



# **King's Research Portal**

DOI: 10.1038/s41398-020-0848-0

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Howard, D., Folkersen, L., Coleman, J., Adams, M., Glanville, K., Werge, T., Hagenaars, S., Han, B., Porteous, D., Campbell, A., Clarke, T.-K., Breen, G., Sullivan, P. F., Wray, N. R., Lewis, C., & McIntosh, A. (2020). Genetic stratification of depression in UK Biobank. *Translational psychiatry*, *10*(1), Article 163. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-0848-0

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Genetic stratification of depression in UK Biobank				
2					
3	David M. Howard <sup>*1,2</sup> , Lasse Folkersen <sup>3,4</sup> , Jonathan R. I. Coleman <sup>1,5</sup> , Mark J. Adams <sup>2</sup> , Kylie Glanville <sup>1</sup> ,				
4	Thomas Werge <sup>3,4,6,7</sup> , Saskia P. Hagenaars <sup>1,5</sup> , Buhm Han <sup>8</sup> , David Porteous <sup>9,10</sup> , Archie Campbell <sup>9,11</sup> , Toni-				
5	Kim Clarke <sup>2</sup> , Gerome Breen <sup>1,5</sup> , Patrick F. Sullivan <sup>12,13,14</sup> , Naomi R. Wray <sup>15</sup> , Cathryn M. Lewis <sup>1,5</sup> , and				
6	Andrew M. McIntosh <sup>2,16</sup>				
7	Affiliations:				
, Q	<sup>1</sup> Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology &				
9	Neuroscience, King's College London, LIK				
10	<sup>2</sup> Division of Psychiatry University of Edinburgh Royal Edinburgh Hospital Edinburgh UK				
11	<sup>3</sup> Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, iPSYCH, Copenhagen, Denmark				
12	<sup>4</sup> Institute of Biological Psychiatry, Mental Health Services Capital Region of Denmark, Copenhagen,				
13	Denmark				
14	<sup>5</sup> NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre, South London and Maudsley NHS Trust, London, UK				
15	<sup>6</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences. University of Copenhagen. Copenhagen. Denmark				
16	<sup>7</sup> Lundbeck Foundation's Center for GeoGenetics, GLOBE Institute, University of Copenhagen				
17	Denmark				
18	<sup>8</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic				
19	of Korea				
20	<sup>9</sup> Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK				
21	<sup>10</sup> Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK				
22	<sup>11</sup> Usher Institute for Population Health Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh,				
23	UK				
24	<sup>12</sup> Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden				
25	<sup>13</sup> Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA				
26	<sup>14</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA				
27	<sup>15</sup> Queensland Brain Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia				
28	<sup>16</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK				
29					
30					
31	* Corresponding author: David M. Howard				
32 22	Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre,				
34	King's College London. UK				
35	+44 (0)20 7848 5433				
36	E-mail: <u>David.Howard@kcl.ac.uk</u>				
37					
38					
39					

#### 41 Abstract

42 Depression is a common and clinically heterogeneous mental health disorder that is frequently 43 comorbid with other diseases and conditions. Stratification of depression may align sub-diagnoses 44 more closely with their underling aetiology and provide more tractable targets for research and 45 effective treatment. In the current study, we investigated whether genetic data could be used to 46 identify subgroups within people with depression using the UK Biobank. Examination of cross-locus 47 correlations were used to test for evidence of subgroups using genetic data from seven other complex 48 traits and disorders that were genetically correlated with depression and had sufficient power (> 0.6) 49 for detection. We found no evidence for subgroups within depression for schizophrenia, bipolar 50 disorder, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum disorder, anorexia nervosa, 51 inflammatory bowel disease or obesity. This suggests that for these traits, genetic correlations with 52 depression were driven by pleiotropic genetic variants carried by everyone rather than by a specific 53 subgroup.

