



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1039/c7dt02789c

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Lu, C., Laws, K., Eskandari, A., & Suntharalingam, K. (2017). A reactive oxygen species-generating, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibiting, cancer stem cell-potent tetranuclear copper(II) cluster. *Dalton Transactions*, *46*(38), 12785-12789. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7dt02789c

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

A reactive oxygen species-generating, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibiting, cancer stem cell-potent tetranuclear copper(II) cluster

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

C. Lu,^{a,b} K. Laws,^a A. Eskandari,^a and K. Suntharalingam^a*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Tetranuclear copper(II) complexes containing multiple diclofenac and Schiff base moieties, 1-4 are shown to kill bulk cancer cells and cancer stem cells (CSCs) with low micromolar potency. The most effective complex, 1 elicits its cytotoxic effect by elevating intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels and inhibiting cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression.

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are a distinct subpopulation of tumour cells that have high clonal long-term repopulation and self-renewal capacity.^{1,2} The quiescent, slow-cycling, and stemlike properties of CSCs enable them to survive current therapeutic regimens (which are often designed to target proliferating bulk cancer cells) and instigate tumour regrowth.³ CSCs are also linked to metastasis due to their inherent plasticity to reversibly transition between stem cell-like cells and non-stem cell-like cells.^{4,5} The clinical implication of CSCs means that cancer treatments must have the ability to remove heterogeneous cancer population in their entirety, including bulk cancer cells and CSCs, otherwise CSC-mediated relapse could occur. Although a great deal of effort has gone into identifying CSC therapeutic targets such as cell surface markers, organelles, dysregulated signalling pathways, and aspects of their microenvironment,⁶ there is still no clinically approved agent (chemical or biological) that can simultaneously remove bulk cancer cells and CSCs. Most of the CSC specific small molecules undergoing clinical trials are organic in nature,⁷ however, we and others have shown that metal complexes also display attractive anti-CSC and -bulk cancer cell properties.8-15

Our previous work has shown that reactive oxygen species (ROS) elevation in combination with cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibition by mononuclear copper(II)-nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) complexes enables CSC and bulk cancer cell toxicity.^{9,10,13} The success of this strategy is attributed to the vulnerability of CSCs and bulk cancer cells to changes in their intracellular redox state^{16,17} and the overexpression of COX-2^{18,19} in certain CSCs and bulk cancer cells. Here, we have sought to improve CSC and bulk cancer cell activity by developing tetranuclear copper(II) complexes bearing multiple diclofenac moieties (a COX-2 inhibitor with anti-metastatic potential)^{20,21} and Schiff base ligands (a well-known ROS mediator once coordinated to copper).^{22,23} Specifically, four copper(II) centres, four diclofenac moieties, and two Schiff base ligands were used (within a single cluster) to modulate ROS generation power and COX-2 inhibition.

The tetranuclear copper(II) complexes, **1-4** were synthesized, as outlined in Scheme S1, by refluxing the appropriate Schiff base ligand, L^{1-4} with two equivalence of $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ and diclofenac sodium in methanol (pH 7, adjusted by triethylamine) for 24 h. The complexes were isolated as green solids in reasonable yields (48-60%), and characterised by UV-Vis and infrared spectroscopy, and elemental analysis (see ESI). Single crystals of **1** suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile:DMF (100:1) solution of **1** (CCDC 1548878, Fig. 1 and S1). Selected bond distances and bond angles data are presented in Table S1-2. The structure consists of four copper(II) centres, two Schiff base ligands, four diclofenac moieties, and two bridging hydroxyl groups. As



Fig. 1 X-ray structure of a tetranuclear copper(II) complex, **1** comprising of four diclofenac moieties and two Schiff base ligands. Ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability, Cl atoms are shown in green, O in red, C in grey, N in dark blue, S in yellow, and Cu in light blue. H atoms and co-crystallizing solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity.

^{a.}Department of Chemistry, King's College London, London, SE1 1DB, United Kingdom. E-mail: kogularamanan.suntharalingam@kcl.ac.uk

^{b.} College of Biological, Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing 314001, China

⁺ Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

depicted in Fig. 1 and S1, Cu(1)/Cu(1A) and Cu(2)/Cu(2A) display different coordination environments. Cu(1)/Cu(1A) exhibits a five coordinate, distorted triangular bipyramid geometry whereas Cu(2)/Cu(2A) displays a five coordinate, distorted square-based pyramidal structure. The different copper(II) coordination environments is borne out in the slightly shorter distance of Cu(1)–Cu(1A) compared to Cu(1)–Cu(2). The average Cu–O (2.01 Å), Cu–S (2.39 Å), and Cu–N (1.95 Å) bond distances are consistent with bond parameter for related copper(II) complexes.²⁴⁻²⁶

The stability of 1, taken a representative member of the tetranuclear copper(II) complexes, in biologically relevant solutions was assessed using UV-Vis spectroscopy and high resolution ESI mass spectrometry. In Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), 1 (50 μ M) is moderately stable over the course of 24 h at 37 °C (Fig. S2). In PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) and sodium acetate (pH 5.12)/ DMSO (200:1) solutions, 1 (50 μ M) is stable up to 4 hours at 37 °C, after which degradation is clearly observed (Fig. S3-4). In the presence of ascorbic acid (10 equivalents in PBS), a cellular reductant, the absorption of 1 (50 µM) changed markedly over the course of 24 h at 37 °C (Fig. S5). Specifically, the strong band at 270 nm, associated to ligand-centred π - π * transitions decreased and was replaced by a broad band at 260 nm and shoulder at 278 nm. The latter is reminiscent of free diclofenac (25 µM, Fig. S6). Lower energy bands at 320 nm and 365 nm corresponding to metalperturbed $\pi\text{-}\pi^*$ transitions associated to \textbf{L}^1 (Fig. S6) also decreased, suggesting a possible change in the copper oxidation state and coordination environment. ESI mass spectrometry studies under the same conditions revealed peaks corresponding to [diclofenac+K]⁺ (335.0113 m/z) and $[diclofenac-H]^{-}$ (294.0097 m/z) in the positive and negative mode respectively (Fig. S7 and S8). This shows that diclofenac is released under reducing conditions. Peaks with the appropriate isotopic pattern, associated to mononuclear copper complexes with various ratios of diclofenac and L¹ were also observed (Fig. S8 and S9), implying that 1 does not remain as a tetranuclear entity under reducing conditions. Upon incubating concentrated solutions of $1 (250 \mu M)$ with ascorbic acid (2.5 mM) in PBS/DMSO (95:5) for 24h at 37 °C, the d-d transition band (647 nm) associated to the copper(II) centre disappeared indicative of reduction to copper(I) (Fig. S10). This was further proved by the addition of bathocuproine disulfonate (BCS, 2 equivalence), a strong copper(I) chelator, which produced a characteristic absorption band at 480 nm corresponding to [Cu^I(BCS)₂]³⁻ (Fig. S11).²⁷ Collectively the UV-Vis and ESI mass spectrometry studies suggest that the copper centres in 1 are reduced from Cu(II) to Cu(I) under biologically relevant conditions and that diclofenac is liberated.

