This electronic thesis or dissertation has been downloaded from the King's Research Portal at https://kclpure.kcl.ac.uk/portal/



Investigating the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction in Drosophila

Duncan, Olivia

Awarding institution: King's College London

The copyright of this thesis rests with the author and no quotation from it or information derived from it may be published without proper acknowledgement.

END USER LICENCE AGREEMENT



Unless another licence is stated on the immediately following page this work is licensed

under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International

licence. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

You are free to copy, distribute and transmit the work

Under the following conditions:

- Attribution: You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).
- Non Commercial: You may not use this work for commercial purposes.
- No Derivative Works You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

Any of these conditions can be waived if you receive permission from the author. Your fair dealings and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact <u>librarypure@kcl.ac.uk</u> providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Investigating the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila*

Thesis submitted to King's College London for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Olivia F. Duncan

Wolfson Centre for Age-Related Diseases The Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience King's College London 2012-2016

Declaration

I declare that the research presented in this thesis is my own work, unless otherwise stated in the text.

Olivia Duncan

August 2016

Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to thank my supervisor Dr Joe Bateman, for his support, help and enthusiasm throughout my PhD. His supervisory excellence was well deserved. I would also like to thanks Prof. Clive Ballard, my second supervisor, for his guidance particularly with regards to the human tissue work. Many thanks also to Dr Dave Chambers for performing the microarrays and always being there for a chat, and to Sean Sweeney for taking the time to teach me how to prepare TEM samples.

I must also thank David Mazaud and Manolis Fanto for selecting the RNAi lines I used in the genetic modifier screen. Thanks to all of the students who assisted me over the past 4 years giving me an opportunity to further my teaching and people management skills and were (mostly) a pleasure to have around. Those who helped with the modifier screen Marisol Zuniga, Danielle Joseph, Tom Gardener, Fatima Chowdhury, Sharon Yuk Chan, Daniel Potter and Fernando Avila. As well as Dr Vandana Singh, who performed the climbing assay with *yan* overexpressing flies described in this thesis. I would also like to thank Rachel Finlay for her voluntary lab help during her gap year.

Many thanks go to previous and current members of the lab for help at work and fun outside of work. Thanks to Amelie Avet-Rochex, Nancy Carvajal, Umut Cagin, Elin Vinsland for her sense of humour and dancing skillz, Rachel Hunt for the cricket and crossword lessons, Katja Maierbrugger for all the heart-to-hearts and silliness, and of course Ariana Gatt my 'mitochondrial partner in crime' who's side I didn't leave for at least the first year in the lab. I have many happy memories of singing and dancing in the flyroom with you on a Friday night. Thank you, Bateman ladies for making the last four years so wonderful!

I would also like to thank the other members of the Wolfson CARD, who have made this a lovely place to work, especially Fiona, Katalin, Elisa, Lorenzo, Joao, Merrick, Ed, Emily, Natalie, Holly, Christina, Leanne, Andrea, Rachel, Rie, Prav and many more. Particular thanks to John Chesson for always being there to help and for all the great chats. Thank you also to Simon for the all de-stressing and support at home, and of course my parents and sisters for their continued love and support.

Publications produced during this thesis

1. Mitochondrial retrograde signalling regulates neuronal function.

Cagin U¹, Duncan OF¹, Gatt AP¹, Dionne MS, Sweeney ST, Bateman JM. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science U S A, 2015 Nov 3, volume 112 (44), pages E6000-9

2. Dementia in Parkinson's disease is associated with enhanced mitochondrial complex I deficiency.

Gatt AP¹, Duncan OF¹, Attems J, Francis PT, Ballard CG, Bateman JM. Movement Disorders, 2016 March, volume 31 (3), pages 352-9.

3. Mitochondrial retrograde signalling in the *Drosophila* nervous system and beyond.

Duncan OF, Bateman JM. Fly (Austin), 2016 Jan 2, volume 10 (1), pages 19-24

¹Indicates co-first authors

Abstract

Neurons are particularly susceptible to mitochondrial dysfunction, due to their high energy demand, resulting in a strong association between mitochondrial dysfunction and neurodegenerative disease. Cellular changes in response to mitochondrial dysfunction are currently poorly understood and appear to change depending on the cause of the mitochondrial dysfunction. However, manipulation of pathways known to be involved in this response have reversed the effects of mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* and mouse models. In this thesis, I aim to further investigate the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction in different models of mitochondrial dysfunction and to identifying novel genes that may be useful therapeutic targets in the future.

To investigate neuronal responses to different mitochondrial insults, I developed and characterised five different *in vivo* models of mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* neurons. I then evaluated transcriptional changes in these models to look for common pathways. Loss of synaptic mitochondria and overlapping transcriptional changes were observed in all five models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction. However, differences in ROS production and response to HIF-1 α knockdown highlighted differences between the models. Manipulation of HIF-1 α was beneficial in four of the models, identifying HIF signalling as a possible avenue for future translational research.

To identify novel genes involved in the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction, I also carried out a genetic modifier screen in the *Drosophila* wing. A library of 650 RNAi lines were screened and 80 genes were identified that modify the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype. Hits were then tested in neuronal assays, to determine if they also modify mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons. I identified two components of the Ras/MAPK pathway, Yan and Pointed, as genetic modifiers of mitochondrial dysfunction. The Ras/MAPK pathway may therefore be a potential therapeutic target for diseases associated with mitochondrial dysfunction.

Contents

Declaration		
Acknowledgements		
Publications produced during this thesis		
Abstract		
Contents		
List of Figures 12		
List of Tables		
Abbreviations		
1 Introduction		
1.1 Cellular energy production19		
1.1.1 Beta oxidation of fatty acids19		
1.1.2 Glycolysis		
1.1.3 Kreb's Tricarboxylic Acid (TCA) cycle		
1.1.4 Oxidative Phosphorylation (OXPHOS)		
1.1.4.1 Supercomplexes		
1.1.4.2 Assembly of Complexes		
1.2 Mitochondrial structure and function		
1.2.1 Mitochondrial origins		
1.2.2 Mitochondrial Structure		
1.2.3 Mitochondrial DNA 31		
1.2.3.1 Transcription of mtDNA		
1.2.3.2 MtDNA Replication		
1.2.3.3 mtDNA inheritance		
1.2.4 Reactive Oxygen Species		
1.2.4.1 ROS induced damage and signalling		
1.2.5 Additional mitochondrial functions 40		
1.2.5.1 Iron sulphur cluster synthesis 40		
1.2.5.2 Calcium Sequestering		

1.2.5.	3 Apoptosis	42
1.2.6	Mitochondrial Dynamics	43
1.2.6.	1 Fusion and fission	43
1.2.6.	2 Transport	45
1.2.7	Mitochondrial Turnover	45
1.2.7.	1 Mitophagy	45
1.2.7.	2 Biogenesis	
1.3 Mi	tochondrial damage in disease	49
1.3.1	mtDNA diseases	49
1.3.2	Mitochondrial disease caused by nuclear mutations	50
1.3.3	Neurodegenerative disease and mitochondrial dysfunction	51
1.3.3.	1 Current treatments for AD and PD	53
1.3.4	Animal models of mitochondrial dysfunction	54
1.3.4.	1 Animal models of mtDNA loss and damage	56
1.3.4.	2 Animal models targeting individual OXPHOS complex subunits	58
1.4 Mi	tochondrial retrograde signalling	60
1.4.1	Discovery of retrograde signalling in yeast	60
1.4.2	Retrograde signalling in multicellular organisms	61
1.4.2.	1 Calcium mediated mitochondrial retrograde signalling	62
1.4.2.	2 ROS mediated mitochondrial retrograde signalling	63
1.4.2.	3 The mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR ^{mt})	64
1.4.3	The mitochondrial retrograde response in neurons	66
1.5 Pre	emise of this thesis	67
2 Materia	ls and Methods	68
2.1 Ma	terials	68
2.1.1	Kits:	68
2.1.2	Antibodies and dyes:	68
2.1.3	Fly stocks	68
2.2 Me	thods	72
2.2.1	Fly maintenance and breeding	
2.2.2	Behavioural and wing inflation assays	
2.2.2.	1 Negative geotaxis (climbing assav)	
2.2.2.	2 Wing inflation assay	
2.2.3	Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (aRT-F	PCR) 73
2.2.4	Dissections and immunofluorescence	,

2.2.4.	1 Neuromuscular junctions (NMJ)	75
2.2.4.	2 Central nervous system & eye disc dissections	76
2.2.4.	3 Wing discs	76
2.2.5	Microscopy and image quantification	
2.2.5.	1 Neuromuscular junctions (NMJ)	77
2.2.5.	2 Redox potential	77
2.2.5.	3 MitoTimer	77
2.2.5.	4 Perceval ATP: ADP ratio	
2.2.5.	5 Wing discs	
2.2.5.	6 Phosphorylated MAPK	
2.2.5.	7 Eye discs	
2.2.6	Generating transgenic flies	
2.2.7	Microarray	
2.2.8	Lifespan assays	80
2.2.9	Genetic modifier screen	80
2.2.10	Statistical analysis and graphs	81
3 Modell	ing mitochondrial respiratory dysfunction in the Drosophila ner	vous
system		
3.1 Int	roduction	83
3.1.1	Chapter Aims	85
3.2 Re	sults	
3.2.1 neurona	Identifying OXPHOS models of mitochondrial dysfunction which im al function.	pair 86
3.2.2	Validation of RNAi knockdown and TFAM overexpression	
3.2.3	Loss of synaptic mitochondria in all ETC models	
3.2.4 models	Changes in Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in the mitochondrial dys	function 99
3.2.5	MitoTimer oxidation changes in mitochondrial dysfunction models	102
3.2.6	ATP to ADP ratio in flies with neuronal complex V and complex I kn	ockdown
and TFA	M overexpression.	105
3.3 Su	mmary	
4 Investig	gating the transcriptional response to OXPHOS subunit knockdo	wn and
TFAM over	expression models of mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons	110
4.1 Int	roduction	110
4.1.1	Chapter aims	112
4.2 Re	sults	113
		8

	4.2. in <i>T</i>	1 FAM (Characterisation of the transcriptional response to mitochondrial dysfunction overexpression and OXPHOS complex knockdown models.	on 13
	4.2. with	2 ו <i>ATP</i> :	Validation of the microarrays <i>in vivo</i> : <i>Ilp3</i> and <i>Thor</i> expression in neurons synCf6 RNAi1	23
	4.2. kno	3 ckdov	Neuronal <i>TFAM</i> overexpression phenotypes are partially rescued by <i>sima</i>	25
	4.2. V kr	4 nockd	Sima knockdown partially rescues neuronal phenotypes in complex III, IV an own models.	d 28
	4.2. III, I	5 V and	Pan- neuronal <i>sima</i> knockdown rescues lethality of <i>nSyb-Gal4</i> driven completed by knockdown and <i>TFAM</i> overexpression	эх 32
	4.2. RNA	6 Ai CNS	Identifying HIF-1 α responsive genes that are regulated differently in ND-75 5133	
4	4.3	Sun	nmary14	40
5	AC	Genet	ic screen to identify genes involved in the cellular response to	
mi	tocho	ondria	al dysfunction14	12
-	5.1	Intro	oduction14	12
	5.1.	1	Chapter aims	43
-	5.2	Res	ults14	13
	5.2.	1	Mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing results in a scorable phenotype 14	43
	5.2.	2	Modifier screen assay	47
	5.2.	3	Validation of the genetic modifier screen14	49
	5.2.	4	Genes identified by the genetic modifier screen	51
	5.2.	5	Sima knockdown enhances the wing phenotype1	62
	5.2.	6	Modification of cell death by genes identified in the screen 1	63
-	5.3	Sun	nmary10	55
6	Inv	estiga	ating the role of genes identified in the modifier screen, in neuronal	
mi	tocho	ondria	al dysfunction	56
(5.1	Intro	oduction10	56
	6.1.	1	Chapter aims 1	67
(5.2	Res	ults10	58
	6.2.	1	Evaluation of genes identified in the modifier screen in neurons	58
	6.2.	2	Knockdown of <i>Hr39</i> enhances neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction1	70
	6.2.	3	Knockdown of yan suppresses neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction 1	71
	6.2. moo	4 del of	Knockdown of <i>yan</i> suppresses mitochondrial dysfunction in a <i>Drosophila</i> Leigh syndrome, but not in a Parkinson's disease model	75
	6.2.	5	Pointed mutant suppresses neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction	77

	6.2. dvs	.6 funct	MAP kinase signalling pathway activity is altered by mitochondrial ion	. 178
	<i>6</i> .3	Sur	nmary	.180
7	Dis	cussi	ion	.182
	71	Ch	aracterising different mitochondrial insults in the Drosonhila nervous	
	syste	m	and consing different intoenonerial insuits in the Drosophila hervous	.182
	7.1.	.1	Functions of the OXPHOS subunits targeted by the selected RNAi lines	. 182
	7.1.	.2	Validation of OXPHOS subunit RNAi knockdown	. 184
	7.1.	.3	Reduced synaptic mitochondria caused by mitochondrial dysfunction	. 186
	7.1.	.4	Measuring reactive oxygen species in models of mitochondrial dysfunctio	on .188
	7.1.	.5	Analysis of ATP:ADP ratios	. 190
	7.1.	.6	Summary	. 193
	7.2	Eva	aluating the transcriptional changes in different models of mitochondr	ial
	dysfu	inctio	on	.195
	7.2.	.1	Pathways affected in all mitochondrial dysfunction models	. 196
	7.2.	.2	HIF signalling in neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction	. 199
	7.2.	.3	Inhibition of retrograde signalling can provide salutatory effects	. 200
	7.2.	.4	Mechanisms of HIF-1 α regulation	. 201
	7.2.	.5	Interactions between complex I and HIF-1 α	. 203
	7.2.	.6	Summary	. 205
	7.3	Ide	ntifying genes involved in the cellular response to mitochondrial	
	dysfu	inctio	on, in a modifier screen	.206
	7.3. dys	.1 funct	TFAM knockdown and TFAM overexpression as models of mitochondrial ion	. 206
	7.3. curv	.2 ved w	Mitochondrial dysfunction driven in the dorsal wing compartments cause ving phenotype	es a . 207
	7.3. win	.3 g	Genes that affect the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype in the Drosor	ohila 208
	7.3.	.4	Advantages and limitations of the genetic wing screen	. 210
	7.3.	.5	Summary	. 212
	7.4	Eva	aluating genes identified in the modifier screen, in neurons	.213
	7.4. phe	.1 enoty	Tissue or model specific effects of RNAi lines on mitochondrial dysfunctio pes	n . 213
	7.4.	.2	Neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes suppressed by yan RNAi	i 214
	7.4.	.3	The interplay between Yan and Pointed	. 215

	7.4.4	Ras/MAPK pathway activation in disease	216
	7.4.5	Regulation of the Ras/MAPK pathway	218
	7.5 Cor	nclusions and future directions	220
	7.5.1	Future Experiments	221
8	Reference	ces	225
9	Appendi	ix	256
	9.1 Mic	croarray appendices	256
	9.1.1 control, j	The number of genes changed in each microarray condition, compared p < 0.05 with fold change cut-offs of >1.5 and >2	l to 256
	9.1.2 RNAi and	Correlation genes significantly changed in common ND-75 RNAi and U d ND-75 RNAi and COX5B RNAi, without outlier removed	QCR-14 257
	9.1.3 <i>75</i> RNAi,	Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed compared to control.	l in <i>ND-</i> 258
	9.1.4 UQCR-14	Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed # RNAi, compared to control	l in 266
	9.1.5 <i>COX5B</i> R	Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed NAi, compared to control.	l in 285
	9.1.6 ATPsynCj	Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed for RNAi, compared to control.	l in 292
	9.1.7 <i>TFAM</i> ov	Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed verexpression compared to control.	l in 298
	9.1.8 overexpr	Significant gene expression changes of the OXPHOS knockdown and <i>Th</i> ression models in HIF responsive genes, identified by Li et al., 2013	<i>AM</i> 306
	9.2 Mo	difier screen appendices	314
	9.2.1	RNAi lines screened in the modifier wing screen.	314
	9.2.2	Enhancers classified as pathway components in Panther	347
	9.2.3	Suppressors classified as pathway components in Panther	347
	9.2.4	Independent RNAi lines for screen enhancers	348
	9.2.5	Climbing and wing inflation assays of RNAi lines identified in screen	352

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Glycolysis, in the cytoplasm	20
Figure 1.2 Kreb's TCA cycle in the mitochondrial matrix	21
Figure 1.3 Oxidative phosphorylation at the inner mitochondrial membrane2	25
Figure 1.4 TFAM bends mtDNA 180°	34
Figure 1.5 Models of mtDNA replication	36
Figure 1.6 Cellular ROS pathways4	40
Figure 1.7 Apoptotic mechanisms in Drosophila and vertebrates4	12
Figure 1.8 The roles of mitochondrial fission and fusion4	13
Figure 1.9 PGC-1α signalling cascade	17
Figure 1.10 The mitochondrial retrograde response in yeast	51
Figure 1.11. Retrograde response pathways identified in multicellular organisms	
	52
Figure 1.12 The role of ATFS-1 in UPR ^{mt}	55
Figure 3.1 Neuronal models of mitochondrial dysfunction cause behavioural defects9) 1
Figure 3.2 Neuronal knockdown of ATPsynCf6 and overexpression of TFAM disrupt	
wing inflation) 3
Figure 3.3 Level of knockdown of ubiquitously expressed RNAi lines) 4
Figure 3.4 Level of knockdown of pan-neuronally expressed complex I and complex II	Π
RNAi in the CNS) 5
Figure 3.5 Reduced mitochondrial gene expression with <i>TFAM</i> overexpression) 6
Figure 3.6 Neuronal specific knockdown of OXPHOS complex subunits causes loss of	2
synaptic mitochondria	98
Figure 3.7 Neuronal specific knockdown of ETC complex subunits causes altered	
glutathione redox potential in the mitochondrial matrix10)1
Figure 3.8 Neuronal specific knockdown of ND-75 causes mitochondrial oxidation at	
the cell body)3
Figure 3.9 Oxidation of mitoTimer in synaptic mitochondria in complex I, IV and V	
RNAi and <i>TFAM</i> overexpression)4
Figure 3.10 Neuronal specific knockdown of complex I reduces the ATP:ADP10)7
Figure 4.1 Volcano plots of gene expression changes in OXPHOS knockdown models	
and <i>TFAM</i> overexpression11	14
Figure 4.2 Heat maps showing genes with the most significant p-values in each	
condition of mitochondrial dysfunction11	15

Figure 4.3 Correlations between genes significantly changed in the OXPHOS			
knockdown and <i>TFAM</i> overexpression models			
			activity clusters and glycolysis cluster
Figure 4.5 GO enrichment of common genes between each OXPHOS model and <i>TFAM</i>			
overexpression model, pairwise			
Figure 4.6 Neuronal Ilp3 expression is reduced by complex V subunit knockdown in			
vivo124			
Figure 4.7 Neuronal Thor expression is increased by ATPsynCf6 knockdown in vivo			
Figure 4.8 Knockdown of sima improves function of neurons overexpressing <i>TFAM</i>			
Figure 4.9 D42-Gal4 driven sima knockdown rescues the climbing phenotype of			
complex IV knockdown and wing inflation phenotype of complex V knockdown129			
Figure 4.10 OK371-Gal4 driven sima knockdown partially rescues the climbing			
phenotype of complex IV and complex V knockdown131			
Figure 4.11 GO enrichment analysis on HIF responsive genes that are differentially			
regulated in complex I knockdown139			
Figure 5.1 Reduced mitochondrial gene expression with TFAM RNAi144			
Figure 5.2 Wing phenotype induced by mitochondrial dysfunction146			
Figure 5.3 Modifier screen assay			
Figure 5.4 Validation of the modifier screen assay with disease associated genes150			
Figure 5.5 Enhancers identified in the modifier screen153			
Figure 5.6 Suppressors identified in the modifier screen			
Figure 5.7 Sima knockdown enhances the wing phenotype			
Figure 5.8 Screen suppressors, Ino80 and yan RNAi, reduced mitochondrial dysfunction			
mediated cell death in the wing disc			
Figure 6.1 TFAM RNAi, TFAM ^{c01716} knockdown in motor neurons causes a weak			
climbing phenotype and no wing inflation phenotype			
Figure 6.2 Enhanced neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction due to <i>Hr39</i> RNAi			
Figure 6.3 Suppressed neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction due to <i>yan</i> RNAi NIGR-1			
Figure 6.4 A non-overlapping yan RNAi also suppressed mitochondrial dysfunction			
Figure 6.5 Validation of <i>yan</i> RNAi lines in the eye disc			

Figure 6.6 Motor neuron overexpression of <i>yan</i> is in lethal in the <i>TFAM</i> overexpression
model of mitochondrial dysfunction
Figure 6.7 Knockdown of yan restores neuronal function in a Drosophila model of
Leigh syndrome176
Figure 6.8 Knockdown of yan does not restore climbing ability in a Drosophila model
of Parkinson's disease
Figure 6.9 Pointed mutant restores neuronal function in TFAM overexpressing and
parkin mutant flies
Figure 6.10 Increased activation of MAPK in neurons overexpressing <i>TFAM</i> 179

List of Tables

Table 1. Causes and symptoms of the most common mtDNA diseases	49
Table 2. Drosophila and mouse models of mtDNA loss and OXPHOS complex	x
dysfunction	54
Table 3. RNAi, used in this study, for subunits of OXPHOS complexes	70
Table 4. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR	74
Table 5. Primers used to clone Perceval	79
Table 6. Climbing result and p-values for OXPHOS RNAi lines	
Table 7. The number of genes changed in the microarray, in each condition co	mpared to
control, p < 0.05	116
Table 8. Genes significantly changed in all microarrays, compared to control	118
Table 9. GO molecular function and biological processes for genes significant	ly
changed in all microarrays	119
Table 10. Statistical analysis of lifespan assays in female flies	128
Table 11. Number of flies and median age of female flies in lifespan assay	128
Table 12. Viability of pan-neuronally driven OXPHOS knockdown models whether the second secon	nen sima
is knocked down with heterozygous sima ^{KG07607}	
Table 13. HIF-1 α responsive genes that respond differently in ND-75 RNAi co	ompared
to other OXPHOS knockdown and TFAM overexpression microarrays	
Table 14. Validation of screen.	151
Table 15. Enhancers identified in the screen with GO Molecular Function	156
Table 16. Suppressors identified in the screen with GO Molecular Function	159
Table 17. Comparison of modifier screen enhancers with genes significantly a	ltered in
OXPHOS and TFAM overexpression arrays	161
Table 18. Results of neuronal assay for selected hits identified in the modifier	screen.

Abbreviations

2D-AGE	two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis
2-DG	2-deoxyglucose
4E-BP	initiation factor 4E binding protein
AD	Alzheimer's disease
ADP	adenine diphosphate
AMP	adenine monophosphate
AMPK	AMP-activated protein kinase
ANOVA	analysis of variance
AOX	alternative oxidase
ATFS-1	activating transcription factor associated with stress-1
ATP	adenine triphosphate
ATPsynCf6	ATPsynthase coupling factor 6
BDNF	brain-derived neurotrophic factor
CI	complex I
CII	complex II
CIII	complex III
CIT	citrate synthase
CIV	complex IV
CNS	central nervous system
CoA	coenzyme A
COX5B	cytochrome c oxidase 5B
cpYFP	circularly permuted yellow fluorescent protein
CV	complex V
Cybrid	cytoplasmic hybrid
DA	diamide
DAVID	Database for Annotation, Visualisation and Integrated Discovery
D-loop	displacement loop
Drp1	dynamin related Protein 1
DTT	dithiothreitol
duox	dual oxidase
E _{GSH}	glutathione redox potential
ER	endoplasmic reticulum
ERIOLS	extensive RNA incorporation on the lagging strand
ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinases
EtBr	ethidium bromide
ETC	electron transport chain
ETS	E-twenty six
F ₀	ATPsynthase transmembrane domain
F_1	ATPsynthase enzymatic domain
FADH ₂	flavine adenine dinucleotide
FCCP	carbonyl cyanide trifluoro-methoxyphenyl hydrazone
Fe-S	iron sulphur clusters

Fis1	mitochondrial fission 1
FMN	flavin mononucleotide
GO	gene ontology
Grx	glutaredoxin
GSH	glutathione
GSSG	glutathione disulphide
HD	Huntington's disease
HIF	hypoxia inducible factor
HMG	high mobility group
HRP	horseradish peroxidase
HSP	heavy strand promoter
Ilp3	insulin-like peptide 3
IMM	inner mitochondrial membrane
IMS	intermembrane space
ΙκΒβ	inhibitor of the nuclear factor- $\kappa\beta$
JNK	c-Jun N-terminal kinase
L-Dopa	L-3,4-Dihydroxyphenylalanine
LHON	Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy
LRRK2	Leucine- Rich Repeat Kinase 2
LSP	light strand promoter
Mae	modulator of the activity of ETS
MAO-B	monoamine oxidase-B
MAPK	mitogen activated phosphokinase
Mdv1	mitochondrial division protein 1
MELAS	Mitochondrial encephalopathy lactic acidosis,
	and stroke-like episodes syndrome
MERRF	Myoclonus epilepsy and ragged-red fibres
MIM	mitochondrial import machinery
Miro	mitochondrial Rho
mitoGFP	Mitochondrially targeted green fluorescent protein
MOM	mitochondrial outer membrane
MPTP	1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
MTS	mitochondrial target sequence
NADH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
ND-75	NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 75 kDa
Nf-κB	nuclear factor-к
NMJ	neuromuscular junction
NRF	nuclear respiratory factors
OH	origin of heavy strand synthesis
OL	origin of light strand synthesis
OPA1	optic atrophy protein 1
ORP	oxidant receptor peroxidase
OXA	oxidase assembly machinery
OXPHOS	oxidative phosphorylation
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline

PBST	Phosphate buffered saline/0.1% triton X100
PD	Parkinson's disease
PEO	Progressive external ophthalmoplegia
pERK	phosphorylated ERK
PGC-1a	peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ co-activator 1α
PHD	prolyl hydroxylase
PINK1	Pten-Induced Putative Kinase 1
Pnt	pointed
POLRMT	human mtRNA polymerase
qRT-PCR	Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction
RFeSP	Rieske iron-sulfur protein
roGFP	redox sensitive green fluorescent protein
ROS	reactive oxygen species
RP041	yeast mtRNA polymerase
RpL4	ribosomal protein L4
RTK	protein tyrosine kinase receptor
SA	succinate
SDM	strand displacement model
SEM	standard eror of the mean
sima	similar
SIRT1	sirtuin 1
SOD	superoxide dismutase
TCA	tricarboxylic Acid
TFAM	mitochondrial transcription factor A
TFB1M	mitochondrial transcription factor B1
TFB2M	mitochondrial transcription factor B2
TGFβ	transforming growth factor beta
TIM	translocase of the inner mitochondrial membrane
tko	technical knock-out
TMRM	tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester
TOM	translocase of the outer mitochondrial membrane
UPR ^{mt}	mitochondrial unfolded protein response
UQCR-14	ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase 14 kDa
UQH ₂	ubiquinol
VNS	ventral nervous system
Yan ^{ACT}	constitutively active Yan
α-KG	α-ketoglutarate

1.1 Cellular energy production

Chemical energy is transiently stored in our cells in the form of adenine triphosphate (ATP). The universal use of ATP to directly, or indirectly to provide energy for cellular reactions makes this molecule essential for life. ATP was discovered by Karl Lohmann in 1929, when he isolated it from muscle and liver tissue. In 1935 the structure of ATP was proposed by Katashi Makino, but it wasn't for another 10 years that this was confirmed (Khakh and Burnstock 2009). Enzymatic hydrolysis of ATP releases energy, from the high energy phosphate bond. This energy is required for cellular work. ATP is produced in eukaryotic cells via four main processes β -oxidation of fatty acids, glycolysis, the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS).

1.1.1 Beta oxidation of fatty acids

Muscle tissues, particularly cardiac muscle, produce ATP through β -oxidation of fatty acids. Other tissues, such as the liver and kidneys can use the products of this process, ketone bodies, to create ATP. The enzymes required for β -oxidation are located in the mitochondria and peroxisomes. Fatty acids from adipose tissue are transported into the cell via plasma membrane transport proteins, which simultaneously add coenzyme A (CoA) to the fatty acid creating acyl CoA. The acyl CoA is transported into the mitochondria via the carnitine transporter system, where it is shortened in a series of oxidation steps, to produce acetyl CoA and NADH (Houten and Wanders 2010). Acetyl CoA can enter the TCA cycle and NADH donates an electron to complex I in OXPHOS (see 1.1.3 & 1.1.4).

1.1.2 Glycolysis

Glycolysis uses glucose to create ATP, and can do so in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. In this reaction the six-carbon molecule glucose is split into two molecules of pyruvate, each containing three carbons, in a ten stage process (Figure 1.1). The early

stages of glycolysis require energy from two molecules of ATP, however, later stages generate four ATP molecules, resulting in a net gain of two ATP molecules. In aerobic conditions, pyruvate is converted into acetyl CoA in mitochondria. In anaerobic conditions however, pyruvate is converted to lactate or fermented to ethanol and CO₂ in yeast.

Glycolysis is a less efficient process than oxidative phosphorylation at producing ATP. However, yeast growing on glucose will rely on glycolytic fermentation of glucose for ATP production rather than oxidative phosphorylation, this is termed the Crabtree effect (Crabtree 1929). A similar phenomenon is observed in highly proliferative tumour cells. Cancerous cell are observed to switch from OXPHOS to mainly glycolytic metabolism even though conditions are still aerobic, this was first observed by Warburg in the 1920s and so is called the 'Warburg effect' (Gatenby and Gillies 2004).



Figure 1.1 Glycolysis, in the cytoplasm.

In reactions 1-4 two phosphorylation events occur requiring input of ATP, converting glucose into two molecules of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate. The aldehyde group on each glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is oxidised in reactions 5 and 6, producing two molecules of ATP and NADH. In reactions 7-9, the phosphate groups are removed to create two more molecules of ATP. Figure adapted from Li et al., 2015 (Li, Gu et al. 2015).

1.1.3 Kreb's Tricarboxylic Acid (TCA) cycle

In 1937 Krebs proposed the TCA cycle as a mechanism cells use to convert food into cellular energy (Akram 2014). The TCA cycle takes place in mitochondrial matrix, using acetyl-CoA created from fatty acids or from the pyruvate created during glycolysis (Akram 2014). In this process acetyl-CoA is combined with oxaloacetate to produce citrate. A series of eight, enzyme catalysed oxidative steps convert citrate back into oxaloacetate. Electrons released in this process are donated to NAD⁺ and FAD to create NADH or FADH₂ (Figure 1.2). Two CO₂ molecules and one molecule of ATP/GTP are also created in a single cycle.



Figure 1.2 Kreb's TCA cycle in the mitochondrial matrix.

(1) Citrate synthase converts Acetyl-CoA and oxaloacetate into a six- carbon citrate molecule. (2) Citrate is isomerised by aconitase, by dehydration followed by rehydration, to form isocitrate. (3) Decarboxylation of isocitrate results in the production of α -ketogluterate and by-products CO₂ and NADH. (4) The multi-enzyme complex, α -ketogluterate dehydrogenase, decarboxylates α -ketogluterate into succinyl-CoA, also producing CO₂ and NADH. (5) Succinyl-CoA is converted to Succinate and one molecule of GDP is phosphorylated. (6) Transmembrane Succinate dehydrogenase (also complex II in the Electron Transport Chain) converts succinate to fumarate, converting FAD to FADH₂ in the process. (7) Fumarate hydrates hydrates the double bond C=C bond in fumarate to produce malate. (8) Dehydrogenation of malate results in

the reformation of oxaloacetate which can re-enter the cycle, and conversion of a further NAD molecule into $NADH_2$ (Akram 2014). Figure adapted from Raimundo et al., 2011 (Raimundo, Baysal et al.)

NADH or FADH₂ are required for the process of OXPHOS, which produces 95% of cellular ATP. However, the TCA cycle also plays an integral role in producing intermediates for biosynthesis. Oxaloacetate can be converted to the amino acid alanine with the addition of an amino group (Berg JM 2002). Succinyl CoA can be converted into heme and citrate is a precursor of fatty acids and sterols (Berg JM 2002). These metabolites diffuse through the mitochondrial membrane or are transported via active carriers to take part in these biosynthetic pathways in the cytosol (Raimundo, Baysal et al.) (Figure 1.2).

TCA metabolites are also known to regulate the cells response to hypoxia. Hypoxia inducible factor HIF-1 α is normally targeted for degradation by α -ketagluterate dependant prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs) (Majmundar, Wong et al. 2010). Levels of α -ketoglutarate therefore have a key role in HIF regulation. Other TCA metabolites, succinate, oxaloacetate, isocitrate and fumarate, have also been shown to inhibit the activity of PHDs (Raimundo, Baysal et al. , Isaacs, Jung et al. 2005, Selak, Armour et al. 2005).

1.1.4 Oxidative Phosphorylation (OXPHOS)

OXPHOS is the transfer of electrons from NADH or FADH₂ to O₂, along the electron transport chain (ETC) coupled to ATP production through ATP synthase (Complex V) (Figure 1.3A) (Mitchell 1961). Electrons donated by NADH and FADH₂ enter the chain at either NADH dehydrogenase-ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I), made up of 44 subunits, or succinate dehydrogenase-ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex II), made up of 4 subunits. Electrons are passed from one electron carrier to another, each carrier having a greater affinity for electrons than the last. As it is passed along the chain the electron releases energy, which is used to pump H⁺ ions (protons) across the inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM) into the intermembrane space (IMS), through all ETC complexes apart from complex II.

Complex I accepts two electrons from NADH through its co-factor, flavin mononucleotide (FMN). The electrons are then passed one by one through seven iron sulphur clusters (Fe-S) in the hydrophilic domain of the complex, until they reach the Coenzyme Q reduction site, in the hydrophobic domain. From this site two electrons are donated to Coenzyme Q (also known as ubiquinone) reducing it to UQH₂ (ubiquinol). For every two electrons donated to Coenzyme Q by complex I, four H⁺ ions are translocated though the hydrophobic domain of complex I into the IMS. Coenzyme Q is also reduced by electrons from the hydrophobic domain of complex II. Complex II acts in the TCA cycle oxidising succinate to fumarate, in this process FAD is reduced to FADH₂. The flavoprotein subunit of complex II, covalently binds FAD, when FAD is reduced, the electrons are then passed one by one from this subunit to the Fe-S clusters in the second hydrophilic subunit, and then to the Fe-S clusters in the two hydrophobic subunits. Finally, the electron is donated to heme b, which reduces Coenzyme Q. Coenzyme Q freely moves in the IMM, carrying electrons from complex I and II to coenzyme Q reductase (complex II).

Complex III consists of 11 subunits, and it passes electrons from reduced Coenzyme Q (UQH₂) to cytochrome c via the Q-cycle. In the first half of this cycle, one electron is donated from UQH₂ to the Rieske iron-sulphur subunit and a second electron is donated to cytochrome b_L heme. As UQH₂ donates two electrons, two H⁺ ions are released into the IMS. The Rieske iron-sulphur subunit donates its electron to the cytochrome c_1 subunit, whereas the electron donated to cytochrome b_L heme is passed onto a Coenzyme Q molecule that also binds to complex III. In the second stage of the Qcycle, a second molecule of UQH₂ binds and the process is repeated. However, when the second electron is donated to Coenzyme Q, two protons are also taken up from the mitochondrial matrix to created UQH₂, which diffuses back into the IMM. The two electrons donated to cytochrome c_1 in this cycle proceed along the ETC as they are donated to the soluble electron carrier cytochrome c. Cytochrome c diffuses through the intermembrane space shuttling electrons from complex III to the 14 subunit cytochrome c oxidase complex (complex IV). Complex IV accepts an electron from cytochrome c with the copper bound protein (Cu_A) , the electron is then passed to a cytochrome c subunit and on to a tightly associated copper (Cu_B) and cytochrome b subunit. A second electron from another cytochrome c electron carrier passes along this pathway to the Cu_B site. These two electrons are donated to O_2 , the final electron acceptor of the ETC and two H⁺ ions are transferred from the matrix into the IMS.

Accumulation of H^+ ions inside the IMS results in an electrochemical gradient, as it gives the IMS an overall positive charge and acidic pH compared to the negatively charged, neutral matrix. This creates a proton motive force, however, because the IMM is impermeable to protons, protons cannot freely pass back through the IMM. Therefore, this acts like a battery to store the energy released from the electrons. Protons can pass through the membrane via the 19 subunit complex ATPsynthase (complex V). The transmembrane domain, (F₀), of complex V forms a narrow hydrophilic channel. As protons move through this channel, the large enzymatic domain, (F₁), which is located in the matrix, rotates (Abrahams, Leslie et al. 1994). ADP and inorganic phosphate can enter the active site of F₁ when it is in its 'open' state. As the motor rotates the enzyme moves into a 'closed' and then 'tight' confirmation, pushing the ADP and phosphate closer together until they are forced to form a covalent bond, forming ATP (Kayalar, Rosing et al. 1977). Further rotations allow for the release of the newly produced ATP and the enzyme returns to its open state (Abrahams, Leslie et al. 1994).



Figure 1.3 Oxidative phosphorylation at the inner mitochondrial membrane. (A) Oxidation of NADH occurs at complex I (CI) and FADH₂ at complex II (CII).

Electrons are then passed to complex III (CIII) by Coenzyme Q (Q), then via cytochrome c (c) to complex IV (CIV). This makes up the electron transport chain. The energy produced is used to pump hydrogen into the IMS, creating an electrochemical gradient. This proton motive force pushes H^+ through complex V (CV), driving ATP synthesis. ROS are produced at CI, CII and CIII. (**B**) The number of OXPHOS complex subunits that are encoded in the nuclear and mitochondrial genome. All assembly factors are nuclear encoded. Figure adapted from Koopman et al., (Koopman, Distelmaier et al. 2013) and Baker et al. (Baker and Tarnopolsky 2003)

1.1.4.1 Supercomplexes

Evidence that the five OXHPOS complexes can be isolated and still remain functional lead to the 'fluid state' hypothesis, in which electrons are thought to pass from complexes that collide randomly, as the complexes diffuse freely in the IMM (Dudkina, Kouril et al. 2010). As predicted by this model, OXPHOS complexes diffuse laterally within the IMM and activity of the electron transport chain is coupled to diffusion (Hackenbrock, Chazotte et al. 1986). However, blue native gel electrophoresis revealed the presence of unexpected bands from solubilised mitochondria. When resolved in a second dimension the presence of subunits from multiple complexes were revealed, in plant, yeast and mammalian mitochondria (Schagger and Pfeiffer 2000, Eubel, Heinemeyer et al. 2004). This suggests that stable interactions are formed between certain OXPHOS complexes, creating higher-ordered oligomers, named supercomplexes (Dudkina, Kouril et al. 2010). Existence of these supercomplexes has been confirmed by electron microscopy (Dudkina, Eubel et al. 2005), and they have been shown to act as a functional unit (Bianchi, Genova et al. 2004). Supercomplexes of two or more individual OXPHOS complexes have been observed, that can also contain Coenzyme Q and cytochrome c (Acin-Perez, Fernandez-Silva et al. 2008). The formation of supercomplexes poses a challenge to the 'fluid state' hypothesis, replacing it with a 'solid-state' model. Further evidence of interaction between OXPHOS subunits from genetic studies shows that point mutations in one complex can impair the assembly and stability of other complexes (Acin-Perez, Bayona-Bafaluy et al. 2004, Diaz, Fukui et al. 2006).

It is thought that OXPHOS complexes co-exist in the IMM as supercomplexes and singular complexes, although complex I is not found independent of a supercomplex (Acin-Perez, Fernandez-Silva et al. 2008). Evidence from potato mitochondria suggests that there are also even larger structures of OXPHOS complexes (Bultema, Braun et al. 2009). Single particle electron microscopy has revealed the presence of 'megacomplexes' made up of at least five supercomplexes (Bultema, Braun et al. 2009). These structures were not previously observed on blue native gels as they are disrupted by detergent treatment. Higher complex strings of ATPsynthase have also been reported, these oligomeric structures bend the IMM membrane and are often found at the base of IMM cristae (Allen, Schroeder et al. 1989, Krause, Reifschneider et al. 2005, Dudkina, Sunderhaus et al. 2006).

1.1.4.2 Assembly of Complexes

For OXPHOS to work properly subunits have to be assembled into complexes, which need to correctly incorporate redox cofactors (metals) and then assemble into supercomplexes (Vartak, Porras et al. 2013, Hildenbeutel, Hegg et al. 2014). To complicate matters some OXPHOS subunits are encoded by mitochondrial DNA, however most are encoded by nuclear DNA (Figure 1.3B). Accessory proteins have been identified that are required for the assembly of individual subunits into the complexes, but are not present in the assembled complex (Fernandez-Vizarra, Tiranti et al. 2009). For example, the chaperone NDUFAF1 is required for complex I assembly (Vogel, Janssen et al. 2005), BCS1L is an ATP dependant chaperone that is needed for the incorporation of the Rieske iron sulphur subunit into complex III (Cruciat, Hell et al. 1999) and Surf1 is required for complex IV assembly, although the mechanism of its function is unknown (Stiburek, Vesela et al. 2005). Complete complexes are formed in stages with the production of sub-assemblies of subunits which are sequentially incorporated in the IMM (Fernandez-Vizarra, Tiranti et al. 2009).

Maintenance of supercomplexes is mediated by the mitochondrial lipid cardiolipin. Patients with Barth syndrome have destabilised supercomplex which more readily dissociate when treated with mild detergents (McKenzie, Lazarou et al. 2006). The disorder is caused by a mutation in *Tafazzin*, which is known to remodel cardiolipin (McKenzie, Lazarou et al. 2006). Evidence *in vitro*, suggests that cardiolipin is required for supercomplex assembly in yeast, as purified complex III and IV subunits only formed supercomplexes in the presence of cardiolipin (Bazan, Mileykovskaya et al. 2013). Knockdown experiments in yeast also demonstrate a role for respiratory supercomplex factors (Rcf-1, Rcf-2) and ADP/ATP carrier proteins (ACC-1, ACC-2) in supercomplex assembly (Dienhart and Stuart 2008, Chen, Taylor et al. 2012, Strogolova, Furness et al. 2012).

1.2 Mitochondrial structure and function

Recordings of intracellular structures that were most likely mitochondria were first made in the 1840s. However, in was Altmann in 1890 who realised that they were ubiquitous features in many cell types. Altmann, postulated that they were living organisms that had been endocytosed into the cell and carried out essential processes (Ernster and Schatz 1981): a theory not too dissimilar to what is thought today. Development of the electron microscope allowed greater resolution imaging of mitochondria and in 1953, the first pictures of the mitochondrial ultrastructure were published. From these Palade described a double membrane structure with internal folds of the inner membrane that protrude into the mitochondria approximately perpendicular to the long axis (Palade 1953). The mechanisms of mitochondrial respiratory function were more elusive. In 1961, Mitchell proposed the theory of chemiosmotic coupling although this theory was dismissed at the time (Mitchell 1961).

