



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1038/s41565-017-0017-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-017-0017-7)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Wang, P., Krasavin, A. V., Nasir, M., Dickson, W., & Zayats, A. V. (2018). Reactive tunnel junctions in electrically driven plasmonic nanorod metamaterials. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 13, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-017-0017-7>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Reactive tunnel junctions in electrically-driven plasmonic nanorod metamaterials

Pan Wang^{,†}, Alexey V. Krasavin[†], Mazhar E. Nasir, Wayne Dickson, and Anatoly V. Zayats^{*}*

Department of Physics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

^{*}Corresponding author: pan.wang@kcl.ac.uk, a.zayats@kcl.ac.uk

Hot, nonequilibrium carriers formed near the interfaces of semiconductors or metals play a crucial role in chemical catalysis and optoelectronic processes. In addition to optical illumination, an efficient way to generate hot carriers is by excitation with tunnelling electrons. Here we show that the generation of hot electrons makes the nanoscale tunnel junctions highly reactive and facilitates strongly confined chemical reactions which can in turn modulate the tunnelling processes. We designed a device containing an array of electrically-driven plasmonic nanorods with up to 10^{11} tunnelling junctions per square centimeter, which demonstrates hot-electron activation of oxidation and reduction reactions in the junctions, induced by the presence of O_2 and H_2 molecules, respectively. The kinetics of the reactions can be monitored in-situ following the radiative decay of tunnelling-induced surface plasmons. This electrically-driven plasmonic nanorod metamaterial platform can be useful for the development of nanoscale chemical and optoelectronic devices based on electron tunnelling.

Quantum-mechanical tunnelling enables the transport of electrons across a nanoscale gap between two conducting electrodes. The broadband fluctuations in the tunnelling current can excite surface plasmons—the collective oscillations of free electron gas—in metallic nanostructures, providing a method for plasmon excitation with several distinct advantages, including high compactness, fast response, and free of background noise^{1–5}. The electron-to-plasmon conversion efficiency is only about one plasmon per 10^5 electrons in metal-dielectric-metal junctions^{1,5} and scanning-tunnelling-microscope experiments^{2–4}. However, this can be improved by one or two orders of magnitude by nanostructure design (using single optical antennas or their microscale arrays)^{6–8}. In addition, assembling such electrically-driven nanoantennas using metamaterial approaches on a macroscopic scale would allow additional flexibility in engineering the local density of optical states, a crucial step to efficient surface plasmon generation.

Optically excited surface plasmons have recently been proposed for the efficient generation of hot carriers^{9–11}, which can be productively used for chemical catalysis and optoelectronics^{9,10,12–17} if extracted from the metal into its surroundings before they thermalize by heat generation^{10,18}. During the tunnelling process, the majority of electrons tunnel elastically (maintaining their energy), appearing as energetic hot electrons in the receiving electrode¹⁹. Therefore, tunnel junctions can be used to harvest hot electrons for the controllable activation of highly-confined chemical reactions. Since tunnelling is extremely sensitive to any changes in the nanometer scale tunnelling gap^{20,21}, it can be significantly influenced by chemical processes, thus affecting surface plasmon generation due to inelastic tunneling and related light emission. In this manner, tunneling can be used for real-time detection of environmental changes at the molecular level in the junctions, serving as both nanoreactors and sensors, and for the investigation of hot-electron effects.

One prospective metamaterial design to maximally exploit tunnelling effects is an array of

45 electromagnetically coupled nanorods in which each nanorod functions both as a tunnel tip and as an
46 optical antenna (Fig. 1a,b). The typical nanorod areal densities are as high as 10^{10} to 10^{11} cm^{-2} , while the
47 metamaterials can cover macroscopic areas due to the scalable electrochemical fabrication technique.
48 The large surface area provided by this type of metamaterial and the responsiveness to refractive index
49 changes have already been employed for record-sensitivity biological²² and chemical²³ sensing,
50 ultrasound detection²⁴ as well as for water splitting¹².

51 Here, by taking advantage of high-density Au nanorod arrays, we demonstrate the stimulation of
52 hot electrons, surface plasmons and light emission in the metamaterials by electron tunnelling over a
53 macroscopic (up to ~ 1 cm^2) surface area. The large flux of hot electrons makes the tunnel junctions
54 highly reactive as demonstrated here on the example of strongly confined oxidation and reduction
55 reactions involving O_2 and H_2 , respectively. These reactions are monitored either optically by changes in
56 the intensity of light emission ($\sim 50\%$) resulting from the radiative decay of tunnelling-generated surface
57 plasmons, or electrically via tunnelling current variations ($\sim 10\%$).