The bulk breast cancer cell (HMLER) and CSC (HMLERshEcad) potency of **1-4** after 72 h incubation was determined using the MTT [3-(4,5-di-methylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay. IC₅₀ values (concentrations required to reduce cell viability by 50%) were determined from dose–response curves (Fig. S12-15) and are summarized in Table 1. All of the tetranuclear complexes, **1-4** displayed equal toxicity towards CSC-enriched HMLER-shEcad cells and bulk cancer-enriched HMLER cells, in the low micromolar range. The advantage of **1-4** over CSC-selective compounds such as salinomycin (Table 1),²⁸ is that they have the potential to remove whole cancer cell populations (bulk cancer cells and CSCs) with a single dose. CSC-selective compounds need to be administered in combination with bulk cancer-selective agents (at the appropriate dose) to elicit a similar response. Control cytotoxicity studies showed that the potency of diclofenac, CuCl₂, and **1** pre-incubated with 10 equivalents of ascorbic acid for 24 h (**1** + AA, reduced/degradation products) towards HMLER and HMLER-

Table 1. IC_{50} values of **1-4**, diclofenac, CuCl₂, **1** preincubated with 10 equivalents of ascorbic acid for 24 h, and salinomycin against HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells determined after 72 h incubation (mean of three independent experiments ± SD). ^a Taken from reference 9.

Compound	HMLER	HMLER-shEcad	
	IC ₅₀ / μM	IC ₅₀ / μM	
1	8.4 ± 0.2	8.6 ± 0.3	
2	13.1 ± 0.3	14.4 ± 0.2	
3	13.0 ± 0.7	13.1 ± 0.3	
4	8.3 ± 0.5	8.4 ± 0.1	
diclofenac	> 100	37.1 ± 2.0	
CuCl ₂	> 100	> 100	
1 + AA	> 100 83.0 ± 8.9		
salinomycin ^a	11.4 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.4	



Fig. 2 (A) Quantification of mammosphere formation with HMLERshEcad cells untreated and treated with **1-3** and salinomycin at their respective IC₂₀ values for 5 days. Error bars = SD and Student *t-test*, * = *p* < 0.05, ** = *p* < 0.01. (B) Representative bright-field images (× 10) of the mammospheres in the absence and presence of **1** and salinomycin at their respective IC₂₀ values.

shEcad cells was significantly lower than 1 (Table 1 and Fig. S16-18). This suggests that the cytotoxicity of 1 is likely to result from intact 1 rather than its individual components

(diclofenac and copper) or the reduced/degradation products (1 + AA).

The mammosphere assay was performed to determine the ability of 1-3 to inhibit the formation of spheroids comprising of breast CSCs. This method serves as a reliable readout for CSC potency and in vivo potential, given that threedimensional systems are more representative of solid tumours compared to monolayer cell cultures. $^{\rm 29}$ The addition of ${\rm 1-3}$ (at the IC_{20} value) to single cell suspensions of HMLER-shEcad cells significantly (p < 0.05) reduced the number and size of mammospheres formed after 5 days incubation (Fig. 2 and S19). Notably, 1 displayed the highest inhibitory effect, reducing the number of mammospheres formed by 51% compared to the untreated control. This is comparable to the effect of salinomycin (46% reduction in mammosphere formed), an established mammosphere-potent agent (Fig. 2). Upon treatment of single cell suspensions of HMLER-shEcad cells with diclofenac and CuCl₂ (at the IC₂₀ value for 5 days), the number and size of mammospheres formed was largely unaffected (Fig. S20-21). The colorimetric resazurin-based reagent, TOX8 was used to measure the ability of 1-3 to reduce mammosphere viability. The IC₅₀ values (concentration required to decrease mammosphere viability by 50%) of 1-3 were in the micromolar range (Fig. S22 and Table S3). Notably, 1 exhibited the greatest mammosphere-potency (IC₅₀ = 27.9 \pm 1.3 μ M) within the series, comparable to salinomycin (IC₅₀ = 18.5 \pm 1.5 μM). Diclofenac and CuCl_2 were relatively non-toxic towards mammospheres (IC₅₀ > 133 μ M, Fig. S23, Table S3). This suggests that mammosphere potency of 1 is likely to result from intact 1 rather than its individual components (diclofenac and copper). Overall the cytotoxicity and mammosphere studies show that 1-3, in particular 1, can effectively inhibit CSC growth in monolayer and threedimensional cell culture systems.

