



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.3389/fpsy.2020.00064](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00064)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kinnaird, E., Stewart, C., & Tchanturia, K. (2020). Interoception in Anorexia Nervosa: exploring associations with alexithymia and autistic traits. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 11*, Article 64. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00064>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Interoception in Anorexia Nervosa: exploring associations with alexithymia and autistic**
2 **traits**

3 **Emma Kinnaird*¹, Dr. Catherine Stewart², Prof. Kate Tchanturia^{1,2,3}**

4 1. Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychology, Psychiatry and
5 Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK.

6 2. South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.

7 3. Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

8 ***Correspondence:**

9 Emma Kinnaird, emma.kinnaird@kcl.ac.uk

10 **Keywords: anorexia nervosa, eating disorders, autism, alexithymia, interoception**

11 **Abstract**

12 **Background:** Previous research on whether interoception is altered in anorexia nervosa (AN)
13 using the heartbeat tracking task has yielded inconsistent results. However, no previous
14 research has examined whether interoception is associated with alexithymia and autistic traits
15 in AN, conditions which are more prevalent in this population and thought to be related to
16 performance in this task. The aim of this study was to explore whether altered interoception
17 in AN is associated with alexithymia and autistic traits.

18 **Methods:** We assessed interoceptive accuracy using the heartbeat tracking task in $n=37$
19 people with AN, and $n=37$ age and gender matched healthy controls (HC), and explored
20 within the AN group if interoceptive accuracy was related to self-rated alexithymia or autistic
21 traits. We also assessed self-reported interoceptive ability, and the relationship between
22 subjective and actual performance.

23 **Results:** Heartbeat tracking task performance was not found to be altered in the AN group
24 compared to the HC group. However, confidence ratings in task performance in the AN
25 group were lower compared to the HC group. Unlike the HC group, confidence ratings in the
26 AN group did not correlate with task performance. Within the AN group there was no
27 relationship between interoceptive accuracy, alexithymia, and autistic traits, after controlling
28 for the potential confounders of anxiety and depression. There was a relationship between
29 confidence ratings and illness severity in the AN group.

30 **Conclusion:** The results found no differences between heartbeat tracking task performance in
31 people with AN compared to HC. There was no association between task performance,
32 alexithymia and autistic traits in AN. Results do suggest that people with AN exhibit lowered
33 confidence in their task performance, and that they may lack insight into this performance
34 compared to HC. The findings are discussed in the context of potential significant limitations
35 of the heartbeat tracking task, with recommendations for future research into interoception in
36 AN.

37

38 **1. Introduction**

39 Anorexia nervosa (AN) is an eating disorder (ED) characterised by the restriction of energy
40 intake resulting in low body weight, a resistance to weight gain, and altered body image
41 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Early research on AN suggested that this food
42 restriction, and associated symptoms such as altered body image and problems identifying
43 emotions, may be driven by a difficulty detecting internal bodily sensations (Bruch, 1962).

44 This concept of sensitivity to bodily stimuli has come to be understood under the wider term
45 of interoception, or “the sense of the physiological condition of the entire body” (Craig,
46 2002). Interoception encompasses how the brain identifies, interprets and integrates internal
47 stimuli. Altered interoception is associated with a number of processes thought to be related
48 to the development and maintenance of AN, including appetite regulation, emotion
49 regulation, self-awareness, and motivation (Craig, 2002; Critchley & Garfinkel, 2017; Kaye,
50 Wagner, Fudge, & Paulus, 2011; Paulus & Stein, 2006). Research into interoception has
51 encompassed various definitions of key terms, including similar terms being used by different
52 studies to describe different concepts (Khalsa, Adolphs, et al., 2018). Recently, Garfinkel and
53 co-authors (2015) have defined interoceptive accuracy (objective ability to detect internal
54 stimuli), interoceptive sensibility (self-perceived ability to detect internal stimuli), and an
55 individual’s metacognitive insight into their objective ability. The current study will use these
56 definitions when discussing different aspects of interoception, including when referring to
57 previous research which used different terms.

58 Studies on whether interoceptive accuracy is altered in AN have yielded mixed findings.
59 Although earlier research often focused on hunger and satiety detection, more recent studies
60 on interoceptive accuracy in AN have most commonly used measures of cardiac
61 interoception, specifically the heartbeat tracking task (Schandry, 1981). Using the heartbeat
62 tracking task, two initial studies found that people with AN had lower interoceptive accuracy
63 (Pollatos et al., 2016; Pollatos et al., 2008). By contrast, three more recent studies using the
64 same measure found no significant differences between people with AN and healthy controls
65 (HC) (Ambrosecchia et al., 2017; Lutz et al., 2019; Richard et al., 2019). One previous study
66 has used a heartbeat discrimination task, finding no differences between people with AN and
67 HC (Eshkevari, Rieger, Musiat, & Treasure, 2014). By contrast, research on interoceptive
68 sensibility in AN consistently suggests that people with AN self-report a lack of confidence
69 in their ability to detect their internal stimuli compared to HC (Jenkinson, Taylor, & Laws,
70 2018). It should be noted that these previous interoceptive sensibility studies have primarily
71 used the interoceptive subscale of the Eating Disorder Inventory (EDI) (Garner, Olmstead, &
72 Polivy, 1983). This subscale has been criticised for potentially measuring emotional, rather
73 than somatic awareness (Eshkevari et al., 2014), and for not distinguishing between a lack of
74 acceptance of emotional arousal, and a lack of clarity surrounding internal stimuli (Merwin,
75 Zucker, Lacy, & Elliott, 2010). The subscale also primarily focuses on the sensations of
76 hunger and satiety, rather than including a range of different body sensations (Lutz et al.,
77 2019).

78 Therefore, previous research suggests that while people with AN self-report a lowered ability
79 to detect internal stimuli, it is unclear whether this equates to objectively lowered
80 interoceptive accuracy. One potential reason for this variability in previous findings is the
81 methodology. The majority of studies on interoceptive accuracy in AN have used the
82 heartbeat tracking task, but this method has come under increasing scrutiny. Heartbeat
83 tracking can be influenced by a number of factors, including BMI (Rouse, Jones, & Jones,
84 1988), cardiac variables (Knapp-Kline & Kline, 2005), and prior knowledge about typical
85 heart rates (Murphy et al., 2018). It has also been suggested that heartbeat tracking scores
86 reflect participant beliefs about heart rate, rather than actual counted heartbeat sensations
87 (Brener & Ring, 2016; Desmedt, Luminet & Corneille, 2018). In addition, the test has low
88 test-retest reliability, and does not relate to other measures of cardiac interoception (Ring &
89 Brener, 2018; Wittkamp et al., 2018). An additional difficulty in using this test to measure
90 interoceptive accuracy in AN is the potential influence of related clinical variables. For
91 example, previous research has considered the role of depression and anxiety when exploring
92 this area, variables known to be associated with altered interoceptive accuracy

93 (Ambrosecchia et al., 2017; Dunn et al., 2007; Eley et al., 2004; Pollatos et al., 2008).
94 However, to date, no research has explored whether there is an association between
95 alexithymia, autistic traits and interoception in AN (Westwood et al., 2016; Westwood, Kerr-
96 Gaffney, Stahl, & Tchanturia, 2017).

