



King's Research Portal

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Cheesman, R., Allegrini, A. G., Rimfeld, K., Selzam, S., Pingault, J.-B., Eley, T. C., & Plomin, R. J. (in press). The p factor: Genetic analyses support a general dimension of psychopathology in childhood and adolescence: Genetic analyses support a general dimension of psychopathology in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/591354v1>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal


Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

The p factor: genetic analyses support a general dimension of psychopathology in childhood and adolescence

1

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2 **Andrea G. Allegrini**,^{1*}  **Rosa Cheesman**,^{1*} **Kaili Rimfeld**,¹ **Saskia Selzam**,¹ **Jean-Baptiste Pingault**,^{1,2} **Thalia C. Eley**,¹ and **Robert Plomin**¹

¹Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London; ²Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London, London, UK

5 **Background:** Diverse behaviour problems in childhood correlate phenotypically, suggesting a general dimension of psychopathology that has been called the p factor. The shared genetic architecture between childhood psychopathology traits also supports a genetic p. This study systematically investigates the manifestation of this common dimension across self-, parent- and teacher-rated measures in childhood and adolescence. **Methods:** The sample included 7,026 twin pairs from the Twins Early Development Study (TEDS). First, we employed multivariate twin models to estimate common genetic and environmental influences on p based on diverse measures of behaviour problems rated by children, parents and teachers at ages 7, 9, 12 and 16 (depressive traits, emotional problems, peer problems, autism traits, hyperactivity, antisocial behaviour, conduct problems and psychopathic tendencies). Second, to assess the stability of genetic and environmental influences on p across time, we conducted longitudinal twin modelling of the first phenotypic principal components of childhood psychopathological measures across each of the four ages. Third, we created a genetic p factor in 7,026 unrelated genotyped individuals based on eight polygenic scores for psychiatric disorders to estimate how a general polygenic predisposition to mostly adult psychiatric disorders relates to childhood p. **Results:** Behaviour problems were consistently correlated phenotypically and genetically across ages and raters. The p factor is substantially heritable (50%–60%) and manifests consistently across diverse ages and raters. However, residual variation in the common factor models indicates unique contributions as well. Genetic correlations of p components across childhood and adolescence suggest stability over time (49%–78%). A polygenic general psychopathology factor derived from studies of psychiatric disorders consistently predicted a general phenotypic p factor across development (0.3%–0.9%). **Conclusions:** Diverse forms of psychopathology generally load on a common p factor, which is highly heritable. There are substantial genetic influences on the stability of p across childhood. Our analyses indicate genetic overlap between general risk for psychiatric disorders in adulthood and p in childhood, even as young as age 7. The p factor has far-reaching implications for genomic research and, eventually, for diagnosis and treatment of behaviour problems. **Keywords:** Childhood psychopathology; behavioural genetics; genomics.

Introduction

The p factor, analogous to the concept of general intelligence ('g'), reflects the observation that individuals who score highly on certain psychopathological traits also score highly on others (Caspi et al., 2014). Recent research suggests that this single continuous dimension can, in part, summarise and explain liability to a wide range of psychopathologies in childhood.

Interest in the p factor stemmed initially from high levels of psychopathological comorbidity in adults. The co-occurrence of psychiatric disorders is strikingly high, with up to 50% of individuals diagnosed with a mental illness going on to develop two or more comorbidities in a 12-month period (Kessler et al., 2005). Already during childhood and adolescence, forms of psychopathology are often comorbid. A recent report found that 1 in 20 British young people

under 20 years of age met criteria for 2 or more mental disorders (NHS Digital 2017).

Quantitative genetic research suggests that shared genetic factors contribute substantially to the observed co-occurrence of psychopathological traits (Plomin, DeFries, Knopik, & Neiderhiser, 2016). Several multivariate twin and family studies have replicated the finding that a common genetic factor influences a wide range of emotional and behavioural problems in childhood (Lahey, Van Hulle, Singh, Waldman, & Rathouz, 2011; Pettersson, Larsson, & Lichtenstein, 2016; Tackett et al., 2013; Waldman, Poore, van Hulle, Rathouz, & Lahey, 2016). Many studies have investigated developmental genetic effects on specific psychopathological traits in childhood (e.g. Pingault et al., 2015), yet little is known about the genetic and environmental architecture of general psychopathology across development. Stability and change in p across time and the extent to which genetic influences drive age-related patterns remain largely unknown. Here, for the first time, we systematically investigate p across diverse ages, raters and measures in childhood and adolescence.

*These authors are equally contributed to this work.