Cell uptake studies were conducted to identify bulk breast cancer cell and CSC permeability. HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells were treated with **1-4** (10 μ M for 24 h) and the copper content was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). As depicted in Fig. 3 and S24, **1-4** are readily taken up by HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells (> 48 ppb of Cu/ million cells). Strikingly, **1** displayed up to 6-fold higher



Fig. 3 Copper content in HMLER-shEcad cells treated with 1-4 (10 μ M for 24 h). The copper content was determined by ICP-MS.

uptake by HMLER-shEcad cells and 2-fold higher uptake by

HMLER cells than 2-4, suggesting that the addition of the methoxy group on the Schiff base ligand hinders bulk cancer cell and CSC uptake. No direct correlation could be drawn between cellular uptake and bulk cancer or CSC cell cytotoxicity. Control cellular uptake studies showed that CuCl₂ and **1** + AA (reduced/degradation products) were taken up to a lesser extent than 1 by HMLER-shEcad cells under identical conditions (10 μ M for 24 h) (Fig. S25). Diclofenac was also shown to not traffic large quantities of copper into HMLERshEcad cells (Fig. S25). This suggests that 1 is taken up better by CSCs as the intact cluster rather than as the reduced/degraded products (1 + AA). For 1, the complex with the greatest uptake, fractionation studies were carried out with HMLER-shEcad cells (treated with 10 µM for 24 h), to determine cell localisation (Fig. S26). A significant amount of internalised 1 was detected in the cytoplasm (35%). A relatively lower, but nevertheless appreciable amount of 1 was found in the nucleus (11%). The data also revealed that a large portion of 1 (48%) became trapped in the cell membrane. This is could be due to the large size and high intrinsic lipophilicity of 1. Overall, the fractionation studies suggest that 1-induced toxicity is more likely to result from deleterious action within the cytoplasm rather than the nucleus.

The tetranuclear complexes were expected to increase intracellular ROS levels and thereby induce cell death. To determine the ability of **1** to produce ROS in HMLER-shEcad cells, 6-carboxy-2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), a well-established ROS indicator was used. HMLER-shEcad cells treated with **1** (20 μ M) displayed a noticeable



Fig. 4 (A) Normalised ROS activity in untreated HMLER-shEcad cells (control) and HMLER-shEcad cells treated with **1** (20 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h) and co-treated with **1** (20 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h) and *N*-acetylcysteine (2.5 mM for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h). Error bars represent standard deviations and Student *t test*, * = *p* < 0.05. (B) Representative histograms displaying the green fluorescence emitted by anti-COX-2 Alexa Fluor 488 nm antibody-stained HMLER-shEcad cells treated with LPS (2.5 μ M) for 24 h (red) followed by 48 h in media containing **1** (5 - 15 μ M, blue, orange, and green). (C) Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER-shEcad cells with **1** after 72 incubation in the presence and absence of PGE2 (20 μ M).

4.

COMMUNICATION

increase in ROS levels after 3 h (17%) and 6 h (26%) exposure (Fig. 4A). Prolonged exposure of 1 (20 μ M for 12 or 24 h) did not significantly increase ROS levels compared to untreated control cells (Fig. 4A). Therefore 1-induced ROS generation is time-dependent. Similar results have been reported for other metal complexes.³⁰ HMLER-shEcad cells treated with H₂O₂ (150 μ M for 3, 6, 12, or 24 h) exhibited a marked increase in ROS levels (7-8-fold) relative to untreated control cells (Fig. S27). Notably, both 1- (after 6 and 3 h exposure) and H_2O_2 - (after 3, 6, 12, and 24 h exposure) induced ROS production was reduced in the presence of N-acetylcysteine (2.5 mM), a ROS scavenger (Fig. 4A and S27). Cytotoxicity studies in the presence of N-acetylcysteine (2.5 mM, 72 h) showed that the potency of 1 towards HMLER-shEcad cells decreased significantly (IC_{50} value increased from 8.6 \pm 0.3 μM to 11.9 \pm 0.3 μ M, p < 0.05) (Fig. S28). Taken together, this suggests that **1**-induced cell death is related to intracellular ROS generation.

COX-2 is overexpressed in certain cancer cells and linked to proliferative cancer growth and resistance against traditional chemotherapy and radiotherapy.^{31,32} There is compelling evidence for a role for COX-2 in CSC biology and as a mediator of tumour repopulation and metastasis.^{18,32} Given these findings, COX-2 is now recognised as a molecular target for CSC-directed therapy. As the tetranuclear complex, 1 contains four diclofenac moieties which can potentially be released under biologically reducing conditions, flow cytometric studies were performed to determine if the mechanism of action of 1 involved COX-2 downregulation. Upon treatment of HMLERshEcad cells pre-treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (2.5 μ M for 24 h), to increase basal COX-2 levels, with 1 (5-15 µM for 48 h), a marked decrease in COX-2 expression compared to untreated cells was observed (Fig. 4B). A decrease in COX-2 expression was also observed in HMLER-shEcad cells treated with diclofenac (20 μ M for 48 h) (Fig. S29). Overall, the flow cytometric data suggests that the cytotoxic mechanism of action of 1 may involve COX-2 downregulation. To prove this, cytotoxicity studies were carried out with HMLER-shEcad in the presence and absence of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) (20 $\mu\text{M},$ 72 h), the functional product of COX-2-catalysed arachidonic acid metabolism. The IC₅₀ value of 1 against HMLER-shEcad cells decreased by 3.8-fold in the presence of PGE2 (Fig. 4C), implying that 1 induces COX-2-dependent CSC death.

In summary, we report the first tetranuclear copper(II) complexes, **1-4** to simultaneously kill bulk cancer and CSCs. As **1-4** are equipotent towards bulk cancer cells and CSCs, they have the potential to remove heterogenous tumour populations with a single dose. The representative complex, **1** is readily taken up by CSCs and induces cell death by generating intracellular ROS and downregulating COX-2 expression. Our results pave the way for the development of other multinuclear metal complexes (bearing various biologically active moieties) that can evoke bulk cancer and CSC death through distinct cellular pathways.

K.S. is supported by a Leverhulme Early Career Fellowship (ECF-2014-178). K.L. and A.E. are supported by a King's College London GTS-PhD Studentship and Faculty Graduate School International Studentship, respectively. C.L. thanks the Natural

Science Foundation of China (Grant No.21401078) for financial support. We are grateful to Prof. Robert Weinberg (Whitehead Institute, MIT) for providing the cell lines used in this study.