58

59 **Design of electrically-driven nanorod metamaterials**

60 Experimentally, the plasmonic nanorod metamaterials were fabricated by direct electrodeposition of Au
61 into substrate-supported porous Al_2O_3 templates (see Methods and Supplementary Section 1). Figure 1c
62 shows the surface morphology of an ion-milled (Supplementary Section 2) metamaterial (nanorod
63 diameter $d \approx 50$ nm, length $l \approx 420$ nm, and separation between the nanorods $s \approx 100$ nm). The nanorod
64 tips are several nanometers lower than the surrounding Al_2O_3 matrix (Supplementary Fig. 1). The
65 optical transmission spectra obtained with TM polarized light (Fig. 1d) are dominated by two
66 nearly-merged polarization-dependent extinction peaks as the metamaterial is strongly anisotropic^{25,26}.

67 The reflection spectra reveal the two guided modes supported by the metamaterial slab when
68 illuminated in the attenuated total internal reflection geometry. The counterparts of these modes also
69 exist within the light cone in the low wave-vector region, corresponding to relatively low quality-factor
70 Fabry-Perot modes²⁶.

71 Taking advantage of the nanometer-scale height difference between the Au nanorods and the
72 surrounding Al₂O₃ matrix (Fig. 1c), it is straightforward to construct electrically-driven plasmonic
73 nanorod metamaterials based on metal-air-metal tunnel junctions by using liquid eutectic
74 gallium-indium (EGaIn) as a top contact⁵ (Fig. 2a, see Methods and Supplementary Section 3). When a
75 bias is applied between the Au nanorods and EGaIn, electrons tunnel across the nanometer-scale air
76 gaps from occupied states in EGaIn to unoccupied states in Au nanorods (Fig. 2b). The majority of
77 electrons tunnel elastically, appearing as hot electrons in the Au nanorods. The electrons which tunnel
78 inelastically can excite plasmonic modes in the nanorods forming the metamaterial. The excited
79 plasmons can then either radiate into free-space light via the metamaterial slab modes or decay into hot
80 carriers in the nanorods.

81

82 **Electric excitation of plasmons in metamaterials**

83 A typical current–voltage curve for the device (Fig. 2c) shows that the measured current increases
84 nonlinearly with the increasing bias, confirming electron tunnelling through the air gaps²⁷. The
85 experimental result is in excellent agreement with numerical simulations of the electron tunnelling
86 process (Fig. 2c, see Supplementary Section 4), in which the averaged thickness of the air gaps was
87 estimated to be around 1.15 nm. Strong light emission of a distinctly red colour (an area of
88 approximately 4 mm²) was observed from the substrate side when a bias of 2.5 V was applied (inset of

Fig. 2c). The observed emission is due to the excitation of a metamaterial plasmonic mode via the inelastic tunneling process, which subsequently radiates into free space (Fig. 2b). Due to the large number of effective nanoscale tunnel junctions in the metamaterial (nanorod areal density approximately $1.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), the emission is visible to the naked eye, making signal detection trivial for practical applications as well as providing a large surface area comprised of multiple nanorods for the extraction of hot electrons. The efficiency of the inelastic tunnelling process is estimated to be about 0.1% (Supplementary Section 5), a value which qualitatively agrees with previous experimental observations⁷ and theoretical predictions^{28,29}.

The emission spectra measured under varying forward bias (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Section 6) are relatively broad, typical for tunnelling-based excitation. With an increasing applied bias, the intensity of emission increases gradually (Supplementary Fig. 4), and is accompanied by a blue-shift of the cutoff wavelength. This is expected for light emission generated by inelastic tunnelling electrons^{1,5-7}, as the energies of the emitted photons are always less than the energy of tunnelling electrons (see Methods and Supplementary Section 8). The simulated spectra of the tunnelling-electron-induced emission are in excellent agreement with the experimental observations (Fig. 2e); their shape is defined by the product of the emission spectrum of the tunnelling current source, the modal profile of the metamaterial and the radiation efficiency of the excited modes⁷ (see Methods). Particularly, the field map of the E_x component of the electric field (Fig. 2f) related to the cylindrical plasmon modes of the nanorods, clearly shows that the maximum emitted intensity (Fig. 2e) corresponds to the coupling of the tunnelling-excited surface plasmons to the metamaterial mode (mode 3), which facilitates radiation into the far-field measured in the experiment.