Conflict of interest statement: No conflicts declared.

Dispatch: 8.8.19	CE: Hemalatha P	PE: Hemavathi Srikanth
No. of pages: 10	WILEY	
13113	Journal Code	Manuscript No.
J C P P		

It is also unknown to what extent a general p factor across earlier development relates to adult psychopathology. In addition to genetic analyses using the twin and family designs, polygenic scores are a new genomic tool that can be used to test for shared genetic effects across traits. Polygenic scores are constructed by aggregating genetic risk across thousands of genetic variants, thus indexing the genetic liability that each individual carries for a specific trait. A landmark study in the field of psychiatric genetics (International Schizophrenia Consortium et al. 2009) first showed that a polygenic score for schizophrenia was also associated with bipolar disorder, suggesting a shared genetic component underlying these two disorders, which has been substantiated further more recently (Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium et al. 2019). Several studies have used polygenic scores for schizophrenia, ADHD and other psychiatric disorders to predict general psychopathology in childhood. An increasing amount of evidence converges on the finding that few polygenic effects specific to individual aspects of psychopathology remains after conditioning on the p factor (Brikell et al., 2017; Jones et al., 2016, 2018; Riglin et al., 2018). These studies also suggest that genetic risk for psychiatric disorders emerges in childhood, in the form of continuously measured behaviour problems. More recently, a study using different genomic methods provided evidence for a 'polygenic p ' factor (Selzam, Coleman, Caspi, Moffitt, & Plomin, 2018). However, no studies to date have empirically related 'polygenic p ' to 'phenotypic p ' or systematically tested the architecture of p across development and across different raters.

Here, we investigated the structure of general psychopathology across childhood and adolescence. Our study has three aims:

1. Investigate the genetic architecture of p in childhood through common pathway twin models across ages and raters.
2. Test the stability of p across childhood and adolescence through longitudinal quantitative genetic analysis of first principal components of psychopathology across ages (7, 9, 12 and 16) and raters (parent, teacher and self-ratings).
3. Estimate associations between childhood phenotypic p and adult polygenic p . The latter can be constructed by principal component analysis of polygenic scores for mostly adult psychiatric disorders created for each TEDS participant.

Methods

Sample

The sampling frame is the Twins Early Development Study (TEDS), a multivariate, longitudinal study of >10,000 twin pairs representative of England and Wales, recruited from

1994 to 1996 births (Haworth, Davis, & Plomin, 2013). The following exclusions were applied: extreme perinatal conditions, severe medical conditions, uncertain zygosity and unknown gender. Analyses were conducted on a subsample of unrelated individuals with available genotype data and their cotwins ($N = 7,026$). Genomic analyses were limited to unrelated individuals (one twin from each pair).

Genotyping

Data were available for 3,057 individuals genotyped on the Affymetrix GeneChip 6.0 and 3,969 individuals genotyped HumanOmniExpressExome-8v1.2 arrays. Typical quality control procedures were followed (e.g. samples were removed based on call rate <0.98, MAF < 0.5%). Genotypes from the two platforms were separately imputed and then harmonised (for detail see Selzam et al., 2018).

Measures

Twins Early Development Study (TEDS) measures have been described previously (Haworth et al., 2013). Measures administered at ages 7, 9, 12 and 16 were included in our analyses. Some of these measures (e.g. peer problems, prosocial behaviour (reversed), autism traits) have not previously been used in other studies of general psychopathology, but we adopted a hypothesis-free approach in an attempt to capture a general trait that is pervasive across diverse domains. For similar reasons, we included all measures available at each age, even though some measures (e.g. aggression) were available only at one age. Table 1 summarises the measures included in this study. Due to the wide range of ages, raters and measures used in the analyses, for information on response rates, please see Haworth et al. (2013).

For all phenotypes, z -standardised residuals were derived for each scale regressed on sex and age. Standardised scores for each scale were calculated as mean scores, with the requirement of complete data for more than half of the items (i.e. 3 of 4 or 2 of 3). All procedures were executed using RStudio (version 1.1.419; Rstudio 2019).

Age 7 measures. We used both parent and teacher ratings of all subscales of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Hyperactivity, Conduct Problems, Peer Problems, Emotional Problems and Prosocial (reversed; Goodman, 1997), as well as the Antisocial Process Screening Device (APSD; Frick & Hare, 2001) and a measure of autism traits.