Notes and references

- 1. V. Plaks, N. Kong and Z. Werb, *Cell Stem Cell*, 2015, **16**, 225-238.
- 2. L. V. Nguyen, R. Vanner, P. Dirks and C. J. Eaves, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2012, **12**, 133-143.
- 3. M. Dean, T. Fojo and S. Bates, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2005, **5**, 275-284.
 - J. Marx, Science, 2007, **317**, 1029-1031.
- 5. D. R. Pattabiraman and R. A. Weinberg, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2014, **13**, 497-512.
- X. Ning, J. Shu, Y. Du, Q. Ben and Z. Li, *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, 2013, 14, 295-303.
- 7. J. Kaiser, *Science*, 2015, **347**, 226-229.
- N. Aztopal, D. Karakas, B. Cevatemre, F. Ari, C. Icsel, M. G. Daidone and E. Ulukaya, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2017, 25, 269-276.
- J. N. Boodram, I. J. McGregor, P. M. Bruno, P. B. Cressey, M. T. Hemann and K. Suntharalingam, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2845-2850.
- A. Eskandari, J. N. Boodram, P. B. Cressey, C. Lu, P. M. Bruno, M. T. Hemann and K. Suntharalingam, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 17867-17873.
- M. Flamme, P. B. Cressey, C. Lu, P. M. Bruno, A. Eskandari, M. T. Hemann, G. Hogarth and K. Suntharalingam, *Chemistry*, 2017, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201701837.
- M. Gonzalez-Bartulos, C. Aceves-Luquero, J. Qualai, O. Cusso, M. A. Martinez, S. Fernandez de Mattos, J. A. Menendez, P. Villalonga, M. Costas, X. Ribas and A. Massaguer, *PLoS ONE*, 2015, **10**, e0137800.
- 13. C. Lu, A. Eskandari, P. Cressey and K. Suntharalingam, *Chemistry*, 2017, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201701939.
- 14. C. T. Lum, A. S. Wong, M. C. Lin, C. M. Che and R. W. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4364-4366.
- K. Suntharalingam, W. Lin, T. C. Johnstone, P. M. Bruno, Y. R. Zheng, M. T. Hemann and S. J. Lippard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14413-14416.
- M. Diehn, R. W. Cho, N. A. Lobo, T. Kalisky, M. J. Dorie, A. N. Kulp, D. Qian, J. S. Lam, L. E. Ailles, M. Wong, B. Joshua, M. J. Kaplan, I. Wapnir, F. M. Dirbas, G. Somlo, C. Garberoglio, B. Paz, J. Shen, S. K. Lau, S. R. Quake, J. M. Brown, I. L. Weissman and M. F. Clarke, *Nature*, 2009, 458, 780-783.
- 17. X. Shi, Y. Zhang, J. Zheng and J. Pan, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2012, **16**, 1215-1228.
- B. Singh, J. A. Berry, A. Shoher, V. Ramakrishnan and A. Lucci, Int. J. Oncol., 2005, 26, 1393-1399.
- B. Singh, K. R. Cook, L. Vincent, C. S. Hall, C. Martin and A. Lucci, *J. Surg. Res.*, 2011, **168**, e39-49.
- J. A. Mitchell, P. Akarasereenont, C. Thiemermann, R. J. Flower and J. R. Vane, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1993, 90, 11693-11697.
- P. Pantziarka, V. Sukhatme, G. Bouche, L. Meheus and V.
 P. Sukhatme, *Ecancermedicalscience*, 2016, **10**, 610.
- 22. C. Marzano, M. Pellei, F. Tisato and C. Santini, *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.*, 2009, **9**, 185-211.

Journal Name

- 23. C. Santini, M. Pellei, V. Gandin, M. Porchia, F. Tisato and C. Marzano, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 815-862.
- 24. S. Caglar, E. Dilek, B. Caglar, E. Adiguzel, E. Temel, O. Buyukgungor and A. Tabak, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2016, **69**, 3321-3335.
- 25. S. Dhar, M. Nethaji and A. R. Chakravarty, *Inorg. Chim. Acta.*, 2005, **358**, 2437-2444.
- 26. S. Dhar, M. Nethaji and A. R. Chakravarty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 11043-11050.
- 27. A. A. Kumbhar, A. T. Franks, R. J. Butcher and K. J. Franz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2460-2462.
- 28. P. B. Gupta, T. T. Onder, G. Jiang, K. Tao, C. Kuperwasser, R. A. Weinberg and E. S. Lander, *Cell*, 2009, **138**.
- 29. G. Dontu, W. M. Abdallah, J. M. Foley, K. W. Jackson, M. F. Clarke, M. J. Kawamura and M. S. Wicha, *Genes Dev.*, 2003, **17**, 1253-1270.
- 30. M. J. Chow, C. Licona, G. Pastorin, G. Mellitzer, W. H. Ang and C. Gaiddon, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 4117-4124.
- C. Sobolewski, C. Cerella, M. Dicato, L. Ghibelli and M. Diederich, Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol., 2010, 2010.
- 32. L. Y. Pang, E. A. Hurst and D. J. Argyle, *Stem Cells Int.*, 2016, **2016**, 11.