97 Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder associated with differences in social
98 communication, and restricted behaviours and interests (American Psychiatric Association,
99 2013). People with AN exhibit heightened levels of autistic traits compared to HC
100 (Westwood et al., 2016), and qualitative research suggest that altered interoception could
101 contribute to disordered eating in autistic adults (Kinnaird, Norton, Pimblett, Stewart, &
102 Tchanturia, 2019; Kinnaird, Norton, Stewart, & Tchanturia, 2019). Research suggests that
103 interoceptive accuracy may be lowered in autism (Garfinkel et al., 2016; Palser, Fotopoulou,
104 Pellicano, & Kilner, 2018), although other studies have found no differences in autism
105 compared to HC (Nicholson, Williams, Carpenter, & Kallitsounaki, 2019; Nicholson et al.,
106 2018; Schauder, Mash, Bryant, & Cascio, 2015).

107 It has been suggested that apparent differences in interoceptive accuracy in autism could in
108 fact be related to the higher levels of alexithymia seen in autistic populations (Brewer, Happe,
109 Cook, & Bird, 2015; Kinnaird, Stewart, & Tchanturia, 2019; Livingston & Livingston, 2016;
110 Mul, Stagg, Herbelin, & Aspell, 2018; Shah, Hall, Catmur, & Bird, 2016). Alexithymia is
111 associated with lower interoceptive accuracy, to the extent that it has been hypothesised to be
112 the product of impaired interoception (Brewer, Cook, & Bird, 2016; Herbert, Herbert, &
113 Pollatos, 2011; Murphy, Catmur, & Bird, 2018). Furthermore, this relationship may be
114 specific to clinical populations: a recent meta-analysis found no relationship between
115 interoception in control populations, but found that lowered interoception was related to
116 heightened alexithymia in EDs and autism (Trevisan et al., 2019). However, this study used a
117 broad definition of interoception, described as “interoceptive awareness”, including attention,
118 detection, magnitude, discrimination, accuracy, insight, sensibility, and self-report abilities
119 surrounding bodily cues (Khalsa et al., 2018). Therefore, the findings related to a broadly
120 defined construct of interoception, incorporating a number of different measurement
121 approaches. Moreover, the meta-analysis considered EDs as a single category rather than
122 distinguishing between AN, bulimia nervosa (BN) and binge eating. No previous study has
123 specifically investigated the relationship between interoceptive accuracy as measured using
124 the heartbeat tracking task and alexithymia in AN.

125 Therefore, any attempt to investigate the associations between autistic traits and interoception
126 in AN would also require a consideration of the role of alexithymia, with research suggesting
127 alexithymia is heightened in people with AN (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, Stahl, & Tchanturia,
128 2017). However, to date the associations between different facets of interoception,
129 alexithymia, and autism in AN have not been explored. The aim of this exploratory study was
130 to address this gap in the literature by investigating the following hypotheses using the
131 heartbeat tracking task:

- 132 1) People with AN would exhibit lowered interoceptive accuracy compared to HC.
- 133 2) People with AN would self-report lowered interoceptive sensibility compared to HC.
- 134 3) People with AN would exhibit poorer metacognitive insight into their task
135 performance compared to HC.
- 136 4) There would be an association between interoceptive accuracy, alexithymia and
137 autism within the AN group.

138 In the context of a lack of previous research in this area, the current study only examined
 139 associations between interoceptive accuracy, alexithymia, and autistic traits in AN. It does
 140 not present hypotheses surrounding the expected relationships.

141 **2. Methods**

142 **2.1 Participants**

143 Participants with AN ($n=37$) were recruited from a specialist ED treatment service.
 144 Additional participants were recruited by advertising online with a UK based ED charity. All
 145 participants met DSM-V criteria for AN as assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview
 146 for DSM (SCID-5) (First, Williams, Karg, & Spitzer, 2015). Participants were excluded if
 147 they reported a neurological condition or serious medical condition. Participants with AN
 148 were included if they had a previous diagnosis of autism.

149 Age and gender matched HC ($n=37$) were recruited through the local university and through
 150 advertising online. Exclusion criteria for HC included any history of EDs or mental health
 151 conditions, neurological or serious medical conditions, or a prior diagnosis of autism. These
 152 were confirmed through screening using the SCID-5 and the Autism Spectrum Quotient
 153 (Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Skinner, Martin, & Clubley, 2001). Participants received £20
 154 for taking part in the study.

155 **2.2 Measures and Procedure**

156 **2.2.1 Interoceptive Accuracy.**

157 Interoceptive accuracy was assessed using a heartbeat tracking task, which requires
 158 participants to detect their own heartbeats (Schandry, 1981). Participants were asked to
 159 silently count their heartbeats during four randomised time windows (25, 35, 45, and 100
 160 seconds), and then at the end of each window to report the number of counted heartbeats to
 161 the researcher. Participants were verbally cued to begin counting by the researcher, and then
 162 cued to stop counting when a timer alarm sounded. Participants then verbally reported the
 163 number of heartbeats counted. Actual number of heartbeats were measured using a pulse
 164 oximeter with the sensor attached to their index finger. An interoceptive accuracy score was
 165 calculated for each time trial for each participant using the formula $1 - (|n_{\text{beatsreal}} - n_{\text{beatsreported}}|) / ((n_{\text{beatsreal}} + n_{\text{beatsreported}}) / 2)$, with resulting scores averaged across the
 166 four trials to give an overall score for each participant (Garfinkel, Seth, Barrett, Suzuki, &
 167 Critchley, 2015).
 168

169 Although the efficacy of the heartbeat tracking task as a measure of interoceptive accuracy
 170 has recently come under scrutiny, this task was chosen as it has been used in the vast majority
 171 of previous research on interoceptive accuracy in AN, alexithymia and autism (Brenner &
 172 Ring, 2016; Murphy, Brewer, Hobson, Catmur, & Bird, 2018). As the aim of this study was
 173 to explore whether heartbeat tracking task performance could be related to alexithymia and
 174 autistic traits in AN, the current study has continued to use this method.