Age 9 measures. The five subscales of the SDQ and the Childhood Autism Spectrum Test (CAST; Scott, Baron-Cohen, Bolton, & Brayne, 2002; Williams et al., 2005) were included in the set of self-, parent- and teacher-reported measures. In addition, we used parent- and teacher-rated APSD and aggression (a mean of proactive and reactive scales) measures (Dodge & Coie, 1987).

Age 12 measures. The five subscales of the SDQ, the APSD and the CAST were included in the set of self-, parent- and teacher-reported measures. Parent reports of the Moods and Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ) assessing depressive traits (Angold, Costello, Messer, & Pickles, 1995) and the Conners ADHD behaviours measure (Conners, 2003) were also available.

Age 16 measures. The five subscales of the SDQ, MFQ and The Autism Quotient (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001) were available from self-, parent and teacher reports. Parent-rated data on Conners ADHD measure, the inventory for the Callous

Table 1 Summary of psychopathology measures available in the Twins Early Development Study (TEDS)

Construct	Measure	Age/reporter	Reference
ADHD behaviours	Conners Parent Rating Scale	P12, P16	Conners (2003)
Aggression	Reactive-Proactive Aggression Questionnaire	P9, T9	Dodge and Coie (1987)
Anxiety-related Behaviours	ARBQ	P16	Eley et al. (2003)
Autism traits	Autism traits	P7, T7	DSM-IV criteria items
	Childhood Autism Spectrum Test (CAST)	C9, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12	Scott et al. (2002) and Williams et al. (2005)
	Autism Quotient (AQ)	C16, T16	Baron-Cohen et al. (2001)
Callous Unemotional Traits	Callous Unemotional Scale	P16	Kimonis et al. (2008)
Conduct Problems	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)	P7, T7, C9, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12, C16, T16	Goodman (1997)
Depressive Symptoms	Moods and Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ)	P12, C16, T16	Angold et al. (1995)
Emotional Problems	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)	P7, T7, C9, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12, C16, T16	Goodman (1997)
Hyperactivity	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)	P7, T7, C9, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12, C16, T16	Goodman (1997)
Peer Problems	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)	P7, T7, C9, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12, C16, T16	Goodman (1997)
Prosocial Behaviour	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)	P7, T7, C9, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12, C16, T16	Goodman (1997)
Psychopathic Tendencies	Antisocial Process Screening Device (APSD)	P7, T7, P9, T9, C12, P12, T12	Frick and Hare (2001)

Letters refer to the reporter (C = child self-report, P = parent report, T = teacher report), and numbers refer to the ages at which measures were available.

Unemotional scale (Kimonis et al., 2008) and the Anxiety-related Behaviors Questionnaire (Eley et al., 2003) were also included.

Statistical analyses

Common pathway twin models of behaviour problem measures for each rater at each age. To estimate the genetic and environmental influence on phenotypic variance in general psychopathology and to examine loadings of individual psychopathology measures on *p*, we conducted multivariate twin model-fitting analyses. In the twin design, differences in within-pair trait correlations for monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins are used to estimate genetic, shared environmental and nonshared environmental effects on traits. Greater MZ than DZ similarity indicates additive genetic influence (A). Within-pair similarity that is not due to genetic factors is attributed to shared environmental influences (C). Nonshared environment (E) accounts for individual-specific factors that influence differences among siblings from the same family, plus measurement error. We considered genetic and environmental associations between all psychopathology measures at each age and separately for each rater. Specifically, we fit the data to the common pathway model (Rijsdijk, 2005). This is a multivariate twin model, in which common genetic and environmental variation influence all measures via a single common latent (*p*) factor. The model allows the estimation of genetic and environmental influences on a common factor (*p*) and of the factor loadings of each measure of psychopathology on the latent liability (*p*). The common pathway model also allows the estimation of genetic and environmental variance in each trait that is independent of the common factor.

Longitudinal twin analysis: Cholesky decomposition of phenotypic principal components. We performed a Cholesky decomposition of the parent-rated

phenotypic *p* principal components, allowing for the investigation of stability and innovation in the genetic and environmental influences on our measures of *p* across the four ages. We focused on parent-rated data since measures were much more consistent across time than for self-report and teacher report. The first genetic factor (A1) represents genetic influences on *p* at age 7. The extent to which these same genes also influence *p* at ages 9, 12 and 16 is also estimated, and is represented by the diagonal pathways from A1 to the other variables. The second genetic factor (A2) represents genetic influences on *p* at age 9 that are independent of those influencing age 7. The extent to which these genes also influence *p* at ages 12 and 16 is also estimated. The third genetic factor (A3) indexes genetic influences on *p* at age 12 that are independent of genetic influences shared with the previous ages. The impact of these genes on age 16 general psychopathology is also estimated. Finally, the fourth genetic factor (A4) represents residual genetic influences on age 16 general psychopathology. The same decomposition is done for the shared environmental and nonshared environmental influences (C1–4 and E1–4, respectively). All twin model fitting analyses using full-information maximum likelihood were carried out with structural equation modelling software OpenMx (Neale et al., 2016).