Hot-electron-activated reactive tunnel junctions

Considering that the inelastic tunnelling efficiency is estimated to be $\sim 0.1\%$, approximately 99.9% of the electrons tunnel elastically appearing as hot electrons in the nanorod tips. Under a forward bias of 2.5 V (tunnelling current of ~ 0.085 A, Fig. 2c), hot electrons are generated at a rate of $\sim 10^9$ s⁻¹ in the tip of each nanorod with the same energy of 2.5 eV (different from the plasmon-induced hot electrons with broad energy and spatial distributions³⁰). The highly efficient and confined hot-electron generation makes the tunnel junctions highly reactive and opens up opportunities for modulating the tunnelling processes via the hot-electron-activated reactions. To demonstrate this capability, we stimulated hot-electron-driven oxidation and reduction reactions involving O₂ and H₂ in the junctions which were monitored in real time by observing the modified light emission from the metamaterial.

To make use of the hot electrons for chemical reactions, metal-polymer-metal tunnel junctions were constructed (Fig. 3a) allowing to access the tunnelling region instead of the closed metal-air-metal tunnel junctions (Fig. 2a). Beginning with a nanorod metamaterial ($d \approx 66$ nm, $l \approx 480$ nm, $s \approx 105$ nm), the Al₂O₃ matrix was first chemically etched in order to expose the Au nanorod tips (Supplementary Section 10), so that they were ~ 10 nm higher than the surrounding template facilitating the interaction of tunnel junctions with the gas molecules (Fig. 3b). The nanorod tips were then functionalized with a monolayer of poly-L-histidine (PLH) which works both as a tunnel barrier and a reactant (see Methods and Supplementary Section 11), and finally a droplet of EGaIn was added on top. Under an applied bias, a nonlinear current–voltage curve and associated light emission were observed from the device (Supplementary Fig. 8), confirming tunnelling process in the functionalized nanorod tips.

The electrically-driven metamaterial was then placed in a gas cell and both the tunnelling current and the light emission spectrum were monitored simultaneously (Supplementary Section 13). At the

beginning, the cell was purged with 2% H₂ in N₂ atmosphere and then maintained in pure N₂. When O₂ was subsequently introduced into the gas cell with air, the emission intensity gradually increased reaching twice the original value (Fig. 3c). Then, following the reintroduction of 2% H₂ in N₂, the light emission intensity decreased back to the initial value (Fig. 3d). By cycling the cell atmosphere between air with 26% relative humidity (RH), N₂, 2% H₂ in N₂, O₂, and 2% H₂ in N₂ with 75% RH (Fig. 3e), it was confirmed that the observed changes of the emission intensity, which reflect the modification of the tunnel junctions, were caused by the reaction of tunnel junctions with O₂ and H₂ molecules (Supplementary Section 14). It is worth noting that it takes several minutes to complete the reactions due to the highly-confined metal-PLH-metal tunnel junctions which hinders the fast diffusion of gas molecules.

We have also investigated the effect of the applied bias on the induced chemical processes. This was conducted by firstly measuring the stabilized emission spectrum characteristic of the tunnel junctions in an environment of ambient air or 2% H₂ in N₂ at an applied sensing bias of 2.5 V. Then, the bias was varied to a predetermined test value (2.5, 2.0, 1.5, 1.0, 0.5, and 0 V), the cell atmosphere was exchanged, and the device was kept under this bias for 10 minutes. In order to interrogate the tunnel junction state after the test period, the bias was changed back to 2.5 V, and a sequence of emissions spectra were recorded every 50 s until the device reached saturation. Figure 4a shows the percentage change in emission intensity during the 10-min period under different value of test bias (plotted from Supplementary Fig. 10). It was observed that the chemical reactions involving O₂ (blue bars in Fig. 4a) and H₂ (red bars in Fig. 4a) molecules are highly dependent on the applied bias; the higher the bias, the faster the rate of the reaction. The bias-dependent response is highly indicative of the role of the hot-electron energy on the reactions which depends on the voltage applied to the tunnel junction, as was

discussed above.