175 **2.2.2 Interoceptive Sensibility.**

176 In the context of previous criticism of the EDI interoceptive subscale (Eshkevari et al., 2014;
 177 Lutz et al., 2019; Merwin et al., 2010), interoceptive sensibility was assessed using total
 178 scores on the awareness sub-scale of the Porges Body Perception Questionnaire (BPQ)
 179 (Porges, 1993). The subscale uses 45 questions to assess self-reported awareness of bodily
 180 symptoms, with participants answering on a Likert Scale from “never” to “always”. A higher
 181 score indicates higher interoceptive sensibility. The subscale has previously been used in

182 interoception research, including in autistic populations, but has not previously been used in
 183 people with AN (Garfinkel et al., 2015; Garfinkel et al., 2016). A recent meta-analysis found
 184 that the BPQ was significantly positively associated with alexithymia (Trevisan et al., 2019).

185 Interoceptive sensibility was additionally assessed using task confidence ratings: immediately
 186 following the heartbeat tracking task, participants were asked to rate how confident they were
 187 in their task performance on a scale from 1 (least confident) to 100 (most confident).

188 **2.2.3 Metacognitive insight.**

189 Metacognitive insight into performance was operationalised as the correspondence between
 190 interoceptive accuracy (heartbeat tracking task) and interoceptive sensibility (BPQ and
 191 confidence ratings) (Murphy, Catmur & Bird, 2019; Khalsa et al., 2018). In the present study
 192 this was measured as group correlations between heartbeat tracking scores, and BPQ and
 193 confidence ratings.

194 **2.2.4 Clinical variables.**

195 Alexithymia was measured using the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) (Bagby, Parker,
 196 & Taylor, 1994). The TAS-20 is a self-report measure of alexithymia (the inability to label
 197 and describe emotions in the self) with good internal consistency and test-retest reliability. A
 198 higher score indicates higher levels of alexithymia. The TAS-20 is widely used in research in
 199 both autistic and ED populations (Kinnaird, Stewart, et al., 2019; Westwood et al., 2017).

200 Autistic traits were measured using the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) (Baron-Cohen et al.,
 201 2001). The AQ is a continuous measure of autistic traits, with higher scores indicating higher
 202 levels of autistic traits. The AQ has previously been used in AN populations, with people
 203 with AN typically scoring higher compared to HC (Westwood et al., 2016). Whilst the AQ
 204 does include a cut-off score, with scores above 32 indicating potentially clinically significant
 205 levels of autistic traits, recent research has questioned the ability of the AQ to distinguish
 206 “true” autism cases in populations with high levels of autistic traits (Ashwood et al., 2016;
 207 Conner, Cramer, & McGonigle, 2019; Sizoo et al., 2015). Consequently, beyond screening
 208 HC for high autistic traits at the beginning of the study, the AQ was only used in the analysis
 209 as a continuous measure.

210 Previous research has suggested that the relationship between alexithymia, autism and
 211 interoceptive accuracy cannot be successfully measured without accounting for the role of
 212 anxiety and depression (Murphy et al., 2018). Therefore, anxiety and depression were
 213 measured using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Zigmond & Snaith,
 214 1983). The HADS is a widely used 14-item self-rating instrument for anxiety and depression.
 215 The clinical threshold is 10 for each scale.

216 **2.3 Procedure.**

217 The study received ethical approval from North East - Newcastle & North Tyneside 2
 218 Research Ethics Committee (18/NE/0193). All subjects gave written informed consent in
 219 accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All testing took place during a single study visit.
 220 Following informed consent, participants completed questionnaires and self-reported
 221 demographic information. Height and weight were measured on the day of testing to assess
 222 BMI scores. If a participant with AN was currently in treatment, their BMI was taken from
 223 their most recent measurements in clinical notes. Participants with AN additionally self-
 224 reported their illness duration. Participants then completed the heartbeat tracking task, and
 225 rated their confidence in their task performance. A small number of participants did not
 226 complete all questionnaires but did complete all screening measures and experimental tasks:

227 any difference in group numbers across each self-report measure has been highlighted in the
228 results.

229 **2.4 Analysis.**

230 Statistical analyses were performed using Stata (version 15.0) software. Interoceptive
231 accuracy scores were calculated for each of the time intervals, and averaged to give an overall
232 score. Mean heart rate (MHR) was assessed by calculating the participant's heart rate across
233 each time trial, and then averaging the data to give an overall MHR estimate.

234 The variables age and interoceptive sensibility (BPQ scores) were found to be non-normally
235 distributed and were transformed. The following variables were found to be non-normally
236 distributed and could not be transformed: BMI, EDE Global scores, HADS depression,
237 interoceptive accuracy scores, and confidence in task performance scores. In addition to the
238 non-normal distribution, interoceptive accuracy scores were found to be highly skewed
239 (skewness= -1.39, kurtosis= 5.32). Therefore, non-normally distributed variables were
240 analysed using non-parametric tests, and are summarised in the results using median and
241 interquartile range (*IQR*) values instead of means and standard deviations (*SD*).

242 Group differences on each variable were calculated using t-tests, or Mann-Whitney U tests
243 for non-parametric variables that could not be transformed. Correlations were performed
244 within each group to establish relationships between the heartbeat tracking task, and the BPQ
245 and confidence ratings.

246 Within the AN group only, a multiple linear regression analysis was performed with
247 interoceptive accuracy (overall mean score) as the dependent variable to explore the relative
248 contributions of autistic traits and alexithymia, whilst also controlling for the role of anxiety
249 and depression as recommended by previous research (Murphy et al., 2018). Correlational
250 analyses were performed to assess relationships between confidence scores and clinical
251 variables in the AN group.

252 **3. Results**

253 **3.1 Clinical and Demographic Characteristics**

254 In the AN group ($n=37$), 31 participants had restrictive AN (83.78%), whilst 6 participants
255 had binge/purge AN (16.22%). Mean illness duration was 9.41 years (*SD* 7.72). 29
256 participants were receiving treatment for their AN at the time of study participation (78.38%),
257 and 8 participants were not receiving treatment (21.62%). Of the participants receiving
258 treatment, the majority ($n=23$, 62.16%) were receiving outpatient treatment, and a minority
259 ($n=6$, 16.22%) were in inpatient treatment. 24 participants with AN (64.86%) were taking
260 psychotropic medication. 3 participants with AN reported a prior diagnosis of autism. In
261 addition, the majority ($n= 24$, 64.86%) of participants in the AN group reported at least one
262 comorbid clinical diagnosis. The most common clinical diagnoses were depression ($n=15$)
263 and anxiety ($n=10$), and $n=5$ participants reported a diagnosis of borderline personality
264 disorder. Diagnoses reported by only one participant were bipolar disorder, obsessive-
265 compulsive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder.