Supporting Information for

A reactive oxygen species-generating, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibiting, cancer stem cell-potent tetranuclear copper(II) cluster

Chunxin Lu,^{a,b} Kristine Laws,^a Arvin Eskandari,^a and Kogularamanan Suntharalingam^a*

^a Department of Chemistry, King's College London, London, SE1 1DB, United Kingdom
 ^b College of Biological, Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing 314001, China

Email: kogularamanan.suntharalingam@kcl.ac.uk

Table of Content

Experimental Details

Scheme S1.	The reaction scheme for the preparation of tetranuclear copper(II)
	complexes, 1-4 comprising of four diclofenac moieties and two Schiff
	base ligands.
Fig. S1	Crystal structure of compound 1 (ellipsoid thermal probability was drawn at the level of 50%, hydrogen atoms and co-crystallizing solvent molecules were omitted for clarity)
Table S1.	Crystallographic data of $1 \cdot 2(C_3H_6NO) \cdot 2(C_2H_3N)$.
Table S2.	Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $1 \cdot 2(C_3H_6NO) \cdot 2(C_2H_3N)$.
Fig. S2	UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 µM) in Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1)
-	over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S3	UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) over
8	the course of 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S4	UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in sodium acetate buffer (pH
0	5.12)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S5	UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in the presence of ascorbic acid (500
1.9.50	μ (m) in PBS (pH 7 4)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C
Fig S6	$UV_{-}Vis$ spectrum of I^{1} (50 µM) I^{1} (50 µM) + CuI (50 µM)
rig. 50	dialoforma (25 μ M) in DPS (pH 7 4)/DMSO (200:1) at 27 %C
	$(25 \mu\text{M})$ III PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200.1) at 57 °C.
Fig. S7.	ESI mass spectrum (positive mode, 150-650 m/z) of I (50 μ M) in PBS
	(pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), in the presence of ascorbic acid (0.5 mM)
	after 24 h at 37 °C.

Fig. S8.	ESI mass spectrum (negative mode, 100-650 m/z) of 1 (50 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), in the presence of ascorbic acid (0.5 mM)
	after 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S9.	ESI mass spectrum (negative mode, 400-2200 m/z) of 1 (50 μ M) in
8	PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), in the presence of ascorbic acid (0.5
	mM) after 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S10	UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (250 µM) in the presence of ascorbic acid (2.5
8. ~-*	mM) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (95:5) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S11	UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in the presence of ascorbic acid (500
0	μ M) and bathocuproine disulfonate, BCS (100 μ M) in PBS (pH
	7.4/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.
Fig. S12	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
0	HMLER-shEcad cells with 1 after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S13	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
0	HMLER-shEcad cells with 2 after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S14	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
0	HMLER-shEcad cells with 3 after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S15	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
0	HMLER-shEcad cells with 4 after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S16	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
-	HMLER-shEcad cells with diclofenac after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S17	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
-	HMLER-shEcad cells with CuCl ₂ after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S18	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and
	HMLER-shEcad cells with 1 pre-incubated with 10 equivalents of
	ascorbic acid for 24 h after 72 h incubation.
Fig. S19	Representative bright-field images (× 10) of HMLER-shEcad
	mammospheres in the absence and presence of 2 and 3 at their
	respective IC_{20} values for 5 days.
Fig. S20	Quantification of mammosphere formation with HMLER-shEcad cells
	untreated and treated with diclofenac and CuCl_2 at their respective IC_{20}
	values for 5 days.
Fig. S21	Representative bright-field images (× 10) of HMLER-shEcad
	mammospheres in the absence and presence of diclofenac and CuCl ₂ at
	their respective IC_{20} values for 5 days.
Fig. S22	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER-
	shEcad mammospheres with 1-3 and salinomycin after 5 days
Fig. \$23	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMI ER-
rig. 625	shEcad mammospheres with diclofenac and CuCl ₂ after 5 days
	incubation.
Table S3.	IC ₅₀ values of 1-3, salinomycin, diclofenac, and CuCl ₂ against
	HMLER-shEcad mammospheres determined after 5 days incubation
	(mean of three independent experiments \pm SD).
Fig. S24	Copper content in HMLER cells treated with 1-4 (10 μ M for 24 h).

Fig. S25	Copper content in HMLER-shEcad cells treated with diclofenac,	
	CuCl ₂ , and 1 pre-incubated with 10 equivalents of ascorbic acid for 24	
	h (10 μM for 24 h).	
Fig. S26	Copper content in whole cell, cytoplasm, and nucleus fractions isolated	
	from HMLER-shEcad cells treated with 1 (10 μ M for 24 h).	
Fig. S27	Normalised ROS activity in untreated HMLER-shEcad cells (control)	
	and HMLER-shEcad cells treated with H_2O_2 (150 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and	
	24 h) and co-treated with H_2O_2 (150 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h) and N-	
	acetylcysteine (2.5 mM for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h). Error bars represent	
	standard deviations and Student <i>t test</i> , $** = p < 0.01$.	
Fig. S28	Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER-	
	shEcad cells with 1 after 72 incubation in the presence and absence of	
	<i>N</i> -acetylcysteine (2.5 mM).	
Fig. S29	Representative histograms displaying the green fluorescence emitted	
	by anti-COX-2 Alexa Fluor 488 nm antibody-stained HMLER-shEcad	
	cells treated with LPS (2.5 μ M) for 24 h (red) followed by 48 h in	
	media containing diclofenac (20 µM, blue).	

References

Experimental Details

Materials and Methods. All synthetic procedures were performed under normal atmospheric conditions. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded with a IRAffinity-1S Shimadzu spectrophotometer. High resolution electron spray ionisation mass spectra were recorded on a BrukerDaltronics Esquire 3000 spectrometer by Dr. Lisa Haigh (Imperial College London). UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. For the UV studies, a 10 mM stock solution of **1** in DMSO was initially prepared. The copper concentration of the stock solution was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, PerkinElmer NexION 350D). The stock solution was then diluted in the appropriate solution to the working concentration. Elemental analysis of the compounds prepared was performed commercially by London Metropolitan University. The Schiff based ligands, L^{1-4} were prepared according to previously reported protocols.¹

Synthesis of Cu₄(diclofenac)₄(L¹)₂ (1). Diclofenac sodium (190.9 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise to a mixture of L¹ (58.5 mg, 0.3 mmol) and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (144 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL). The pH was adjusted to 7 using triethylamine and the solution was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered and thoroughly washed with water (3 x 10 mL) and diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The tetranuclear copper(II) complex, **1** was isolated as a green solid (171 mg, 60%). IR (solid, cm⁻¹): 1620, 1614, 1597, 1578, 1540, 1505, 1466, 1448, 1395, 1362, 1308, 1268, 1196, 1147, 1130, 1069, 1029, 944, 766, 741, 718, 603 (Cu-O), 573, 528, 467 (Cu-O), 441 (Cu-O); UV (acetonitrile, nm): 275, 323, 377; Anal. Calcd. for **1**, C₇₆H₆₆Cl₈Cu₄N₆O₁₂S₂: C, 49.15; H, 3.58; N, 4.52. Found: C, 49.15; H, 3.23; N, 4.75.

