there are negative repercussions
- 47 for both the individual service users and healthcare services (4-8). The reasons for this rise
- 48 are complex and debated, but likely to include socioeconomic, legal and service-related
- 49 factors (9). mental health services lack a strong evidence base on the most effective
- 50 interventions to reduce compulsory admissions. However, crisis planning interventions have
- 51 been identified as being potentially beneficial (10, 11).
- 52

53 Multiple types of crisis plan exist, which vary between jurisdictions in terminology, content 54 and legal enforceability. In England, advance decisions document a person's instructions for

- 55 healthcare they want to refuse in the future, if they lose capacity for making treatment
- 56 decisions at that time, and are legally binding (12). However, if service users have been
- 57 admitted under the Mental Health Act 2007, doctors' statutory authority to provide
- 58 treatment overrides advance decisions (13). In contrast to advance decisions, advance
- 59 statements can be used to describe a person's preferences for the care they would like to
- 60 receive, as well as treatments they want to refuse, but are not legal documents (14). Joint
- 61 crisis plans are a type of advance statement which are developed collaboratively between
- 62 the service user and mental health professionals and are also not legally enforceable. In
- 63 Scotland, advance decisions are known as advance directives, but are not legally binding
- 64 (15). In the US, Psychiatric Advance Directives provide documentation of people's
- preferences for future mental health treatment during a crisis, with legislation varying bystate (16).
- 67
- 68 Crisis planning interventions may help to prevent relapse, for example by promoting better 69 self-management, or may reduce the need for hospitalisation by encouraging prompt help 70 seeking or improved community service responses. The presence of crisis plans may also 71 make service users and clinicians more willing to accept voluntary hospital admissions when 72 a crisis occurs, due to greater awareness of indicators of relapse and increased confidence 73 on the part of the service user that their treatment preferences will be respected once 74 admitted, even if capacity is lost.
- 75
- A previous review of interventions to reduce compulsory psychiatric admissions found that crisis plans were the only intervention which appeared to be effective (17), with pooled estimates for community treatment orders (three RCTs), compliance enhancement (two RCTs) and integrated treatment (four RCTs) showing no significant effects. However, this review gave little information on the core components of the crisis planning interventions and did not examine important secondary outcomes, including voluntary admissions, length of stay and therapeutic alliance. We therefore conducted a thorough up-to-date systematic

- 83 review of randomised controlled trials examining crisis planning interventions for people
- 84 with psychotic illness or bipolar disorder. The primary review question examines whether
- 85 crisis planning interventions are effective for reducing compulsory admissions among
- 86 people with psychotic illness or bipolar disorder, compared with treatment as usual.
- 87 Secondary review questions examine the impact of crisis planning interventions on other
- 88 outcomes of interest including voluntary admissions, duration of inpatient treatment,
- 89 psychiatric functioning, quality of life, therapeutic alliance, service user engagement,
- 90 perceived coercion, adverse effects, and cost effectiveness.
- 91

92 Method

93 The protocol for this review was prospectively registered on PROSPERO (registration:

94 CRD42018084808) and followed the PRISMA guidelines (3).

95 Eligibility criteria and selection of studies

This review sought to identify RCTs examining crisis planning interventions for people with 96 97 psychotic illness or bipolar disorder. The inclusion criteria were 1) Studies of adults (aged 16 and over) who had a diagnosis of a psychotic illness or bipolar disorder with or without 98 99 psychotic symptoms. Studies including mixed populations of service users from secondary 100 care mental health services were eligible if the majority of participants had a diagnosis of a psychotic illness or bipolar disorder; 2) Studies which examined any form of crisis planning 101 intervention which involved the service user in decisions regarding future treatment 102 103 preferences (including advance decisions, advance directives, joint crisis plans and other relevant interventions). Interventions that included follow-up meetings were eligible, if the 104 105 primary aim of these meetings was to review the crisis plan. Interventions where crisis planning was not the primary focus were not eligible; 3) Studies were eligible if the 106 107 comparison group was treatment as usual, defined as the standard care in that setting. 108 Studies which compared a crisis planning intervention with a different active intervention 109 were not eligible; 4) Only randomised controlled trials (published or unpublished) were eligible, including cluster randomised controlled trials. There were no exclusion criteria 110 based on language or date of publication. 111

- 112 The primary review outcome was compulsory hospital admission for psychiatric care. The
- secondary outcomes of interest were: 1) voluntary hospital admission for psychiatric care, 2)
- any hospital admission for psychiatric care, 3) duration of inpatient psychiatric treatment, 4)
- global and specific psychiatric symptoms, 5) psychiatric functioning, 6) quality of life, 7)
- therapeutic alliance, 8) service engagement, 9) perceived coercion, 10) adverse effects and
- 117 11) cost effectiveness. These outcomes could be assessed at any time point.