In order to further verify the role of hot electrons in the reactions, we studied the reactions in the unbiased ($V_b = 0$ V, no tunnelling-induced hot electrons) tunnel junctions while under external illumination which can generate hot electrons via the optically excited plasmons (Supplementary Section 15). The response to the reaction with O_2 is highly dependent on the illumination wavelength (blue bars in Fig. 4b and Supplementary Figs. 11a–d). The emission intensity increased 100% to the highest level during the test period when the surface plasmons related to the nanorods forming the metamaterial were efficiently excited (500–750 nm illumination, see inset of Fig. 4b), whereas in the case of less efficient plasmonic excitation (>800 nm illumination), the emission intensity change is almost the same as without illumination. This directly confirms the role of hot electrons in the chemical reaction involving the O_2 molecules: when the device is operated under 2.5 V in N_2 , hot electrons are mainly generated in the Au nanorod tips via the elastic tunnelling of electrons¹⁹ (Fig. 2b and process 1 in Fig. 4c); upon the switching of cell atmosphere from N_2 to air, O_2 molecules diffuse into the tunnel junctions and interact with the hot electrons, forming transient negative O_2^- species facilitated via hot-electron transfer from the Au to the antibonding orbital of O_2 and eventually dissociated into O atoms^{14,31,32}. The O atoms subsequently form Au oxides with the surface atoms of Au nanorod tips (although Au is the most resistant noble metal to oxidation in air even at elevated temperature, Au oxides can be formed in highly reactive environments^{33,34}), while the Au oxides can in turn oxidize the PLH monolayer (NH group in the imidazole rings and/or amino groups of PLH near the nanorod tips can undergo oxidative dehydrogenation and coupling reactions)^{14,31,34–36}. The oxidation of the tunnel junctions finally causes a change in the tunnelling barrier that subsequently changes the plasmon excitation and, therefore, the light emission intensity (process 2 in Fig. 4c, optically revealed in Fig. 3c).

177 The reaction induced by H₂ molecules is not influenced by the external illumination (red bars in Fig. 4b
178 and Supplementary Figs. 11e–h). This is due to the formation of Au oxides after reaction in air which
179 can hinder the interaction of plasmon-induced hot electrons with H₂ molecules. And the
180 plasmon-assisted heat generation under the external illumination condition ($\sim 0.1 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$) is not enough
181 to activate the reaction of H₂ molecules with the Au oxides. However, in the case of tunnelling (electric
182 power density of $\sim 5.6 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ under an applied bias of 2.5 V), the elevated temperature in the tunnel
183 junctions ($\sim 63^\circ\text{C}$, see Supplementary Section 16), mainly due to the relaxation of the hot electrons³⁷, can
184 improve the reactivity of H₂ molecules facilitating the reduction of Au oxides (because of the Arrhenius
185 dependence of rate constants on temperature^{38,39}). This subsequently makes the hot electrons accessible,
186 resulting in the dissociation of H₂ molecules into H atoms^{13,40} and then the full recovery of the tunnel
187 junctions (hydrogenation of the oxidized PLH monolayer back to PLH) together with the plasmon
188 excitation and light emission (process 3 in Fig. 4c, optically revealed in Fig. 3d). At the same time,
189 metastable gold hydride can form due to the absorption of H atoms on the nanorod tips^{31,41} (process 4 in
190 Fig. 4c), evidenced by the emission intensity change when the device was purged with N₂ after the
191 reaction with H₂ molecules (black circles in Fig. 3e). It can be estimated that about $10^{-5}\%$ of the input
192 electric energy is used for the chemical reactions during the reaction period limited by small number of
193 available molecules in the nanojunctions (Supplementary Section 17). The chemical reactions influence
194 both the light emission intensity and the tunnelling current when the cell atmosphere was cycled
195 between air and 2% H₂ (Fig. 4d). The change of the emission intensity exhibits an opposite trend to that
196 of the current, which may be attributed to the difference in the inelastic tunnelling efficiencies through
197 pristine and oxidized tunnel junctions.

198 The nanoscale reactive tunnel junctions demonstrated above can also be considered for gas sensing.

Due to the high spatial confinement of the electron tunnelling process and its extreme sensitivity to the junction properties^{20,21,42}, as well as the abundant energetic hot electrons in the junctions, it is promising to develop sensors based on electrically-driven plasmonic nanorod metamaterials with atomic level sensitivity and small footprint. As shown in Fig. 4d, the changes in the emission intensity are about 5 times higher than that of the tunneling current (50% vs 10%), indicating the high sensitivity of optical detection for tunnelling-based sensors (the non-optimized sensitivity for H₂ sensing demonstrated here is about two times higher than the previous result based on Pd-coated Au nanorod metamaterials²³). In addition, by choosing an appropriate material for the functionalization of tunnel junctions, the device can be designed to transduce a variety of chemical and physical stimuli (e.g., gas, molecule, light, or pressure).

