



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.00218.2019 10.1152/ajpheart.00218.2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Charlton, P. H., Mariscal Harana, J., Vennin, S. M. L., Li, Y., Chowienczyk, P. J., & Alastruey-Arimon, J. (2019). Modeling arterial pulse waves in healthy aging: a database for in silico evaluation of hemodynamics and pulse wave indexes. *American Journal of Physiology (Heart and Circulatory Physiology)*, *317*(5), H1062-H1085. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00218.2019, https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00218.2019

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 2 Modelling arterial pulse waves in healthy ageing: a database for in silico 3 evaluation of haemodynamics and pulse wave indices 4 5 Peter H. Charlton¹, Jorge Mariscal-Harana¹, Samuel Vennin^{1,2}, Ye Li², Phil Chowienczyk², Jordi 6 7 Alastruey ^{1,3} ¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, 8 King's College London, King's Health Partners, London, SE1 7EH, UK 9 ² Department of Clinical Pharmacology, King's College London, King's Health Partners, London, SE1 10 11 7EH, UK ³ Institute of Personalized Medicine, Sechenov University, Moscow, Russia 12 13 Modelling arterial pulse waves in healthy ageing 14 Abbreviated Title: 15 Corresponding Author: Peter H. Charlton peter.charlton@kcl.ac.uk Department of Biomedical Engineering, 4th Floor Lambeth Wing, St 16 Thomas' Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH 17 18 19 20

21 Abstract

22 The arterial pulse wave (PW) is a rich source of information on cardiovascular (CV) health. It 23 is widely measured by both consumer and clinical devices. However, the physical determinants of 24 the PW are not yet fully understood, and the development of PW analysis algorithms is limited by a 25 lack of PW datasets containing reference CV measurements. Our aim was to create a database of 26 PWs simulated by a computer to span a range of CV conditions, representative of a sample of 27 healthy adults. The typical CV properties of 25-75 year olds were identified through a literature 28 review. These were used as inputs to a computational model to simulate PWs for subjects of each 29 age decade. Pressure, flow velocity, luminal area and photoplethysmographic (PPG) PWs were 30 simulated at common measurement sites, and PW indices were extracted. The database, containing 31 PWs from 4,374 virtual subjects, was verified by comparing the simulated PWs and derived indices 32 with corresponding in vivo data. Good agreement was observed, with well-reproduced age-related 33 changes in haemodynamic parameters and PW morphology. The utility of the database was 34 demonstrated through case studies providing novel haemodynamic insights, in silico assessment of 35 PW algorithms, and pilot data to inform the design of clinical PW algorithm assessments. In 36 conclusion, the publicly available PW database (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.2633175) is a valuable 37 resource for understanding CV determinants of PWs, and for the development and pre-clinical 38 assessment of PW analysis algorithms. It is particularly useful because the exact CV properties which 39 generated each PW are known.

40

41 New & Noteworthy

42	Firstly, a comprehensive literature review of changes in CV properties with age was
43	performed. Secondly, an approach for simulating PWs at different ages was designed and verified
44	against in vivo data. Thirdly, a PW database was created, and its utility was illustrated through three
45	case studies investigating the determinants of PW indices. Fourthly, the database, and tools for
46	creating the database, analysing PWs, and replicating the case studies, are freely available.
47	

48 Key terms

- 49 arteries; pulse wave; ageing; database of virtual subjects; blood flow
- 50
- 51

52 1 Introduction

53 The arterial pulse wave is used for physiological assessment in both clinical medicine and 54 consumer devices. The pulse wave (PW) contains a wealth of information on the cardiovascular 55 system (4). It is influenced by the heart, with properties such as heart rate and stroke volume 56 influencing its duration and morphology, and the vasculature, with arterial stiffness and wave 57 reflections influencing its morphology. Consequently, a range of physiological parameters can be 58 estimated from the PW, which are useful for diagnosis, monitoring and clinical decision making. The 59 PW can be easily measured using non-invasive clinical devices, such as oscillometric blood pressure 60 monitors and pulse oximeters. It is also routinely monitored by consumer devices such as smart 61 watches and fitness wristbands (27). As a result, there is scope for obtaining great insight into 62 cardiovascular function from the PW in clinical settings and daily life.

63 The PW has been the subject of much in vivo research. For instance, the physiological 64 determinants of pulse wave velocity (PWV) and late systolic pressure augmentation have been 65 investigated in both large observational studies (98) (37) and smaller interventional studies (106) 66 (168). In addition, techniques for estimating physiological parameters from PWs have been assessed 67 in clinical studies, including: estimating cardiac output from invasive pressure PWs (153); estimating 68 arterial stiffness from non-invasive pressure PWs (69); and, estimating an aortic pressure wave from 69 a peripheral PW (117). Whilst in vivo studies are valuable they do have disadvantages, as described 70 in (171): it can be difficult to measure reference variables precisely (e.g. cardiac output or arterial 71 stiffness); it is difficult to study the influence of individual cardiovascular properties on the PW in 72 vivo, since other properties may change concurrently; it can be difficult to measure PWs at all sites 73 of interest (particularly central arteries); clinical trials are expensive and time-consuming; and, in 74 vivo measurements are subject to experimental error.

75 One-dimensional (1D) computational modelling provides a complementary approach for 76 research into the PW, as it allows PWs to be simulated under different cardiovascular conditions 77 (146). Indeed, in silico studies using computational modelling have been performed to complement 78 the aforementioned clinical studies: the determinants of PWV and pressure augmentation were 79 assessed in (170) (171), and techniques for estimating cardiac output, arterial stiffness, and the aortic pressure wave, were assessed in (116) (157) (151). Whilst there are also disadvantages to in 80 81 silico studies (e.g. reliance on modelling hypotheses), they can provide additional haemodynamic 82 insights which would be difficult to obtain in vivo, and can be used for preliminary design and 83 assessment of PW analysis techniques across a wide range of cardiovascular conditions in a relatively 84 quick and inexpensive manner. Furthermore, the results of in silico studies can be used to inform the 85 design of *in vivo* studies (171), and to confirm the findings of *in vivo* studies (90, 161).

86 The aim of this study is to develop and verify an approach for simulating PWs representative of 87 a sample of healthy adults. Such an approach would be useful for *in silico* studies of haemodynamics 88 and PW indices, as the results could be indicative of those which would be obtained in vivo. The 89 approach presented here combines novel methods with several recent developments in 1D 90 modelling from the literature. The main goals were to: (i) develop methods for simulating PWs 91 during healthy ageing, exhibiting normal physiological variation; (ii) develop a method for simulating 92 photoplethysmogram (PPG) PWs, which are widely measured by pulse oximeters and consumer 93 devices; (iii) create a database of PWs representative of a sample from a healthy adult population, 94 and verify it through comparison with *in vivo* data; (iv) present case studies demonstrating the utility 95 of the approach; and (v) make the PW database and accompanying code freely available to support 96 further research. This builds on preliminary work presented in (23, 24, 31, 34).

97

98

99 2 Materials and Methods

100 2.1 Modelling Arterial Pulse Waves

101 The 1D formulation of PW propagation was used to simulate arterial PWs numerically (108). 102 The computational model was based on that described in (2). It consisted of three key components, 103 as shown in Figure 1. Firstly, the arterial network was decomposed into 116 arterial segments 104 making up the larger arteries of the thorax, limbs and head. Arterial segments were modelled as 105 thin, visco-elastic tubes of constant length and linearly tapered diameter (30). Secondly, a periodic 106 inflow waveform was prescribed as a boundary condition at the aortic root, modelling flow from the 107 left ventricle. Thirdly, terminal 3-element Windkessel boundary conditions were imposed at the 108 outlets of peripheral arterial segments, modelling vascular beds.



Figure 1: The 1D model of pulse wave propagation (left) and simulated pulse waves (right). The model
consists of: an arterial network consisting of arterial segments making up the larger arteries; an aortic

113

inflow waveform prescribed at the aortic root; and lumped boundary conditions at each terminal segment representing vascular beds (adapted from (30) [CC BY 3.0]).

114 The nonlinear 1D equations of incompressible and axisymmetric flow in Voigt-type visco-115 elastic vessels were used to model blood flow, based on the physical principles of conservation of 116 mass, momentum and energy (30). Key assumptions were: laminar flow, incompressible and Newtonian blood (density, $\rho = 1,060 \text{ kg/m}^3$, and viscosity, $\mu = 2.5 \text{ mPa.s}$), parabolic flow and no 117 118 energy losses at bifurcations. The previously described model provided four types of arterial PWs: 119 blood flow velocity (U), luminal area (A), volume flow rate (Q = UA), and blood pressure (P) waves. In 120 this study the model was extended to simulate photoplethysmogram (PPG) PWs by assuming that 121 the PPG is dependent on the volume of arterial blood in a tissue. At the periphery, the PPG PW was 122 calculated from the volume of blood stored in the terminal Windkessel model. Within the arterial 123 network the PPG was calculated from the volume of blood stored in the arterial segment. In both 124 cases the PPG was calculated by normalising the pulsatile variation in blood volume to occupy a 125 range of 0 to 1.

126 For further details of the model, including the geometry of the arterial network and the 127 methodology for simulating PPG PWs, see Appendix, Section 5.1.

128

129 2.2 Prescribing Model Input Parameters for Different Ages Based on a Literature Review

The model input parameters were adjusted to simulate PWs representative of healthy adults at each age decade from 25 to 75 years. The parameters can be categorised as: cardiac, arterial, vascular bed, and blood properties. Referring to Figure 1: the cardiac properties influence the aortic inflow waveform; the arterial properties determine the mechanical and geometrical characteristics of arterial segments; and the vascular bed properties are captured by the components of the vascular bed model. In this section we present a review of the literature describing changes in these properties with age, including findings from 97 articles, and describe the methods used to extract values for the mean and inter-subject variation of each model input parameter at each age decade. The findings for each parameter are presented in the Appendix, Section 5.2. The most reliable studies reporting the mean and inter-subject variation of each parameter at each age were identified using the following criteria: (i) whether the reported change with age was in keeping with the consensus from the review; (ii) the accuracy of the technique used to measure the parameter; and (iii) the nature of the subjects studied (namely their level of health, age range and sample size).



Figure 2: A summary of the literature review findings. The mean (solid line) and standard deviation (dashed lines indicating \pm 1 and \pm 2 SD) values are shown for each parameter. The positive and negative SD values for carotid-femoral PWVs are different to capture the positive skewness of this variable's distribution. The final wave speed plot shows the baseline wave speed as a function of diameter for each age.

143 The typical values found for a sample of healthy adults are shown for each parameter in Figure 2,

and the equations describing them as a function of age are provided in the Appendix, Section 5.2.

145 2.2.1 Cardiac Properties

Cardiac properties were specified to the model through an inflow waveform prescribed at the aortic root (shown in Figure 1). The waveform is affected by: heart rate (HR), stroke volume (SV), left ventricular ejection time (LVET), peak flow time (PFT), reverse flow volume (RFV), and aortic flow waveform morphology. These characteristics are now considered in turn.

150 The vast majority of the identified articles which investigated changes in HR with age (7, 15, 151 36, 45, 54, 70, 83, 96, 103, 104, 109, 122, 125, 128, 129, 132, 133, 137, 142, 148, 174, 175) did not 152 find a change with age (see Table A1). (174) reported a nonlinear change in HR between the ages of 153 28 and 90 in Framingham Heart Study data (n=5,209): an increase until around 55 years in males, 154 followed by a slight decrease until age 70, and a rapid decline thereafter. The change observed in 155 this study was small, with the mean HR varying between 67 and 76 beats per minute (bpm) for 156 males. When combined with the nonlinear nature of the change, and the inclusion of older subjects 157 in this study, this may explain why most other studies did not identify a change. This study was used 158 to model changes in HR with age since it was population-based and far larger than the others. Mean 159 values for each age were obtained by interpolating the male data from this study using shape-160 preserving piecewise cubic interpolation. Values for normal variation in HR were not provided by this 161 paper. Therefore, a standard deviation of 11 bpm was obtained from a population study of 800 UK 162 Biobank participants aged 45-74 years old (119). It was assumed that this value remained constant 163 with age. The HR was prescribed to the model by setting the duration of the inflow waveform, 164 T = 60/HR.

165 The majority of the identified articles (20, 22, 70, 89, 103, 109, 119, 121, 122, 132, 133) 166 indicated that SV decreases with age. The largest study was an analysis of echocardiographic data

acquired from 3,719 subjects (121). This study was chosen to model both the change in SV with age, and normal variation in SV. The mean and standard deviation values for SV at each age were estimated from the upper and lower male reference values by assuming a normal distribution. SV was input to the model by setting the integral of the input flow waveform, Q(t), as $\int_0^T Q(t) dt = SV$, where *t* is time and *T* is the duration of a cardiac cycle.

172 The majority of the identified studies (54, 55, 68, 104, 122, 125, 137, 145, 155, 172) 173 observed no change in LVET with age. Gold standard measurement techniques (echocardiograms 174 and Doppler aortic flow signals) were used in three studies with low numbers of subjects (83, 65 and 175 62 subjects), which all found no change in LVET with age (54, 55, 137). Other studies included data 176 from over 350 subjects, but did not use gold standard measurements, instead using the duration of 177 the systolic portion of the carotid flow or pressure signal (68, 172), the QT interval (104, 155) or 178 phonocardiogram measurements (145). They reported a range of conclusions: no change (104, 122, 179 145), an increase (155), or a small nonlinear change (172). Therefore, it was assumed that LVET did 180 not change with age. A mean value of 282 ms was obtained from (108). Although this is slightly 181 lower than the values of 295 \pm 24 and 306 \pm 22 ms reported in (55, 137), it was chosen because it 182 provided more realistic PW shapes. (55) was used to model normal variation in LVET. Several articles 183 have reported that LVET changes with HR (49, 64, 124, 136, 138, 145, 165, 166, 172) and SV (64, 124, 184 165). Data on the relationship between LVET, HR and SV were reported in (166). The data from 185 normal subjects were used to calculate an empirical relationship,

186
$$LVET [ms] = 244 - 0.926 HR [bpm] + 1.08 SV [ml],$$
 (1)

187 which was used to model the changes in LVET with HR and SV.

There is little information in the literature on how the PFT is affected by age. A study of 82 healthy subjects aged 21 to 78 years found no significant change in PFT with age when measured with gold standard aortic Doppler flow (54). Similarly, a study of 96 healthy subjects aged 19 to 79

Modelling arterial pulse waves in healthy ageing

191 years also found no significant change (MRI measurements at ascending aorta) (15). In contrast, a 192 study of PFT estimated from carotid pressure waves in 56 healthy subjects found a substantial 193 decrease with age (68). Due to the limited and conflicting evidence, it was assumed that PFT did not 194 change with age. A normal value of 79 ± 11 ms was obtained from echocardiography data in (74).

195 The ascending aortic flow waveform typically consists of a positive systolic flow wave, 196 followed by a period of reverse flow (111). There is little information in the literature on RFV. 197 Bensalah et al. found no significant difference in RFV between young and elderly subjects in the 198 ascending aorta (although they did observe an increase in peak backward flow rate with age) (15). 199 Similarly, Svedlund et al. found no difference between the ratios of systolic to diastolic velocity time 200 integrals in the aortic arch between younger and older subjects (154). Therefore, it was assumed 201 that RFV did not change with age. A normal value of 0.73 \pm 0.63 ml was obtained from ascending 202 aortic data from (15).