#### 54 Introduction

Depression is a common mental health disorder characterised by persistent feelings of sadness or a 55 56 loss of interest in day-to-day activities lasting for at least a two-week period. These feelings can be 57 accompanied by tiredness, changes in appetite, changes in sleep patterns, reduced concentration, 58 feelings of worthlessness or hopelessness, and thoughts of self-harm or suicide. Zimmerman et al. 1 59 found that there were 170 different symptom profiles amongst 1 566 participants diagnosed with 60 major depressive disorder from the Rhode Island MIDAS project. This variety of different symptom profiles suggest that depression is highly heterogeneous <sup>2</sup>. Depression is also comorbid with many 61 62 diseases including cancer<sup>3</sup>, cardiovascular disease<sup>4</sup> and other psychiatric illnesses<sup>5</sup>. Stratification of 63 depression, to address heterogeneity and comorbidity, may aid in providing valuable aetiological insights and improve treatment efficacy. 64

65 Studies aimed at stratifying depression have examined differences between melancholic and atypical depression <sup>6</sup>, differences between the sexes and recurrence of the disorder <sup>7</sup> and used data from other 66 traits, such as neuroticism <sup>8</sup> and social contact <sup>9</sup> to stratify depression. Twin-based studies <sup>10</sup> and 67 genome-wide association studies <sup>11, 12</sup> have shown depression to be heritable and genetically 68 correlated with a number of other traits and disorders. This shared genetic component could be due 69 70 to pleiotropic variants shared across all individuals but could also be as a result of a subgroup for the 71 other trait within depression cases. For example, there is a genetic correlation of 0.33 (standard error 72 = 0.03) between depression and bipolar disorder <sup>13</sup>. If this genetic correlation was due to pleiotropy, 73 then several of the bipolar disorder variants would be carried by most depression cases. However, if 74 this correlation was due to a subgroup, then a greater proportion of the bipolar disorder variants 75 would only be carried by individuals in this subgroup. A subgroup could arise where there is a causal 76 association, a shared molecular pathway, a misclassification between the traits, or an ascertainment 77 bias in the diagnosis of depression.

78 For the current study, BUHMBOX (Breaking Up Heterogeneous Mixture Based On cross(X)-locus 79 correlations) <sup>14</sup> was used to determine whether there was evidence of a subgroup within depression 80 that was genetically more similar to other traits. BUHMBOX uses variants associated with a subgroup 81 trait to calculate weighted pairwise correlations of risk allele dosages within depression cases and 82 controls, adjusted for effect size and allele frequency. Where there is a subgroup amongst depression 83 cases that carry a greater proportion of the risk alleles for the non-depression trait, there will be 84 consistent positive pairwise correlations between those variants (as illustrated in Figure 1). BUHMBOX then calculates a P-value based on the likelihood of the observed pairwise correlations between 85 86 variants.

Two definitions of depression were assessed in the UK Biobank <sup>15</sup>, one based on the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Short Form (CIDI-SF) <sup>16</sup> and the other based on a broader helpseeking definition (broad depression) <sup>12</sup>. Since many traits are genetically correlated with depression <sup>13</sup>, a power calculation was performed to determine traits with sufficient power to detect a subgroup. Power is determined by the number of depression cases, the size of any subgroup within depression
cases, the number of associated variants tested from the subgroup trait and the effect sizes of these
variants. We tested sufficiently-powered traits for evidence of a subgroup in depression cases using
BUHMBOX v0.38<sup>14</sup>.

### 95 Materials and Methods

96 UK Biobank cohort

97 The UK Biobank is a population-based cohort of 501 726 individuals with imputed genome-wide data for 93 095 623 autosomal genetic variants <sup>15</sup>. A genetically homogeneous sample of 462 065 98 individuals was identified using the first two principal components from a 4-means clustering 99 100 approach. A total of 131 790 individuals were identified as being related up to the third degree (kinship 101 coefficients > 0.044) using the KING toolset <sup>17</sup> and were removed from the sample. For these related 102 individuals a genomic relationship matrix was calculated to enable the identification of one individual 103 from each related group that could be reinstated. This allowed the reintroduction of 55 745 individuals 104 providing an unrelated sample of 386 020 individuals.

### 105 UK Biobank depression phenotypes

106 Two depression phenotypes were assessed for evidence of subgroups in UK Biobank. Both phenotypes 107 were restricted to only those individuals that had completed the online mental health questionnaire 108 (n = 109 049). The first phenotype analysed was based on the Composite International Diagnostic 109 Interview Short Form (CIDI-SF) <sup>18</sup> as used by Davis *et al.* <sup>16</sup> to provide a lifetime instance measure of 110 depression in the UK Biobank. Davis *et al.* <sup>16</sup> provide a more in-depth description of this CIDI-SF 111 phenotype, but in summary cases were defined as having:

at least one core symptom of depression (persistent sadness (Data-Field: 20446) or a loss of
 interest (Data-Field: 20441)) for most or all days over a two-week period which were present
 "most of the day" or "all of the day".