118 Data sources and selection of studies

- 119 The following databases were searched from inception to October 16th 2018: Cochrane
- 120 Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO and

- 121 the International Standard Randomised Controlled Trial Number (ISRCTN) registry. The
- search strategy was based around terms for crisis plans or advance directives, mental
- disorders and RCTs. The full search strategy is available in Appendix 1. Conference
- 124 proceedings from the European Psychiatric Association (EPA), World Psychiatric Association
- 125 (WPA), the European Network for Mental Health Service Evaluation (ENMESH) and the
- 126 American Psychiatric Association (APA) from 2011 onwards were hand-searched for relevant
- 127 RCTs. Forward and backward citation tracking were conducted for all eligible studies and for
- 128 two relevant systematic reviews (17, 18), to identify any additional relevant studies.
- 129 Title and abstract screening and full text screening were conducted by two reviewers
- 130 independently. Following title and abstract screening, the full texts of all articles identified
- as potentially relevant by either reviewer were obtained. Any discrepancies following full
- 132 text screening were resolved through discussion with a third author when necessary.
- 133 Relevant data for the review (relating to the participants, setting, method, intervention,
- 134 comparison and outcomes) were extracted into a data extraction table and checked by a
- second reviewer. Authors of the papers were contacted to request additional information if
- needed, if this related to the primary outcome of compulsory hospital admission.

137 Risk of bias assessment

- 138 Risk of bias was assessed for each study using the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool
- 139 (19, 20) for the following domains: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of
- 140 outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data and selective reporting. Two reviewers
- 141 conducted risk of bias assessments for all papers independently and any discrepancies were
- 142 resolved through discussion, including a third author if necessary.
- 143

144 Data synthesis

- 145 The number of participants with and without the primary outcome of compulsory
- 146 psychiatric admission was extracted from all studies for the intervention and control groups
- separately. A pooled risk ratio (RR) with 95% CI confidence interval (CI) was calculated
- 148 through random effects meta-analysis using the Mantel-Haenszel method. Heterogeneity
- 149 between trials included in the meta-analyses were investigated by visual inspection of the
- 150 forest plots and calculation of the I² statistic. Where there was indication of heterogeneity
- 151 (e.g. I² statistic higher than 50%), the study quality, clinical population and intervention
- 152 content were considered as possible explanatory factors. If any studies eligible for the meta-
- analysis included more than one crisis planning intervention condition, we combined the
- active treatment groups into a single arm for comparison against the control group, in line
- 155 with the recommendations in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of
- 156 Interventions (20). A subgroup analysis was planned to pool studies or treatment conditions
- 157 in which the crisis planning intervention was facilitated by a healthcare professional, and
- 158 those where it was not facilitated by a healthcare professional (e.g. by a former service
- 159 user).

The main meta-analyses were conducted including only participants for whom outcome 160 161 data was available (i.e. complete case analysis). Such analysis assumes that data are missing 162 at random. Sensitivity analyses were performed to investigate the robustness of findings to 163 changing assumptions regarding the mechanism of missing data, as recommended in the Cochrane Handbook (20). Four different assumptions were made to complete the missing 164 165 data: first, that participants lost to follow-up had no compulsory admissions; second that they had the same rate of compulsory readmissions as other participants in the same arm of 166 167 the same trial; third that the proportion of readmissions was 10 percentage points lower among those lost to follow-up, and finally that the proportion of readmissions was 10 168 percentage points higher among those lost to follow-up. An additional sensitivity analysis 169 excluded studies with high risk of bias in any domain from the meta-analysis. Finally, an 170 171 influence analysis was conducted in which each study was removed in turn from the metaanalysis. If sufficient (10 or more) studies were included in any meta-analysis, a funnel plot 172 was used to investigate potential publication bias (21). 173

174 Random effects meta-analysis was also used to pool data for each of the secondary

outcomes, if three or more comparable studies were identified. Risk ratios were pooled for

dichotomous outcomes and standardised mean differences were calculated and combined

177 for continuous outcomes. If insufficient comparable studies were identified for any planned

analyses, narrative synthesis was used. Key components of crisis planning interventions

179 from the included studies were also described and compared.

180 Results

The search strategy identified 1,428 studies, of which 1,023 remained after duplicates were removed. Through title and abstract screening, 964 records were excluded. Full-texts for the remaining 59 studies were obtained and assessed for eligibility. Five studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the review. The study selection process is shown in

185 Figure 1.

186 [Insert Figure 1 here]

187 Study Characteristics

188

Key characteristics of the five included studies are given in Table 1. Three trials only included
 participants with psychotic disorders or bipolar disorder (10, 11, 22), whilst the other two
 trials included mixed populations from secondary care mental health services (23, 24). All of

192 the trials reported a majority diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizophrenia-like disorders.

193 Follow-up periods for the trials ranged from 12 to 24 months. In all five trials, the crisis

194 planning intervention was compared with treatment-as-usual, however in one trial the

195 control group also received an information leaflet about local mental health services and

196 the Mental Health Act (10).