1.2.1 Mitochondrial origins

Without mitochondria, eukaryotic life may never have evolved. There are eukaryotes without mitochondria, however, mitochondrial DNA fragments can still be found in their nuclei, presumably relics from mitochondria in their ancestors (Clark and Roger 1995, Hampl, Silberman et al. 2008). It is argued, that the evolution of complex eukaryotic cells was dependant on the increased capacity of the cell to produce energy, via the mitochondria, to support a vast increase in gene expression (Lane and Martin 2010). Although whether mitochondria actually confer a net gain in energy production to eukaryotes compared to prokaryotes is still debated (Lynch and Marinov 2016). Numerous similarities between mitochondria and bacteria gave rise to the theory that mitochondria were once free living α -proteobacteria which came to live in endosymbiosis within another cell (Yang, Oyaizu et al. 1985). Genetic evidence suggests that this host cell was a methanogen (Rivera and Lake 1992). According to the hydrogen hypothesis, a symbiotic relationship was driven by the methanogens metabolic requirement for hydrogen and the proteobacterium's ability to produce hydrogen as a waste metabolic waste product (Martin and Muller 1998). However, this hypothesis is unable to explain why all eukaryotes have a complex endomembrane system (Baum and Baum 2014). The inside-out model builds on the ideas behind the

28

hydrogen hypothesis, but postulates that the host cell becomes the nucleus of eukaryotes and protrusions of the membrane engulf the α -proteobacteria, producing endomembrane structures in the process (Baum and Baum 2014). Recently, the closest relative to eukaryotes, the archaea Lokiarchaeota, was identified in a deep sea vent, via comparative genomic analysis (Spang, Saw et al. 2015). Lokiarchaeota contains genes that were previously thought to be exclusively eukaryotic, e.g. for actin and small GTPases, however, there is no evidence of mitochondria (Spang, Saw et al. 2015). Future studies of this archaea may throw further light on the evolution of eukaryotic organisms.

1.2.2 Mitochondrial Structure

Mitochondria are double membrane organelles, and are therefore comprised of four individual compartments each with distinct functions: the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM), the inner mitochondrial membrane (IMM), the intermembrane space (IMS) between these two membranes and the matrix within the IMM.

The outer mitochondrial membrane provides a barrier between the cytosol and the mitochondria, regulating what can pass in and out, therefore controlling communication between the mitochondria and the rest of the cell (Gellerich, Trumbeckaite et al. 2000). Abundant voltage-gated, 'β-barrel' porin channels (also known as VDAC) (Forte, Guy et al. 1987) within the phospholipid bilayer, allow passage of small molecules, up to 8 kDa through the membrane (Zalman, Nikaido et al. 1980). It is estimated that there are approximately 1500 proteins in the mitochondrial proteome (Taylor, Fahy et al. 2003), 99% of which are encoded in the nucleus. So far 615 individual proteins have been identified in human heart mitochondria (Taylor, Fahy et al. 2003). Proteins targeted to the mitochondria that are larger than 8 kDa enter via the outer membrane translocase (TOM). The TOM complex has a receptor, which can recognise the mitochondrial target sequence (MTS) of nuclear encoded pre-proteins (Ahting, Thun et al. 1999). Critical regions of many MTS form amphiphilic α -helices, with hydrophobic residues on one side and hydrophilic residues on the other (von Heijne 1986). Recognised pre-proteins can then translocate through the MOM via TOM's cation selective, high-conductance channel (Kunkele, Heins et al. 1998). TOM also mediates integration of MOM proteins into the membrane, in co-ordination with the mitochondrial import machinery (MIM) (Bohnert, Pfanner et al. 2015). A number of mitochondrial proteins do not have an

obvious MTS, but are thought to contain internal targeting sequences (Chacinska, Koehler et al. 2009).

The intermembrane space is the aqueous phase between the two mitochondrial membranes. It is often overlooked, and assumed to be equivalent to the cytosol. However, it can actually be described as a 'logistics hub', coordinating protein, lipid and metal ion exchange between the mitochondrial compartments and the rest of the cell, as well as being important for ATP production, apoptosis and redox control (Herrmann and Riemer 2010). During oxidative phosphorylation protons accumulate in the IMS, creating the essential electrochemical gradient. The electron carrier, cytochrome c, which shuttles electrons between the third and fourth complex in the electron transport chain, is thought to diffuse freely in the IMS and is in fact the most abundant protein in the compartment (Martin, Eckerskorn et al. 1998) (Figure 1.3A). Free diffusion of cytochrome c within the IMS is also imperative for its apoptotic role; when the MOM is permeabilised, rapid release of cytochrome c into the cytosol is required to trigger apoptosis (Gillick and Crompton 2008) (see Introduction 1.2.5.3).

The inner mitochondrial membrane is far less permeable than the outer membrane and has a far higher protein content. This is mainly due to OXPHOS complexes which take up 50% of the IMM. Proteins cross the IMM via two translocase of the inner mitochondrial membrane (TIM) complexes. Proteins with cleavable N-terminal MTSs are transported through the IMM via TIM23 (Bohnert, Pfanner et al. 2015). The domain of TIM23 which forms a pore through the IMM, TIM23/17, is regulated by the IMM membrane potential (Truscott, Kovermann et al. 2001). The membrane potential also provides the driving force that makes the charged pre-sequence (the MTS, which is cleaved in the matrix), translocate through TIM23 (Martin, Mahlke et al. 1991). One subunit of the TIM23 complex has also been implicated in orchestrating insertion of OXPHOS complex subunits both into the IMM and into functional complexes (Mick, Dennerlein et al.). Complex subunits encoded by mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) are inserted into the IMM from the matrix by the oxidase assembly machinery (OXA) (Bonnefoy, Fiumera et al. 2009). A second translocase, TIM22, recognises proteins with internal targeting sequences and inserts them into the IMM (Rehling, Brandner et al. 2004). Again this process is driven by the membrane potential (Pfanner and Neupert 1985).

The matrix within the IMM is the site of the TCA cycle and ATP production. It also contains the mtDNA.

1.2.3 Mitochondrial DNA

Margit and Sylvan Nass observed threadlike structures within mitochondria on electron micrographs of chick embryos. Discovery that these structures could be digested by DNase, but not RNase, led to the conclusion that mitochondria contain their own DNA (Nass and Nass 1963). Shortly afterwards, DNA was isolated from purified mitochondrial samples from yeast (Schatz, Haslbrunner et al. 1964).

MtDNA is present in multiple copies in the cell, with a copy number that can be thousands of times that of the diploid nuclear genome (Miller, Rosenfeldt et al. 2003). It is packaged into nucleoids with a diameter of about 100nm, often containing a single mitochondrial genome (Kukat and Larsson 2013). Double stranded mtDNA is circular, made up of a heavy and a light strand and encodes a total of 37 genes in humans. The nomenclature heavy and light stems from the relative abundance of G and T bases in each strand. This determines how buoyant each strand is in a caesium chloride gradient (Taanman 1999). In animals, mtDNA ranges from 15 to 20kb (Garesse and Kaguni 2005), human mtDNA is approximately 16.5 kb (Holt and Reyes 2012). These differences in size are due to gene duplications rather than additions. Sequencing of the human mitochondrial genome revealed that it is extremely compact, with hardly any noncoding DNA and that most mitochondrial genes do not contain a stop codon (Anderson, Bankier et al. 1981). It encodes for 13 proteins, which are all subunits of OXPHOS complexes, 2 rRNAs and 22 tRNAs, which are involved in the translation of the OXPHOS proteins. A fourteenth mitochondrially encoded peptide, humanin, has also been identified in brains of Alzheimer's (AD) patients (Hashimoto, Niikura et al. 2001). The open reading frame of humanin is nested within the coding region for the 16s rRNA (Yen, Lee et al. 2013). Humanin has been shown to play a role in stress resistance, providing resistance to oxidative stress in rat renal cultures (Yang, Zhang et al. 2008) and injections of humanin analogues have protective effects in transgenic murine models of Alzheimer's disease (Niikura, Sidahmed et al. 2011, Zhang, Zhang et al. 2012).

The non-coding regions of the genome are mainly found in the displacement loop (Dloop) which contains the control element for mtDNA transcription and replication (Arnberg, van Bruggen et al. 1971, Kasamatsu, Robberson et al. 1971). Large areas, of up to 150bp, in the D-loop appear, however, to be dispensable in humans (Behar, Blue-Smith et al. 2008). The D-loop is so named because it was identified in electron micrographs as containing triple stranded DNA, which was hypothesised to be a stalled replication intermediate (Kasamatsu, Robberson et al. 1971). An R-loop, made up of double stranded DNA hybridized with a single strand of RNA, has also been observed *in vivo* and is thought to also play a role is in the regulation of transcription (Xu and Clayton 1996, Brown, Tkachuk et al. 2008).

Nuclear encoded proteins are necessary for the regulation of mtDNA, its transcription and translation. MtDNA only codes for 13 subunits of the five ETC complexes; the remaining subunits are nuclear encoded and thus co-ordinated gene expression in both the mitochondria and the nucleus is required (Figure 1.3B).

1.2.3.1 Transcription of mtDNA

In 1982, the yeast mtRNA polymerase, *RP041*, was isolated (Greenleaf, Kelly et al. 1986). Unlike multi-subunit bacterial RNA polymerase, *RP041* encoded a single subunit polymerase homologous to T3 and T7 bacteriophage (Masters, Stohl et al. 1987). Human mtRNA polymerase (*POLRMT*), also encodes a single subunit protein, homologous to phage RNA polymerases (Tiranti, Savoia et al. 1997). It is made up of two domains, one is catalytic and the other is proposed to play a role in coupling mtDNA transcription and replication (Shadel 2004).

Genes are located on both strands of mtDNA, although most mRNA and both rRNAs open reading frames are located on the heavy strand (Bonawitz, Clayton et al. 2006). Transcription is initiated from two promoters on the heavy strand (HSP1 and HSP2) and a single promoter on the light strand (LSP). Transcription from both HSP2 and LSP results in a transcript almost the length of the whole genome. Genes encoding tRNA are dispersed between the rRNAs and mRNAs within these polycistronic transcripts. It is removal of these tRNAs that results in individual mRNA and rRNA sequences.

Transcripts from HSP1 are relatively short terminating after the two rRNA genes (Bonawitz, Clayton et al. 2006).

Mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) was first identified as essential for the transcription of mtDNA *in vitro* (Fisher, Topper et al. 1987). When TFAM levels are reduced by 15% in HeLa cells, mtDNA transcription drops by 50% (Kanki, Ohgaki et al. 2004). Mutational analysis and the construction of chimeric TFAM, from human TFAM and the yeast homolog, Abf2, which does not activate transcription, demonstrates that the C-terminal is required for transcription (Dairaghi, Shadel et al. 1995).

TFAM is a nuclear encoded, mitochondrial targeted protein. It is made up of two high mobility group (HMG) domains separated by a linker sequence, and a short C-terminal domain (Fisher and Clayton 1988, Parisi, Xu et al. 1993). The HMG domain is a DNA binding motif, known to bind to the minor groove of the DNA helix in either a specific or nonspecific manner (Stros, Launholt et al. 2007). Crystallography studies of human TFAM have recently shown that TFAM is able to bend mtDNA 180°, in complex with the light strand promoter (LSP) (Ngo, Kaiser et al. 2011). Each HMG domain wedges into the minor groove forcing the DNA to bend. HMG1 has a higher affinity than HMG2, so it is suggested that HMG1 binds first, placing HMG2 closer to the mtDNA and thus increasing its probability of binding (Figure 1.4) (Ngo, Kaiser et al. 2011, Rubio-Cosials, Sidow et al. 2011).



Figure 1.4 TFAM bends mtDNA 180°

TFAM binds upstream of transcription initiation, to recruit promoters. Non-specific binding of TFAM packages the DNA into its nucleoid structure. Figure from Hallberg and Larsson (2011) (Hallberg and Larsson 2011).

It has been disputed whether TFAM is both necessary and sufficient for *in vivo* mtDNA transcription (Goto, Matsushima et al. 2001) and two other mitochondrial transcription factors have been discovered, TFB1M and TFB2M (Bogenhagen 1996). TFAM's C-terminal region binds to TFB1M (McCulloch and Shadel 2003), so it is possible that TFAM binding is required for TFB1M recruitment. In the absence of TFAM *in vitro*, transcription is initiated at the heavy strand promoter 1, by a complex of mtRNA polymerase and TFB2M (McCulloch and Shadel 2003). Adding small amounts of TFAM increases transcription at the light strand promoter and adding large amounts of TFAM returns it to normal (McCulloch and Shadel 2003).

1.2.3.2 MtDNA Replication

Replication of mtDNA is carried out by a multi-protein 'replisome'. This is made up of Twinkle, a helicase that unwinds the DNA, mtDNA single stranded binding proteins which maintain the DNA in an open state and DNA polymerase γ , which synthesises the new strand of DNA. All of these components are encoded in the nucleus.

Electron microscope images of isolated rodent mitochondria led to the development of the strand displacement model (SDM) of mtDNA replication (Figure 1.5) (Kasamatsu and Vinograd 1973). In this model mtDNA replication is initiated at an origin of heavy strand synthesis (OH) at the start of the D-loop. Unidirectional replication of this strand continues for about two thirds of the genome until the origin of light strand synthesis (OL) is revealed. When this happens, replication can initiate in the opposite direction, leading to a delay before the displaced strand is replicated. This model is now disputed as conflicting evidence has been reported. Two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis (2D-AGE) of mtDNA restriction fragments shows many replication arcs between OH and OL (Bowmaker, Yang et al. 2003). Thus, another model has been proposed, the symmetric strand-coupled replication model, in which replication occurs both symmetrically and bi-directionally, on the light and heavy strand, from multiple origins from a broad zone within the D-loop (Figure 1.5) (Bowmaker, Yang et al. 2003). 2D-AGE resolves DNA on the basis of shape and mass, addition of particular restriction enzymes to these gels has also revealed the presence of several types of replication intermediates, suggesting several different types of replication may occur simultaneously in the mitochondria. Three classes of mitochondrial replication intermediates, have been identified, one that would be expected by strand displacement replication (single stranded DNA), one that would be expected by symmetric strandcoupled replication (double stranded DNA) and another class with extensive RNA incorporation on the lagging strand (ERIOLS) (Holt, Lorimer et al. 2000, Yang, Bowmaker et al. 2002). A third model of mtDNA replication has been devised to account for these ERIOLS (Figure 1.5), in which RNA is incorporated throughout the lagging strand during the replication of mtDNA (RITOLS model) (Yasukawa, Reyes et al. 2006, Holt and Reyes 2012). This model is very similar to the strand-coupled model of replication; however, in this model RNA is incorporated into the lagging strand and then converted to DNA (Holt and Reyes 2012).


Figure 1.5 Models of mtDNA replication.

In the strand displacement model, replication starts at the OH. Only once the initial strand reaches the OL does replication of the complementary strand begin. This results in single strand intermediates of replication. In the stand-coupled model replication can initiate from multiple origins, which form bubble arcs. Strands proceed in a bidirectional symmetrical manner, creating double stranded intermediates. In the third model, replication is initiated from the OH, but as replication proceeds complementary RNA is also incorporated, which is later replaced with DNA. This results in DNA coupled to RNA intermediates. Figure from Kasiviswanathan et al. (2012) (Kasiviswanathan, Collins et al. 2012).

Overexpression of *TFAM* increases the number of replication intermediates associated with the stand coupled model, with some evidence that this is due to slowing of the replication fork (Pohjoismaki, Wanrooij et al. 2006). This led to the hypothesis that TFAM levels influence the type of DNA replication that occurs (Pohjoismaki, Wanrooij et al. 2006). TFAM's role in replication seems to be mainly due to its non-specific DNA binding, which has been shown to package mtDNA into its nucleoid structure (Figure 1.4) (Kang, Kim et al. 2007, Kaufman, Durisic et al. 2007). This packaging role is also thought to maintain mtDNA stability, as reduction of *TFAM* expression via RNAi in HeLa cells, or tissue specific *TFAM* knockout mice, cause a corresponding decrease in mtDNA levels (Larsson, Wang et al. 1998, Kanki, Ohgaki et al. 2004).

Replication of mtDNA appears to be controlled by mitochondrial - endoplasmic reticulum (ER) contacts. In human cell lines, GFP-tagged mitochondrial polymerase localises to a subset of mitochondrial - ER contacts in which the mitochondria lie perpendicular to the ER. Perturbation of ER morphology, to increased sheet-like structures rather than tubules, reduced levels of EdU-incorporation in mitochondrial nucleoids (Lewis, Uchiyama et al. 2016).

1.2.3.3 mtDNA inheritance

Unlike nuclear DNA, which is propagated via Mendelian inheritance, mtDNA is maternally inherited. Mitochondria from the paternal sperm do enter the oocyte, however, their DNA is degraded (Sato and Sato 2013). MtDNA is therefore solely inherited maternally.

As there are multiple copies of mtDNA per cell, symmetric segregation of mitochondria must occur. In order to achieve symmetric segregation of mitochondria during cell division, mitochondria are tethered to the actin cytoskeleton via Myosin-XIX (Rohn, Patel et al. 2014). If Myosin-XIX levels are reduced, mitochondria move to the edge of the cell and cell division fails (Rohn, Patel et al. 2014). Connections to the actin-myosin cytoskeleton are also important for correct segregation of mtDNA within the mitochondria. OMM proteins that tether to the cytoskeleton, Mmm1, Mdm12 and Mdm10, maintain mtDNA at the membrane (Boldogh, Vojtov et al. 1998).

If all copies of mtDNA are identical in the cell this is called homoplasmy. However, mtDNA is located in the mitochondrial matrix, which due to the production of ROS is a reactive environment (Tuppen, Blakely et al. 2010). In addition, DNA repair mechanisms are less efficient in mitochondria than the nucleus (Akbari, Sykora et al. 2015). Therefore, mutations in mtDNA are common (Tuppen, Blakely et al. 2010). This leads to heteroplasmy of mtDNA, in which different copies of mtDNA contain different mutations within one cell (Taylor and Turnbull 2005). The threshold hypothesis suggests that there is a threshold over which a mutation may cause biochemical and clinical defects. This is particularly important in maternal mitochondrial inheritance, in which a genetic bottleneck can occur, that may lead to an increased load of deleterious mtDNA mutations. During oocyte formation, a restricted number of mitochondria are

segregated into the oocyte. There is then a 50-fold increase in mtDNA as these mitochondria replicate (Chen, Prosser et al. 1995). If mitochondria with deleterious mtDNA mutations were segregated into the oocyte, then their damaged mtDNA will be amplified and increase the load of that mutation (Brown, Samuels et al. 2001). Selection against severe mtDNA mutations has been observed in the murine germline (Fan, Waymire et al. 2008). Similarly, selection against a temperature sensitive COXI mutation was also observed in *Drosophila* (Hill, Chen et al. 2014). Selective proliferation of mtDNA that supports more robust OXPHOS may explain this phenomenon (Ma, Xu et al. 2014).

1.2.4 Reactive Oxygen Species

Mitochondria are the main source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the cell, as ROS are produced as a by-product of OXPHOS. When electron carrier complexes prematurely transfer a single electron to molecular oxygen, the free-radical, superoxide is generated in either the IMS or matrix (Shadel and Horvath 2015). The rate of superoxide production is governed by the concentration of O₂ and electron donors within the mitochondria (Murphy 2009). When activity of the electron transport chain is reduced (by mutations or loss of ETC complexes for example), the NADH:NAD⁺ ratio is relatively high. The proportion of fully reduced FMN, the complex I cofactor which accepts electrons from NADH, is therefore also high. If the donated electrons cannot pass along the ETC, then fully reduced FMN donates an electron to O₂ instead, creating superoxide (Murphy 2009). Superoxide is also produced when ATP production is impaired, causing a reduced pool of Coenzyme Q (due to increased electron supply) and a high proton motive force. In these conditions electrons flow backwards and are thought to be donated to O₂ from Complex I via FMN or the Coenzyme Q binding site (Murphy 2009). Complex III, which accepts electrons from Coenzyme Q, has also been reported to produce superoxide, although substantial amounts of ROS are only produced when antimycin directly inhibits complex III acceptance of an electron from Coenzyme Q (Andreyev, Kushnareva et al. 2005). Recently, it has been revealed that complex II also has the capacity to generate ROS. Complex II produces ROS at a comparable rate to maximum complex I and III ROS production, in conditions of low succinate concentration and complex I and complex III inhibition (Quinlan, Orr et al. 2012).

Superoxide has lower reactivity than other free radicals (Indo, Yen et al. 2015), however, it forms the extremely reactive peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) when it reacts with nitric oxide (NO). Superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzymes scavenge superoxide preventing this reaction from occurring, converting superoxide into hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). CuZnSOD (SOD1) acts in the cytoplasm and IMS (Okado-Matsumoto and Fridovich 2001) and has been associated with ageing and neurodegenerative diseases (Indo, Yen et al. 2015). MnSOD (SOD2) is targeted to the mitochondrial matrix and is also found on the IMM (Okado-Matsumoto and Fridovich 2001). There is also an extracellular SOD, ECSOD (SOD3). H₂O₂ is converted into water and oxygen by catalase peroxiredoxins and glutathione peroxidase enzymes (Figure 1.6).

1.2.4.1 ROS induced damage and signalling

Due to their highly reactive nature, ROS can cause damage to lipids, proteins and DNA (Schieber and Chandel 2014). Overproduction of ROS has been associated with numerous diseases, from cancer and diabetes to neurodegeneration. In 1956, Harman proposed the free radical theory of ageing, which hypothesised that ageing was a side effect of the damage caused by ROS (Harman 1956). Indeed, levels of mitochondrial H₂O₂ correlate to ageing in *Drosophila* (Cocheme, Quin et al. 2011). If this theory is correct, then reducing cellular ROS should increase healthy lifespan. Longevity studies in mice and *Drosophila* provide unclear evidence on whether ROS do contribute to ageing. Increased cytosolic SOD and catalase activity was reported to increase Drosophila lifespan (Orr and Sohal 1994), although other groups have had difficulty replicating this data (Mockett, Sohal et al. 2010). In mice, ectopic expression of catalase in mitochondria increased lifespan as well as reducing cataracts and cardiac pathology (Schriner, Linford et al. 2005). Overexpression of cytosolic SOD, however, did not increase murine longevity (Huang, Carlson et al. 2000). Loss of three of the five C. elegans SODs actually increases lifespan, contrary to the free radical theory of ageing (Van Raamsdonk and Hekimi 2009). Moreover, exposure to low concentrations of the oxidant Paraquat also increased longevity in worms (Yang and Hekimi 2010). Proteomic analysis of the oxidative state of cysteine residues in *Drosophila*, revealed no change in the redox state of proteins in aged flies (Menger, James et al. 2015).

The role of ROS in ageing may be difficult to elucidate because as well as causing damage, ROS also play a role in cellular signalling. This redox signalling is thought to be mediated via H_2O_2 oxidation of proteins' cysteine residues (Figure 1.6). ROS act on numerous cellular pathways, including MAPK cascades and HIF. HIF-1 α , which is normally degraded in normoxia, can be stabilised in normoxia by ROS signalling (Knowles, Raval et al. 2003). Conversely, in hypoxic conditions ROS can reduce HIFs accumulation and DNA-binding activity (Brune and Zhou 2003).



Figure 1.6 Cellular ROS pathways

ROS are produced as a by-product of OXPHOS or NADPH oxidase enzymes (NOXs). Superoxide is converted to H_2O_2 , which is either converted to water, oxidises cysteine residues for cellular signalling (redox biology), or in high quantities causes cellular damage when converted to hydroxyl radicals, catalysed by iron in the Fenton reaction (oxidative stress). Figure from Schieber and Chandel 2014 (Schieber and Chandel 2014).

1.2.5 Additional mitochondrial functions

1.2.5.1 Iron sulphur cluster synthesis

The membrane potential created by the electron transport chain (ETC) is essential for the proton motive force that produces ATP via ATPsynthase. However, it also creates a driving force for accumulation of positively charged ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) to accumulate in the mitochondrial matrix. Once inside the mitochondria Fe²⁺ is incorporated into iron sulphur (Fe-S) clusters in a two stage process. In the first stage, a *de novo* Fe-S cluster is created on a scaffold protein. This requires a donation of electrons to reduce sulphur to sulphide. In the second stage the liable Fe-S cluster is transferred to an apoprotein to create the holoenzyme (Lill 2009).

Fe-S clusters are important co-factors for enzymes that carry out redox reactions. The electronic conformation of the clusters allows them to easily donate and accept electrons. This makes them particularly important in the complexes that make up the ETC. Complex I contains eight Fe-S clusters (Rouault 2015). Fe-S clusters synthesised in the mitochondria are also exported and incorporated into cytosolic and nuclear enzymes, such as DNA polymerases and helicases (Stehling, Vashisht et al. 2012).

1.2.5.2 Calcium Sequestering

Positively charged calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) are also driven into the mitochondrial matrix down the electrochemical gradient. Positioning of mitochondria next to calcium transporters on the endoplasmic reticulum and the plasma membrane of synaptic terminals means that mitochondria are particularly sensitive to influxes of calcium and therefore act a calcium buffers in the cell (Rizzuto, De Stefani et al. 2012).

Accumulation of Ca^{2+} in the matrix signals increased stimulation of the cell and therefore an increase in ATP demand. Influx of Ca^{2+} increases the metabolic activity of the mitochondria as Krebs cycle dehydrogenases, such as isocitrate dehydrogenase and α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, are directly regulated by Ca^{2+} (Denton and McCormack 1985, Wan, LaNoue et al. 1989). Increased Ca^{2+} therefore results in increased free NADH able to donate electrons to the ETC. A vicious cycle is therefore created when OXPHOS complexes are damaged; deficits in ETC reduce the membrane potential, which is required for influx of Ca^{2+} , so less NADH is available for ATP production (Visch, Rutter et al. 2004).

1.2.5.3 Apoptosis

Ca²⁺ signalling also plays a key role in mitochondrial initiation of apoptosis. This is the process of programmed cell death which removes damaged or unwanted cells for the benefit of the organism as a whole. Cell intrinsic apoptosis is triggered by the release of proteins from the IMM which activate a family of cysteine proteases, called caspases, which digest the cellular components. The exact mechanisms are, however, not conserved from mammals to invertebrates (Figure 1.7).



Figure 1.7 Apoptotic mechanisms in Drosophila and vertebrates

(A) *Drosophila* caspases, Dronc and Drice, are normally ubiquitinated for degradation by Diap1. Apoptosis is triggered when Diap1 itself is ubiquitinated by Reaper, Hid and Grim (RHG). Omi and AIF are released from the IMM to further interact with Diap1 and trigger caspase independent events, respectively. (B) Vertebrate apoptosis is mediated by Bax translocation to the mitochondria triggering the release of cytochrome c (Cyt c). In normal conditions Cyt c shuttles electrons between OXPHOS complex III and IV, however, cytosolic Cyt c binds Apaf1 to initiate formation of the apoptosome. Figure adapted from Wang and Youle 2009 (Wang and Youle 2009).

1.2.6 Mitochondrial Dynamics

1.2.6.1 Fusion and fission

Mitochondria function as a dynamic network that are constantly fusing, dividing and moving around the cell. Fusion allows communication between mitochondria and protects against transient mitochondrial dysfunction, as it allows sharing of mtDNA and its products (Chen, Vermulst et al. 2010, Rolland, Motori et al. 2013). Fission is required for mitochondrial movement, allowing mitochondria to move to the parts of the cell with the highest demand. Fission events are also needed for the removal of damaged mitochondrial components. The interplay of fission and fusion is therefore extremely important in the maintenance of healthy mitochondria (Figure 1.8).



Figure 1.8 The roles of mitochondrial fission and fusion

Fusion of mitochondria occurs in highly active cells allowing mitochondria to act as a network, pooling of mtDNA product and dissipation of ATP. Fission is important for mitochondrial inheritance, transport and the turnover of damaged mitochondrial components. Figure adapted from Westermann 2010 (Westermann 2010).

Fusion of mitochondria is a particularly complex process due to the double membrane structure of the organelle, this means a fusion event requires four membranes to fuse into two. It is hypothesised that membranes due to fuse are tethered together and energy from GTP is then used to mix the lipid bilayers (Sesaki and Jensen 2001). The first regulator of mitochondrial fusion was identified in *Drosophila* (Hales and Fuller 1997). *Fuzzy onions*, which is required for male fertility, is a large transmembrane, dynamin-related GTPase. Members of the same protein family are conserved in yeast, worms and mammals, and as they also regulate mitochondrial fusion they are called mitofusins (Hales and Fuller 1997, Westermann 2010). Mitofusins regulate fusion of the OMMs, the IMMs are fused by the dynamin-related GTPase optic atrophy protein 1 (OPA1). OPA1 and mitofusins can act independently of each other, as mutations in OPA1 disrupt fusion of the IMM, without inhibiting OMM fusion (Malka, Guillery et al. 2005). However, the outer membrane protein, Ugo1p, is essential for inner membrane fusion, suggesting that normally these to processes are co-regulated (Sesaki and Jensen 2001).

Dynamin related protein 1 (Drp1, known as Dnm1 in yeast) is the master regulator of mitochondrial fission in eukaryotic cells. In yeast, recruitment of Dnm1 depends on two proteins, mitochondrial fission 1 (Fis1) and mitochondrial division protein 1 (Mdv1). Fis1s anchors to the OMM and is necessary for the assembly of Dnm1 puncta on the OMM. Mutants of the WD repeat protein, Mdv1, retain Dnm1 OMM puncta, but are unable to complete mitochondrial division (Tieu and Nunnari 2000). Mdv1 is therefore thought to play role in a later stage of mitochondrial fission (Tieu and Nunnari 2000). It turns out Mdv1 interacts with Fis1 and Dnm1 to catalyse the fission reaction, using the WD repeat domain to interact with Dnm1 (Tieu, Okreglak et al. 2002).

Mutations in genes required for fission result in extended networks of mitochondria that are unable to move adequately around the cell. This is particularly apparent in neurons, due to their elongated shape and high energy demands at dendritic and axonal synapses. Dominant negative mutations in Drp1 lead to microencephaly, optic atrophy and premature death in humans (Waterham, Koster et al. 2007). Drp1 mutant mice are embryonic lethal with undeveloped forebrains (Ishihara, Nomura et al. 2009). Primary neuronal cultures from these mice reveals an accumulation of large mitochondria at the cell body with few mitochondria in neurites and a loss of synapses (Ishihara, Nomura et al. 2009).

1.2.6.2 Transport

Mitochondrial transport occurs along the microtubule cytoskeleton. Kinesin motors drag mitochondria towards the plus end of microtubules, whereas dynein motors move toward the minus pole (in axons this corresponds to anterograde and retrograde respectively). Mutation in Milton and mitochondrial Rho (Miro) in *Drosophila* results in a loss of synaptic mitochondria, identifying these proteins as adapters, required to hold the mitochondria and kinesin motors together (Stowers, Megeath et al. 2002, Guo, Macleod et al. 2005).

Spatial distribution of neuronal mitochondria correlates with synaptic activity, with mitochondria accumulating at active synapses. Live imaging of the murine sensory neurons shows that neuronal stimulation increases the speed of anterograde transport while having no effect of retrograde movement (Sajic, Mastrolia et al. 2014). Calcium concentration can also control the distribution of mitochondria. Nodes of Ranvier which have a high energy demand and accumulate mitochondria also have high concentrations of Ca²⁺. Removal of Ca²⁺ results in the loss of mitochondria, suggesting that high Ca²⁺ causes stalling of mitochondria (Ohno, Kidd et al. 2011). Miro, which attaches mitochondria to kinesin motor proteins, contains two calcium-binding EF hand motifs (Fransson, Ruusala et al. 2003). Mutations in these EF hand motifs impairs Ca^{2+} dependant dissociation from kinesin motor proteins in vitro (MacAskill, Rinholm et al. 2009). Furthermore, transfection of neuronal cultures with EF hand Miro mutants selectively impairs mitochondrial stalling in response to neuronal activation (MacAskill, Rinholm et al. 2009). These data indicate that the EF hand of Miro mediates Ca^{2+} regulation of mitochondrial localisation in neurons by initiating dissociation from kinesin motors.

1.2.7 Mitochondrial Turnover

1.2.7.1 Mitophagy

Autophagy is a self-degradative process that removes misfolded proteins, damaged organelles and pathogens from within the cell. Mitophagy is a form of autophagy which selectively clears mitochondria. PTEN induced putative kinase 1, PINK1, is normally degraded in the mitochondrial matrix, however, when the membrane potential

decreases, PINK1 accumulates on the OMM. PINK1 phosphorylates Parkin, promoting its translocation to mitochondria and its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Kim, Park et al. 2008, Narendra, Tanaka et al. 2008, Sha, Chin et al. 2010). Parkin is then able to ubiquinate numerous OMM proteins, such as TOM and Porin targeting mitochondria for degradation. Parkin also ubiquitinates Mitofusins, resulting in fragmentation of dysfunctional mitochondria (Gegg, Cooper et al. 2010) and Miro, reducing transport of dysfunctional mitochondria (Liu, Sawada et al. 2012). These mechanisms were elucidated in vitro, however in vivo studies suggest that the role of PINK1 and Parkin may not be equivalent in vitro and in vivo (see Discussion 7.1.3). Mitophagy can also be stimulated in a PINK1/Parkin independent manner. HIF-1 α stabilisation and iron chelation have both been implicated in PINK1/Parkin independent mitophagy (Allen, Toth et al. 2013). Increased OXPHOS activity also induces increased mitophagy, which promotes energy efficiency and may protect against damage caused by increased ROS production (Mishra and Chan 2016). This is mediated by recruitment of the small GTPase Rheb, which interacts with regulators of autophagy (Melser, Chatelain et al. 2013).

1.2.7.2 Biogenesis

Mitochondrial biogenesis is not thought to occur *de novo*, but rather by expansion and division of existing mitochondria, in response to environmental factors. Physical activity is observed to correlate with increased OXPHOS activity and accumulation of mitochondria in muscle tissue (Holloszy 1967, Gollnick, Armstrong et al. 1972). Thyroid hormone is also associated with increased mitochondrial mass and enhanced synthesis of cytochrome c (Booth and Holloszy 1975). Adaptive thermogenesis, in which mammals increase their body heat when exposured to cold temperatures by ETC activity uncoupled from ATP production, also results in an increase in mitochondrial mass of 4° C allowed identification of the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ co-activator 1α , (PGC- 1α) (Puigserver, Wu et al. 1998).

Biogenesis of mitochondria must co-ordinated environmental signals with expression of mitochondrial proteins encoded in the nucleus and mitochondria themselves. 99.1% of mitochondrial genes are nuclear encoded, so the expression of the mitochondrial

proteome is mainly controlled by the nucleus (Hock and Kralli 2009). However, as mitochondria also respond to environmental stresses, there are also retrograde signals which allow cells to adjust to fluctuating demands on mitochondria (Woodson and Chory 2008). PGC-1 α responds to environmental factors and synchronises biogenesis by binding transcription factors such peroxisome proliferator –activated receptors (PPAR), estrogen related receptors (ERR) and nuclear respiratory factors (NRF) (

Figure 1.9) (Finck and Kelly 2007).





PGC-1α binds transcription factors PPARs, ERRs and NRF1 to increase expression of genes required for fatty acid oxidation and OXPHOS and to inhibit glucose oxidation. Figure from Finck and Kelly 2007 (Finck and Kelly 2007).

Nuclear respiratory factors, NRF1 and NRF2, were the first regulators identified in vertebrates as co-ordinators of nuclear encoded mitochondrial genes. NRF1 and NRF2 regulate gene expression of nuclear encoded OXPHOS complex subunits. They also control expression of nuclear genes involved in mitochondrial import, *TOM22*, and transcription of mtDNA, *TFAM* (Booth and Holloszy 1975). By regulating expression of nuclear encoded OXPHOS subunits and the expression of nuclear genes that regulate

mitochondrial gene expression, these factors are implicated in the co-ordination of the two genomes.

PGC-1 α and sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), a NAD⁺ dependant protein deacetylase, are known to control mitochondrial biogenesis by regulating expression of mitochondrial genes in the nucleus (Nemoto, Fergusson et al. 2005). However, PGC-1 α and SIRT1 have also been detected in mitochondria isolated from HeLa cells and murine organs, where they interact with TFAM. This suggests that they directly regulate levels of mtDNA encoded protein expression as well (Nemoto, Fergusson et al. 2005).

1.3 Mitochondrial damage in disease

Mitochondrial dysfunction is associated with a wide variety of diseases. Primary mitochondrial diseases are caused by mutations in genes within the mitochondrial genome or in nuclear genes that are required for mitochondrial function. Environmental damage to mitochondria can also lead to disease states. Clinical presentation of mitochondrial dysfunction is extremely varied, in the tissues affected and the effects on those tissues. This may reflect the multifunctional nature of mitochondria.

1.3.1 mtDNA diseases

The role of mtDNA in mitochondrial disease was first identified in 1988, when Holt et al., identified two populations of mtDNA in muscles of patients with mitochondrial myopathies (neuromuscular disorders), due to a 7kb deletion in a subset of muscle mtDNA (Holt, Harding et al. 1988).

Due to the nature of mtDNA, any damage, due to deletions or mutations, affects mitochondrial encoded OXPHOS subunits, or the translation of these proteins. However, the outcome of different deletions and mutations are heterogeneous (Table 1).

Disease	Cause	Symptoms	Tissues affected	
Pearson's	Large mtDNA	Anaemia and	Haematopoietic	
Syndrome	deletions	pancreatic dysfunction	cells	
Kearns-Sayre	Large mtDNA	Cerebellar ataxia,	Multi-system	
Syndrome	deletions	paralysis of muscles	disorder	
		that move the		
		eyeballs, droopy		
		eyelids and		
		retinopathy		
Progressive	Large mtDNA	Paralysis of muscles	Muscle	
external	deletions	that move the eyeballs		
ophthalmoplegia				
(PEO)				
Mitochondrial	Point mutation in	Stroke and paralysis.	Multi-system	
encephalopathy	mitochondrial	Death in childhood or	disorder,	
lactic acidosis,	tRNA ^{Leu} , also	adolescence	particularly	
and stroke-like	associated with		effecting the	
episodes	mutations in other		nervous system,	
	tRNA and			

Table 1. Causes and symptoms of the most common mtDNA diseases.

Disease	Cause	Symptoms	Tissues affected
syndrome	mitochondrial		muscles and
(MELAS)	encoded proteins		endocrine system
Myoclonus	Point mutations in	Epilepsy and excess	Multi-system
epilepsy and	tRNA ^{Lys}	succinate	disorder,
ragged-red fibres		dehydrogenase in	particularly
(MERRF)		muscle tissue (ragged	effecting muscles
		red fibres)	and the nervous
			system
Leber's hereditary	Homoplasmic	Loss of vision, mostly	Retinal ganglion
optic neuropathy	point mutations in	in males	cells
(LHON)	complex I		
	subunits ND1,		
	ND4 and ND6		
Leigh syndrome	Predominantly	Developmental delay,	Multi-system
	caused by nuclear	respiratory problems,	disorder with
	mutations, but	lesions in the basal	particularly strong
	also can be caused	ganglia and brainstem	effects on the
	by mutations in a	and premature death	nervous system
	complex V		
	subunit, ATP6		

Pioneering work is currently being carried out in Newcastle, to develop mitochondria replacement therapy. This therapy involves donation of a zygote containing healthy mtDNA from a third party, with nuclear material removed. Pronuclear DNA from the fertilised egg of a woman with mtDNA problems is then fused with the healthy zygote. This aims to eliminate inheritance of mtDNA damage from mother to child. In practice the transfer of mtDNA is reduced to <2%, so the risk of mtDNA disease is greatly reduced although not completely eliminated (Hyslop, Blakeley et al. 2016).

1.3.2 Mitochondrial disease caused by nuclear mutations

Nuclear mutations in mitochondrial proteins can also cause deficits in OXPHOS subunits or assembly of OXPHOS complexes. The single most common cause of Leigh syndrome is mutations in the complex IV assembly factor *Surf1* (Lee, El-Hattab et al. 2012).

Nuclear mutations can also result in damaged maintenance of mtDNA. This can be caused by mutations in the nuclear genes required for the mitochondrial replisome, such as *Pol* γ and *Twinkle*, or mutations in nuclear genes required for the supply of deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) for replication of mtDNA (Copeland 2008).

Mitochondrial processes other than OXPHOS can also be affected by nuclear mutations, for example fission and fusion. Dominant *OPA1* mutations, impairing fusion, in patients, leads to reduced mitochondrial mass and mtDNA, caused by increased fragmentation and mitophagy (Dombi, Diot et al. 2016). Nuclear mutations disrupting fission have also been reported to cause mitochondrial disease. Mutations in *STAT2*, an immune response gene that has been shown to activate Drp1, caused severe neurological impairments in patients (Shahni, Cale et al. 2015).

Dysfunction of mitochondrial dynamics has also been implicated in neurodegenerative disorders. Mutations in *KIF1B*, a member of the kinesin motor superfamily, required for mitochondrial transport, is associated with the neurodegenerative disease Charcot-Marie-Tooth (Jani-Acsadi, Krajewski et al. 2008). Clinically, this presents as muscle weakness, sensory loss and atrophy of the hands.

1.3.3 Neurodegenerative disease and mitochondrial dysfunction

Most neurodegenerative diseases are complex disorders with multiple causes influenced by genetic and environmental factors (Ballard, Gauthier et al. 2011, A. Armstrong 2013, Ramanan and Saykin 2013, Kalia and Lang 2015). Discussion of all of these factors is beyond the scope of this thesis, so I will focus on the role of mitochondrial dysfunction in neurodegenerative disorders, which is increasingly investigated and accepted (Lezi and Swerdlow 2012). Mitochondrial dysfunction is considered by some researchers to be a common pathway of several neurodegenerative disorders (Lezi and Swerdlow 2012).

Parkinson's disease (PD) is characterised pathologically by a loss of dopamine releasing neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta and the formation of intracellular Lewy bodies (aggregates of α -synuclein often coated in ubiquitin). A causative role of mitochondrial dysfunction was first suggested by Langston from the study of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP), a synthetic drug which gave users Parkinson's disease symptoms (Langston, Ballard et al. 1983). The active form of MPTP (1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium, MPP+), was found to inhibit complex I activity (Murphy, Krueger et al. 1995) and decrease mtDNA by selectively decreasing mtDNA replication (Miyako, Irie et al. 1999). Complex I deficiencies in PD were first identified

51

by Shapira et al., in post mortem brain tissue (Schapira, Cooper et al. 1989:Schapira, 1990 #1068) and were also identified in idiopathic PD patients platelets (Parker, Boyson et al. 1989). Inhibiting complex I activity in cell culture also increases aggregates of α -synuclein (Lee, Shin et al. 2002). Cytoplasmic hybrids containing mtDNA from PD patient cells in a human neuroblastoma cell line, show complex I deficiencies and increased ROS production (Swerdlow, Parks et al. 1996). We recently showed that complex I activity is also impaired and mtDNA is lost in the frontal cortex of patients with Parkinson's disease dementia (PDD), compared to aged matched controls and patients with Parkinson's disease who have not developed dementia (Gatt, Duncan et al. 2016). This indicates that dementia in these patients may be linked to a spread of mitochondrial dysfunction throughout the brain.

Most cases of PD arise spontaneously, however, genetic studies of familial forms of PD have linked several genes to the disorder, including *Leucine- Rich Repeat Kinase 2* (*LRRK2*), *Pten-Induced Putative Kinase 1* (*PINK1*), *Parkin* and *DJ-1* (Xiong, Wang et al. 2009). LRRK2 has been shown to modulate mitochondrial vulnerability as it improves viability of *C. elegans* in the presence of a complex I inhibitor (Saha, Guillily et al. 2009), and also may play a role as a negative regulator of mitophagy (Alegre-Abarrategui, Christian et al. 2009). PINK1 and Parkin, initiate mitophagy of depolarised mitochondria (see 1.2.7.1). This evidence suggests a pivotal role of altered mitophagy in the mitochondrial pathology of PD. DJ-1 acts in response to oxidative stress. Mutations in *DJ-1* genes in *Drosophila* causes increased sensitivity to paraquat, motor impairments and reduced lifespan (Park, Kim et al. 2005, Lavara-Culebras and Paricio 2007).