115

116

• plus at least another four non-core depressive symptoms with some or a lot of impairment experienced during the worst two-week period of depression or low mood.

The non-core depressive symptoms that were included in this assessment of the worst episode of depression were: Feelings of tiredness (Data-Field: 20449), Weight change (Data-Field: 20536), Did your sleep change? (Data-Field: 20532), Difficulty concentrating (Data-Field: 20435), Feelings of worthlessness (Data-Field: 20450), and Thoughts of death (Data-Field: 20437). Cases that selfreported another mood disorder were excluded. Controls were determined by not having at least one core symptom of depression or not endorsing at least another four non-core depressive symptoms if at least one core symptom was endorsed. This provided 25 721 CIDI-SF cases and 61 894 controls.

124 A second depression phenotype within the UK Biobank cohort was also examined using the broad 125 depression definition from Howard et al.<sup>12</sup> with detailed information provided in that paper. In 126 summary, cases had sought help for nerves, anxiety, tension or depression from either a general 127 practitioner or a psychiatrist (Data-Field: 2090 and Data-Field: 2100), whereas controls had not. Cases 128 were supplemented with an additional 132 individuals identified as having a primary or secondary 129 International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 diagnosis of a depressive mood disorder from linked 130 hospital admission records (Data-Field: 41202 and Data-Field: 41204). Participants identified with 131 bipolar disorder, schizophrenia or personality disorder and those reporting a prescription for an antipsychotic medication were removed. This provided a total of 36 790 broad depression cases and 132 133 70 304 controls. The phenotypic correlation between the CIDI-SF depression phenotype and the broad 134 depression phenotype was 0.61 with the number of cases and controls shared across the two definitions shown in Supplementary Table 1. 135

136 Sensitivity analysis

To allow a direct comparison between the two definitions of depression, the main analysis was restricted to those UK Biobank participants that had completed the mental health questionnaire. To examine whether the full UK Biobank sample provided greater power for the detection of subgroups,

a sensitivity analysis was conducted using the broad depression phenotype (113 769 cases and 208
811 controls).

142 Traits examined as subgroups within depression

We selected traits genetically correlated with depression (false discovery rate corrected, q < 0.01) in Howard *et al.* <sup>13</sup> to test as subgroups within depression, which included anthropomorphic, autoimmune, life course, cardiovascular and other psychiatric traits. For each trait, there was a requirement that publicly available summary statistics were available and that the UK Biobank was not included in that study due to potential confounding effects (Supplementary Table 2).

The BUHMBOX power calculation test v0.1<sup>14</sup> was used to determine whether there was sufficient 148 149 power to detect a subgroup for each depression correlated trait and to identify the optimum variant 150 selection criterion ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $P < 10^{-6}$  or  $P < 10^{-4}$ ). The power calculation was conducted separately 151 for the CIDI-SF depression phenotype and the broad depression phenotype. Variants from the 152 summary statistics for each subgroup trait were examined in the UK Biobank. Variants that had a call 153 rate less than 0.99, were out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $P < 10^{-10}$ ), had a hard call threshold less 154 than 0.25, or had a minor allele frequency less than 0.01 were excluded. BUHMBOX requires that all 155 variants are available for all individuals and therefore individuals with a call rate less than 1 were removed. To identify independently segregating variants, clumping was conducted in PLINK v1.90b4 156 <sup>19</sup> using an r<sup>2</sup> value of 0.01 across a 3Mb window in either CIDI-SF or broad depression control 157 158 individuals, respectively.

For the power analysis the approach used in Han *et al.* <sup>14</sup> was followed, with 1 000 simulated iterations run for each trait, the proportion of individuals in the subgroup was set to the genetic risk score beta coefficient (which represents the upper bound of the heterogeneity proportion) and a nominal subgroup *P*-value of 0.05 was used. Power analyses were used to identify the optimum variant selection criterion that provided the greatest power for each subgroup trait. Where power was the same across variant selection criteria, the strictest variant selection criterion was selected as the

165optimum. Variants with  $P < 10^{-4}$  were not publicly available for Squamous Cell Lung Cancer or Lung166Cancer and so  $P < 10^{-5}$  was used instead. Only those traits that had a power > 0.6 (using the optimum167variant selection criterion) were selected to be tested for evidence of a subgroup within depression.168A linear regression was used to examine the association between power and the heritability of each169subgroup trait and the genetic correlation each subgroup trait shares with depression.