Conclusions

We have demonstrated the potential for controlling chemical reactions at the nanoscale using tunnelling-driven generation of hot electrons in metal-polymer-metal gaps. By monitoring either the changes in the tunnelling current or the light intensity due to radiation of the excited plasmonic modes, we have demonstrated both optical and electrical detection schemes that allow for a precise monitoring of the tunnel junction's reactive properties. In addition to the sensing applications, it is evident that the electrically-driven metamaterial platform can also be used as an array of nanoreactors. It can be applied for the *in-situ* studies and real-time monitoring of chemical transformation of molecules functionalized in the junctions, such as the oxidation of aromatic amines or the reduction of aromatic nitro compounds to produce aromatic azo dyes³¹; it can also be applied for the activation of gas phase reactions such as the degradation of volatile organic compounds (e.g., HCHO and CO)¹⁴. Thus, it can work as a

lab-on-a-chip device to assist in developing and understanding new chemical reactions where precise stimulation and monitoring is paramount. In addition to operation in a gas environment or vacuum, it is also possible to use the electrically-driven metamaterial platform for the activation of reactions in liquid environments (such as ethanol, see Supplementary Section 18). By reciprocity, the metamaterial is also capable of harvesting far-field radiation into localized energy such as hot electrons and heat, which is of interest for applications such as photodetection and thermoelectricity^{17,43,44}. Combining the high sensitivity of tunnel junctions with a compact electric excitation method and the large surface area for hot-electron extraction provided by nanorod metamaterials, the described metamaterial platform merges electronics, plasmonics, photonics, and chemistry for the study and manipulation electrons, plasmons, and photons at the nanoscale.

References

1. Lambe, J. & McCarthy, S. L. Light emission from inelastic electron tunneling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 923–925 (1976).
2. Schneider, N. L., Schull, G. & Berndt, R. Optical probe of quantum shot-noise reduction at single-atom contact. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 026601 (2010).
3. Bharadwaj, P., Bouhelier, A. & Novotny, L. Electrical excitation of surface plasmons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 226802 (2011).
4. Dong, Z. G. *et al.* Electrically-excited surface plasmon polaritons with directionality control. *ACS Photon.* **2**, 385–391 (2015).
5. Du, W. *et al.* On-chip molecular electronic plasmon sources based on self-assembled monolayer.

- 243 *Nature Photon.* **10**, 274–280 (2016).
- 244 6. Kern, J. *et al.* Electrically driven optical antennas. *Nature Photon.* **9**, 582–586 (2015).
- 245 7. Parzefall, M. *et al.* Antenna-coupled photon emission from hexagonal boron nitride tunnel junctions.
- 246 *Nature Nanotechnol.* **10**, 1058–1063 (2015).
- 247 8. Bigourdan, F., Hugonin, J. –P., Marquier, F., Sauvan, C. & Greffet, J. –J. Nanoantenna for electrical
- 248 generation of surface plasmon polaritons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 106803 (2016).
- 249 9. Clavero, C. Plasmon-induced hot-electron generation at nanoparticle/metal-oxide interfaces for
- 250 photovoltaic and photocatalytic devices. *Nature Photon.* **8**, 95–103 (2014).
- 251 10. Brongersma, M. L., Halas, N. J. & Nordlander, P. Plasmon-induced hot carrier science and
- 252 technology. *Nature Nanotechnol.* **10**, 25–34 (2015).
- 253 11. Harutyunyan, H. *et al.* Anomalous ultrafast dynamics of hot plasmonic electrons in nanostructures
- 254 with hot spots. *Nature Nanotechnol.* **10**, 770–774 (2015).
- 255 12. Mubeen, S., Lee, J., Singh, N., Krämer, S., Stucky, G. D. & Moskovits, M. An autonomous
- 256 photosynthetic device in which all charge carriers derive from surface plasmons. *Nature*
- 257 *Nanotechnol.* **8**, 247–251 (2013).
- 258 13. Mukherjee, S. *et al.* Hot electrons do the impossible: plasmon-induced dissociation of H₂ on Au.
- 259 *Nano Lett.* **13**, 240–247 (2013).
- 260 14. Christopher, P., Xin, H. L. & Linic, S. Visible-light-enhanced catalytic oxidation reactions on
- 261 plasmonic silver nanostructures. *Nature Chem.* **3**, 467–472 (2011).
- 262 15. Kumar, A., Kumar, S., Rhim, W. –K., Kim, G. –H. & Nam, J. –M. Oxidative nanopeeling
- 263 chemistry-based synthesis and photodynamic and photothermal therapeutic applications of
- 264 plasmonic core-petal nanostructures. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 16317–16325 (2014).