203 The aspects of the aortic inflow waveform considered so far can be used to specify the 204 integral of the waveform, its duration, and the timings of peak flow and end-systole. There is little 205 evidence in the literature on how the remaining aortic flow wave characteristics vary with age and 206 within age groups. Examples of aortic flow waveforms for young and old subjects are provided in 207 (109) (15) (111), although these are based on measurements from individual subjects. Therefore, it 208 was assumed that the remaining aortic flow wave characteristics did not change with age, or exhibit 209 any variation. The morphology was modelled on the wave provided in (108), since this has been 210 previously shown to give reasonable PW simulations. Details of the methodology used to prescribe 211 an inflow waveform with the desired characteristics are provided in the Appendix, Section 5.3.1.

212 2.2.2 Arterial Properties

The following properties of arterial segments were specified to the model: length, inlet and outlet diameters, wall stiffness, and wall viscosity. These are now considered in turn.

215 Few studies have investigated how arterial lengths change with age. The length of the 216 proximal aorta has been found to increase with age (15, 40, 67, 152). In contrast, the lengths of 217 more distal sections of the aorta (42, 67, 152) and the carotid (152) and iliac (152) arteries have 218 been found to either not change with age, or exhibit a complex change (in one case). Therefore, it 219 was assumed that the proximal aorta (up to and including the aortic arch) lengthens with age, 220 whereas the lengths of other arteries do not change. Baseline lengths for the 25-year old were 221 adapted from those in (3, 108). Relative changes in proximal aortic length with age were modelled 222 using data from (67) since it used reliable methodology (MRI measurements of the aortic arch, 157 223 subjects, aged 18 - 77 years). However, it did not provide age-specific values for the normal variation 224 in length. Therefore, normal variation was modelled using data from (15).

225 Several studies have investigated how the diameters of the aorta (ascending (1, 15, 21, 67, 226 82, 97, 103, 109, 127, 131, 158, 162, 163), descending thoracic (1, 67, 127, 131, 162), abdominal (67, 227 73, 118, 131, 150, 162)) and carotid artery (13, 16, 63, 68, 129, 140) change with age, with the vast 228 majority indicating that both increase with age. In contrast, few studies investigated changes in the 229 diameters of the iliac (73, 118), femoral (13, 139, 140), brachial (57, 66), or radial (16) arteries, and 230 these reported a range of conclusions. Therefore, it was assumed that the diameters of the aorta 231 and carotid artery increase with age, whereas the diameters of remaining arteries are not affected 232 by age. Baseline diameters for the 25-year old were adapted from (3, 108). A study by Hickson et al. 233 (n=157) was used to model changes in aortic diameter with age since it contained data from all three 234 aortic sites, from subjects free of cardiovascular disease and medication, over a wide age range (24 -235 73 years), acquired using MRI (67). However, this study did not provide data on normal variation in 236 aortic diameter. Therefore, normal variation was modelled using data from (1). Changes with age 237 and normal variation in carotid artery diameter were modelled using data from (63), since it used 238 echo-tracking measurements from healthy subjects with a wide age range. The arterial diameters

were prescribed at male age-specific diastolic blood pressure (DBP) values from (100), a study of
4,001 healthy subjects.

241 The literature on changes in pulse wave velocity (PWV) with age was reviewed to identify 242 target PWVs for optimising the stiffness of arterial segments. Many studies have investigated how 243 PWV changes with age in the aorta (9, 10, 12, 15, 56, 62, 65, 67, 81, 92, 98, 100, 103, 107, 112, 128, 244 143, 147, 160) and the arteries of the arms (9, 10, 18, 19, 50, 62, 65, 87, 100, 107, 149) and legs (9, 245 10, 43, 65, 92). The vast majority observed an increase in PWV with age. The largest study reported 246 reference values of carotid-femoral PWV (n = 11,092) according to age and blood pressure (98). The 247 subjects in this study were from eight European countries, free from overt cardiovascular disease, 248 and aged from 15 to 97. Therefore, this study was used to model changes in aortic PWV with age 249 and MAP. We found no similar population-level studies reporting how PWVs at the arm and leg 250 change with age. Instead, relationships between aortic and brachial-radial (arm) and femoral-251 dorsalis pedis (leg) PWVs were calculated from the data in (9) (n = 524). These relationships were 252 then used to calculate desired values for arm and leg PWVs corresponding to the desired aortic 253 PWVs. Following (108, 114, 170), the stiffness of each segment was assumed to be related to its 254 diastolic radius, R_d , using

255 $Eh = R_d \left[k_1 \exp(k_2 R_d) + k_3 \right],$ (2)

where *E* is the Young's modulus, *h* the wall thickness, and k_1 , k_2 and k_3 are empirical constants which were optimised to provide theoretical wave speeds, c_d , in keeping with the desired PWVs (for further details see the Appendix, Section 5.3.2). c_d was calculated as (2)

$$c_d = \sqrt{\frac{2Eh}{3\rho R_d}}.$$
 (3)

260 Wall viscosity, Γ , was calculated following (108) as

$$\Gamma = \frac{b_1}{2R_d} + b_0 \tag{4}$$

where $b_1 = 150$ g cm/s and $b_0 = 600$ g/s are empirical constants, chosen to achieve realistic hysteresis in pressure-area curves at peripheral arteries. Wall viscosity was assumed to remain constant with age as there is little evidence to suggest otherwise (77).

265

266 2.2.3 Vascular Bed Properties

267 It is difficult to assess the properties of vascular beds *in vivo*. Therefore, we considered 268 changes in systemic vascular properties reported in the literature, and used these to inform the 269 expected changes in vascular bed properties.

270 The majority of articles describing variations in systemic vascular resistance (SVR) with age 271 (36, 45, 70, 76, 101, 103, 109, 126, 133) reported an increase with age. However, the two articles 272 with the largest study cohorts (n= 623 and 200) found no change in SVR index (*i.e.* indexed to body 273 surface area) and SVR in men (45, 126). Consequently, it was not clear whether SVR changes with 274 age. Therefore, we calculated peripheral vascular resistance (PVR) values which would result in 275 realistic mean arterial pressure (MAP) values. Changes in MAP with age, and normal variation in 276 MAP, were modelled using male data from (100), the same study used for DBP. Mean values for 277 each age were obtained by interpolating the data using cubic spline interpolation, whilst values for 278 normal variation in MAP were obtained using linear interpolation. The resistance of each vascular 279 bed was adjusted from its baseline value (specified in (108)) to achieve the desired MAP. The total 280 values for each bed were split between each branch feeding into that bed by setting the Windkessel 281 resistances to be inversely proportional to the branch's luminal area (30).

All of the articles identified which investigated changes in systemic vascular compliance (SVC) with age (35, 92, 94, 101, 130) reported a decrease with age. The largest studies estimated large and small artery compliances from brachial and radial pressure PWs (101, 130). These

observed a reduction in both large and small artery compliances with age, indicating that the reduction in SVC with age is not solely caused by changes in larger arteries, but is also contributed to by the rest of the circulation. Therefore, it was assumed that peripheral vascular compliance (PVC) decreased with age. Baseline PVC values corresponding to the 25-year old model were obtained from (108). Changes in PVC with age were modelled using the equation for oscillatory (small artery) compliance provided in (101). Normal variation in PVC was modelled using the results for oscillatory compliance reported in (130).

292 2.2.4 Blood Properties

293 Blood density and viscosity were assumed to be constant since there is little evidence to 294 suggest they change with age (80).

295 2.3 Generating a Database of Arterial Pulse Waves

296 A preliminary set of PWs was created for the 25-year old subject to determine which 297 cardiovascular properties should be varied in the database. PWs were firstly simulated using the 298 baseline cardiovascular properties, and then by changing each property independently by ± 1 299 standard deviation (SD) from its mean value. The resulting PWs at the carotid and radial arteries are 300 shown in Figure 3. Six of the ten properties were found to strongly influence PWs (HR, SV, LVET, 301 diameter, PWV and MAP), whereas the remainder did not (PFT, RFV, proximal aortic length and 302 PVC). Only those properties which strongly influenced PWs were varied at each age to mimic normal 303 physiological variation in the database.



Figure 3: PWs for the 25-year old subject at the carotid artery (left panel) and the radial artery (right panel). The waves shown are at baseline (black), and those obtained when increasing (blue) and decreasing (red) each parameter independently by 1 SD from its baseline value.

A database of PWs was created by simulating PWs for subjects of each age decade from 25 to 75 years. PWs were sampled at 500 Hz. Firstly, PWs were simulated for a baseline subject at each age (using the age-specific mean value for all properties described in Section 2.2). Secondly, PWs were simulated for $3^6 = 729$ subjects at each age by changing the six identified cardiovascular properties in combination with each other by \pm 1 SD from their age-specific mean values. This resulted in 6x729 = 4,374 subjects in the database. Thirdly, the plausibility of each subject was investigated by comparing their aortic and brachial BPs (SBP, DBP, MAP, PP and PP_{amp}) to reference healthy values from (100). A subject was deemed to exhibit implausible BPs if any of the BP measurements were outside 99% confidence intervals calculated as the age-specific mean \pm 2.575 SD.

315

2.4 Extracting Pulse Wave Indices

316 PW indices which are commonly measured in clinical practice or research were extracted 317 from PWs. Firstly, haemodynamic parameters were extracted from flow and pressure PWs at the 318 aortic root. SV, cardiac output (CO), LVET, PFT and RFV were extracted from the flow PW. HR and 319 maximal dP/dt were extracted from the pressure PW. Secondly, systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP), mean 320 (MAP) and pulse pressure (PP) values were extracted from pressure PWs at common measurement 321 sites. Thirdly, pulse pressure amplification (PPamp) was calculated as the ratio of brachial to aortic PP. 322 Fourthly, pulse transit times (PTTs) were measured along the following paths: carotid-femoral, 323 carotid-radial, femoral-ankle, aortic (i.e. aortic root to iliac bifurcation), and between the aortic root 324 and each measurement site. PTTs were measured from pressure waves using the foot-to-foot 325 algorithm reported in (51, 53). PWVs were calculated from the PTTs and corresponding arterial path 326 lengths. Fifthly, indices of arterial stiffness were calculated from the aortic root pressure PW 327 (augmentation pressure and index, and the time to reflected wave) and the digital PPG (modified 328 ageing index, reflection index and stiffness index).

A range of additional PW indices which have been proposed in the literature were also calculated. The timings and amplitudes of the following fiducial points were calculated: P1, P2, systolic peak, and point of maximal dP/dt on the pressure PWs; a, b, c, d, e, systolic peak, diastolic peak, dicrotic notch, and point of maximal dPPG/dt on the PPG PWs. These points were identified

333 using the PulseAnalyse script (described in the Appendix, Section 5.4; see the Endnote for access), 334 which analyses the PWs and their derivatives as shown in Figure 4. P1 and P2 have previously been 335 reported as the first inflection point, and second systolic peak, on the central pressure PW, 336 indicative of the times of maximum aortic flow velocity, and maximum augmentation pressure due 337 to wave reflection, respectively (91). They are used to calculate the augmentation index, as P1 338 occurs at the arrival of a reflected wave, and P2 occurs as the peak of the reflected wave. In 339 addition, the following values were calculated at the aortic root: the volume of flow up to each of 340 the times of P1 and P2, and the flow velocity at P1 and P2. Finally, the mean, maximum and 341 minimum values of the Q, U and A PWs were extracted.





Figure 4: Pulse wave (PW) analysis, illustrated for a radial pressure PW. (a) Fiducial points were identified on the PW, and its first and second derivatives; (b) several pulse wave indices were calculated from the amplitudes and timings of these fiducial points, including those shown.

347 2.5 Comparison with In Vivo Data

348 The PW database was verified by comparing the simulated PWs with two sets of in vivo data 349 from healthy subjects. Firstly, the shapes of simulated PWs for virtual subjects of different ages were 350 compared with in vivo PWs at different ages obtained from: (46) [CC BY]; normotensive subjects 351 during screening for hypertension (including aortic root pressure PWs estimated using a transfer 352 function) (90); and, the Vortal dataset (28, 29) [CC BY 3.0]. Additional comparisons of PW shapes 353 were performed using data from (5, 6, 41, 48, 68, 79, 101, 102, 173) (results not shown). Secondly, 354 the haemodynamic characteristics of the simulated PWs were compared to the in vivo 355 haemodynamic values reported in (100).

356 2.6 Case Studies

The utility of this approach for simulating PWs is demonstrated in three case studies. In the 357 358 first study, we investigated the determinants of changes in pulse pressure amplification (PP_{amp}) with 359 age. To do so, we assessed the effects of age on early systolic amplification and late systolic aortic 360 pressure augmentation, quantified as PP_{amp} calculated using the aortic PP at P1 and P2 respectively. 361 Secondly, we investigated how well the following finger PPG PW indices correlate with aortic PWV: 362 RI, reflection index (38); SI, stiffness index (105); and AGI_{mod}, modified ageing index (159). Reference 363 aortic PWV was calculated from pressure PWs using the foot-to-foot method (53), correlations were assessed using the coefficient of determination (R², the square of the Pearson correlation 364 365 coefficient) and the determinants of the indices were assessed using the relative sensitivity index 366 (which indicates the percentage change in a PW index associated with a change in model input 367 parameter of 1 SD from baseline (170)). In the third study, we assessed how well algorithms for 368 tracking cardiac output (CO) perform during changes in CO and MAP from baseline. Two algorithms 369 were implemented to estimate CO from the radial pressure PW based on the 2-element Windkessel 370 model of the circulation (25). The first algorithm is based on the assumption that CO is proportional 371 to the root-mean-square of the radial pressure PW (25). The second algorithm is based on the

assumption that CO is proportional to the ratio of PP to compliance, approximated as $PP/(T \times (SBP + DBP))$, where T is the PW duration, SBP and DBP are systolic and diastolic BP, and compliance is assumed to be proportional to mean BP (93, 116). These algorithms were chosen as it has been reported that similar algorithms are used in commercial devices (176). The algorithms were calibrated using the age-specific baseline simulations. Performance was assessed using the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) of estimated COs in simulations in which either CO (*i.e.* HR or SV), or MAP were varied whilst all other parameters were held at baseline.

379

380 **3 Results**

381 3.1 Database Characteristics

The PWs contained within the database are illustrated in Figure 5. There are marked differences between PWs at different sites, such as: the increase in systolic pressure and the transition from an A- to C-type pressure wave shape with distance from the aortic root (109); the genesis of a diastolic peak in flow velocity in the limbs, which is accompanied by diastolic peaks in the other PWs at limb sites; and the genesis of a second systolic peak in flow velocity at the carotid artery, accompanied by second systolic peaks in area and PPG PWs at the temporal artery, which bifurcates from the carotid artery.