*Extracting *p*: Principal Component Analyses (PCA).* In preparation for longitudinal analyses and genomic prediction analyses, we obtained the first principal component (1st PC) of behaviour problem phenotypes at each age separately for child, parent and teacher ratings. Only individuals with complete data were used to generate PCs, as PCA does not allow for missing data. We report full results from PCA, which in themselves give insights into the phenotypic architecture of *p* in childhood. The variance explained by the first PC suggests how much the *p* factor underpins diverse forms of psychopathology, and loadings of each measure on the first PC

indicate the extent to which variables reflect general psychopathology.

We also obtained the first PC from polygenic scores for psychiatric disorders (polygenic p). We used publicly available genome-wide association summary statistics for eight major psychiatric traits: autism spectrum disorder (Grove et al., 2019), major depressive disorder (MDD; Wray et al., 2018), bipolar disorder (BIP), schizophrenia (SCZ; Pardiñas et al., 2018), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; Demontis et al., 2019), obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD; International Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Foundation Genetics Collaborative (IOCDF-GC) and OCD Collaborative Genetics Association Studies (OCGAS), 2018), anorexia nervosa (AN; Duncan et al., 2017) and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD; Duncan et al., 2018). For each psychiatric disorder, polygenic scores for each TEDS participant were created in LDpred (Vilhjálmsdóttir et al., 2015), assuming a fraction of causal markers of 1 (analysis steps were analogous to Selzam et al., 2018).

Assessing the association between the polygenic 1st PC and the phenotypic 1st PC across childhood and adolescence. To assess the extent to which the genetic predisposition for a general psychopathology factor relates to p in childhood, we performed ordinary least square regression analyses of phenotypic p on polygenic p at each age separately by each rater. Age, sex and the first 10 genomic principal components were regressed from all dependent and independent variables, and standardised residuals were used in all linear models.

Results

Common pathway twin models

Common pathway twin models showed substantial heritability for the p factor at each age for all raters (50%–60%). See Figure 1 for parent-rated measures and Figure S1 for teacher-rated and child-rated measures. Figure S2 summarises the heritability estimates for the common factor at each age and for each rater. Shared environmental effects were moderate for the parent-rated common factors (~30%; Figure S1), absent for the teacher-rated common factors (~0%; Figure S1) and weak for the self-rated common factors (~15%, declining with age; Figure S1). Autism traits, conduct problems, antisocial behaviour and psychopathic tendencies loaded the highest on the parent-rated and teacher-rated common factors, while emotional problems, depression and anxiety loaded the highest for the child-rated p factor. We also found substantial specific genetic and environmental variance for all measures suggesting unique influences on psychopathological measures beyond the p factor. See Table S1 for full estimates of common and specific genetic and environmental influences. Table S1 also contains full model-fitting results, and sample sizes of measures, which ranged from 2,216 to 5,592 twin pairs who also had genotype data. See Table S2 for model fit statistics.

Cholesky decomposition of p across development

The Cholesky decomposition of principal components suggests stability of genetic effects on general


psychopathology across childhood and adolescence, in addition to new genetic components at each age, as shown in Figure 2 for parent ratings. Figure S5 shows genetic correlations derived from a correlated factor solution. Age-to-age genetic correlations derived from these results are high, ranging from 0.49 to 0.78 (see Figure S5). Figures S3 and S4 present the Cholesky model-fitting results for shared and nonshared environmental variance components, respectively. Figures S6–S15 indicate phenotypic correlations among psychopathology measures at all ages and for all raters. These correlations are notably similar to genetic correlations from the Cholesky model. Figure S16 shows phenotypic correlations between principal components across age and raters. Parent-rated correlations were the strongest, ranging from .47 to .68, while child and teacher-rated correlations were somewhat weaker, but still substantial (i.e. ~.3 to ~.4 for both). Cross-rater correlations were strongest for child- and parent-rated p factors, ranging between ~.3 and ~.4, and weakest between teacher-rated and child-rated p factors (~.1 to ~.2). Table S3 lists loadings of observed measures on first principal components, which shows that loadings are consistently substantial for all measures, ages and raters. The first unrotated principal component of phenotypic measures accounted for 40% to 50% of the variance across ages and raters (see Table S4, which also shows the sample sizes for each 1st PC, which ranged from 1,391 to 4,490).