- 265 16. Zhai, Y. M. *et al.* Polyvinylpyrrolidone-induced anisotropic growth of gold nanoprisms in a
266 plasmon-driven synthesis. *Nature Mater.* **15**, 889–895 (2016).
- 267 17. Knight, M. W., Sobhani, H., Nordlander, P. & Halas, N. J. Photodetection with active optical
268 antennas. *Science* **332**, 701–704 (2011).
- 269 18. Govorov, A. O. & Richardson, H. H. Generating heat with metal nanoparticles. *Nano Today* **2**,
270 30–38 (2007).
- 271 19. Lock, D., Rusimova, K. R., Pan, T. L., Palmer, R. E. & Sloan, P. A. Atomically resolved real-space
272 imaging of hot electron dynamics. *Nature Commun.* **6**:8365 doi: 10.1038/ncomms9365 (2015).
- 273 20. Albrecht, T. Electrochemical tunnelling sensors and their potential applications. *Nature*
274 *Commun.* **3**:829 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1791 (2012).
- 275 21. Wang, D. D. *et al.* Tuning the tunneling rate and dielectric response of SAM-based junctions via a
276 single polarizable atom. *Adv. Mater.* **27**, 6689–6695 (2015).
- 277 22. Kabashin, A. V. *et al.* Plasmonic nanorod metamaterials for biosensing. *Nature Mater.* **8**, 867–871
278 (2009).
- 279 23. Nasir, M. E., Dickson, W., Wurtz, G. A., Wardley, W. P. & Zayats, A. V. Hydrogen detected by the
280 naked eye: optical hydrogen gas sensors based on core/shell plasmonic nanorod metamaterials. *Adv.*
281 *Mater.* **26**, 3532–3537 (2014).
- 282 24. Yakovlev, V. V. *et al.* Ultrasensitive non-resonant detection of ultrasound with plasmonic
283 metamaterials. *Adv. Mater.* **25**, 2351–2356 (2013).
- 284 25. Atkinson, R. *et al.* Anisotropic optical properties of arrays of gold nanorods embedded in alumina.
285 *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 235402 (2006).
- 286 26. Vasilantonakis, N., Nasir, M. E., Dickson, W., Wurtz, G. A. & Zayats, A. V. Bulk

- 287 plasmon-polaritons in hyperbolic nanorod metamaterial waveguides. *Lasers Photonics Rev.* **9**,
288 345–353 (2015).
- 289 27. Simmons, J. G. Generalized formula for the electric tunnel effect between similar electrodes
290 separated by a thin insulating film. *J. Appl. Phys.* **34**, 1793–1803 (1963).
- 291 28. Johansson, P. Light emission from a scanning tunneling microscopy: Fully retarded calculation.
292 *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 10823–10834 (1998).
- 293 29. Downes, A., Taylor, M. E. & Welland, M. E. Two-sphere model of photon emission from the
294 scanning tunneling microscope. *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 6706–6714 (1998).
- 295 30. Manjavacas, A., Liu, J. G., Kulkarni, V. & Nordlander, P. Plasmon-induced hot carriers in metallic
296 nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* **8**, 7630–7638 (2014).
- 297 31. Zhao, L. –B. *et al.* Theoretical study of plasmon-enhanced surface catalytic coupling reactions of
298 aromatic amines and nitro compounds. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **5**, 1259–1266 (2014).
- 299 32. Hahn, J. R., Jang, S. H., Kim, K. W. & Son, S. B. Hot carrier-selective chemical reactions on
300 Ag(110). *J. Chem. Phys.* **139**, 074707 (2013).
- 301 33. Canning, N. D. S., Outka, D. & Madix, R. J. The absorption of oxygen on gold. *Surf. Sci.* **141**,
302 240–254 (1984).
- 303 34. Huang, Y. –F. *et al.* Activation of oxygen on gold and silver nanoparticles assisted by surface
304 plasmon resonances. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53**, 2353–2357 (2014).
- 305 35. Huang, Y. –F., Zhu, H. –P., Liu, G. –K., Ren, B. & Tian, Z. –Q. When the signal is not from the
306 original molecule to be detected: chemical transformation of para-aminothiophenol on Ag during the
307 SERS measurement. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 9244–9246 (2010).
- 308 36. Zhao, L. –B., Liu, X. –X., Zhang, M., Liu, Z. –F., Wu, D. –Y & Tian, Z. –Q. Surface plasmon

catalytic aerobic oxidation of aromatic amines in metal/molecule/metal junctions. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **120**, 944–955 (2016).

37. Yalon, E., Riess, I. & Ritter, D. Heat dissipation in resistive switching devices: comparison of thermal simulations and experimental results. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **61**, 1137–1144 (2014).