198 [Insert Table 1 here]

199

200 There was some variation in the components of the crisis planning interventions across the 201 included trials. In two trials, the intervention commenced while participants were 202 psychiatric inpatients (23, 24); the other three trials recruited outpatients with previous 203 psychiatric admissions (10, 11, 22). One trial (24) examined the effectiveness of a type of 204 advance statement, in which participants completed a booklet consisting of seven 205 statements on future treatment preferences, with support from researchers. Three trials 206 examined joint crisis planning interventions (10, 11, 22). One of these included two 207 intervention groups; participants could be randomised to a clinician-facilitated crisis plan 208 (i.e. joint crisis plan) or a patient-advocate facilitated crisis plan (11). In the other two trials 209 of joint crisis plans (10, 22), the crisis plan was facilitated by a healthcare professional who was part of the research team, and discussed at one or more meetings with members of the 210 service user's clinical team, and a family member or friend if they wished. 211

- 212 Finally, one trial examined a crisis planning intervention which was facilitated by a
- 213 healthcare professional (psychologist) without involvement from the service user's clinical
- team (23). This trial implemented a higher intensity crisis planning intervention, in which
- 215 participants in the intervention group attended a varying number of individualised psycho-
- education sessions focused on identifying behaviours prior to crisis and developed crisis
- 217 cards consisting of future treatment preferences. In addition, they received four-weekly
- telephone monitoring, to review the crisis cards and facilitate the detection of early signs of
- crisis identified in the previous psychoeducation sessions.
- A summary of the intended components of the interventions are given in Table 2, and a
- 221 detailed description of the content of each intervention and control condition is reported in
- 222 Appendix 2. None of the crisis plans examined in the included RCTs were legally enforceable.
- 223 [Insert Table 2 here]

224 Risk of bias in included studies

225

226 Figure 2 summarises the risk of bias in the included trials, assessed using the Cochrane 227 Collaboration Tool (19). Three trials had low risk of bias for sequence generation (10, 22, 24) 228 and two had low risk of bias for allocation concealment (10, 22). The remaining studies had 229 unclear risk of bias in these domains, with insufficient detail provided in trial reports. None 230 of the trials were able to blind the participants or staff, due to the nature of the 231 intervention, so this was not included in the risk of bias assessment. However, blinding of outcome assessors was examined. Three of the five trials did not blind outcome assessors 232 to group allocation (11, 23, 24), which could lead to risk of detection bias. However, the 233 234 impact of detection bias on the primary outcome of this review (compulsory hospital 235 admissions) should be limited, as this was assessed or cross-checked with hospital records in 236 all included studies. Risk of bias was therefore assessed separately for the primary and

- 237 secondary outcomes, and studies in which no blinding was performed were rated as having
- 238 unclear risk of bias for the primary outcome, and high risk of bias for the secondary
- 239 outcomes.

240 [Insert Figure 2 here]

In four studies, the risk of attrition bias was low. The primary outcome of compulsory
admissions was largely collected from hospital records meaning that missing data for the
primary outcome was less than 4% in four studies (10, 11, 22, 24). However, in one study
(23), readmission data was only reported for participants who completed the outcome
assessments. This study was rated as having high risk of attrition bias as loss to follow-up
was unbalanced between groups (32.8% from the intervention group and 14.3% from the
control group).

248 Compulsory hospital admissions

All five trials reported the number of participants who had a compulsory admission or

readmission to hospital during the follow-up period, which ranged from 12 to 24 months.

Based on complete case analysis, the proportion of participants experiencing compulsory
admissions in each study ranged from 13% to 28% in the intervention groups and 20% to

253 43% in the control groups.

254 The results of all five studies were pooled using random effects meta-analysis, as shown in

Figure 3. The pooled estimate showed a 25% reduction in compulsory admissions among

- those receiving crisis planning interventions compared with those who did not receive the intervention (RR 0.75, 95%Cl 0.61-0.93, p=0.008). There was no evidence of moderate or
- substantial heterogeneity (20) ($l^2=0\%$; Chi²=3.94, df=4 p=0.41). A subgroup analysis was
- conducted to pool studies (10, 22, 23) or treatment conditions (11) in which the crisis
- 260 planning intervention was facilitated by a healthcare professional, which gave a similar
- 261 estimate (RR 0.67, 95%CI 0.49-0.92; based on four studies; see Figure 4).
- 262 [Insert Figures 3 and 4 here]

263 There were only two studies which examined crisis-planning interventions that were not

264 facilitated by a healthcare professional, so these results were not pooled using meta-

analysis. There was no evidence that the crisis planning intervention facilitated by

- 266 researchers in Papageorgiou et al.'s (24) trial was effective in reducing compulsory hospital
- 267 admissions. In Ruchlewska et al.'s (11) trial, 16% of participants receiving patient advocate-
- 268 facilitated crisis plans were admitted under court order in the follow-up period, compared
- with 10% in the clinician-facilitated crisis plan group and 26% in the control group.
- 270 Sensitivity analyses were conducted to investigate the robustness of the findings (see
- 271 Appendix 3). First, the proportion of compulsory admissions was calculated under four
- 272 different assumptions for missing outcome data. All four analyses gave comparable findings

- to the main results. The pooled estimate was RR 0.70 (95%Cl 0.54-0.90) under the
- assumption that there were no compulsory admissions among participants with missing
- follow-up data and RR 0.74 (95%CI 0.61-0.91) under the assumption that participants with
- 276 missing follow-up data had the same rate of compulsory admissions as participants with
- follow-up data in the same arm of that trial. Assuming that the rate of compulsory
- 278 readmissions was either 10 percentage points lower or higher among participants with
- 279 missing data, the pooled estimates were RR 0.72 (95%CI 0.57-0.92) and RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.63-
- 280 0.94) respectively.
- 281 An additional sensitivity analysis was conducted to exclude studies with high risk of bias
- from the meta-analysis. Only one study (Lay et al. (23)) had high risk of bias in any domain
- relating to the primary outcome. After excluding this study, the pooled effect was slightly
- attenuated (RR 0.78, 95%CI 0.60-1.01). Finally, influence analyses were conducted to
- remove each study in turn from the pooled estimate. As described previously, excluding Lay
- et al. slightly attenuated the relationship, but other influence analyses did not alter
- 287 conclusions (see Appendix 3 for full details).