A total of 537 out of the 4,374 virtual subjects exhibited BPs outside of healthy ranges. This was predominantly due to abnormal PP (observed in 431 subjects) and abnormally high PP_{amp} (90 of the remainder). Most of the subjects with abnormally high PP had increased PWV, and often had at least one of increased SV, increased MAP, and decreased large artery diameter. The subjects with abnormally low PP had the opposite characteristics: decreased PWV, and at least one of decreased SV, decreased MAP, and increased diameter. Most of the remaining subjects with abnormally high PP_{amp} had decreased PWV, and often increased HR or decreased MAP. The proportion of subjects

- exhibiting implausible BPs increased with age (from 3% of 25 year olds to 32% of 75 year olds). Only
- those subjects with BPs within healthy ranges were included in the following analyses.
- 398



400 Figure 5: The pressure (P), flow velocity (U), luminal area (A) and photoplethysmogram (PPG) 401 pulse waves simulated at common measurements sites for the baseline 25-year old subject 402 (adapted from (30) [<u>CC BY 3.0</u>]).

403	The haemodynamic characteristics of the PW database are summarised in Table 1, showing
404	the wide range of cardiovascular physiology exhibited by subjects in the database, both across the
405	whole age range and at each age. Some of the parameters were prescribed to the model and were
406	therefore pre-determined, such as heart rate and proximal aortic length. In contrast, many of the
407	haemodynamic PW parameters were not prescribed directly, but were determined from simulated
408	PWs, such as systolic blood pressure, pulse pressure amplification, and carotid augmentation index.
409	There were marked changes in these resultant parameters with age, indicating that the different
410	values of input parameters prescribed at each age did result in changes in PW shape as seen in vivo.

Table 1: The haemodynamic characteristics of the PW database for all physiologically plausible virtual subjects (n = 3,837) and for the subjects at each age, from 25 to 75 years old. Shown as mean \pm standard deviation.

Number of physiologically plausible subjects 3,837 712 684 654 641 588 558 Cardiac - - - - 73.0 ± 9.1 76.3 ± 9.1 77.0 ± 9.0 77.0 ± 9.1 76.3 ± 9.0 74.4 ± 9.0 - NR: Heart rate [bpm] 75.6 ± 9.2 73.0 ± 9.1 76.3 ± 9.1 77.0 ± 9.0 77.0 ± 9.1 76.3 ± 9.0 74.4 ± 9.0				
Cardiac				
- HR: Heart rate [bpm] 75.6±9.2 73.0±9.1 76.3±9.1 77.0±9.0 77.0±9.1 76.3±9.0 74.4±9.0				
- SV: Stroke volume [mi] 60.4 ± 12.4 66.8 ± 13.1 64.1 ± 12.5 61.3 ± 11.6 58.7 ± 11.1 55.8 ± 10.4 53.6 ± 9.8				
- CO: Cardiac output [l/min] 4.57 ± 1.09 4.88 ± 1.13 4.90 ± 1.13 4.72 ± 1.06 4.52 ± 1.02 4.25 ± 0.95 3.99 ± 0.86				
- LVET: Left ventricular ejection time [ms] 283 ± 23 283 ± 23 284 ± 23 283 ± 23 283 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282 ± 23 282				
- dP/dt: Maximum aortic value [mmHg/s] 573 ± 127 585 ± 130 572 ± 132 573 ± 126 570 ± 128 568 ± 119 568 ± 122				
- PFT: Peak flow time [ms] 80.0 ± 0.2 79.9 ± 0.4 80.0 ± 0.0 80.0 ± 0.0 80.0 ± 0.0 80.0 ± 0.1 80.0 ± 0.2				
- Reverse flow volume [ml] 0.7 ± 0.0 0.7 ± 0.0 0.7 ± 0.0 0.7 ± 0.0 0.7 ± 0.0 0.7 ± 0.0 0.8 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1				
Arterial				
- Aortic pressure [mmHg]: SBP 108.8 ± 10.1 100.1 ± 8.0 104.6 ± 8.4 110.1 ± 8.4 111.9 ± 8.7 113.6 ± 8.7 115.1 ± 9.4				
- DBP 75.9±6.7 74.7±5.7 77.3±6.0 78.9±6.1 77.4±6.2 74.8±6.6 71.7±7.2				
- MAP 93.9±6.5 89.2±6.2 92.8±6.1 96.3±6.1 96.2±6.0 95.4±5.9 94.2±5.8				
- PP 32.9±11.1 25.4±7.0 27.3±8.3 31.3±8.5 34.5±9.4 38.9±10.2 43.4±12.3				
- Brachial pressure [mmHg]: SBP 118.1 ± 9.2 112.3 ± 8.7 115.9 ± 9.1 120.4 ± 8.6 120.6 ± 8.5 120.2 ± 8.3 120.1 ± 8.7				
- DBP 73.4±6.7 72.0±5.6 74.5±6.1 76.3±6.2 75.0±6.3 72.3±6.6 69.5±7.1				
- MAP 93.7±6.6 88.9±6.1 92.5±6.3 96.1±6.1 96.1±6.0 95.2±5.9 94.0±5.8				
- PP 44.7±10.2 40.3±8.2 41.5±9.2 44.1±9.1 45.6±9.6 47.9±9.8 50.6±11.5				
$- \ \ \text{Pulse pressure amplification (ratio)} \qquad 1.41 \pm 0.21 \qquad 1.62 \pm 0.15 \qquad 1.56 \pm 0.16 \qquad 1.44 \pm 0.13 \qquad 1.35 \pm 0.13 \qquad 1.26 \pm 0.11 \qquad 1.19 \pm 0.10 \qquad 1.91 \pm 0.10 \qquad 1.11 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.10 \qquad 1.11 \pm 0.11 = 0.11 \pm 0.11 = 0.11$				
- Augmentation pressure (carotid) [mmHg] 8.0 ± 8.2 0.6 ± 3.0 2.5 ± 3.6 5.9 ± 4.4 9.4 ± 5.2 13.9 ± 6.4 18.8 ± 8.4				
- Augmentation index (carotid) [%] 20.6 ± 16.8 2.3 ± 10.4 8.4 ± 10.7 17.8 ± 10.2 25.9 ± 9.4 34.3 ± 8.9 41.5 ± 9.1				
- Time to reflected wave (carotid) [ms] 102.3 ± 19.3 122.4 ± 9.1 115.6 ± 11.7 104.7 ± 13.0 96.2 ± 13.9 87.2 ± 12.9 80.2 ± 13.2				
- Pulse wave velocity [m/s]: aortic 7.6 ± 1.7 5.9 ± 0.6 6.5 ± 0.8 7.3 ± 0.9 8.0 ± 1.1 8.9 ± 1.3 9.7 ± 1.6				
- carotid-femoral 8.1±1.8 6.3±0.7 6.9±0.9 7.8±0.9 8.5±1.1 9.5±1.4 10.4±1.9				
- brachial-radial 10.7±1.7 8.9±0.6 9.5±0.8 10.4±0.8 11.1±1.0 12.0±1.3 12.8±1.6				
- femoral-ankle 10.3 ± 1.7 8.7 ± 0.9 9.2 ± 1.1 10.1 ± 0.8 10.7 ± 1.0 11.6 ± 1.2 12.4 ± 1.5				
- Diameter [mm]: ascending aorta 39.4 ± 3.5 36.7 ± 2.6 37.8 ± 2.7 39.0 ± 2.8 40.2 ± 2.9 41.4 ± 3.0 42.6 ± 3.0				
- descending thoracic aorta 26.3 ± 2.3 24.4 ± 1.7 25.2 ± 1.8 26.0 ± 1.9 26.8 ± 1.9 27.6 ± 2.0 28.3 ± 2.0				
- abdominal aorta 15.6±1.3 14.5±1.0 15.0±1.1 15.4±1.1 15.9±1.1 16.3±1.2 16.8±1.2				
- Length of proximal aorta [mm] 95.1 ± 10.9 80.0 ± 0.0 86.4 ± 0.0 92.8 ± 0.0 99.2 ± 0.0 105.6 ± 0.0 112.0 ± 0.0				
- Modified Ageing Index [au] -0.78 ± 0.46 -0.98 ± 0.24 -1.00 ± 0.25 -0.89 ± 0.33 -0.76 ± 0.43 -0.56 ± 0.52 -0.41 ± 0.59				
- Reflection Index [au] 0.28 ± 0.14 0.18 ± 0.08 0.21 ± 0.10 0.27 ± 0.11 0.31 ± 0.11 0.36 ± 0.12 0.41 ± 0.13				
- Stiffness Index [m/s] 7.8 ± 2.4 6.2 ± 1.0 6.7 ± 1.1 7.5 ± 1.0 8.1 ± 1.6 8.9 ± 2.8 10.3 ± 3.4				
Vascular Beds				
- Systemic vascular resistance [10 ⁶ Pa s/m ³] 173.7 ± 42.5 153.8 ± 34.5 159.5 ± 36.5 171.2 ± 38.3 178.9 ± 41.0 188.6 ± 43.8 198.1 ± 45.1				
$- \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$				
- Time constant [s] 1.07 ± 0.39 1.30 ± 0.41 1.22 ± 0.42 1.12 ± 0.36 1.02 ± 0.32 0.90 ± 0.28 0.82 ± 0.26				



Figure 6: A comparison between simulated and *in vivo* pulse wave (PW) shapes. Each pair of plots shows *in vivo* PWs on the left, and simulated PWs on the right. PWs are shown for different ages in each plot, offset and normalised. Legends indicate ages. *In vivo* data obtained from (46) [<u>CC BY</u>], normotensive patients undergoing screening for hypertension (90), and the Vortal dataset (28, 29) [<u>CC BY 3.0</u>].

412 3.2 Comparison with In Vivo Data

A selection of the simulated PWs are compared to PWs from the literature in Figure 6. PWs from both the PW database (simulated) and the literature (*in vivo*) are shown for young, middleaged, and elderly subjects. The shapes of the simulated PWs changed with age in a similar manner to

416	the in vivo PWs: (i) the amplitude of the secondary systolic peak in middle cerebral U PWs increased
417	with age; (ii) the augmentation in the secondary systolic peak of the carotid and ascending aorta
418	pressure PWs increased with age; (iii) the diastolic peak in the radial, digital and femoral (not shown)
419	pressure PWs was present for the 25-year old and disappeared with age; (iv) the diastolic peak of
420	the finger PPG PW disappeared with age; (v) the two systolic peaks in the ear PPG merged with age.
421	The haemodynamic characteristics of the simulated PWs are compared with those in the
422	literature in Figure 7. The changes with age were mostly similar between the literature (left hand
423	plots) and simulated (right hand plots) characteristics: aortic systolic and pulse pressures increased
424	with age; pulse pressure amplification (PP_{amp}) decreased with age; the time to the return of the
425	reflected pressure wave (Tr) decreased with age; and pressure augmentation increased with age (AIx
426	and AP). However, brachial PP increased with age, rather than decreasing and then increasing with
427	age. This was because the brachial SBP was slightly lower than in the literature at ages 25 and 35.
428	Overall, these similarities indicate that the haemodynamic characteristics of the simulated PWs
429	showed similar trends, and in most cases similar absolute values, to those reported in the literature.



Figure 7: A comparison between *in vivo* haemodynamic characteristics (left hand plots) and the characteristics of the simulated pulse wave dataset (right hand plots). Solid lines indicate mean values, and dashed lines indicate \pm 1 standard deviation. *In vivo* data from source (100), reused with confirmation from the publisher that permission was not required for reuse.

438 3.3 Case Studies

439 3.3.1 The Determinants of Changes in Pulse Pressure Amplification with Age

440 The profiles of pressure PW propagation from the aorta to the brachial artery were 441 examined in young and elderly subjects, as shown in Figure 8 (a) and (b). The profiles demonstrate 442 that two mechanisms influence pulse pressure amplification (PP_{amp} = PP_b / PP_a ; subscripts 'a' and 'b' 443 indicate aortic and brachial, respectively). Firstly, the early systolic portion was amplified in both 444 subjects, causing SBP_b to be greater than SBP_a and therefore PP_{amp} > 1. Secondly, late systolic aortic 445 pressure augmentation (the increase in pressure from P1a to P2a) was higher in older subjects, 446 increasing PP_a and decreasing PP_{amp}. The contributions of these mechanisms to PP_{amp} for the whole 447 database are illustrated in Figure 8 (c). The amplification of the early systolic portion increased with 448 age, as shown in red by PP_b / ($P1_a - DBP_a$). In contrast, the increase in late systolic aortic pressure 449 augmentation with age (in blue) caused a decrease in PP_b / ($P2_a - DBP_a$) with age. The effect of aortic 450 pressure augmentation outweighed that of early systolic amplification, meaning PPamp decreased 451 substantially with age, in keeping with in vivo studies (Figure 7). The database can be used to gain 452 insight into the cardiovascular determinants of these mechanisms: early systolic amplification was 453 determined primarily by the diameter of the larger arteries, and late systolic aortic pressure 454 augmentation was largely determined by PWV and LVET, as shown in Figure 8 (d) and (e). Indeed, since PP_{amp} was primarily determined by late systolic aortic pressure augmentation, it was largely 455 456 determined by arterial stiffness (i.e. PWV) and LVET, as shown in Figure 8 (f). The change in PPamp 457 observed with age was primarily due to changes in aortic pressure wave morphology.



Figure 8: The causes of changes in pulse pressure amplification (PP_{amp}) with age: (a) and (b) show how the pressure pulse wave (PW) changed with distance along the path from the aortic root to the finger for young and elderly baseline subjects (blue indicates PWs in the subclavian and brachial arteries). (c) shows PP_{amp} values (mean \pm SD) calculated using aortic DBP and: SBP (black), early systolic pressure (P1_a, red) and late systolic pressure (P2_a, blue). (d) , (e) and (f) show the principal cardiovascular determinants of early systolic amplification, late systolic augmentation and PP_{amp} respectively

459 3.3.2 Non-Invasive Peripheral Assessment of Aortic Stiffness

460 The performance of the PPG-derived indices for assessing aortic stiffness is shown in Figure 461 9. All three correlated with aortic PWV, with similar coefficient of determination (R^2) values ranging 462 from 0.66 – 0.70 (upper plots). This indicates that these indices may have utility for assessing aortic 463 stiffness, in line with findings of clinical studies. However, the R^2 values for the reflection index (RI) 464 and stiffness index (SI) were lower when using only data from middle-aged (45 year old) virtual 465 subjects (shown in red), indicating that these indices may be less useful for stratifying middle-aged 466 patients. The sensitivity analyses in the lower plots quantify the relative impact of different input 467 parameters on the indices. Several cardiovascular properties in addition to PWV influenced the 468 indices, such as HR and SV. For instance, the RI and SI both increased with large artery diameter. 469 Since large artery diameter and aortic PWV both increase with age, this strengthened their correlations with aortic PWV across the age range. In contrast, the AGI_{mod} was not strongly 470 471 influenced by large artery diameter, and performed better both across the age range and when 472 considering only middle-aged subjects. This in silico assessment of PPG-derived indices for assessing 473 aortic stiffness indicates that: (i) clinical studies should investigate performance over a small age 474 range as well as over the entire cohort to assess the potential utility of indices for stratifying 475 patients; (ii) the AGI_{mod} may provide best performance for stratification of middle-aged patients; (iii) 476 indices can also be influenced by HR and SV, indicating that it may be beneficial to assess 477 performance when these cardiovascular properties are varied in vivo.



Figure 9: The correlation of PPG-derived PW indices with aortic PWV (upper plots), and their physiological determinants (lower plots). Data derived for all virtual subjects are shown in black, whereas red indicates data from 45 year old subjects. Definitions: I, relative sensitivity index; RI, reflection index; SI, stiffness index; and AGI_{mod}, modified ageing index.