38. Davis, M. E. & Davis, R. J. *Fundamentals of Chemical Reaction Engineering* (McGraw Hill, New York, 2003).

39. Adleman, J. R., Boyd, D. A., Goodwin, D. G. & Psaltis, D. Heterogenous catalysis mediated by plasmon heating. *Nano Lett.* **9**, 4417–4423 (2009).

40. Mukherjee, S. *et al.* Hot-electron-induced dissociation of H₂ on gold nanoparticles supported on SiO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 64–67 (2014).

41. Sil, D., Gilroy, K. D., Niaux, A., Boulesbaa, A., Neretina, S. & Borguet, E. Seeing is believing: hot electron based gold nanoplasmonic optical hydrogen sensor. *ACS Nano* **8**, 7755–7762 (2014).

42. Pfisterer, J. H. K., Liang, Y. C., Schneider, O. & Bandarenka, A. S. Direct instrumental identification of catalytically active surface sites. *Nature* **549**, 74–77 (2017).

43. Chalabi, H., Schoen, D. & Brongersma, M. L. Hot-electron photodetection with a plasmonic nanostripe antenna. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 1374–1380 (2014).

44. Aradhya, S. V. & Venkataraman, L. Single-molecule junctions beyond electronic transport. *Nature Nanotechnol.* **8**, 399–410 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded in part by ESPRC (UK) and the ERC iPLASMM project (321268). A.V.Z. acknowledges support from the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation. The authors thank William

331 P. Wardley for helpful discussion.

332

333 **Author contributions**

334 A.V.Z. and P.W. conceived the study. P.W. constructed the experiment, performed the measurement and
335 analyzed the data. M.E.N. and W. D. fabricated the plasmonic nanorod metamaterials. A.V.K. performed
336 numerical simulations. All the authors discussed the results and co-wrote the paper.

337

338 **Additional information**

339 Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and permission
340 information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials
341 should be addressed to P.W. or A.V.Z.

342

343 **Competing financial interests**

344 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

345

Figure captions

Figure 1 | Structural and optical properties of plasmonic nanorod metamaterials. **a**, Schematic diagram of the nanorod metamaterial, where d and l represent the diameter and length of the nanorods, respectively, and s is the separation between the nanorods. **b**, Cross-sectional view of a metamaterial showing Au nanorods embedded in a porous Al_2O_3 template. **c**, Surface morphology of an ion-milled metamaterial analysed by atomic force microscopy. The dips are the tips of Au nanorods surrounded by Al_2O_3 . **d**, Experimental dispersion of extinction (for the angles below total internal reflection) and reflection (for the angles above total internal reflection) of the metamaterial for TM-polarized illumination.

Figure 2 | Electrically-driven nanorod metamaterial based on metal-air-metal tunnel junctions.

a,b, Schematics of the device configuration (**a**) and the tunnelling processes (**b**). When a bias is applied between the EGaIn and Au nanorods, electrons tunnel across the junctions from occupied states in EGaIn to unoccupied states in Au nanorods. The majority of electrons tunnel elastically to form hot electrons in the Au nanorod tips; the inelastically tunnelling electrons excite surface plasmons in the metamaterial, which can then decay non-radiatively via the excitation of hot carriers or radiatively into photons from the substrate side of the metamaterial. **c**, Experimentally measured and theoretically calculated current–voltage curves for a device fabricated using the metamaterial shown in Fig. 1. Inset, photograph of the device with an applied bias of $V_b = 2.5$ V. **d,e**, Measured (**d**) and simulated (**e**) emission spectra of the device as a function of the applied forward bias. **f**, Simulated near-field map of the metamaterial plasmonic mode excited via tunnelling and the subsequent radiation of the optical

368 signal into the far field.

369

370 **Figure 3 | Electrically-driven nanorod metamaterial with reactive tunnel junctions.** **a**, Schematic
371 diagram of the device configuration based on metal-polymer-metal tunnel junctions. The Al_2O_3 matrix
372 was first chemically etched in order to expose the Au nanorod tips. The nanorod tips were then
373 functionalized with a monolayer of poly-L-histidine (PLH) which works both as a tunnel barrier and a
374 reactant. **b**, Surface morphology of an etched metamaterial with the exposed nanorod tips. **c,d**, The
375 evolution of the emission spectra of the metamaterial ($V_b = 2.5$ V) when the cell atmosphere was
376 switched from N_2 with 2% H_2 to air (**c**) and from air to N_2 with 2% H_2 (**d**). **e**, Integrated emission power
377 from the metamaterial measured when the cell atmosphere was dynamically changed between air, N_2 , 2%
378 H_2 in N_2 , O_2 , and 2% H_2 in N_2 with 75% RH.