288 Secondary outcomes

289 Other hospital admissions and length of admissions

- 290 Three studies reported the prevalence of voluntary hospital admissions (11, 23, 24) and
- three reported the overall prevalence of admissions to psychiatric care (i.e. including both
- compulsory and voluntary admissions) (10, 11, 22). Pooled estimates for these secondary
- 293 outcomes showed no evidence that crisis planning interventions reduced the risk of
- voluntary admissions (RR = 1.17; 95% CI, 0.91-1.50; see Figure 5) or any psychiatric
- admissions (RR = 0.90, 95%CI 0.74-1.09; see Figure 6).
- 296

297 [Insert Figures 5 and 6 here]

298

299 Only two studies reported data on duration of admissions among those who had received an admission during the study period. One of these studies (Henderson et al. (10)) reported 300 that there was no difference in length of compulsory admissions between the intervention 301 and control groups, and the other (Ruchlewska et al. (11)) reported no difference in overall 302 303 length of admissions (compulsory and voluntary combined). Four of the studies compared duration of admissions in the intervention and control groups for their entire samples (i.e. 304 305 also including those who had not received an admission during the study period as having zero days of admission), using means, medians or counts. Of these four studies, two found 306 no difference between the intervention and control groups for the duration of compulsory 307 or voluntary admissions (22, 24). In contrast, two studies reported that the mean length of 308 309 compulsory admissions was lower for the intervention than the control group, but there was no difference in the length of any admissions (10) or voluntary admissions (23). 310 311

312

Psychiatric functioning and quality of life

313

One study reported on psychiatric symptoms and functioning at 12 months follow-up (24),

and found no difference between the intervention and control groups. Lay et al. (23) did not

report psychiatric functioning at 24 months, but found no difference in functioning in an

interim analysis at 12 months post-randomisation (23, 25). Finally, one study examined

- 318 service users' insight into their psychiatric symptoms (11), again reporting no difference
- 319 between the intervention and control groups. None of the trials included in this review
- 320 reported quality of life.
- 321

322 Therapeutic alliance, service engagement and perceived coercion

323

324 Thornicroft et al. (22) reported no evidence of a difference in perceived coercion, service

- 325 engagement or clinician-rated therapeutic alliance between groups. However, there was
- evidence for a slight improvement in service user-rated therapeutic relationship, assessed
- 327 by the Working Alliance Inventory Client Version, in the intervention group compared with
- 328 controls after adjusting for variables associated with trial design and loss to follow-up (mean
 329 difference -1.28, p=0.049, adjusted for baseline WAIC score, site, number of previous
- admissions and diagnosis) (26). Ruchlewska et al. (11) reported no difference in service
- and alliance (either service user or clinician rated) between the
- intervention arms and the control group. Lay et al. (23) did not report these outcomes at 24
- 333 months, but found no group differences in perceived coercion at 12 months post-
- randomisation (25). Two trials did not report any outcomes related to therapeutic alliance,
- 335 service engagement or perceived coercion (10, 24).
- 336

337 Adverse effects

- 338
- 339 No studies reported any specific assessment of adverse events.
- 340

341 Cost effectiveness

342

Cost effectiveness was not reported in any of the main trial papers. However, economic
 evaluations were published separately for two of the included trials (10, 22). For the

- Henderson et al. (10) trial, cost effectiveness acceptability curves suggested that there was
- over 78% probability that joint crisis plans were more cost effectiveness than usual care
- 347 (27). The economic evaluation of the Thornicroft et al. (22) trial found a similar overall
- 348 probability (80%) that joint crisis plans were more cost effective than usual care (28).
- 349
- 350 Discussion

- This systematic review identified five RCTs which examined the effectiveness of crisis planning 351 352 interventions for adults with psychotic illness or bipolar disorder. A meta-analysis of these 353 studies showed a 25% reduction in risk of compulsory hospital admissions among those 354 receiving crisis planning interventions compared with usual care, a finding which was found to be robust in multiple sensitivity analyses. In contrast, there was no evidence for a reduction 355 356 in voluntary admissions or total psychiatric admissions, and the pooled estimate for voluntary 357 admissions showed a trend towards increased risk following crisis planning interventions. It 358 may be that crisis planning interventions do not prevent admissions entirely but can reduce compulsory admissions rates by shifting some of these to voluntary admissions. 359
- 360 Our findings are in keeping with a previous systematic review which examined interventions
- to reduce compulsory psychiatric admissions (17). This review identified four RCTs
- 362 investigating the effectiveness of crisis planning interventions (including advance
- statements and joint crisis plans), with searches conducted in April 2015. Our review
 updates this previous review, including one additional trial of an intensive crisis planning
- 365 intervention (23), and provides further details on the characteristics of the interventions
- and the secondary outcomes of these trials. These details are important for clinicians
- 367 considering implementing crisis planning interventions or for researchers planning future
- 368 studies in this area. Implications for research and clinical practice are described in the final
- 369 section of this discussion.
- Although the pooled estimate shows that crisis planning interventions were effective in
- 371 reducing compulsory admissions, there was variation between individual studies both in the
- 372 characteristics and the effectiveness of the crisis planning interventions. All of the included
- 373 RCTs found a trend for a positive effect of crisis planning interventions but this was not
- 374 statistically significant in three of the five original studies. The meta-analysis is therefore an
- important contribution to the evidence base as consideration of the trials individually might
- have led to more cautious conclusions about the effectiveness of crisis planning
- 377 interventions.