Prediction of phenotypic p with polygenic p

A polygenic p score defined as the first unrotated principal component of polygenic scores for mostly adult psychiatric disorders was significantly associated with phenotypic p scores in childhood, predicting 0.3%–0.9% of the variance across ages and raters. See Table S5 for full polygenic prediction results. Prediction was generally consistent across ages and raters, although standard errors are largely overlapping (see Figure 3). Figure S17 shows correlations between the polygenic scores in TEDS used to derive polygenic p . Although these correlations are modest (0.01–0.32), the first principal component of polygenic scores from psychiatric traits explained up to 20% of the polygenic score variability. The loadings on polygenic p (shown in Figure S18) were all above 0.3, apart from for obsessive-compulsive disorder (0.13) and posttraumatic stress disorder (0.18). This could be because the GWA summary statistics for these disorders were derived from smaller samples than the others. Analyses applying to differentially powered summary statistics for the same traits to TEDS data have demonstrated that, as GWA study sample sizes increase, factor loadings on a polygenic p factor are likely to approach those derived from family studies (Selzam et al., 2018).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Colour online, B&W in print



15 Figure 1 Common pathway twin models of p (parent rated) at ages 7, 9, 12 and 16





Figure 2 Additive genetic influences on parent-rated p across age, derived from longitudinal twin model-fitting (Cholesky decomposition)



16 Figure 3 Prediction of phenotypic p with polygenic p by ages and raters. Note: Error bars represent ± 1 standard error

Discussion

For the first time, we systematically quantified the extent to which a single common factor relates to diverse forms of psychopathology across childhood and adolescence using phenotypic, genetic and genomic methods. Phenotypically, our results parallel previous findings, suggesting a common psychopathology factor. We show that p emerges consistently across different measures at different ages and raters. Our genetic results support three main conclusions. First, multivariate twin analyses revealed that 48%–80% of the variance in the common factor was due to genetic influences, depending on age and raters considered.

It is important to note, however, that although we found a consistent and stable genetic p factor across childhood and adolescence, substantial unique genetic and environmental influences indicate that there are also genetic components specific to each trait and each age beyond p.

Second, longitudinal twin model fitting showed that this genetic p factor was stable across time. Third, polygenic prediction analyses demonstrate that there are shared genetic influences connecting childhood psychopathology to general risk for (mostly) adult psychiatric disorders. Even though variance predicted is low (i.e. ~1%), effect sizes are within the expected range considering previous research in this area (e.g. Riglin et al., 2018; Grotzinger et al., 2018; see below). In sum, these analyses provide further evidence that a common genetic substrate permeates the landscape of psychopathology, across measures, ages and raters.

Our common pathway twin modelling analyses, for which we adopted a hypothesis-free approach to the inclusion of measures, show that diverse psychopathological traits contribute to p. Furthermore, it is commonly acknowledged that all psychopathological traits are dimensional traits both at the

phenotypic and genetic levels (Plomin, Haworth, & Davis, 2009). Future research might investigate the extent to which p might extend to other behavioural domains. For example, suggestive evidence of links between p and personality has begun to emerge (Rosenström et al., 2018). In addition, instead of testing competing factor structures, we focused on the common pathway model, since the present study aimed to investigate the most parsimonious highest order part of the hierarchy that we call p. This is further justified by evidence for correlations and heterotypic sequential comorbidity across the internalising and externalising domains (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018).

Differences between raters in our common pathway twin analyses suggested some additional insights. First, inspection of the loadings of psychopathology measures revealed that ‘externalising’ problems relating to conduct and antisocial behaviour contributed most to parent- and teacher-rated common factors, whereas ‘internalising’ problems such as depression and anxiety loaded the highest for the child-rated p factor. This could suggest that parents report on overt behaviours, which might stem from worry and sadness from the child’s perspective. Second, we observed that shared environmental influences were moderate for the parent-report-based p factor, but negligible for self- and teacher-rated p, respectively. This pattern of results is most likely due to rater bias in that parent ratings are based on a single informant rating both twins, whereas for teacher and self-ratings different informants rate each twin (Bartels et al., 2004).

Our longitudinal twin model fitting and polygenic scoring revealed substantial genetic influences on stability of general psychopathology across childhood. Our polygenic score results suggest that these stable genetic influences overlap with those underlying adult psychiatric disorders.