266 Group differences are summarised in Table 1. Participants were matched on age and gender,
267 and exhibited no differences in MHR. As expected, participants with AN had lower mean
268 BMIs compared to the HC group, and scored higher on measures of alexithymia, ED
269 symptomatology, autistic traits, depression and anxiety.

270 **3.2 Interoceptive Accuracy**

271 Heartbeat tracking scores are summarised in Table 2. There were no significant differences
272 between groups on the overall heartbeat tracking score, or at any time point, with small effect
273 sizes.

274 3.3 Interoceptive Sensibility

275 There were no significant differences between groups in interoceptive sensibility as measured
276 by the BPQ (HC mean= 117.61 ($n= 36$, $SD= 43.00$), AN mean= 115.43 ($n= 37$, $SD= 24.49$),
277 $t(71)= 0.21$, $p= 0.833$, $d= 0.05$). The AN group did score significantly lower on their
278 confidence rating in their interoceptive accuracy task performance, with a medium effect size
279 (HC median= 50, $IQR= 43.00$, AN median= 40, $IQR= 38$), $U= 477.5$, $p= 0.025$, $d= 0.54$).

280 3.4 Metacognitive Insight

281 In the HC group, there was no relationship between the heartbeat tracking task and BPQ
282 scores ($r= 0.09$, $p= 0.605$). There was a significant positive correlation between heartbeat
283 tracking scores and confidence ratings ($r= 0.60$, $p < 0.001$). By contrast, in the AN group
284 there was no correlation between the heartbeat tracking task and the BPQ ($r= 0.17$, $p= 0.322$),
285 or the confidence ratings ($r= 0.26$, $p= 0.117$).

286 3.5 Relationship with Clinical Variables

287 The relative contribution of autistic traits, alexithymia, anxiety and depression to
288 interoceptive accuracy were calculated using a regression analysis within the AN group only.
289 There were no significant relationships between any of these clinical variables and
290 interoceptive accuracy.

291 Correlations between clinical variables and task confidence ratings were also explored within
292 the AN group only. There were no significant relationships between confidence ratings and
293 alexithymia, autistic traits, anxiety or depression in the AN group. However, there was a
294 significant negative relationship between confidence ratings and ED severity as measured by
295 the EDE-Q Global score ($r= -0.41$, $p= 0.012$).

296 4. Discussion

297 The overall aim of this study was to explore whether interoceptive accuracy as measured by
298 the heartbeat tracking task is associated with alexithymia and autistic traits in AN. Contrary
299 to the hypothesis that people with AN would exhibit lowered cardiac interoceptive accuracy
300 compared to HC, the study found no significant differences between groups in heartbeat
301 tracking performance. This is in line with a number of recent studies, including two that were
302 published after the hypotheses for the current study were generated (Ambrosechia et al.,
303 2017; Lutz et al., 2019; Richard et al., 2019). The findings of the present study, and more
304 recent research, contrast with previous research using the heartbeat tracking task in AN which
305 found lowered accuracy in this population (Pollatos et al., 2016; Pollatos et al., 2008). One
306 potential explanation for this variation in findings are differences in the AN samples used in
307 each study, such as differences in BMI, age, comorbidities, illness duration, and treatment
308 status. For example, the participants with AN in this study were receiving a range of different
309 treatments (inpatient, outpatient, or no treatment), compared to participants receiving self-
310 help only in the Pollatos et al (2008) study. The participants in the current study additionally
311 had lower BMIs, higher mean illness duration, and were slightly older compared to this initial
312 study. This reflects concerns that heartbeat tracking task performance is associated with state-
313 dependent factors (Wittkamp et al., 2018). For example, Richard et al (2019) found that
314 interoceptive accuracy was associated with inpatient treatment progress, with higher accuracy
315 associated with higher BMIs and longer time in treatment.

316 The second hypothesis of this study was that people with AN would exhibit lowered
317 interoceptive sensibility (self-perceived interoceptive aptitude) compared to HC. Findings on
318 interoceptive sensibility were mixed: there were no differences between groups on the BPQ, a
319 measure of self-reported awareness of bodily symptoms, but people with AN did report lower
320 confidence in their interoceptive task performance. The third hypothesis of this study was that
321 people with AN would exhibit poorer metacognitive insight, operationalised as group
322 correlations between performance and BPQ/confidence ratings. The finding that there was a
323 positive correlation between task performance and confidence ratings in the HC group, but
324 not the AN group, suggests that people with AN may lack insight into their interoceptive
325 abilities (Murphy, Catmur & Bird, 2019; Khalsa et al., 2018). Significantly, lower confidence
326 ratings were correlated with higher ED symptomatology in the AN group, indicating that this
327 lack of insight may be related to ED severity. If individuals with AN have less confidence in
328 their ability to detect interoceptive sensations, this could result in a reliance on other cues,
329 such as prior beliefs around likely interoceptive responses. The possibility that people with
330 AN rely on predicted sensations, as opposed to the detection of actual sensations, is supported
331 by research suggesting that people with AN find it difficult to detect actual interoceptive
332 responses from anticipated responses (Khalsa et al., 2015). Individuals with AN were more
333 likely to falsely endorse changes in interoceptive sensation in the absence of stimulation, and
334 reported more intense cardiorespiratory sensations compared to HC, during pre-meal states.
335 This prediction error between actual and anticipated responses is also thought to be altered in
336 other conditions with heightened prevalence in AN, including autism, anxiety and depression
337 (Garfinkel et al., 2016; Paulus & Stein, 2010). Future research should consider further
338 investigating the concept of metacognitive insight in interoception in AN, in particular the
339 role that this might play in interoceptive prediction errors.

340 Alternatively, the lower confidence ratings found in this study may reflect the fact that low
341 self-esteem is very common in people with AN (Jacobi, Paul, de Zwaan, Nutzinger, &
342 Dahme, 2004). Therefore, the findings of this study could reflect a generalised lack of
343 confidence in ability, rather than a lack of confidence specific to interoceptive performance.
344 It should be noted that the current results contrast with the findings of Lutz et al (2019) who
345 found no difference between groups in task confidence ratings.

346 Finally, the study hypothesised that there would be an association between interoceptive
347 accuracy, alexithymia and autism within the AN group. The findings of this study did not
348 support this hypothesis, with no relationships found. Consequently, it is possible that
349 interoceptive accuracy is not linked to alexithymia and autistic traits in AN, and is rather
350 associated with other drivers, such as treatment duration or BMI (Richard et al., 2019).
351 However, it should be noted that interoceptive accuracy, autism and alexithymia have not
352 consistently been linked in previous studies: two recent studies have found no associations
353 between autism and interoceptive accuracy in adults (Nicholson et al., 2019; Nicholson et al.,
354 2018). Similarly, two additional studies have found no association between interoceptive
355 accuracy and alexithymia (Nicholson et al., 2018; Zamariola, Vlemincx, Corneille, &
356 Luminet, 2018).