Thornicroft et al. (22) found no evidence that their intervention was effective in reducing 378 compulsory admissions. This is the largest included study and was assessed to have low risk 379 of bias in all domains, so the null finding could reduce confidence in the overall positive 380 conclusion from our meta-analysis. Thornicroft et al. themselves considered potential 381 explanations for their null finding, which was in contrast with the Henderson et al. (10) trial 382 that followed a highly similar protocol in a smaller sample. In the Thornicroft et al. trial, it 383 was found that almost 50% of the crisis plans were developed during usual clinical review 384 385 meetings as staff had not made themselves available to discuss the crisis plan at a specific time. Qualitative interviews conducted with participants in Thornicroft et al.'s trial 386 387 suggested that this impacted on the service users' experiences, as many could not remember the crisis planning meeting as being distinct from other routine meetings, and 388 389 also commented that the content of their plans was not following during subsequent crises.

- 390 Problems with implementation of the crisis planning intervention were also reported in
- 391 other studies, for example, Ruchlewska et al. reported that only 57% of the clinician-
- 392 facilitated crisis plans were completed (11). It is notable that the pooled estimate showed a
- 393 positive impact of crisis planning interventions given these implementation challenges.

394 Methodological strengths and limitations

395 This review provides an updated account of the effects of crisis planning interventions for 396 people with psychotic illness or bipolar disorder, and highlights that these interventions may 397 be effective in reducing risk of compulsory hospital admissions. However, the conclusions of this review are limited by the small number of studies included, particularly in some 398 399 subgroup analyses, and the fact that all included trials were conducted in Europe. The review was limited to RCTs because these represent the gold standard when evaluating 400 401 interventions (20). Observational studies and studies using routine hospital data may also generate valuable evidence about the effectiveness and implementation of these 402 403 interventions outside experimental conditions and could be included in future reviews. A strength of this review was the inclusion of secondary outcomes such as quality of life, 404 405 psychiatric functioning, perceived coercion and therapeutic alliance, which were not 406 examined in the earlier systematic review of interventions to reduce compulsory admissions 407 (17). However, these were not widely assessed in the included trials, thus limiting our ability 408 to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of crisis planning interventions for these 409 outcomes.

410

411 Several trials included in this review reported that a high proportion of the service users approached were either ineligible or declined to participate. Low rates of recruitment are 412 common for trials on psychotic illness or bipolar disorder, where there is often a multitude 413 of factors that can prevent a person from taking part in research (29). Nevertheless, 414 415 recruitment rates can be an important indicator of the acceptability of an intervention, and low recruitment rates may also suggest that participants are not representative of the target 416 417 population. Three out of the five trials included in this review reported a lower number of compulsory admissions in the control arm than was initially predicted from local routine 418 419 data, which may be due to systematic differences between those who agreed to participate 420 in the trials and those who did not. Only studies conducted in Europe were identified so our 421 findings may have limited generalisability to other settings. In addition, changes in clinical 422 practice and service funding over time may the limit applicability of studies such as 423 Henderson et al.'s (published in 2004) to the current context.

- 424 Our review focused on crisis planning interventions for individuals with psychotic disorders
- and bipolar disorder, meaning that we are unable to draw conclusions about the
- 426 effectiveness of crisis planning interventions for other groups at risk of compulsory
- 427 admission. However, there is very little evidence for other disorders. One previous pilot RCT
- 428 examined crisis planning interventions for individuals with personality disorder (30) but did

- 429 not include compulsory admissions as an outcome. In addition, none of the RCTs included in
- 430 this review examined advance decisions which were legally binding, so it is not clear what
- 431 impact the legal basis would have on risk of compulsory hospital admissions.
- 432

433 Loss to follow-up is also a common problem for RCTs; however, this had limited impact on the 434 primary outcome of this review which was collected from routine records for the majority of 435 the trials. The exception to this is Lay et al. (23), who only had readmission data for participants who completed the follow-up interviews. This study was rated as having high risk 436 437 of attrition bias as there was substantial loss to follow-up which was unbalanced between study groups. However, we examined multiple different strategies for imputing missing data 438 439 in sensitivity analyses and these did not alter the overall conclusions, so we believe our 440 findings are robust to the missing data in this study.

441 All studies had low or unclear risk of bias in the other domains assessed, with the exception 442 of blinding of outcome assessors. In addition, no studies included blinding of participants or

study personnel, due to the nature of the intervention. Lack of blinding is unlikely to lead to

bias for the primary outcome, for which data on compulsory admissions was extracted from

445 medical records but may have led to bias in the secondary outcomes.