Mitochondrial dysfunction is also a very early sign of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and has been detected even before neurofibrillary tangles develop. Mitochondrial diameter and surface area are reduced and morphology altered in AD patients (Baloyannis, Costa et al. 2004), as well as reduced mitochondrial biogenesis (Sheng, Wang et al. 2012) and loss of mitochondria in dendritic spines (Baloyannis 2006). Deficiencies in complex I, IV and V are also reported in AD patients compared to controls (Maurer, Zierz et al. 2000, Manczak, Park et al. 2004). Complex IV deficits in platelets from AD patients are accompanied by decrease ATP production and increased ROS (Cardoso, Proença et al. 2004). Increased levels of oxidative damage to mitochondrial and nuclear DNA has been reported in brain tissue of AD patients (Wang, Xiong et al. 2005:Mecocci, 1994 #1096). The amyloid- β plaques, characteristic of AD, have been reported to contribute to increased ROS production by impairing mitochondrial dynamics, leading to mitochondrial fragmentation (Wang, Su et al. 2008). ROS have also been reported to contribute to amyloid- β aggregation, as increased ROS exacerbates amyloid- β formation in a mouse model of AD (mutant for the amyloid precursor protein) (Karuppagounder, Xu et al. 2009).

Huntington's disease (HD) is known to be caused by expanded CAG repeats in the *Htt* gene on chromosome 4, encoding the Huntingtin protein. PET scans consistently show HD patients have reduced levels of glucose metabolism and NMR studies revealed increased levels of lactate (Jenkins, Koroshetz et al. 1993). Further evidence linking mitochondrial dysfunction to HD, comes from post mortem tissue, where levels of complex II and III are seen to have dropped in the basal ganglia of HD patients (Browne, Bowling et al. 1997).

1.3.3.1 Current treatments for AD and PD

Currently treatments for AD and PD reduce the symptoms of the diseases, but do not provide neuroprotection or impair disease progression.

Impairments in glutamatergic and cholinergic signalling in the AD brain have led to the development of five FDA approved drugs: four cholinesterase inhibitors, Tacrine, Donepenzil, Rivastigmine, Galantamine and a N-methy-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist, Memantine. Combined treatment with Memantine and a cholinesterase inhibitors provides greater benefit than the individual drugs alone (Parsons, Danysz et al. 2013).

PD is characterised by a dramatic loss of dopaminergic neurons in the basal ganglia, which results in the motor symptoms of the disease. Therapeutic strategies have therefore mainly been focussed on dopamine replacement. L-3,4-Dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-Dopa) has been used as an anti-Parkinsonian agent since 1968 (Cotzias 1968). L-dopa is a precursor to dopamine, and is effective at treating PD motor symptoms. However, it results in acute phasic dopamine stimulation, which over time sensitises the dopaminergic system causing motor side-effects of involuntary movements (dyskinesia). Other pharmaceuticals provide a more tonic release of dopamine, such as pramipexole, ropinirole and pergolide.

In order to limit disease progression, a number of drugs which protect dopaminergic neurons are being investigated. These include monoamine oxidase-B (MAO-B) inhibitors, anti-apoptotic drugs, growth factors, calcium channel blockers, glutamate antagonists and promitochondrial agents (coenzyme Q10, creatine). Trials of the MAO-B inhibitor, selegiline, and the promitochondrial drug, coenzyme Q10, have so far shown positive signs in reducing progression of motor symptoms (Shoulson, Oakes et al. 2002, Storch, Jost et al. 2007).

1.3.4 Animal models of mitochondrial dysfunction

Furthering our understanding of mitochondrial dysfunction and its consequences will allow for the development of more effective treatments for mitochondrial disease and hopefully facilitate therapies that target disease causes rather than just symptoms. To do this it is important to have *in vivo* models of mitochondrial dysfunction, as the activity of mitochondria, redox state, ATP production and membrane potential change with the physiological environment (Murphy 2009). Murine and *Drosophila* models of mtDNA loss and individual OXPHOS complex dysfunction are summarised in Table 2.

Organism	Gene	Phenotype	Reference
Fly	Pol γ-α	ubiquitous and muscle	(Lefai, Calleja et al.
	overexpression	overexpression -lethality	2000)
Fly	<i>Pol</i> γ-α RNAi	ubiquitous - lethality	(Humphrey, Parsons et
		neuronal - age-related motor	al. 2012).
		deficits, DA neuron	
		degeneration	
Fly	<i>Pol</i> γ - β mutant	loss of mtDNA, impaired cell	(Iyengar, Luo et al.
		proliferation in the CNS,	2002)
		pupal lethality	
Fly	mito-XhoI	ubiquitous- embryonic	(Xu, DeLuca et al.
	mtDNA	lethality	2008) (Cagin, Duncan
	linearisation	neuronal- pupal lethal,	et al. 2015)
		synaptic mitochondria loss	
Fly	ATP6 point	reduced lifespan,	(Celotto, Frank et al.
	mutation	neuromuscular degeneration,	2006)
		conditional paralysis	

 Table 2. Drosophila and mouse models of mtDNA loss and OXPHOS complex dysfunction

Organism	Gene	Phenotype	Reference
Fly	<i>tko^{25t}</i> point mutation	developmental delay, hearing impairments, conditional paralysis	(Toivonen, O'Dell et al. 2001)
Fly	COX6A point mutation	reduced lifespan, age-related COX activity and ATP reduction, conditional paralysis and neurodegeneration	(Liu, Gnanasambandam et al. 2007)
Fly	COX7A RNAi expressed neuronally	conditional paralysis and locomotor impairments	(Kemppainen, Rinne et al. 2014)
Fly	<i>Surf1</i> RNAi	ubiquitous- larval lethality neuronal-increased lifespan and locomotor impairments	(Dell'agnello, Leo et al. 2007)
Mouse	<i>Twinkle</i> mutation 'deletor mice'	progressive ETC deficits in muscles and neurons	(Tyynismaa, Mjosund et al. 2005)
Mouse	<i>Pol</i> γ point mutation 'mutator mice'	ageing phenotypes e.g. hair and weight loss, anaemia, reduced fertility, reduced lifespan	(Trifunovic, Hansson et al. 2005)
Mouse	mtDNA from aged mice 'mito-mice'	reduced lifespan, increased lactate, kidney failure	(Inoue, Nakada et al. 2000)
Mouse	<i>TFAM</i> knockout	ubiquitous- embryonic lethality DA neuron- progressive DA neurodegeneration, mtDNA loss and OXPHOS impairments	(Larsson, Wang et al. 1998) (Ekstrand, Terzioglu et al. 2007)
Mouse	<i>mito-PstI</i> induced mtDNA loss	neuronal- motor impairments DA neurons- progressive DA neurodegeneration and motor impairments	(Fukui and Moraes 2009) (Pickrell, Pinto et al. 2011)
Mouse	ATP5A1 mutant	homozygous- lethal heterozygous- weight loss, abnormal albumin level in serum	(White, Gerdin et al. 2013)
Mouse	ATPAF2 mutant	homozygous- lethal heterozygous- abnormal vertebrate morphology	(White, Gerdin et al. 2013)
Mouse	<i>NdufS5</i> knockout	ubiquitous and neuronal- reduced CI levels, growth and motor impairments, increased lactate and death at 7 weeks	(Kruse, Watt et al. 2008) (Quintana, Kruse et al. 2010)

1.3.4.1 Animal models of mtDNA loss and damage

Models of mtDNA loss and dysfunction have been developed by targeting proteins in the mtDNA replisome, Twinkle and DNA Pol γ . Mice with a mutation in *Twinkle* homologous to patients with PEO, are called 'deletor' mice as they accrue multiple deletions in mtDNA. These mice develop progressive ETC deficits in muscles and specific subsets of neurons (Tyynismaa, Mjosund et al. 2005).

In *Drosophila*, mtDNA loss has been stimulated by overexpression of the catalytic subunit of Pol γ (*Pol* γ - α , also known as *tamas*), as overexpression interrupts the process of replication (Lefai, Calleja et al. 2000). Ubiquitous and muscle specific overexpression is pupal lethal, whereas neuronal overexpression of *Pol* γ - α is not lethal, but does increase adult mortality (Lefai, Calleja et al. 2000). Neuronal expression of *Pol* γ - α RNAi, which results in decreased levels of mtDNA encoded OXPHOS complex subunits, has also been used to study neurodegeneration (Humphrey, Parsons et al. 2012). Expression of *Pol* γ - α RNAi in cholinergic neurons caused impaired climbing ability, without neuronal loss. However, *Pol* γ - α knockdown in dopaminergic neurons caused neurodegeneration as well as climbing impairments. This suggests a particular sensitivity of DA neurons to cell death when mitochondria are dysfunctional (Humphrey, Parsons et al. 2012).

A 'knock-in' point mutation in murine *Pol* γ , which inactivates Pol γ 's proof reading ability, causes increased mtDNA mutagenesis. These 'mutator' mice display phenotypes of premature ageing such as hair and weight loss, anaemia and reduced fertility and lifespan, not seen in the deletor mice. This suggests that ageing is caused by accumulation of mtDNA mutations, as the free radical theory of ageing predicted (see 1.2.4.1). Whether these changes are accompanied by increased ROS has been difficult to elucidate. *Ex vivo* analysis of ROS and antioxidant enzyme levels show no change in mutator mice compared to control (Trifunovic, Hansson et al. 2005). Measurements of mitochondrial ROS *in vivo*, using a mitochondrially targeted mass spectrometry probe, reveal that there is an increase of H₂O₂ in aged mutator mice (Logan, Shabalina et al. 2014). The relevance of this model to human ageing has also been questioned, as mutations in these mice occur throughout development, and the levels of mtDNA mutations are much higher than observed in humans (Vermulst, Bielas et al. 2007). These mutator mice have, however, been used to inform on inheritance of mtDNA mutations. Mutations in protein coding areas (which may have strong phenotypes) are strongly selected against in the oocyte, resulting in many tRNA mutations (which may have milder phenotypes) being passed on to the next generation (Stewart, Freyer et al. 2008). This may explain why tRNA mutations are relatively abundant in human mtDNA disease (Tyynismaa and Suomalainen 2009).

The role of mitochondria in ageing has also been explored with the 'mito-mice' model. This model was created from mitochondria isolated from old mice, fused to a cell line lacking mitochondria. Cybrids were screened for respiratory deficiencies to select a cybrid with a mtDNA deletion, which was then fused with a fertilised egg (Inoue, Nakada et al. 2000). These mice showed increased levels of lactate in their blood, renal failure and shortened lifespans. Kidney failure is not normally associated with mitochondrial disorders, however, this work suggests that human renal failure with unknown cause may be due to mtDNA mutations (Inoue, Nakada et al. 2000).

Loss of mtDNA has been modelled in mice using knockout of *TFAM*. Specific knockout of *TFAM* in the dopaminergic (DA) neurons causes adult onset, progressive, parkinsonian phenotypes: 'mito-park' mice (Ekstrand, Terzioglu et al. 2007). The midbrain DA neurons have reduced mtDNA levels, OXPHOS deficits and intracellular inclusions, which lead to DA neuronal death (Ekstrand, Terzioglu et al. 2007). mtDNA loss in DA neurons of mice to model PD has also been achieved with a mitochondrially targeted restriction enzyme, *mito-PstI*. This model also results in progressive loss of DA neurons and motor phenotypes, which are reversed by L-Dopa administration (Pickrell, Pinto et al. 2011).

Expression of a mitochondrially targeted restriction enzyme, *mito-XhoI*, has also been used in *Drosophila* to create an *in vivo* model of mitochondrial dysfunction (Xu, DeLuca et al. 2008). *Mito-XhoI* linearises mtDNA by creating a single cut in *COXI*, a mitochondrially encoded subunit of complex IV. Ubiquitous expression of *mito-XhoI* is embryonic lethal and expression solely in motor neurons lead to synaptic loss of mitochondria and late pupal lethality (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015).

An alternative method of reducing mtDNA gene expression is to target translation, by mutating mitochondrial ribosomes. A viable mutation in the *Drosophila* gene for mitochondrial ribosomal protein S12, *tko*, results in a \approx 30% decrease in the activity of

complex I, III and IV (Toivonen, O'Dell et al. 2001). The *tko*^{25t} mutation also results in bang sensitivity, developmental delay, male courtship impairments and a severe hearing deficiency. Mutations in mitochondrial rRNAs and tRNAs have also been associated with deafness in humans (Jacobs 1997).

1.3.4.2 Animal models targeting individual OXPHOS complex subunits

As well as models of mtDNA damage, there are also several *Drosophila* and mouse models that target individual OXPHOS subunits. A point mutation in the *ATP6* subunit of complex V causes reduced lifespan, conditional paralysis to mechanical stress and neuromuscular degeneration in *Drosophila* (Celotto, Frank et al. 2006). The mutation induced altered morphology of the IMM and reduced ATPsynthase activity. Conversely, total respiration of these animals was no different from control, which perhaps indicates that respiration is uncoupled in these flies. Mutations in the nuclear encoded *COX6A* (also known as levy) subunit of complex IV in *Drosophila* causes reduced lifespan and age related COX activity impairments, ATP loss, bang sensitivity and neurodegeneration (Liu, Gnanasambandam et al. 2007).

RNAi knockdown of individual mitochondrial subunits has also been used to study mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila*. The Jacobs lab knocked down complex IV subunits (*COX4, COX5A, COX5B, COX6A, COX6B, COX6C, COX7A*) with RNAi, in order to investigate whether OXPHOS dysfunction in these flies could be rescued by alternative oxidase (AOX) (Kemppainen, Rinne et al. 2014). AOX acts as a non-proton-pumping respiratory chain protein in lower eukaryotes. Neuronal knockdown of *COX7A* caused adult locomotion deficits and a seizure-sensitive phenotype (Kemppainen, Rinne et al. 2014). RNAi knockdown of *Surf1*, a complex IV assembly protein, has been used in *Drosophila* to model Leigh syndrome. Ubiquitous knockdown of *Surf1* causes larval lethality. Expression of *Surf1* RNAi with the post-mitotic neuronal driver *elav-Gal4* actually increased the lifespan of the flies, however this was accompanied by locomotor and photobehaviour impairments. Increased longevity is also observed in *Surf1* knockout mice (Dell'agnello, Leo et al. 2007).

Ubiquitous knockout of the complex I subunit *Ndufs4* in mice results in reduced levels of complex I, indicating a role for this subunit in assembly or stabilisation of the

complex. Mice had growth impairments and after 5 weeks developed motor impairments, blindness and elevated lactate levels in the serum, dying prematurely at 7 weeks (Kruse, Watt et al. 2008). Knockout of *Ndufs4* in glia and neurons alone produced the same phenotypes, suggesting that the phenotypes are mainly due to dysfunction in these cell types (Quintana, Kruse et al. 2010). The phenotypes observed were delayed by administration of rapamycin, the mTOR inhibitor (Johnson, Yanos et al. 2013). Exposure to hypoxic conditions also was able to ameliorate the phenotypes, without rescuing complex I activity (Jain, Zazzeron et al. 2016). Two mouse models with complex V mutations (in *ATP5A1*, CV F₁ domain subunit, and *ATPAF2*, CV assembly factor) have also been made and characterised in the genome-wide Sanger Institute mouse genetics project (White, Gerdin et al. 2013). These mice are homozygous lethal, but heterozygous viable.

Microarray analysis of *Drosophila* S2 cells with knock-down of the *COX5A* subunit of complex IV reveals changes in transcriptional activity and a switch to glycolytic processes in these cells compared to control (Freije, Mandal et al. 2012).

1.4 Mitochondrial retrograde signalling

Mitochondrial retrograde signalling is the process by which mitochondria communicate information about their function to the nucleus, inducing transcriptional changes (Liu and Butow 2006).

1.4.1 Discovery of retrograde signalling in yeast

The mitochondrial retrograde response was first identified in yeast, when gene expression between yeast strains with varying levels of mtDNA was compared (mtDNA p^0 petites with no mtDNA and hyper-suppressive p^- petites with only 700bp of mtDNA) (Parikh, Morgan et al. 1987). Transcripts of nuclear encoded genes were altered not only between the two petite strains and the respiratory competent parent, but they were also different between the two petites, which were otherwise phenotypically identical (Parikh, Morgan et al. 1987). This showed that yeast are able to modulate nuclear gene transcription depending on the quality and quantity of mtDNA, even in the absence of oxidative respiration.

Peroxisomal citrate synthase, CIT2, was identified as highly upregulated in yeast lacking mtDNA, or treated with a drug to inhibit respiration (Liao, Small et al. 1991). Analysis of the 5' end of CIT2 revealed an upstream activating sequence (UAS) required for this upregulation, the R-box, which has been used to identify regulators of this pathway (Liu and Butow 2006).

The pathway in yeast is mediated by three Rtg proteins. Rtg2p is a cytoplasmic protein with an ATP binding domain. Rtg2p responds to low levels of glutamate and glutamine by inhibiting two other cytoplasmic proteins, Mks1p and Lst8p. These proteins phosphorylate Rtg3p and inhibit Rtg3p and Rtg1p translocating to the nucleus. When the pathway is activated, and Mks1p and Lst8p activity is inhibited, Rtg1p and Rtg3p are able to translocate to the nucleus and form a heterodimer. Rtg1p and Rtg3p are basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper proteins (bHLH/Zip) which bind to the R box motif to regulate gene expression (Figure 1.10). This pathway is regulated directly by levels of glutamate and glutamine within the cell, by external levels sensed by the SPS amino acid sensing pathway and by the intracellular nutrient sensing mechanistic target of

rapamycin (mTOR) complexes. Activation of the pathway leads to expression of proteins such as peroxisomal citrate synthase and lactate dehydrogenase, which act to increase levels of glutamine and glutamate, and switch the pathway off (Burns, Grimwade et al. 1994).



Figure 1.10 The mitochondrial retrograde response in yeast Positive regulators are shown in blue and negative regulators in red. Adapted from Liu and Butow 2006 (Liu and Butow 2006)

1.4.2 Retrograde signalling in multicellular organisms

Retrograde signalling was first observed in vertebrates when nuclear gene expression in chicken cells was found to be different in cells without mtDNA compared to parent cells that contain mtDNA (Wang and Morais 1997). Although the *process* of retrograde

signalling is conserved from yeast to humans, the molecular mechanisms and proteins involved are not. This is unsurprising given that yeast are single cell organisms that are able to live on non-fermentable and fermentable carbon sources, choosing to use anaerobic respiration when possible (see Introduction 1.1.2). Some regulators of the yeast retrograde response do also play a role in multicellular organisms, such as mTOR. Various signalling pathways have been implicated in multicellular organisms (Figure 1.11), however there is still much we do not know about these processes.



Figure 1.11. Retrograde response pathways identified in multicellular organisms. Changes in metabolites (such decreased ATP), increased ROS production and cytosolic accumulation of Ca^{2+} ions, have all been implicated in the retrograde response in multicellular organisms. These initiate signalling pathways that regulate gene expression. Figure from Quiros et el., 2016 (Quiros, Mottis et al. 2016).

1.4.2.1 Calcium mediated mitochondrial retrograde signalling

As previously discussed (see Introduction 1.2.5.2), Ca²⁺ is sequestered into the mitochondrial matrix by the negative membrane potential. Depolarisation of dysfunctional mitochondria therefore results in accumulation of cytosolic Ca²⁺. This process was observed in cell culture when treating murine skeletal myocytes cells with ethidium bromide (EtBr, which causes mtDNA loss) and carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP, a chemical inhibitor and uncoupler of OXPHOS)

(Biswas, Adebanjo et al. 1999) and a human lung carcinoma cell line with EtBr (Amuthan, Biswas et al. 2002).

Build-up of cytosolic Ca²⁺ activates several signalling pathways, which all alter transcriptional regulation, increasing expression of genes involved in Ca²⁺ storage and transport, as well as glycolytic genes (Figure 1.11). Ca²⁺ activates calcineurin which dephosphorylates inhibitor of the nuclear factor- $\kappa\beta$ (I κ B β). I κ B β normally inhibits nuclear translocation of nuclear factor- κ (Nf- κ B), so inhibition of I κ B β results in activation and nuclear translocation of Nf- κ B with its Rel active factor (Biswas, Anandatheerthavarada et al. 2003).

The increased Ca²⁺ concentration also activates several Ca²⁺-regulated kinases, such as protein kinase C (PKC), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), p38 mitogen activated kinases (MAPK) and calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type IV (CAMKIV), which in turn activate transcription factors. Rat PC12 cells treated with carbonyl cyanide trifluoro-methoxyphenyl hydrazone (FCCP, uncoupler of OXPHOS), show an increase in cytosolic Ca²⁺, decrease in ATP levels and an increased activated of MAPKs, ERK1 and ERK2 (Luo, Bond et al. 1997). Interestingly ERK1 and ERK2 were not activated by comparable Ca²⁺ concentrations released from the ER by caffeine stimulation (Luo, Bond et al. 1997).

1.4.2.2 ROS mediated mitochondrial retrograde signalling

Increased levels of ROS, caused by mitochondrial dysfunction, also regulates the activity of transcription factors (Figure 1.11). A systems biology approach, using data from muscle tissue from people with mtDNA disease, has led to the identification of a retrograde pathway in which ROS activates the biogenesis regulator PGC-1 α via JNK (Chae, Ahn et al. 2013). Mice on a high fat diet have increased ROS production that is further increased by knockdown of the protein deglycase, DJ-1. In these mice an upstream regulator of PGC-1 α , AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is activated (Shi, Lu et al. 2015). Taken together, this suggests that ROS upregulation promotes mitochondrial biogenesis via retrograde signalling. PGC-1 α also regulates antioxidant gene expression to buffer ROS levels, in murine skeletal cells (Baldelli, Aquilano et al. 2014).

AMPK is known to regulate glycolytic processes and was also shown to mediate the increase in glycolysis also observed in DJ1 knockdown mice on a high fat diet (Shi, Lu et al. 2015). Glycolysis has also been shown to be regulated by HIF. HIF-1 α is activated by increased ROS resulting from mitochondrial dysfunction in *C. elegans* (Miyadera, Amino et al. 2001). However, glycolysis in the DJ-1 knockdown mice on a high fat diet, was not effected by HIF-1 α siRNA, so is presumably is independent of HIF signalling (Shi, Lu et al. 2015).

As well as affecting transcription factor activity, evidence shows that ROS can also regulate epigenetic control of gene expression. In mouse cell lines, treatment with H₂O₂ results in epigenetic changes in DNA methylation, because areas of DNA that are damaged recruit DNA methyltransferase and SIRT1(O'Hagan, Wang et al. 2011). A signalling pathway that senses only mitochondrial ROS has been identified from microarrays of yeast with increased mitochondrial ROS. This pathway inhibits the activity of the histone demethylase Rph1p, enhancing transcriptional silencing, particularly at subtelomeric regions (Schroeder, Raimundo et al. 2013).

Increased ROS has also been associated with activation of the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR^{mt}).

1.4.2.3 The mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR^{mt})

Stress that interferes with correct protein folding leads to an unfolded protein response (UPR) in the effected cellular compartment, be it the cytosol, ER or mitochondria. In order to restore protein homeostasis, these pathways initiate upregulation of chaperone gene expression and, in the case of UPR^{ER} and UPR^{mt}, impose a general inhibition of translation (Runkel, Liu et al. 2013).

In normal conditions, proteins targeted to the mitochondrial matrix traverse the mitochondrial membranes through TOM and TIM. On entering the mitochondrial matrix, the mitochondrial targeting sequence is cleaved and the protein folded by mitochondrial chaperones, such as heat shock proteins Hsp60 and mtHsp70 (Haynes, Fiorese et al. 2013). Mitochondrial dysfunction impairs mitochondrial protein import,

due to loss of membrane potential, and mitochondrial chaperone depletion. Impairments in mitochondrial import has therefore been proposed as a trigger for the UPR^{mt}. Import of activating transcription factor associated with stress-1 (ATFS-1) has been identified as key for initiating the UPR^{mt} in *C. elegans* (Figure 1.12) (Nargund, Pellegrino et al. 2012). Deletion of ATFS-1's mitochondrial targeting sequence (MTS) in *C. elegans*, in the absence of mitochondrial dysfunction, was sufficient to activate the UPR^{mt} (Nargund, Pellegrino et al. 2012).



Figure 1.12 The role of ATFS-1 in UPR^{mt}

ATFS-1 is imported into the mitochondrial matrix (blue) due to its MTS, where it is degraded by the protease, Lon. When mitochondria become dysfunctional and depolarised (pink), import is impaired and ATFS-1 can no longer translocate into the mitochondria. If chaperone capacity is exceeded by quantity of unfolded proteins, then import is also impaired due to peptide efflux from the ATP-binding cassette transporter, HAF-1. ATFS-1 also contains a nuclear localisation sequence (NLS), so when mitochondrial import is impaired, ATFS-1 localises to the nucleus where it can activate transcription. Figure from Haynes et al., 2013(Haynes, Fiorese et al. 2013).

UPR^{mt} can also be activated by 'mitonuclear protein imbalance'. This describes an imbalance between levels of nuclear and mitochondrial encoded OXPHOS subunits. Knockdown of mitochondrial ribosomal protein *mrps-5* in *C. elegans*, results in a loss of mtDNA encoded OXPHOS subunits and increased expression of UPR^{mt} upregulated chaperones, *hsp6* and *hsp60* (Houtkooper, Mouchiroud et al. 2013).

One of the major outcomes of the UPR^{ER}, is reduced translation, mediated by phosphorylation of the translation initiator eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (eIF2 α) (Harding, Zhang et al. 1999). This reduces the burden of unfolded proteins on the ER chaperones. A similar inhibition of cytosolic translation is observed in *C. elegans* mutant for a mitochondrial protein kinase, *clk-1* (Baker, Nargund et al. 2012). Phosphorylation of eIF2 α in conditions of mitochondrial stress is mediated by the kinase general control non-derepressible-2 (Gcn-2) (Baker, Nargund et al. 2012). This results in a general inhibition of translation as well as increased translation of specific mRNAs, with small upstream open reading frames, such as activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) in mammals and general control non-derepressible-4 (Gcn4) in yeast (Dever, Feng et al. 1992, Vattem and Wek 2004).

C. elegans with combined loss of *GCN-2* and *ATFS-1* are more sensitive to mitochondrial dysfunction than those with loss of only one of these genes (Baker, Nargund et al. 2012). This suggests that these two arms of the UPR^{mt} act in parallel with each other.

1.4.3 The mitochondrial retrograde response in neurons

The retrograde response to mitochondrial dysfunction has been little studied in neurons. The neuronal retrograde response is particularly important when considering neurodegenerative diseases associated with mitochondrial dysfunction (see Introduction 1.3.3). Previously, this has been addressed by the Bateman lab by modelling mitochondrial dysfunction in the nervous system of *Drosophila*. Microarray analysis of two neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction models (overexpressing *TFAM* and knockdown of a complex V subunit, *ATPsynCf6*), suggested an upregulation in glycolytic processes and a downregulation in global protein translation (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). These processes have also been identified in studies of other tissue types, however, further study is required to elucidate the mechanisms of these responses in neurons.

1.5 Premise of this thesis

Mitochondrial dysfunction causes human disease. Neurons are often affected due to their high energy demand and so mitochondrial dysfunction is particularly associated with neurodegenerative disease, such as AD and PD. Current treatment for these diseases target the symptoms, but there is limited inhibition of disease pathology. Mitochondria are a good therapeutic target, as mitochondrial dysfunction is a common feature of many neurodegenerative disease, and contributes to the disease mechanism. It is known that cells respond to mitochondrial damage, however, these processes are particularly poorly understood in neurons. I therefore hypothesise that furthering our knowledge of the neuronal response to mitochondrial dysfunction will allow strategies to enhance beneficial responses and to block negative pathways, leading to potential therapeutic use.

In this thesis, I aim to address this hypothesis with two main objectives.

Firstly, we need a greater understanding of the neuronal response to different mitochondrial insults: what responses are common and unique? To do this I will-

- 1. Characterise the mitochondrial dysfunction and cellular changes in neurons with different mitochondrial insults.
- 2. Identify molecular pathways that are altered in these conditions.
- 3. Manipulate these pathways to evaluate the effects in the different mitochondrial dysfunction models.

Secondly, I aim to identify novel regulators of the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction. To achieve this, I will utilise the strengths of *Drosophila* as a model organism to-

- 1. Carry out a genetic screen to identify genes that are able to modulate phenotypes caused by mitochondrial dysfunction.
- 2. Evaluate hits from this screen in the nervous system.
- 3. Identify molecular pathways that these genes are part of that may be involved in the neuronal response to mitochondrial dysfunction.

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Kits:

Absolutely RNA Microprep kit (Agilent Technologies, Strategene) Nugen Ovation V2 kit (NuGEN Technologies Inc.) DNaseI Amplification Grade kit (Sigma-Aldrich) First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas)

2.1.2 Antibodies and dyes:

Primary antibodies

Dcp1 (rabbit, 1/200, Cell Signalling), Wingless (mouse, 1/200, DSHB), DAPI (in Vectashield mounting medium, Vector Laboratories), Yan 8B12H9 (mouse, 1/200, DSHB), Phospho-Erk1/2 (rabbit, 1/200, Cell Signalling)

Secondary antibodies

AlexaFlour 488, AlexaFlour 594, AlexaFlour 633 (1/1000, Invitrogen)

Conjugated antibodies

HRP-Cy3 (goat, 1/1000, Stratech 123-605-021-JIR), HRP-Alexa Fluor 647 (goat, 1/1000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories)

2.1.3 Fly stocks

In order to target genetic manipulations to specific tissues, the UAS-Gal4 system was utilised. Yeast transcriptional activator, Gal4, was expressed under different promoters, depending on the tissue or cell type of interest in each assay. The Gal4 binds to the yeast upstream activator sequence (UAS) driving transcription of the gene of interest. In some stocks, the Gal4 inhibitor, Gal80, was also used to inhibit transcription of the specified gene and to maintain a healthy stock. Gal80 acts by binding to Gal4 and therefore physically inhibiting it from binding to the UAS.

Background strain

*w*¹¹¹⁸ (Bloomington, 6326)

Gal4 driver lines

Ok371-Gal4 (Bloomington, 26160) – VGLUT- expressed in glutamatergic neurons
D42-Gal4 (Bloomington, 8816) – Toll-6- expressed in motor neurons and peripheral
sensory neurons
tub-Gal80^{ts} (Bloomington, 7018) – Tubulin - expressed ubiquitously (temperature
sensitive Gal80
tub-Gal4 (Bloomington, 5138) – Tubulin - expressed ubiquitously
nSyb-Gal4 (Sousa-Nunes lab) – synaptobrevin- expressed in post mitotic neurons
MS1096-Gal4 (Sally Leevers lab) – Beadex- expressed in the dorsal compartment of the
wing
OK6-Gal4 (Sweeney lab) – Rapgap 1 -expressed in motor neurons
c380-Gal4 (Sweeney lab) – futsch- expressed in motor neurons and peripheral neurons
Da-Gal4 (Perrin, Bloyer et al. 2003) – daughterless- expressed ubiquitously

UAS stocks

UAS-Dcr2 (Bloomington, 24648), *UAS-ATPsynCf6* dsRNA (VDRC, CG4412, 107826/KK), *UAS-ND-75^{HMS00853}* dsRNA (Bloomington, CG2286, 33910), *UAS-UQCR-14* dsRNA (VDRC, CG3560, 109542/KK), *UAS-COX5B* dsRNA (VDRC, CG11015, 105769/KK), *UAS-mitoGFP* (Bloomington, 8442), *UAS-sima*^{HMS00833} shRNA (Bloomington, 33895), *UAS-sima*^{HMS00832} shRNA (Bloomington, 33894), *UAS-TFAM* dsRNA (NIG, 4217R-1), *UAS-SurfI*^{23.4} dsRNA (Zordan, Cisotto et al. 2006), *UAS-yan* (Bloomington, 5790).

See Table 6 below for additional OXPHOS RNAi lines. See Table 14 and Appendix 9.2.1 for lines used in the modifier screen.

GFP fluorescent probes

Mito-ro-GFP2-Grx1 (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011), *Mito-ro-GFP2-ORP1* (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011), *Cyto-ro-GFP2-Grx1* (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011), *UAS-MitoTimer* (Bloomington, 57323), *UAS-Perceval* (this study).

Gene Stock Complex **Gene Name** Source Type (CG #) Number NADH dehydrogenase Ι (ubiquinone) 75 kDa subunit CG2286 33910 Bloomington shRNAi (ND-75) ND-75 I CG2286 33911 Bloomington shRNAi Succinate dehydrogenase, II CG10219 26776 VDRC dsRNAi subunit D (SdhD) II SdhD CG10219 101739 VDRC dsRNAi *Ubiquinol-cytochrome c* CG17856 III reductase 14 kDa subunit-like VDRC 33015 dsRNAi (UQCR-14L)III UQCR-14L VDRC CG17856 33016 dsRNAi III UQCR-14L CG17856 55631 Bloomington shRNAi *Ubiquinol-cytochrome c* reductase 14 kDa subunit 109542 **VDRC** III CG3560 dsRNAi (UQCR-14) III CG4769 34583 Cytochrome c1 (Cyt-c1) Bloomington shRNAi *Ubiquinol-cytochrome c* III reductase ubiquinone-binding CG7580 51357 Bloomington shRNAi protein (UQCR-Q) III CG8764 35828 VDRC dsRNAi oxen (ox) III CG8764 35829 VDRC dsRNAi ox Cytochrome c oxidase subunit IV CG11015 30892 VDRC dsRNAi 5B (COX5B) IV COX5B CG11015 105769 VDRC dsRNAi Cytochome c oxidase subunit IV CG14724 27548 Bloomington lhRNAi 5A (COX5A) IV CG14724 COX5A 58282 Bloomington shRNAi Cytochrome c oxidase subunit IV Bloomington CG18809 55399 shRNAi 6B (COX6B) IV COX6B CG18809 56907 Bloomington shRNAi Cytochrome c oxidase subunit IV CG9603 37496 VDRC dsRNAi 7A (COX7A) IV COX7A CG9603 106661 VDRC dsRNAi

Table 3. RNAi, used in this study, for subunits of OXPHOS complexes. RNAi lines that were studied in more detail are in bold.

Complex	Gene Name	Gene	Stock	Source	Туре
		(CG #)	Number		
V	ATP synthase, subunit C	CG1746	35464	Bloomington	shRNA
	(ATPsynC)	CO1/40	55404	BIOOHIIIIgtoff	SIIIVINA
V	ATPsynC	CG1746	57705	Bloomington	shRNA
V	Bellwether (blw)	CG3612	34664	VDRC	dsRNAi
	ATP synthase, oligomycin				
V	sensitivity conferring protein	CG4307	12792	VDRC	dsRNAi
	(ATPsynO)				
V	ATPsynO	CG4307	12794	VDRC	dsRNAi
v	ATP synthase, coupling	CG4412	35385	VDRC	dsRNAi
	factor 6 (ATPsynCf6)				
V	ATPsynCf6	CG4412	107826	VDRC	dsRNAi
V	ATP synthase, subunit F	CG4692	13324	VDRC	dsRNAi
	(ATPsynF)				
V	ATPsynF	CG4692	13325	VDRC	dsRNAi
v	ATP synthase, γ subunit	CG7610	28723	Bloomington	lhRNA
	(ATPsyny)				
V	ATPsyny	CG7610	50543	Bloomington	shRNA
V	ATP synthase, subunit B	CG8189	14210	VDRC	dsRNAi
	(ATPsynB)				
V	ATPsynB	CG8189	14211	VDRC	dsRNAi
V	ATPsynB	CG8189	106758	VDRC	dsRNAi
V	Stunted (sun)	CG9032	23685	VDRC	dsRNAi
V	sun	CG9032	50958	VDRC	dsRNAi

LacZ reporter lines

Thor-lacZ (Bloomington, 9558), Ilp3- lacZ (Ikeya et al., 2002)

Mutants

TFAM^{*c01716*} (Bloomington, 10713), *sima*^{*KG07607*} (Bloomington, 14640), *park*²⁵ (Greene, Whitworth et al. 2003), *pointed*^{*delta88*} (Bloomington, 861)
2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Fly maintenance and breeding

Flies were maintained in temperature controlled incubators at either 18 or 25°C, unless otherwise stated. Incubators were set to a 12 hour light/dark cycle. Virgin female flies were collected in the morning and evening. Two recipes were used for fly food, R1 and the richer R2. R1 was made up of 6.4g Agar (Fisher), 64g glucose (Sigma), 16g ground yellow corn and 40g brewer's yeast (MP Biomed Europe) in 1 litre total volume of distilled water. Ingredients were mixed and cooked in a SystecMediaPrep media steriliser. The mixture was cooled to less than 60°C and the following ingredients were added, 1.8g methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (Sigma), 3ml propionic acid (Fisher Scientific) and 16ml ethanol. After a further 10 minutes of mixing, food was dispensed into vials and bottles (Regina Industries Ltd). R2 was made in exactly the same manner, apart from it was made with 80g of brewer's yeast, rather than 40g. R1 food was used for virgin fly collection, maintaining stocks and males separated for climbing assays. R2 was used for experimental crosses.

2.2.2 Behavioural and wing inflation assays

2.2.2.1 Negative geotaxis (climbing assay)

Climbing assays were performed on 2-3 day old, male flies. To ensure climbing was not affected by anaesthetising the flies, males were anaesthetised with CO₂ and separated into new vials and left to recover overnight. Climbing ability was tested between 8am (one hour after illumination) and 10am, when the flies are in the most active phase of their sleep/wake cycle. Individual flies were aspirated from the vials with a mouth pipette and tapped gently into a 5ml Falcon pipette, with the end cut off. The cut end of the pipette was placed on the bench and tapped, so that the fly dropped to the bottom. This initiates the innate escape response of the fly, causing it to vertically climb the sides of the pipette. The distance climbed in 10 seconds was measured three times per fly and averaged. Measurements were only recorded if the fly climbed without pausing. At least 10 flies were used per genotype, unless otherwise stated.

Flies were transferred into a new vial after eclosion, and left for at least 24 hours. This allowed time for normal wing inflation to occur. Numbers of flies with straight, half inflated and folded wings were then recorded. All flies that eclosed from the vial were counted. Statistical analysis was performed on raw data and data were displayed as a percentage.

2.2.3 Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

RNA was isolated from five wandering third instar larvae, which had been incubated at 25° C for three days during egg-laying and then 29° C for three days. Larvae were homogenised in 0.1ml TRIzol (Life Technologies) and incubated for five minutes at room temperature. RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was measured with the spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, ND1000, 3.3.1) and diluted to 150 m/µl. DNA was removed from the samples with a DNaseI Amplification grade kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription of 10µl RNA, to synthesise cDNA, was then performed with random hexamer primers using the First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas) in a total reaction volume of 20 µl. PCR was performed on 30 m/µl of cDNA with qPCRBIO Sygreen Mix Lo-ROX (PCRBiosystems), in triplicate per genotype, unless otherwise stated. All cDNA for qRT-PCR was prepared in this way apart from for the qRT-PCR performed on brain tissue which was diluted from the cDNA prepared for microarrays (see Methods 2.2.7).

OXPHOS subunit cDNA levels were quantified using the Roche Lightcycler 480 Instrument II, the PCR program was 10 minutes at 95°C, then 35 cycles of 10 seconds at 95 °C, 15 seconds at 53 °C, 20 seconds at 72 °C and finally increasing from 72 °C to 95 °C. The levels of the gene of interest were compared with levels of the housekeeping gene, *ribosomal protein L4 (RpL4)*. Primers used are listed in Table 4. Primers for the OXPHOS RNAi lines did not have equivalent efficiencies, so levels of cDNA were extrapolated from standard curves for each primer. Standard curves, made up of a serial dilution from 100ng/µl to 1.5625 ng/µl, were run with each plate. Levels of the gene of interest were controlled to *RpL4* per sample. qRT-PCR of tissue from the central nervous system (CNS) cDNA was performed on 20ng/ μ l of cDNA with qPCRBIO Sygreen Mix Lo-ROX (PCRBiosystems). The same primers were used as previously (Table 4). A standard curve was made for each primer, from which levels of cDNA were extrapolated. Seven-point standard curves were made from a serial dilution of 90ng/ μ l to 1.4 ng/ μ l. Levels of the gene of interest were controlled to *RpL4* per sample.

Sima cDNA levels were quantified using the Roche Lightcycler 480 Instrument II, the PCR program was 10 minutes at 95°C, then 35 cycles of 10 seconds at 95 °C, 15 seconds at 60 °C, 20 seconds at 72 °C and finally increasing from 72 °C to 95 °C. CT values were calculated for *sima* and *RpL4*, and used to calculate the Δ CT between these two genes. A serial dilution of cDNA, from 120ng/µl to 0.94 ng/µl, were used to create a standard curve for each primer, to ensure that they had comparable efficiencies.

Gene	Primer	Sequence
Rpl4	Forward Primer	5'-TCCACCTTGAAGAAGGGCTA-3'
Rpl4	Reverse Primer	5'-TTGCGGATCTCCTCAGACTT-3'
ATPsynCF6	Forward Primer	5' -GGAACAGCTGCTGGATGG- 3'
ATPsynCF6	Reverse Primer	5'-AGCATTTGCAAAGGAAAATAAGA-3'
COX5B	Forward Primer	5'-CCCATCTCCAACGTTCTCAT-3'
COX5B	Reverse Primer	5'-AATGGCCGCACTCACAAC-3'
UQCR-14	Forward Primer	5'-GCATTGTGGGCTGCATCT-3'
UQCR-14	Reverse Primer	5'-GAGATTGTAGGCCCATCTGC-3'
ND-75	Forward Primer	5'-ACATTAACTACACGGGCAAGC-3'
ND-75	Reverse Primer	5'- CAATCTCGGAGGCGAAAC-3'
sima	Forward Primer	5'-CAAACCAAAGGAGAAAAGAAGG-3'
sima	Reverse Primer	5'-CAGCCGAGAGTTCCATGAAT-3'

 Table 4. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR

2.2.4 Dissections and immunofluorescence

Egg laying occurred at 25°C. Adult flies were then removed and larvae were transferred to 29°C for three days. Wandering third instar larvae were cleaned in ice cold PBS to remove food residues and then transferred to ice cold PBS on a Sylgard dish.

2.2.4.1 Neuromuscular junctions (NMJ)

To visualise NMJs, larval flat preparations were dissected under the dissection microscope using fine forceps (Agar Scientific). Larvae were pinned dorsal side up, with a micro-pin (Entomoravia, Czech Republic) through the head and tail. Fine iridectomy scissors (Fine Science Tools) were used to pierce the larvae close to the tail and then slice the larvae open along the dorsal midline, from tail to head. Forceps were used to remove fat, guts and trachea, but care was taken not to remove the CNS. Four more pins, at each corner, were used to stretch the cuticle flat against the Sylgard dish. PBS was removed and replaced with 4% formaldehyde (Thermo Scientific)/ PBS to fix the flat prep. After fixing for 25 minutes, the flat preps were washed with PBS and the pins removed. Fixed preps were moved to 1.5ml Eppendorfs and washed for ten minutes, 3 times, in PBS/0.1% triton X100 (PBST) on the platform rocker. Preps were then blocked for 30-60 minutes in PBST with 5% normal goat serum (NGS, Sigma-Aldrich), to reduce nonspecific antibody binding. Flat preps were then incubated overnight with the appropriate primary antibody, in PBST/5% NGS, at 4°C. Preps were then washed three times in PBST at room temperature (RT) for ten minutes, followed by incubation with the relevant secondary antibody, diluted in PBST, for 1.5 hours. Samples were washed a further three times, for ten minutes each, in PBST and finally washed for ten minutes in PBS. The head, tail and brain were removed from the flat preps on a microscope slide (Thermo Scientific). Vectashield mounting media (Vector Laboratories) was used to mount the slides and 22x22mm size 0 coverslips (Academy) were lowered gently on top. Slides were stored in the dark at 4°C. The type 1b neuromuscular junctions, on muscle four, of segment A3 were imaged using the Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope.