486 3.3.3 Cardiac Output Monitoring

487 The performance of the CO algorithms is shown in Figure 10. Overall, the root-mean square 488 (RMS) algorithm performed better with a mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) of 5.5% 489 compared to 18.2% for the pulse pressure (PP) algorithm. However, a subgroup analysis of 490 performance during changes in MAP and CO revealed that the algorithms had different strengths 491 and weaknesses. The PP algorithm performed better during changes in MAP (MAPE of 2.2% 492 compared to 7.9%), whereas the RMS algorithm performed better during changes in CO (MAPE of 493 1.0% compared to 16.2%). Therefore, different algorithms may be more appropriate for different 494 clinical settings. For instance, in the critical care setting CO algorithms should ideally remain accurate 495 during administration of vasoactive drugs, which can affect MAP (105). Furthermore, clinical studies 496 should assess the performance of CO algorithms during changes in those cardiovascular properties

- 497 which would be expected to change in clinical use. Had this study only considered changes in CO,
- 498 and not MAP, then the potential weakness of the RMS algorithm would not have been identified.



- 501 Figure 10: Estimated versus reference cardiac output (CO) for root-mean-square (left) and pulse 502 pressure (right) CO algorithms. Data in red and blue correspond to simulations in which either 503 MAP or CO respectively were changed from baseline whilst all other parameters were held 504 constant.

516 4 Discussion

517 In this study we developed and verified an approach for simulating PWs representative of a 518 sample of healthy adults. 1D numerical modelling was used to simulate PWs for virtual subjects of 519 different ages, where the input parameters were based on normal values and ranges of 520 cardiovascular properties obtained from a comprehensive review of previous studies. The simulated 521 PWs exhibited similar changes with age to those reported in *in vivo* studies, including changes in PW 522 shape and in haemodynamic parameters derived from PWs. The utility of this approach for gaining 523 novel insights into haemodynamics and PW indices was demonstrated through three case studies. 524 The approach for simulating PWs, the resulting PW Database, and the accompanying code are 525 valuable resources for future in silico studies of haemodynamics and PW indices.

526 4.1 Approach for Simulating PWs

527 We used 1D modelling combined with a comprehensive review of cardiovascular changes 528 with age to simulate PWs around the body for healthy subjects of different ages. The use of 1D 529 modelling allowed us to simulate PWs at a range of common measurement sites similarly to 530 previous studies (108, 170), incorporating the effects on PW propagation of changing arterial 531 properties through the arterial tree. The model input parameters were adjusted to simulate PWs for 532 different ages. The input parameters were based on a literature review which identified normal 533 values and ranges of the parameters, building on previous reviews (17, 47, 78, 84–86, 99, 110, 113, 534 135). Parameters were changed with age, allowing the effects of ageing to be investigated, and were 535 also varied within normal ranges at each age, allowing the influences of individual parameters to be 536 elucidated. This builds on previous work modelling changes with age in (34, 39, 59, 60, 95, 115, 120). 537 Particular strengths to this approach are as follows. Firstly, it incorporates relationships 538 between some input parameters, including the dependencies of: LVET on SV and HR; and arterial 539 stiffness on MAP and arterial geometry. Secondly, it simulates the PPG, which is of particular interest given the widespread use of PPG sensors in smart watches and fitness bands. We simulated the PPG from the blood volume in terminal Windkessel models because pulsatile blood volume is commonly cited as the main determinant of the PPG (4). Other approaches which have previously been used to simulate the PPG in 1D modelling include: assuming the PPG is proportional to A (44), and using a transfer function to estimate the PPG from P (30). This methodology for simulating the PPG needs further investigation to understand whether it is truly representative of PPG PWs measured *in vivo*.

The approach was verified by comparing changes in simulated PWs with age to those observed *in vivo*. The main finding, that simulated PWs exhibited similar changes to those observed *in vivo*, provides confidence that the approach produces realistic changes with age. This is complementary to previous studies which used 1D modelling to simulate PWs at different ages (59, 61, 115).

551 The main limitations to the approach are as follows. Firstly, the literature review included 552 mostly cross-sectional rather than longitudinal studies. Consequently, the differences in simulated 553 PWs with age can be expected to be representative of those which would be observed between 554 subjects of different ages, rather than those which occur within an individual over time. Secondly, 555 we found only minimal evidence in the literature describing how some CV properties change with 556 age, namely: PVC and the diameters of more peripheral arteries. Thirdly, insufficient evidence was 557 found to model the associations between certain parameters. For instance, the subjects with 558 abnormally high PP (described in Section 3.1) mostly had combinations of cardiovascular properties 559 which would be expected to produce high PP; e.g. due to increased SV and/or decreased arterial 560 compliance (35). It would be helpful to incorporate further information on correlations between 561 parameters, such as those which influence PP, when it becomes available in the literature: doing so 562 may reduce the number of subjects exhibiting BPs outside healthy ranges. Fourthly, the approach 563 does not incorporate methodology for adjusting the arterial network geometry in line with variation 564 in height and body surface area, an important consideration when investigating gender-associated

565 differences in haemodynamics (134). This may be a valuable extension in the future as it would allow 566 for investigation of the influence of network geometry on haemodynamics, such as the influence of 567 height on aortic pressure augmentation (11, 71, 72) and pulse pressure (88). Indeed, incorporating 568 gender-specific cardiovascular properties could provide valuable insight into the determinants of 569 differences in PW features between females and males (100). Fifthly, the PW database is designed to 570 be representative of healthy adults: it may be helpful to adapt it to study PWs in diseases such as 571 hypertension and peripheral arterial disease. It should also be noted that PPG PWs can only be 572 measured at peripheral locations (such as the finger, wrist and arm). Consequently, simulated PPG 573 PWs at central locations (such as the aorta) are currently not of practical significance.

574 4.2 Application

575 The utility of the approach for simulating PWs was demonstrated through case studies 576 which present interesting findings in keeping with *in vivo* studies, and indicate directions for future 577 research.

578 The first case study provided insight into the mechanisms underlying changes in PP_{amp} with 579 age. PP_{amp} has previously been proposed as an indicator of cardiovascular risk suitable for use in 580 population studies (14). If it is to be used for this purpose then it is important to have a thorough 581 understanding of the mechanisms behind it. The first mechanism identified in this study, the 582 increased contribution of late systolic aortic pressure augmentation with age, has also been 583 observed in in vivo studies (8, 123, 144, 169). In this case study, the controlled changes in 584 cardiovascular properties in the database were used to identify the determinants of late systolic 585 aortic pressure augmentation: arterial stiffness and cardiac ejection properties, as observed 586 previously (52, 161). The second mechanism, the contribution of early systolic pressure 587 amplification, has been less well reported. A non-significant trend of increased early systolic 588 pressure amplification with age was reported in (167). This case study adds evidence to support this finding, and indicates that this mechanism may be more pronounced in subjects aged 75 years andolder.

591 The second and third case studies investigated the performance of PW indices for assessing 592 aortic stiffness and CO. This approach of assessing PW indices in silico could inform the design of 593 future clinical studies. In both case studies the PW indices were found to be influenced by other 594 cardiovascular properties besides those they aimed to assess. PPG-derived indices for assessing 595 aortic stiffness were determined in part by cardiac properties (SV and HR), whilst the accuracy of BP-596 derived indices for tracking changes in CO was influenced by MAP and CO itself. These findings 597 indicate that future studies of these indices should assess their performance during changes in these 598 properties. In addition, the performance of some indices for assessing aortic stiffness was reduced 599 when only considering subjects of a certain age. Whilst previous in vivo studies have provided valuable results across a wide age range (105, 164), this study highlights the importance of also 600 601 assessing indices across a small age range in order to assess their utility for risk stratification.

602 4.3 Perspectives

603 The approach presented for simulating PWs may be useful for obtaining insight into the 604 haemodynamic mechanisms underlying findings of previous in vivo studies, and for designing novel 605 in vivo studies. Similar approaches have previously been used to identify the mechanisms underlying 606 in vivo observations, including: (i) the reasons for differences in the performance of different PWV 607 measurement paths for assessing aortic PWV (170); (ii) the cardiovascular properties which 608 influence a transfer function relating peripheral to central pressure (75, 151); and, (iii) the strengths 609 and weaknesses of physiological measurement devices (116, 157). More recently, studies have used 610 both in vivo PW measurements and simulated PWs to obtain novel insights into haemodynamics, 611 including: (i) the determinants of central pulse pressure (161); and (ii) the influence of cardiovascular 612 properties on forward and backward pressure waveform morphology (90). We expect that the

approach presented here, which has been verified against *in vivo* data, will be of value for futurestudies.

In the future this approach may form a basis for creating haemodynamic digital twins – simulations of an individual's haemodynamics using input parameters obtained from their physiological measurements (156). This would allow changes in cardiovascular health to be identified when an individual's PWs, acquired by smart wearables, diverge from their digital twin's 'normal' PWs, prompting clinical assessment.

620 This article is accompanied by resources to enable other researchers to use this approach for 621 simulating PWs. Firstly, the PW database is freely available to download (32). Secondly, key fiducial 622 points on PWs (such as those labelled in Figure 4) are provided, allowing researchers to use the 623 results of PW analysis without performing any signal processing. Thirdly, the code used to create and 624 analyse the pulse wave database, and for reproducing the case studies is available, allowing 625 researchers to run example analyses and gain an understanding of how to use the database (33). 626 Fourthly, the signal processing tool used to extract PW indices, *PulseAnalyse*, is available (26): it is 627 currently designed for use with this database, and work is ongoing to develop it on independent 628 datasets. Further details of these resources are provided in the Endnote at the end of this article.

629 **4.4 Conclusion**

630 We have designed and verified an approach for simulating PWs representative of healthy 631 adults of different ages. A computational model of the arterial system was used to simulate several 632 types of PWs at common measurement sites for 4,374 virtual subjects. Simulations were performed 633 for subjects of different ages by adjusting model input parameters in line with typical cardiovascular 634 parameters for each age obtained from a comprehensive literature review. The resulting database of 635 PWs exhibited similar age-related changes in haemodynamic parameters and PW morphology to 636 those in previous in vivo studies. We demonstrated the utility of the approach through case studies, 637 which provided novel insights into the haemodynamic determinants of PWs and provided pilot data
- 638 to inform clinical studies of PW algorithms. The database is freely available and is a valuable
- 639 resource for future research.

- 642 5 Appendix
- 643 5.1 Numerical model
- 644 5.1.1 Arterial Network Geometry

The geometry of the baseline 25-year old model is provided in the supplementary file called 116_artery_model.txt . The following information is provided for each of the 116 arterial segments in the baseline model: length, inlet and outlet radii, and inlet and outlet nodes. The geometry for each of the virtual subjects is provided in the Pulse Wave Database.

- 649 The geometry was adapted from the arterial network presented in (108), by taking the 650 following steps (which are documented in the supplementary file):
- Segments 1, 2 and 3 in (108), which represent the left ventricular outflow tract,
 aortic root and ascending aorta, were combined into a single segment (segment 1 in
 the new network).
- Segments 10, 12 and 14 in (108), which represent the latter part of the right
 subclavian artery, the right axillary artery, and the right brachial artery, were
 combined into a single segment (segment 7 in the new network).
- An additional segment (segment 30 in the new network) was added, extending the
 celiac artery by 10 mm.
- Segments 81, 84, 85, 86, 91, 92, 102, 121 and 123 in (108), representing the basilar
 artery, the initial parts of the posterior cerebral arteries, the distal internal carotid
 arteries, and anterior communicating artery, were adjusted (mainly by adjusting
 their lengths).
- The luminal areas of each segment obtained from (108) were increased by a scaling
 factor of 1.5 to increase the compliance of the network, and reduce the simulated
 PPs, making them more similar to those reported in (108).

666	•	We a	added a	rterial se	gments 97	-116 in o	ur network t	o repres	ent the	larger art	eries
667		of	the	hand.	These	were	adapted	from	(3)	using	the
668		calcu	ılate_ho	and_arter	y_segmen	t_radii.m	script (see ad	compan	ying coo	de). Briefly	y, the
669		area	s of the	e distal se	egments a	t the jun	ictions at th	e end of	the ra	idial and	ulnar
670		arter	ies we	re adjuste	ed to achi	eve area	ratios of 1.	15 as su	Iggeste	d for mat	ched:
671		cond	litions i	n (58). The	e remainin	g luminal	areas of the	hand we	re adju	sted from	their
672		origi	nal valu	es, in line	with the a	ıdjustmer	nts made to a	chieve m	natched	junctions	

673 5.1.2 Simulating the PPG

The methodology used to simulate PPG PWs was introduced in Section 2.1. We now provide additional details of the methodology used in the two possible scenarios: (i) at the periphery (*i.e.* the end of a 1D model terminal branch); and (ii) within the arterial network. At the periphery (such as the digital artery in the finger) the PPG was calculated using

678
$$PPG(t) = \int_0^t Q_{1D}(t') - Q_{out}(t') dt', \qquad (5)$$

679 where Q_{1D} is the inflow to the terminal Windkessel, and Q_{out} is the outflow (as shown in Figure 1). At 680 distal sites within the arterial network (such as the wrist), the PPG was calculated by assuming that 681 the volume of blood in the microvasculature at that site could be modelled by a Windkessel model. 682 The basis for this assumption is that vascular beds at sites within the arterial network are perfused 683 by arterioles branching from the major artery at that site (e.g. the radial artery at the wrist) which 684 are too small to be represented in the arterial network. Therefore, the inflow to the Windkessel was 685 assumed to be proportional to the flow through the arterial segment, at a pressure equal to that of 686 the arterial segment. The same equation was used to calculate the PPG, where Q_{1D} was set equal to 687 the flow through the arterial segment, and Q_{out} was calculated using

$$Q_{out}(t) = \frac{P(t) - P_{out}}{R} , \qquad (6)$$

689 where

$$R = \frac{\overline{P(t)} - P_{out}}{\overline{Q_{1D}(t)}} , \qquad (7)$$

and P_{out} is the outflow pressure (with P and Q_{1D} obtained at the point of measurement). This approach was verified by checking that a PPG PW calculated using this approach at the periphery is very similar to the one calculated using the flow in and out of the terminal Windkessel. Figure A11



Figure A11: Exemplary simulated PPG pulse waves (solid lines) compared to the corresponding pressure pulse waves at three sites: (a) carotid artery (neck); (b) radial artery (wrist); (c) digital artery (finger). Pulse waves have been normalised to occupy the same range. Taken from the 25-year old baseline subject.

694 shows examples of the resulting PPG PWs at common measurement sites.

695

696 5.2 Literature review

- 697 Table A1 presents the results of the literature review for each model input parameter. Table
- 698 A2 provides equations for each input parameter and its standard deviation, which were calculated
- using data from articles selected from the literature review presented in Section 2.2.

~ 1	
2	
2	
6	

provided in the last columns.