446 Implications for research and clinical practice

447 Rates of compulsory admissions have been rising in the UK and several other European

448 countries (31). The recent Independent Review of the Mental Health Act emphasised the

- 449 need to reduce compulsion and for individuals to have greater choice and autonomy in their
- 450 care (9). Our systematic review was part of the evidence considered for the Mental Health
- 451 Act review, which recommended that crisis planning interventions (referred to as Advance
- 452 Choice Documents) should be used, and that "in the future, a request for a treatment that
- 453 might be less than optimal, but still possible, should be honoured" (p 21) (9).
- 454 In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we found that crisis planning interventions are
- 455 effective for reducing compulsory admissions among adults with psychotic illness or bipolar
- disorder who have experienced previous psychiatric admissions or crisis contacts with
 mental health services. Economic evaluations of two trials also reported a high likelihood
- 457 mental health services. Economic evaluations of two trials also reported a high likelihood
 458 that crisis planning interventions are cost effective. Therefore, our review highlights the
- 459 importance of offering support to service users to make crisis plans if they have had
- 460 previous compulsory admissions or are identified as being at high risk. This is particularly
- 461 important as a recent systematic review of RCTs of any interventions to reduce compulsory
- 462 psychiatric admissions (17) found no evidence for the effectiveness of other interventions
- 463 including community treatment orders, compliance enhancement or integrated treatment.
- Greater knowledge of the mechanisms by which crisis planning interventions reduce
 compulsory admissions is required. Although data for secondary outcomes was limited in
 this review, individual studies which assessed these outcomes reported no impact on

psychiatric functioning, perceived coercion or service engagement, and minimal impact on 467 468 service-user reported therapeutic alliance. The lack of substantial impact on therapeutic 469 alliance was unexpected but may relate to the fact that only two included studies assessed 470 therapeutic alliance (11, 22) and, as discussed above, in both of these studies the clinicians' 471 commitment to the crisis planning intervention was found to be limited. There was also no 472 evidence that crisis planning interventions reduced the risk of voluntary psychiatric 473 admissions; in fact, there was a trend for these to be higher among participants in the 474 intervention than control groups. Therefore, rather than preventing hospital admissions, 475 crisis plans may reduce compulsory admissions by making service users and/or clinicians 476 more willing to consider voluntary admission when a crisis occurs. Potential reasons for this 477 could include greater awareness and acceptance that relapse indicators for admission are 478 occurring, or greater confidence by the service user that their views and treatment 479 preferences will be respected following admission, but future research in this area is 480 required.

481 The optimal models of crisis planning interventions also requires further investigation,

482 including the extent of clinician involvement and ongoing monitoring required for

483 interventions to be effective. One study included in this review (23) incorporated relatively

intensive ongoing monitoring within the intervention, but it is unclear the extent to which

additional monitoring occurred in the other clinician-facilitated crisis planning interventions.

486 Fidelity to a core set of intervention components may enhance the effectiveness of crisis

487 planning interventions but stakeholder consultations and future comparison studies of

488 different models of crisis planning intervention are required to determine the most effective

models. Studies should also examine whether the effectiveness of crisis planning
 interventions differs based on ethnicity, gender or other characteristics of service users. This

491 was not examined in the majority of trials included in this review despite, for example,

492 known higher risk of compulsory admissions among people from Black, Asian and Minority

493 Ethnic backgrounds in England and other countries (32, 33).

494 Several studies faced challenges in the implementation of the crisis planning intervention 495 which may have limited their effectiveness. It is essential that future research examines and

495 which may have inniced their encetiveness. It is essential that future research examines an

addresses these barriers to intended delivery, for example taking a behaviour-change
 perspective to address clinician engagement.

498

499

501 **Commentary by Patrick Nyikavaranda and Rachel Rowan Olive from the Lived Experience** 502 **Working Group in the NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit**

503

504 It is reassuring that the study concludes with the observation that in some cases, crisis

planning interventions substantially reduce the risk of compulsory admissions. Better
 understanding of crisis planning amongst service users may increase willingness to plan.

- It would be of note to see how the Triangle of Care (<u>https://carers.org/article/triangle-care</u>)
 can increase likelihood of having carers and service users increase participation in joint crisis
 planning. However, it must be acknowledged that there are a lot of individuals who use
- 511 services who do not want their carers involved in joint crisis planning and provision should
- 512 be given to support them as much as possible to take up crisis planning.
- 513

514 We note with caution that two studies showed an economic benefit. We hope that should

515 larger studies on cost effectiveness show that joint crisis planning brings about an

economic benefit then those savings are invested into better and radical crisis support

- 517 interventions, both pre and post crisis.
- 518

519 While a 25% decrease in compulsory admissions is significant, the lack of effect on overall

and voluntary admissions also matters. Having experienced both voluntary and compulsory

- admissions, voluntary admissions are often de facto detentions "Come in voluntarily or we
- 522 will section you" lacking legal safeguards.
- 523

524 Further cause for caution comes from the scope of the systematic review - limited to

patients with bipolar disorder or psychosis - and of the included studies. Although BAME

526 service users are disproportionately likely to be detained, most studies did not break down

527 results by race. Moreover, the three English studies largely predate austerity, the latest

- 528 being Thornicroft et al (2013), the only study showing no impact on detentions. Detentions
- 529 rose by 40% between 2004/5 and 2015/16

530 (https://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20180123 mhadetentions report.pdf); our

531 experience is that compulsory admissions often relate directly to lack of resources,

532 intersecting with specific needs. For instance, one author was sectioned despite consenting

to admission to a community-based women's crisis house or women's ward as per her

advance directive. Both were full; she was detained to a mixed-gender ward.