170 Testing for subgroups within depression

171 For the traits that had power > 0.6, variants meeting the optimum variant selection criterion were extracted from the UK Biobank. The same quality control thresholds and method to identify 172 173 independently segregating variants as used as previously in the power analysis were applied. 174 BUHMBOX v0.38<sup>14</sup> was used to examine shared risk alleles for each subgroup trait within CIDI-SF 175 depression and broad depression. BUHMBOX uses the positive correlations between risk allele 176 dosages in cases to determine whether any sharing of risk alleles is driven by all individuals (whole-177 group pleiotropy) or by a subset of individuals (Figure 1). The likelihood of observing such positive 178 correlations are used to determine the subgroup *P*-values.

Sex, age, genotyping array and the first 20 principal components were fitted as covariates in the subgroup analysis. Bonferroni correction was used to account for the multiple testing of subgroup traits, with *P*-values <  $7.14 \times 10^{-3}$  (0.05/7) or < 0.01 (0.05/5) deemed significant for CIDI-SF or broad depression, respectively. No multiple testing correction was applied for the two depression definitions analysed. In the sensitivity analysis, using the full UK Biobank sample, a *P*-value <  $8.33 \times 10^{-3}$  (0.05/6) was deemed significant for broad depression.

185 Code availability

The R code for BUHMBOX v0.38 and BUHMBOX power calculation test v0.1 are freely available and
 downloadable from http://software.broadinstitute.org/mpg/buhmbox/.

188 Results

#### 189 Power analyses of potential subgroups traits

190 To determine whether there was sufficient power (> 0.6) to detect a subgroup and identify the optimum variant selection criterion ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $P < 10^{-6}$  or  $P < 10^{-4}$ ) for each trait the BUHMBOX 191 power calculation test v0.1<sup>14</sup> was used. The genetic risk score beta coefficients, representing an upper 192 193 bound for heterogeneity proportion, for each trait within either Composite International Diagnostic 194 Interview Short Form (CIDI-SF) depression or broad depression are provided in Supplementary Table 195 3. The results of the power analysis for detecting a subgroup for 25 available traits within the two 196 depression definitions are provided in Table 1. Five traits had power > 0.6 across both the CIDI-SF 197 depression and broad depression definitions: bipolar disorder <sup>20</sup>, attention deficit/hyperactivity 198 disorder <sup>21</sup>, autism spectrum disorder <sup>22</sup>, anorexia nervosa <sup>23</sup>, and inflammatory bowel disease <sup>24</sup>. There were two further traits, schizophrenia <sup>25</sup> and obesity 3 <sup>26</sup>, that had power > 0.6 for detection of a 199 subgroup in CIDI-SF depression. 200

A linear regression of subgroup power on the heritability of each subgroup trait and the genetic correlation shared with depression revealed that heritability was positively associated with power (CIDI-SF depression *P*-value =  $5.32 \times 10^{-4}$ ; broad depression *P*-value =  $3.48 \times 10^{-4}$ ), but genetic correlation with depression was not associated with power (CIDI-SF depression *P*-value = 0.57; broad depression *P*-value = 0.21).

The sensitivity analysis, analysing broad depression in the full UK Biobank sample, provided a small increase in power for the majority of subgroups compared to broad depression amongst individuals who had completed the mental health questionnaire. Six traits had power > 0.6: bipolar disorder, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, autism spectrum disorder, anorexia nervosa, inflammatory bowel disease, and schizophrenia (Supplementary Table 4).

211 Testing for subgroups within depression

BUHMBOX v0.38 <sup>14</sup> was used to test seven traits for evidence of a subgroup within CIDI-SF depression,
five traits within broad depression and six traits in the sensitivity analysis. The results of the subgroup

for CIDI-SF and broad depression analyses are provided in Table 2 and the results of the sensitivity analysis are provided in Supplementary Table 5. None of the traits examined provided evidence of a genetic subgroup within depression (P > 0.05) before correction for multiple testing.