In terms of predictive value, effect sizes of our polygenic p score in association with phenotypic p are weak (~1%). However, these are within the expected range for polygenic prediction of psychiatric traits, and consistent with previous literature on polygenic risk and general psychopathology, whereby current polygenic scores for adult psychiatric traits often explain < 1% of the variance in general psychopathology (Riglin et al., 2018), similar to a polygenic score created from a p factor GWAS (Grotzinger et al., 2018). The predictive accuracy of a polygenic p score will increase as the power of single GWAS of psychiatric traits grows, especially when GWAS go beyond DNA arrays consisting of common SNPs to include all DNA variants as assessed by whole-genome sequencing. In addition, there is increasing evidence that joint multivariate analyses of traits are likely to increase the predictive power of polygenic scores (e.g. Grotzinger et al., 2018; Maier et al., 2018).

Future research could assess influences on different temporal trajectories of p across childhood and adolescence. One study recently showed that polygenic scores for neurodevelopmental disorders (schizophrenia, ADHD) and depression were associated with early adolescent onset depression, whereas later onset depression was only predicted significantly by depression polygenic scores (Rice et al., 2018). This could be repeated with more powerful polygenic p scores.

Notably, some interesting results also emerge about the environment. There are some known general 'environmental' risks for psychopathology such as birthweight, birth complications and childhood maltreatment that are associated with diverse neurodevelopmental outcomes (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Lim et al., 2018). However, we find that nonshared environmental effects contribute less than genetic effects to the general psychopathology factor and its temporal stability. As has been demonstrated in previous studies of specific psychopathology, nonshared environment is largely time-specific, and genetic effects clearly contribute more to stability.

Naturally, through the course of multivariate longitudinal studies like TEDS, there are changes in available measures and informants, which in turn can introduce variability in the pattern of results. That is, our measures of p are not perfect indices of general liability to psychopathology, but reflect the specific measures and raters available at each age. This is problematic when estimating genetic and environmental influences on stability and change in p across time. Specifically, any innovation cannot solely be attributed to p, as it will reflect new influences on new measures that were not available at the previous age. This criticism is difficult to overcome even with the availability of consistent data: exactly the same measure at different time points does not necessarily reflect the same thing. We consider that the availability of

varied measures is a strength rather than a limitation of the present study because this means that our strong evidence for genetic p and genetic stability for p emerges despite the use of different measures. In the cognitive literature on g, this phenomenon is known as the *indifference of the indicator* – any set of diverse cognitive measures yields a strong g factor (Spearman, 1904). Factor loadings were consistently substantial, not only across measures but also across ages and raters. Importantly, the phenotypic correlations between first principal components across time (ranging between ~0.5 and ~0.7) suggest that p indexes a consistent core psychopathology trait.

The fact that we can predict childhood p using polygenic p derived from typically adult case-control genome-wide association studies has several interesting implications. First, it suggests that in young children there are already continuous manifestations of genetic risk for adult case-control psychiatric disorders that are unmeasured in our population-based, developmental sample. Therefore, this extends the insight from twin analyses within our sample that genetic risk for psychopathology at age 7 correlates about 0.50 with genetic risk for psychopathology at age 16. In other words, early onset behavioural and emotional problems are early signs of psychiatric genetic risk. This supports other evidence for the usefulness of early intervention for psychiatric problems. The second implication of the genetic overlap between p in childhood and adulthood relates to research design. Specifically, researchers could increase the power of genome-wide association studies to detect DNA variation associated with general risk for psychopathology by aggregating diverse traits across wide age ranges. One way to implement this is a common factor genome-wide association analysis using Genomic SEM (Grotzinger et al., 2018). Similarly, the modest power of psychiatric polygenic scores to predict traits in childhood could be enhanced using multitrait frameworks to generate predictors that leverage the shared genetic risk between traits (e.g. SMTpred; Maier et al., 2018).

The current clinical zeitgeist focuses on specificity. The recognition that a common factor transcends diverse aspects of psychopathology in childhood is of primary importance, as this knowledge can inform early detection of children at risk in the general population.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article:

Figure S1. Common pathway twin models for child-rated and teacher-rated psychopathology measures by age.

Figure S2. Comparison of twin heritability estimates from common pathway models.

Figures S3–S4. Shared environmental and non-shared environmental influences on p (parent-rated) across age, derived from longitudinal twin model-fitting (Cholesky decomposition).

Figure S5. Correlated factor solution of the longitudinal Cholesky decomposition.

Figures S6–S15. Phenotypic correlations among psychopathology measures used to construct phenotypic p factors.