- 536 Significant further research is therefore needed before drawing firm conclusions from this537 review.
- 538
- 539

540 Funding Acknowledgement

- 541 This paper is based on independent research commissioned and funded by the National
- 542 Institute for Health Research Policy Research Programme, through the Mental Health Policy
- 543 Research Unit. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of
- the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research, the Department of Health and Social
- 545 Care or its arm's length bodies, and other Government Departments.
- 546 **Conflict of interest statement:** EM, SL, SJ and B L-E received funding from the National

547 Institute for Health Research during the conduct of the study. The authors have no other

- 548 conflicts of interest to declare.
- 549 Ethics statement: Not applicable
- 550 **Consent statement:** Not applicable
- 551 Data availability: Not applicable
- 552 Author contributions
- 553 EM, AT, BC, SJ and BL-E contributed to the formulation of the research question and design
- of this study, EM and AT conducted the review and EM, AT and SJ contributed to analysing
- the data. All review authors contributed to the interpretation of findings, drafting the
- 556 manuscript and approved the final version for publication.

557 Author details

- 558 Emma Molyneaux* PhD, Research Associate, NIHR Mental Health Policy Research Unit,
- 559 Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience at King's College London, UK
- ⁵⁶⁰ * Corresponding author: Emma Molyneaux, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and
- Neuroscience at King's College London, PO31 De Crespigny Park, London, SE5 8AF.
 <u>emma.molyneaux@kcl.ac.uk</u>
- Amelia Turner MSc, Trainee Clinical Psychologist, Camden and Islington NHS Foundation
 Trust & Royal Holloway, University of London, UK
- Bridget Candy PhD, Principal Research Associate, Division of Psychiatry, University CollegeLondon, UK
- Sabine Landau PhD, Professor of Biostatistics, Biostatistics & Health Informatics, Institute of
 Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience at King's College London, UK
- 569 Sonia Johnson PhD, Professor of Social and Community Psychiatry, NIHR Mental Health
- 570 Policy Research Unit, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, UK
- 571 Brynmor Lloyd-Evan PhD, Senor Lecturer, Division of Psychiatry, University College London,
- 572 UK

573 Figure legend

- 574 Figure 1: PRSIMA flow diagram
- 575 Figure 2: Risk of Bias Assessment
- 576 Figure 3: Forest plot showing the risk of compulsory hospital admissions among those 577 receiving a crisis planning intervention compared with controls
- 578
- 579 Figure 4: Forest plot showing the risk of compulsory hospital admissions among those
- receiving a clinician-facilitated crisis planning intervention compared with controls
- 581
- 582 Figure 5: Forest plot showing the risk of voluntary hospital admissions among those
- receiving a crisis planning intervention compared with controls
- 585 Figure 6: Forest plot showing the risk of any hospital admissions among those receiving a
- 586 crisis planning intervention compared with controls
- 587

588 References

1.

589

590 the Mental Health Act 1983, and patients subject to supervised community treatment. Uses of the 591 Mental Health Act: Annual Statistics, 2014/15: Health and Social Care Information Centre; 2015. 592 2. Zolkowska K, Cantor-Graae E, McNeil TF. Increased rates of psychosis among immigrants to 593 Sweden: is migration a risk factor for psychosis? Psychological Medicine. 2001;31(4):669-78. 594 Schoevaerts K, Bruffaerts R, Mulder C, Vandenberghe J. An increase of compulsory 3. 595 admissions in Belgium and the Netherlands: an epidemiological exploration. Tijdschrift voor 596 Psychiatrie. 2013;55(1):45-55. 597 4. Katsakou C, Priebe S. Patient's experiences of involuntary hospital admission and treatment: 598 a review of qualitative studies. Epidemiologia e psichiatria sociale. 2007;16(02):172-8. 599 Sheehan KA, Burns T. Perceived coercion and the therapeutic relationship: a neglected 5. 600 association? Psych Serv. 2011;62(5):471-6. 601 Weich S, Griffith L, Commander M, Bradby H, Sashidharan S, Pemberton S, et al. Experiences 6. 602 of acute mental health care in an ethnically diverse inner city: qualitative interview study. Soc Psych 603 Psych Epid. 2012;47(1):119-28. 604 7. Merson S, Tyrer P, Carlen D, Johnson T. The cost of treatment of psychiatric emergencies: a 605 comparison of hospital and community services. Psychol Med. 1996;26(04):727-34. 606 Cotton M-A, Johnson S, Bindman J, Sandor A, White IR, Thornicroft G, et al. An investigation 8. 607 of factors associated with psychiatric hospital admission despite the presence of crisis resolution 608 teams. BMC psychiatry. 2007;7(1):1-11. 609 9. Independent Review of the Mental Health Act. Moderninsing the Mental Health Act. 610 Increasing choice, reducing compulsion. 2018. 611 Henderson C, Flood C, Leese M, Thornicroft G, Sutherby K, Szmukler G. Effect of joint crisis 10. 612 plans on use of compulsory treatment in psychiatry: single blind randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2004;329(7458):136-40. 613 614 11. Ruchlewska A, Wierdsma AI, Kamperman AM, van der Gaag M, Smulders R, Roosenschoon 615 B-J, et al. Effect of crisis plans on admissions and emergency visits: a randomized controlled trial. 616 PloS one [Internet]. 2014; 9(3):[e91882 p.]. Available from: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=medl&NEWS=N&AN=24647274. 617 Mental Capacity Act 2005: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2005/9/contents. Last 618 12. accessed on 09/10/2018. 619 620 Mental Health Act 2007: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2007/12/contents. Last 13. 621 accessed on 09/10/2018. 622 14. Dunn K. The Mind guide to crisis services. Mind 2015. 623 15. Compassion in Dying. Advance Directives (Living Wills) - Scotland. 2016. 624 National Resource Center on Psychiatric Advance Directives: https://www.nrc-pad.org/. Last 16. 625 accessed on 09/10/2018. 626 17. De Jong MH, Kamperman AM, Oorschot M, Priebe S, Bramer W, van de Sande R, et al. 627 Interventions to Reduce Compulsory Psychiatric Admissions: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. 628 JAMA psychiatry. 2016;73:657-64. Campbell LA, Kisely SR. Advance treatment directives for people with severe mental illness. 629 18. 630 The Cochrane Library. 2009. 631 19. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane 632 Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.