217 Discussion

218 Depression is a heterogeneous mental health disorder and is comorbid with many other diseases and 219 illnesses. Over the last few years, valuable progress has been made in understanding the underlying genetic architecture of depression <sup>11, 13, 27</sup>. Furthermore, stratifying depression using genetic data 220 remains a key goal within the psychiatric genetics community <sup>28</sup> and should lead to improved 221 222 classification of mental health conditions and more efficacious treatment for patients. Machine learning <sup>29, 30</sup> and polygenic risk score <sup>6, 31</sup> approaches offer possible methods for stratification in 223 mental health. In the current study, we used BUHMBOX <sup>14</sup> to identify whether traits that were 224 225 genetically correlated with depression were correlated due to a subgroup, i.e. the correlation was 226 driven by a subset of depressed individuals who had a greater genetic loading for the trait. Evidence 227 of a subgroup within depression may provide future opportunities for stratifying the disease.

228 To allow a direct comparison between stricter and broader definitions of depression two phenotypes 229 were examined. For the subgroups examined across both definitions (and using the same variant 230 selection criteria), CIDI-SF depression had greater upper bounds for the heterogeneity proportion for 231 bipolar disorder, autism spectrum disorder and anorexia nervosa, whereas broad depression had a 232 greater upper bound for the heterogeneity proportion for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. The 233 heterogeneity upper bound was assessed using genetic risk scores, which suggests that a stricter 234 definition of depression shared a larger genetic component with bipolar disorder, autism spectrum disorder and anorexia nervosa and the broader definition shared a genetic component with attention 235 deficit/hyperactivity disorder. This supports the observations of Cai et al. <sup>32</sup> for bipolar disorder, autism 236 spectrum disorder and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder using genetic correlations (although 237 238 they didn't assess anorexia nervosa). As there were no significant subgroups found within depression,

no firm conclusions can be drawn on the effectiveness of using stricter or broader definitions tostratify depression.

241 The lack of evidence for subgroups within depression for the seven traits examined with BUHMBOX, suggest that the previously reported genetic correlations <sup>13</sup> were the result of pleiotropy, i.e. a genetic 242 243 variant is associated with multiple phenotypes. Pleiotropy can result from either horizontal pleiotropy (where a variant has direct effects on multiple phenotypes) or vertical pleiotropy (where a variant has 244 an effect on a phenotype, then this phenotype influences further traits downstream) <sup>33</sup>. To assess the 245 presence of vertical pleiotropy a technique known as Mendelian randomization <sup>34</sup> can be used. This 246 247 technique has been applied previously to depression and the traits examined with BUHMBOX, and no evidence of vertical pleiotropy was found <sup>13</sup>. This indicates that the genetic correlations between 248 249 depression and the seven traits examined as subgroups are likely due to horizontal pleiotropy. Gaining 250 a greater understanding of the biological mechanisms associated with pleiotropic variants could be 251 informative for improving our comprehension and treatment for both depression and the correlated 252 traits.

253 A sensitivity analysis was conducted to investigate whether additional power for detection of 254 subgroups within broad depression could be obtained by analysing the full UK Biobank sample (n = 255 322 580) compared to the subsample that had completed the mental health questionnaire (n = 109049). Decreased power was observed for some subgroup traits using the full sample which was due 256 257 to lower heterogeneity proportions (based on the genetic risk score beta coefficient) and fewer 258 genetic variants available for analysis (as all variants are required to be known and so fewer were 259 available in the full sample). For most subgroup traits greater power was available using the full 260 sample, however most were still underpowered to run the subgroup analysis. Schizophrenia was the 261 only subgroup trait that sufficiently increased in power to exceed the > 0.6 threshold, although no 262 evidence of a subgroup was found. The average increase in power using the full sample compared to the mental health questionnaire subsample was only 0.06. However, larger genome-wide association 263 264 studies of the currently underpowered traits could allow their re-examination as subgroups within

depression in the future. The power to detect a subgroup for a trait was also influenced by the trait's
heritability, but not its genetic correlation with depression. Therefore, there is the potential to assess
additional highly heritable traits where a feasible subgroup may exist within depression.

268 The limitations of the current study include selection bias, whereby particular individuals are more 269 likely to participate in population-based cohorts or complete additional assessments, such as the 270 online mental health questionnaire. Participants of the UK Biobank are healthier and from less deprived areas than the general population<sup>35</sup> and those that completed the mental health 271 questionnaire had a lower genetic predisposition to severe depression than those who did not <sup>36</sup>. UK 272 273 Biobank participants that had either a self-reported or a hospital diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar 274 disorder were excluded in the current analysis, which may limit the potential for identifying subgroups 275 for these disorders. Most of the traits that are genetically correlated with depression were not 276 included in the subgroup analysis due to a lack of power ( $\leq 0.6$ ). As increasing large genome-wide 277 association studies become available, a greater number of variants will meet the required selection 278 criteria, allowing additional traits to be tested for evidence of a subgroup within depression.