To analyse ROS levels, flat preps were dissected as described, with a few alterations outlined here. Larvae were dissected in 20mM n-ethylmaleimide (NEM), instead of PBS, and left in NEM for 10 minutes, in order to protect against formaldehyde mediated oxidation. In order to obtain control larval preps that were of fully oxidised, dissections were carried out in 2mM diamide (DA) and left to incubate for 10 minutes. The DA was then replaced with 20mM NEM for a 10 minute incubation period. After a single wash with PBS, the preps were fixed in 4% formaldehyde/PBS. Fully reduced larvae were attained following the same procedure using 20mM dithiothreitol (DTT), rather than DA. All the preps were rinsed in PBS and then washed for ten minutes, three times in

PBST. The fixed preps were then incubated for 40 minutes with anti-HRP-Cy3 (1:1000 in PBST/NGS, Stratech). This was followed by another three 10 minute washes in PBST and a final wash in PBS. The preps were then mounted on slides in Vectashield. Larvae were dissected, mounted and imaged on the same day. This protocol was adapted from Albrecht et al. (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011). The type 1b neuromuscular junctions, on muscle four, of segment A3 were imaged using the Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope.

2.2.4.2 Central nervous system & eye disc dissections

The ATP: ADP ratio was assessed in flies expressing the *UAS-Perceval* construct. The CNS and eye discs were dissected out in PBS, by inverting the cuticle at the head. Larvae were pulled apart with forceps, one third of the length of the larvae from the head. The head was then gently held with forceps, and the mouth parts pushed through to invert the cuticle. Salivary glands, guts and wing discs were removed, leaving the brain and eye discs attached to the cuticle and mouth parts. This tissue was then moved to a 1.5ml Eppendorf and fixed for 25 minutes in 4% formaldehyde/ PBS. The samples were then washed three times in PBST, for ten minutes per wash, and finally washed in PBS. Mouth parts and cuticle were removed on the slide (Superfrost Plus, Thermo Scientific). Brains or eye discs were mounted in Vectashield and imaged using the Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope.

2.2.4.3 Wing discs

Wing discs were also dissected by inverting the cuticle, however, when removing the salivary glands and guts, the CNS was also removed and the wing discs left attached. Wing discs were fixed for 25 minutes in 4% formaldehyde/ PBS. They were then washed, blocked and stained in the same manner as the flat preps (see above 2.2.4.1). Wing discs were mounted in Vectashield containing DAPI.

2.2.5 Microscopy and image quantification

All images were taken using the Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope with Zen software (Version 6, 2010). Resolution was set to 1024 x 1024, unless otherwise stated, at a

speed at of 7. Lenses with magnification 10x, 20x and 40x were used, numerical apertures of these lenses were 0.3, 0.5 and 1.30 respectively. The 40x lens was used with oil immersion. Images were quantified using Volocity (Version 5.5, 2011, PerkinElmer Inc.) using image projections, containing information from all z stacks. Intensity thresholds were set independently for different experiments, but the same threshold was used for the controls and experimental images in each experiment. The area of interest for each image was selected using the freehand tool. The methods used for image quantification were as follows:

2.2.5.1 Neuromuscular junctions (NMJ)

Bouton number and diameter were quantified using the distance measure tool. Mitochondrial volume and number were measured using a measurement protocol. Within the area of interest, the protocol identified areas of fluorescence in the 488nm channel, over an intensity threshold. Objects of $0.05\mu m^3$ were separated and anything less than $0.02\mu m^3$ was excluded. The number of areas identified and the volume of each area were recorded.

2.2.5.2 Redox potential

The same protocol was used to measure the redox potential. The total intensity in the whole area selected by this protocol was recorded for the 488nm channel and for the 405nm channel. The ratio of the 405:488nm intensities were calculated, giving a measure of redox potential per NMJ.

2.2.5.3 MitoTimer

The protocol was adapted to measure mitoTimer fluorescence, anything less than $0.02\mu m^3$ was excluded. In the CNS objects were identified in the 488nm channel and measured in the 488nm and 546nm channel. In the NMJ objects were identified in the 546nm channel and measured in the 488nm and 546nm channel.

2.2.5.4 Perceval ATP: ADP ratio

ATP:ADP ratio was analysed using ImageJ. Images were quantified as maximum intensity projections. The point tool was used to multi-select a point in each motor neuron cell body in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) visible in the image. Care was taken to avoid the auto-fluorescent trachea. A ratio of the intensity in the 405nm to the 488nm channel was calculated.

2.2.5.5 Wing discs

The protocol was adapted to measure caspase signalling in the wing disc. The dorsal compartment was selected as the area between the wingless dorsoventral boundary and the third fold line in the hinge area of the wing disc. The protocol identified areas of fluorescence in the 488 channel, over an intensity threshold. Objects of $15\mu m^3$ were separated and anything less than $5\mu m^3$ was excluded. Intensity of the signal in the area selected by this protocol were measured in the 488 channel.

2.2.5.6 Phosphorylated MAPK

Phosphorylated MAPK was measured in ImageJ. The point tool was used to multiselect a spot of cytoplasm of each GFP positive motor neuron cell body in the VNC possible. The intensity of each point was measured and the average taken per VNC.

2.2.5.7 Eye discs

Posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, an area of $20\mu m^2$ was identified in Volocity. The total intensity in this area was recorded.

2.2.6 Generating transgenic flies

The *UAS-Perceval* construct was cloned from the pRsetB-his7-Perceval plasmid (Addgene) engineered by Berg, Hung, and Yellen (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). The gene was amplified using PCR with primers Perc5.EcoRI.Fw and Perc3.XhoI.Rv (Table 5).

The primers contained the *XhoI* and *EcoRI* restriction sites respectively. The amplicon was then cloned into *pUAST* (DGRC) at *XhoI* and *EcoRI* sites. Transgenic flies were generated by BestGene.

Primers	Sequence
Perc5.EcoRI.Fw	5'-CATG <u>GAATTC</u> GCATGAAAAAGGTGGAATCCATC-3'
Perc3.XhoI.Rv	5'-TTAT <u>CTCGAG</u> TCACAATGCTTCCTTTCCCTC-3'

 Table 5. Primers used to clone Perceval. Restriction enzyme sites are underlined

2.2.7 Microarray

For microarray analysis, the CNS of 20 larvae per genotype were dissected in cold PBS as described above (see Methods 2.2.4). Instead of fixing the brains, they were lysed in 100 μ l lysis buffer, containing β -Mercaptoethanol (Absolutely RNA Microprep kit, Agilent Technologies, Strategene). Once dissected, each brain was picked up immediately with tweezer, excess PBS was removed from the tweezers with a paper towel and the brain was placed directly into the lysis buffer. The lysis buffer was kept on ice while all the brains were dissected. RNA was prepared following manufacturer's instructions, including DNase treatment. Samples were prepared in triplicate from individual crosses, per genotype. RNA was stored at -80°C.

RNA was measured for quantity and integrity on an RNA Pico Chip (Agilent Technologies). 10ng of RNA, per genotype, was converted into labelled cDNA with the Nugen Ovation V2 protocol (NuGEN Technologies Inc.). 7mg of labelled cDNA was hybridised to Affymetrix *Drosophila* genome v2 GeneChips for 20 hours at 45°C. They were then washed, stained (GeneChip® Fluidics Station 450) and scanned (GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G) according to manufacturer's instructions (Nugen Technologies Inc & Affymetrix). Conversion of RNA to labelled cDNA and the microarray processing was carried out by Dr David Chambers.

Microarray data was processed using the Affymetrix Expression Console (2014) and the Affymetrix Transcriptome Analysis Console (version 3.0.0.466, 2014, Affymetrix Inc.) using gene level differential expression analysis. This software was used to create Volcano plots and carry out statistical analysis. Means were calculated using Tukey's

Bi-weight average algorithm and differential expression between groups was calculated using un-paired one way ANOVA. Fold change cut-offs were not used, unless stated otherwise. Correlations between datasets were analysed using GraphPad Prism (Version 5.02, 2008, GraphPad Software Inc.). Heatmaps were produced using Gitools software (version 2.3.0, Biomedical Genomics Laboratory, Parc de Recerca Biomedica de Barcelona).

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was performed on Panther (Version 10.0, 2015, Geneontology) and the Database for Annotation, Visualisation and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, version 6.7) (Dennis, Sherman et al. 2003). Panther was used to attribute GO terms to gene lists. DAVID was used to determine enriched GO terms, with the Affymetrix 3' *Drosophila_* 2 Array as the background dataset, using the functional annotation chart and functional annotation clustering features. Bar charts of these data were created on Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 365 ProPlus).

2.2.8 Lifespan assays

Female flies, were separated in vials of ten, according to genotype and kept at 25°. Dead flies were counted three times a week and flipped into fresh food twice a week. Data was analysed on GraphPad Prism. The log-rank test was used to calculate p-values, and the significant threshold was adjusted for multiple comparisons.

2.2.9 Genetic modifier screen

Males from a library of *UAS* lines (mostly RNAi) were crossed to virgin females from a stock containing the *MS1096-Gal4* driver, which drives GAL4 expression in the dorsal compartment of the wing, *UAS-TFAM* RNAi and *TFAM*^{c01716}. This line also contained Gal80, to inhibit the expression of *UAS-TFAM* RNAi in the stock and the TM6B balancer chromosome. Flies were kept at 25°C, one or two days after the adult males eclosed, their wings were observed and scored. Males with *MS1096> TFAM RNAi*, *TFAM*^{c01716} have an approximately 45° curve at the tip of their wings. We screened to find RNAis that would modify this phenotype, either by increasing (enhancers) or decreasing (suppressors) the curve (see results chapter 3, Figure 5.3A). (The initial crosses were performed by myself or a number of undergraduate students - see

acknowledgments - any hits were crossed a second time and assessed by myself). A scale was developed to score the phenotype by severity (see results chapter 3, Figure 5.3B).

Colour photographs were taken with a Nikon D70s attached to a dissecting microscope with a MCA Nikon SLR adapter (Nikon). Black and white photographs were taken with NIS- Elements Microscope viewing software (Nikon, F 4.00.00)

To exclude genes which may have a phenotype by themselves, virgin females with the MS1096- Gal4 were crossed to all lines that were screened. If the progeny of this cross have a wing phenotype, then they were omitted from the screen (see results chapter 3, Figure 5.3C).

GO Molecular function of genes identified in the screen were obtained from Panther Classification System (Version 10.0, 2015, Geneontology).

2.2.10 Statistical analysis and graphs

GraphPad Prism (Version 5.02, 2008, GraphPad Software Inc.) was used to create graphs and for statistical analysis. Data with a p-value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered significant ($p \le 0.05 *$, $p \le 0.01^{**}$, $p \le 0.001^{***}$). Panther Classification System (Version 10.0, 2015, Geneontology) was used to make pie charts.

Comparisons of two samples of continuous data were analysed with an unpaired, twotailed student's t-test, where appropriate. Variance of the samples was assessed with an F test. If the variances of the two samples were significantly different then the Welch's correction was applied to the t-test. Data was analysed for normality, using the D'Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test. Data that did not pass the normality test were analysed with the Mann Whitney test.

In order to compare more than two samples of continuous data, one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used, to control for multiple comparisons. Tukey's post hoc test was used to analyse the data further. If data did not pass the D'Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test, the Kruskal-Wallis, followed by Dunn's post hoc test were utilised.

Categorical data was analysed using chi-squared. This was always done from raw data rather than percentages.

3.1 Introduction

Damage to the OXPHOS complexes, causing mitochondrial dysfunction, has been associated with many diseases (see Introduction 1.3). Some diseases are associated with dysfunction in particular complexes, for example, loss of complex I is reported in tissue for Parkinson's patients, whereas no change in complex II - V is observed (Keeney, Xie et al. 2006). Alzheimer's disease has been associated with a selective deficit in complex IV activity, in platelets and brain tissue (Maurer, Zierz et al. 2000, Cardoso, Proença et al. 2004). However, reduced levels of complex V and complex I subunits have also been reported in brain tissue from AD patients (Manczak, Park et al. 2004, Beck, Guo et al. 2016).

Studies in yeast and human cybrid cells demonstrate that different mitochondrial insults can cause different cellular responses (McCammon, Epstein et al. 2003, Jahangir Tafrechi, Svensson et al. 2005, Picard, Zhang et al. 2014). Similarly, human disease caused by mutations in mtDNA results in a wide range of diverse clinical phenotypes (Schapira). The majority of mtDNA mutations give rise to impairments in oxidative phosphorylation, the process that produces most cellular ATP. Yet the variety of phenotypes produced by different mutations, or even different copy numbers of a single mutation, suggest that there are additional factor other than just ATP depletion (Jahangir Tafrechi, Svensson et al. 2005). This is illustrated by the point mutation in the mitochondrially encoded tRNA^{Leu}. This mutation results in misincorporation of one amino acid in two OXPHOS complex IV subunits and one complex V subunit (Sasarman, Antonicka et al. 2008). Low levels (below 30% copy number) of this mutation have been associated with autism and diabetes (van den Ouweland, Lemkes et al. 1992, Pons, Andreu et al. 2004). Higher abundance of the mutation results in the multisystem disorder mitochondrial encephalomyopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes (MELAS) syndrome (Goto, Nonaka et al. 1990).

It is important to understand the similarities and differences between different types of mitochondrial dysfunction, in order to design treatments beneficial for multiple disorders or specific for one. To address this, mitochondrial dysfunction needs to be modelled, caused by deficits in different OXPHOS complexes.

Drosophila RNAi libraries available can be utilised to create tissue specific models of mitochondrial dysfunction *in vivo*. Multiple independent libraries also allow validation of the models with non-overlapping RNAi lines targeting the same gene. This allows for the generation of new tools to further investigate the effects of mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons.

3.1.1 Chapter Aims

In order to further understand how insults of different OXPHOS complexes may affect neurodegenerative disease, models need to be developed of different types of mitochondrial dysfunction *in vivo*.

In this chapter I aim to -

- 1. Develop *Drosophila* models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction for each of the OXPHOS complexes.
- 2. Characterise the phenotypes of these different models, focussing on behavioural phenotypes, mitochondrial number and size, and production of ATP and ROS.
- 3. Compare the similarities and differences between the different models of mitochondrial dysfunction.

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Identifying OXPHOS models of mitochondrial dysfunction which impair neuronal function.

In the last ten years, whole genome libraries of transgenic Drosophila RNAi lines have been developed, which can be expressed under the control of the UAS-Gal4 system. These libraries provide the opportunity for reverse genetics, in which the gene of interest can be targeted for knockdown in individual tissues. Two independent libraries, the GD RNAi and NIG RNAi libraries, were created from clones of short gene fragments, which were inserted into P-elements as inverted repeats (Dietzl, Chen et al. 2007). A third library (KK transgenic library) was produced which was designed to have fewer off target effects as its target sequences are more specific to the target gene (Yamamoto-Hino and Goto 2013). This library also has a targeted landing site for the RNAi hairpin, aimed to reduce variability in transgene expression However, it has been revealed that the flies used actually contain two landing sites, inserts in both landing sites are found in approximately 25% of these lines (Vissers, Manning et al. 2016). Transgenic flies in this library may therefore contain a hairpin in either of these landing sites or in some cases two copies, one in each site. A fourth, TRiP library has also been produced, with site specific integration at a single landing site. This library uses either long hairpin or short hairpin RNAi to reduce off target effects (Ni, Markstein et al. 2008).

I used the RNAi libraries to obtain transgenic lines that knocked down nuclear encoded subunits of the OXPHOS complexes. The aim was to identify RNAi lines that cause neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction, which could be used to further investigate neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction *in vivo*. Gene knockdown using RNAi is advantageous, because reduced expression of a gene is potentially physiologically relevant and RNAi can be activated in the tissue of interest alone. First, RNAi lines that induce a significant mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype in neurons were identified. To do this, the motor neuron driver *OK371-Gal4* was used to drive RNAi expression. Climbing ability of these flies, compared to the driver alone, was assessed. The *Gal4*, in the *OK371* line, has been inserted close to the *Drosophila* gene for the vesicular glutamate transporter (Mahr and Aberle 2006). Unlike mammals, *Drosophila* motor neurons are glutamatergic and so this enhancer trap drives expression of *Gal4* specifically in motor

neurons. This driver line also contained *UAS-Dicer2*, which enhances the RNAi knockdown (Kim, Lee et al. 2006). Multiple RNAi lines per OXPHOS complex were used to identify RNAi lines that resulted in a robust effect for each complex. RNAi lines were chosen for complex subunits that had caused lethality when ubiquitously expressed, in *Drosophila* by Copeland et al., (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009), as this lethality indicates that loss of these subunits causes cellular dysfunction. Thirty-six transgenic RNAi lines targeting OXPHOS subunits were tested at 25°C with *OK371-Gal4*. Climbing ability was significantly impaired in 20 of those lines, and 5 lines were not viable under these conditions (Figure 2.1A, Table 6).

Many RNAi lines targeting knockdown of complex V (CV) and complex IV (CIV) subunits caused climbing defects (Figure 3.1A). Eleven RNAis for complex V reduced climbing with a p-value less than 0.001, with seven of those reducing the average distance climbed to less than 25% of control. Four RNAis for complex IV also obtained a p-value of less than 0.001 and reduced climbing below 25%. Knockdown of complex III (CIII) and complex II (CII) did not give such a robust result. Out of 8 RNAi lines targeting complex III subunits two were lethal and only one reduced climbing below 25% of control (p<0.001) (Figure 3.1A). The two complex III RNAi that were lethal were also lethal with another motor neuron driver D42-Gal4 (data not shown). Complex II is composed of only four subunits, as a result there is a more limited selection of RNAi lines to select from for this complex. One of the two RNAi lines targeting complex II did significantly reduce climbing, however, the phenotype was comparatively very weak, only reducing climbing to 75% of control flies (p<0.05) (Figure 3.1A). Complex II (also known as succinate dehydrogenase, SDH) is the only complex which also plays a role in the citric acid cycle and OXPHOS (Rutter, Winge et al. 2010). Knockdown of complex II subunits may therefore lead to phenotypes due to reduced OXPHOS and TCA. I therefore did not pursue complex II knockdown for further analysis. The two non-overlapping RNAi lines that knockdown the complex I ND-75 subunit were not viable with the motor neuron drivers OK371 and D42 at 25°C. However, at 21°C, the lines were viable with OK371-Gal4, with a significant climbing defect, less than 25% of control (p<0.001) (Figure 3.1B). Thus, RNAi lines that produced a strong climbing deficit were identified for all complexes apart from complex II. Non-overlapping RNAi lines, or RNAi lines for independent subunits, for each complex were also significantly impaired in climbing (Table 6). This suggests that the climbing deficits produced were not due to off target effects.

One RNAi line was selected for a subunit of each complex, that induced a strong climbing phenotype (Figure 3.1A, red arrows, Table 6, in bold). The RNAi lines selected target *ND-75* (33910, CI), *UQCR-14* (109542, CIII), *COX5B* (105769, CIV) and *ATPsynCf6* (107826, CV). These RNAi lines were tested with a second motor neuron driver, *D42-Gal4. ATPsynCf6* (CV) and *COX5B* (CIV) knockdown significantly impaired climbing (Figure 3.1C). *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi, which previously resulted in the weakest phenotype, did not significantly impair climbing at 25°C (data not shown). However, when the flies were grown at 29°C, climbing was significantly impaired in *D42-Gal4* driven *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi (Figure 3.1D). *ND-75* (CI) RNAi was lethal at both 25°C and 21°C with *D42-Gal4*.

As a comparison to these models of mitochondrial dysfunction caused by a knockdown of single OXPHOS subunits, a model which targets mtDNA was also investigated. Mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) binds to mtDNA, stabilising the DNA and promoting transcription (see Introduction 1.2.3.1 & Figure 1.4). As previously discussed, altered levels of TFAM can result in mitochondrial dysfunction. When driven in motor neurons, with *D42-Gal4*, *TFAM* overexpression causes a significant impairment in climbing ability (Figure 3.1E).

Table 6. Climbing result and p-values for OXPHOS RNAi lines. RNAi lines that
were studied in more detail are in bold. See Methods Table 3 for further stock details.

OXPHOS complex	Gene Name (gene symbol)	Gene (CG #)	Stock ID	Climbing deficit with <i>OK371-Gal4</i> at 25°C	Number of flies tested
I	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 75 kDa subunit (ND-75)	CG2286	33910	lethal	
Ι	ND-75	CG2286	33911	lethal	
Π	Succinate dehydrogenase, subunit D (SdhD)	CG10219	26776	Not significant	6
Π	SdhD	CG10219	101739	* p≤0.05	12
III	Ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase 14 kDa subunit-like (UQCR-14L)	CG17856	33015	Not significant	12

				Climbing	Numbor
OXPHOS	Gene Name	Gene	Stock	deficit with	
complex	(gene symbol)	(CG #)	ID	OK371-Gal4	of files
				at 25°C	testea
III	UQCR-14L	CG17856	33016	Not significant	6
III	UQCR-14L	CG17856	55631	Not significant	7
	Ubiquinol-cytochrome c				
III	reductase 14 kDa	CG3560	109542	*** p≤0.001	6
	subunit (UQCR-14)				
III	Cytochrome c1 (Cyt-c1)	CG4769	34583	lethal	
	Ubiquinol-cytochrome c	CG7580	51357	lethal	
III	reductase ubiquinone-				
	binding protein (UQCR-				
	Q)				
III	oxen (ox)	CG8764	35828	Not significant	6
III	OX	CG8764	35829	Not significant	10
IV	Cytochrome c oxidase	CG11015	30892	*** p≤0.001	10
1,	subunit 5B (COX5B)				10
IV	COX5B	CG11015	105769	*** p≤0.001	10
IV	Cytochome c oxidase	CG14724	27548	*** p≤0.001	10
1.	subunit 5A (COX5A)				
IV	COX5A	CG14724	58282	*** p≤0.001	6
IV	Cytochrome c oxidase	CG18809	55399	** p≤0.01	9
	subunit 6B (COX6B)				
IV	COX6B	CG18809	56907	** p≤0.01	10
IV	Cytochrome c oxidase	CG9603	37496	Not significant	10
	subunit 7A (COX7A)				
IV	COX7A	CG9603	106661	*** p≤0.001	10
V	ATP synthase, subunit C	CG1746	35464	*** p≤0.001	10
	(ATPsynC)				
V	ATPsynC	CG1746	57705	Not significant	7
V	Bellwether (blw)	CG3612	34664	*** p≤0.001	28
	ATP synthase, oligomycin				
V	sensitivity conferring	CG4307	12792	*** p≤0.001	7
	protein (ATPsynO)				
V	ATPsynO	CG4307	12794	*** p≤0.001	6

OXPHOS complex	Gene Name (gene symbol)	Gene (CG #)	Stock ID	Climbing deficit with <i>OK371-Gal4</i> at 25°C	Number of flies tested
V	ATP synthase, coupling factor 6 (ATPsynCf6)	CG4412	35385	*** p≤0.001	10
V	ATPsynCf6	CG4412	107826	*** p≤0.001	9
V	ATP synthase, subunit F (ATPsynF)	CG4692	13324	Not significant	12
V	ATPsynF	CG4692	13325	*** p≤0.001	6
V	ATP synthase, γ subunit (ATPsynγ)	CG7610	28723	*** p≤0.001	9
V	ATPsyny	CG7610	50543	lethal	
V	ATP synthase, subunit B (ATPsynB)	CG8189	14210	*** p≤0.001	10
V	ATPsynB	CG8189	14211	*** p≤0.001	6
V	ATPsynB	CG8189	106758	*** p≤0.001	10
V	Stunted (sun)	CG9032	23685	Not significant	12
V	sun	CG9032	50958	Not significant	5



Figure 3.1 Neuronal models of mitochondrial dysfunction cause behavioural defects. Climbing assays of (**A**) RNAi for OXHPOS complex subunits driven in motor neurons by *OK371-Gal4*, with *UAS-Dcr2* at 25°C. Red arrows indicate RNAi lines used as models of mitochondrial dysfunction. Data were analysed with ANOVA, using the Dunnett's post hoc test to compare each RNAi to control. Stock IDs shown in brackets, see Table 6 for n numbers and p-values. Control is *w1118* crossed with *OK371-Gal4* with *UAS-Dcr2*. Climbing assay of (**B**) *OK371-Gal4*, *UAS-Dcr2* driven RNAi for complex I subunit *ND-75* (n = 10 for 33910, 2 for 39911) compared to control (n = 10), flies grown at 21°C, (**C**) *D42-Gal4* driven complex V *ATPsynCf6* (n = 10) and complex IV *COX5B* (n = 12) subunit RNAi at 25°C compared to control (n = 20), (**D**) *D42-Gal4* driven complex III *UQCR-14* (n = 13) subunit RNAi grown at 29°C compared to control (n = 10) at 25°C. All controls were the driver line crossed to *w1118*. Data were analysed using ANOVA or the student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM. * p≤0.05, ** p≤0.01, *** p≤0.001.

When driven with *D42-Gal4*, *ATPsynCf6* (CV) RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression also produced a wing inflation phenotype (Figure 3.2). This phenotype is not observed with *UQCR-14* (CIII) or *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi. During normal *Drosophila* development, neuronal activity stimulates inflation of the wing, post eclosion. A subset of 14 crusteacean cardioactive peptide (CCAP) expressing neurons in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) release a neurohormone called bursicon (Luan, Lemon et al. 2006). Bursicon initiates pumping of the haemolymph into the wing, causing inflation which allows the dorsal and ventral layers to straighten (Figure 3.2A). Broeck et al. observed a disruption of this process, leading to a folded wing phenotype, when inducing neuronal damage due to loss of TDP-43, a RNA processing protein associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Vanden Broeck, Naval-Sánchez et al. 2013). When I induced neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction, with *D42-Gal4* driven *ATPsynCf6* (CV) knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression, approximately 80% and 50% of flies are unable to inflate their wings fully respectively (Figure 3.2C, D).



Figure 3.2 Neuronal knockdown of *ATPsynCf6* and overexpression of *TFAM* disrupt wing inflation.) Schematic showing CCAP neurons that release bursicon, triggering wing inflation. Neuronal dysfunction (**x**) can disrupt this processing leading to inhibited wing inflation. (**B**) For the inflation assay, wings are classified as folded, halfway or straight, at least 12 hours after eclosion. (**C**) *D42-Gal4* driven *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 139) compared to control (n = 261) (**D**) *D42-Gal4* driven *TFAM* overexpression (n = 96) compared to control (n = 229). Controls are *D42-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. Data were analysed with chi-squared test. *** $p \le 0.001$

3.2.2 Validation of RNAi knockdown and TFAM overexpression

To confirm that the RNAi lines selected for each OXPHOS subunit are causing knockdown of the expected gene qRT-PCR was performed. Each RNAi was expressed ubiquitously for three days, from second to late third instar using temperature sensitive *tubulin-Gal80^{ts}; tubulin-Gal4*. Primers for qRT-PCR were designed to avoid the region targeted by the RNAi lines. In each RNAi line, expression of the expected mRNA was reduced, apart from *ND-75* RNAi. *UQCR-14* RNAi reduced *UQCR-14* expression by







RNA was extracted from wandering third instar larvae with *tubulin-Gal4* and *tubulin-Gal80*^{ts} that had been at 29°C for three days. (A) *ND*-75 RNAi (CI) (n = 7) (B) *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII) (n = 4) (C) *COX5B* RNAi (CIV) (n = 4) (D) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) (n = 4). RNA levels of each gene of interest were controlled to levels of the housekeeping gene *Rpl4*. Controls were *tubulin-Gal4* and *tubulin-Gal80*^{ts} crossed to *w1118*. Data were analysed using the student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, * $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

To further investigate whether *ND*-75 RNAi was causing a knockdown of *ND*-75 expression in the brain, I drove *ND*-75 RNAi pan-neuronally, with *nSyb-Gal4*, and

measured levels of *ND-75* mRNA in the CNS. The *Gal4* in this driver line is expressed with the gene for synaptobrevin. Synaptobrevin is involved in neuronal vesicle release at the synapse and is therefore expressed in all post-mitotic neurons. The caveat with this method is that the CNS also contains non-neuronal cells in which *ND-75* RNAi is not expressed although *ND-75* is expressed in all cells, so I would expect to measure a knockdown that is smaller than is occurring in neurons. With this method, levels of *ND-75* were reduced by 50% (p = 0.0364) in the *ND-75* RNAi line (Figure 3.4A). As a comparison, I also measured the level of *UQCR-14* knockdown in *UQCR-14* RNAi under the same conditions. *UQCR-14* was decreased by 74%, although this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.0693), most likely due to large variation in the control (Figure 3.4B). This indicates that, in the brain at least, *ND-75* RNAi is causing *ND-75* mRNA knockdown, and this knockdown is to a similar extent as *UQCR-14* RNAi knockdown.



Figure 3.4 Level of knockdown of pan-neuronally expressed complex I and complex III RNAi in the CNS. RNA was extracted from brains of wandering third instar larvae with *nSyb-Gal4*, that had been at 25°C for three days. (A) *ND-75* RNAi CI (n = 3-4) (B) *UQCR-14* RNAi CIII (n = 3-4). mRNA levels of each gene was controlled to levels of the housekeeping gene Rpl4. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. Data were analysed using the student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, * p≤0.05.

Ubiquitous overexpression of *TFAM* in third instar larvae, with *Tubulin-Gal80^{ts}*; *tubulin-Gal4* does not affect mtDNA levels, measured by qRT-PCR (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Western blot analysis confirmed that TFAM protein levels were increased

when *TFAM* was overexpressed (Figure 3.5A). Ubiquitous overexpression of *TFAM* also results in a reduction of mitochondrially encoded COXI, with no significant change in levels of nuclear encoded ATP synthase α (Figure 3.5). This suggests that overexpression of *TFAM* inhibits the expression of mtDNA encoded genes, as observed in other models (Terskikh, Fradkov et al. 2000, Maniura-Weber, Goffart et al. 2004, Ylikallio, Tyynismaa et al. 2010).



Figure 3.5 Reduced mitochondrial gene expression with TFAM overexpression

(A) Representative western blot of third instar larvae with COXI, ATP synthase α , TFAM and Actin antibodies. Controls in lane 1-3 and ubiquitously expressed *UAS*-*TFAM* in lane 4-6. Actin is used as a loading control, to which other proteins were normalised. (B) Quantification of mitochondrially encoded COXI (n = 3) (C) Quantification of nuclear encoded ATP synthase α (n=3). Controls are *Gal80ts; tubulin-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. Data were analysed with a student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, * p≤0.05

3.2.3 Loss of synaptic mitochondria in all ETC models

I have identified and validated RNAi lines, which target knockdown of a single subunit of 4 out of 5 OXPHOS complexes, which may be suitable models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction. We have also identified a model of mitochondrial dysfunction which potentially affects all OXPHOS complexes by reducing expression of mtDNA encoded genes- *TFAM* overexpression. Each of these models induces climbing dysfunction, when knockdown or overexpression occurs in motor neurons. However, it is unclear how the mitochondria are effected in these neurons. To investigate this, genetically encoded, mitochondrially targeted GFP (mitoGFP) was used to visualise mitochondria at the NMJ. I visualised mitochondria at the NMJ for three reasons. Firstly, the synaptic compartment has a large energy demand, due to synaptic transmission. Mitochondria are therefore particularly important here for their role in ATP production (Harris, Jolivet et al.) and are preferentially trafficked from the soma, where the majority of mitochondria are produced, to pre- and postsynaptic terminals (Chang, Honick et al. 2006). Neurons are therefore particularly reliant on the correct trafficking of mitochondria to the synapse (Schwarz 2013). Secondly, motor neurons synapse on muscles at the neuromuscular junction (NMJ). Neuromuscular junctions in *Drosophila* third instar larvae are well characterised and so it is easy to identify the same synapse repeatedly (Figure 3.6A). Finally, Umut Cagin (Bateman lab) has previously imaged mitochondria in the NMJ, proximal axon, distal axon and cell body in the *TFAM* overexpression model. In this model mitochondrial loss was observed at the NMJ, but not at the cell body (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015).

The number and volume of mitochondria in the NMJ of third instar larvae was significantly reduced when *ND-75* (CI), *UQCR-14* (CIII), *COX5B* (CIV) and *ATPsynCf6* (CV) were knocked down in motor neurons with the *OK371-Gal4* driver (Figure 3.6B-H). The degree of mitochondrial loss mirrors the severity observed in climbing dysfunction.

It is possible that OXPHOS RNAi reduced the mitochondrial volume and number because the NMJs were smaller. Altered ATP and ROS levels have previously been shown to alter NMJ size. *Drosophila* mutants with disrupted glycolysis display a loss in ATP in the brain and an increase in bouton diameter at the NMJ (Wang, Saraswati et al. 2004). Similarly, increased oxidative stress causes bouton overgrowth, quantified by bouton number (Milton, Jarrett et al. 2011). To determine whether the bouton size or number was affected in our models of mitochondrial dysfunction, the number and diameter of boutons at the NMJ were measured. Volume of the entire NMJ would have been a more preferable measure, however, due to the variability of the HRP stain, this could not be measured reliably. A small decrease in bouton number was observed in *ATPsynCf6* (CV) knockdown, whereas *ND-75* (CI) knockdown resulted in a small increase in bouton number (Figure 3.6I). All RNAi lines resulted in a small decrease in bouton diameter (Figure 3.6J). However, these changes are too small to fully explain the decrease in mitochondria. Thus the loss of mitochondria in the NMJ is more likely to be



due to the OXHOPS subunit knockdown directly, rather than a secondary effect on NMJ size.

Figure 3.6 Neuronal specific knockdown of OXPHOS complex subunits causes loss of synaptic mitochondria. (A) Schematic to show the position of the Ib NMJ on muscle 4, segment 3 in late third instar larvae. (B-F) NMJ stained with HRP (red) of *OK371-Gal4* and *UAS-mitoGFP* (green) with (B) *w1118* (control) (n = 19 - 21), (C) *UQCR-14* RNAi (n = 21), (D) *COX5B* RNAi (n = 20), (E) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 22), (E) *ND-75* RNAi (n = 24). (B'-F') Close up of mitoGFP expression in the white box.

Quantification of (G) mitochondrial number and (H) mitochondrial volume, (I) bouton number and (J) bouton diameter. Each RNAi line was dissected individually together with a control, so statistical analysis compared each RNAi to the relevant control using the student's t-test if data was normally distributed, and Mann-Whitney U if not. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, * $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

3.2.4 Changes in Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in the mitochondrial dysfunction models

ROS are produced as a by-product of OXPHOS produced at complexes I, II and III (see Introduction 1.2.4). Damage to all OXPHOS complexes has been reported to result in increased production of ROS, as if one complex is dysfunctional then the normal flow of electrons down the ETC is disrupted (Reinecke, Smeitink et al. 2009). Increased ROS, due to OXHOS dysfunction, can damage mitochondrial DNA and therefore damage mitochondrial OXPHOS subunits, producing a cycle of increasing dysfunction (Bonawitz, Rodeheffer et al. 2006). It is therefore important to characterise changes in ROS in the models of mitochondrial dysfunction we have developed.

A genetic tool developed by Albrecht et al, measures tissue specific changes in ROS in vivo, using reporters fused to redox sensitive GFPs (roGFP) (Hanson, Aggeler et al. 2004, Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011). A pair of redox-sensitive cysteine residues have been added to YFP and GFP close to the chromophore, to create fluorescent probes that respond to oxidation (Ostergaard, Henriksen et al. 2001, Hanson, Aggeler et al. 2004). When reduced, roGFP is excited at 488nm whereas oxidised roGFP is excited at 405nm. The ratio of 488:405nm therefore provides a readout of the redox potential of the roGFP. roGFP fused to glutaredoxin (Grx) was used as a reporter of the glutathione redox potential (E_{GSH}). Glutathione (GSH) is a ROS scavenger that reduces H₂O₂ produced in the cell, becoming oxidised itself and converting into glutathione disulphide (GSSG) and water. This system acts to protect the cell from increased ROS (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011). Grx is a catalyst for this reaction (Lillig, Berndt et al. 2008). A dithiol/disulphide switch on the fused roGFP responds to oxidation of Grx, producing measurable fluorescent changes, irrespective of physiological changes in pH. When fused to Grx (roGFP2-Grx1), the roGFP is reduced by GSH and oxidised by GSSG, and so the roGFP fluorescence depends on the E_{GSH} (Gutscher, Pauleau et al. 2008). roGFP fused to oxidant receptor peroxidase (ORP), a microbial sensor of H_2O_2 , responds to oxidation of ORP and therefore provides a tool to measure levels of H_2O_2 ,

independent of Grx. Mitochondrial target sequences have also been coupled to these constructs, so that ROS levels can be measured independently in these sub-compartments of the cell.

I used these redox sensors to examine ROS levels in my models of mitochondrial dysfunction, at the NMJ, where mitochondrial levels are reduced. The OK371-Gal4 driver was used to drive expression of the OXPHOS complex RNAi lines and the ROS reporters in motor neurons. The fluorescence signal for each probe was measured at the NMJ in fully oxidised and fully reduced condition in order to measure the dynamic range of each probe at the NMJ. Cytosolic roGFP2-Grx and mitochondrial roGFP-ORP were tested at the NMJ, however, the fluorescent range at the NMJ was not sufficient for these two probes (data not shown), so they were not pursued further. The dynamic range of fully oxidised and fully reduced mitochondrial roGFP2-Grx controls was adequate and so the mitochondrial E_{gsh} was assessed (Figure 3.7).

In motor neurons with the *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi, the redox state of mitochondrial targeted roGFP2-Grx was no different from control (Figure 3.7D-E). *COX5B* (CIV) and *ATPsynCf6* (CV) knockdown in motor neurons resulted significantly reduced roGFP2-Grx, which signifies lower levels of ROS (Figure 3.7F-I). *TFAM* overexpression also results in reduced mitochondrial roGFP-Grx in the NMJ (this experiment was carried out by Dr Ariana Gatt)(Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). One possible explanation for this reduction of ROS, may be that the dysfunctional mitochondria in these models are not as active and normal, so ETC activity is reduced and less ROS produced.

Complex I dysfunction is strongly associated with increased ROS in the literature (Pitkanen and Robinson , Tretter, Sipos et al. 2004). At the NMJ of flies with *ND-75* (CI) knockdown, mitochondrial roGFP2-Grx was significantly oxidised compared with control (Figure 3.7J-K).



Figure 3.7 Neuronal specific knockdown of ETC complex subunits causes altered glutathione redox potential in the mitochondrial matrix. Representative images of NMJs on muscle 4 segment 3 with *OK371-Gal4* driven *mito-roGFP2-Grx1*. NMJs are stained with HRP (red), ro-GFP was excited with 488nm (green) and 405nm (blue) images show these channels merged. (A) Fully reduced (Redu) controls (n = 5-11) and (B) fully oxidised (Oxid) controls (n = 5-12) were produced by treatment with DTT and DA respectively. (C) Control (*w1118*) NMJ (n = 18-26) (D) *UQCR-14* RNAi (n = 20) quantified in (E). (F) *COX5B* RNAi (n = 27) quantified in (G). (H) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 19) quantified in (I). (J) *ND-75* RNAi (n = 18) quantified in (K). (A', B', C', D', E', F') Closer detail of 488nm and 405nm overlay in the white boxed area. Data were analysed using one way ANOVA. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, * $p \le 0.05$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

3.2.5 MitoTimer oxidation changes in mitochondrial dysfunction models

Glutaredoxin and ORP fused to roGFP are tools to measure instantaneous ROS changes. To understand the health of mitochondria over time another *in vivo* reporter was utilised. A 'fluorescent timer' construct has been developed which irreversibly changes fluorescent emission when oxidised (Terskikh, Fradkov et al. 2000). It encodes a mutant of DsRed which is excited at 488nm (green) until it is oxidised, when it shifts to excition at 546nm (red). Laker et al. fused the mitochondrial targeting sequence from a nuclear encoded subunit of OXPHOS complex IV (cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIII), to target the 'fluorescent timer' construct to mitochondria, creating mitoTimer (Laker, Xu et al. 2014). When driven by a constitutively active driver mitoTimer accumulates in the mitochondria. The ratio of 546nm signal (oxidised) to 488nm signal therefore gives an indication mitochondrial stress and turnover, and is therefore a general indicator of mitochondrial health.

MitoTimer oxidation was measured in the motor neuron cell bodies in the VNC, as well as at the NMJ, for *D42-Gal4* driven *ND-75* (CI), *UQCR-14* (CIII), *COX5B* (CIV) and *ATPsynCf6* (CV) RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression. In the cell bodies, *UQCR-14* (CIII), *COX5B* (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* (CV) knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression had no effect on mitoTimer oxidation (Figure 3.8A-E,G). However, the mitoTimer reporter shows increased oxidation in the motor neuron cell bodies in flies with *ND-75* (CI) RNAi (Figure 3.8F).





At the NMJ, *UQCR-14* (CIII) knockdown had no effect on the oxidation of mitoTimer (Figure 3.9A-B', G). However, *COX5B* (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* (CV) and *ND-75* (CI) RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression all caused an increase in oxidation of the mitoTimer reporter (Figure 3.9C-G). This could indicate increased ROS production at the NMJ mitochondria, however it may also be due to reduced turnover of damaged synaptic mitochondria.



Figure 3.9 Oxidation of mitoTimer in synaptic mitochondria in complex I, IV and V RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression. Representative images of mitoTimer at the NMJ with *D42-Gal4*. The NMJ is stained with HRP (blue). MitoTimer fluoresces when excited by 488nm (green), until it is oxidised when it is excited at 546nm (red), these two channels are overlayed. Images of (A) control (n = 22), (B) complex III RNAi (n = 18), (C) complex IV RNAi (n = 18), (D) complex V RNAi (n = 18), (E) *TFAM* overexpression (n = 17), (F) complex I RNAi (n = 17). (A', B', C', D', E', F') 488nm and 546nm signal in the white boxed area. (G) Quantification of all genotypes

compared to control using a one way ANOVA. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, *** $p \le 0.01$.

3.2.6 ATP to ADP ratio in flies with neuronal complex V and complex I knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression.

Mitochondria are best known for their role in ATP production, so it is important to characterise changes in ATP levels in our models of mitochondrial dysfunction. Measuring ATP levels in neurons poses a challenge. ATP can be measured from homogenate of the CNS, however, this will also contain a large number of glia, which provide metabolic support for neurons. CNS homogenate will there contain a mix of neurons with mitochondrial dysfunction and healthy glia. These glia may be compensating for changes in neuronal ATP and so affect ATP measurements. To avoid these problems we used a fluorescent reporter, which had been developed in bacteria to measure the neuronal ratio of ATP to ADP *in vivo*. The ratio of ATP to ADP is thought to be more important than the total amount of ATP (Tantama, Martínez-François et al. 2013). Total ATP varies considerably from cell to cell, whereas the ratio of ATP to ADP gives a more accurate measure of metabolism (Veech, Lawson et al. 1979, Berg, Hung et al. 2009).

Berg, Hung and Yellen identified a bacterial protein, GlnK1, which acts as an endogenous energy sensor, which they fused to a fluorescent biosensor to create a tool that visualises ATP: ADP (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). GlnK1 regulates transport of ammonia into the bacterium, depending on cellular energy. It blocks the ammonia transporter unless bound to both ATP and 2-ketaglutarate (Durand and Merrick 2006, Yildiz, Kalthoff et al. 2007). ATP binds to GlnK1 with a very high affinity, approximately 0.04µM (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). This binding results in a conformational change in the disordered 'T-loop' of GlnK1, into a closed loop (Yildiz, Kalthoff et al. 2007). ADP also binds to GlnK1, however, it has a 5 times lower binding affinity and results in a smaller conformational change (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). A circularly permuted yellow fluorescent protein (cpYFP) was fused to GlnK1. To create a circularly permuted YFP, the N and C termini were fused with a peptide linker and new termini were created closer to the chromophore. When the new termini are fused to another protein, the fluorescence of the YFP becomes dependant on conformational changes in the fused protein (Baird, Zacharias et al. 1999). Fluorescence of cpYFP, inserted in the T-loop of GlnK1 between tyrosine 51 and isoleucine 52, is sensitive to

conformational changes in Glnk1. Excitation of Glnk1-cpYFP at 488nm produces a prominent emission peak, with a smaller peak when excited at 405nm. When ATP binds to GlnK1, the conformational change in the T-loop produces a ratiometric change in cYFP excitation. The 405nm peak is reduced and the 488nm peak is enhanced. Binding of ADP to the GlnK1-cpYFP also results in the same ratiometric change, however, ADP does not completely close the T-loop and so the change in fluorescence is smaller.