Figure S16. Correlations of 1st PCs across ages.

Figure S17. Correlations between polygenic scores for psychiatric traits used to construct polygenic p.

Figure S18. PCA results for polygenic p-factor.

Table S1. Additional parameters derived from common pathway twin models of childhood psychopathology in TEDS.

Table S2. Model fit statistics for common pathway twin models of childhood psychopathology in TEDS.

Table S3. Loadings on first principal components of psychopathology measures for each age and rater.

Table S4. Variance explained by 1st PCs for each age and rater.

Table S5. Association statistics for polygenic p across phenotypic p measures.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the ongoing contribution of the participants in the Twins Early Development Study (TEDS) and their families. TEDS is supported by a programme grant to R.P. from the UK Medical Research Council (MR/M021475/1 and previously G0901245), with additional support from the US

National Institutes of Health (AG046938). The research leading to these results has also received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/grant agreement no. 602768 and ERC grant agreement no. 295366). R.P. is supported by a Medical Research Council Professorship award (G19/2). T.C.E. is part funded by the above programme grant from the UK Medical Research Council (MR/M021475/1). This study represents independent research part funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. High-performance computing facilities were funded with capital equipment grants from the GSTT Charity (TR130505) and Maudsley Charity (980). R.C. is supported by an ESRC studentship. A.G.A. has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 721567. J.-B.P. is a fellow of MQ: Transforming Mental Health (MQ16IP16). The authors have declared that they have no competing or potential conflicts of interest.

Correspondence

Andrea G. Allegrini, Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 de Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK; Email: andrea.allegrini@kcl.ac.uk

Key points

- We investigated the underlying structure of p across diverse measures, ages and raters, and consistently found a substantial genetic component, in line with previous theory.
- We showed that this genetic component is stable across time, with influences in childhood being pervasive across development through to adolescence.
- Genomic analyses revealed shared genetic risk between p in children as young as 7 and general risk for adult psychiatric disorders.
- We provide further evidence that, in addition to residual variation specific to each trait, a common genetic substrate permeates the landscape of psychopathology.

References

- Angold, A., Costello, E.J., Messer, S.C., & Pickles, A. (1995). The development of a Questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 5, 237–249.
- Bartels, M., Boomsma, D.I., Hudziak, J.J., Rietveld, M.J.H., van Beijsterveldt, T.C.E.M., & van den Oord, E.J.C.G. (2004). Disentangling genetic, environmental, and rater effects on internalizing and externalizing problem behavior in 10-year-old twins. *Twin Research*, 7, 162–175.
- Brikell, I., Larsson, H., Lu, Y., Pettersson, E., Chen, Q., Kujala, R., ... & Martin, J. (2017). The contribution of common genetic risk variants for ADHD to a general factor of childhood psychopathology. *BioRxiv*.
- Caspi, A., Houts, R.M., Belsky, D.W., Goldman-Mellor, S.J., Harrington, H., Israel, S., ... & Moffitt, T.E. (2014). The p factor: One General psychopathology factor in the structure of psychiatric disorders? *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2, 119–137.
- Caspi, A., & Moffitt, T.E. (2018). All for one and one for all: Mental disorders in one dimension. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 175, 831–844.
- Conners, C.K. (2003). *Conners' Rating Scales-revised: Technical manual*. New York: Multi-Health System Inc.