357 It is likely that these mixed findings on the relationship between cardiac interoceptive
358 accuracy, alexithymia and autism, and indeed for the inconsistent results surrounding
359 interoceptive accuracy in AN, is related to the heartbeat tracking task itself. The heartbeat
360 tracking task was chosen for the current study as it has been used in the majority of previous
361 research on interoceptive accuracy in AN, alexithymia and autism. However, as previously
362 outlined, heartbeat perception can be influenced by a number of factors beyond the control of
363 the current study. For example, a recent study exploring alexithymia and interoceptive

364 accuracy in a sample of 287 participants initially found no relationship, and subsequently
365 only detected a relationship after accounting for 10 additional control variables (Murphy et
366 al., 2018). Some of these variables were accounted for in group comparisons in the present
367 study: for example, there were no significant differences between groups on age or mean
368 heart rate. However, groups in the current study significantly differed on other variables,
369 including anxiety, depression, BMI, and alexithymia. Although the present study is the one of
370 the largest studies on interoceptive accuracy in AN to date ($n=74$ compared to $n=76$ in
371 Richard et al. (2019)), it was not possible to account for the potential roles of all these
372 variables owing to the relatively small sample size limiting the ability to perform a large
373 multi-variable regression analysis. Additionally, the non-parametric distribution limited the
374 ability to control for variables in group comparisons using ANCOVAs. Finally, the current
375 study did not include a control task to account for the possible influence of participant beliefs
376 about heart rates, or the possibility that they were counting time rather than heartbeats.
377 However, a strength of the current study was that it controlled for anxiety and depression
378 whilst exploring the relationship between interoceptive accuracy, alexithymia and autism in
379 people with AN (Murphy et al., 2018).

380 Therefore, the findings of this study should be understood in the context of the limitation that
381 there are a number of problems associated with using the heartbeat tracking task as a measure
382 of interoceptive accuracy. Future research in this area should consider adapting the heartbeat
383 task to control for potential covariates identified by Murphy et al. (2018), or by modifying the
384 task instructions to instruct participants to specifically count their felt heartbeats, rather than
385 reporting an estimate (Desmedt et al., 2018). Alternatively, studies on interoception in AN
386 could move away entirely from the heartbeat tracking task to a more robust measure of
387 interoceptive accuracy. For example, recent studies on cardiac interoception in AN have
388 instead used bolus intravenous infusions of isoproterenol to artificially raise heartbeat and
389 respiratory rate in a controlled manner, and then asked participants to rate their changing
390 sensations using a dial (Khalsa et al., 2015; Khalsa et al., 2018). Whilst this type of
391 methodology is more invasive compared to the heartbeat tracking task, it does allow for a
392 more highly controlled approach.

393 Significantly, these studies similarly found no difference in interoceptive accuracy, but did
394 find prediction errors made specifically in the context of meal anticipation. This appeared to
395 be related to heightened anxiety, and atypical interoceptive representation of the heartbeat:
396 individuals with AN located sensations in the left side of their chest in the absence of actual
397 stimulation (Khalsa et al., 2018). Further research should consider exploring aspects of
398 interoception in AN other than accuracy, including the ability to discriminate between
399 sensations, or magnitude estimations. The finding in these studies that altered interoception is
400 potentially specific to meal anticipation also warrants further research. In the current study,
401 proximity of the task to meals was not considered. It is possible that task performance,
402 particularly for AN participants, could have been influenced by task timing in relation to
403 meal anticipation. Interestingly, in the current study people with AN did not self-report
404 generalised problems with detecting bodily symptoms, as measured by the BPQ. Taken
405 together with previous research suggesting elevated difficulties as measured using the EDI
406 (Jenkinson et al., 2018), these findings support the possibility in AN are specifically
407 associated with hunger and satiety sensations, or sensations associated with emotion detection
408 only, rather than representing a generalised difficulty. Future research could consider
409 focusing on whether interoceptive differences in AN are associated with specific states, such
410 as heightened emotional arousal, hunger and satiety, or meal anticipation.

411 In conclusion, the current findings indicate that there are no differences in heartbeat tracking
 412 task performance in people with AN compared to HC, and that this performance is not
 413 associated with alexithymia or autistic traits within AN populations. However, these findings
 414 are presented in the context of potentially significant limitations with the chosen
 415 methodology. The study did find that people with AN potentially exhibit lower metacognitive
 416 insight. Recommendations are made for future research in this area.

417 **5. Acknowledgements**

418 The authors would like to thank the UK eating disorder charity Beat for their support with
 419 recruitment for this project.

420 **6. Author Contributions**

421 All authors contributed to the design of the study. EK carried out data collection, and wrote
 422 the first manuscript draft. KT and CS contributed to the final manuscript. All authors read
 423 and approved the final manuscript.

424 **7. Funding**

425 EK was supported by a Medical Research Council Doctoral Training Partnership studentship
 426 (MR/N013700/1). KT would like to acknowledge support from MRC and MRF Child and
 427 Young Adult Mental Health (MR/R004595/1) and support from the Health foundation, an
 428 independent charity committed to bring better health care for people in the UK (Ref: AIMS
 429 ID): 1115447.

430 **8. Conflict of Interest**

431 The authors have no conflicts of interests to declare.

432 **9. Data Availability Statement**

433 The datasets for this manuscript are not publicly available because the authors do not have
 434 permission to share the participant data publicly. Requests to access the datasets should be
 435 directed to Kate Tchanturia, kate.tchanturia@kcl.ac.uk.

436 **10. References**

- 437 Ambrosecchia, M., Ardizzi, M., Russo, E., Ditaranto, F., Speciale, M., Vinai, P., . . . Gallese,
 438 V. (2017). Interoception and Autonomic Correlates during Social Interactions.
 439 Implications for Anorexia. *Front Hum Neurosci*, *11*, 219.
 440 doi:10.3389/fnhum.2017.00219
- 441 Ashwood, K. L., Gillan, N., Horder, J., Hayward, H., Woodhouse, E., McEwen, F. S., . . .
 442 Murphy, D. G. (2016). Predicting the diagnosis of autism in adults using the Autism-
 443 Spectrum Quotient (AQ) questionnaire. *Psychological Medicine*, *46*(12), 2595-2604.
 444 doi:10.1017/S0033291716001082
- 445 Association, A. P. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. In (5 ed.).
 446 Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- 447 Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D. A., & Taylor, G. J. (1994). The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia
 448 scale—I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *Journal of*
 449 *Psychosomatic Research*, *38*(1), 23-32. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(94)90005-1)
 450 [3999\(94\)90005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(94)90005-1)
- 451 Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J., & Clubley, E. (2001). The
 452 Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ): Evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-