379

380 **Figure 4 | Electro-photo-chemistry in nanoscale tunnel junctions.** **a**, Changes of the emission
381 intensity from the device during 10-min period for different test biases without external illumination,
382 plotted from Supplementary Fig. 10. **b**, Changes of the emission intensity from the device during
383 10-min period under various external illumination conditions without applied bias, plotted from
384 Supplementary Fig. 11. Inset, experimental dispersion of extinction of the metamaterial for
385 TM-polarized illumination. **c**, Schematics of the mechanism for the chemical reactions in the reactive
386 tunnel junction. **d**, Tunnelling current (red hollow squares) and emission power (blue hollow circles)
387 measured when the cell atmosphere was cycled between air and N_2 with 2% H_2 under $V_b = 2.5$ V bias.

388

Methods

Fabrication. Plasmonic nanorod metamaterials were fabricated via Au electrodeposition into nanoporous Al_2O_3 templates on a glass substrate^{22,24,25}. Fabricated metamaterials were then ion-milled (V6000 mill & sputter system, Scientific Vacuum Systems) at an oblique angle of 75° with respect to the normal to the sample surface. Metal-air-metal tunnel junctions were fabricated by using EGaIn ($\geq 99.99\%$ trace metals basis, Sigma-Aldrich) as a top contact. Because the surface of EGaIn is protected by a native skin of highly conductive Ga_2O_3 (<1 nm in thickness), it provides excellent structural stability to the liquid metal so that EGaIn can deform to match the metamaterial surface but not penetrate into the pores, making it possible to form nanometer-scale air gaps above the nanorod tips. Metal-PLH-metal tunnel junctions (Fig. 3a) were fabricated as follows: firstly, a wet chemical etching method was used to make the surrounding Al_2O_3 matrix lower than the nanorod tips; secondly, the exposed Au nanorods were functionalized with a monolayer of PLH ($M_w = 5,000\text{--}25,000$, Sigma-Aldrich) by self-assembly method to form a ~ 1.7 -nm-thickness dielectric layer; finally, a droplet of EGaIn was added to form the top contact.

Optical characterization. The nanorod metamaterial was mounted vertically on a sample holder, which was then connected to a power supply for the electric excitation and an ammeter to monitor the tunnelling current. A 20X objective ($\text{NA} = 0.28$, Plan Apo SL, Nikon) was used to collect the light emission from the substrate side of the metamaterial, which was then redirected to a spectrometer (Triax 332, Horiba Jobin Yvon) equipped with a charge-coupled device for spectral analysis. All the emission spectra were obtained using the same exposure time of 0.5 s, and then normalized using the spectral response function of the apparatus. The plots in Figs. 3e, 4d, S10 and S11 are the integrated intensity

over the measured emission spectra. The long-term and on-off switching stability of the device were also checked (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Numerical simulations. Upon inelastic tunnelling, the emission spectrum from the tunnel junction is defined by a product of the emission spectrum of the tunnelling current source (determined by the Fourier transform of the current fluctuations $C(\omega) \sim \left(1 - \frac{\hbar\omega}{eV}\right)$, where e is the electron charge, V is the applied bias, and \hbar is the Planck's constant^{28,45}), the modal profile of the metamaterial (determined by the local density of states at the dipole position) and the radiative efficiency of the excited modes in free space⁷. Numerically, the emission spectrum was found by placing a dipole with a dipole moment determined by $C(\omega)$ in the tunnelling area and integrating the optical power emitted within the angular range set by the numerical aperture used in the experiment⁶. The dipole characteristic position was chosen to be $r/\sqrt{2}$ from the nanorod axis (r is the nanorod radius) in the direction of the closest nanorod. The dipole moment was set collinear to the z axis along the direction of the tunnelling current⁷. For treatment of the full 3D vectorial electromagnetic problem, finite element numerical simulations (COMSOL software package) were employed. To mimic the emission of the dipole in an infinite (in a lateral direction) metamaterial slab, 6×6 , 8×8 and 10×10 nanorod arrays were modelled, returning essentially the same results, which confirms the validity of the approach for the infinite array of nanorods. In all the models, the simulation domain was surrounded by perfectly matched layers, ensuring the absence of reflection from its boundaries.

Data availability. All the data supporting this research are presented in full in the results section and supplementary materials. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

432 **References**

- 433 45. Rendell, R. W. & Scalapino, D. J. Surface plasmons confined by microstructures on tunnel junctions.
434 *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 3276–3294 (1981).

435