Synthesis of Cu₄(diclofenac)₄(L²)₂ (2). Diclofenac sodium (190.9 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise to a mixture of L² (67.5 mg, 0.3 mmol) and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (144 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL). The pH was adjusted to 7 using triethylamine and the solution was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered and thoroughly washed with water (3 x 10 mL) and diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The tetranuclear copper(II) complex, **1** was isolated as a green solid (137 mg, 48%). IR (solid, cm⁻¹): 1622, 1495, 1449, 1394, 1281, 1034, 1022, 1015, 775, 768, 743, 718, 704, 660, 609 (Cu-O), 534, 472 (Cu-O), 451 (Cu-O); UV (acetonitrile, nm): 277, 453; Anal. Calcd. for compound **2**, C₇₈H₇₀Cl₈Cu₄N₆O₁₄S₂: C, 48.86; H, 3.68; N, 4.38. Found: C, 48.80; H, 3.57; N, 4.25.

Synthesis of Cu₄(diclofenac)₄(L^3)₂ (3). Diclofenac sodium (190.9 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise to a mixture of L^3 (67.5 mg, 0.3 mmol) and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (144 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL). The pH was adjusted to 7 using triethylamine and the solution was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered and thoroughly washed with water (3 x 10 mL) and diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The tetranuclear copper(II) complex, **1** was isolated as a green solid (158 mg, 57%). IR (solid, cm⁻¹): 1609, 1577, 1562, 1534, 1502, 1444, 1369, 1220, 1124, 769, 744, 619 (Cu-O), 577, 532, 469 (Cu-O), 444 (Cu-O); UV (acetonitrile, nm): 285, 321, 363; Anal. Calcd. for compound **3**, C₇₈H₇₀Cl₈Cu₄N₆O₁₄S₂: C, 48.86; H, 3.68; N, 4.38. Found: C, 48.82; H, 3.51; N, 4.25.

Synthesis of $Cu_4(diclofenac)_4(L^4)_2$ (4). Diclofenac sodium (190.9 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was added dropwise to a mixture of L^4 (67.5 mg, 0.3 mmol) and $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ (144 mg, 0.6 mmol) in methanol (10 mL). The pH was adjusted to 7 using

triethylamine and the solution was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered and thoroughly washed with water (3 x 10 mL) and diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The tetranuclear copper(II) complex, **1** was isolated as a green solid (155 mg, 54%). IR (solid, cm⁻¹): 1622, 1494, 1449, 1391, 1283, 1034, 1015, 768, 741, 718, 660, 609 (Cu-O), 533, 472 (Cu-O), 442 (Cu-O); UV (acetonitrile, nm): 276, 454; Anal. Calcd. for compound **4**, $C_{78}H_{70}Cl_8Cu_4N_6O_{14}S_2$: C, 48.86; H, 3.68; N, 4.38. Found: C, 48.77; H, 3.64; N, 4.27.

X-ray Single Crystal Diffraction Analysis. Standard procedures were used to mount the crystal on a Gemini diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at 293 K. The crystal structure was solved using direct methods in SHELXS and refined by full-matrix least-squares routines, based on F^2 , using the SHELXL program.² All the H atoms were placed in geometrically idealised positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms. The structure has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC 1548878). This information can be obtained free of charge from www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Cell Lines and Cell Culture Conditions. The human mammary epithelial cell lines, HMLER and HMLER-shEcad were kindly donated by Prof. R. A. Weinberg (Whitehead Institute, MIT). HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells were maintained in Mammary Epithelial Cell Growth Medium (MEGM) with supplements and growth factors (BPE, hydrocortisone, hEGF, insulin, and gentamicin/amphotericin-B). The cells were grown at 310 K in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Cytotoxicity MTT assay. The colourimetric MTT assay was used to determine the toxicity of **1**-4. HMLER or HMLER-shEcad (5×10^3) were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate. After incubating the cells overnight, various concentrations of the compounds (0.2-100 µM), were added and incubated for 72 h (total volume 200 µL). Stock solutions of the compounds were prepared as 10 mM solutions in DMSO and diluted using media. The final concentration of DMSO in each well was 0.5% and this amount was present in the untreated control as well. After 72 h, 20 µL of a 4 mg/mL solution of MTT in PBS was added to each well, and the plate was incubated for an additional 4 h. The MEGM/MTT mixture was aspirated and 200 µL of DMSO was added to dissolve the resulting purple formazan crystals. The absorbance of the solutions in each well was read at 550 nm. Absorbance values were normalized to (DMSO-containing) control wells and plotted as concentration of test compound versus % cell viability. IC₅₀ values were interpolated from the resulting dose dependent curves. The reported IC₅₀ values are the average of three independent experiments, each consisting of six replicates per concentration level (overall n = 18).