7	~
0	C
	0

of change with age used for each parameter is underlined, and references to the relevant articles are

Table A1: A summary of the literature review of changes in cardiovascular properties with age. The type

Cardiovascular property	No.	No.		Change wi	Data Source			
	studies	articles	None	Increase	Decrease	Non- linear	Change	Variation
Cardiac								
- Heart Rate	22	22	86.4	4.5	4.5	<u>4.5</u>	(174)	(119)
- Stroke Volume	11	11	18.2	9.1	<u>72.7</u>	0.0	(121)	(121)
- Left Ventric. Ejection Time	10	10	<u>80.0</u>	10.0	0.0	10.0	(108)	(55)
- Peak Flow Time	3	3	<u>66.7</u>	0.0 33.3		0.0	(74)	(74)
- Reverse Flow Volume	1	1	<u>100.0</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	(15)	(15)
Arterial								
- Length: proximal aorta	5	4	0.0	<u>100.0</u>	0.0	0.0	(67)	(15)
distal aorta	5	4	<u>60.0</u>	20.0	0.0	20.0	-	-
carotid	1	1	<u>100.0</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
iliac	1	1	<u>100.0</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
- Diameter: ascending aorta	13	13	7.7	<u>92.3</u>	0.0	0.0	(67)	(1)
descending thoracic aorta	5	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	(67)	(1)
abdominal aorta	6	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	(67)	(1)
carotid	6	6	33.3	<u>66.7</u>	0.0	0.0	(63)	(63)
iliac	2	2	<u>50.0</u>	50.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
femoral	3	3	<u>66.7</u>	33.3	0.0	0.0	-	-
brachial	2	2	<u>0.0</u>	100.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
radial	1	1	<u>0.0</u>	100.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
- Pulse wave velocity: aorta	24	19	4.2	95.8	0.0	0.0	(98)	(98)
upper limb	11	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	(9)	-
lower limb	5	5	20.0	80.0	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	(9)	-
Vascular Beds		·						
- Systemic vasc. resistance	9	9	44.4	55.6	0.0	<u>0.0</u>	(100)	(100)
- Systemic vasc. compliance	5	5	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	(101)	(130)

Table A2: The model input parameters, where the mean and standard deviation can vary with age (in units of years). Coefficients are given to three significant figures. '% of 25-year old' indicates the percentage change from the value(s) in the 25-year old baseline model.

Cardiovascular Property	Mean value	Standard Deviation		
Cardiac	·	•		
- HR: Heart Rate [bpm]	nonlinear, see text	11.2		
- SV: Stroke Volume [ml]	72.7 – 0.253 x age	18.1 – 0.081 x age		
- LVET: Left Ventricular Ejection Time [ms]	282	23.3		
- PFT: Peak Flow Time [ms]	79.0	11.0		
- RFV: Reverse Flow Volume [ml]	0.730	0.630		
Arterial				
- Len: Length of proximal aorta [% of 25-year old]	80.0 + 0.800 x age	10.7 + 0.107 x age		
- Dia: Diameter of larger arteries [% of 25-year old]	90.9 + 0.365 x age	8.18 + 0.033 x age		
- PWV: Pulse wave velocity	nonlinear, see text	nonlinear, see text		
Vascular Beds				
- MAP: Mean arterial blood pressure [mmHg]	nonlinear, see text	7.98 – 0.00952 x age		
- PVC: Peripheral vascular compliance [% of 25-year old]	128.4 – 1.136 x age	35.2 – 0.311 x age		

707

708 5.3 Prescribing Model Parameters

709 5.3.1 The Aortic Inflow Waveform

710 Each virtual subject's aortic inflow waveform was calculated from the template waveform in 711 order to achieve the desired inflow characteristics (HR, SV, LVET, PFT and RFV). This was performed 712 using the AorticFlowWave script (see the Endnote for access), which ensures that the morphology of 713 each segment of the inflow wave (systolic upslope, systolic downslope, and reverse flow) remains 714 the same during changes in inflow wave characteristics. Figure A12 shows the simulated aortic flow 715 waves obtained for independent changes in inflow characteristics from the 25-year old baseline 716 subject, and obtained for baseline subjects of different ages. Note that the values for LVET change 717 when varying HR and SV, in accordance with the relationship between LVET and HR and SV given by 718 Eq. (1). These changes in LVET solely affect the diastolic downslope portion of the flow wave, 719 ensuring that PFT remains constant during these changes.



Figure A12: Simulated aortic flow waves obtained for independent changes in inflow characteristics from the 25-year old baseline subject, and obtained for baseline subjects of different ages. (a)-(c) show flow waves obtained by varying HR, SV and LVET by \pm 1 SD from the mean value for the 25-year old baseline subject whilst all other input parameters are held at baseline values. (d) shows flow waves obtained for the baseline subjects of different ages.

721

722 5.3.2 Arterial Stiffness

723 The relationship between arterial stiffness and radius given by Eq. (2) was adjusted for each 724 virtual subject to minimise the differences between the desired PWVs and the expected PWVs along 725 three paths: carotid-femoral, brachial-radial, and femoral-ankle. This was performed using the 726 calculate_pwdb_input_parameters.m script (see the Endnote for access). The values for the 727 constants (k_1 , k_2 , and k_3) in equation (2) were obtained as follows. k_1 , which determines the stiffness of smaller arteries, was set to 3×10^6 gs⁻² cm⁻¹ following (108). The value for k_2 , which determines the 728 729 point of transition in stiffness between larger and smaller arteries, was adjusted slightly from the 730 value of -9 cm⁻¹ used in (108) to -13.5 cm⁻¹, as this was found to give more realistic PW shapes and 731 pulse pressure amplification. The value for k_3 , which determines the stiffness of larger arteries, was optimised for each virtual subject by minimising the absolute difference between the desired and expected carotid-femoral PWV. The desired values were influenced by age and normal variation in MAP and PWV. For the baseline subject at each age (with age-specific baseline values for MAP and PWV), $k_3 \approx 430,118 - 1871.3^*age + 244.11^*age^2 gs^{-2} cm^{-1}$.

- 736
- 737 5.4 Pulse Wave Analysis Algorithms

Pulse wave analysis was performed using the *PulseAnalyse* script (see the Endnote for access). The methods used for detecting each of the fiducial points (see Figure 4) on the pressure and PPG PWs are now described.

PWs were pre-processed by: (i) removing very high frequencies with a low-pass filter with -3 dB cutoff frequency of 16.75 Hz; (ii) removing very low frequencies by subtracting any linear trend between PW onset and end; and (iii) aligning PWs to start at the beginning of the systolic upslope. First, second and third derivatives were calculated using a first derivative Savitzky-Golay filter with a window size of 5 samples (141). The fourth derivative was calculated from the third derivative using a first derivative Savitzky-Golay filter with a window size of 9 samples.

Fiducial points were then identified using the criteria listed in Table A3. These criteria are adapted from (30). PW indices were calculated from these fiducial points as described in (30). The augmentation index and pressure were calculated using *p1in* and *p2pk* (referred to as P1 and P2 in Figure 4). The stiffness index was calculated by assuming a height of 1.75 m, in keeping with (108).

Table A3: The criteria used to identify fiducial points on the pressure and photoplethysmogram (PPG) pulse waves (PWs). Definitions: x - PW; x' - first derivative of PW; x''' - second derivative of PW; x''' - third derivative of PW; x'''' - fourth derivative of PW.

Fiducial Point	Criterion for finding location				
s: systolic peak	Maximum of x				
ms: maximum slope	Maximum of x'				
a	The highest local maximum of x'' between an initial buffer of 0.005				
	seconds and <i>ms</i> . If no local maximum is found in this region then <i>a</i> is				
	defined as the last local maximum before the initial buffer.				
b	The lowest local minimum of x'' between a and an upper bound of 25% of				
	the PW duration.				
p1in	Two candidate locations for <i>p1in</i> are identified as: (i) the first local				
	minimum on x' after 0.1 s; and (ii) the second local minimum (if it exists,				
	otherwise the first) on x' after b. p1in is taken to be the candidate location				
	which occurs first. If this is later than 0.18 s, then <i>p1in</i> is updated to be the				
	first local minimum in x'''' after 0.1 s. If $p1in$ is still later than 0.18 s, then it				
	is updated to be the last local minimum in the first derivative before 0.18 s.				
е	A candidate location for e is identified as the highest local maximum on x''				
	between ms and 60% of the PW duration. If this is the first local maximum				
	within this search region, then it may be the <i>c</i> point. To check for this,				
	inflection points are identified between b and this candidate location (from				
	local minima on x'''). If there are no inflection points, and if there is one				
	local maximum in this search region, then update the candidate location to				
	be the first local maximum on x'' at or after 60% of the PW duration.				
С	<i>c</i> is identified as the highest local maximum on <i>x</i> ["] between <i>b</i> and <i>e</i> . If there				
	are no local maxima in this search region, then identify c as the lowest local				
	minimum on x after b and before e.				
<i>dic:</i> dicrotic notch	dic is coincident with e				
<i>dia</i> : diastolic peak	If there is one or more local maxima on <i>x</i> after <i>dic</i> and before 80% of the				
	PW duration, then take the first local maximum as <i>dia</i> . If there isn't, then				
	take the first local maximum on x' after e and before 80% of the PW				
	duration.				
d	<i>d</i> is identified as the lowest local minimum on <i>x</i> ² between <i>c</i> and <i>e</i> , unless				
	there isn't a local minimum in this search region, in which case take d as				
2:	coincident with c.				
p2in	A candidate location for p_{2in} is taken as the last local minimum on x'''				
	before <i>d</i> . If this location is before <i>p1in</i> , then it is updated to be the last local				
	minimum on <i>x</i> before <i>e</i> . If there is one or more local maxima on <i>x</i>				
	between the candidate location and <i>e</i> , then take the last local maximum as				
n1nk and n2nk	μ_{2III}				
<i>p1pk</i> and <i>p2pk</i>	initial locations of p_{IPK} and p_{ZPK} are set to the locations of p_{III} and p_{ZIII} .				
	Either p_{Ipk} of p_{2pk} is adjusted to be coincident with sys (determined by which over of n_{Ipk} or n_{2pk} is then				
	whichever of <i>p1in</i> of <i>p2in</i> is closest to sys). Each of <i>p1pk</i> and <i>p2pk</i> is then				
	which satisfies the following criteria. The maximum must lie between the				
	mean of the candidate locations of $n1nk$ and $n2nk$ and ms for $n1nk$ and a				
	for $n2nk$ it must also be higher than the condidate locations. If more than				
	one maximum satisfies these criteria then the maximum with the highest				
	value is taken				
p1pk and p2pk	before <i>d</i> . If this location for <i>p2in</i> is taken as the fast local minimum of <i>x</i> ⁻¹ before <i>d</i> . If this locationis before <i>p1in</i> , then it is updated to be the last local minimum on <i>x</i> ⁻¹ before <i>e</i> . If there is one or more local maxima on <i>x</i> between the candidate location and <i>e</i> , then take the last local maximum as <i>p2in</i> . Initial locations of <i>p1pk</i> and <i>p2pk</i> are set to the locations of <i>p1in</i> and <i>p2in</i> . Either <i>p1pk</i> or <i>p2pk</i> is adjusted to be coincident with <i>sys</i> (determined by whichever of <i>p1in</i> or <i>p2in</i> is closest to <i>sys</i>). Each of <i>p1pk</i> and <i>p2pk</i> is then adjusted to be at a nearby local maximum on <i>x</i> , if there is a local maximum which satisfies the following criteria. The maximum must lie between the mean of the candidate locations of <i>p1pk</i> and <i>p2pk</i> , and <i>ms</i> for <i>p1pk</i> , and <i>e</i> for <i>p2pk</i> . It must also be higher than the candidate locations. If more than one maximum satisfies these criteria then the maximum with the highest value is taken.				

752 Grants

753	This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation [PG/15/104/31913], the Wellcome
754	EPSRC Centre for Medical Engineering at King's College London [WT 203148/Z/16/Z], and the King's
755	College London & Imperial College London EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Medical Imaging
756	[EP/L015226/1] funding for JMH. The authors acknowledge financial support from the Department
757	of Health through the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cardiovascular MedTech Co-
758	operative at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust (GSTT). The views expressed are those of
759	the authors and not necessarily those of the BHF, Wellcome Trust, EPSRC, NIHR or GSTT.
760	Disclosures
761	No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the author(s).
762	ENDNOTE
763	At the request of the authors, readers are herein alerted to the fact that additional materials
764	related to this manuscript may be found at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3374476</u> . These
765	materials are not a part of this manuscript, and have not undergone peer review by the American
766	Physiological Society (APS). APS and the journal editors take no responsibility for these materials, for
767	the website address, or for any links to or from it.

769 List of Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this article:

771	1D – one-dimensional	801	P1 – pressure at first shoulder
772	A – area	802	P2 – pressure at second pressure peak
773	AGI _{mod} – modified ageing index	803	PFT – peak flow time
774	Alx – augmentation index	804	PP – pulse pressure
775	AP – augmentation pressure	805	PP _{amp} – pulse pressure amplification
776	au – arbitrary units	806	PPG – photoplethysmogram
777	BP – blood pressure	807	PTT – pulse transit time
778	bpm – beats per minute	808	PVC – peripheral vascular compliance
779	CO – cardiac output	809	PVR – peripheral vascular resistance
780	c _d – diastolic wave speed	810	PW – pulse wave
781	CT – crest time	811	PWV – pulse wave velocity
782	CV – cardiovascular	812	Q – flow rate
783	DBP – diastolic blood pressure	813	R _d – diastolic arterial radius
784	ΔT – time between systolic and diastolic	814	ho – blood density
785	peaks	815	RFV – reverse flow volume
786	dia – diastolic peak	816	RI – reflection index
787	Dia – diameter	817	RMS – root mean square
788	dic – dicrotic notch	818	R ² – coefficient of determination
789	E – Young's modulus	819	s – systolic peak
790	\varGamma – arterial wall viscosity	820	SBP – systolic blood pressure
791	H – arterial wall thickness	821	SD – standard deviation
792	HR – heart rate	822	SI – stiffness index
793	I – relative sensitivity index	823	SV – stroke volume
794	LVET – left ventricular ejection time	824	SVC – systemic vascular compliance
795	MAP – mean arterial pressure	825	SVR – systemic vascular resistance
796	MAPE – mean absolute percentage error	826	T – cardiac period
797	ms – point of maximal slope	827	t _{dia} – duration of diastole
798	MRI – magnetic resonance imaging	828	Tr - time to return of the reflected pressure
799	μ – blood viscosity	829	wave
800	P – pressure	830	U – flow velocity