- 453 functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *Journal of*
 454 *Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31(1), 5-17. doi:10.1023/a:1005653411471
- 455 Brener, J., & Ring, C. (2016). Towards a psychophysics of interoceptive processes: the
 456 measurement of heartbeat detection. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society*
 457 *of London. Series B, Biological sciences*, 371(1708), 20160015.
 458 doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0015
- 459 Brewer, R., Cook, R., & Bird, G. (2016). Alexithymia: a general deficit of interoception.
 460 *Royal Society Open Science*, 3(10), 150664. doi:10.1098/rsos.150664
- 461 Brewer, R., Happe, F., Cook, R., & Bird, G. (2015). Commentary on "Autism, oxytocin and
 462 interoception": Alexithymia, not Autism Spectrum Disorders, is the consequence of
 463 interoceptive failure. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 56, 348-353.
 464 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.07.006
- 465 Bruch, H. (1962). PERCEPTUAL AND CONCEPTUAL DISTURBANCES IN
 466 ANOREXIA-NERVOSA. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 24(2), 187-&.
- 467 Coddington, R. D., & Bruch, H. (1970). Gastric Perceptivity in Normal, Obese and
 468 Schizophrenic Subjects. *Psychosomatics*, 11(6), 571-579.
- 469 Conner, C. M., Cramer, R. D., & McGonigle, J. J. (2019). Examining the Diagnostic Validity
 470 of Autism Measures Among Adults in an Outpatient Clinic Sample. *Autism in*
 471 *Adulthood*, 1(1), 60-68. doi:10.1089/aut.2018.0023
- 472 Craig, A. D. (2002). How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition
 473 of the body. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 3(8), 655-666. doi:10.1038/nrn894
- 474 Critchley, H. D., & Garfinkel, S. N. (2017). Interoception and Emotion. *Current Opinion in*
 475 *Psychiatry*, 17, 7-14.
- 476 Desmedt, O., Luminet, O. & Corneille, O. (2018). The heartbeat counting task largely
 477 involves non-interoceptive processes: evidence from both the original and an adapted
 478 counting task. *Biological Psychology*, 138, 185-188. doi:
 479 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.09.00
- 480 Dunn, B. D., Dalgleish, T., Ogilvie, A. D. & Lawrence, A. D. (2007). Heartbeat perception in
 481 depression. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45(8), 1921-1930. doi:
 482 10.1016/j.brat.2006.09.008
- 483 Eley, T. C., Stirling, L., Ehlers, A., Gregory, A. M. & Clark, D. M. (2004). Heart-beat
 484 perception, panic/somatic symptoms and anxiety sensitivity in children. *Behaviour*
 485 *Research and Therapy* 42(4), 439-448. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7967(03)00152-9
- 486 Eshkevari, E., Rieger, E., Musiat, P., & Treasure, J. (2014). An investigation of interoceptive
 487 sensitivity in eating disorders using a heartbeat detection task and a self-report
 488 measure. *Eur Eat Disord Rev*, 22(5), 383-388. doi:10.1002/erv.2305
- 489 First, M., Williams, J., Karg, R., & Spitzer, R. (2015). *Structured Clinical Interview for*
 490 *DSM-5, Research Version*. . Arlington, VA. : American Psychiatric Association.
- 491 Garfinkel, P. E. (1974). PERCEPTION OF HUNGER AND SATIETY IN ANOREXIA-
 492 NERVOSA. *Psychological Medicine*, 4(3), 309-315.
- 493 Garfinkel, S. N., Seth, A. K., Barrett, A. B., Suzuki, K., & Critchley, H. D. (2015). Knowing
 494 your own heart: Distinguishing interoceptive accuracy from interoceptive awareness.
 495 *Biological Psychology*, 104, 65-74. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.11.004
- 496 Garfinkel, S. N., Tiley, C., O'Keefe, S., Harrison, N. A., Seth, A. K., & Critchley, H. D.
 497 (2016). Discrepancies between dimensions of interoception in autism: Implications
 498 for emotion and anxiety. *Biological Psychology*, 114, 117-126.
 499 doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.12.003
- 500 Garner, D. M., Olmstead, M. P., & Polivy, J. (1983). DEVELOPMENT AND
 501 VALIDATION OF A MULTIDIMENSIONAL EATING DISORDER INVENTORY
 502 FOR ANOREXIA-NERVOSA AND BULIMIA. *International Journal of Eating*

- 503 *Disorders*, 2(2), 15-34. doi:10.1002/1098-108x(198321)2:2<15::aid-
 504 eat2260020203>3.0.co;2-6
- 505 Herbert, B. M., Herbert, C., & Pollatos, O. (2011). On the Relationship Between
 506 Interoceptive Awareness and Alexithymia: Is Interoceptive Awareness Related to
 507 Emotional Awareness? *Journal of Personality*, 79(5), 1149-1175. doi:10.1111/j.1467-
 508 6494.2011.00717.x
- 509 Herbert, B. M., Muth, E. R., Pollatos, O., & Herbert, C. (2012). Interoception across
 510 Modalities: On the Relationship between Cardiac Awareness and the Sensitivity for
 511 Gastric Functions. *Plos One*, 7(5), e36646. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036646
- 512 Hetherington, M., & Rolls, B. J. (1989). Sensory-specific satiety in anorexia and bulimia
 513 nervosa. In *The psychobiology of human eating disorders: Preclinical and clinical*
 514 *perspectives* (pp. 387-398). New York, NY: New York Academy of Sciences; US.
- 515 Jacobi, C., Paul, T., de Zwaan, M., Nutzinger, D. O., & Dahme, B. (2004). Specificity of self-
 516 concept disturbances in eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*,
 517 35(2), 204-210. doi:10.1002/eat.10240
- 518 Jenkinson, P. M., Taylor, L., & Laws, K. R. (2018). Self-reported interoceptive deficits in
 519 eating disorders: A meta-analysis of studies using the eating disorder inventory.
 520 *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 110, 38-45.
 521 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2018.04.005>
- 522 Kaye, W. H., Wagner, A., Fudge, J. L., & Paulus, M. (2011). Neurocircuitry of eating
 523 disorders. In *Behavioral neurobiology of eating disorders* (pp. 37-57). New York,
 524 NY: Springer-Verlag Publishing; US.
- 525 Khalsa, S. S., Adolphs, R., Cameron, O. G., Critchley, H. D., Davenport, P. W., Feinstein, J.
 526 S., . . . Interoception Summit, p. (2018). Interoception and Mental Health: A
 527 Roadmap. *Biological psychiatry. Cognitive neuroscience and neuroimaging*, 3(6),
 528 501-513. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.12.004
- 529 Khalsa, S. S., Craske, M. G., Li, W., Vangala, S., Strober, M., & Feusner, J. D. (2015).
 530 Altered interoceptive awareness in anorexia nervosa: Effects of meal anticipation,
 531 consumption and bodily arousal. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 48(7),
 532 889-897.
- 533 Khalsa, S. S., Hassanpour, M. S., Strober, M., Craske, M. G., Arevian, A. C., & Feusner, J.
 534 D. (2018). Interoceptive Anxiety and Body Representation in Anorexia Nervosa.
 535 *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9, 444-444. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2018.00444
- 536 Kinnaird, E., Norton, C., Pimblett, C., Stewart, C., & Tchanturia, K. (2019). Eating as an
 537 autistic adult: An exploratory qualitative study. *Plos One*, 14(8), e0221937.
 538 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221937
- 539 Kinnaird, E., Norton, C., Stewart, C., & Tchanturia, K. (2019). Same behaviours, different
 540 reasons: what do patients with co-occurring anorexia and autism want from
 541 treatment? *International Review of Psychiatry*, 1-10.
 542 doi:10.1080/09540261.2018.1531831
- 543 Kinnaird, E., Stewart, C., & Tchanturia, K. (2019). Investigating alexithymia in autism: A
 544 systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Psychiatry*, 55, 80-89.
 545 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.09.004>
- 546 Knapp-Kline, K., & Kline, J. P. (2005). Heart rate, heart rate variability, and heartbeat
 547 detection with the method of constant stimuli: slow and steady wins the race.
 548 *Biological Psychology*, 69(3), 387-396.
 549 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2004.09.002>
- 550 Livingston, L. A., & Livingston, L. M. (2016). Commentary: Alexithymia, not autism, is
 551 associated with impaired interoception. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(1103).