279 Depression is both polygenic and heterogeneous and stratification of the disorder may lead to 280 improvements in treatment outcomes. We examined 25 traits genetically correlated with depression 281 using individuals that had completed the UK Biobank mental health questionnaire. There were seven 282 traits sufficiently powered to be tested as subgroups within CIDI-SF depression and five traits tested 283 as subgroups within broad depression, although none of these provided evidence for a genetic 284 subgroup within depression. Alternative methodologies for stratification of depression could also be 285 examined (i.e. polygenic risk scores and cluster analysis) along with consideration of other potential 286 stratifiers (i.e. depression severity, depressive symptoms and antidepressant treatment response).

287 Acknowledgements

This research was conducted using the UK Biobank resource, application number 4844. We are grateful to the UK Biobank and all its voluntary participants. The UK Biobank study was conducted

290 under generic approval from the NHS National Research Ethics Service (approval letter dated June 17,

2011, Ref 11/NW/0382). All participants gave full informed written consent.

292 D.M.H is supported by a Sir Henry Wellcome Postdoctoral Fellowship (Reference 213674/Z/18/Z) and 293 a 2018 NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain & Behavior Research Foundation (Ref: 294 27404). A.M.McI acknowledges support from the Wellcome Trust (Wellcome Trust Strategic Award 295 'STratifying Resilience and Depression Longitudinally' (STRADL) Reference 104036/Z/14/Z and The 296 Sackler Trust. N.R.W. acknowledges NMHRC grants 1078901 and 1087889. C.M.L acknowledges MRC 297 grant MR/N015746/1. S.P.H. acknowledges MRC grant MR/S0151132. This investigation represents 298 independent research part-funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical 299 Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The 300 views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the 301 Department of Health and Social Care.

302 Competing interests

Cathryn Lewis is a member of the Science Advisory Board for Myriad Neuroscience. Andrew McIntosh
 has received speaker fees from Illumina and Janssen. The authors report no other conflicts of interest.

- 305
- 306
- 307
- 308
- 309
- 310
- 311

## 313 References

314 315 316	1.	Zimmerman M, Ellison W, Young D, Chelminski I, Dalrymple K. How many different ways do patients meet the diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder? <i>Comprehensive Psychiatry</i> 2015; <b>56:</b> 29-34.
317 318 319	2.	Fried EI. Moving forward: how depression heterogeneity hinders progress in treatment and research. <i>Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics</i> 2017; <b>17</b> (5): 423-425.
320 321 322 323	3.	Walker J, <i>et al</i> . Prevalence, associations, and adequacy of treatment of major depression in patients with cancer: a cross-sectional analysis of routinely collected clinical data. <i>The Lancet Psychiatry</i> 2014; <b>1</b> (5): 343-350.
324 325 326	4.	Hare DL, Toukhsati SR, Johansson P, Jaarsma T. Depression and cardiovascular disease: a clinical review. <i>European Heart Journal</i> 2013: 1365-1372.
327 328 329 330	5.	Avenevoli S, Swendsen J, He J-P, Burstein M, Merikangas K. Major depression in the national comorbidity survey- adolescent supplement: prevalence, correlates, and treatment. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry</i> 2015; <b>54</b> (1): 37-44.e32.
331 332 333	6.	Milaneschi Y, <i>et al</i> . Polygenic dissection of major depression clinical heterogeneity. <i>Molecular Psychiatry</i> 2016; <b>21</b> (4): 516-522.
334 335 336	7.	Hall LS <i>, et al</i> . Genome-wide meta-analyses of stratified depression in Generation Scotland and UK Biobank. <i>Translational Psychiatry</i> 2018; <b>8</b> (1): 9.
337 338 339	8.	Adams MJ, <i>et al</i> . Genetic stratification of depression by neuroticism: revisiting a diagnostic tradition. <i>Psychological Medicine</i> 2019: 1-10.
340 341 342 343	9.	Sarda A, Munuswamy S, Sarda S, Subramanian V. Using passive smartphone sensing for improved risk stratification of patients with depression and diabetes: cross-sectional observational study. <i>JMIR Mhealth Uhealth</i> 2019; <b>7</b> (1): e11041.
344 345 346	10.	Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and meta-analysis. <i>American Journal of Psychiatry</i> 2000; <b>157</b> (10): 1552-1562.
347 348 349	11.	Wray NR, <i>et al</i> . Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 2018; <b>50</b> (5): 668-681.
350 351 352	12.	Howard DM, <i>et al</i> . Genome-wide association study of depression phenotypes in UK Biobank identifies variants in excitatory synaptic pathways. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018; <b>9:</b> 1470.
353		