GlnK1's ability to act as an ATP:ADP sensor depends four of its properties: ADP and ATP have a very high binding affinity with GlnK1, ADP and ATP bind competitively to the protein, ATP has a higher binding affinity than ADP, ATP produces a greater conformational change in GlnK1 and therefore a larger ratiometric change. When ATP:ADP levels are very high ATP will outcompete ADP and produce the maximal ratiometric change in cpYFP fluorescence with a large peak at 488nm and a small peak at 405nm. If ATP:ADP levels are lower, ADP will compete with ATP, therefore reducing the 488nm excitation and increasing the 405nm excitiation. GlnK1 was optimised through mutagenesis, to have a lower sensitivity to 2-ketaglutarate, faster kinetics and a higher affinity for ADP (0.02μ M) and this optimised construct fused to cpYFP was called Perceval (Berg, Hung et al. 2009).

In order to use Perceval as a ATP:ADP sensor in *Drosophila*, I cloned the construct into a P-element that was then inserted into the *Drosophila* genome. A single copy of the construct emitted fluorescence when excited with 488nm light, however the 405nm was barely visible above background (data not shown). This was the case for fixed samples, fixed in 4% formaldehyde or using PLP, a fixative protocol aimed to preserve fluorescent signals, and during live imaging. Two copies of the construct also gave a signal that was similar to background levels at the 405nm excitation (data not shown). However, with 3 copies of Perceval, it was possible to measure both wavelengths in motor neuron cell bodies in the VNC (Figure 3.10).

Third instar larvae with *ATPsynCf6* (CV) RNAi or *TFAM* overexpression in motor neurons did not display any change in ATP:ADP ratio in motor neuron cell bodies compared to control (Figure 3.10A-E). *ND-75* (CI) RNAi, however, resulted in a decrease in ATP:ADP by approximately 50% (Figure 3.10F-G). *UQCR-14* (CIII) and *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi have not been tested to date, however the relevant flies for these experiments are currently being made.



Figure 3.10 Neuronal specific knockdown of complex I reduces the ATP:ADP ratio Motor neuron cell bodies in the VNC with *OK371-Gal4* and three copies of the Perceval construct. Merged images with 488nm (green) and 405nm (blue) in (**A,B,D,F**). The ratio of 488nm/405nm represents the ATP:ADP ratio in (**A**) Control (*w1118*) larvae (n = 16-17), (**B**) *ATPsynCf6* knockdown (n = 17) quantified in (**C**). (**D**) Larvae overexpressing *TFAM* (n = 17) quantified in (**E**). *ND-75* knockdown (**F**) quantified in (**G**). Signal in the 488nm channel in the white boxed areas are shown in (**A',B',D',F'**) and the 405nm signal from the same areas are shown in (**A'',B'',D'',F''**). Data were analysed using the student's t-test, using Welch's correction when the variance was not equal. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, *** p≤0.001.
3.3 Summary

The aim of this chapter was develop and characterise models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction induced by knockdown of the five complexes involved in oxidative phosphorylation and one model inhibiting mtDNA transcription. A library of RNAi lines that targeted neuronally encoded subunits of each complex was screened, to identify knockdowns that caused a climbing phenotype. Suitable RNAi lines were identified for complex I, III, IV and V. There was a scale of phenotype severity, with *ND-75* (CI) RNAi inducing the most extreme phenotype and *UQCR-14* (CIII) knockdown causing the mildest climbing dysfunction. *TFAM* overexpression was used as a model that targets mitochondrial dysfunction via mtDNA, and had a severe effect on climbing ability.

One RNAi for each of these complexes was further characterised. Loss of mitochondria was observed at the synapse of each model. This phenotype was most severe with *ND*-75 (CI) knockdown and least penetrant in the *UQCR-14* (CIII) knockdown, as with the climbing phenotype. Similar loss of mitochondria at the synapse had previously been observed in the *TFAM* overexpression model (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015).

The RNAi knockdown for these models was validated with qRT-PCR, and is similar in all lines. *ND-75* was shown to be significantly knocked down in the brain, however, it was not significantly knocked down when the RNAi was expressed ubiquitously (see Discussion 7.1.2). *TFAM* overexpression was validated by western blot, which showed TFAM levels were increased and that this causes reduced expression of mtDNA encoded protein COXI.

ROS levels were measured using mitochondrially targeted roGFP-Grx, to measure the glutathione redox potential. Mitochondrial roGFP-Grx revealed no change in mitochondrial ROS in synaptic mitochondria with *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi and reduced ROS levels in *COX5B* (CIV) and *ATPsynCf6* (CV) RNAi. Our previous work had also shown a reduced ROS level in synaptic mitochondria when *TFAM* is overexpressed (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). *ND-75* (CI) RNAi, however, caused oxidation in mitochondria at the synapse using this probe.

The mitoTimer construct reports accumulation of oxidation in the mitochondria. In motor neuron cell bodies, no change in oxidation occurred in *UQCR-14* (CIII), *COX5B* (CIV) or *ATPsynCf6* (CV) knockdown models, or in *TFAM* overexpression. *ND-75* (CI) knockdown, however caused an increase in oxidation in mitochondria at the cell body. At the NMJ all of the mitochondrial dysfunction models led to increased oxidation in mitochondria apart from *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi.

To assess possible changes in energy levels, the ATP:ADP ratio was measured in motor neuron cell bodies of *ND-75* (CI) and *ATPsynCf6* (CV) RNAi models and the *TFAM* overexpression model, using the Perceval probe. Knockdown of *ATPsynCf6* (CV) and overexpression of *TFAM* did not alter ATP:ADP, however, there was a reduction in ATP:ADP when *ND-75* (CI) was knocked down in motor neurons.

4 INVESTIGATING THE TRANSCRIPTIONAL RESPONSE TO OXPHOS SUBUNIT KNOCKDOWN AND *TFAM* OVEREXPRESSION MODELS OF MITOCHONDRIAL DYSFUNCTION IN NEURONS.

4.1 Introduction

A retrograde response, from dysfunctional mitochondria back to the nucleus, has been well characterised in budding yeast (see Introduction 1.4.1) (Jia, Rothermel et al. 1997), but the retrograde response in multicellular organisms is less well understood. Analysis of transcriptional changes following different mitochondrial insults has shown how varied the retrograde response in multicellular organism can be.

A study in human cell lines, compared a mtDNA mutation, A3243G, with loss of mtDNA. The A3243G mutation is associated with MELAS disease and is caused by a point mutation in tRNA^{Leu}, required for translation of mitochondrial proteins. There were common transcriptional changes in both cases, leading to an upregulation in extracellular matrix genes and a downregulation in genes involved in ribosomal protein synthesis and ubiquitin degradation (Jahangir Tafrechi, Svensson et al. 2005). However, there were also a subset of genes, involved in OXPHOS that were only upregulated in the cell with complete mtDNA loss (Jahangir Tafrechi, Svensson et al. 2005). A further study looked at increasing copy number of the A3243G mutation in mitochondria and found distinct transcriptional and phenotypic changes depending on the A3243G mutation load (Picard, Zhang et al. 2014).

The transcriptional response to loss of individual components of the TCA cycle also varies depending on which component of the TCA cycle is affected. Microarray analysis of yeast cells with mutations in different subunits of TCA cycle enzymes reveals a subset of 23 genes that are altered in an inverse pairwise fashion along the cycle (McCammon, Epstein et al. 2003). These differences may be mediated by the metabolites that are differentially produced depending on which enzyme is affected (McCammon, Epstein et al. 2003).

These studies demonstrate that there are unique transcriptional responses depending upon the specific mitochondrial insult. However, they also show that there are commonly regulated genes and pathways that are modulate by mitochondrial dysfunction. Elucidating these pathways is essential for developing new therapeutic approaches to diseases associated with mitochondrial dysfunction.

Transcriptional changes have been studied in different models of mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila*. Microarrays have been performed on adult flies containing a point mutation in *technical knockout (tko)*, the gene that encodes mitochondrial ribosomal protein S12 (Fernandez-Ayala, Chen et al. 2010) and adult *pink1* mutants (Tufi, Gandhi et al. 2014). Transcriptional changes due to the knockdown of complex IV subunit *COX5A* in *Drosophila* S2 cells, have also been documented (Freije, Mandal et al. 2012). It is difficult however, to determine if differences between the transcriptional changes in these models are due to the different mitochondrial insults, or differences in the models, tissue type and conditions. To address this issue I aim to perform microarray analysis of the OXPHOS knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression models described in the previous chapter.

Previous microarray analysis of neuronal *ATPsynCf6* RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression in the *Drosophila* CNS showed that approximately 50% of genes differentially regulated in the two conditions are common to both (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). I therefore hypothesise that the mitochondrial models I describe in Chapter 3 will also produce unique and overlapping transcriptional responses. Knowledge of the transcriptional changes in these models may allow me to identify pathways that can modulate the phenotypes caused by mitochondrial dysfunction in all of these conditions.

4.1.1 Chapter aims

In this chapter my aims are to –

- 1. Characterise transcriptional changes in the OXPHOS knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression models I have described in the previous chapter.
- 2. Validate the microarray data by looking at expression of transcriptional reporters *in vivo*.
- 3. Identify common pathways between the conditions as well as differences between them.
- 4. Regulate the transcriptional response, to modulate the functional outcome of mitochondrial dysfunction in the different models.

4.2 Results

4.2.1 Characterisation of the transcriptional response to mitochondrial dysfunction in *TFAM* overexpression and OXPHOS complex knockdown models.

In order to characterise the neuronal transcriptional response to different forms of mitochondrial dysfunction, I carried out microarray analysis on CNS tissue from third instar larvae expressing OXPHOS complex subunit knockdown or *TFAM* overexpression, pan-neuronally with the *nSyb-Gal4* driver. Pan-neuronal knockdown of OXPHOS complex subunits (*ND-75, UQCR-14, COX5B, ATPsynCf6*) and over expression of *TFAM* with this driver is pupal lethal.

The Affymetrix *Drosophila* genome v2 GeneChip array, which contains a set of 18, 880 probes, for over 18 500 transcripts, was used. The microarrays were performed by Dr David Chambers. Data from these arrays were processed using the Affymetrix Expression Console and the Affymetrix Transcriptome Analysis Console, significance was determined using one way ANOVA. In each condition of mitochondrial dysfunction 358-840 genes were differentially expressed (p < 0.05) (Table 7, Figure 4.1). Heat maps show gene expression of the 20 genes with the lowest p-value in each condition, and how these genes changed in the other mitochondrial dysfunction models (Figure 4.2). In many cases gene expression changes are similar in all mitochondrial dysfunction models compared to control.



Figure 4.1 Volcano plots of gene expression changes in OXPHOS knockdown models and *TFAM* overexpression. Gene expression levels in *nSyb-Gal4* (A) *ND-75* (CI) RNAi, (B) *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi, (C) *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi, (D) *ATPsynCf6* (CV) RNAi, (E) *TFAM* overexpression, compared to control. Genes that are increased with p < 0.05 are highlighted in red. Genes that are decreased with p < 0.05 are highlighted in green. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. The X axis represents fold change and the Y axis p-value (-10 log₁₀ p-value).



Figure 4.2 Heat maps showing genes with the most significant p-values in each condition of mitochondrial dysfunction. Twenty genes with the lowest p-value in *nSyb-Gal4* driven (**A**) *ND-75* RNAi (CI), (**B**) *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII), (**C**) *COX5B* RNAi (CIV), (**D**) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV), (**E**) *TFAM* overexpression from the microarray analysis. Fold change of each other condition is shown, whether significant or not. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. (**F**) Scale bar showing colour representation of log₂ fold change.

However, the conditions do display individual adaptations to mitochondrial dysfunction. Approximately 50% of the differentially regulated genes in each model were only changed in that model alone (Table 7). The percentage of uniquely altered genes remains at approximately 50% when fold change cut-offs of 1.5 or 2 were applied (see Appendix 9.1.1). This shows that there is a unique transcriptional response to different mitochondrial insults.

However, approximately 50% of the genes changed in each condition were also changed in at least one other model (Table 7, see Appendix 9.1.1 for fold change cut offs 1.5 and 2). When the commonly altered genes are compared pairwise, it is revealed that there is a positive correlation in each comparison (Figure 4.3). This suggests that there are also commonly regulated responses to mitochondrial dysfunction.

Table 7. The number of genes changed in the microarray, in each condition compared to control, p < 0.05. Different probes for a single gene that were significantly changed were recorded as one apart from when oppositely regulated, in which case these probes were recorded separately in this table. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. Genes with oppositely regulated probes were (CI) *CG43102*, *mamo*, *mod(mdg4)*, (CIII) *CG42594*, *CG42755*, *CG9650*, (CIV) *CG32369*, *Cyp18a1*, (CV), *Meltrin*, *tlk*, (*TFAM*) *muscleblind*.

		Increased Decreased		Only cha this con	nged in dition	Nı	Number of genes in common				
	number of genes	number of genes	%	number of genes	%	number of genes	%	С Ш	C IV	C V	TFAM
СІ	523	270	52	253	48	266	51	102	54	128	121
СШ	669	401	60	268	40	354	53		95	181	125
CIV	358	220	62	138	39	202	56			92	86
CV	840	477	57	363	43	413	49				262
TFAM	632	299	47	333	53	272	43				



Figure 4.3 Correlations between genes significantly changed in the OXPHOS knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression models. (A-J) Graphs showing the correlation between genes that were commonly regulated in each condition pairwise. All correlations were significant with p-value < 0.001 (***). R value corresponds to Pearson r. (A,B) One outlier has been removed from these two graphs. Cyp6a17 is upregulated in *ND*-75 RNAi, *COX5B* RNAi and *UQCR-14* RNAi. However, it has a fold change of 179 in *ND*-75 RNAi, tenfold greater than any other transcript in *ND*-75 RNAi. See Appendix 9.1.2 for graphs containing this outlier. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. Axes indicate fold change.

Eleven genes are significantly altered in all 5 conditions of mitochondrial dysfunction compared to control (Table 8). Gene ontology (GO) analysis reveals roles for these genes in membrane transport, chromatin remodelling, glycolysis and behaviour regulation suggesting that these are commonly regulated processes in the response to mitochondrial dysfunction (Table 9).

		Fold change vs control				
Gene	Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
Synapse protein 24	Snap24	-12.59	-8.87	-6.81	-9.2	-11.46
Rieske iron-sulphur protein	RFeSP	-9.63	-5.43	-6.16	-4.32	2.11
CG11324	homer	-1.88	-1.66	-1.84	-1.97	-1.77
CG16753	CG16753	-1.33	-1.67	-2.33	-1.35	-1.36
CG10960	CG10960	1.79	2.1	1.79	1.78	1.48
Zinc/iron regulated transporter-related protein 102B	Zip102B	2.03	-9.51	2.21	2.05	-14.83
mutator 2	mu2	2.34	3.13	2.32	2.54	2.34
CG5079	CG5079	3.19	3.49	3	7.6	2.5
Ecdysone-inducible gene L3	ImpL3	4.49	3.34	3.13	5.51	2.38
CG15784 gene	CG15784	15.54	4	3.19	7.34	3.16
Activity-regulated cytoskeleton associated protein 1	Arc1	19.02	7.02	5.78	9.2	5.68

Table 8. Genes significantly changed in all microarrays, compared to control. Cells highlighted in green correspond to significantly (p < 0.05) decreased gene expression. Red cells show significantly upregulated genes. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*.

Table 9. GO molecular function and biological processes for genes significantly changed in all microarrays. GO terms gene identified using Panther. Changes with p < 0.05 are considered significant.

Gene	Symbol	Molecular function	Biological Process
Synapse protein 24	Snap24	SNAP receptor activity(GO:0005484); syntaxin binding(GO:0019905)	vesicle-mediated transport(GO:0016192); neurotransmitter secretion(GO:0007269); Golgi to plasma membrane transport(GO:0006893); membrane fusion(GO:0061025)
Rieske iron-sulfur protein	RFeSP	ubiquinol-cytochrome-c reductase activity(GO:0008121); 2 iron, 2 sulfur cluster binding(GO:0051537)	mitochondrial electron transport, ubiquinol to cytochrome c(GO:0006122)
CG11324	homer	protein binding(GO:0005515)	adult behaviour(GO:0030534); regulation of locomotion(GO:0040012); response to ethanol(GO:0045471); behavioural response to ethanol(GO:0048149); positive regulation of circadian sleep/wake cycle, sleep(GO:0045938)
CG16753	CG16753	unknown	unknown
CG10960	CG10960	glucose transmembrane transporter activity(GO:0005355)	transmembrane transport(GO:0055085); positive regulation of JAK-STAT cascade(GO:0046427)
Zinc/iron regulated transporter-related protein 102B	Zip102B	metal ion transmembrane transporter activity(GO:0046873)	metal ion transport(GO:0030001); transmembrane transport(GO:0055085)
mutator 2	mu2	RNA polymerase II transcription coactivator activity(GO:0001105)	double-strand break repair(GO:0006302); regulation of chromatin silencing at centromere(GO:0090052); regulation of chromatin organization(GO:1902275)
CG5079	CG5079	unknown	unknown
Ecdysone- inducible gene L3	ImpL3	L-lactate dehydrogenase activity(GO:0004459)	glycolytic process(GO:0006096); carboxylic acid metabolic process(GO:0019752); carbohydrate metabolic process(GO:0005975); oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114); myoblast fusion(GO:0007520); somatic muscle development(GO:0007525)
CG15784 gene product from transcript CG15784-RA	CG15784	unknown	unknown
Activity-regulated cytoskeleton associated protein 1	Arc1	nucleic acid binding(GO:0003676); zinc ion binding(GO:0008270)	muscle system process(GO:0003012); behavioural response to starvation(GO:0042595)

To further investigate the transcriptional changes induced by mitochondrial dysfunction, GO enrichment analysis was performed (see appendices 9.1.3, 9.1.4, 9.1.5, 9.1.6, 9.1.7 for the 15 most significant GO enrichment clustering per each genotype). All enrichment analysis was done on DAVID, using the *Drosophila* Genome v2 Array as the background gene list. Among the enriched clusters, there were a number of themes which were reoccurring in all genotypes, particularly secondary metabolic processes, including glycolysis and transport of sugar, as well as response to ROS such as glutathione transferase activity and oxidoreductase activity. Heat maps were made of genes in the glutathione transferase activity and oxidoreductase activity clusters (Figure 4.4A) and glycolysis cluster (Figure 4.4B). These show that although there were some difference between the genotypes, regulation of genes from these clusters were quite similar in all mitochondrial dysfunction models. Other common enriched clusters include amino acid transportation, immune response and response to stimuli.

To look more closely at the common changes between different mitochondrial insults, the genes that were changed in common between pairs of each genotype were analysed for GO enrichment, using DAVID when p < 0.05. Figure 4.5 shows bar graphs representing the enriched GO terms of the commonly regulated genes.



Figure 4.4 Heat maps of genes in glutathione transferase activity and oxidoreductase activity clusters and glycolysis cluster. Heat maps showing fold change in *nSyb-Gal4* driven *ND-75* RNAi (CI), *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII), *COX5B* RNAi (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV), *TFAM* overexpression in a subset of genes from (**A**) glutathione transferase activity and oxidoreductase activity functional annotation clusters, and (**B**) glycolysis functional annotation cluster. Controls are *nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*. (**C**) Scale bar shows the log₂ fold change, green indicates downregulation and red indicates upregulation.





NAD(P)-binding domain

electron transport

Glucose/ribitol dehydrogenase



Figure 4.5 GO enrichment of common genes between each OXPHOS model and *TFAM* overexpression model, pairwise. Statistically significant (p < 0.05) enriched functional annotation terms for commonly regulated genes (p<0.05) between (A) *ND*-75 RNAi and *UQCR-14* RNAi, (B) *ND*-75 RNAi and *COX5B* RNAi, (C) *ND*-75 RNAi and *ATPsynCf6* RNAi, (D) *ND*-75 RNAi and *TFAM* o/e, (E) *UQCR-14* RNAi and *COX5B* RNAi, (F) *UQCR-14* RNAi and *ATPsynCf6* RNAi, (G) *UQCR-14* RNAi and *TFAM* o/e, (H) *COX5B* RNAi and *ATPsynCf6* RNAi, (I) *COX5B* RNAi and *TFAM* o/e, (J) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi and *TFAM* o/e. The x axis represents the number of genes associated with each term significantly changed in both conditions.

RhoGAP

NAD(P)-binding domain oxidoreductase RhoGAP

sugar transmembrane transporter activity

Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism

4.2.2 Validation of the microarrays *in vivo*: *Ilp3* and *Thor* expression in neurons with *ATPsynCf6* RNAi

In order to validate the microarrays, genes that were significantly altered in the microarray were assayed *in vivo* in CNS of third instar larvae of *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) compared to control larvae. I focussed on two genes, *Drosophila* insulin-like peptide 3 (*Ilp3*) and *Thor*, the *Drosophila* homolog of human initiation factor 4E binding protein 4E-BP. The microarray analysis revealed a significant decrease in *Ilp3* transcripts when *TFAM* was overexpressed and *ATPsynCf6* was knocked-down, compared to control (*TFAM* overexpression fold change = -1.88, p = 0.029, *ATPsynCf6* RNAi fold change =

-3.4, p = 0.014). Levels of *Ilp3* were also reduced in *COX5B* RNAi, (fold change = -1.58, p = 0.144), *UQCR-14* RNAi (fold change = -1.92, p = 0.084) and *ND-75* RNAi (fold change = -1.2, p = 0.57) although these decreases did not reach significance. Neuronal *Ilp3* expression levels have previously been shown to be decreased *in vivo* when *TFAM* is overexpressed (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). I measured *Ilp3* expression levels in the median secretory neurons in the CNS of third instar larvae with panneuronal *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) and found reduced levels of *Ilp3* expression compared to control (Figure 4.6).



Figure 4.6 Neuronal *Ilp3* expression is reduced by complex V subunit knockdown *in vivo*. Expression of *Ilp3-lacZ* is visualised in the CNS neurosecretory cells by β -galactose immunostaining, in *nSyb-Gal4* driven (**A**) *w1118* (control) (n = 34) and (**B**) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 33). (**C**) Quantification of *Ilp3-lacZ* expression using student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, * p≤0.05.

Thor was significantly upregulated in three of the mitochondrial dysfunction conditions (*TFAM* overexpression fold change = 3.77, p = 0.011, *ATPsynCf6* RNAi fold change = 9.98, p = 0.001, *UQCR-14* RNAi fold change = 6.89, p = 0.012). A non-significant upregulation was also seen in complex IV and I knockdowns (*COX5B* RNAi fold change = 5.67, p = 0.239, *ND-75* RNAi fold change = 2.71, p = 0.074). Thor levels have previously been shown to increase in the CNS when *TFAM* is overexpressed (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Similarly, using *lacZ* enhancer trap insertion in the last exon of Thor, I find increased Thor expression in the motor neuron cell bodies when *ATPsynCf6* is knocked down compared to controls (Figure 4.7). For this experiment the *OK371*-

Gal4 driver and *UAS-mCD8-GFP* were used to allow identification of the motor neuron cell bodies. mCD8 is a mouse lymphocyte marker, which when fused with GFP, labels the membrane of cells expressing *Gal-4*, as mCD8 is a transmembrane protein (Lee and Luo 1999).

These results suggest that the data obtained in the microarrays reflect bona fide expression changes occurring *in vivo*, in response to mitochondrial dysfunction.



Figure 4.7 Neuronal Thor expression is increased by *ATPsynCf6* **knockdown** *in vivo. OK371-Gal4* driven expression of *Thor-lacZ*, *UAS-CD8-GFP* (green) and *UAS-Dicer2*. *Thor-lacZ* expression is visualised in the motor neuron cell bodies by β -galactose immunostaining (red) in *OK371-Gal4* driven (**A**) *w1118* (control) (n = 8) and (**B**) *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 7). (**C**) Quantification of *Thor-lacZ* expression, measured in the nuclei of GFP positive cells, using student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM. *** p≤0.001.

4.2.3 Neuronal *TFAM* overexpression phenotypes are partially rescued by *sima* knockdown

The transcriptional changes that occur following mitochondrial dysfunction are likely to be co-ordinated by key transcription factors. Glycolytic processes were enriched in all of the mitochondrial dysfunction conditions and so transcription factors known to regulate glycolysis are likely to be involved in the retrograde response from dysfunctional mitochondria back to the nucleus. The basic helix-loop-helix PAS domain transcription factor, HIF-1 α , is a cellular oxygen sensor, which plays a well characterised role in the cellular response to hypoxia, mediating a shift towards

glycolytic respiration and reduced protein synthesis (Lavista-Llanos, Centanin et al. 2002, Majmundar, Wong et al. 2010). Several of the genes identified in the microarrays, such as *Thor, Ilp3* and *Impl3*, are known to be regulated by hypoxia inducible factor (HIF-1 α) (Firth, Ebert et al. 1995, Lavista-Llanos, Centanin et al. 2002, Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Moreover, knockdown of the *Drosophila* homolog of *HIF-1\alpha, similar (sima)*, has been shown to inhibit the increase of *Thor* expression, when *TFAM* is overexpressed in neurons (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015).

In conditions of normoxia, HIF-1 α is hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs). Hydroxylated HIF-1 α is recognised and ubiquitinated by Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) and therefore targeted for degradation (Maxwell, Wiesener et al. 1999, Ziello, Jovin et al. 2007). These interactions are oxygen dependant, so in hypoxic conditions HIF-1 α is stabilised. When stabilised, HIF-1 α is able to bind to its constitutively acting dimerization partner, HIF-1 β , and to bind to genomic hypoxia-responsive elements to activate transcription of target genes (Semenza 2010).

There is already evidence that *sima* regulates *Thor* expression levels in *Drosophila* overexpressing TFAM in the CNS (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). So to investigate whether sima (the Drosophila homolog of HIF-1 α) plays a role in regulating transcriptional changes due to mitochondrial dysfunction, I knocked down *sima* in flies overexpressing TFAM. Sima RNAi was able to rescue the climbing deficit and wing inflation phenotype cause by neuronal *TFAM* overexpression (Figure 4.8A-B). An independent sima RNAi was also able to rescue these phenotypes (experiments performed by Dr Ariana Gatt) (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Knockdown of sima by two independent RNAi lines was also able to partially rescue lifespan deficits caused by D42-Gal4 driven TFAM overexpression (Figure 4.8C, Table 10, and Table 11), suggesting the benefits of *sima* knockdown are not purely transitory. It is important to note that the flies for these lifespan assays were not backcrossed, so the genetic background may have had an effect on their lifespan. However, the use of two independent RNAi increases the probability that the lifespan rescue observed was due to sima knockdown rather than background differences. qRT-PCR from larvae with ubiquitously expressed sima RNAi driven by daughterless (Da-Gal4) causes a 70% (sima HM00832 RNAi) and 65% (sima HM00833 RNAi) knockdown in levels of sima mRNA (Figure 4.8D). Taken together, these data suggest that sima knockdown is able



to reduce the impact of *TFAM* overexpression induced mitochondrial dysfunction on neuronal function.

Figure 4.8 Knockdown of *sima* **improves function of neurons overexpressing** *TFAM.* (A) Climbing at 25°C of *D42-Gal4* driven control (*w1118*) (n = 17), *sima* knockdown (n = 16), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 12) and *sima* RNAi with *TFAM* overexpression (n = 16) analysed by one way ANOVA. (**B**) Wing inflation of *D42-Gal4* driven control (n = 187), *sima* knockdown (n = 97), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 47) and *sima* RNAi with *TFAM* overexpression (n = 95) analysed by chi-squared. (**C**) Lifespan assay of female flies overexpressing *TFAM* in motor neurons compared to two nonoverlapping RNAi lines for *sima* with *D42-Gal4*. See Table 10 for statistical analysis and Table 11 for n numbers and median age. (D) RT-qPCR comparing levels of sima mRNA in *Da-Gal4* driven control (n = 8), *sima* RNAi HMS00883 (833) (n = 8), *sima* RNAi HMS00882 (832) (n = 7), analysed with a one way ANOVA. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, *** p≤0.001.

Table 10. Statistical analysis of lifespan assays in female flies. Log-Rank (Mantel Cox) test was used and the threshold p-value for significance was adjusted to account for 9 comparisons, to p < 0.0056. Control is *D42-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*.

			p-value	significance
Control	vs	TFAM o/e	< 0.0001	*
Control	vs	sima 832	0.4122	ns
Control	vs	sima 833	0.0711	ns
Control	vs	TFAM o/e; sima 832	0.0053	*
Control	vs	TFAM o/e; sima 833	0.0238	ns
TFAM o/e	vs	TFAM o/e; sima 832	0.0002	*
TFAM o/e	vs	TFAM o/e; sima 833	< 0.0001	*
sima 832	vs	TFAM o/e; sima 832	0.0007	*
sima 833	vs	TFAM o/e; sima 833	0.0004	*

 Table 11. Number of flies and median age of female flies in lifespan assay.

Genotype	Number of flies	Median age
Control	122	46
sima 832	120	46
sima 833	112	46
TFAM o/e	42	21.5
TFAM o/e; sima 832	65	44
TFAM o/e; sima 833	70	46

4.2.4 *Sima* knockdown partially rescues neuronal phenotypes in complex III, IV and V knockdown models.

Genes regulated by Sima, such as, *Impl3*, *Ilp3* and *Thor*, were also observed to change in the microarray analysis of OXPHOS models of mitochondrial dysfunction (see Table 8, and data not shown). So I next asked whether *sima* knockdown could also rescue mitochondrial dysfunction caused by complex I, III, IV and V subunit knockdown.

With the motor neuron driver *D42-Gal4* I have previously shown (see Results chapter 3, Figure 3.1) that *ND-75* RNAi (CI) is lethal and *D42-Gal4* driven *ATPsynCf6* (CV) and *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi cause a greater than 50% decrease in climbing ability at 25°C. *Sima* knockdown significantly improves the climbing of *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi flies, but has no effect on *ATPsynCf6* (CV) (Figure 4.9A-B). *ATPsynCf6* RNAi also results in a wing inflation defect, when driven by *D42-Gal4* (see Results chapter 3, Figure 3.1). This defect is rescued by *D42-Gal4* driven *sima* knockdown (Figure 4.9D). *D42-Gal4* driven *sima* knockdown (Figure 4.9D).



29°C, these flies climb to approximately 75% of the distance climbed by controls (see Results chapter 3, Figure 3.1). This climbing defect was not altered by *sima* RNAi (Figure 4.9C).



Figure 4.9. *D42-Gal4* driven *sima* knockdown rescues the climbing phenotype of complex IV knockdown and wing inflation phenotype of complex V knockdown. (A) Climbing assay of *D42-Gal4* driven *w1118* (control) (n= 10), *sima* RNAi (n = 10), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 11) and *ATPsynCf6* RNAi; *sima* RNAi (n = 11) at 25°C. (B) Climbing assay of control (n= 10), *sima* RNAi (n = 10), *COX5B* RNAi (n = 10) and *COX5B* RNAi; *sima* RNAi (n = 10) driven with *D42-Gal4* at 25°C. (C) Climbing assay at 29°C of *D42-Gal4* driven *w1118* (control) (n= 11), *sima* RNAi (n = 11), *UQCR-14* RNAi (n = 11) and *UQCR-14* RNAi; *sima* RNAi (n = 11). Data were analysed with a one way ANOVA. (D) Wing inflation assay of *D42-Gal4* driven *w1118* (control) (n = 224), *sima* RNAi (n = 130), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (n = 58) and *ATPsynCf6* RNAi; *sima* RNAi (n = 143) at 25°C. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, * p≤0.05, ** p≤0.01, *** p≤0.001. The motor neuron driver OK371-Gal4, in combination with UAS-Dicer2, gave a severe climbing phenotype with ATPsynCf6 (CV), COX5B (CIV) and UQCR-14 (CIII) RNAi (see Results chapter 3, Figure 3.1). When tested concurrently with sima knockdown, there was no significant change in the distance climbed in any of these three genotypes (Figure 4.10A,C,E). However, ATPsynCf6 (CV) and COX5B (CIV) RNAi flies were visually healthier with sima RNAi. Although ATPsynCf6 (CV) and COX5B (CIV) RNAi flies with *sima* knockdown were unable to climb very far up the tube, they were more able to get their whole bodies onto the vertical sides of the tube, than the flies expressing ATPsynCf6 (CV) and COX5B (CIV) RNAi alone. This difference in is not picked up by the climbing assay quantification as it only compares distance climbed by the flies. Therefore, I quantified the climbing ability of these flies more sensitively. Flies were categorised depending on their ability to climb up the tube, get their whole bodies on the tube without climbing further, placing their front legs on the tube, or being unable to get their legs on at all, in a three minute time period. When quantifying the data in this manner, a subtle yet significant improvement of climbing ability was revealed when sima was knocked down in ATPsynCf6 (CV) and COX5B (CIV) RNAi flies (Figure 4.10B,D). UQCR-14 RNAi flies were almost all able to climb up the vertical edge of the tube, apart from one fly (Figure 4.10F).

ND-75 RNAi is lethal with *D42-Gal4*, however it is possible to get adult flies with the *OK371-Gal4* driver with a severe climbing phenotype (see Results chapter 3, Figure 3.1). *ND-75* RNAi is inserted in the same genomic locus as both *sima* HMS00832 and HMS00833 RNAi, so I was unable to generate a stock with these RNAis together. A stock containing the *sima*^{KG07607} mutant and *OK371-Gal4* was therefore used to determine if reduced Sima levels rescues *ND-75* RNAi induced climbing dysfunction. This stock was not lethal at 25°C, probably because it did not contain *UAS-Dicer2* and so flies were grown at this temperature. *Sima*^{KG07607} is a loss of function mutant due to a P-element insertion in the second intron of *sima* (Lavista-Llanos, Centanin et al. 2002, Centanin, Ratcliffe et al. 2005). *sima*^{KG07607} was unable to change the climbing deficit of *OK371-Gal4* driven *ND-75* RNAi (Figure 4.10G). The low number of flies in this assay is due to the fact that many flies that eclosed died overnight (of both *ND-75* RNAi and *ND-75* RNAi, *sima*^{KG07607} genotypes). Repeats of this experiment are in progress to increase the n number. Categorisation of climbing ability of these flies was also not compared due to the low n number.















Figure 4.10 OK371-Gal4 driven sima knockdown partially rescues the climbing phenotype of complex IV and complex V knockdown. Climbing assays at 25°C with OK371-Gal4 and UAS-Dicer2 (A) Climbing assay of control (n= 10), sima RNAi (n = 10), ATPsynCf6 RNAi (n = 8) and ATPsynCf6 RNAi; sima RNAi (n = 10). (B) Climbing assay quantification when flies are binned into four groups depending on whether they could climb up the tube (climb), get their whole body on the vertical edge of the tube (body), get their front legs on the tube (legs) or could not get any of their legs onto the tube (none) for control (n= 20), sima RNAi (n = 20), ATPsynCf6 RNAi (n = 11) and ATP synCf6 RNAi; sima RNAi (n = 14) driven by OK371-Gal4 with UAS-Dicer. (C) Climbing assay of control (n= 10), sima RNAi (n = 10), COX5B RNAi (n = 10) and COX5B RNAi; sima RNAi (n = 10). (**D**) Climbing assay quantification when flies are binned into the four groups for control (n=20), sima RNAi (n=20), COX5B RNAi (n = 20) and *COX5B* RNAi; *sima* RNAi (n = 20) driven by *OK371-Gal4* with UAS-Dicer2. (E) Climbing assay of control (n= 10), sima RNAi (n = 10), UQCR-14 RNAi (n = 10) and UQCR-14 RNAi; sima RNAi (n = 10). (F) Climbing assay quantification when flies are binned into the four groups for control (n = 10), sima RNAi (n = 10), UQCR-14 RNAi (n = 10) and UQCR-14 RNAi; sima RNAi (n = 10) driven by OK371-Gal4 with UAS-Dicer2. (G) OK371-Gal4 driven climbing assays at 25°C of control (n= 5), heterozygous sima^{KG07607} (n = 5), ND-75 RNAi (n = 5) and ND-75 RNAi with heterozygous $sima^{KG07607}$ (n = 5). Continuous data were analysed with one way ANOVA, Categorical data were analysed with chi-squared. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

4.2.5 Pan- neuronal *sima* knockdown rescues lethality of *nSyb-Gal4* driven complex III, IV and V knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression

Climbing assays and wing inflation assays reveal some ability of *sima* knockdown to rescue neuronal function in *ATPsynCf6* (CV) and *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi flies. To investigate further, I tested whether *sima* knockdown was able to rescue the lethality of *nSyb-Gal4* driven OXPHOS knockdown models and *TFAM* overexpression. Heterozygous *sima^{KG07607}* rescued the lethality of *ATPsynCf6* (CV), *COX5B* (CIV) and *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII) at 25°C and 29°C. Heterozygous *sima^{KG07607}* rescued the lethality of *TFAM* overexpression at 25°C (experiment performed by Rachel Hunt). However, *sima^{KG07607}* was not able to rescue *nSyb-Gal4* driven *ND-75* RNAi lethality at 25°C or 29°C. *Sima* RNAi (833) was unable to rescue the lethality of any of the models of mitochondrial dysfunction at 25°C (Table 12).

Table 12. Viability of pan-neuronally driven OXPHOS knockdown models when *sima* **is knocked down with heterozygous** *sima*^{KG07607}**.** Viability of *TFAM* overexpression with heterozygous *sima*^{KG07607} was assessed Rachel Hunt.

	25°C			<u>29</u> °C		
<i>sima^{KG07607}/</i> +	-	+	-	-	+	
nSyb-Gal4 >	-	-	+	-	-	
sima KINAI (855)						
<i>nSyb-Gal4</i> > <i>ND-75</i> RNAi (CI)	pupal lethal	pupal lethal	N/A	pupal lethal	pupal lethal	
nSyb-Gal4 > UQCR-14 RNAi (CIII)	pupal lethal	viable	pupal lethal	pupal lethal	viable	
nSyb-Gal4 > COX5B RNAi (CIV)	pupal lethal	viable	pupal lethal	pupal lethal	viable	
nSyb-Gal4 > ATPsynCf6 RNAi (CV)	pupal lethal	viable	pupal lethal	pupal lethal	viable	
<i>nSyb-Gal4</i> > <i>TFAM</i> overexpression	pupal lethal	viable	pupal lethal	not done	not done	

4.2.6 Identifying HIF-1α responsive genes that are regulated differently in *ND-75* RNAi CNS

The experiments above show that mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes caused by the OXHPOS knockdown models and *TFAM* overexpression can by modified by manipulation of *sima* levels, apart from mitochondrial dysfunction caused by *ND-75* RNAi (CI). To probe further into the difference between *ND-75* RNAi (CI) and the other models, I looked at genes known to be regulated by *sima* in *Drosophila* and identified any genes that were differentially regulated in *ND-75* RNAi (CI) compared to the other conditions (Table 13).

The HIF dependant and independent response to hypoxia has been studied in *Drosophila* third instar larvae (Li, Padmanabha et al. 2013). HIF responsive genes were identified in two ways. Firstly, microarrays from control larvae and *sima* mutant larvae, under hypoxic conditions were compared. This gave a list of gene changes that were HIF independent (common to both conditions) and HIF dependent (only occurred in control larvae) (Li, Padmanabha et al. 2013). A second list of HIF responsive genes was produced by comparisons between *sima* mutant larvae in normoxia and hypoxia (giving genes that must be independent of HIF) and removing these genes from the list of genes that change in control larvae in hypoxia compared to normoxia (Li, Padmanabha et al. 2013). I compiled genes from both of these data sets to create a list of genes known to

be responsive to HIF in *Drosophila*. It is important to note that these genes were identified whole larval extracts, as opposed to just CNS tissue and that these are genes that are modified by HIF in hypoxic conditions. Therefore, this may not be an exhaustive list of HIF responsive genes and these genes may also be regulated by other transcription factors in different conditions. This list may also over-represent genes that are abundantly expressed in larval tissues such as the fat body, and miss genes expressed predominantly in neurons.

I compared the genes known to be responsive to HIF with genes that were significantly changed in the OXPHOS knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression models (see Appendix 9.1.8 for full dataset, p < 0.05). HIF responsive genes which were differentially regulated in *ND*-75 RNAi were then identified, these included genes that were only significantly altered in *ND*-75 RNAi or genes that were significantly changed in a conserved manner in least three of the other models and either not changed in *ND*-75 RNAi or oppositely regulated (Table 13). GO analysis was then performed on this set of genes to determine which HIF dependant process are being differentially regulated. Oxidoreductase activity and metal ion binding were the main clusters identified in this analysis (Figure 4.11). The role of these processes in the different mitochondrial dysfunction models may therefore be key to understanding why *ND*-75 RNAi is resistant to changes in *sima* levels.

Table 13. HIF-1α responsive genes that respond differently in <i>ND-75</i> RNAi
compared to other OXPHOS knockdown and TFAM overexpression microarrays.
Downregulation is highlighted in green, upregulation is highlighted in red. Grey cells
indicate no significant change in expression level. Biological Function annotation is
from Panther.