- 552 Lutz, A. P. C., Schulz, A., Voderholzer, U., Koch, S., van Dyck, Z., & Vögele, C. (2019).
 553 Enhanced cortical processing of cardio-afferent signals in anorexia nervosa. *Clinical*
 554 *Neurophysiology*, *130*(9), 1620-1627.
 555 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.06.009>
- 556 Merwin, R. M., Zucker, N. L., Lacy, J. L., & Elliott, C. A. (2010). Interoceptive awareness in
 557 eating disorders: Distinguishing lack of clarity from non-acceptance of internal
 558 experience. *Cognition & Emotion*, *24*(5), 892-902. doi:10.1080/02699930902985845
- 559 Mul, C.-l., Stagg, S. D., Herbelin, B., & Aspell, J. E. (2018). The Feeling of Me Feeling for
 560 You: Interoception, Alexithymia and Empathy in Autism. *Journal of Autism and*
 561 *Developmental Disorders*, *48*(9), 2953-2967. doi:10.1007/s10803-018-3564-3
- 562 Murphy, J., Brewer, R., Hobson, H., Catmur, C., & Bird, G. (2018). Is alexithymia
 563 characterised by impaired interoception? Further evidence, the importance of control
 564 variables, and the problems with the Heartbeat Counting Task. *Biological Psychology*,
 565 *136*, 189-197. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.05.010>
- 566 Murphy, J., Catmur, C., & Bird, G. (2018). Alexithymia is associated with a multidomain,
 567 multidimensional failure of interoception: Evidence from novel tests. *J Exp Psychol*
 568 *Gen*, *147*(3), 398-408. doi:10.1037/xge0000366
- 569 Murphy, J., Catmur, C., & Bird, G. (2019). Classifying individual differences in
 570 interoception: Implications for the measurement of interoceptive awareness.
 571 *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* *26*, 1467-1471. doi: 10.3758/s13423-019-01632-7
- 572 Nicholson, T., Williams, D., Carpenter, K., & Kallitsounaki, A. (2019). Interoception is
 573 Impaired in Children, But Not Adults, with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of*
 574 *Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *49*(9), 3625-3637. doi:10.1007/s10803-019-
 575 04079-w
- 576 Nicholson, T. M., Williams, D. M., Grainger, C., Christensen, J. F., Calvo-Merino, B., &
 577 Gaigg, S. B. (2018). Interoceptive impairments do not lie at the heart of autism or
 578 alexithymia. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *127*(6), 612-622.
 579 doi:10.1037/abn0000370
- 580 Oberndorfer, T., Simmons, A., McCurdy, D., Strigo, I., Matthews, S., Yang, T., . . . Kaye, W.
 581 (2013). Greater anterior insula activation during anticipation of food images in
 582 women recovered from anorexia nervosa versus controls. *Psychiatry Res*, *214*(2),
 583 132-141. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2013.06.010
- 584 Owen, W. P., Halmi, K. A., Gibbs, J., & Smith, G. P. (1985). SATIETY RESPONSES IN
 585 EATING DISORDERS. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *19*(2-3), 279-284.
 586 doi:10.1016/0022-3956(85)90029-9
- 587 Palser, E. R., Fotopoulou, A., Pellicano, E., & Kilner, J. M. (2018). The link between
 588 interoceptive processing and anxiety in children diagnosed with autism spectrum
 589 disorder: Extending adult findings into a developmental sample. *Biological*
 590 *Psychology*, *136*, 13-21. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.05.003>
- 591 Paulus, M. P., & Stein, M. B. (2006). An Insular View of Anxiety. *Biological Psychiatry*,
 592 *60*(4), 383-387. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.042>
- 593 Paulus, M. P., & Stein, M. B. (2010). Interoception in anxiety and depression. *Brain*
 594 *Structure and Function*, *214*(5-6), 451-463. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0258-9
- 595 Pollatos, O., Herbert, B. M., Berberich, G., Zaudig, M., Krauseneck, T., & Tsakiris, M.
 596 (2016). Atypical Self-Focus Effect on Interoceptive Accuracy in Anorexia Nervosa.
 597 *Front Hum Neurosci*, *10*, 484. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2016.00484
- 598 Pollatos, O., Kurz, A. L., Albrecht, J., Schreder, T., Kleeman, A. M., Schopf, V., . . .
 599 Schandry, R. (2008). Reduced perception of bodily signals in Anorexia Nervosa.
 600 *Eating Behaviours*, *9*(4), 318-388.