355 variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. Nature Neuroscience 2019; 22(3): 343-352. 356 357 Han B, et al. A method to decipher pleiotropy by detecting underlying heterogeneity driven 358 14. 359 by hidden subgroups applied to autoimmune and neuropsychiatric diseases. Nature Genetics 2016; 48(7): 803-810. 360 361 362 15. Bycroft C, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 363 2018; 562(7726): 203-209. 364 365 16. Davis KAS, et al. Mental health in UK Biobank – development, implementation and results from an online questionnaire completed by 157 366 participants: a reanalysis. BJPsych Open 366 367 2020; 6(2): e18. 368 369 17. Manichaikul A, et al. Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. 370 Bioinformatics 2010; 26(22): 2867-2873. 371 372 18. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Mroczek D, Ustun B, Wittchen H-U. The World Health Organization 373 Composite International Diagnostic Interview short-form (CIDI-SF). International Journal of 374 Methods in Psychiatric Research 1998; 7(4): 171-185. 375 376 19. Chang CC, et al. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer 377 datasets. GigaScience 2015; 4(1): 7. 378 379 20. Ruderfer DM, et al. Genomic dissection of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia, Including 28 subphenotypes. Cell 2018; 173(7): 1705-1715.e1716. 380 381 382 21. Demontis D, et al. Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention 383 deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Genetics* 2019; **51**(1): 63-75. 384 22. 385 Grove J, et al. Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. 386 Nature Genetics 2019; 51(3): 431-444. 387 388 23. Duncan L, et al. Significant locus and metabolic genetic correlations revealed in genome-389 wide association study of Anorexia Nervosa. Am J Psychiatry 2017; 174(9): 850-858. 390 391 24. de Lange KM, et al. Genome-wide association study implicates immune activation of 392 multiple integrin genes in inflammatory bowel disease. Nature Genetics 2017; 49: 256. 393 394 25. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights 395 from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature 2014; 511(7510): 421-427.

Howard DM, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent

396

354

13.

397 398	26.	Berndt SI, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 11 new loci for anthropometric traits and provides insights into genetic architecture. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 2013; <b>45</b> (5): 501-512.
399 400 401	27.	Hyde CL <i>, et al.</i> Identification of 15 genetic loci associated with risk of major depression in individuals of European descent. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 2016; <b>48:</b> 1031-1036.
402 403 404	28.	McIntosh AM, Sullivan PF, Lewis CM. Uncovering the genetic architecture of major depression. <i>Neuron</i> 2019; <b>102</b> (1): 91-103.
405 406 407	29.	Chekroud AM, <i>et al</i> . Cross-trial prediction of treatment outcome in depression: a machine learning approach. <i>The Lancet Psychiatry</i> 2016; <b>3</b> (3): 243-250.
408 409 410 411	30.	Trakadis YJ, Sardaar S, Chen A, Fulginiti V, Krishnan A. Machine learning in schizophrenia genomics, a case-control study using 5,090 exomes. <i>American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics</i> 2019; <b>180</b> (2): 103-112.
412 413 414 415	31.	Wigmore EM, <i>et al</i> . Genome-wide association study of antidepressant treatment resistance in a population-based cohort using health service prescription data and meta-analysis with GENDEP. <i>The Pharmacogenomics Journal</i> 2019.
416 417 418	32.	Cai N, <i>et al</i> . Minimal phenotyping yields genome-wide association signals of low specificity for major depression. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 2020; <b>52</b> (4): 437-447.
419 420 421	33.	Tyler AL, Asselbergs FW, Williams SM, Moore JH. Shadows of complexity: what biological networks reveal about epistasis and pleiotropy. <i>BioEssays</i> 2009; <b>31</b> (2): 220-227.
422 423 424	34.	Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. <i>Human Molecular Genetics</i> 2014; <b>23</b> (R1): R89-R98.
425 426 427 428	35.	Fry A, <i>et al</i> . Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK Biobank articipants With those of the general population. <i>American Journal of Epidemiology</i> 2017; <b>186</b> (9): 1026-1034.
429 430 431	36.	Adams MJ, et al. Factors associated with sharing e-mail information and mental health survey participation in large population cohorts. <i>International Journal of Epidemiology</i> 2019.
432		
433		
434		
435		
436		

## 437 Figures



Figure 1. Illustration of pairwise correlations between variants for (a) whole-group pleiotropy, where
most depression cases carry a few variants associated with a non-depression trait and (b) a subgroup
within depression cases (a), where just the subgroup carry many of the non-depression trait variants.
A tick indicates a depression case individual is a carrier of that non-depression variant.