Health and Social Care Information Centre. Inpatients formally detained in hospitals under

633 20. Higgins JP, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions: John Wiley 634 & Sons; 2011.

- 635 21. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,
- 636 graphical test. Bmj. 1997;315(7109):629-34.

- Thornicroft G, Farrelly S, Szmukler G, Birchwood M, Waheed W, Flach C, et al. Clinical
 outcomes of Joint Crisis Plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis: A
 randomised controlled trial. The Lancet. 2013;381(9878):1634-41.
- Lay B, Kawohl W, Rossler W. Outcomes of a psycho-education and monitoring programme to
 prevent compulsory admission to psychiatric inpatient care: a randomised controlled trial.
 Daugh algoridation and monitoring admission of a psycho-education and monitoring programme to

642 Psychological Medicine. 2017:1-12.

- 643 24. Papageorgiou A, King M, Janmohamed A, Davidson O, Dawson J. Advance directives for 644 patients compulsorily admitted to hospital with serious mental illness. Br J Psychiatry.
- 645 2002;181(6):513-9.
- 64625.Lay B, Drack T, Bleiker M, Lengler S, Blank C, Rössler W. Preventing Compulsory Admission to647Psychiatric Inpatient Care: Perceived Coercion, Empowerment, and Self-Reported Mental Health
- 648 Functioning after 12 Months of Preventive Monitoring. Front Psychiatry. 2015;6:1-9.
- 649 26. Neale MS, Rosenheck RA. Therapeutic alliance and outcome in a VA intensive case 650 management program. Psychiatric Services. 1995;46(7):719-21.
- Flood C, Byford S, Henderson C, Leese M, Thornicroft G, Sutherby K, et al. Joint crisis plans
 for people with psychosis: economic evaluation of a randomised controlled trial. BMJ: British
 Medical Journal (International Edition). 2006;333(7571):729-.
- 654 28. Barrett B, Waheed W, Farrelly S, Birchwood M, Dunn G, Flach C, et al. Randomised
- 655 controlled trial of joint crisis plans to reduce compulsory treatment for people with psychosis:
- economic outcomes. Plos one [Internet]. 2013; (11):[e74210 p.]. Available from:
- 657 <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/002/CN-01038002/frame.html</u>
- 658 <u>http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/file?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0074210&type=printable</u>.
- 659 29. Howard L, de Salis I, Tomlin Z, Thornicroft G, Donovan J. Why is recruitment to trials 660 difficult? An investigation into recruitment difficulties in an RCT of supported employment in
- 61 patients with severe mental illness. Contemp Clin Trials. 2009;30(1):40-6.
- 662 30. Borschmann R, Barrett B, Hellier JM, Byford S, Henderson C, Rose D, et al. Joint crisis plans 663 for people with borderline personality disorder: feasibility and outcomes in a randomised controlled 664 trial. British Journal of Psychiatry. 2013;202(5):357-64.
- Salize HJ, Dressing H. Epidemiology of involuntary placement of mentally ill people across
 the European Union. Br J Psychiatry. 2004;184(2):163-8.
- Bhui K, Stansfeld S, Hull S, Priebe S, Mole F, Feder G. Ethnic variations in pathways to and
 use of specialist mental health services in the UK: systematic review. The British Journal of
 Psychiatry. 2003;182(2):105-16.
- Mulder CL, Koopmans GT, Selten J-p. Emergency psychiatry, compulsory admissions and
 clinical presentation among immigrants to the Netherlands. The British Journal of Psychiatry.
 2006;188(4):386-91.
- 673
- 674
- 675
- 676
- 677