- 601 Porges, S. (1993). *Body Perception Questionnaire: laboratory of assessment development*:
 602 University of Maryland.
- 603 Richard, A., Meule, A., Georgii, C., Voderholzer, U., Cuntz, U., Wilhelm, F. H., & Blechert,
 604 J. (2019). Associations between interoceptive sensitivity, intuitive eating, and body
 605 mass index in patients with anorexia nervosa and normal-weight controls. *European*
 606 *Eating Disorders Review*, 27(5), 571-577. doi:10.1002/erv.2676
- 607 Ring, C. & Brener, J. (2018). Heartbeat counting is unrelated to heartbeat detection: a
 608 comparison of methods to quantify interoception. *Psychophysiology* 55(9), e13084.
 609 doi: 10.1111/psyp.13084
- 610 Rolls, B. J., Andersen, A. E., Moran, T. H., McNelis, A. L., Baier, H. C., & Fedoroff, I. C.
 611 (1992). FOOD-INTAKE, HUNGER, AND SATIETY AFTER PRELOADS IN
 612 WOMEN WITH EATING DISORDERS. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*,
 613 55(6), 1093-1103.
- 614 Rouse, C. H., Jones, G. E., & Jones, K. R. (1988). The Effect of Body Composition and
 615 Gender on Cardiac Awareness. *Psychophysiology*, 25(4), 400-407.
 616 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.1988.tb01876.x
- 617 Schandry, R. (1981). HEART BEAT PERCEPTION AND EMOTIONAL EXPERIENCE.
 618 *Psychophysiology*, 18(4), 483-488. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.1981.tb02486.x
- 619 Schauder, K. B., Mash, L. E., Bryant, L. K., & Cascio, C. J. (2015). Interoceptive ability and
 620 body awareness in autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Experimental Child*
 621 *Psychology*, 131, 193-200. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2014.11.002
- 622 Shah, P., Hall, R., Catmur, C., & Bird, G. (2016). Alexithymia, not autism, is associated with
 623 impaired interoception. *Cortex*, 81, 215-220. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2016.03.021
- 624 Silverstone, J. T., & Russell, G. F. M. (1967). GASTRIC HUNGER CONTRACTIONS IN
 625 ANOREXIA NERVOSA. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 113(496), 257-+.
 626 doi:10.1192/bjp.113.496.257
- 627 Sizoo, B. B., Horwitz, E. H., Teunisse, J. P., Kan, C. C., Vissers, C., Forceville, E. J. M., . . .
 628 Geurts, H. M. (2015). Predictive validity of self-report questionnaires in the
 629 assessment of autism spectrum disorders in adults. *Autism*, 19(7), 842-849.
 630 doi:10.1177/1362361315589869
- 631 Trevisan, D. A., Altschuler, M. R., Badgasarov, A., Carlos, C., Duan, S., Hamo, E., . . .
 632 McPartland, J. C. (2019). A Meta-Analysis on the Relationship Between Interoceptive
 633 Awareness and Alexithymia: Distinguishing Interoceptive Accuracy and Sensibility.
 634 *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 128(8), 765-776. doi: 10.1037/abn0000454
- 635 Westwood, H., Eisler, I., Mandy, W., Leppanen, J., Treasure, J., & Tchanturia, K. (2016).
 636 Using the Autism-Spectrum Quotient to Measure Autistic Traits in Anorexia Nervosa:
 637 A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental*
 638 *Disorders*, 46(3), 964-977. doi:10.1007/s10803-015-2641-0
- 639 Westwood, H., Kerr-Gaffney, J., Stahl, D., & Tchanturia, K. (2017). Alexithymia in eating
 640 disorders: Systematic review and meta-analyses of studies using the Toronto
 641 Alexithymia Scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 99, 66-81.
- 642 Wittkamp, M. F., Bertsch, K., Vogege, C. & Schulz, A. (2018). A latent state-trait analysis of
 643 interoceptive accuracy. *Psychophysiology* 55(6), e13055. doi: 10.1111/psyp.13055
- 644 Zamariola, G., Vlemincx, E., Corneille, O., & Luminet, O. (2018). Relationship between
 645 interoceptive accuracy, interoceptive sensibility, and alexithymia. *Personality and*
 646 *Individual Differences*, 125, 14-20. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.12.024>
- 647 Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta*
 648 *Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67(6), 361-370. doi:doi:10.1111/j.1600-
 649 0447.1983.tb09716.x

650 **11. Tables**

651 Table 1: Clinical and demographic group characteristics.

	HC mean (SD) (n=37)	AN mean (SD) (n=37)	Test statistic	<i>p</i>	Effect size (<i>d</i>)
Age (years)	26.05 (7.13)	26.08 (8.05)	$t(72) = -0.36$	0.720	0.08
Gender	$n = 35$ female (94.59%), $n = 2$ male (5.41%)	$n = 35$ female (94.59%), $n = 2$ male (5.41%)		1.00	
BMI*	22.8 (4.4)	15.8 (1.2)	$U = 0$	<0.001	3.37
Mean Heart Rate (MHR; beats per minute)	72.27 (10.12)	69.19 (11.22)	$t(72) = 1.24$	0.219	0.29
Alexithymia (TAS)	41.76 (13.45)	61.43 (13.12)	$t(72) = -6.37$	<0.001	1.48
EDE-Q Global*	0.61 (0.89)	4.22 (1.33)	$U = 10$	<0.001	3.20
AQ	12.57 (6.80)	23.30 (10.36)	$t(72) = -5.27$	<0.001	1.22
HADS Depression*	2 (3)	9 (5) $n = 36$	134.5	<0.001	1.89
HADS Anxiety	6.08 (3.90)	13.17 (4.21) $n = 36$	$t(71) = -7.46$	<0.001	1.75
*Data non-normally distributed. Medians and interquartile ranges presented, and data analysed using non-parametric methods.					

652

653 Table 2: Group differences in interoceptive accuracy scores.

	HC mean (SD) (n=37)	AN mean (SD) (n=37)	Test statistic	<i>p</i>	Effect size (<i>d</i>)
Interoceptive Accuracy*	0.67 (0.35)	0.74 (0.28)	$U = 580.5$	0.261	0.26
25 seconds*	0.68 (0.45)	0.83 (0.35)	$U = 507$	0.055	0.46
35 seconds*	0.71 (0.58)	0.75 (0.29)	$U = 600$	0.361	0.21
45 seconds*	0.70 (0.34)	0.71 (0.38)	$U = 667.5$	0.854	0.04

100 seconds*	0.68 (0.30)	0.77 (0.29)	$U= 586.5$	0.289	0.25
*Data non-normally distributed. Medians and interquartile ranges presented.					

654

655 Table 3: Relative contribution of clinical variables to interoceptive accuracy within the AN
656 group only.

	<i>B</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Autistic traits (AQ)	0.00	0.02	0.987
Alexithymia (TAS)	0.05	0.20	0.846
Anxiety (HADS)	-0.21	-1.10	0.278
Depression (HADS)	-0.07	-0.34	0.736

657