- 1 Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Lee, P.H., Anttila, V., Won, H., Feng, Y.-C.A., Rosenthal, J., ... & Smoller, J.W. (2019). Genome wide meta-analysis identifies genomic relationships, novel loci, and pleiotropic mechanisms across eight psychiatric disorders: Supplemental Tables 1-18. *BioRxiv*.
- 2
3
4
5
6 Demontis, D., Walters, R.K., Martin, J., Mattheisen, M., Als, T.D., Agerbo, E., ... & Neale, B.M. (2019). Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Genetics*, *51*, 63–75.
- 7
8
9 Dodge, K.A., & Coie, J.D. (1987). Social-information-processing factors in reactive and proactive aggression in children's peer groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *53*, 1146–1158.
- 10
11
12
13 Duncan, L.E., Ratanatharathorn, A., Aiello, A.E., Almli, L.M., Amstadter, A.B., Ashley-Koch, A.E., ... & Koenen, K.C. (2018). Largest GWAS of PTSD (N = 20,070) yields genetic overlap with schizophrenia and sex differences in heritability. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *23*, 666–673.
- 14
15
16
17 Duncan, L., Yilmaz, Z., Gaspar, H., Walters, R., Goldstein, J., Anttila, V., ... & Bulik, C.M. (2017). Significant locus and metabolic genetic correlations revealed in genome-wide association study of anorexia nervosa. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *174*, 850–858.
- 18
19
20
21 Eley, T.C., Bolton, D., O'Connor, T.G., Perrin, S., Smith, P., & Plomin, R. (2003). A twin study of anxiety related behaviours in pre-school children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *44*, 945–960.
- 22
23
24
25 Frick, P., & Hare, R.D. (2001). *The antisocial process screening device*. Toronto, ON: Multi-Health Systems.
- 26
27
28 Goodman, R. (1997). The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *38*, 581–586.
- 29
30
31 Grotzinger, A.D., Rhemtulla, M., de Vlaming, R., Ritchie, S.J., Mallard, T.T., Hill, W.D., ... & Tucker-Drob, E.M. (2018). Genomic SEM Provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. *BioRxiv*.
- 32
33
34
35 Grove, J., Ripke, S., Als, T.D., Mattheisen, M., Walters, R.K., Won, H., ... & Børglum, A.D. (2019). Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nature Genetics*, *51*, 431–444.
- 36
37
38
39 Haworth, C.M.A., Davis, O.S.P., & Plomin, R. (2013). Twins Early Development Study (TEDS): A genetically sensitive investigation of cognitive and behavioral development from childhood to young adulthood. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *16*, 117–125.
- 40
41
42
43 International Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Foundation Genetics Collaborative (IOCDF-GC), & OCD Collaborative Genetics Association Studies (OCAS) (2018). Revealing the complex genetic architecture of obsessive-compulsive disorder using meta-analysis. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *23*, 1181–1188.
- 44
45
46
47 International Schizophrenia Consortium, Purcell, S.M., Wray, N.R., Stone, J.L., Visscher, P.M., O'Donovan, M.C., ... & Sklar, P. (2009). Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature*, *460*, 748–752.
- 48
49
50
51 Jones, H.J., Heron, J., Hammerton, G., Stochl, J., Jones, P.B., Cannon, M., ... & Me Research Team (2018). Investigating the genetic architecture of general and specific psychopathology in adolescence. *Translational Psychiatry*, *8*, 145.
- 52
53
54
55 Jones, H.J., Stergiakouli, E., Tansey, K.E., Hubbard, L., Heron, J., Cannon, M., ... & Zammit, S. (2016). Phenotypic manifestation of genetic risk for schizophrenia during adolescence in the general population. *JAMA Psychiatry*, *73*, 221–228.
- 56
57
58
59 Kessler, R.C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K.R., & Walters, E.E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *62*, 593–602.
- 60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

- development of a UK screen for mainstream primary-school-age children. *Autism*, 6, 9–31.
- Selzam, S., Coleman, J.R.I., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T.E., & Plomin, R. (2018). A polygenic p factor for major psychiatric disorders. *Translational Psychiatry*, 8, 205.
- Silverman, W.K., Fleisig, W., Rabian, B., & Peterson, R.A. (1991). Childhood anxiety sensitivity index. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 20, 162–168.
- 14 Spearman, C. (1904). "General Intelligence", Objectively determined and measured. *The American Journal of Psychology*, 15, 201.
- Tackett, J.L., Lahey, B.B., van Hulle, C., Waldman, I., Krueger, R.F., & Rathouz, P.J. (2013). Common genetic influences on negative emotionality and a general psychopathology factor in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 122, 1142–1153.
- Vilhjálmsson, B.J., Yang, J., Finucane, H.K., Gusev, A., Lindström, S., Ripke, S., ... & Price, A.L. (2015). Modeling linkage disequilibrium increases accuracy of polygenic risk scores. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 97, 576–592.
- Waldman, I.D., Poore, H.E., van Hulle, C., Rathouz, P.J., & Lahey, B.B. (2016). External validity of a hierarchical dimensional model of child and adolescent psychopathology: Tests using confirmatory factor analyses and multivariate behavior genetic analyses. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 125, 1053–1066.
- Williams, J., Scott, F., Stott, C., Allison, C., Bolton, P., Baron-Cohen, S., & Brayne, C. (2005). The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): Test accuracy. *Autism*, 9, 45–68.
- Wray, N.R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M., Trzaskowski, M., Byrne, E.M., Abdellaoui, A., ... & Sullivan, P.F. (2018). Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature Genetics*, 50, 668–681.

Accepted for publication: 9 July 2019

UNCORRECTED PROOF