		Fold change (p < 0.05)				
Gene Symbol	Gene's Biological Function	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	o/e
CG10178		-13.51				
p24-2	proteolysis(GO:0006508)	-10.43				
Cyp28a5	regulation of multicellular organism growth(GO:0040014); regulation of insulin receptor signalling pathway(GO:0046626); ribosomal large subunit biogenesis(GO:0042273); ribosome biogenesis(GO:0042254);	-4.92				

		Fold change (p < 0.05)				
Gene Symbol	Gene's Biological Function	СІ	СШ	CIV	CV	o/e
	mitotic spindle assembly(GO:0090307);					
	cellular response to starvation(GO:0009267);					
	positive regulation of multicellular organism					
	growth(GO:0040018)					
CG42335	oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114)	-4.66				
CG33468		-3.97				
(CAD	oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114);	2.40				
SCAP	lipid metabolic process(GO:0006629)	-3.48				
	phagocytosis(GO:0006909);					
lectin-28C	dephosphorylation(GO:0016311)	-2.67				
	gastrulation involving germ band					
CG11652	extension(GO:0010004)	-2.24				
	tricarboxylic acid cycle(GO:0006099);					
CG9449	mitochondrial electron transport, succinate to	-1.38				
	ubiquinone(GO:0006121)					
CG8630		-1.38				
	defense response(GO:0006952);					
	antibacterial humoral					
	response(GO:0019731);					
	peptidoglycan catabolic					
	process(GO:0009253);					
	negative regulation of JNK					
	cascade(GO:0046329);					
RnrS	peptidoglycan recognition protein signalling	-1.31				
	pathway(GO:0061057);					
	response to bacterium(GO:0009617);					
	determination of adult					
	lifespan(GO:0008340);					
	defense response to other					
	organism(GO:0098542)					
CG1542	one-carbon metabolic process(GO:0006730)	-1.3				
	positive regulation of transcription from					
	RNA polymerase II promoter(GO:0045944);					
	regulation of transcription, DNA-					
SdhB	templated(GO:0006355);	-1.19				
	salivary gland cell autophagic cell					
	death(GO:0035071);					
	oogenesis(GO:0048477);					

		Fold change (p < 0.05)				
Gene Symbol	Gene's Biological Function	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	o/e
	autophagy(GO:0006914);cell					
	death(GO:0008219);					
	regulation of development,					
	heterochronic(GO:0040034)					
	oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114);					
	lauric acid metabolic process(GO:0048252);					
CG3940	insecticide metabolic process(GO:0017143);	-1.19				
	response to caffeine(GO:0031000)					
	protein autoubiquitination(GO:0051865);					
	protein ubiquitination involved in ubiquitin-					
CG10623	dependent protein catabolic	1.3				
	process(GO:0042787)					
	antibacterial humoral					
	response(GO:0019731);					
	immune response(GO:0006955);					
	defense response(GO:0006952);					
	regulation of cell growth(GO:0001558);					
	negative regulation of cell					
	size(GO:0045792);					
	negative regulation of translational					
	initiation(GO:0045947);					
	triglyceride metabolic process(GO:0006641);					
CG11158	response to starvation(GO:0042594);	1.38				
	response to oxidative stress(GO:0006979);					
	determination of adult					
	lifespan(GO:0008340);					
	regulation of mitochondrial					
	translation(GO:0070129);					
	response to bacterium(GO:0009617);					
	myoblast fusion(GO:0007520);					
	somatic muscle development(GO:0007525);					
	regulation of terminal button					
	organization(GO:2000331)					
	neurogenesis(GO:0022008);					
	negative regulation of programmed cell	1.45				
Сурбав	death(GO:0043069);	1.45				
	oogenesis(GO:0048477)					
Gld	metabolic process(GO:0008152)	1.61				
Eip74EF		1.67				

		Fold change (p < 0.05)				
Gene Symbol	Gene's Biological Function	СІ	СШ	CIV	CV	o/e
	ribosome biogenesis(GO:0042254);					
	rRNA processing(GO:0006364);				< 0.05) CV 	
	pseudouridine synthesis(GO:0001522);					
MESK2	germ cell development(GO:0007281);	1.93				
	wing disc development(GO:0035220);					
	neurogenesis(GO:0022008);					
	cellular response to starvation(GO:0009267)					
	DNA replication(GO:0006260);					
	oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114);					
	deoxyribonucleoside diphosphate metabolic					
	process(GO:0009186);					
CG32850	activation of cysteine-type endopeptidase	1.94				
	activity involved in apoptotic					
	process(GO:0006919);					
	neurogenesis(GO:0022008)					
	response to X-ray(GO:0010165);					
	defense response to Gram-negative					
Aatf	bacterium(GO:0050829);	2.06				
	CG32850process(GO:0009186); activation of cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in apoptotic process(GO:0006919); neurogenesis(GO:0022008)1.94 $Aatf$ response to X-ray(GO:0010165); defense response to Gram-negative bacterium(GO:0050829); regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process(GO:2000377)2.06 $CG43078$ phagocytosis(GO:0006909); peptidyl-diphthamide biosynthetic process 					
	metabolic process(GO:2000377)					
	phagocytosis(GO:0006909);					
CG43078	peptidyl-diphthamide biosynthetic process	2.38				
00,00,0	from peptidyl-histidine(GO:0017183)	2100				
Mocs1	rRNA processing(GO:0006364)	2.57				
CG31274	metabolic process(GO:0008152)	2.73				
	regulation of GTPase activity(GO:0043087);					
	signal transduction(GO:0007165);					
	positive regulation of GTPase					
CG10182	activity(GO:0043547);	2.9				
	imaginal disc-derived leg					
	morphogenesis(GO:0007480)					
	transport(GO:0006810);					
	Golgi vesicle transport(GO:0048193);					
PGRP-LF	reproduction(GO:0000003);	3.18				
	regulation of post-mating					
	oviposition(GO:0048042)					
	RNA catabolic process(GO:0006401);					
UGP	multicellular organism	4.4				
	reproduction(GO:0032504)					

Gene SymbolGene's Biological Function positive regulation of Ras protein signal transduction(GO:0046579)CICIIICIVCVo/CG10559oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114)1.3-1.44-1.11-1.11-1.11	o/e 1.13 5.76 2.46
Nop60Bpositive regulation of Ras protein signal transduction(GO:0046579)1.3-1.44-1.11-1.7CG10559oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114)3.992.298.25.2	1.13 5.76 2.46
Nopools transduction(GO:0046579) 1.3 -1.44 -1.11 -1.11 CG10559 oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114) 3.99 2.29 8.2 5.5	5.76 2.46
CG10559 oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114)	5.76 2.46
-3.77 -2.27 -0.2 -3.	2.46
RpL28 biological_process(GO:0008150) -3.04 -2.57 -2.4	
nucleobase-containing compound metabolic	
<i>Wwox</i> process(GO:0006139); -1.46 -1.47 -1.2	1.27
methylation(GO:0032259)	
glucose metabolic process(GO:0006006);	
pupal chitin-based cuticle	
development(GO:0008364);	
CG14906 cuticle development(GO:0042335); 1.43 1.41 1.41	.49
sperm storage(GO:0046693);	
oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114);	
sensory perception of pain(GO:0019233)	
Mo-molybdopterin cofactor biosynthetic	
CG2065 process(GO:0006777) 1.56 2.36 1.5	.58
RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.6	2.67
UDP-glucose metabolic	0.1
<i>ptr</i> process(GO:0006011) 5.53 6.4 2.8	2.81
protein targeting to Golgi(GO:000042);	
sterol regulatory element binding protein	
cleavage(GO:0035103);	
Thor protein processing(GO:0016485); 6.89 9.88 3.7	3.77
cholesterol metabolic process(GO:0008203);	
SREBP signalling pathway(GO:0032933)	
translation(GO:0006412);	
mitotic spindle organization(GO:0007052);	
Jon66Cii mitotic spindle elongation(GO:0000022); 9.57 6.92 5.9	5.93
centrosome duplication(GO:0051298);	
neurogenesis(GO:0022008)	
ns1 1.12	
DsecGM119 proteolysis(GO:0006508) 1.74 1.51 1.3	.37
regulation of multicellular organism	
growth(GO:0040014);	
regulation of insulin receptor signalling	
<i>Cyp6v1</i> pathway(GO:0046626); 2.89 3.7 2.5	2.58
ribosomal large subunit	
biogenesis(GO:0042273);	

		Fold change (p < 0.05)				
Gene Symbol	Gene's Biological Function	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	o/e
	ribosome biogenesis(GO:0042254);					
	mitotic spindle assembly(GO:0090307);					
	cellular response to starvation(GO:0009267);					
	positive regulation of multicellular organism					
	growth(GO:0040018)					
RNaseX25	oxidation-reduction process(GO:0055114)			1.62	2.21	1.77



Figure 4.11 GO enrichment analysis on HIF responsive genes that are differentially regulated in complex I knockdown. Enrichment of these terms with p-value < 0.05

4.3 Summary

In this chapter I aimed to investigate the transcriptional response in neurons to mitochondrial dysfunction in the models I characterised in Chapter 3. Microarray analyses were performed on CNS tissue for each genotype and gene expression was compared to control. Each mitochondrial dysfunction model resulted in differential regulation of at least 300 genes compared to control. In each model, approximately 50% of the altered genes were only significantly changed in that model, showing that there are individual transcriptional changes depending on the cause of mitochondrial dysfunction. The other *-*50% of genes were also changed in at least one other model, suggesting that there are also common responses to mitochondrial dysfunction, which may be particularly useful as therapeutic targets.

GO analysis identified numerous common functions between the different conditions, highlighting response to ROS and alternative metabolism as two extremely important pathways in all conditions.

HIF-1 α (the homolog of *Drosophila* Sima) is a known to instigate a shift toward a glycolytic state and has also previously been shown to regulate levels of *Thor* expression when *TFAM* is overexpressed in motor neurons (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). It was therefore considered as a candidate transcription factor that may mediate some of the transcriptional changes observed in the mitochondrial dysfunction models. Knockdown of *sima* was therefore tested in all the models of mitochondrial dysfunction in these models.

Sima knockdown was able to rescue climbing, wing inflation, and lifespan phenotypes caused by *TFAM* overexpression in motor neurons as well as rescuing lethality of panneuronally expressed *TFAM* overexpression. Knockdown of *sima* in motor neurons gave some improvement to climbing phenotypes in *ATPsynCf6* (CV) and *COX5B* (CIV) RNAi, however was not able to alter climbing in *UQCR-14* (CIII) or *ND-75* (CI) RNAi. Pan-neuronal lethality of *ATPsynCf6* (CV), *COX5B* (CIV) and *UQCR-14* (CIII) RNAi, was rescued by reduced levels of *sima*. However, *nSyb-Gal4* driven *ND-75* (CI) RNAi lethality was not rescued by *sima* knockdown. The fact that four out of five of my models of mitochondrial dysfunction could in some way be rescued by *sima*

knockdown, suggests that Sima is involved in a common response to mitochondrial dysfunction. The fact that *ND-75* RNAi phenotypes are not rescued by *sima* knockdown highlights the fact that although there may be common responses to mitochondrial dysfunction, there are also individual differences depending on the cause of the mitochondrial deficit.

Analysis of the HIF responsive genes that are altered in each microarray identified a number of HIF related processes that were regulated in a differential manner in *ND*-75 RNAi (CI) to the other mitochondrial dysfunction models. These processes are mainly associated with iron binding and oxidoreductase activity. This indicates that these may be interesting processes to investigate further and may be related to *ND*-75 RNAi (CI) insensitivity to rescue by *sima* knockdown.

5.1 Introduction

Mitochondrial dysfunction results in a change in many cellular functions, altering transcription, metabolism and bioenergetics (as seen in chapters 3 & 4). In order to identify genes that are involved in the cellular reprogramming that occurs in response to mitochondrial dysfunction, I developed a genetic modifier screen in *Drosophila*.

Drosophila are a very useful tool for genetic screening. They are cheap, have a short life cycle, only have four chromosomes with a low level of genetic redundancy and roughly 75% of human disease linked genes have a *Drosophila* homologue (Reiter, Potocki et al. 2001). In 1980, Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus carried out a pioneering genetic screen in *Drosophila*, which identified genes involved in developmental patterning in the embryo (Nusslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980, St Johnston 2002). Traditional forward genetic screens like this, have some limitations. They only reveal the first, essential role of a gene and do not identify genes that may only be activated in pathological circumstances (St Johnston 2002). Simon et al., came across this problem when trying to identify genes which interact with the tyrosine kinase, Sevenless (Simon, Bowtell et al. 1991). They developed a sensitised screen, in which Sevenless activity was reduced to a level that was only just adequate for normal eye development. Small perturbations in genes downstream of Sevenless could then disrupt eye development (Simon, Bowtell et al. 1991). Modifier screens, such as this, can therefore identify factors that act in a specific pathway or biological process.

Several recent genetic screens have aimed to identify mitochondrially-targeted genes. A genome wide RNAi screen in *Drosophila* cells, identified 152 genes involved in mitochondrial function (Chen, Shi et al. 2008). Among these genes, 22 were involved in transcription regulation (Chen, Shi et al. 2008) and therefore may be involved in the retrograde response. The role of mitochondrial genes in different metabolic environments has also been studied in cell culture (Lanning, Looyenga et al.). An RNAi screen in HeLa cells, of genes known to localise to the mitochondria, was carried out

with four different fuel sources (Lanning, Looyenga et al.). This study reveals how the mitochondria respond to different metabolic, and potentially disease, states.

I aimed to identify genes that are involved in the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction *in vivo*. To do this I developed and carried out a modifier screen in which mitochondrial dysfunction was induced and then genes screened in the background of this dysfunction. This should enable the identification of genes that are involved in the response to mitochondrial dysfunction, even if they are not normally involved in mitochondrial function.

5.1.1 Chapter aims

The aims of this chapter are:

- 1. To develop a genetic modifier assay with an easily scorable phenotype for screening.
- 2. To ensure the screen identifies genes that regulate mitochondrial dysfunction.
- 3. To identify novel genes that regulate the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction.

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing results in a scorable phenotype

To screen a large number of genes, it is imperative that the phenotype utilised is quick and easy to observe. Therefore, a method of inducing mitochondrial dysfunction, which results in a suitable phenotype, is required. The wing was a good candidate tissue for the screen as it is easily visible and dysfunction in the wing alone has the potential not to affect viability. I used two genetic tools, *TFAM* RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression, which had previously been shown to cause mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* (Cagin 2012, Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015).Previous work by Umut Cagin, has shown ubiquitous expression of *TFAM* RNAi results in a significant reduction in TFAM levels and a loss of mitochondrial DNA (Cagin 2012). Western blot analysis reveals a significant
decrease in TFAM protein levels, as well as a significant decrease in mitochondrially encoded cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COXI), when *TFAM* RNAi is ubiquitously expressed with *tubulin-Gal4* (Figure 5.1A-B). Protein levels of the nuclear encoded α subunit of ATP synthase are not significantly different from control (Figure 5.1D-E). These data suggest that mtDNA encoded gene expression is specifically reduced in *TFAM* RNAi flies. This aligns with the data in other studies, which show that reduced *TFAM* expression results in a loss of mtDNA and mtDNA encoded gene expression (Larsson, Wang et al. 1998, Kanki, Ohgaki et al. 2004). In chapter 3 (see Figure 3.5), I have shown that overexpression of *TFAM* also causes a loss of mtDNA encoded protein expression.





(A) Representative western blot of third instar larvae with COXI, TFAM and Actin antibodies. *Tubulin-Gal4* driven controls in lane 1-3 and *TFAM* RNAi in lane 4-6. Actin is used as a loading control, to which other proteins were normalised. (B) Quantification of *TFAM* RNAi western blot for COXI (n = 6) compared to control (n = 6). (C) Quantification of TFAM levels in *TFAM* RNAi (n = 6) compared to control (n = 6). (D) Representative western blot with controls in lane 1-3 and *TFAM* RNAi in lane 4-6, with ATP synthase α and Actin antibodies. (E) Quantification of nuclear encoded ATP synthase α (n=9) compared to control (n=9) normalised to actin. Data were analysed with a two-tailed t-test. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, ** p≤0.01

The enhancer trap *MS1096-Gal4* driver can be used to drive UAS controlled gene expression in the dorsal compartment of the wing. In this driver the *Gal4* is inserted in the second intron of *Beadex*, a gene which controls dorsal cell fate in the wing disc

(Milan, Diaz-Benjumea et al. 1998). *MS1096-Gal4* was used to induce mitochondrial dysfunction with *TFAM* overexpression and *TFAM* RNAi. The effects of mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing are observed in adult flies. *MS1096-Gal4* driven knockdown of *TFAM* results in a phenotype of a curve at the tip of the wing (Figure 5.2A-A'). *TFAM* overexpression is pupal lethal, so adult wings could not be observed.

The adult wing phenotype observed in the *MS1096-Gal4 TFAM* RNAi flies, is an appropriate phenotype for the modifier screen: it is easy and quick to score and there is potential to enhance and suppress the phenotype. In order to screen a large number of lines in the background of mitochondrial dysfunction, a stock was made with both the *MS1096-Gal4* and *UAS-TFAM* RNAi in the same fly. To inhibit constitutive *TFAM* knockdown in the wing disc, *Gal80* expression was also required in the fly. For this purpose, the *tub-Gal80* transgene inserted in the TM6B balancer chromosome was used. To maintain a stock with TM6B, *tub-Gal80* and *TFAM* RNAi, the chromosome containing the *TFAM* RNAi must be homozygous lethal. With this in mind, *TFAM* RNAi was recombined with *TFAM*^{c01716}, a lethal piggyBac (pBAC) insertion in the second intron of *TFAM*. Addition of the *TFAM*^{c01716} enhanced the wing curve phenotype, giving a curve of approximately 45° (Figure 5.2B-B'). This result also demonstrates the *TFAM* RNAi wing phenotype can be modified, and is therefore suitable for the screen assay.

Mitochondria are known to regulate apoptosis (see Introduction 1.2.5.3) and so I hypothesise that mitochondrial dysfunction resulting from *TFAM* RNAi may result in increased apoptosis in the dorsal compartment of the wing, resulting in a curved wing in the adult. Wing discs from third instar larvae were stained with an antibody for cleaved caspase, to assess levels of apoptosis. An antibody for wingless was used to identify the boundary between the ventral and dorsal compartments of the wing pouch. A significant increase in cleaved caspase, indicative of increased apoptosis, was observed in the dorsal compartment of the wing when *TFAM* was both knocked down and overexpressed (Figure 5.2C-F).



Figure 5.2 Wing phenotype induced by mitochondrial dysfunction

The tip of adult wing is curved (red circle) due to mitochondrial dysfunction. (A,A') *MS1096-Gal4* driven *TFAM* RNAi results in a small curve. (B, B') The curve is enhanced to approximately 45° in *MS1096-Gal4; TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} flies. (C - E) Representative wing discs from *MS1096-Gal4* driven (C,C') control, (D,D') *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} and (E,E') *TFAM* overexpression from third instar larvae. (C,D,E) Merged images of cleaved caspase antibody (green) indicating apoptotic cells, and DAPI to visualise the wing discs (blue). The boundary between the dorsal (left) and ventral (right) compartments is indicated with a white dashed line. (C',D',E') Show caspase staining alone (white) (F) Quantification of cleaved caspase antibody intensity, control (n = 26), *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n=14) and *TFAM* overexpression (n = 15) driven by *MS1096-Gal4*. Data were analysed using the Kruskal Wallis test. Error bars represent SEM. ns, not significant, *** p≤0.001.

5.2.2 Modifier screen assay

An assay was developed, to identify genes that modulate the wing phenotype caused by mitochondrial dysfunction induced by *TFAM* knockdown (Figure 5.3). The wing curve in male flies with *TFAM* knockdown and RNAi expression is compared to flies with *TFAM* knockdown alone (Figure 5.3A). Male flies were used because they displayed a stronger phenotype than female flies. The *MS1096-Gal4* driver is on the X chromosome, so the male phenotype may be stronger due to dosage compensation. In preliminary tests it was hard to distinguish weak enhancement of the *TFAM* RNAi *TFAM*^{c01716} phenotype from the variation in wing curvature that occurred in control crosses. Therefore, a semi-quantitative scoring system was developed, RNAi lines were only considered enhancers if most flies had a \geq 90° wing curve (Figure 5.3B). Suppressors were scored when an RNAi reduced the wing curve in most flies < 45°. In a second control cross, RNAi lines were crossed to *MS1096-Gal4* alone: any RNAi that caused a wing phenotype alone was excluded from the screen (Figure 5.3C). Therefore, any of the RNAi lines identified in the screen act synergistically with *TFAM* RNAi *TFAM*^{c01716} induced mitochondrial dysfunction, rather than causing an additive effect.





(A) A schematic of the crosses performed for the modifier screen. Males of the UAS lines to be screened were crossed to virgins containing the MS1096-Gal4 driver and UAS TFAM RNAi, TFAM^{c01716}. The curvature at the tip of the wing was analysed in flies from the F1 generation. (B) Semi quantitive scale, suppressor (S), no effect (NE). 1-4 were scored for increasingly curved wings. Only scores of 3 or 4 were considered enhancers. (C) Schematic of the control cross, to identify genes in the screen that have a phenotype on their own when driven by MS1096-Gal4. If flies in the F1 generation show a wing phenotype, they are omitted from the screen. The RNAi insertion is shown on the third chromosome for illustration purposes only, RNAis inserted on the second and third chromosomes were screened. Crosses for all lines that were categorised as enhancers or suppressors were repeated both alone and with TFAM knockdown.

5.2.3 Validation of the genetic modifier screen

To validate the screen assay, disease associated genes that have a function linked to mitochondria were tested using the devised assay (Figure 5.3). Parkinson's disease is a neurodegenerative disease associated with mitochondrial dysfunction (see Introduction 1.3.3). Linkage analysis has shown an association with familial Parkinson's disease and mutations in genes that encode PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1), parkin, leucine rich repeat kinase (LRRK) and DJ-1 (Klein and Westenberger 2012). PINK1 and parkin, play a well-established role in mitochondrial quality control (Pickrell and Youle). The cellular function of LRRK has been less extensively studied, however, it is reported to interact with Drp1 to regulate mitochondrial dynamics (Sandra M Cardoso 2015). DJ-1 is involved in the response to oxidative stress (Menzies, Yenisetti et al. 2005) and although there is only one mammalian gene, there are two Drosophila homologues, DJ-1a and DJ-1B (Moore, Dawson et al. 2006). RNAi and overexpression lines for these five PD-linked genes were tested with MS1096-Gal4 in the background of mitochondrial dysfunction, and on their own (Figure 5.4, Table 14). Lines that resulted in a wing phenotype when driven with MS1096-Gal4 alone were excluded (Table 14). At least one transgenic line for each gene was scored as an enhancer (Table 14). Interestingly, overexpression and knockdown of the $DJ-l\alpha$, $DJ-l\beta$ and Pinklenhanced the mitochondrial dysfunction wing phenotype (Figure 5.4C-F and H-I). This suggests that the *level of expression* of these genes is important in mitochondrial dysfunction.

All of these disease related, mitochondrial associated genes were identified by the modifier screen assay, suggesting that the screen will identify biologically relevant genes. However, not all lines increased the wing curve enough to be considered enhancers (Table 14). This highlights that the screen is limited by the effectiveness of the transgenic lines screened. If, for example, the RNAi does not reduce the level of gene expression enough, a strong phenotype may not be observed resulting in false negatives. A relevant RNAi may also cause a wing phenotype alone and so be excluded.



Figure 5.4 Validation of the modifier screen assay with disease associated genes. (A) Representative image of the wing curve induced by *MS106-Gal4* driven mitochondrial dysfunction. Representative images of the enhanced wing curve with (B) *Lrrk* RNAi (32457), (C) *DJ-1a* RNAi (51177) and (D) *DJ-1a* overexpression (33603), (E) *DJ-1β* overexpression (33604) and (F) *DJ-1β* RNAi (38999), (G) parkin overexpression (51651), (H) Pink1 overexpression (51648) and (I) Pink1 RNAi (31170).

Gene	Туре	Gene (CG#)	Bloomington Stock number	TRIP ID	TRIP ID Phenotype with MS1096- GAL4?		Screen Score
DJ-1α	Over- expression	CG6646	33603		No	Enhanced	3
DJ-1α	RNAi	CG6646	38330	HMS01797	No	No effect	1
DJ-1a	RNAi	CG6646	51177	HMJ21180	No	Enhanced	4
DJ-1α	RNAi	CG6646	39055	HMS01975	Yes	Excluded	
DJ-1β	Over- expression	CG1349	33604		No	Enhanced	3
DJ-1β	RNAi	CG1349	31261	JF01202	No	No effect	1
DJ-1β	RNAi	CG1349	38999	HMS01915	No	Enhanced	3
DJ-1β	RNAi	CG1349	38378	HMS01847	Yes	Excluded	
Lrrk	Over- expression	CG5483	35249		No	No effect	2
Lrrk	RNAi	CG5483	39019	HMS01937	No	Enhanced	3
Lrrk	RNAi	CG5483	32457	HMS00456	No	Enhanced	3
parkin	Over- expression	CG10523	51651		No	Enhanced	3
parkin	RNAi	CG10523	38333	HMS01800	No	No effect	2
parkin	RNAi	CG10523	31259	JF01200	No	No effect	2
parkin	RNAi	CG10523	37509	HMS01651	Yes	Excluded	
Pink 1	Over- expression	CG4523	51648		No	Enhanced	4
Pink 1	RNAi	CG4523	31262	JF01203	No	No effect	1
Pink 1	RNAi	CG4523	31170	JF01672	No	Enhanced	3
Pink 1	RNAi	CG4523	38262	HMS01707	Yes	Excluded	
Pink 1	RNAi	CG4523	41671	HMS02204	Yes	Excluded	

Table 14. Validation of screen. All transgenic lines sourced form Bloomington

5.2.4 Genes identified by the genetic modifier screen

To identify novel genes, which are involved in the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction, a library of RNAi lines was screened. As the ultimate goal is to find genes involved in the cellular response to neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction, genes were identified that have a higher expression in the brain compared to the rest of the body. David Mazaud (Fanto lab, Maurice Wohl building, KCL), used gene expression data

available on FlyAtlas, to select genes which are expressed more strongly in the brain than in the whole body (Chintapalli, Wang et al. 2007). Where available, UAS-RNAi lines were selected for these genes (this collection of lines was selected by David Mazaud, Fanto lab). Preliminary results from the screen also indicated a role of chromatin remodelling factors in the response to mitochondrial dysfunction. This is particularly interesting because I am also investigating the retrograde response from the mitochondria back to the nucleus. Therefore, I also added RNAi lines for all known *Drosophila* chromatin remodelling genes, as described in Clapier and Cairns, to the library (Clapier and Cairns 2009).

646 RNAi lines, targeting 579 different genes have been screened (Appendix 9.2.1). Of these lines, 295 were discounted from the screen, because they gave wing phenotypes by themselves with *MS1096-Gal4*. Undergraduate students Marisol Zuniga, Danielle Joseph, Tom Gardener, Fatima Chowdhury, Sharon Yuk Chan, Daniel Potter and Fernando Avila helped with some of the screen crosses, although I repeated the screen crosses for every gene identified as a hit. 71 genes were identified which enhanced the phenotype, giving a greater curl than *TFAM* knockdown alone, with the majority of male flies of that genotype presenting a curve 90° or greater (Figure 5.5A-B, Table 15). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that these genes are involved in a wide range of functions and pathways (Figure 5.5C-D, Table 15, Appendix 9.2.2). A large number are involved in transcription and translation regulation, and so therefore may be involved in the retrograde response from dysfunctional mitochondria to the nucleus.



Figure 5.5 Enhancers identified in the modifier screen

Representative images showing wing phenotype of adult flies with MS1096-Gal4 driven (A) TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$ and (B) a validated enhancer, Phosphoglycerate kinase RNAi with TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$. (C) Pie chart showing the GO molecular function of the genes which enhanced the mitochondrial phenotype. The smaller inset pie chart shows the breakdown of molecular functions in the 'binding' category. (D) Pie chart showing molecular pathways identified from the enhancer RNAi lines. Pie charts were made using the Geneontology Panther Classification System software.

Nine lines were identified that suppressed the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype, with a curve of less than 45° (Figure 5.6A-B, Table 16). The majority of these genes regulate DNA in some manner (Figure 5.6C, Table 16, Appendix 9.2.3).

In order to confirm the identified genes are not false positives, crosses with enhancer and suppressor lines were repeated with independent non overlapping RNAi lines. Out of the 82 lines 30 were validated (Table 15, Table 16, Appendix 9.2.4). Knockdown of two genes, *RYamide receptor* and *CG8778*, resulted in an enhanced wing curve with one RNAi line and a suppressed curve when knocked down with an independent RNAi line. This could be due to the level of knockdown or may be due to off target effects. These two genes have therefore not been included in the list of enhancers or suppressors.



Figure 5.6 Suppressors identified in the modifier screen

Representative images showing wing phenotype of adult flies with MS1096-Gal4 driven (A) TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$ and (B) a validated suppressor, yan RNAi with TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$. (C) Pie chart showing the GO molecular function of the genes which suppressed the mitochondrial phenotype. The smaller inset pie chart shows the breakdown of molecular functions in the 'binding' category. (D) Pie chart showing the Geneontology Panther Classification System software.

Table 15. Enhancers identified in the screen with GO Molecular Function. Lines validated with a non-overlapping RNAi are in bold. GO Molecular function obtained from the Geneontology Panther Classification System website version 10.

Gene name	GO Molecular Function				
Arrow	low-density lipoprotein receptor activity(GO:0005041); Wnt-activated receptor activity(GO:0042813); Wnt-protein binding(GO:0017147)				
Disabled	protein binding(GO:0005515); SH2 domain binding(GO:0042169); SH3 domain binding(GO:0017124)				
Enhancer of bithorax	zinc ion binding(GO:0008270); DNA binding(GO:0003677); ligand-dependent nuclear receptor binding(GO:0016922); nucleosome-dependent ATPase activity(GO:0070615); methylated histone binding(GO:0035064); lysine-acetylated histone binding(GO:0070577)				
Heat shock gene 67Bc	Unknown function				
Phosphoglucose isomerase	phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (decarboxylating) activity(GO:0004616); glucose-6-phosphate isomerase activity(GO:0004347)				
sloppy paired 1	transcription factor activity, RNA polymerase II distal enhancer sequence-specific binding(GO:0003705); transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700); enhancer sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0001158); DNA binding, bending(GO:0008301); double-stranded DNA binding(GO:0003690); transcription factor binding(GO:0008134)				
5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 1A	G-protein coupled serotonin receptor activity(GO:0004993); Gi/o-coupled serotonin receptor activity(GO:0001586); G-protein coupled amine receptor activity(GO:0008227)				
elbow B	protein binding(GO:0005515); nucleic acid binding(GO:0003676); metal ion binding(GO:0046872)				
breathless	fibroblast growth factor-activated receptor activity(GO:0005007); protein tyrosine kinase activity(GO:0004713); ATP binding(GO:0005524)				
Wnt oncogene analog 5	receptor binding(GO:0005102); frizzled-2 binding(GO:0005110)				
Imitation SWI	nucleosome-dependent ATPase activity(GO:0070615); ATP binding(GO:0005524); DNA-dependent ATPase activity(GO:0008094); nucleotide binding(GO:0000166); ATPase activity(GO:0016887); DNA helicase activity(GO:0003678); protein binding(GO:0005515); DNA binding(GO:0003677); nucleosome binding(GO:0031491);				

Gene name	GO Molecular Function				
	transcription factor binding(GO:0008134)				
Cytochrome P450-6a9	electron carrier activity(GO:0009055); oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen(GO:0016705); heme binding(GO:0020037);iron ion binding(GO:0005506)				
branchless	fibroblast growth factor receptor binding(GO:0005104); growth factor activity(GO:0008083)				
late bloomer	Unknown function				
Dual-specificity	protein kinase activity(GO:0004672);				
tyrosine phosphorylation- regulated kinase 2	protein serine/threonine kinase activity(GO:0004674); ATP binding(GO:0005524); transferase activity(GO:0016740)				
twin of eyeless	transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700); transcription factor activity, RNA polymerase II distal enhancer sequence-specific binding(GO:0003705); RNA polymerase II regulatory region sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0000977)				
Adenylate kinase 1	adenylate kinase activity(GO:0004017); ATP binding(GO:0005524); uridylate kinase activity(GO:0009041)				
lipase2	triglyceride lipase activity(GO:0004806)				
MORF-related gene	methylated histone binding(GO:0035064); protein binding(GO:0005515)				
ATP-dependent chromatin assembly factor large subunit	nucleosome-dependent ATPase activity(GO:0070615); protein binding(GO:0005515); DNA binding(GO:0003677); zinc ion binding(GO:0008270)				
lethal (1) G0289	Unknown function				
phtf	protein dimerization activity(GO:0046983); transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700)				
CG2124	poly(A) RNA binding(GO:0044822)				
Amun	Unknown function				
CG4004	Unknown function				
CG5599	dihydrolipoamide branched chain acyltransferase activity(GO:0004147)				
CG9095	carbohydrate binding(GO:0030246)				
CG6847	carboxylic ester hydrolase activity(GO:0052689); lipase activity(GO:0016298)				
CG7337	Unknown function				
dawdle	transforming growth factor beta receptor binding(GO:0005160); growth factor activity(GO:0008083)				
Neuroligin 2	neurexin family protein binding(GO:0042043); receptor activity(GO:0004872)				

Gene name	GO Molecular Function				
Sodium/solute co- transporter-like 5A11	sodium-dependent multivitamin transmembrane transporter activity(GO:0008523)				
GATAd	zinc ion binding(GO:0008270); sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0043565); RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity, sequence- specific DNA binding(GO:0000981)				
CG2225	Unknown function				
caskin	protein phosphatase binding(GO:0019903); signalling adaptor activity(GO:0035591)				
pyrexia	calcium channel activity(GO:0005262); cation channel activity(GO:0005261)				
gamma-glutamyl carboxylase	gamma-glutamyl carboxylase activity(GO:0008488)				
CG11347	ligand-dependent nuclear receptor transcription coactivator activity(GO:0030374); steroid hormone receptor binding(GO:0035258)				
Forkhead box K	transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700); sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0043565); magnesium ion binding(GO:0000287); transcription factor binding(GO:0003134); double-stranded DNA binding(GO:0003690); transcription factor activity, RNA polymerase II distal enhancer sequence-specific binding(GO:0003705); DNA binding, bending(GO:0008301)				
CG11658	ubiquitin-protein transferase activity(GO:0004842)				
roquin	zinc ion binding(GO:0008270); poly(A) RNA binding(GO:0044822); ubiquitin-protein transferase activity(GO:0004842)				
CG5059					
capability receptor	G-protein coupled receptor activity(GO:0004930); neuropeptide receptor activity(GO:0008188); neuromedin U receptor activity(GO:0001607)				
CG17734	Unknown function				
CG18549	Unknown function				
CG5466	Unknown function				
distal antenna-related	DNA binding(GO:0003677); protein binding(GO:0005515); transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700)				
CG6154	dipeptidase activity(GO:0016805)				
CG5455	Unknown function				
plum	Unknown function				
CG6330	uridine phosphorylase activity(GO:0004850)				
taranis	Unknown function				
defective proboscis extension response 6	Unknown function				

Gene name	GO Molecular Function			
CG18809	Unknown function			
CG31324	Unknown function			
CG31436	Unknown function			
Keren	epidermal growth factor receptor binding(GO:0005154); growth factor activity(GO:0008083)			
CG32521	Unknown function			
defective proboscis extension response 8	Unknown function			
Χ11Lβ	beta-amyloid binding(GO:0001540)			
CG32685	protein phosphatase 1 binding(GO:0008157)			
Reticulon-like1	Unknown function			
CG33170	Unknown function			
tropomodulin	tropomyosin binding(GO:0005523);actin binding(GO:0003779)			
Phosphoglycerate kinase	phosphoglycerate kinase activity(GO:0004618); ATP binding(GO:0005524)			
Integrator 6	Unknown function			
scribbled	protein binding(GO:0005515)			
spoonbill	protein kinase A binding(GO:0051018); RNA binding(GO:0003723)			
CG4068	Unknown function			
Inositol 1,4,5- triphosphate kinase 2	inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate 3-kinase activity(GO:0008440); calcium-dependent protein binding(GO:0048306); calmodulin binding(GO:0005516)			
stathmin	microtubule binding(GO:0008017); tubulin binding(GO:0015631)			

Table 16. Suppressors identified in the screen with GO Molecular Function. Lines validated with a non-overlapping RNAi are in bold. GO Molecular function obtained from the Genontology Panther Classification System website version 10.

Gene name	Molecular Function				
yan (anterior open)	transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700); protein binding(GO:0005515); transcription factor activity, RNA polymerase II distal enhancer sequence-specific binding(GO:0003705); sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0043565); protein domain specific binding(GO:0019904)				
Daughters against dpp	transforming growth factor beta receptor, inhibitory cytoplasmic mediator activity(GO:0030617); transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0003700); protein binding(GO:0005515)				

Gene name	Molecular Function				
	chromatin binding(GO:0003682);				
Metastasis	zinc ion binding(GO:0008270);				
associated 1-	transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA				
like	binding(GO:0003700);				
	sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0043565)				
Inhibitor of					
growth family,	zinc ion binding(GO:0008270)				
member 3					
Chromatin	protein heterodimerization activity(GO:0046982);				
Chromalin	protein binding(GO:0005515);				
accessibility	nucleosome-dependent ATPase activity(GO:0070615);				
complex 14kD	histone acetyltransferase activity(GO:0004402)				
CG31125	Unknown function				
CG31301	nucleic acid binding(GO:0003676)				
	helicase activity(GO:0004386);				
	ATP binding(GO:0005524);				
T 00	regulatory region DNA binding(GO:0000975);				
11000	DNA binding(GO:0003677);				
	DNA helicase activity(GO:0003678);				
	ATPase activity(GO:0016887)				
	RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity, ligand-activated				
	sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0004879);				
	RNA polymerase II core promoter proximal region sequence-				
Uormone	specific DNA binding(GO:0000978);				
noormone	transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA				
	binding(GO:0003700);				
59	transcription cofactor activity(GO:0003712);				
	DNA binding(GO:0003677);				
	zinc ion binding(GO:0008270);				
	steroid hormone receptor activity(GO:0003707)				

The genes identified in the screen were compared to genes regulated in the microarray carried out in the previous chapter. None of the genes identified as suppressors in the modifier screen were significantly changed in the microarrays. However, 12 enhancers were also identified in at least one microarray of *ND-75* RNAi (CI), *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII), *COX5B* RNAi (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) and *TFAM* overexpression in the CNS (Table 17).

Table 17. Comparison of modifier screen enhancers with genes significantly altered in OXPHOS and *TFAM* **overexpression arrays.** Molecular function was obtained from Panther. Significant fold changes of gene expression from *ND-75* RNAi (CI), *UQCR-14* RNAi (CII), *COX5B* RNAi (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) and *TFAM* overexpression are displayed. Green indicated downregulation and red indicated upregulation.

Gene name	Molecular function	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
breathless					-2.1	
dawdle						-2.0
Neuroligin 2	transcription factor activity, sequence- specific DNA binding(GO:0003700); transcription factor activity, RNA polymerase II distal enhancer sequence-specific binding(GO:0003705); RNA polymerase II regulatory region sequence-specific DNA binding(GO:0000977)		-8.6	-4.5		
twin of eyeless	transforming growth factor beta receptor binding(GO:0005160); growth factor activity(GO:0008083)		2.5			
taranis					-1.1	
branchless	ligand-dependent nuclear receptor transcription coactivator activity(GO:0030374); steroid hormone receptor binding(GO:0035258)				1.7	
CG4004						-1.1
CG2124	fibroblast growth factor-activated receptor activity(GO:0005007); protein tyrosine kinase activity(GO:0004713); ATP binding(GO:0005524)		-1.4			
CG31436		1.4				
CG17734	poly(A) RNA binding(GO:0044822)				1.4	
defective proboscis extension response 6	neurexin family protein binding(GO:0042043); receptor activity(GO:0004872)		-2.3			
CG11347	fibroblast growth factor receptor binding(GO:0005104); growth factor activity(GO:0008083)					-1.6

5.2.5 Sima knockdown enhances the wing phenotype

In Chapter 4, knockdown of *sima* was able to rescue neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction due to overexpression of *TFAM* or knockdown of complex III, IV and V subunits. I therefore looked at *sima* knockdown in the wing modifier assay to determine if it is also able to suppress mitochondrial dysfunction here. Two non-overlapping *sima* RNAi and a heterozygous *sima* ^{KG07607} mutant gave a mild enhancement of the *TFAM* RNAi *TFAM*^{c01716} wing phenotype (Figure 5.7).



Figure 5.7 Sima knockdown enhances the wing phenotype

Representative images showing wing phenotype of adult flies with MS1096-Gal4 driven (A) TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$, (B) sima HMS00833 RNAi with TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$, (C) sima KK102226 RNAi with TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$ and (D) sima^{KG07607} with TFAM RNAi, $TFAM^{c01716}$.

5.2.6 Modification of cell death by genes identified in the screen

Previously (Figure 5.2C-F), I reported that mitochondrial dysfunction, caused by *TFAM* knockdown, increased apoptosis in the dorsal compartment of the wing disc. I therefore asked whether the suppressors identified in the screen, have the same effect respectively on the apoptosis phenotype.

Knockdown of the chromatin remodelling factor, *Ino80*, was identified as causing suppression of the adult wing phenotype. The effect of *Ino80* on apoptosis in the wing disc of third instar larvae was assessed. Knockdown of *Ino80* alone did not alter the level of apoptosis from the control (Figure 5.8A-B', G). However, when *TFAM* was knocked down, *Ino80* RNAi was able to suppress the increase in apoptosis (Figure 5.8C-D', G). Similarly, knockdown of the ETS-transcription, *yan*, also suppressed the adult wing phenotype and also suppressed apoptosis in the wing disc (Figure 5.8E-F', H).



Figure 5.8 Screen suppressors, *Ino80* and *yan* RNAi, reduced mitochondrial dysfunction mediated cell death in the wing disc. Representative images of wing discs from third instar larvae. *MS1096-Gal4* driven (A) *w1118* (control), (B) *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716}, (C) *Ino80* RNAi, (D) *Ino80* RNAi; *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716}, (E) *yan* RNAi, (F) *yan* RNAi; *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716}. In the merged images (A-F), cleaved caspase antibody stains for apoptotic cells (green), and DAPI is used to visualise the wing discs (blue). The dashed line indicates the boundary between the dorsal and ventral wing pouch, identified by wingless staining. (A'-F') Caspase staining alone (white). (G) Quantification of wing discs in control (n = 19), *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 19) *Ino80* RNAi (n = 19) and *Ino80* RNAi; *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 23), *yan* RNAi (n = 29) and *yan* RNAi; *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 25). Data were analysed with one-way ANOVA. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, * $p \le 0.05$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

5.3 Summary

In this chapter I aimed to develop and validate a genetic modifier screen, and then use this screen to investigate the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction. A modifier screen method was developed, in which mitochondrial dysfunction was induced in the wing by knockdown of *TFAM*. This produced a wing curve phenotype that could be quickly and easily scored. Transgenic lines could then be screened, in this background, for modification of this curve. The screen was validated with transgenic lines for disease linked mitochondrial proteins, PINK1, parkin, LRRK, DJ-1 α and DJ-1 β .

A total of 646 transgenic lines (579 genes) were screened. Of these lines, 295 were discounted as they gave a wing phenotype alone, 71 were found to enhance, and 9 to suppress the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype. The genes identified had a wide range of functions, as expected for such a multifunctional organelle. There were also a large number of genes involved in regulation of transcription, which could play a part in the retrograde response.

Mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing disc resulted in an increase of apoptosis, which may be responsible for the curve phenotype observed in adult flies. Two of the identified genes were examined for their effect on apoptosis in the wing disc. The two suppressors, *Ino80* and *yan* RNAi, also suppressed apoptosis in the wing disc when mitochondrial dysfunction was induced. This suggests that the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype can be manipulated by effects on apoptosis, however, apoptosis is not the only factor that can affect the adult wing curve phenotype (see Discussion 7.3.2).

6 INVESTIGATING THE ROLE OF GENES IDENTIFIED IN THE MODIFIER SCREEN, IN NEURONAL MITOCHONDRIAL DYSFUNCTION.

6.1 Introduction

I am particularly interested in investigating the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons, with the ultimate aim of further understanding neurodegenerative disease. Neurons have very high energy demand due to the production of action potentials and constant maintenance a resting membrane potential (Attwell and Laughlin 2001, Berndt and Holzhutter 2013). Neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta, in the basal ganglia have been reported to be particularly susceptible to mitochondrial dysfunction as they have a particularly large surface area due to huge axonal arborisations (Pacelli, Giguere et al. 2015). This may explain why Parkinson's disease, in which these cells die, is strongly associated with mitochondrial dysfunction. The modifier screen described in the previous chapter was a useful tool to quickly identify genes that are involved in the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction. However, postmitotic neurons exert very different pressures and demands on mitochondria. It is therefore important to test the identified genes in a neuronal context to determine if they affect mitochondrial dysfunction here.

The overall goal of this chapter is to investigate genes identified in the modifier screen to determine if they have the same impact on mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons. Genes that do affect neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction are then to be investigated further to improve our understanding of the cellular response to neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction.

To do this, I aim –

- 1. To investigate whether the RNAi lines identified in the modifier screen, modify phenotypes of mitochondrial dysfunction in motor neurons.
- 2. To test genes that do modify neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction in disease models.
- 3. To investigate the molecular pathways these genes are involved in and determine what influence these pathways have on mitochondrial dysfunction.

6.2 Results

6.2.1 Evaluation of genes identified in the modifier screen in neurons

To test genes identified in the modifier screen assay in neurons, mitochondrial dysfunction was induced with *D42-Gal4* and climbing ability and wing inflation were assessed. *TFAM* knockdown, with *TFAM* RNAi and *TFAM*^{c01716}, only induced a weak climbing phenotype, with motor neuron drivers, *D42-Gal4*, *OK371-Gal4*, *Ok6-Gal4* (Aberle, Haghighi et al. 2002) and *c380-Gal4* (Koh, Popova et al. 1999) and no wing inflation phenotype with *D42-Gal4* (Figure 6.1). However, overexpression of *TFAM* causes a robust phenotype with a 50% decrease in climbing and approximately 50% of flies with uninflated wings, when driven with *D42-Gal4* (see Results chapter 3, Figure 3.1 & 3.2). A phenotype at this level allows genes identified in the modifier screen to either enhance or suppress the dysfunction, in a manner that can be robustly measured. *TFAM* overexpression was therefore used to model neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons.