#### Tables 451

452 Table 1. Power analysis for detecting a subgroup for 25 traits within either Composite International 453 Diagnostic Interview Short Form (CIDI-SF) depression or broad depression in the UK Biobank. PubMed 454 identifiers (PubMed ID) for the 25 traits are provided. Bold values indicate that power was > 0.6. The 455 optimum variant selection criterion that maximised power for the subgroup traits are provided. 456 <sup>+</sup>Variants with  $P < 10^{-4}$  were not publicly available for Squamous Cell Lung Cancer or Lung Cancer and so variants with  $P < 10^{-5}$  were tested instead. 457

		CIDI-SF depression		Broad depression	
		Optimum		Optimum	
		variant		variant	
Subgroup trait	PubMad ID	selection	Dowor	selection	Dowor
			0 1 2 7		0 120
Sekizenbrania	24020470	< 10 < 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.137	< 10 < 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.120
schizophrenia	25056061	< 10 -	0.607	< 10 -	0.306
Bipolar Disorder	29906448	< 104	0.912	< 104	0.727
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	30478444	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.912	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.992
Autism Spectrum Disorder	30804558	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1
Anorexia Nervosa	28494655	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1
Triglyceride Level	24097068	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.183	< 5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.131
Coronary Artery Disease	26343387	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.229	< 5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.071
Crohn's Disease	26192919	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.193	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.271
Inflammatory Bowel Disease	28067908	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.706	< 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.665
Waist to Hip Ratio	25673412	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.070	< 5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.076
Body Fat Percentage	26833246	< 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.057	< 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.067
Waist Circumference	25673412	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.107	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.070
Overweight	23563607	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.131	< 5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.068
Obesity 1	23563607	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.199	< 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.089
Obesity 3	23563607	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.794	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.196
Body Mass Index	25673413	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.101	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.073
Age of Menarche	25231870	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.451	< 5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.081
Age of Natural Menopause	26414677	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.407	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.220
Years of Schooling	25201988	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.105	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.089
College Completion	25201988	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.248	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.160
Ever Smoked	20418890	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.081	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.134
Age of Smoking Initiation	20418890	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.061	< 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.062
Squamous Cell Lung Cancer <sup>+</sup>	28604730	< 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.078	< 5 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.085
Lung Cancer <sup>†</sup>	28604730	< 10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.123	< 10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.137

458

459

460

Table 2. Evidence of a subgroup from traits tested within either Composite International Diagnostic Interview Short Form (CIDI-SF) depression or broad depression in the UK Biobank. The number of individuals classified as depression cases and depression controls is provided. The number of variants assessed and the genetic risk score beta coefficient (representing the upper bound of the heterogeneity proportion) using the optimum variant selection criterion for that trait (as provided in Table 1).

Depression				Depression	Subgroup
definition	Subgroup trait	Variants	$\beta_{GRS}$	cases / controls	P-value
CIDI-SF	Schizophrenia	180	0.077	15 311 / 36 811	0.42
	Bipolar Disorder	436	0.062	8 140 / 19 466	0.62
	Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	342	0.028	8 522 / 21 030	0.11
	Autism Spectrum Disorder	242	0.057	13 138 / 31 598	0.12
	Anorexia Nervosa	169	0.016	16 024 / 38 388	0.47
	Inflammatory Bowel Disease	954	7.37 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 186 / 5 265	0.46
	Obesity 3	61	0.038	22 096 / 53 312	0.55
Broad	Bipolar Disorder	435	0.041	11 531 / 22 186	0.60
	Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	342	0.034	12 345 / 23 844	0.07
	Autism Spectrum Disorder	242	0.051	18 802 / 36 000	0.15
	Anorexia Nervosa	169	7.87 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	22 946 / 43 644	0.79
	Inflammatory Bowel Disease	219	8.02 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	22 738 / 43 355	0.64

468