832 References

- Agmon Y, Khandheria BK, Meissner I, Schwartz GL, Sicks JD, Fought AJ, O'Fallon WM,
 Wiebers DO, Tajik AJ. Is aortic dilatation an atherosclerosis-related process? Clinical,
 laboratory, and transesophageal echocardiographic correlates of thoracic aortic dimensions
 in the population with implications for thoracic aortic aneurysm formation. J Am Coll Cardiol
 42: 1076–1083, 2003.
- Alastruey J, Parker K, Sherwin S. Arterial pulse wave haemodynamics. In: *Proc. BHR Group's* 11th International Conference on Pressure Surges. Lisbon, Portugal: 2012, p. 401–443.
- Alastruey J, Parker KH, Peiró J, Sherwin SJ. Can the modified Allen's test always detect
 sufficient collateral flow in the hand? A computational study. *Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin* 9: 353–361, 2006.
- Allen J. Photoplethysmography and its application in clinical physiological measurement. *Physiol Meas* 28: R1–R39, 2007.
- Allen J, Murray A. Age-related changes in the characteristics of the photoplethysmographic
 pulse shape at various body sites. *Physiol Meas* 24: 297–307, 2003.
- Aoyama K, Emoto T, Akutagawa M, Masuda M, Minato S, Obara S, Yoshizaki K, Kitaoka K,
 Tanaka H, Konaka S, Kinouchi Y. Evaluating the atherosclerosis based on blood flow velocity
 waveform of common carotid artery. *Proc IEEE-EMBS Int Conf Biomed Heal Informatics Glob Gd Chall Heal Informatics, BHI 2012* 25: 655–658, 2012.
- Arora RR, Machac J, Goldman ME, Butler RN, Gorlin R, Horowitz SF. Atrial kinetics and left
 ventricular diastolic filling in the healthy elderly. J Am Coll Cardiol 9: 1255–1260, 1987.
- Avolio AP, Van Bortel LM, Boutouyrie P, Cockcroft JR, McEniery CM, Protogerou AD, Roman
 MJ, Safar ME, Segers P, Smulyan H. Role of pulse pressure amplification in arterial
 hypertension. *Hypertension* 54: 375–383, 2009.
- 856 9. Avolio AP, Chen SG, Wang RP, Zhang CL, Li MF, O'Rourke MF. Effects of aging on changing
 857 arterial compliance and left ventricular load in a northern Chinese urban community.
 858 *Circulation* 68: 50–58, 1983.
- Avolio AP, Deng FQ, Li WQ, Luo YF, Huang ZD, Xing LF, O'Rourke MF. Effects of aging on arterial distensibility in populations with high and low prevalence of hypertension: comparison between urban and rural communities in China. *Circulation* 71: 202–10, 1985.
- 86211.Ayer JG, Harmer JA, Marks GB, Avolio A, Celermajer DS. Central arterial pulse wave863augmentation is greater in girls than boys, independent of height. J Hypertens 28: 306–313,8642010.
- Benetos A, Adamopoulos C, Bureau JM, Temmar M, Labat C, Bean K, Thomas F, Pannier B,
 Asmar R, Zureik M, Safar M, Guize L. Determinants of accelerated progression of arterial
 stiffness in normotensive subjects and in treated hypertensive subjects over a 6-year period.
 Circulation 105: 1202–1207, 2002.
- Benetos A, Laurent S, Hoeks AP, Boutouyrie PH, Safar ME. Arterial alterations with aging and
 high blood pressure. A noninvasive study of carotid and femoral arteries. Arterioscler Thromb
 Vasc Biol 13: 90–97, 1993.

- Benetos A, Thomas F, Joly L, Blacher J, Pannier B, Labat C, Salvi P, Smulyan H, Safar ME.
 Pulse pressure amplification. A mechanical biomarker of cardiovascular risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 55: 1032–1037, 2010.
- Bensalah MZ, Bollache E, Kachenoura N, Giron A, De Cesare A, Macron L, Lefort M,
 Redheuill A, Mousseaux E. Geometry is a major determinant of flow reversal in proximal
 aorta. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 306: H1408–H1416, 2014.
- Bortolotto LA, Hanon O, Franconi G, Boutouyrie P, Legrain S, Girerd X. The aging process
 modifies the distensibility of elastic but not muscular arteries. *Hypertension* 34: 889–892,
 1999.
- Boss GR, Seegmiller JE. Age-related physiological changes and their clinical significance. West
 J Med 135: 434–40, 1981.
- Bramwell JC, Hill A V. Velocity of transmission of the pulse-wave, and elasticity of arteries.
 Lancet 199: 891–2, 1922.
- Bramwell JC, Hill A V, McSwiney BA. The velocity of the pulse wave in man in relation to age
 as measured by the hot-wire sphygmograph. *Heart* 10: 233–55, 1923.
- Cain PA, Ahl R, Hedstrom E, Ugander M, Allansdotter-Johnsson A, Friberg P, Arheden H. Age
 and gender specific normal values of left ventricular mass, volume and function for gradient
 echo magnetic resonance imaging: a cross sectional study. *BMC Med Imaging* 9: 2, 2009.
- Campens L, Demulier L, De Groote K, Vandekerckhove K, De Wolf D, Roman MJ, Devereux
 RB, De Paepe A, De Backer J. Reference values for echocardiographic assessment of the
 diameter of the aortic root and ascending aorta spanning all age categories. *Am J Cardiol* 114:
 914–920, 2015.
- 22. Carlsson M, Andersson R, Bloch K, Steding-Ehrenborg K, Mosén H, Stahlberg F, Ekmehag B,
 Arheden H. Cardiac output and cardiac index measured with cardiovascular magnetic
 resonance in healthy subjects, elite athletes and patients with congestive heart failure. J
 Cardiovasc Magn Reson 14: 51, 2012.
- Charlton P, Aresu M, Spear J, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Indices to assess aortic stiffness
 from the finger photoplethysmogram: In silico and in vivo testing. *Artery Res* 24: 128, 2018.
- 900 24. Charlton P, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. An assessment of aortic stiffness indices using a
 901 model of healthy cardiovascular ageing, in "Abstracts from the 2018 Annual Scientific
 902 Meeting of the British and Irish Hypertension Society (BIHS)." J Hum Hypertens 32: 693–721,
 903 2018.
- 25. Charlton P, Smith J, Camporota L, Beale R, Alastruey J. Optimising the Windkessel model for
 cardiac output monitoring during changes in vascular tone. In: *Conf Proc Eng Med Biol Soc.* Chicago, IL: IEEE, 2014, p. 3759–3762.
- 907 26. Charlton PH. PulseAnalyse: a signal processing tool for cardiovascular pulse waves. Zenodo:
 908 http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3272122: 2019.
- 90927.Charlton PH, Birrenkott DA, Bonnici T, Pimentel MAF, Johnson AEW, Alastruey J,910Tarassenko L, Watkinson PJ, Beale R, Clifton DA. Breathing rate estimation from the911electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram: a review. IEEE Rev Biomed Eng 11: 2–20, 2018.

- 912 28. Charlton PH, Bonnici T, Tarassenko L, Alastruey J, Clifton DA, Beale R, Watkinson PJ.
 913 Extraction of respiratory signals from the electrocardiogram and photoplethysmogram:
 914 technical and physiological determinants. *Physiol Meas* 38: 669–90, 2017.
- 29. Charlton PH, Bonnici T, Tarassenko L, Clifton DA, Beale R, Watkinson PJ. An assessment of
 algorithms to estimate respiratory rate from the electrocardiogram and
 photoplethysmogram. *Physiol Meas* 37: 610–26, 2016.
- 918 30. Charlton PH, Celka P, Farukh B, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Assessing mental stress from the
 919 photoplethysmogram: a numerical study. *Physiol Meas* 39: 054001, 2018.
- S1. Charlton PH, Mariscal Harana J, Vennin S, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey-Arimon J. A database
 for the development of pulse wave analysis algorithms. In: *BioMedEng18 Conference*.
 London, UK: 2018.
- 32. Charlton PH, Mariscal Harana J, Vennin S, Li Y, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Pulse Wave
 Database (PWDB): A database of arterial pulse waves representative of healthy adults.
 Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2633175: 2019.
- 92633.Charlton PH, Mariscal Harana J, Vennin S, Li Y, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Pulse Wave927Database (PWDB) Algorithms. Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3271512: 2019.
- Statistical PH, Mariscal Harana J, Vennin S, Willemet M, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J.
 Modelling arterial pulse wave propagation during healthy ageing. In: *World Congress of Biomechanics 2018*. Dublin, Ireland: 2018.
- 931 35. Chemla D, Hébert J-L, Coirault C, Zamani K, Suard I, Colin P, Lecarpentier Y. Total arterial
 932 compliance estimated by stroke volume-to-aortic pulse pressure ratio in humans. *Am J* 933 *Physiol Circ Physiol* 274: H500–H505, 1998.
- 934 36. Chen CH, Nakayama M, Nevo E, Fetics BJ, Lowell Maughan W, Kass DA. Coupled systolic 935 ventricular and vascular stiffening with age: Implications for pressure regulation and cardiac
 936 reserve in the elderly. J Am Coll Cardiol 32: 1221–1227, 1998.
- 937 37. Chirinos JA, Kips JG, Roman MJ, Medina-Lezama J, Li Y, Woodiwiss AJ, Norton GR, Yasmin,
 938 Van Bortel L, Wang JG, Cockcroft JR, Devereux RB, Wilkinson IB, Segers P, McEniery CM.
 939 Ethnic differences in arterial wave reflections and normative equations for augmentation
 940 index. Hypertension 57: 1108–1116, 2011.
- 94138.Chowienczyk PJ, Kelly RP, MacCallum H, Millasseau SC, Andersson TLG, Gosling RG, Ritter942JM, Änggård EE. Photoplethysmographic assessment of pulse wave reflection: Blunted943response to endothelium-dependent beta2-adrenergic vasodilation in type II diabetes944mellitus. J Am Coll Cardiol 34: 2007–2014, 1999.
- 39. Coccarelli A, Hasan HM, Carson J, Parthimos D, Nithiarasu P. Influence of ageing on human
 body blood flow and heat transfer: A detailed computational modelling study. Int J Numer
 947 Method Biomed Eng 34: 1–21, 2018.
- 948 40. Craiem D, Chironi G, Redheuil A, Casciaro M, Mousseaux E, Simon A, Armentano RL. Aging
 949 impact on thoracic aorta 3D morphometry in intermediate-risk subjects: looking beyond
 950 coronary arteries with non-contrast cardiac CT. Ann Biomed Eng 40: 1028–38, 2012.
- 951 41. Dontas AS, Taylor HL, Keys A. Carotid pressure plethysmograms. Effects of age, diastolic
 952 blood pressure, relative body weight and physical activity. Arch Kreislaufforsch 36: 49–58,

- 953 1961.
- 954 42. Dotter CT, Roberts DJ, Steinberg I. Aortic length: angiocardiographic measurements.
 955 *Circulation* 2: 915–920, 1950.
- 43. Eliakim M, Sapoznikov D, Weinman J. Pulse wave velocity in healthy subjects and in patients
 with various disease states. *Am Heart J* 82: 448–57, 1971.
- 44. Epstein S, Vergnaud AC, Elliott P, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. Numerical assessment of the
 stiffness index. In: *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2014, p. 1969–1972.
- Fleg JL, O'Connor F, Gerstenblith G, Becker LC, Clulow J, Schulman SP, Lakatta EG. Impact of
 age on the cardiovascular response to dynamic upright exercise in healthy men and women. J
 Appl Physiol 78: 890–900, 1995.
- Flück D, Beaudin AE, Steinback CD, Kumarpillai G, Shobha N, McCreary CR, Peca S, Smith EE,
 Poulin MJ. Effects of aging on the association between cerebrovascular responses to visual
 stimulation, hypercapnia and arterial stiffness. *Front Physiol* 5: 49, 2014.
- 966 47. Folkow B, Svanborg A. Physiology of cardiovascular aging. *Physiol Rev* 73: 725–764, 1993.
- Freis ED, Kyle MC. Computer analysis of carotid and brachial pulse waves. Effects of age in normal subjects. *Am J Cardiol* 22: 691–695, 1968.
- Fridericia LS. The duration of systole in an electrocardiogram in normal humans and in
 patients with heart disease. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 8: 343–51, 2003.
- Fulton JS, McSwiney BA. The pulse wave velocity and extensibility of the brachial and radial
 artery in man. *J Physiol* 69: 387–392, 1930.
- 973 51. Gaddum N. TTAlgorithm, available at:
- 974 http://www.mathworks.co.uk/matlabcentral/fileexchange/37746-ttalgorithm. 2012.
- 975 52. Gaddum N, Alastruey J, Chowienczyk P, Rutten MCM, Segers P, Schaeffter T. Relative
 976 contributions from the ventricle and arterial tree to arterial pressure and its amplification: an
 977 experimental study. *Am J Physiol Circ Physiol* 313: H558–H567, 2017.
- 978 53. Gaddum NR, Alastruey J, Beerbaum P, Chowienczyk P, Schaeffter T. A technical assessment
 979 of pulse wave velocity algorithms applied to non-invasive arterial waveforms. *Ann Biomed*980 *Eng* 41: 2617–2629, 2013.
- 981 54. Gardin JM, Davidson DM, Rohan MK, Butman S, Knoll M, Garcia R, Dubria S, Gardin SK,
 982 Henry WL. Relationship between age, body size, gender, and blood pressure and Doppler
 983 flow measurements in the aorta and pulmonary artery. *Am Heart J* 113: 101–9, 1987.
- 984 55. Gerstenblith G, Frederiksen J, Yin F, Fortuin N, Lakatta E, Weisfeldt M. Echocardiographic
 985 assessment of a normal aging population. *Circulation* 56: 273-278., 1977.
- 98656.Gozna E, Marble A. Age-related changes in the mechanics of the aorta and pulmonary artery987of man. J Appl Physiol 36: 407–411, 1974.
- 988 57. Green DJ, Swart A, Exterkate A, Naylor LH, Black MA, Cable NT, Thijssen DHJ. Impact of age,
 989 sex and exercise on brachial and popliteal artery remodelling in humans. *Atherosclerosis* 210:
 990 525–530, 2010.