Figure 6.1 *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} knockdown in motor neurons causes a weak climbing phenotype and no wing inflation phenotype. (A) Quantification of climbing comparing *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} with controls for four motor neuron drivers, *OK6-Gal4* with *w1118* (control) (n = 10), *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 10). *c380-Gal4* with *w1118* (control) (n = 9), *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 9). *D42-Gal4* with *w1118* (control) (n = 11), *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 12). *OK371-Gal4* with *w1118* (control) (n = 18), *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 19). Data were analysed with student's t-tests. (B) *D42-Gal4* driven *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} (n = 47) does not result in any inflation phenotype compared to control (n = 121). Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, * p≤0.05, ** p≤0.01.

Ideally, mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons would have been assessed in the same format as in the wing, with *TFAM* overexpression and the neuronal driver in the same fly crossed to each RNAi line. However, practical problems prevented the production of a stable stock containing both the neuronal driver and *TFAM* overexpression. A different approach therefore had to be taken, in which stocks containing the RNAi line and *TFAM* overexpression were created. This reduced the number of RNAi lines that it was practical to screen neuronally. The results of the modifier screen suggest that genes which enhance mitochondrial dysfunction are quite common. Any gene that plays a role in the normal mitochondrial function or metabolism may enhance mitochondrial dysfunction when knocked down. In the screen, genes that suppress mitochondrial dysfunction is more difficult. Moreover, suppression genes may be of more interest therapeutically. Therefore, I focussed mainly on RNAi lines that suppressed the wing phenotype in the modifier screen. A few lines that caused enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction by knockdown of chromatin remodellers were also tested neuronally.

Seven out of the 11 lines tested, significantly altered the wing inflation phenotype in conjunction with *TFAM* overexpression, compared with *TFAM* overexpression alone (Table 18, Appendix 9.2.5). Five enhanced the inflation phenotype and 2 suppressed the phenotype (Table 18, Appendix 9.2.5). For all but one RNAi line, the significant changes to mitochondrial dysfunction in the neuronal assays were opposite to the changes observed in the modifier screen. Only one suppressor, *yan* RNAi, identified in the modifier screen also suppressed wing inflation.

The climbing phenotype was only significantly altered by RNAi for two of the genes tested, and did so robustly in at least two independent climbing assays (Table 18, Figure 6.2 & 6.3). These RNAi lines targeted *Hormone receptor-like in 39 (Hr39)* and *yan*.

Table 18. Results of neuronal assay for selected hits identified in the modifier screen. Any significant result was repeated at least once. ns not significant, * $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$. *CG31125* RNAi climbing and wing expansion assays were carried out by Daniel Potter. Please refer to Appendix 9.2.1 for further information about the stocks.

CG #	Stock ID	Gene Name	Function	Screen result (score)	Climbing	Wing expansion
CG1966	3347	Acfl	Chromatin Remodelling	Enhancer (3)	ns	ns
CG8625	24505	Iswi	Chromatin Remodelling	Enhancer (4)	ns	ns
CG6363	110618	MRG15	Chromatin Remodelling	Enhancer (4)	ns	Suppressed **
CG8676	NIG R3	Hr39	Nuclear Hormone Receptor	Suppressor	Enhanced ***	Enhanced ***
CG31212	106684	Ino80	Chromatin Remodelling	Suppressor	ns	Enhanced **
CG6632	109799	Ing3	Chromatin Remodelling	Suppressor	ns	Enhanced ***
CG31301	104460		Nucleic Acid Binding	Suppressor	ns	Enhanced ***
CG31125	25700		Unknown	Suppressor	ns	ns
CG13399	31782	Chrac- 14	Chromatin Remodelling	Suppressor	ns	ns
CG3166	NIG R3	aop/ yan	Transcription Factor	Suppressor	Suppressed **	Suppressed ***
CG2244	110618	MTA1- like	Chromatin Remodelling	Suppressor	ns	Enhanced ***

6.2.2 Knockdown of *Hr39* enhances neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction

Hr39 RNAi was identified as a suppressor in the modifier screen, yet enhanced the *TFAM* overexpression wing inflation and climbing phenotype (Figure 6.2). Hr39 is a neurohormone known to inhibit normal axonal pruning in the mushroom body (Boulanger, Clouet-Redt et al. 2011). It also inhibits pruning in motor neurons through negative regulation of the ecdysone receptor (Boulanger, Farge et al. 2012). The fact that this gene gives the opposite result in the wing compared to neurons may be due to the difference in model, or the role of this gene in mitochondrial dysfunction may be tissue specific (see Discussion 7.4.1).



Figure 6.2 Enhanced neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction due to *Hr39* **RNAi** (**A**) Climbing assay with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 32) compared with *Hr39* RNAi (n = 11), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 32) and *TFAM* overexpression with *Hr39* RNAi (n = 32). Data were analysed with a one way ANOVA. (**B**) Wing inflation with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 402) compared with *Hr39* RNAi (n = 224), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 246) and *TFAM* overexpression with *Hr39* RNAi (n = 153). Data were analysed with chi-squared. ns not significant, ** p≤0.01, *** p≤0.001.

6.2.3 Knockdown of yan suppresses neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction

The other RNAi line that altered both the *TFAM* overexpression inflation and climbing phenotype was *yan* RNAi (Figure 6.3). This RNAi was identified as a suppressor in the modifier screen and was shown to suppress apoptosis in the wing disc, which is normally induced by *TFAM* knockdown. In motor neurons, *yan* also suppresses the climbing phenotype caused by overexpression of *TFAM*. An independent RNAi for *yan* was used to verify that these results were not due to off target effects. The second *yan* RNAi suppresses mitochondrial dysfunction in the modifier screen assay, and in the neuronal wing inflation assay (Figure 6.4A, B, D). It does not suppress the climbing phenotype produced by *TFAM* overexpression but there is a trend towards suppression (Figure 6.4C).



Figure 6.3 Suppressed neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction due to *yan* RNAi NIGR-1. (A) Climbing assay with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 20) compared with *yan* RNAi (n = 20), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 20) and *TFAM* overexpression with *yan* RNAi (n = 20). Data were analysed with a one way ANOVA. (B) Wing inflation with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 138) compared with *yan* RNAi (n = 73), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 81) and *TFAM* overexpression with *yan* RNAi (n = 93). Data were analysed with chi-squared. ns not significant, *** p≤0.001.



Figure 6.4 A non-overlapping *yan* **RNAi also suppressed mitochondrial dysfunction.** Representative images of *MS1096-Gal4* driven (**A**) *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716} and (**B**) *yan* NIGR-3, *TFAM* RNAi, *TFAM*^{c01716}. (**C**) Climbing assay with

D42-Gal4 motor neuron driver of control (n = 10) compared with *yan* RNAi NIGR-3 (n = 10), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 14) and *TFAM* overexpression with *yan* RNAi NIGR-3 (n = 13). Data were analysed with a one way ANOVA. (**D**) Wing inflation with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 135) compared with *yan* RNAi NIGR-3 (n = 224), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 170) and *TFAM* overexpression with *yan* RNAi NIGR-3 (n = 35). Data were analysed with chi-squared. ns not significant, * $p \le 0.05$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

Yan is an ETS-transcription factor, which was first identified in the *Drosophila* eye as a repressor of differentiation (Lai and Rubin 1992). It is now known that Yan is a general repressor of both differentiation and proliferation (Rebay and Rubin 1995, Rogge, Green et al. 1995). Yan is regulated by cell surface tyrosine kinase receptors that activate the MAP kinase pathway (O'Neill, Rebay et al. 1994). Phosphorylation of Yan by this pathway results in Yan degradation and the activation of Pointed P2, Yan's antagonistic ETS-transcription factor partner (O'Neill, Rebay et al. 1994).

The two *yan* RNAis were validated by imaging *yan* expression in the eye disc of third instar larvae, a tissue which expresses high levels of *yan*. The *GMR-Gal4* driver expresses *Gal4* in all cells posterior to the morphogenetic furrow in the eye disc(Freeman 1996). Levels of Yan in the eye disc posterior to the morphogenetic furrow were reduced when both RNAis were driven by *GMR-Gal4*, compared to control (Figure 6.5).





Representative images of eye discs dissected from wandering third instar larvae with *GMR-Gal4* driver stained with a Yan antibody, the red boxes show where the antibody intensity was measured. MF indicates the morphogenetic furrow. Eye disc of (**A**) control, (**B**) *yan* RNAi NIGR-1 and (**C**) *yan* RNAi NIGR-3 had reduced staining posterior to the morphogenetic furrow. The eye disc is positioned anterior at the top left and posterior at the bottom right. (**D**) Quantification of control (*GMR-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*) (n = 10), *yan* RNAi NIGR-1 (n = 11) and *yan* RNAi NIGR-3 (n = 10) using a one way ANOVA. Error bars represent SEM. *** p≤0.001

As knockdown of *yan* suppresses the phenotypes caused by mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons, overexpression of *yan* was investigated to determine if it had the opposite effect. Climbing experiment and wing inflation assays with a *yan* overexpression line were carried out by Dr Vandana Singh. Overexpression of *yan* in motor neurons caused a climbing phenotype on its own as well as a folded wing phenotype and overexpression of *yan* in combination with *TFAM* overexpression was lethal (Figure 6.6). Although the lethality may be an additive effect of dysfunction due to *yan* overexpression and mitochondrial dysfunction, it does show that overexpression does indeed result in the opposite phenotype to *yan* knockdown.



Figure 6.6 Motor neuron overexpression of *yan* is in lethal in the *TFAM* overexpression model of mitochondrial dysfunction. (A) Climbing assay with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 10) compared with *yan* overexpression (n = 10) and *TFAM* overexpression (n = 10). Data were analysed with a one way ANOVA. (A) Wing inflation assay with *D42-Gal4* motor neuron driver of control (n = 10) compared with *yan* overexpression (n = 10) and *TFAM* overexpression (n = 10) and *TFAM* overexpression (n = 10). These experiments were performed by Dr Vandana Singh. Error bars represent SEM, **X** indicates lethality. * $p \le 0.05$, *** $p \le 0.001$

6.2.4 Knockdown of *yan* suppresses mitochondrial dysfunction in a *Drosophila* model of Leigh syndrome, but not in a Parkinson's disease model.

Yan knockdown was tested in other models of mitochondrial dysfunction to determine whether it specifically suppressed mitochondrial dysfunction related to *TFAM* dysregulation. Two disease related *Drosophila* models were used, which model Leigh syndrome and Parkinson's disease. Previously, I have shown that climbing phenotypes caused by these two models of mitochondrial dysfunction can be rescued by knockdown of *sima* (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015).

The most common single cause of Leigh Syndrome is mutations in the gene *Surf1*(Pequignot, Dey et al. 2001). Surf1 is an IMM protein that is required for the correct assembly of complex IV (Herrmann and Funes 2005). This syndrome can be modelled in the fly with knockdown of the *Drosophila* homologue of *Surf1*(Da-Re, von Stockum et al. 2014). *D42-Gal4* driven *Surf1* RNAi does not induce a strong climbing phenotype (data not shown), so the pan-neuronal driver *nSyb-Gal4* was used to knockdown *Surf1*. With *nSyb-Gal4* very few males were viable. Female flies were therefore used for the climbing assay and had a severely impaired climbing ability as well as a very penetrant wing inflation phenotype. *NSyb-Gal4* driven *yan* RNAi

significantly rescued the climbing deficit and wing inflation induced by *Surf1* RNAi (Figure 6.7).



Figure 6.7 Knockdown of *yan* **restores neuronal function in a** *Drosophila* **model of Leigh syndrome.** (A) Climbing assay of female flies with pan neuronal *nSyb-Gal4* driver. Control (n = 10) (*nSyb-Gal4* crossed to *w1118*) compared with *yan* RNAi NIGR-1 (n = 9), *Surf1* RNAi (n = 10) and *Surf1* RNAi with *yan* RNAi NIGR-1 (n = 10). Data were analysed with a one way ANOVA. (B) Wing inflation with pan neuronal *nSyb-Gal4* driver of *w1118* (control) (n = 165) compared with *yan* RNAi (n = 153), *Surf1* RNAi (n = 162) and *Surf1* RNAi with *yan* RNAi (n = 154). Data were analysed with the chi-squared test. ns not significant, *** p≤0.001.

Parkinson's disease can be modelled in *Drosophila* with a null mutation in *parkin*, $park^{25}$ (Greene, Whitworth et al. 2005). Previously, the climbing deficit caused by $park^{25}$ has been rescued with ubiquitous *sima* knockdown, driven with the *daughterless* (*Da-Gal4*) driver. To test whether yan knockdown is capable of rescuing $park^{25}$ mutant flies, I therefore used the ubiquitous driver *Da-Gal4*. Ubiquitous yan knockdown did not significantly improve the climbing ability of $park^{25}$ flies (Figure 6.8).



Figure 6.8 Knockdown of *yan* does not restore climbing ability in a *Drosophila* model of Parkinson's disease. (A) Climbing assays of *Da-Gal4*; *park*²⁵ crossed to *w1118* as a control (n = 10), *yan* RNAi NIGR-1 (n = 10), *park*²⁵ (n = 10) and *park*²⁵, *yan* RNAi NIGR-1 (n = 10). Heterozygous *park*²⁵ mutants have no climbing phenotypes. Data was analysed with one way ANOVA. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, *** $p \le 0.001$

6.2.5 Pointed mutant suppresses neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction.

Yan is thought to act antagonistically with another ETS-transcription factor, Pointed (Pnt) (O'Neill, Rebay et al. 1994), which acts as a positive regulator of gene expression, on the same genes repressed by Yan. There are two isoforms of Pnt, P1 and P2, due to two promoter regions separated by about 50kb (Klambt 1993). The two isoforms have a conserved 3' ETS DNA binding domain but, due to alternative 5' splicing, different activation domains (Klambt 1993). As a result, PntP1 is constitutively active, whereas PntP2 is regulated by the MAPK pathway (Gabay, Scholz et al. 1996).

A deletion mutation, which targets exons shared by both *pntP1* and *pntP2*, *pnt*⁴⁸⁸, was used to study the effect of *pnt* knockdown on neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction (Alvarez, Shi et al. 2003). Climbing ability was tested in the *TFAM* overexpression model of mitochondrial dysfunction and the *park*²⁵ Parkinson's disease model. Heterozygous *pnt*⁴⁸⁸ suppressed the *TFAM* overexpression climbing and wing inflation phenotype (Figure 6.9A,B), and suppressed the climbing phenotype caused by *park*²⁵ (Figure 6.9C).



Figure 6.9 *Pointed* mutant restores neuronal function in *TFAM* overexpressing and *parkin* mutant flies. (A) Climbing assay of *D42-Gal4* driven *w1118* (control) (n = 10) pnt^{488} (n = 10), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 10) and pnt^{488} with *TFAM* overexpression (n = 10). (B) Wing inflation in *D42-Gal4* driven *w1118* (control) (n = 237) pnt^{488} (n = 259), *TFAM* overexpression (n = 173) and pnt^{488} with *TFAM* overexpression (n = 56). (C) Climbing assay of control (n = 10) pnt^{488} (n = 10), $park^{25}$ (n = 10). Data were analysed with one way ANOVA or chi-squared. ns not significant, * p≤0.05, ** p≤0.01, *** p≤0.001.

6.2.6 MAP kinase signalling pathway activity is altered by mitochondrial dysfunction

Yan knockdown and *pnt*⁴⁸⁸ have been shown to robustly suppress mitochondrial dysfunction *in vivo*. I therefore investigated the MAPK pathway upstream of Yan and Pnt, to determine if MAPK signalling is altered by mitochondrial dysfunction. The homolog of Yan, Etv6 (previously known as Tel), is phosphorylated in mammals by the MAPK ERK1/2. The *Drosophila* homologue of ERK is Rolled. As a readout of the Ras/MAPK pathway activity, I used an antibody for double phosphorylated MAPK (pMAPK) to observe active MAPK in motor neuron cell bodies. Levels of pMAPK increased in VNC when *TFAM* was overexpressed (Figure 6.10).



Figure 6.10 Increased activation of MAPK in neurons overexpressing *TFAM* Representative images of the VNC from third instar larvae expressing *OK371-Gal4* driven CD8-GFP (green) and stained with an antibody for pMAPK (red in A,A',B,B' and white in A'' and B''). (A-A'') Control larvae. (A') Control VNC in the white box with mitoGFP and pMAPK, (A'') and with pMAPK staining alone. (B-B'') *TFAM* overexpression. (B') *TFAM* overexpression VNC in the white box with mitoGFP and pMAPK, and (B'') with pMAPK staining alone. (A-B') Merged images. (A'',B'') pMAPK alone. (C) Quantification of pMAPK measured in the cytosol of GFP expressing motor neuron cell bodies of control (n = 29) and *TFAM* overexpressing (n = 29) larvae. Data were analysed using a student's test with Welch's correction. Error bars represent SEM. ns not significant, ** p≤0.01.
6.3 Summary

In this chapter, I started with 11 genes identified in the modifier screen as modulators of mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing and investigated whether they also modified mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons. *TFAM* knockdown, the tool I used to induce mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing, was not strong enough to induce suitable phenotypes in motor neurons. I therefore used overexpression of *TFAM* rather than knockdown to induce neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction.

Seven of the genes tested significantly modified the wing inflation phenotype that results from neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction. This validates the modifier screen, indicating it is a useful way to identify genes that do have an effect on mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons. Not all of these genes modified mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons in the same way as they did in the wing screen e.g. in some cases a suppressor in the wing screen, enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction in motor neurons. This maybe because the model of mitochondrial dysfunction was changed from *TFAM* knockdown to *TFAM* overexpression or it may be due to tissue specific effects.

Only two of the genes investigated were able to modify the climbing phenotype caused by mitochondrial dysfunction, as well as the wing inflation phenotype. Knockdown of *Hr39*, a neurohormone that inhibits axonal pruning, enhanced the climbing phenotype and knockdown of the ETS transcription factor *yan*, which is regulated by the Ras/MAPK pathway, partially rescued the climbing phenotype. The ability of *yan* knockdown to suppress phenotypes caused by *TFAM* overexpression was confirmed with a second RNAi. *Yan* RNAi was also able to suppress phenotypes of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction in a *Drosophila* model of Leigh syndrome, but not *park*²⁵ mutants.

A second ETS transcription factor, *pnt*, which is also regulated by the Ras/MAPK pathway was able to rescue neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction caused by *TFAM* overexpression and $park^{25}$ mutants.

I have identified downstream components of the Ras/MAPK pathway, so I looked at levels of activated MAPK to determine if it is altered by mitochondrial dysfunction.

Overexpression of *TFAM* induced an increase in active MAPK (pMAPK) in the soma of third instar larvae's motor neurons.

7.1 Characterising different mitochondrial insults in the *Drosophila* nervous system

I have characterised neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* using five different mitochondrial insults: knockdown of a single subunit of complex I, III, IV and V and reduced mtDNA encoded gene expression caused by *TFAM* overexpression. There are already numerous *in vivo* models of mitochondrial dysfunction (see Introduction 1.3.4 & Table 2). However, there has been little *in vivo* characterisation of mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons, and no comparison of dysfunction caused by loss of different OXPHOS subunits in neurons. As neurodegenerative diseases are associated with mitochondrial dysfunction, related particularly to deficits in specific complexes, this analysis is important for better understanding of these diseases.

I used a climbing assay to identify OXPHOS subunit RNAi lines that resulted in a functional impairment. This assay is a tool to broadly assess neuronal function. It has been particularly useful for evaluation of whether neuronal function has been rescued or impaired in genetic epistasis experiments. The exact cause of the climbing deficit has not been explored in this study but there are numerous putative mechanisms.

7.1.1 Functions of the OXPHOS subunits targeted by the selected RNAi lines

I focussed on one RNAi for a single subunit of complexes I, III, IV and V. The complex I subunit targeted was *ND-75*, which produces the NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 75 kDa subunit, orthologue of the human NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase core subunit S1 (NDUFS1). This subunit is part of the iron-sulphur domain of complex I and has three putative sites for iron-sulphur cluster binding. It is thought to compose part of the active site where NADH is oxidised. Mutations in this subunit in humans results in impaired complex I assembly, neurological pathology and death in childhood (Hoefs, Skjeldal et al. 2010). Reduced levels of this subunit are also observed in brain tissue from AD and Down syndrome patients (Kim, Vlkolinsky et al. 2001). The 75kDa subunit is essential for progression of normal apoptotic processes. When apoptosis is triggered, caspase enzymes are activated and are responsible for DNA fragmentation (Enari, Sakahira et al. 1998). Caspases are also required to cleave OXPHOS complexes I and II in order to disrupt IMM function and permanently depolarise mitochondria (Waterhouse, Goldstein et al. 2001, Ricci, Gottlieb et al. 2003). NDUFS1 contains an essential for site for caspase cleavage. Mutations in this site impairs apoptotic processes in HeLa cells that have been permeabilised and exposed to caspase-3 (Ricci, Muñoz-Pinedo et al. 2004). Unlike in control cells, the membrane potential and ATP production was maintained in these mutants (Ricci, Muñoz-Pinedo et al. 2004).

UQCR-14 RNAi targets the ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase 14 kDa subunit of complex III, orthologue of the human ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase binding protein (UQCRB). UQCRB is required for redox-linked proton pumping, as well as maintenance and assembly of complex III. Low complex III levels were observed in mitochondria isolated from a patient with a deletion in *UQCRB*, who suffers from episodes of hypoglycaemia and metabolic acidosis (Haut, Brivet et al. 2003). Studies in yeast also suggest are role for this subunit in complex III maintenance and assembly (Hemrika, Lobo-Hajdu et al. 1994).

The complex IV targeted *COX5B* RNAi knocks down cytochrome c oxidase subunit 5B. In yeast, COX5B is essential for assembly of complex IV: when *COX5B* is mutated other subunits are present but do not assemble into the stable complex (Dowhan, Bibus et al. 1985). COX5B levels are selectively downregulated in blood from patients with multiple sclerosis (compared to the COX2 subunit of complex IV) (Safavizadeh, Rahmani et al. 2013).

ATPsynCf6 RNAi targets knockdown of ATPsynthase coupling factor 6, orthologue of human ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F_0 complex subunit F6 (ATP5J). ATPsynCf6 is a component of the rod domain, required for interactions between the catalytic F_1 domain and proton pore F_0 domain, essential for coupling proton translocation and ATP production. Mutations in *ATP5J* in patients causes Leigh syndrome, with loss of activity as well as impaired stability/assembly of complex V (Morava, Rodenburg et al. 2006).

7.1.2 Validation of OXPHOS subunit RNAi knockdown

qRT-PCR of third instar larvae ubiquitously expressing these RNAi showed a significant knockdown of *UCQR-14, COX5B* and *ATPsynCf6*, in respective lines, of between 85 and 95%. Complex I *ND-75* levels were not decreased in larvae ubiquitously expressing *ND-75* RNAi. ND-75 levels could be further investigated by western blot, to evaluate whether protein levels of ND-75 are altered in these larvae. It may be that the RNAi does not actually knockdown ND-75 and that the phenotypes it causes are due to off target effects. I believe this is unlikely, as this RNAi also causes synaptic mitochondrial loss, decreased ATP:ADP and increased ROS, all phenotypes that might be expected when complex I activity is reduced, yet unlikely to be caused by off-target effects. I also tested a non-overlapping RNAi for *ND-75*, which displayed the same level of lethality at 25°C with *D42-Gal4* and *OK371-Gal4*, and a very similar climbing deficit at room temperature with *OK371-Gal4*.

As I am interested in the effect of mitochondrial dysfunction on neurons, I focussed my analysis of *ND-75* RNAi on neuronal tissue. I performed qRT-PCR on dissected brains of larvae expressing *ND-75* RNAi pan-neuronally and found that *ND-75* was knocked down in the CNS. The brain tissue also contains non-neuronal cells, which do not express the RNAi, so the 50% knockdown observed in the qRT-PCR is most likely an underestimate of the actual level of knockdown in neurons. Therefore, to have an understanding of the level of knockdown in this RNAi compared to the other RNAi lines, I also tested *UQCR-14* knockdown, which had given the strongest (95%) knockdown ubiquitously. In this assay *UQCR-14* was reduced by 75%, although this was not significant, due to a large variation in control tissues. This suggests that *ND-75* knockdown is to a reasonably similar level to the other RNAi lines in the CNS.

I hypothesise that mRNA levels of *ND*-75 might not be decreased in the entire organism due to some feedback mechanism in some tissue types. Perhaps, when protein levels of this core subunit of complex I decreases, transcription of the subunit is increased or translation is decreased, resulting in an upregulation of *ND*-75 mRNA observed in the qRT-PCR. In mice with heterozygous *TFAM* knockout, mtDNA levels were reduced in all tissues investigated (Larsson, Wang et al. 1998). In the heart and kidney there was a corresponding decrease in mitochondrial transcripts, but in liver and muscle there was no significant change in transcripts, attributed to modifications in mRNA stability and

reduced translation in these tissues (Larsson, Wang et al. 1998). Knockout of the muscle specific isoform of the adenine nucleotide translocator (ANT), which exchanges ADP from the cytosol for ATP from the matrix, results in proliferation of mitochondria (Graham, Waymire et al. 1997). These studies demonstrate that in some situations and tissues mitochondrial dysfunction can induce feedback loops to regulate mitochondrial proteins. It is also possible that ubiquitous *ND-75* RNAi induces such a strong phenotype that most larvae die before third instar, and the larvae collected for the qRT-PCR are somehow more resistant to the RNAi.

I have shown that mRNA of subunits *ND-75, UQCR-14, COX5B* and *ATPsynCf6* were reduced by the respective RNAi lines. However, it would be informative to determine what effect knockdown of these subunits have on the OXPHOS complexes as a whole, in terms of assembly and activity. Knockdown of individual subunits does not necessarily result in impaired assembly of the whole complex, as seen in *Drosophila* with OXPHOS subunit RNAi that caused increased longevity (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009). However, the extent of knockdown in these flies was less severe with these RNAis (6- 47% knockdown when ubiquitously expressed), which resulted in benefits to the organism, as opposed to the detrimental effects observed in my models (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009). As discussed above, the subunits I have knocked down have also all been associated with reduced levels of the targeted complex in human disease, and implicated in maintenance and assembly of each respective complex.

In vitro studies have shown, that due to the formation of supercomplexes, RNAi of one subunit may also effect the activity of other OXPHOS complexes. Knockout of the complex IV subunit, *COX10*, in a murine skin fibroblast cell line causes loss of other complex IV subunits and complex IV activity (Diaz, Fukui et al. 2006). It also results in loss of complex I subunits and complex I activity (Diaz, Fukui et al. 2006). The loss of complex I activity was not seen when cells were treated with potassium cyanide (KCN), a pharmacological inhibitor of complex IV (Diaz, Fukui et al. 2006). This suggests that the physical presence of the complex IV subunit is required for correct complex I maintenance/assembly, regardless of its activity. Similarly, mutant complex III catalytic subunit cytochrome b, in mouse and human cell lines, impairs complex III assembly and activity as well as complex I activity and assembly (Acin-Perez, Bayona-Bafaluy et al. 2004). Again pharmacological inhibition of complex III activity, with antimycin A, was not sufficient to impair complex I assembly (Acin-Perez, Bayona-Bafaluy et al. 2004).

A complex I subunit mutation in the murine cell line, impaired assembly of complex I, but had no effect on complex III assembly, suggesting that inter-complex stability is not necessarily a reciprocal process (Acin-Perez, Bayona-Bafaluy et al. 2004).

7.1.3 Reduced synaptic mitochondria caused by mitochondrial dysfunction

In the five models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction I characterised in Chapter 3, I found loss of synaptic mitochondria to be a common phenotype. Mitochondria were labelled genetically using a mitochondrial targeted GFP (mitoGFP). Import of proteins with MTS into mitochondria requires a negative membrane potential. I therefore cannot exclude the possibility that the synaptic loss of mitochondria observed actually corresponds to depolarised mitochondria at the synapse, which are unable to import mitoGFP. A better method to assess mitochondrial number irrespective of membrane potential might be to use an antibody for a OMM protein, such as porin or TOM. However, due to the position of the NMJ on top of muscle tissue, this method would also identify the copious numbers of muscle mitochondria and so identifying mitochondria in the neuron would be problematic. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of NMJs would be required to determine if the number of mitochondrial structures really decrease. Simultaneous expression of mitoGFP and the vital dye tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM), which only stains polarized mitochondria, would help to determine whether mitoGFP is able to label mitochondria that have lost their membrane potential.

The mechanisms behind this synaptic loss of mitochondria are currently unclear. The most obvious explanations would be decreased biogenesis, increased mitophagy, altered axonal transport of mitochondria or combinations of these factors. Increased mitophagy causes decreased mitochondrial mass in patients with *OPA1* mutations that impair mitochondrial fusion (Dombi, Diot et al. 2016). In primary cultures of rat hippocampal neurons, PINK1 and Parkin have been shown to be necessary for local axonal mitophagy (Ashrafi, Schlehe et al. 2014). *In vivo* analysis of mitochondria in *Drosophila Parkin* mutants showed an unexpected loss of mitochondria in the NMJ, similar to the phenotype I observed (Sung, Tandarich et al. 2016). Mitophagy was then compared in *Drosophila* primary motor neuron cultures to the same neurons *in vivo*. Autophagic vacuoles colocalised with mitochondria were observed in axons and the cell body *in vitro*, but not *in vivo*. Colocalisation was decreased in *Parkin* mutants, showing

Parkin mediates mitophagy in axons and cell bodies *in vitro*, but may not *in vivo*. The Parkin mutant flies had more tubular mitochondria in the soma of the motor neurons, a morphology change that may be expected in cells with inhibited mitophagy (Sung, Tandarich et al. 2016). The authors suggest that *in vivo*, Parkin mediates quality control by regulating fission and fusion in the soma (Sung, Tandarich et al. 2016). They hypothesise that a barrier between the cell body and the axons only allows good quality mitochondria to pass into the axon (Sung, Tandarich et al. 2016). Drosophila overexpressing TFAM in motor neurons have decreased synaptic mitochondria, but increased mitochondrial fragmentation in the cell body (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). This putative quality control barrier may provide an explanation for this phenotype. Mitochondrial dysfunction causes increased fragmentation in the soma, perhaps Parkin mediated, and few mitochondria are of high enough quality to pass the quality control barrier. Those mitochondria that do pass may gradually become more dysfunctional overtime as they move anterogradely down the axon to the NMJ. This could potentially be occurring in all of the models of mitochondrial dysfunction I investigated. A complete characterisation of mitochondrial biogenesis, autophagy and transport in my models of mitochondrial dysfunction would address this hypothesis.

In cultured rat hippocampal neurons, PINK1 and Parkin have also been shown to inhibit transport of depolarised mitochondria by phosphorylation and degradation of Miro, which attaches mitochondria to motor proteins (Wang, Winter et al. 2011). However, *in vivo* analysis of mitochondria mobility in segmental nerves of *Drosophila Parkin* mutants showed a decrease in mitochondrial flux, due to the reduced quantity of mitochondria, with no change in velocity or percentage of moving mitochondria (Sung, Tandarich et al. 2016). *In vivo* evidence in mice sensory neurons shows that mitochondrial anterograde transport is increased with neuronal stimulation, leading to accumulation of mitochondria at peripheral terminals (Sajic, Mastrolia et al. 2014). It is therefore possible that fewer mitochondria accumulate at the synapse when mitochondria are dysfunctional because of reduced neuronal activity in the five models of mitochondrial dysfunction I have characterised.

The loss of synaptic mitochondria was accompanied by small changes in bouton number and diameter. The significant decrease in bouton diameter in each OXPHOS complex RNAi line was much smaller than the dramatic loss of mitochondrial volume and number, so is unlikely to explain this mitochondrial loss. *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) also results in a modest but significant decrease in bouton number, whereas, ND-75 RNAi (CI) induces a significant increase in bouton number. Overgrowth of boutons, measured as increased bouton number, has previously been observed in three Drosophila mutants with increased levels of ROS: homozygous spinster (spin), sod1 and sod2 mutants (Milton, Jarrett et al. 2011). Overexpression of genes for antioxidant enzymes (sod1, catalase and thioredoxin-reductase), and dominant negative jnk and fos, reduces bouton number in *spin* mutant flies, indicating a causative role of increased ROS, mediated by c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signalling (Milton, Jarrett et al. 2011). ND-75 RNAi (CI) was the only RNAi line that I investigated that caused an increase in roGFP-Grx oxidation. It therefore seems plausible that the increased bouton number in ND-75 RNAi, is caused by increased ROS. This also adds weight to the finding that the other RNAi lines did not increase ROS, as there was no associated increase in bouton number. Sod1 mutants also had reduced bouton diameter, similar to *ND-75* RNAi, however, this phenotype was not observed in the other mutants, so may not be a direct effect of increased ROS (Milton, Jarrett et al. 2011). I observed decreased bouton diameter in all RNAis, suggesting that this may be due to a general response to mitochondrial impairment, such as impaired Ca^{2+} sequestering.

7.1.4 Measuring reactive oxygen species in models of mitochondrial dysfunction

Measuring ROS levels using fluorescent probes, such as mito-roGFP-Grx, has the benefit of providing ROS readouts for the tissue and compartment of interest alone. Use of these probes has shown that there are distinct differences in ROS in different tissues (e.g. reduced E_{GSH} in muscle versus the fat body) and cellular compartments (cytosolic H_2O_2 increases in wandering larvae versus feeding larvae, but mitochondrial H_2O_2 does not) (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011). Independent changes in the H_2O_2 probe (mito-roGFP-ORP) and the E_{GSH} probe (mito-roGFP-Grx) also indicates that all ROS are not equal, and so if one measurement shows no increase in ROS, that does not necessarily mean there are no other redox changes (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011).

In this thesis, I used mitochondrially targeted roGFP-Grx to measure the redox state of the GSH/GSSG redox couple (Albrecht, Barata et al. 2011). This means I can only comment on the mitochondrial E_{GSH} in neurons with OXPHOS subunit knockdown. I found that the E_{GSH} was reduced in *COX5B* and *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CIV and CV),

unchanged in *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII) and oxidised in *ND-75* RNAi, thus demonstrating a major difference between the models of mitochondrial dysfunction.

ROS are produced as a by-product of mitochondrial dysfunction at complex I, complex II and complex III (see Introduction 1.2.4.1). The vast majority of mitochondrial ROS are formed at complex I (Andreyev, Kushnareva et al. 2005). This may explain why ND-75 knockdown, results in EGSH oxidation. Knockdown of the Pdsw subunit of complex I in the Drosophila eye disc also results in increased ROS production. In this tissue the ROS produced arrests the cell cycle in the G1 phase (Owusu-Ansah, Yavari et al. 2008). Knockdown of a complex IV subunit, COX5A, in the eye disc also arrests the cell cycle. However, this occurs via an alternative pathway (AMPK signalling) and ROS levels are found to drop by 20% in these cells (Owusu-Ansah, Yavari et al. 2008). Reduced protein and DNA oxidative damage has also been reported in neuron specific complex IV subunit, COX10, knockout mice (Fukui, Diaz et al. 2007). Conditional knockout of TFAM in murine skin cells results in decreased mtDNA encoded protein expression, decreased oxygen consumption and decreased ROS production, measured in primary cultures (Hamanaka, Glasauer et al. 2013). Taken together these data indicate that increased ROS production is not an inevitable consequence of mitochondrial dysfunction. To further investigate the role of ROS in these models, it would be informative to evaluate cysteine redox changes of neuronal proteins. This can be done using oxidative isotope-coded affinity tags (Menger, James et al. 2015).

To analyse the overall 'health' of neuronal mitochondria, a second *in vivo* ROS reporter, mitoTimer, was used. I found that *ND-75* RNAi induced a raised level of mitoTimer oxidation in motor neuron cell bodies and at the NMJ. The other models caused increased mitoTimer at the NMJ, apart from *UQCR-14* RNAi, which did not affect mitoTimer oxidation. Unlike the roGFP fused probes, which change fluorescence due to oxidation of inserted cysteine residues, fluorescence emitted by mitoTimer is irreversibly changed to red on oxidation due to oxidation of a tyrosine residue. This means that fluorescent changes in mitoTimer are more difficult to interpret. Increased levels of mitochondrial ROS would result in an augmented red signal, however, cytosolic ROS could potentially also have the same effect, due to the fact that mitoTimer is translated in the cytosol before translocating to the mitochondria (Laker, Xu et al. 2014). Mitochondrial proteins are only processed and folded once inside the matrix which might protect mitoTimer from oxidation in the cytosol that alters its

fluorescence, however, this has not yet been tested experimentally. Red fluorescence can also be an indicator of the age and turnover of mitochondrial proteins, as oxidation accumulates over time (Terskikh, Fradkov et al. 2000). Analysis of hippocampal neurons containing the construct shows young mitochondria in the soma and as the distance from the soma increases so does the ratio of red signal. Expression of a constitutively active version of *RHOT1*, a mitochondrial motor protein, increased the homogeneity of signal within the cells, indicating that increased red signal does correspond to increased age (Ferree, Trudeau et al. 2013). Pulsing expression of *mitoTimer* in HeLa cells reveals that *mitoTimer* can also be used to study biogenesis and mitophagy of mitochondria (Hernandez, Thornton et al. 2013).

The increased E_{GSH} observed in the NMJ of *ND-75* RNAi expressing larvae suggests that the changes in mitoTimer oxidation seen in this model are probably due to elevated ROS levels. This does not, however, exclude the possibility that there are also impairments in mitochondrial turnover contributing to the mitoTimer oxidation. *UQCR-14* RNAi did not affect the E_{GSH} or mitoTimer oxidation, so presumably in this model there is no ROS pathology or impairment of mitochondrial turnover. *COX5B* RNAi, *ATPsynCf6* RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression all had reduced mitochondrial E_{GSH} , but show greater oxidation of mitoTimer in NMJ mitochondria than control. The possibility of increased ROS in these flies, that affects other redox species without affecting GSH/GSSG cannot be formally ruled out, however, I think this result is more likely to indicate aged mitochondria either due to impaired biogenesis, reduced mitophagy or decreased transport of newly synthesised mitochondria to axonal terminals.

7.1.5 Analysis of ATP:ADP ratios

Finally, in order to characterise the mitochondrial dysfunction in the different models, I assessed the ATP:ADP ratio *in vivo* specifically in motor neurons, with the genetic construct Perceval. Almost all cellular work requires an input of energy, directly or indirectly, released from hydrolysis of the high energy bonds in ATP (Hardie and Hawley 2001). ATP is converted into ADP or AMP on hydrolysis, depending the number of phosphate groups removed. ATP:ADP levels are normally tightly controlled and maintained around 10:1, regardless of cellular activity. The normal ATP:AMP ratio is approximately 100:1 and therefore is a more sensitive measure of cellular energy (Hardie and Hawley 2001). Due to the greater sensitivity of the ATP:AMP ratio to

energetic changes, it was proposed that cellular sensors of energy may respond to this ratio. Indeed, the ATP:AMP ratio has been shown to regulate enzymatic activity, such as phosphofructokinase in yeast (Ramaiah, Hathaway et al. 1964) and to activate feedback mechanisms that increase production and decrease consumption of ATP, via AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) (Carling, Zammit et al. 1987). However, levels of AMP are usually lower than ATP and ADP by one to two orders of magnitude, so competitive binding of ADP to AMPK is a more probable event (Hardie, Carling et al. 2011). It is now known that AMPK also responds to ADP levels, which binds competitively with ATP and AMP at two sites within the kinase (Hardie 2011). Murine cells with *AMPK* knocked out are more sensitive to metabolic stress, such as treatment with mitochondrial toxin metformin, resulting in larger changes in AMP:ATP and ATP:ADP ratios than control (Foretz, Hebrard et al. 2010).

In order to measure ATP: ADP in vivo, the genetic construct Perceval has been developed (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). This construct has been used to measure ATP:ADP changes in primary cultures of mouse and human pancreatic cells (Li, Shuai et al. 2013). A version of the construct tuned to the expected ATP:ADP ratio in mammalian cells, PercevalHR, has also been used to measure ATP:ADP in murine primary neuronal, murine astrocyte cultures and primary neuronal cultures from rats (Tantama, Martinez-Francois et al. 2013, Rueda, Traba et al. 2015, Vaarmann, Mandel et al. 2016). This thesis is the first reported use of Perceval to measure ADP: ATP in vivo. I created flies containing this construct and used it to measure the ATP:ADP ratio in motor neuron cell bodies of ATPsynCf6 RNAi, ND-75 RNAi and TFAM overexpressing larvae. Due to time constraints I am yet to measure the ATP:ADP ratio in UQCR-14 RNAi and COX5B RNAi larvae. Data from ATPsynCf6 RNAi, ND-75 RNAi and TFAM overexpression reveals another difference between complex I knockdown and the other models of mitochondrial dysfunction. ATP:ADP was unchanged in the cell bodies of motor neurons containing ATPsynCf6 RNAi or TFAM overexpression. However, in larvae expressing ND-75 RNAi, levels of ATP were decreased compared to ADP. ATP levels have been measured in many systems in which activity of individual complexes are impaired by chemicals inhibitor. For example, in cultured rat retinas, rotenone inhibition of complex I causes ATP depletion, in this model neuronal cells were found to be more susceptible to ATP loss than glial cells (Han, Casson et al. 2014). Inhibition of individual OXPHOS subunits does not always result in reduced ATP however. Specific inhibition of complex III activity in MEF cells, with a low dose (5ng/ml for 8

hours) of antimycin A, did not affect ATP levels (Ma, Jin et al. 2011). This dose was sufficient to inhibit autophagy in these cells, so it is unlikely that the dose was too low to affect complex III function. In fact, it appears that there is a threshold of activity required for ATP production and it is only when activity of a complex is below this threshold that ATP levels are decreased. In isolated mitochondria from Drosophila, with a large scale mtDNA deletion (5kb), complex I activity was reduced by 50% and complex III activity was reduced by 30%, however ATP synthesis was not impaired. Addition of rotenone, reducing complex I activity by a further 20% was required to reduce ATP synthesis (Farge, Touraille et al. 2002). The importance of glycolytic metabolism was highlighted in a study of hippocampal neurons isolated from embryos or postnatal rats. Oligomycin inhibition of complex V did not affect ATP in embryonic cultures, which rely mainly on glycolysis, but caused a sharp loss of ATP in postnatal cultures, which depend on OXPHOS (Surin, Khiroug et al. 2012). Loss of the ND-75 subunit may therefore have a more severe effect on the complex I OXPHOS activity than loss of the other subunits on complex III, IV and V, or these flies may be less able to use compensatory methods to produce ATP.

It would be preferable to measure ATP:ADP levels in the NMJ in my five models of mitochondrial dysfunction, as this is where the mitochondria are most dysfunctional. Limitations in the strength of the Perceval signal have meant I have been unable to carry out this experiment. In order to have a measurable signal in the cell body, I have had to express three copies of the Perceval construct. To increase the signal to allow measurement in the NMJ I may have to add a fourth copy of the transcript or perhaps a second *Gal-4* driver.