Tumorsphere Formation and Viability Assay. HMLER-shEcad cells (5×10^3) were plated in ultralow-attachment 96-well plates (Corning) and incubated in MEGM supplemented with B27 (Invitrogen), 20 ng/mL EGF, and 4 µg/mL heparin (Sigma) for 5 days. Studies were conducted in the absence and presence of 1-3 and salinomycin. Mammospheres treated with 1-3 and salinomycin (at their respective IC₂₀ values, 5 days) were counted and imaged using an inverted microscope. The viability of the mammospheres was determined by addition of a resazurin-based reagent, TOX8 (Sigma). After incubation for 16 h, the solutions were carefully transferred to a black 96-well plate (Corning), and the fluorescence of the solutions was read at 590 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 560$ nm). Viable mammospheres reduce the amount of the oxidized TOX8 form (blue) and concurrently increases the amount of the fluorescent TOX8 intermediate (red), indicating the degree of mammosphere cytotoxicity caused by the test compound. Fluorescence values were normalized to DMSO-containing controls and plotted as concentration of test compound versus % mammospheres viability. IC_{50} values were interpolated from the resulting dose dependent curves. The reported IC_{50} values are the average of two independent experiments, each consisting of three replicates per concentration level (overall n = 6).

Cellular Uptake. To measure the cellular uptake of **1-4** *ca.* 1 million HMLER and HMLERshEcad cells were treated with **1-4** (10 μ M) at 37 °C for 24 h. After incubation, the media was removed, the cells were washed with PBS (2 mL × 3), harvested, and centrifuged. The cellular pellets were dissolved in 65% HNO₃ (250 μ L) overnight. For **1**, cellular pellets were also used to determine the copper content in the nuclear, cytoplasmic, and membrane fractions. The Thermo Scientific NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit were used to extract and separate the nuclear, cytoplasmic, and membrane fractions. The fractions were dissolved in 65% HNO₃ overnight (250 μ L final volume). All samples were diluted 5-fold with water and analysed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, PerkinElmer NexION 350D). Copper levels are expressed as Cu (ppb) per million cells. Results are presented as the mean of five determinations for each data point.

Intracellular ROS Assay. HMLER-shEcad cells (5×10^3) were seeded in each well of a 96well plate. After incubating the cells overnight, they were treated with **1** or H₂O₂ (20 and 150 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h), in the presence or absence of *N*-acetylcysteine (2.5 mM), and incubated with 6-carboxy-2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (20 μ M) for 30 min. The intracellular ROS level was determined by measuring the fluorescence of the solutions in each well at 529 nm (λ ex = 504 nm).

Flow cytometry. HMLER-shEcad cells were seeded in 6-well plates (at a density of 5×10^5 cells/ mL) and the cells were allowed to attach overnight. The cells were treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (2.5 μ M for 24 h), and then treated with **1** (5-15 μ M) or diclofenac (20 μ M) and incubated for a further 48 h. The cells were then harvested by trypsinization, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (at 37 °C for 10 min), permeabilized with ice-cold methanol (for 30 min), and suspended in PBS (200 μ L). The Alexa Fluor® 488 nm labelled anti-COX-2 antibody (5 μ L) was then added to the cell suspension and incubated in the dark for 1 hr. The cells were then washed with PBS (1 mL) and analysed using a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) (10,000 events per sample were acquired). The FL1 channel was used to assess COX-2 expression. Cell populations were analysed using the FlowJo software (Tree Star).



Scheme S1. The reaction scheme for the preparation of tetranuclear copper(II) complexes, 1-4 comprising of four diclofenac moieties and two Schiff base ligands.



Fig. S1 Crystal structure of compound 1 (ellipsoid thermal probability was drawn at the level of 50%, hydrogen atoms and co-crystallizing solvent molecules were omitted for clarity).

Moiety formula	$C_{72}H_{22}C_{10}C_{114}N_{2}O_{12}S_{2}\bullet 2(C_{2}H_{2}N_{2}O)\bullet 2(C_{2}H_{2}N_{2})$
Wolety formula	$C/61166C18Cu41V_6O1252^{\circ}2(C31161VO)^{\circ}2(C21131V)$
Sum formula	$C_{86}H_{84}\ Cl_8Cu_4N_{10}O_{14}S_2$
Fw	2083.51
crystal system	Monoclinic
space group	P 1 21/n 1
<i>a</i> , Å	17.1514(3)
b, Å	12.63396(19)
<i>c</i> , Å	21.6626(4)
α , deg.	90
β , deg.	98.2803(15)
γ, deg.	90
V, Å ³	4645.13(13)
Ζ	2
$D_{\text{calcd}}, \text{Mg/m}^3$	1.490
Reflections collected	18925
Reflections independent (R_{int})	8987 (0.0314)
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.027
$R(I > 2\sigma I)$	0.0472, 0.1246

 $1 \cdot 2(C_3H_6NO) \cdot 2(C_2H_3N)$

Table S1. Crystallographic data of 1•2(C3H6NO)•2(C2H3N).

Cu(1)-Cu(1A)	3.0057(7)	Cu(1)-O(2A)	1.9836(18)
Cu(1)-O(2)	1.9831(18)	Cu(1)-Cu(2)	3.0536(5)
Cu(1)-O(1)	2.366(2)	Cu(1)-O(3)	1.9586(19)
Cu(1)-O(5)	1.940(2)	O(2)-Cu(1A)	1.9837(18)
O(2)-Cu(2)	1.9728(18)	Cu(2)-S(1)	2.3914(9)
Cu(2)-O(1)	1.931(2)	Cu(2)-O(6)	2.295(2)
Cu(2)-N(1)	1.952(2)	Cu(1A)-Cu(1)-Cu(2)	66.887(15)
O(2)-Cu(1)-Cu(1A)	40.74(5)	O(2A)-Cu(1)-Cu(1A)	40.73(5)
O(2)-Cu(1)-O(2A)	81.47(8)	O(2A)-Cu(1)-Cu(2)	100.27(5)
O(2)-Cu(1)-Cu(2)	39.35(5)	O(2A)-Cu(1)-O(1)	91.12(8)
O(2)-Cu(1)-O(1)	73.48(7)	O(1)-Cu(1)-Cu(1A)	79.93(6)
O(1)-Cu(1)-Cu(2)	39.22(5)	O(3)-Cu(1)-Cu(1A)	137.44(6)
O(3)-Cu(1)-O(2)	175.87(8)	O(3)-Cu(1)-O(2A)	96.83(8)
O(3)-Cu(1)-Cu(2)	144.77(6)	O(3)-Cu(1)-O(1)	110.39(8)
O(5)-Cu(1)-Cu(1A)	132.05(7)	O(5)-Cu(1)-O(2A)	162.77(9)
O(5)-Cu(1)-O(2)	93.43(8)	O(5)-Cu(1)-Cu(2)	85.55(6)
O(5)-Cu(1)-O(1)	103.27(9)	O(5)-Cu(1)-O(3)	87.12(9)
Cu(1)-O(2)-Cu(1A)	98.53(8)	Cu(2)-O(2)-Cu(1A)	115.15(9)
Cu(2)-O(2)-Cu(1)	101.05(8)	O(2)-Cu(2)-Cu(1)	39.60(5)
O(2)-Cu(2)-S(1)	94.33(6)	O(2)-Cu(2)-O(6)	89.13(7)
S(1)-Cu(2)-Cu(1)	129.32(2)	O(1)-Cu(2)-Cu(1)	50.79(6)
O(1)-Cu(2)-O(2)	84.29(8)	O(1)-Cu(2)-S(1)	176.04(7)
O(1)-Cu(2)-O(6)	90.63(9)	O(1)-Cu(2)-N(1)	93.74(11)
O(6)-Cu(2)-Cu(1)	71.81(5)	O(6)-Cu(2)-S(1)	93.06(6)
N(1)-Cu(2)-Cu(1)	141.80(8)	N(1)-Cu(2)-O(2)	172.00(10)
N(1)-Cu(2)-S(1)	87.12(9)	N(1)-Cu(2)-O(6)	98.66(9)

Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $1 \cdot 2(C_3H_6NO) \cdot 2(C_2H_3N)$.



Fig. S2 UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in Tris-HCl (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S3 UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S4 UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.12)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S5 UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in the presence of ascorbic acid (500 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S6 UV-Vis spectrum of $L^1(50 \ \mu\text{M})$, $L^1(50 \ \mu\text{M}) + \text{CuI}(50 \ \mu\text{M})$, diclofenac (25 $\ \mu\text{M}$) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) at 37 °C.



Fig. S7. ESI mass spectrum (positive mode, 150-650 m/z) of **1** (50 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), in the presence of ascorbic acid (0.5 mM) after 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S8. ESI mass spectrum (negative mode, 100-650 m/z) of **1** (50 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), in the presence of ascorbic acid (0.5 mM) after 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S9. ESI mass spectrum (negative mode, 400-2200 m/z) of 1 (50 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1), in the presence of ascorbic acid (0.5 mM) after 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S10 UV-Vis spectrum of **1** (250 μ M) in the presence of ascorbic acid (2.5 mM) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (95:5) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S11 UV-Vis spectrum of 1 (50 μ M) in the presence of ascorbic acid (500 μ M) and bathocuproine disulfonate, BCS (100 μ M) in PBS (pH 7.4)/DMSO (200:1) over the course of 24 h at 37 °C.



Fig. S12 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells with **1** after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S13 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells with **2** after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S14 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells with **3** after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S15 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLERshEcad cells with **4** after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S16 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells with diclofenac after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S17 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLER-shEcad cells with CuCl₂ after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S18 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER and HMLERshEcad cells with **1** pre-incubated with 10 equivalents of ascorbic acid for 24 h after 72 h incubation.



Fig. S19 Representative bright-field images (\times 10) of HMLER-shEcad mammospheres in the absence and presence of 2 and 3 at their respective IC₂₀ values for 5 days.



Fig. S20 Quantification of mammosphere formation with HMLER-shEcad cells untreated and treated with diclofenac and $CuCl_2$ at their respective IC_{20} values for 5 days.



Fig. S21 Representative bright-field images (\times 10) of HMLER-shEcad mammospheres in the absence and presence of diclofenac and CuCl₂ at their respective IC₂₀ values for 5 days.



Fig. S22 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER-shEcad mammospheres with 1-3 and salinomycin after 5 days incubation.



Fig. S23 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER-shEcad mammospheres with diclofenac and CuCl₂ after 5 days incubation.

Compound	Mammosphere IC50 [µM]
1	27.9 ± 1.3
2	62.5 ± 0.6
3	36.3 ± 0.5
diclofenac	> 133.3
CuCl ₂	> 133.3
salinomycin	18.5 ± 1.5

Table S3. IC₅₀ values of **1-3**, salinomycin, diclofenac, and CuCl₂ against HMLER-shEcad mammospheres determined after 5 days incubation (mean of three independent experiments \pm SD).



Fig. S24 Copper content in HMLER cells treated with 1-4 (10 μ M for 24 h).



Fig. S25 Copper content in HMLER-shEcad cells treated with diclofenac, CuCl₂, and 1 preincubated with 10 equivalents of ascorbic acid for 24 h (10 μ M for 24 h).



Fig. S26 Copper content in whole cell, cytoplasm, and nucleus fractions isolated from HMLER-shEcad cells treated with 1 (10 μ M for 24 h).



Fig. S27 Normalised ROS activity in untreated HMLER-shEcad cells (control) and HMLER-shEcad cells treated with H₂O₂ (150 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h) and co-treated with H₂O₂ (150 μ M for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h) and *N*-acetylcysteine (2.5 mM for 3, 6, 12, and 24 h). Error bars represent standard deviations and Student *t test*, ** = *p* < 0.01.



Fig. S28 Representative dose-response curves for the treatment of HMLER-shEcad cells with 1 after 72 incubation in the presence and absence of *N*-acetylcysteine (2.5 mM).



Fig. S29 Representative histograms displaying the green fluorescence emitted by anti-COX-2 Alexa Fluor 488 nm antibody-stained HMLER-shEcad cells treated with LPS (2.5μ M) for 24 h (red) followed by 48 h in media containing diclofenac (20μ M, blue).

References

- 1. C. Lu, A. Eskandari, P. Cressey and K. Suntharalingam, *Chemistry*, 2017, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201701939.
- 2. G. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112-122.