- 99158.Greenwald SE, Newman DL. Impulse propagation through junctions. Med Biol Eng Comput99220: 343–350, 1982.
- 99359.Guala A, Camporeale C, Ridolfi L. Compensatory effect between aortic stiffening and994remodelling during ageing. PLoS One 10: 1–14, 2015.
- Guala A, Camporeale C, Ridolfi L, Mesin L. Non-invasive aortic systolic pressure and pulse
 wave velocity estimation in a primary care setting: An in silico study. *Med Eng Phys* 42: 91–
 98, 2017.
- Guala A, Scalseggi M, Ridolfi L. Coronary fluid mechanics in an ageing cardiovascular system.
 Meccanica 52: 503–514, 2017.
- Hallock P. Arterial elasticity in man in relation to age as evaluated by the pulse wave velocity
 method. *Arch Intern Med* 54: 770, 1934.
- Hansen F, Mangell P, Sonesson B, Länne T. Diameter and compliance in the human common
 carotid artery variations with age and sex. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 21: 1–9, 1995.
- 1004 64. **Harley A, Starmer CF, Greenfield JC**. Pressure-flow studies in man. An evaluation of the duration of the phases of systole. *J Clin Invest* 48: 895–905, 1969.
- 100665.Haynes FW, Ellis LB, Weiss S. Pulse wave velocity and arterial elasticity in arterial1007hypertension, arteriosclerosis, and related conditions. Am Heart J 11: 385–401, 1936.
- 1008 66. van der Heijden-Spek JJ, Staessen J a, Fagard RH, Hoeks a P, Boudier H a, van Bortel LM.
 1009 Effect of age on brachial artery wall properties differs from the aorta and is gender
 1010 dependent: a population study. *Hypertension* 35: 637–642, 2000.
- Hickson SS, Butlin M, Graves M, Taviani V, Avolio AP, McEniery CM, Wilkinson IB. The
 relationship of age with regional aortic stiffness and diameter. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 3:
 1247–1255, 2010.
- Hirata K, Yaginuma T, O'Rourke MF, Kawakami M. Age-related changes in carotid artery flow
 and pressure pulses: Possible implications for cerebral microvascular disease. *Stroke* 37:
 2552–2556, 2006.
- Horváth IG, Németh Á, Lenkey Z, Alessandri N, Tufano F, Kis P, Gaszner B, Cziráki A. Invasive
 validation of a new oscillometric device (Arteriograph) for measuring augmentation index,
 central blood pressure and aortic pulse wave velocity. *J Hypertens* 28: 2068–2075, 2010.
- 102070.Houghton D, Jones TW, Cassidy S, Siervo M, MacGowan GA, Trenell MI, Jakovljevic DG. The1021effect of age on the relationship between cardiac and vascular function. Mech Ageing Dev1022153: 1–6, 2016.
- Hughes AD, Park C, Davies J, Francis D, McG Thom SA, Mayet J, Parker KH. Limitations of
 augmentation index in the assessment of wave reflection in normotensive healthy
 individuals. *PLoS One* 8: 1–8, 2013.
- 1026 72. Janner JH, Godtfredsen NS, Ladelund S, Vestbo J, Prescott E. Aortic augmentation index:
 1027 Reference values in a large unselected population by means of the SphygmoCor device. *Am J* 1028 *Hypertens* 23: 180–185, 2010.
- 1029 73. Joh JH, Ahn HJ, Park HC. Reference diameters of the abdominal aorta and iliac arteries in the

- 1030 Korean population. *Yonsei Med J* 54: 48–54, 2013.
- 1031 74. Kamimura D, Hans S, Suzuki T, Fox ER, Hall ME, Musani SK, McMullan MR, Little WC.
 1032 Delayed time to peak velocity is useful for detecting severe aortic stenosis. *J Am Heart Assoc*1033 5: e003907, 2016.
- 1034 75. Karamanoglu M, Gallagher DE, Avolio AP, Rourke MF. Pressure wave propagation of the
 1035 human upper limb in a multibranched model. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 269: H1363–
 1036 H1369, 1995.
- 1037 76. Kawamoto A, Shimada K, Matsubayashi K, Chikamori T, Kuzume O, Ogura H, Ozawa T.
 1038 Cardiovascular regulatory functions in elderly patients with hypertension. *Hypertens (Dallas, Tex 1979)* 13: 401–407, 1989.
- Kawano H, Yamamoto K, Gando Y, Tanimoto M, Murakami H, Ohmori Y, Sanada K, Tabata I,
 Higuchi M, Miyachi M. Lack of age-related increase in carotid artery wall viscosity in
 cardiorespiratory fit men. *J Hypertens* 31: 2370–2379, 2013.
- 1043 78. Kawel-Boehm N, Maceira A, Valsangiacomo-Buechel ER, Vogel-Claussen J, Turkbey EB,
 1044 Williams R, Plein S, Tee M, Eng J, Bluemke DA. Normal values for cardiovascular magnetic
 1045 resonance in adults and children. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 17: 29, 2015.
- 1046 79. Kelly R, Hayward C, Avolio A, Rourke MO. Noninvasive determination of age-related changes
 1047 in the human arterial pulse. *Circulation* 80: 1652–1659, 1989.
- 104880.Kharb S, Singh GP. Distribution of blood viscosity values and biochemical correlates in1049healthy adults. J Assoc Physicians India 47: 505–506, 1999.
- 1050 81. Kim J-Y, Park J-B, Kim D-S, Kim K-S, Jeong J-W, Park J-C, Oh B-H, Chung N. Gender difference
 1051 in arterial stiffness in a multicenter cross-sectional study: The Korean Arterial Aging Study
 1052 (KAAS). Pulse 2: 11–17, 2014.
- 105382.Kim M, Roman MJ, Cavallini MC, Schwartz JE, Pickering TG, Devereux RB. Effect of1054hypertension on aortic root size and prevalence of aortic regurgitation. Hypertension 28: 47–105552, 1996.
- 105683.Kostis JB, Moreyra a E, Amendo MT, Di Pietro J, Cosgrove N, Kuo PT. The effect of age on1057heart rate in subjects free of heart disease. *Circulation* 65: 141–145, 1982.
- 105884.Lakatta EG. Cardiovascular regulatory mechanisms in advanced age. Physiol Rev 73: 413–67,10591993.
- 106085.Lakatta EG. Age-associated cardiovascular changes in health: impact on cardiovascular1061disease in older persons. Hear Fail 7: 29–49, 2002.
- 106286.Lakatta EG, Mitchell JH, Pomerance A, Rowe GG. Human aging: Changes in structure and1063function. J Am Coll Cardiol 10: 42A-47A, 1987.
- 106487.Landowne M. The relation between intra-arterial pressure and impact pulse wave velocity1065with regard to age and arteriosclerosis. J Gerontol 13: 153–62, 1958.
- 1066 88. Langenberg C, Hardy R, Kuh D, Wadsworth ME. Influence of height, leg and trunk length on
 1067 pulse pressure, systolic and diastolic blood pressure. J Hypertens 21: 537–43, 2003.

- 1068 89. Le T-T, Tan RS, De Deyn M, Goh EPC, Han Y, Leong BR, Cook SA, Chin CW. Cardiovascular
 1069 magnetic resonance reference ranges for the heart and aorta in Chinese at 3T. J Cardiovasc
 1070 Magn Reson 18: 21, 2016.
- 1071 90. Li Y, Gu H, Fok H, Alastruey J, Chowienczyk P. Forward and backward pressure waveform 1072 morphology in hypertension. *Hypertension* 69: 375–381, 2017.
- 1073 91. Li Y, Jiang B, Keehn L, Gu H, Boguslavskyi A, Cecelja M, Vennin S, Spector T, Alastruey J,
 1074 Chowienczyk P. Hemodynamic mechanism of the age-related increase in pulse pressure in
 1075 women. *Hypertension* 73: 1018–1024, 2019.
- 1076 92. Liang Y-L, Teede H, Kotsopoulos D, Shiel L, Cameron JD, Dart AM, McGrath BP. Non-invasive
 1077 measurements of arterial structure and function: repeatability, interrelationships and trial
 1078 sample size. *Clin Sci* 95: 669–679, 1998.
- 1079 93. Liljestrand G, Zander E. Vergleichende bestimmungen des minutenvolumens des herzens
 1080 beim menschen mittels der stickoxydulmethode und durch blutdruckmessung. Z Gesamte
 1081 Exp Med 59: 105–122, 1928.
- 1082 94. López-Beltrán E a, Blackshear PL, Finkelstein SM, Cohn JN. Non-invasive studies of peripheral
 1083 vascular compliance using a non-occluding photoplethysmographic method. *Med Biol Eng* 1084 *Comput* 36: 748–753, 1998.
- 1085 95. Maksuti E, Westerhof N, Westerhof BE, Broomé M, Stergiopulos N. Contribution of the
 arterial system and the heart to blood pressure during normal aging A simulation study.
 1087 PLoS One 11: 1–12, 2016.
- 108896.Mangoni a a, Kinirons MT, Swift CG, Jackson SH. Impact of age on QT interval and QT1089dispersion in healthy subjects: a regression analysis. Age Ageing 32: 326–331, 2003.
- Mao SS, Ahmadi N, Shah B, Beckmann D, Chen A, Ngo L, Flores FR, Gao Y lin, Budoff MJ.
 Normal thoracic aorta diameter on cardiac computed tomography in healthy asymptomatic adults. Impact of age and gender. *Acad Radiol* 15: 827–834, 2008.
- 1093 98. Mattace-Raso FUS, Hofman A, Verwoert GC, Wittemana JCM, Wilkinson I, Cockcroft J, 1094 McEniery C, Yasmina, Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Bozec E, Hansen TW, Torp-Pedersen C, Ibsen 1095 H, Jeppesen J, Vermeersch SJ, Rietzschel E, de Buyzere M, Gillebert TC, van Bortel L, Segers 1096 P, Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis C, Stefanadis C, Benetos A, Labat C, Lacolley P, Stehouwer 1097 CDA, Nijpels G, Dekker JM, Ferreira I, Twisk JWR, Czernichow S, Galan P, Hercberg S, 1098 Pannier B, Guérin A, London G, Kennedy Cruickshank J, Anderson SG, Paini A, Rosei EA, Muiesan ML, Salvetti M, Filipovsky J, Seidlerova J, Dolejsova M. Determinants of pulse wave 1099 1100 velocity in healthy people and in the presence of cardiovascular risk factors: 'Establishing 1101 normal and reference values.' Eur Heart J 31: 2338–2350, 2010.
- 110299.McEniery CM, Wilkinson IB, Avolio AP. Age, hypertension and arterial function. Clin Exp1103Pharmacol Physiol 34: 665–671, 2007.
- 100. McEniery CM, Yasmin, Hall IR, Qasem A, Wilkinson IB, Cockcroft JR. Normal vascular aging:
 Differential effects on wave reflection and aortic pulse wave velocity The Anglo-Cardiff
 Collaborative Trial (ACCT). J Am Coll Cardiol 46: 1753–1760, 2005.
- 1107 101. **McVeigh GE, Bratteli CW, Morgan DJ, Alinder CM, Glasser SP, Finkelstein SM, Cohn JN**. Age-1108 related abnormalities in arterial compliance identified by pressure pulse contour analysis:

- aging and arterial compliance. *Hypertension* 33: 1392–1398, 1999.
- 1110102.Medical Services Advisory Committee. Peripheral arterial tonometry with ascending aortic1111waveform analysis using the SphygmoCor system. 2006.
- 103. Merillon JP, Motte G, Masquet C, Azancot I, Guiomard A, Gourgonf R. Relationship between
 physical properties of the arterial system and left ventricular performance in the course of
 aging and arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 3: 95–102, 1982.
- 1115 104. **Merri M, Benhorin J, Alberti M, Locati E, Moss AJ**. Electrocardiographic quantitation of ventricular repolarization. *Circulation* 80: 1301–8, 1989.
- 1117 105. Millasseau SC, Kelly RP, Ritter JM, Chowienczyk PJ. Determination of age-related increases in
 1118 large artery stiffness by digital pulse contour analysis. *Clin Sci* 103: 371–377, 2002.
- 1119 106. Millasseau SC, Stewart AD, Patel SJ, Redwood SR, Chowienczyk PJ. Evaluation of carotid 1120 femoral pulse wave velocity: Influence of timing algorithm and heart rate. *Hypertension* 45:
 1121 222–226, 2005.
- 107. Mitchell GF, Parise H, Benjamin EJ, Larson MG, Keyes MJ, Vita JA, Vasan RS, Levy D. Changes
 in arterial stiffness and wave reflection with advancing age in healthy men and women: The
 Framingham Heart Study. *Hypertension* 43: 1239–1245, 2004.
- 1125 108. **Mynard JP**, **Smolich JJ**. One-dimensional haemodynamic modeling and wave dynamics in the 1126 entire adult circulation. *Ann Biomed Eng* 43: 1443–1460, 2015.
- 1127 109. Nichols WW, O'Rourke MF, Avolio AP, Yaginuma T, Murgo JP, Pepine CJ, Conti CR. Effects of 1128 age on ventricular-vascular coupling. *Am J Cardiol* 55: 1179–1184, 1985.
- 1129 110. **O'Rourke MF**. Arterial aging: pathophysiological principles. *Vasc Med* 12: 329–41, 2007.
- 1130 111. O'Rourke MF. Time domain analysis of the arterial pulse in clinical medicine. *Med Biol Eng* 1131 *Comput* 47: 119–129, 2009.
- 1132 112. O'Rourke MF, Blazek J V, Morreels CL, Krovetz LJ. Pressure wave transmission along the
 1133 human aorta. *Circ Res* 23: 567–579, 1968.
- 1134 113. O'Rourke MF, Hashimoto J. Mechanical factors in arterial aging. A clinical perspective. J Am
 1135 Coll Cardiol 50: 1–13, 2007.
- 1136 114. Olufsen MS. Structured tree outflow condition for blood flow in larger systemic arteries. In:
 1137 Am J Physiol. 1999, p. H257–H268.
- 1138 115. Pagoulatou S, Stergiopulos N. Evolution of aortic pressure during normal ageing: A model 1139 based study. *PLoS One* 12: 1–14, 2017.
- 1140 116. Papaioannou TG, Vardoulis O, Stergiopulos N. The "systolic volume balance" method for the
 1141 noninvasive estimation of cardiac output based on pressure wave analysis. *Am J Physiol Heart* 1142 *Circ Physiol* 302: H2064-73, 2012.
- 1143117.Pauca AL, O'Rourke MF, Kon ND. Prospective evaluation of a method for estimating1144ascending aortic pressure from the radial artery pressure waveform. Hypertension 38: 932–1145937, 2001.
- 1146 118. Pedersen OM, Aslaksen A, Vik-Mo H. Ultrasound measurement of the luminal diameter of

- the abdominal aorta and iliac arteries in patients without vascular disease. *J Vasc Surg* 17:
 596–601, 1993.
- 1149 119. Petersen SE, Aung N, Sanghvi MM, Zemrak F, Fung K, Paiva JM, Francis JM, Khanji MY,
 1150 Lukaschuk E, Lee AM, Carapella V, Kim YJ, Leeson P, Piechnik SK, Neubauer S. Reference
 1151 ranges for cardiac structure and function using cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) in
 1152 Caucasians from the UK Biobank population cohort. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 19: 18, 2017.
- 1153 120. Pontoriero AD, Charlton PH, Alastruey J. Alzheimer's disease: A step towards prognosis using
 1154 smart wearables. *Proceedings* 4: 8, 2019.
- Poppe KK, Doughty RN, Gardin JM, Nagueh SF, Whalley GA, Cameron V, Chadha DS, Chien 1155 121. 1156 KL, Detrano R, Akif Duzenli M, Ezekowitz J, Di Pasquale P, Mogelvang R, Altman DG, Perera 1157 R, Triggs CM, Au Yeung H, Beans Picón GA, Anderson T, Dyck J, Ezekowitz JA, Chirinos JA, De 1158 Buyzere ML, Gillebert TC, Rietzschel E, Segers P, Van daele CM, Walsh HA, Whalley GA, Izzo R, De Luca N, Trimarco B, De Simone G, Chadha DS, Goel K, Misra A, Chen PC, Chien KL, Lin 1159 1160 HJ, Su TC, Detrano R, Richards AM, Troughton R, Mogelvang R, Skov Jensen J, Di Pasquale P, 1161 Paterna S, Akif Duzenli M, Hobbs FDR, Davies MK, Davis RC, Roalfe A, Calvert M, 1162 Freemantle N, Gill PS, Lip GYH, Kuznetsova T, Staessen JA, Dargie HJ, Ford I, McDonagh TA, McMurray JJV, Grossman E, Galasko G, Lahiri A, Senior R, Brown A, Carrington M, Krum H, 1163 McGrady M, Stewart S, Zeitz C, Stewart S, Blauwet L, Sliwa K, Dalen H, Moelmen Hansen 1164 1165 HE, Støylen A, Thorstensen A, Daimon M, Watanabe H, Yoshikawa J, Fukuda S, Kim HK, 1166 Leung NKW, Linhart A, Senior R, Chahal N, Chambers JC, Kooner J, Davies J, Loke I, Ng L, Squire IB, Aune E, Otterstad JE, Leung DY, Ng ACT, Ojji D, Arnold L, Coffey S, D'Arcy J, 1167 1168 Hammond C, Mabbett C, Lima C, Loudon M, Pinheiro N, Prendergast B, Reynolds R, Badano 1169 LP, Muraru D, Peluso D, Petrovic DJ, Petrovic J, Schvartzman P, Fuchs FD, Katova T, Simova I, Kaku K, Takeuchi M, Boyd A, Chia EM, Thomas L, Schirmer H, Angelo LC, Pereira AC, 1170 1171 Krieger JE, Mill JG, Rodrigues SL, Muiesan ML, Paini A, Agabiti Rosei E, Salvetti M. Ethnicspecific normative reference values for echocardiographic LA and LV Size, LV Mass, and 1172 1173 systolic function: The EchoNoRMAL study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 8: 656–665, 2015.
- 1174 122. Proper R, Wall F. Left ventricular stroke volume measurements not affected by chronologic aging. *Am Heart J* 83: 843–845, 1972.
- 1176 123. Protogerou AD, Blacher J, Mavrikakis M, Lekakis J, Safar ME. Increased pulse pressure amplification in treated hypertensive subjects with metabolic syndrome. *Am J Hypertens* 20: 1178 127–133, 2007.
- 1179 124. Reant P, Dijos M, Donal E, Mignot A, Ritter P, Bordachar P, Dos Santos P, Leclercq C,
 1180 Roudaut R, Habib G, Lafitte S. Systolic time intervals as simple echocardiographic parameters
 1181 of left ventricular systolic performance: Correlation with ejection fraction and longitudinal
 1182 two-dimensional strain. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 11: 834–844, 2010.
- 1183 125. Reardon M, Malik M. QT interval change with age in an overtly healthy older population. *Clin* 1184 *Cardiol* 19: 949–52, 1996.
- 1185126.Redfield MM, Jacobsen SJ, Borlaug BA, Rodeheffer RJ, Kass DA. Age- and gender-related1186ventricular-vascular stiffening: A community-based study. *Circulation* 112: 2254–2262, 2005.
- 1187 127. Redheuil A, Yu WC, Mousseaux E, Harouni AA, Kachenoura N, Wu CO, Bluemke D, Lima JAC.
 1188 Age-related changes in aortic arch geometry: Relationship with proximal aortic function and
 1189 left ventricular mass and remodeling. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 58: 1262–1270, 2011.

- 128. Redheuil A, Yu WC, Wu CO, Mousseaux E, De Cesare A, Yan R, Kachenoura N, Bluemke D,
 Lima JAC. Reduced ascending aortic strain and distensibility: Earliest manifestations of
 vascular aging in humans. *Hypertension* 55: 319–326, 2010.
- 1193 129. **Reneman RS, van Merode T, Hick P, Muytjens AM, Hoeks AP**. Age-related changes in carotid 1194 artery wall properties in men. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 12: 465–71, 1986.
- 1195 130. Resnick LM, Militianu D, Cunnings AJ, Pipe JG, Evelhoch JL, Soulen RL, Lester MA. Pulse
 1196 waveform analysis of arterial compliance: relation to other techniques, age, and metabolic
 1197 variables. *Am J Hypertens* 13: 1243–1249, 2000.
- 1198 131. Roccabianca S, Figueroa CA, Tellides G, Humphrey JD. Quantification of regional differences
 in aortic stiffness in the aging human. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 29: 618–634, 2014.
- 132. Rodeheffer RJ, Gerstenblith G, Beard E, Fleg JL, Becker LC, Weisfeldt ML, Lakatta EG.
 Postural changes in cardiac volumes in men in relation to adult age. *Exp Gerontol* 21: 367–
 378, 1986.
- 1203 133. Rodeheffer RJ, Gerstenblith G, Becker LC, Fleg JL, Weisfeldt ML, Lakatta EG. Exercise cardiac
 1204 output is maintained with advancing age in healthy human subjects: cardiac dilatation and
 1205 increased stroke volume compensate for a diminished heart rate. *Circulation* 69: 203–213,
 1206 1984.
- 1207 134. Rylski B, Desjardins B, Moser W, Bavaria JE, Milewski RK. Gender-related changes in aortic
 1208 geometry throughout life. *Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg* 45: 805–811, 2014.
- 1209 135. **Safar M**. Ageing and its effects on the cardiovascular system. *Drugs* 39: 1–8, 1990.
- 136. Sagie A, Larson MG, Goldberg RJ, Bengtson JR, Levy D. An improved method for adjusting
 the QT interval for heart rate (the Framingham Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol* 70: 797–801, 1992.
- 137. Salvi P, Grillo A, Tan I, Simon G, Salvi L, Gao L, Rovina M, Butlin M, Yang Y, Meneghin E,
 Meng L, Faini A, Barin E, Pini A, Carretta R, Huo Y, Avolio A, Parati G. Systolic time intervals
 assessed from analysis of the carotid pressure waveform. *Physiol Meas* 39: 084002, 2018.
- 1215 138. Salvi P, Palombo C, Salvi GM, Labat C, Parati G, Benetos A. Left ventricular ejection time, not
 heart rate, is an independent correlate of aortic pulse wave velocity. J Appl Physiol 115:
 1217 1610–1617, 2013.
- 139. Sandgren T, Sonesson B, Ahlgren ÅR, Länne T. The diameter of the common femoral artery
 in healthy human: Influence of sex, age, and body size. J Vasc Surg 29: 503–510, 1999.
- 1220140.Sass C, Herbeth B, Chapet O, Siest G, Visvikis S, Zannad F. Intima-media thickness and1221diameter of carotid and femoral arteries in children, adolescents and adults from the1222Stanislas cohort: Effect of age, sex, anthropometry and blood pressure. J Hypertens 16: 1593–12231602, 1998.
- 1224 141. Savitzky A, Golay M. Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares
 procedures. Anal Chem 36: 1627–1639, 1964.
- 1226 142. Schwartz JB, Gibb WJ, Tran T. Aging effects on heart rate variation. J Gerontol Med Sci 46:
 1227 99–6, 1991.
- 1228 143. Scuteri A, Morrell CH, Orrù M, Strait JB, Tarasov K V., Ferreli LAP, Loi F, Pilia MG, Delitala A,

- Spurgeon H, Najjar SS, Alghatrif M, Lakatta EG. Longitudinal perspective on the conundrum
 of central arterial stiffness, blood pressure, and aging. *Hypertension* 64: 1219–1227, 2014.
- 1231 144. Segers P, Mahieu D, Kips J, Rietzschel E, De Buyzere M, De Bacquer D, Bekaert S, De Backer
 1232 G, Gillebert T, Verdonck P, Van Bortel L. Amplification of the pressure pulse in the upper limb
 in healthy, middle-aged men and women. *Hypertension* 54: 414–420, 2009.
- 1234 145. **Shaw DJ, Rothbaum DA, Angell CS, Shock NW**. The effects of age and blood pressure upon 1235 the systolic time intervals in males aged 20-89 years. *J Gerontol* 28: 133–139, 1973.
- 1236 146. **Shi Y, Lawford P, Hose R**. Review of zero-D and 1-D models of blood flow in the 1237 cardiovascular system. *Biomed Eng Online* 10: 33, 2011.
- 1238 147. **Simonson E, Nakagawa K**. Effect of age on pulse wave velocity and "aortic ejection time" in 1239 healthy men and in men with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 22: 126–129, 1960.
- 1240 148. Simpson DM, Wicks R. Spectral analysis of heart rate indicates reduced baroreceptor-related
 1241 heart rate variability in elderly persons. *J Gerontol* 43: M21–M24, 1988.
- 1242 149. Smulyan H, Csermely TJ, Mookherjee S, Warner RA. Effect of age on arterial distensibility in
 1243 Asymptomatic humans. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 3: 199–205, 1983.
- 1244 150. Sonesson B, Hansen F, Stale H, Länne T. Compliance and diameter in the human abdominal
 1245 aorta the influence of age and sex. *Eur J Vasc Surg* 7: 690–697, 1993.
- 1246 151. **Stergiopulos N, Westerhof BE, Westerhof N**. Physical basis of pressure transfer from 1247 periphery to aorta: a model-based study. *Am J Physiol Circ Physiol* 274: H1386–H1392, 1998.
- 1248 152. Sugawara J, Hayashi K, Yokoi T, Tanaka H. Age-associated elongation of the ascending aorta
 in adults. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 1: 739–748, 2008.
- 1250 153. Sun JX, Reisner AT, Saeed M, Mark RG. Estimating cardiac output from arterial blood
 pressure waveforms: a critical evaluation using the MIMIC II database. In: *Proc CinC.* IEEE,
 2005, p. 295–298.
- 1253 154. Svedlund S, Wetterholm R, Volkmann R, Caidahl K. Retrograde blood flow in the aortic arch
 1254 determined by transesophageal Doppler ultrasound. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 27: 22–28, 2009.
- 1255 155. Taneja T, Mahnert BW, Passman R, Goldberger J, Kadish A. Effects of sex and age on
 electrocardiographic and cardiac electrophysiological properties in adults. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 24: 16–21, 2001.
- 1258 156. Tarassenko L, Topol EJ. Monitoring jet engines and the health of people. JAMA 320: 2309,
 2018.
- 1260157.Trachet B, Reymond P, Kips J, Swillens A, De Buyzere M, Suys B, Stergiopulos N, Segers P.1261Numerical validation of a new method to assess aortic pulse wave velocity from a single1262recording of a brachial artery waveform with an occluding cuff. Ann Biomed Eng 38: 876–888,12632010.
- 1264 158. Turkbey EB, Jain A, Johnson C, Redheuil A, Arai AE, Gomes AS, Carr J, Hundley WG, Teixido 1265 Tura G, Eng J, Lima JAC, Bluemke DA. Determinants and normal values of ascending aortic
 1266 diameter by age, gender, and race/ethnicity in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis
 1267 (MESA). J Magn Reson Imaging 39: 360–368, 2014.

- 1268 159. Ushiroyama T, Kajimoto Y, Sakuma K, Minoru U. Assessment of chilly sensation in Japanese
 women with lasor Doppler fluxmetry and acceleration plethysmogram with respect to
 peripheral circulation. *Bull Osaka Med Coll* 51: 76–84, 2005.
- 1271 160. Vaitkevicius P V., Fleg JL, Engel JH, O'Connor FC, Wright JG, Lakatta LE, Yin FC, Lakatta EG.
 1272 Effects of age and aerobic capacity on arterial stiffness in healthy adults. *Circulation* 88:
 1273 1456–1462, 1993.
- 1274 161. Vennin S, Li Y, Willemet M, Fok H, Gu H, Charlton P, Alastruey J, Chowienczyk P. Identifying
 hemodynamic determinants of pulse pressure: a combined numerical and physiological
 approach. *Hypertension* 70: 1176–1182, 2017.
- 1277 162. Virmani R, Avolio AP, Mergner WJ, Robinowitz M, Herderick EE, Cornhill JF, Guo SY, Liu TH,
 1278 Ou DY, O'Rourke M. Effect of aging on aortic morphology in populations with high and low
 1279 prevalence of hypertension and atherosclerosis. Comparison between occidental and Chinese
 1280 communities. *Am J Pathol* 139: 1119–29, 1991.
- 1281 163. **Vriz O, Driussi C, Bettio M, Ferrara F, D'Andrea A, Bossone E**. Aortic root dimensions and stiffness in healthy subjects. *Am J Cardiol* 112: 1224–1229, 2013.
- 1283 164. **Wei CC**. Developing an effective arterial stiffness monitoring system using the spring constant 1284 method and photoplethysmography. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 60: 151–154, 2013.
- 1285 165. Weissler AM, Harris WS, Schoenfeld CD. Systolic time intervals in heart failure in man.
 1286 *Circulation* 37: 149–159, 1968.
- 1287 166. Weissler AM, Peeler RG, Roehll WH. Relationships between left ventricular ejection time,
 stroke volume, and heart rate in normal individuals and patients with cardiovascular disease.
 1289 Am Heart J 62: 367–378, 1961.
- 1290 167. **Wilkinson IB, Franklin SS, Hall IR, Tyrrell S, Cockcroft JR**. Pressure amplification explains why 1291 pulse pressure Is unrelated to risk in young subjects. *Hypertension* 38: 1461–1466, 2001.
- 1292 168. Wilkinson IB, Mohammad NH, Tyrrell S, Hall IR, Webb DJ, Paul VE, Levy T, Cockcroft JR.
 1293 Heart rate dependency of pulse pressure amplification and arterial stiffness. *Am J Hypertens*1294 15: 24–30, 2002.
- 1295 169. Wilkinson IB, Mohammad NH, Tyrrell S, Hall IR, Webb DJ, Paul VE, Levy T, Cockcroft JR.
 1296 Heart rate dependency of pulse pressure amplification and arterial stiffness. *Am J Hypertens* 1297 15: 24–30, 2002.
- Willemet M, Chowienczyk P, Alastruey J. A database of virtual healthy subjects to assess the
 accuracy of foot-to-foot pulse wave velocities for estimation of aortic stiffness. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 309: H663-75, 2015.
- 1301 171. Willemet M, Vennin S, Alastruey J. Computational assessment of hemodynamics-based
 1302 diagnostic tools using a database of virtual subjects: Application to three case studies. J
 1303 Biomech 49: 3908–3914, 2016.
- 1304 172. Willems JL, Roelandt J, De Geest H, Kesteloot H, Joossens J V. The left ventricular ejection
 1305 time in elderly subjects. *Circulation* 42: 37–42, 1970.
- 1306173.Xu L, Liang F, Zhao B, Wan J, Liu H. Influence of aging-induced flow waveform variation on1307hemodynamics in aneurysms present at the internal carotid artery: A computational model-

- 1308 based study. *Comput Biol Med* 101: 51–60, 2018.
- 1309 174. Yashin Al, Akushevich I V., Arbeev KG, Akushevich L, Ukraintseva S V., Kulminski A. Insights
 1310 on aging and exceptional longevity from longitudinal data: Novel findings from the
 1311 Framingham heart study. Age (Omaha) 28: 363–374, 2006.
- 1312 175. Younis LT, Melin JA, Robert AR, Detry JMR. Influence of age and sex on left ventricular
 1313 volumes and ejection fraction during upright exercise in normal subjects. *Eur Heart J* 11: 916–
 1314 924, 1990.
- 1315176.**Zhang J, Critchley LAH, Huang L.** Five algorithms that calculate cardiac output from the1316arterial waveform: a comparison with Doppler ultrasound. *Br J Anaesth* 115: 1–11, 2015.





Stroke Volume SV [m] Downloaded from www.physiology.org/junal/ujhedrt (080) 19 55 150 of OSber 5, 2019.



Peak Flow Time





Proximal Aortic Length





Desc. Thor. Aorta Dia Diameter [mm] 52 52 Downloaded from www.physiology.org (jurna fiphear (0), 10, 5, 209 of Schoer 5, 2019.

Abd. Aorta Dia






Mean Arterial Pressure



Peripheral Vasc Comp.





PWV vs Diameter









Downloaded from www.physiology.org/journal/ajpheart (080.189.253.159) on October 5, 2019.























Aortic Systolic Blood Pressure



Aortic Pulse Pressure



Brachial Systolic Blood Pressure



Brachial Pulse Pressure



Brachial Diastolic Blood Pressure



Brachial Mean Arterial Pressure



Pulse Pressure Amplification



Time to Reflected Wave



Augmentation Index



Augmentation Pressure








































Changes in Aortic Root Q with SV



Changes in Aortic Root Q with LVET



Changes in Aortic Root Q with age