A second limitation of this construct, is its sensitivity to intracellular pH changes. Perceval fluorescence measured in HEK cells, in which the bathing solution was changed from 6.9 to 6.6, did change as a function of pH (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). As pH decreases, the ratio of 490/430nm emission also decreased, which would otherwise be interpreted as a loss of ATP:ADP ratio. This suggests that the lower ATP:ADP ratio observed in *ND-75* RNAi larvae could actually be a measurement of a lower pH. Glycolytic inhibition with 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG) has been shown to lower intracellular pH by 0.2 units, in cell culture (Pianet, Merle et al. 1991). Activity of neurons is also associated with pH changes, *in vivo* pH measurements in the cytosol of *Drosophila* motor neurons decreased by approximately 0.16 units on stimulation and by 0.3 units when stimulated repeatedly (Rossano, Chouhan et al. 2013). Whether such large pH changes occur in the soma *in vivo* is not yet known, although similar changes have been observed in vitro in the soma of cultured frog motor neurons (Endres, Ballanyi et al. 1986). It is therefore possible that the lack of change observed in ATPsynCf6 RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression is actually due to a reduced neuronal activity, leading to a higher pH, masking an ATP: ADP decrease. However, within the cellular range of pH, the dose response of Perceval to ATP levels was remarkably robust in HEK cells (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). Addition of 2-DG to these HEK cells did not alter intracellular pH measurements, although changes in Perceval signalling indicated a 20% in ATP:ADP ratio (Berg, Hung et al. 2009). Whether the Perceval changes I observed *in vivo* actually correspond to changes in ATP: ADP therefore depends on whether pH is changing in these neurons. ATP: ADP measurements in rat cortical neurons using PercevalHR (which is still sensitive to pH), showed an 23% increase in ATP:ADP when PGC1a was overexpressed (Vaarmann, Mandel et al. 2016). This data was not controlled to pH, and pH was not measured. However, the result was confirmed by ATP measurements via luciferase activity normalised by Renilla. This suggests that Perceval measurements may be accurate reflections of ATP:ADP in unstimulated neurons. To allow more accurate interpretation of the Perceval signal, pH should be measured simultaneously and Perceval normalised to pH changes. Measuring neuronal pH changes in vivo provides a challenge due to impermeability of the blood brain barrier, however, genetically encoded pH indicators have been developed in Drosophila, which would make this possible (Rossano, Chouhan et al. 2013).

7.1.6 Summary

At first appearance, mitochondrial dysfunction caused by all the models of mitochondrial dysfunction I used appeared to have a similar effect, loss of synaptic mitochondria and impaired climbing activity. Further characterisation revealed that there are actually differences in these models even though they have similar outcomes. Similarly, ubiquitous knockdown of five OXPHOS subunits in *Drosophila*, cause extended lifespan, but also have different effects on mitochondrial and cellular function (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009). Two of these lines target complex I subunits and the others target complex III, IV and V subunits. None of the RNAi lines caused a reduction in ATP levels, although one of the complex I RNAis actually increased ATP levels. Fertility was reduced in all of the lines, apart from the complex IV RNAi and although three of the RNAi lines had increased resistance to ROS, the complex IV and V RNAi did not (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009). This demonstrates that different mitochondrial insults, may induce different changes even if the resultant phenotype is the same.

qRT-PCR evaluation of the RNAi lines indicated that the level of knockdown was similar in all RNAi models (see Discussion 7.1.2), so differences between the RNAi lines is unlikely to be due to different 'strengths' of knockdown. The effects of *UQCR-14* knockdown (CIII), were less severe than the other RNAi (the climbing deficit and synaptic mitochondrial loss was more modest and no ROS changes were detected). Complex III mutations are also rarely associated with human disease (Benit, Lebon et al. 2009). Conversely, the complex I*ND-75* knockdown induced the strongest deficit (in climbing, synaptic mitochondrial loss and ROS increase measured by mitoTimer). It also was the only model to have an oxidised mitochondrial E_{GSH} at the NMJ, evidence of oxidation in the VNC and a decreased ATP:ADP ratio. These differences may be due to the fact that complex I is the major site of ROS production in the mitochondria. *COX5B* RNAi (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression had similar phenotypes in all assays (although ATP:ADP has not yet been measured for *COX5B* RNAi). Other features of these models that would be interesting to characterise include Ca^{2+} sequestering, metabolic changes and synaptic structure and activity.

7.2 Evaluating the transcriptional changes in different models of mitochondrial dysfunction.

Differential mito-nuclear signalling in individual tissue types has been demonstrated in the study of mitochondrial haplogroups. Mitochondrial haplotypes refer to mtDNA variants due to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) mostly in non-coding regions of D-loop. The human population can be divided into geographically distinct 'haplogroups', based on SNPs accumulated in a maternal lineage (Herrnstadt, Elson et al. 2002). Haplogroups confer a retrograde signal to the nucleus, as they alter the epigenetic status of nuclear DNA. One of the nine common European haplogroups, group J, has been shown to increase DNA methylation, in human blood tissue and *in* vitro in cybrids (Bellizzi, D'Aquila et al. 2012). These methylation changes are also accompanied by low ATP and ROS levels (Bellizzi, D'Aquila et al. 2012). This particular haplogroup has also been associated with increased longevity and decreased risk of PD in humans (De Benedictis, Rose et al. 1999, van der Walt, Nicodemus et al. 2003). Analysis of transgenic mice heteroplasmic for two haplotypes, showed that there was differential segregation of the two haplotypes in blood and spleen tissue versus kidney and liver tissue (Jenuth, Peterson et al. 1997). This data has been supported by evaluation of mtDNA haplotypes in four heteroplasmic mouse models, which show biased segregation of haplotypes in numerous tissues, including the brain (Burgstaller, Johnston et al. 2014). This suggests that the demands of different tissues effects segregation and proliferation of mtDNA haplotypes. As different haplotypes have different effects on nuclear epigenetics, differential segregation of mtDNA variants is likely to result in different epigenetic regulation of individual tissues. It also seems probable that these tissue specific demands would influence the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction, in that cell type. 'Conplastic' mice with genomic DNA from one strain and mtDNA from another (with a different haplotype), were very similar to control mice when young, however, with age a variety of differences were observed. Conplastic mice aged more healthily, with reduced tumour formation, telomere shortening and an increased median lifespan. Transcriptional changes were observed in these mice at 12 weeks, long before phenotypic differences were observed, including changes in genes required for free radical scavenging and carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (Latorre-Pellicer, Moreno-Loshuertos et al. 2016).

With the aim of understanding the retrograde responses occurring in the five models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction that I characterised in Chapter 3, I carried out microarray analysis to explore transcriptional changes occurring in these larvae. The RNAis and TFAM overexpression were driven with the pan-neuronal driver nSyb-Gal4 and RNA was prepared from CNS tissue of third instar larvae. This allowed analysis of specifically neuronal changes, although the presence of other cell type in the CNS will have diluted the changes observed. Theoretically, transcriptional changes could also occur in glial cells in response to the mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons. It is also important to note, that the neuronal population is also made up of heterogeneous subtypes, which may respond differently to mitochondrial dysfunction. Never the less, robust transcriptional changes were observed in each mitochondrial dysfunction model (358-840 genes were significantly altered). Approximately 50% of the genes in each model were only significantly changed in that model, demonstrating that the retrograde response depends on the mitochondrial insult. Roughly 50% of genes in each model were also altered in at least one other mitochondrial dysfunction model. Analysis of the common genes changed between each pair of models gave a significant positive correlation in each case. This indicates that there are also commonly regulated responses to mitochondrial dysfunction, which may be particularly important as therapeutic targets.

7.2.1 Pathways affected in all mitochondrial dysfunction models

Eleven genes were found to be significantly regulated in all conditions. *Impl3*, the *Drosophila* gene that encodes lactate dehydrogenase, was one of these genes, and was upregulated in all genotypes. Lactate dehydrogenase catalyses the reversible conversion of pyruvate to lactate, thus removing pyruvate to allow glycolytic reactions to proceed. Upregulation of this gene suggests increased glycolytic activity. Glycolytic processes also were identified in GO enrichment analysis of the mitochondrial dysfunction models. Compensatory upregulation of glycolysis is consistently identified in studies of the retrograde response to mitochondrial dysfunction. Changes in glycolytic genes were overrepresented in *Drosophila* S2 cells when the complex IV subunit *COX5A* was knocked down (including upregulation of *Impl3*) (Freije, Mandal et al. 2012). These transcriptional changes were accompanied by increased lactate in the media and an increased glycolytic capacity (Freije, Mandal et al. 2012). Mutations in the gene for mitochondrial ribosome protein S12, are associated with deafness in humans (Prezant,

Agapian et al. 1993). In a *Drosophila* model, in which the *tko* gene which encodes *Drosophila* mitochondrial ribosome protein S12 is mutated, *Impl3* is also upregulated. A cellular shift to alternative metabolism was also indicated in these flies by upregulation of genes involved in sugar transport, amino acid and fatty acid catabolism (Fernandez-Ayala, Chen et al. 2010). These pathways were also repeatedly identified in my five models of mitochondrial dysfunction. Additionally, a glucose transmembrane transporter (*CG10960*) was significantly upregulated in all five genotypes. *Pink1* mutant *Drosophila* also show metabolic shifts, with decreased TCA metabolites and increased levels of glutamate and glutamine (Tufi, Gandhi et al. 2014). In the CNS of *Parkin* mutant larvae, lactate levels increase (Vincent, Briggs et al. 2012). Moreover, metabolic reprogramming is observed in patients with mitochondrial diseases, most patients with mitochondrial oxidative defects have lactic acid build up (Robinson 2006). The search for a biomarker to aid diagnosis of Parkinson's disease has also revealed a significant increase in pyruvate levels in the blood of people with Parkinson's disease (Ahmed, Santosh et al. 2009).

In all conditions, expression of synapse protein 24 (Snap24) was strongly downregulated (-6.81 to -12.59 fold). Snap24 is a SNARE protein from the Snap25 subfamily (Niemeyer and Schwarz 2000). Originally, SNARE proteins were thought to mediate docking of vesicles to target membranes, however, now they are actually thought to be more important for membrane fusion. Exocytosis of docked vesicles is blocked in a *Drosophila* mutant that impairs SNARE formation (Littleton, Chapman et al. 1998). Snap25 protein is localised to synaptic regions and neuropil, whereas puncta of Snap24 are observed diffusely throughout neurons and predominantly in the soma (Niemeyer and Schwarz 2000). Despite its localisation, Snap24 has been shown to functionally replace *Snap25* knockout in *Drosophila*, maintaining neuronal transmission. However, this does not occur when Snap25 is present but mutated, although overexpression of *Snap24* in this context does rescue neuronal activity. This indicates that Snap24 is unlikely to normally facilitate synaptic transmission (Niemeyer and Schwarz 2000). The precise role of Snap24 in vesicle trafficking is still unclear, however, its dramatic downregulation in all 5 models of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction suggests that it is important in this context. Investigating the expression and requirement of Snap24 in these models will be of great interest.

The gene for Rieske iron-sulfur protein (RFeSP), a catalytic subunit of complex III (see Introduction 1.1.4), was also significantly altered in all five conditions. *RFeSP* was downregulated in every condition in which single OXPHOS subunits were knocked down, yet upregulated in TFAM overexpressing larvae, in which translation of all mitochondrial encoded proteins is likely to be reduced. Alongside its catalytic role in electron transfer, RFeSP is implicated in assembly of complex III into dimers: addition of RFeSP to immature yeast complex III results in a dramatic shift in its molecular weight (Zara, Conte et al. 2009). A role for RFeSP in assembly of supercomplexes has also been proposed, as supercomplex assembly was inhibited in yeast mutant for RFeSP (Zara, Conte et al. 2009). It would be particularly interesting to carry out blue native gels of the five models of mitochondrial dysfunction, to assess supercomplex assembly in the light of this finding. Perhaps in neurons with damage to a single complex, RFeSP is downregulated to inhibit supercomplexes forming with the damaged complex. In cases in which general mitochondrial protein translation is inhibited, RFeSP may be upregulated to promote supercomplex formation with any subunits that are present, in an attempt to boost OXPHOS. If this theory is correct, one might expect to see upregulation of *RFeSP* when mitochondrial translation is inhibited, such as *tko* mutants. However, RFeSP was strongly downregulated in whole fly homogenates of tko mutant Drosophila (Fernandez-Ayala, Chen et al. 2010). It would be interesting to see if this is the case in neuronal tissue alone.

These microarrays have given a glimpse into the pathways that are transcriptionally regulated in response to mitochondrial dysfunction. However, they are not without limitation. For example, genes expressed at very low levels that change stochastically can give high statistically significant result (Tarca, Romero et al. 2006). Analysis of hundreds of Affymetrix S98 yeast gene chips has shown that there can also be positional bias, in which the position of the probe on a chip can affect correlations between probes (Homouz, Chen et al. 2015). More rigorous processing and evaluation of the data can help to remove these limitations, however, I am using the microarray data as a starting point to identify genes and pathways involved in the retrograde response to mitochondrial dysfunction. It is therefore most important for me to test data and hypotheses from microarrays experimentally *in vivo*.

7.2.2 HIF signalling in neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction

HIF-1 α is known to transcriptionally regulate a metabolic switch to glycolysis, by directly regulating genes such as *Impl3* (Bruick and McKnight 2001). In HeLa cells, HIF has also been shown to regulate the subunit composition of complex IV, to optimise the complex's activity in conditions of low oxygen (Fukuda, Zhang et al. 2007). HIF may also act to globally inhibit translation, while promoting transcription of stress related proteins (Liu and Simon 2004). Global translation inhibition has been identified as part of the UPR^{mt}, as a method of reducing demand on chaperone proteins (see Introduction 1.4.2.3), and may also be beneficial for energy conservation when mitochondria are dysfunctional. Previous analysis of neuronal ATPsynCf6 RNAi and TFAM overexpression revealed an upregulation of Thor, the Drosophila homologue of 4E-BP (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Sima, the Drosophila homologue of HIF-1α, was shown to regulate Thor expression in vivo in the third instar larval CNS (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Hypophosphorylated 4E-BP acts to inhibit global translation by inhibiting cap dependant translation from the 5' end of mRNAs. Thor mutant flies have a reduced lifespan in starvation conditions compared to controls and burn fat supplies more quickly, leading to the hypothesis that 4E-BP acts as a metabolic brake in response to environmental stress (Teleman, Chen et al. 2005). HIF-1 α has previously been suggested to regulate the mitochondrial retrograde response in *Drosophila* S2 cells with COX5A knockdown. Out of a stringent list of 22 genes altered in these cells, putative HIF-1 α binding sites were identified within close proximity of the transcriptional start site of 19 genes. I therefore investigated the role of HIF-1 α in mitochondrial retrograde signalling further, by knocking down the Drosophila orthologue, sima, in each model of mitochondrial dysfunction.

I evaluated the effect of *sima* knockdown in motor neurons on the climbing and wing inflation phenotypes (in models which cause inflation phenotypes) of the OXPHOS RNAi lines and *TFAM* overexpression. It is important to note that the exact mechanisms underlying these two phenotypes are unclear, but they can be used as a tool to assess neuronal function. Mitochondrial dysfunction is induced in neurons and phenotypes in processes that require neuronal input are disrupted. Genetic modifications that alter these phenotypes indicate a change in neuronal function, this may be due to changes in mitochondrial function or alterations in the neuronal response to mitochondrial dysfunction, without impact on the mitochondria themselves. I also assessed the effects

of *sima* knockdown on viability when mitochondrial dysfunction was induced panneuronally. Knockdown of *sima* was able to rescue the phenotypes caused by mitochondrial dysfunction in *UQCR-14* RNAi (CIII), *COX5B* RNAi (CIV), *ATPsynCf6* RNAi (CV) and *TFAM* overexpression models in at least one of these assays. Phenotypes caused by complex I *ND-75* RNAi were never rescued by *sima* knockdown. This raises two main questions: why does *blocking* retrograde signalling via *sima* rescue mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes and why is complex I knockdown insensitive to this rescue?

7.2.3 Inhibition of retrograde signalling can provide salutatory effects

The counterintuitive finding that blocking the retrograde response rescues phenotypes caused by mitochondrial dysfunction has actually also been shown in a number of other studies. As previously mentioned (see Discussion 7.1.1), mutant complex IV subunit, *COX5B*, inhibits cell cycle progression in *Drosophila* eye discs through AMPK phosphorylation, which activates p53 (Mandal, Guptan et al. 2005). Whereas, complex I subunit, *Pdsw*, mutant inhibits cell cycle progression in *Drosophila* eye discs through redox signalling via JNK and FOXO (Owusu-Ansah, Yavari et al. 2008). Inhibition of these retrograde signals, by *p53* and *FOXO* mutations respectively, allows cells in the eye disc to re-enter the cell cycle (Owusu-Ansah, Yavari et al. 2008). Mutations in mitochondrial ribosome protein 12S, cause deafness in humans and mice due to ROS-dependant AMPK phosphorylation, which activates the proapoptotic transcription factor E2F1 (Raimundo, Song et al. 2012). Inhibition of this retrograde response, in a *E2F1* heterozygous mutant, restores hearing in mice (Raimundo, Song et al. 2012). Taken together, these data show that inhibition of retrograde responses can in some cases be beneficial.

This suggests that the retrograde response to mitochondrial dysfunction can itself have negative impacts on the cell. I think there may be three reasons for this. Firstly, some cellular adaptations to mitochondrial dysfunction induced by retrograde signalling, such as reduced protein translation, may confer benefits in the short term. This would allow cells to cope with transient dysfunction of mitochondria. However, in chronic mitochondrial dysfunction, in disease and *in vivo* models, these adaptations may contribute to cellular dysfunction. Activation of retrograde pathways due to mild mitochondrial dysfunction can result in salutary effects (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009).

Mild (50%) knockdown of ND-75 in Drosophila muscles causes developmental delay and lethality before adulthood (Owusu-Ansah, Song et al. 2013). However, transient expression of this RNAi (24 hours) during development, using temperature sensitive Gal-80 increased the longevity of flies compared to controls (Owusu-Ansah, Song et al. 2013). Expression of the antioxidant catalase abolishes the longevity benefits, and forced activation of the UPR^{mt} proteins, Hsp60 and Hsp60C, in muscle tissue was sufficient to increase lifespan (Owusu-Ansah, Song et al. 2013). These experiments demonstrate that transient mitochondrial dysfunction can be beneficial, due to retrograde signalling via ROS and the UPR^{mt}, whereas continuous mitochondrial dysfunction is detrimental. Secondly, retrograde responses that are advantageous in one cell type may have a negative impact on another tissue type that has different metabolic demands. Evidence from the modifier screen I carried out suggests that this might be the case (see Discussion 7.4.1). Neuronal tissue may be particularly sensitive to HIF-1 α over-activity: genetic activation of HIF signalling in murine retinal pigment epithelium cells is sufficient to induce neurodegeneration (Kurihara, Westenskow et al. 2016). Finally, the effect of the retrograde response may be interrelated to the other cellular changes induced by mitochondrial dysfunction. HIF signalling may therefore only be beneficial in incidents of mitochondrial dysfunction when ROS levels increase. Sima knockdown improves phenotypes in mitochondrial dysfunction which does not increase ROS, e.g. UQCR-14 RNAi, COX5B RNAi, ATPsynCf6 RNAi and TFAM overexpression but not ND-75 RNAi when ROS levels were seen to increase. ND-75 RNAi also caused decreased ATP: ADP ratio and so it could be this difference which limits the beneficial effects of sima knockdown.

7.2.4 Mechanisms of HIF-1α regulation

HIF-1 α is canonically regulated by decreased oxygen concentration, however it is also regulated by ROS, TCA metabolites, growth factors, cytokines and Ras/MAPK signalling (Masoud and Li 2015). In the five mitochondrial dysfunction models I investigated, increased ROS was evident in *ND-75* RNAi (which did not respond to *sima* knockdown), ROS was unchanged in *UQCR-14* RNAi and possibly decreased in the other three models (all of which were somewhat rescued by *sima* knockdown), this suggests that any changes in HIF in these models is unlikely to be mediated by ROS. Hypoxic regulation of HIF involves stabilisation of HIF-1 α due to inhibition of the PHDs which target HIF-1 α for degradation. Recent experiments in mice with *TFAM*

knockout in the skin demonstrate that in this tissue hypoxic stabilisation of HIF-1 α requires functional ETC in the mitochondria (Hamanaka, Weinberg et al. 2016). Knockdown of *RFeSP*, which is a component of complex III (see Discussion 7.2.1) also reduced HIF-1 α stabilisation in hypoxic conditions *in vitro* (Brunelle, Bell et al. 2005:Guzy, 2005 #1093). It is thought that hypoxia mediated HIF-1 α stabilisation in these system requires ROS production, and destabilisation of HIF-1 α is due to reduced ROS in these cells. This suggests that the mechanisms modulating HIF activity in hypoxic conditions may differ to mitochondrial dysfunction in normoxia.

There was no significant change in *sima* transcript levels in any of the microarrays I carried out, suggesting that regulation of Sima in these models of mitochondrial dysfunction is happening on the protein level rather than transcriptional level. Regulation of translation or stability of HIF-1 α therefore may be altered in mitochondrial dysfunction. Western blots of HIF-1 α protein levels would help determine if changes in these processes are causing an increase in HIF-1 α protein levels. Alternatively, post-translational modifications that modify the activity of HIF-1 α may also be responsible for the HIF mediated changes seen in mitochondrial dysfunction.

Impairments in TCA cycle components, succinate dehydrogenase (SDH, also complex II) and fumarate hydratase (FH), induces a 'pseudo-hypoxia' via inhibition of PHDs, that normally target HIF-1 α for degradation, as TCA metabolites are required for PHD activity (MacKenzie, Selak et al. 2007). Stabilisation of HIF-1a in this manner is associated with tumour formation (Dahia, Ross et al. 2005, Pollard, Briere et al. 2005). Stabilisation of HIF-1a may also be promoted by binding with Hsp90. Two pharmacological agents, which disrupt Hsp90- HIF-1a interactions, have been shown to promote proteasomal degradation of HIF-1α (Osada, Imaoka et al. 2004, Han, Oh et al. 2005). Translation of HIF-1 α is not yet well understood, however, numerous pathways have been identified which appear to promote HIF-1 α protein synthesis, such as topoisomerases I and II, the mTOR pathway and tyrosine kinase receptor pathways (Masoud and Li 2015). Activity of HIF-1 α is also inhibited in normoxia by factor inhibiting HIF-1 (FIH-1), which hydroxylates HIF-1 α to inhibit its interaction with its coactivators, such as CBP/p300 (Lando, Peet et al. 2002). Further investigation is required to determine which of these pathways are involved in HIF-1 α mediated neuronal retrograde signalling.

7.2.5 Interactions between complex I and HIF-1a

The interplay between complex I and HIF-1 α has been mainly studied in the context of cancer. Six hundred mutations in complex I have been associated with different cancers, which have been reported to either increase growth and invasiveness of tumours or induce tumour arrest due to an inability to switch to Warburg metabolism (Garcia-Heredia and Carnero 2015). As described previously (see Discussion 7.2.4), knockout of *TFAM* in murine skin cells impairs ROS-dependent HIF-1 α stabilisation. So the effect of complex I mutations on ROS levels is likely to affect HIF stabilisation, at least in some tissue types. In a human thyroid cell line with a truncation mutation in the mitochondrially encoded MT-ND1 subunit of complex I, HIF-1a instability is independent of ROS signalling (Porcelli, Ghelli et al. 2010). Mutations in complex I have also been shown to alter HIF stabilisation via alterations in TCA cycle metabolites. A complex I null in a human bone cell line, with an MT-ND1 subunit knocked out, was unable to stabilise HIF-1 α , in cell culture (Calabrese, Iommarini et al. 2013). This was accompanied by an increase in α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) compared to succinate (SA) (Calabrese, Iommarini et al. 2013). This complex I deficiency caused an accumulation of NADH which inhibits the conversion of α -KG into SA in the TCA cycle (Porcelli, Ghelli et al. 2010). The levels of these metabolites then affects HIF-1 α stabilisation as α -KG is the substrate of PHDs and so required for HIF-1 α hydroxylation (Figure 7.1) (Porcelli, Ghelli et al. 2010). PHD inhibitors induced HIF-1α stabilisation in the human thyroid MT-ND1 mutant cell line, and increased the tumourigenic potential of MT-ND1 null human bone cell line (Porcelli, Ghelli et al. 2010, Calabrese, Iommarini et al. 2013). I speculate that in the ND-75 RNAi neurons an increased α -KG:SA ratio may be inhibiting HIF-1 α , which might explain why *sima* knockdown has no effect in these flies.



Figure 7.1 Complex I deficiency can inhibit HIF-1α stabilisation

(A) When complex I (CI) activity is impaired, NADH accumulates, which inhibits α KG conversion into SA. α KG is the substrate for PHDs, so PHD activity and therefore degradation of HIF-1 α is enhanced. (B) If complex I is active, NADH is reduced to NAD⁺. NAD⁺ is required for α KG conversion to SA, so the α KG:SA ratio is rebalanced and the activity of PHDs reduced. Figure adapted from Calabrese et al., (Calabrese, Iommarini et al. 2013)

Phenotypes of a mouse model of Leigh syndrome, created by systemic knockout of complex I subunit *Ndufs4*, can be rescued by chronic exposure to hypoxic conditions of 11% oxygen (Jain, Zazzeron et al. 2016). This includes locomotor phenotypes and neuronal cell loss (Jain, Zazzeron et al. 2016). Whether the rescue is caused by increased activity of HIF-1 α is yet to be shown. However, in the light of this evidence, it may be worth testing *sima* overexpression in neurons with *ND-75* RNAi, as perhaps mitochondrial dysfunction caused by complex I impairments can be improved by upregulation of HIF-1 α retrograde signalling. If *ND-75* RNAi is impairing stabilisation of HIF-1 α , it may also be necessary to use PHD inhibitors, to explore the possibility of HIF-1 α rescuing *ND-75* RNAi phenotypes.

Analysis of transcriptional changes of genes known to be regulated by HIF signalling in hypoxia in *Drosophila* and in all five models of mitochondrial dysfunction showed that many of these genes were changed in a similar manner in all conditions. To understand what differences there might be in HIF signalling between complex I *ND-75* knockdown compared to the other models, I identified genes that were differentially regulated in this condition. HIF responsive genes involved in oxidation-reduction processes and metal binding properties were differentially regulated in complex I compared to the other models. Further investigation of these

processes may therefore also help to understand the different response of *ND-75* RNAi flies to *sima* knockdown. Inducing changes in these genes in *ND-75* RNAi, comparable to the changes in other models would help elucidate if these genes are important for *sima* knockdown mediated rescue.

7.2.6 Summary

In Chapter 3, I characterised neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction when individual OXHPOS subunits were knocked down and *TFAM* was overexpressed. I found that complex III subunit knockdown induced relatively mild phenotypes, complex IV/V RNAi and *TFAM* overexpression resulted in similar phenotypes and complex I induced the most severe phenotype with obvious increases in ROS. In Chapter 4, I found further differences between complex I and the other models, as complex I knockdown was the only mitochondrial insult not to be rescued by *sima*. Further investigation is required to determine if benefits of reduced HIF signalling only occur in mitochondrial dysfunction that when ROS increase, as this could have important translational implications.

7.3 Identifying genes involved in the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction, in a modifier screen.

As well as comparing the neuronal response to mitochondrial dysfunction in different models, I also aimed to identify novel genes that are involved in the cellular response, by carrying out an *in vivo* genetic screen in the *Drosophila* wing. A library of 650 RNAi lines were screened and 80 genes were identified that modify the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype.

7.3.1 *TFAM* knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression as models of mitochondrial dysfunction

In the modifier screen, I used TFAM knockdown as a model of mitochondrial dysfunction, as opposed to TFAM overexpression in results chapters 3 and 4, because MS1096-Gal4 driven TFAM overexpression caused pupal lethality and so wing phenotypes could not be assessed. Western blot analysis of both TFAM knockdown and overexpression showed a decreased expression of mtDNA encoded COXI and no change in nuclear encoded ATPsynthase subunit α . The role of TFAM in packaging and stabilising mtDNA is most likely to explain why loss and accumulation both cause decreased mtDNA gene expression. Reducing levels of TFAM causes a corresponding drop in mtDNA, as non-specific binding of TFAM is required to stabilise mtDNA in HeLa cells (Kanki, Ohgaki et al. 2004). This was first shown in vivo in heterozygous knockout TFAM mice (Larsson, Wang et al. 1998). Studies of TFAM overexpression in HeLa cells and mice found mtDNA increased with TFAM, although there was decreased mitochondrial gene expression in mice (Kanki, Ohgaki et al. 2004, Ylikallio, Tyynismaa et al. 2010). A study in HEK cells showed that initially when TFAM levels are increased transcription increases, however when TFAM is double its normal level mitochondrial transcription is reduced (Maniura-Weber, Goffart et al. 2004). While small increases in TFAM increase mtDNA copy number and transcription, large concentrations of TFAM seem to saturate mtDNA. Analysis of the TFAM overexpression line used in this thesis showed that there was no change in mtDNA quantity in these flies (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015), so loss of mtDNA expression is most likely due to enhanced TFAM binding decreasing transcription and translation. Both overexpression and knockdown of TFAM therefore reduce mtDNA encoded proteins,

however, the *TFAM* RNAi used in this work has a weaker phenotype than the overexpression line, as seen when driven in the wing and, in results chapter 5 when driven in motor neurons, on climbing phenotypes.

7.3.2 Mitochondrial dysfunction driven in the dorsal wing compartments causes a curved wing phenotype

The phenotype induced by *TFAM* knockdown in the wing was used for the screen because it is easy and quick to observe. Mitochondrial dysfunction was driven in the dorsal compartment of the wing with the driver MS1096-Gal4. This results in an approximately 45° degree upward curve of the wing, presumably due to mismatched growth/cell death in the dorsal and ventral layers of the wing. Decreased growth and proliferation might be expected in the dorsal compartment if ATP production is reduced by mitochondrial dysfunction, and as mitochondria control apoptosis, there may also be an upregulation of apoptosis in this compartment. I investigated changes in apoptosis and found that apoptosis was upregulated in the dorsal compartment of the wing disc, in TFAM knockdown and TFAM overexpressing larvae. Interestingly there was no significant difference in the amount of apoptosis in the wing disc between the TFAM knockdown and TFAM overexpressing larvae even though TFAM overexpression causes pupal lethality. It may be that apoptosis continues to increase during pupariation in TFAM overexpressing flies, or the lethality may be due to some 'leakiness' of the driver. MS1096-Gal4 driven expression of two suppressors identified in the wing screen suppressed the upregulated apoptosis caused by mitochondrial dysfunction. This suggests that apoptosis regulates the wing phenotype caused by mitochondrial dysfunction. However, this does not exclude the possibility of other factors, induced by mitochondrial dysfunction, contributing to this phenotype. Recent insight into the Curly wing phenotype, often used as a marker in fly husbandry, has revealed that this phenotype is due to a mutation in the *dual oxidase* (*duox*) gene (Hurd, Liang et al. 2015). Duox is best known in Drosophila for its role in defence against bacteria, which it does by ROS generation (Lee, Kim et al. 2015). It turns out that Duox generated ROS also plays a role in tyrosine crosslinking, creating covalent bonds between molecules (Hurd, Liang et al. 2015). On the final day of pupal development, this crosslinking is required for wing stabilisation, mediated by the heme peroxidase Curly Su (Hurd, Liang et al. 2015). TEM of the wing in Curly mutants revealed bunching of the surface of the wing possibly due to abnormal bonding of the two cutilces, which may be causing the

curved phenotype (Hurd, Liang et al. 2015). It is possible that mitochondrial ROS production may also contribute to the crosslinking process, in which case mitochondrial dysfunction that results in reduced OXPHOS activity and reduced ROS may also disrupt this process.

7.3.3 Genes that affect the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype in the *Drosophila* wing

Through this modifier screen assay, 71 genes that when knocked down enhance the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype were identified. The functions of these genes were varied, which reflects the multifunctional nature of the organelle. In the microarrays, altered transcription of genes involved in alternative metabolism were identified in each genotype. Similarly, GO analysis of screen enhancers revealed genes involved in glycolysis, glutamate/glutamine conversion and the pentose phosphate pathway. This demonstrates the importance of these metabolic pathways in the response to mitochondrial dysfunction and also establishes the validity of the screen assay.

Twelve of the enhancers were also significantly changed in at least one of the microarray conditions. Enhancers neuroligin 2, breathless, dawdle, CG2124, dpr6 and CG11347 were all also downregulated in the microarrays. Previously (see Discussion 7.2.3), I discussed retrograde signalling pathways that have a negative effect on cellular function, as blocking them improves mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes. As knockdown of these genes enhances mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing screen I propose that blocking downregulation of these genes may be beneficial in mitochondrial dysfunction. Many of these genes are members of signalling pathways acting as receptors or ligands. GO analysis indicates that CG2124 is a fibroblast growth factor (FGF) activated receptor, with protein tyrosine kinase receptor (RTK) activity. It is also predicted to localise to mitochondria. Breathless is also an FGF receptor, with protein tyrosine kinase activity. Drosophila breathless mutants have impaired tracheal development, as tracheal migration is not initiated, so tracheal branches do not form (Klambt, Glazer et al. 1992). A role for breathless has also been identified in adult axonal extension in the Drosophila brain, mediating axon retraction (Srahna, Leyssen et al. 2006). In Drosophila embryos, breathless tyrosine kinase activity is shown to activate the Ras/MAPK pathway leading to loss of the ETS transcription factor Yan (Ohshiro, Emori et al. 2002). This is particularly interesting as yan RNAi was found to

suppress mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing screen. Dawdle is a Transforming growth factor- β (TGF β) ligand which acts via the type-I TGF β receptor Baboon (Jensen, Zheng et al. 2009). TGF- β signalling regulates many processes, such as cell proliferation and differentiation. In *Drosophila*, activation of TGFβ via Dawdle is implicated in axonal guidance and remodelling (Parker, Ellis et al. 2006, Zhu, Boone et al. 2008). Thyroid hormone signalling has also been identified due to the CG11347 gene. This is the *Drosophila* homologue of diabetes and obesity regulated gene (DOR). In HeLa cells and myocytes, DOR shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm in response to cellular stress and is shown to physically interact with autophagosomes (Mauvezin, Orpinell et al. 2010). This data was confirmed in third instar Drosophila larvae, which have a 40% decrease in autophagy when CG11347 was knocked down (Mauvezin, Orpinell et al. 2010). RNAis for two cell adhesion molecules, Neuroligin 2 and *dpr6*, also enhanced the wing phenotype and were downregulated in at least one microarray. Neuroligins, such as Neuroligin 2 bind to neurexins, such as dpr6, to create a physical junction between cells. Neuroligin 2 is particularly associated with inhibitory GABAergic synapses, its role in the wing disc is unknown (Varoqueaux, Jamain et al. 2004). Dpr6 has mainly been studied in the context of salt aversion, Dpr mutants have a defect in salt (but not sugar) responsiveness (Nakamura, Baldwin et al. 2002).

Conversely, CG31436, CG17734, branchless and twin of eyeless were upregulated in the microarrays, whereas knockdown enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes. It is therefore possible that these genes take part in retrograde responses which are beneficial to the cell. This may be the case for CG17734, which encodes the Drosophila orthologue to the human hypoxia-inducible gene 1 (HIG1) family in humans. HIG1 proteins localise to mitochondria and protect murine pancreatic cells from apoptosis in glucose deprived or hypoxic conditions (Wang, Cao et al. 2006). Upregulation of CG17734 was also seen in microarrays of Drosophila tko mutants described previously (see Discussion 7.2.1) (Fernandez-Ayala, Chen et al. 2010). A TGFβ family member, twin of eyeless, was also identified as an enhancer and upregulated in the microarray of UQCR-14 RNAi expressing CNS tissue. Branchless, the ligand, for the RTK breathless, was identified as an enhancer in the screen and upregulated in the microarray of ATPsynCf6 RNAi expressing brains. Further investigation of these pathways and their role in mitochondrial dysfunction could prove extremely interesting. Repeated identification of RTK and TGF β family members suggests these pathways are of particular importance in the response to mitochondrial dysfunction.

I also identified 10 genes, which when knocked down suppressed the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype in the wing. The proteins encoded by all of these genes have DNA binding properties, acting as transcription factors or chromatin remodellors (excluding CG31125 which has unknown function). This again reiterates the importance of retrograde signals from dysfunctional mitochondria to the nucleus, and demonstrates that targeting retrograde signalling may have therapeutic potential.

7.3.4 Advantages and limitations of the genetic wing screen

Previous screens have been carried out to identify genes required for mitochondrial function. Mutations in mitochondrial genes causes a 'glossy eye' phenotype in *Drosophila* due to inhibition of the cell cycle during eye development. Screening of this phenotype led to the identification of nuclear encoded mitochondrial genes (Liao, Call et al. 2006). Other approaches have also been taken to identify mitochondrial proteins. Mass spectrometry of genes localised to mitochondria has produced an inventory of mammalian mitochondrial genes, known as the MitoCarta (Calvo, Clauser et al. 2016).

The aim of the screen I performed, was not to identify genes required for mitochondrial function, but genes that could modify the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction. It was therefore imperative to use a modifier screen in which mitochondrial dysfunction is induced. This approach complements the data obtained in the microarrays in results chapter 4, however it differs as the microarrays will identify any transcriptional change that occurs following mitochondrial dysfunction, whereas the screen will only pick up genes that induce a functional change in the cell in conditions of mitochondrial dysfunction. These genes may be regulated by retrograde signalling, but the screen should also identify genes that are not normally altered in mitochondrial dysfunction, but can modify cellular function if they are.

In order to validate that this modifier screen would identify relevant genes, I tested genes that are associated with some aspect of mitochondria: *PINK1, Parkin, DJ-1a, DJ-1β* and *Lrrk*. Modification of all of these genes caused enhanced wing phenotypes with *TFAM* knockdown, validating the assay. However, not every line for each gene gave an enhancement, and some RNAis gave phenotypes by themselves. This demonstrates the limitations of RNAi libraries, different sequences may knockdown a gene more or less

efficiently and different insertion sites affects the expression of the RNA sequence. PINK1, $DJ-1\alpha$ and $DJ-1\beta$ enhanced the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype when both overexpressed and knocked down, which indicates that the level of these proteins are important in conditions of mitochondrial dysfunction. Other studies have also shown similar phenotypes due to PINK1 knockdown and overexpression: a loss of function PINK1 mutant induces mitochondrial clustering in *Drosophila* DA neurons, as does PINK1 overexpression (Yang, Ouyang et al. 2008). Although the outcome is the same these phenotypes are actually functionally different, as *Parkin* overexpression can suppress this phenotype in *PINK1* mutants but not when *PINK1* is overexpressed (Yang, Ouyang et al. 2008).

There are of course several limitations of the modifier screen methodology. Analysing modifications of mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing was a trade-off between speed and an easily visualised phenotype and studying mitochondrial dysfunction in nonneuronal tissue. This means that genes identified may modify mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing, but there is no guarantee that they will do the same in neurons, and vice versa. The RNAi lines were selected because they are for genes that are strongly expressed in the brain relative to the rest of the body. This is beneficial for investigations of neurodegenerative processes, but may lead to false negatives, as if a gene is not expressed in the wing then the RNAi will not be able to knock it down. Genes that modify mitochondrial dysfunction may also be missed due to the control cross in which any gene that has an effect on the wing phenotype alone is excluded. This is however necessary, so that genes identified are known to be interacting with mitochondrial dysfunction. A relatively large number of genes had to be excluded this way. This may be because many processes can affect wing development, but it may also be due to the use of VDRC KK RNAi lines. These RNAi lines were produced by the targeted insertion of an RNAi hairpin vector into a specific landing site (position chromosome 2L: 22019296, cytological band 40D3). However, a second, unannotated landing site has been discovered into which the RNAi hairpin vector preferentially inserts (position chromosome 2L: 9437482, cytological band 30B3) (Green, Fedele et al. 2014). Out of 39 KK RNAi lines tested by Green et al., 38 had the insert in the unannotated site, 9 of those also contained a RNAi hairpin in the annotated site and 1 line contained no insert at all (Green, Fedele et al. 2014). Pan-neuronal expression of the nine RNAi lines with inserts in both landing sites resulted in a wing inflation phenotype and ubiquitous expression caused lethality (Green, Fedele et al. 2014). These

phenotypes are due to UAS driven overexpression of the Hippo pathway transcription factor *Tiptop* due to the RNAi vector insertion in the annotated site (Vissers, Manning et al. 2016). *MS1096-Gal4* expression of just a UAS inserted in the annotated site causes a wing phenotype (Vissers, Manning et al. 2016). Thus study suggests that in my modifier screen on average $\approx 25\%$ of KK lines will give a wing phenotype when expressed with *MS1096-Gal4* due to *Tiptop* overexpression.

Another limitation of the modifier screen assay, is the variability in the wing curve throughout a population of flies of the same genotype. To try and reduce the effects of this variability, only wings of male flies were scored (female flies had a weaker phenotype, probably due to dosage compensation) and a semi-quantative scale was developed in which only flies that were scored 3 or above (see results chapter 5, Figure 5.3) were considered enhancers, to limit the number of false positives. The interaction of TFAM knockdown and RNAis that were deemed suppressors or enhancers in this screen were also checked twice to confirm the result. To further validate the screen hits, non-overlapping RNAi were assessed. 105 alternative RNAi were identified for 62 genes of the 80 genes identified in the screen. Of these 20 had to be excluded as they gave phenotypes on their own and 30 of the 62 genes were confirmed as hits with a nonoverlapping RNAi. Lines that were not confirmed are not necessarily false positives as the alternative RNA is may have different levels of expression, however the 30 confirmed genes represent a list of modifiers that I can be particularly sure of. Two alternative RNAi gave the opposite phenotype to the initial RNAi tested. These genes have therefore not been included as suppressors or enhancers as further investigation will be required to find out why there is such disparity between the two RNAis. It could be due to off target effects, or the impact on the mitochondrial dysfunction phenotype may be a function of the level of knockdown or isoforms affected.

7.3.5 Summary

This genetic modifier screen has identified numerous genes and several pathways in particular which can modify the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction. Combined analysis of both the microarrays in chapter 4 and this modifier screen has been particularly helpful in identifying genes and pathways of interest. It is however, important to assess the genes identified in this screen in neurons, as the demands on mitochondria are very different in these postmitotic, electrically active cells.

7.4 Evaluating genes identified in the modifier screen, in neurons.

In order to evaluate whether hits from the screen also modify the outcome of mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons, mitochondrial dysfunction was induced in motor neurons and the effects of the RNAi lines were assessed on climbing and wing inflation phenotypes. *TFAM* RNAi and *TFAM*^{c01716}, only resulted in weak phenotypes when expressed in motor neurons and so *TFAM* overexpression was used as the model of mitochondrial dysfunction. As discussed previously, these two models both result in decreased expression of proteins encoded by mtDNA (see Discussion 7.3.1).

7.4.1 Tissue or model specific effects of RNAi lines on mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes

Out of the wing screen hits that also modified neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction, 6 gave the opposite result than in the screen and only one line caused the same modification when driven in the wing and neurons (yan RNAi). Similarly, sima knockdown enhanced the wing phenotype but rescues mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons. There are two differences between the wing and neuronal experiments, which may explain these results. Firstly, the model of mitochondrial dysfunction is different. In the wing TFAM was knocked down as opposed to overexpression of TFAM in neurons, both of these manipulations lead to reduced mtDNA gene expression, but there may be intrinsic differences that effect the role of these genes. In mouse embryonic fibroblast cultures mtDNA escapes into the cytosol when TFAM is knocked down, which initiates an immune response (West, Khoury-Hanold et al. 2015). TFAM overexpression does not result in loss of mtDNA (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015), so presumably this process does not happen in the TFAM overexpressing flies. Secondly, this may represent tissue specific effects. Heterozygous TFAM knockout mice display tissue specific responses to TFAM loss, with some tissues, such as skeletal muscle, able to maintain OXPHOS activity and mtDNA transcript levels, whereas heart tissue loses mtDNA transcripts and proteins as well as complex I, III, IV and V activity (Larsson, Wang et al. 1998). I would expect that a combination of these two factors results in many of the RNAi lines having different effects in the screen and neuronal assays.

7.4.2 Neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes suppressed by yan RNAi

The only gene tested that had the same effect in both tissues was *yan. Yan* RNAi suppressed the wing phenotype in the modifier screen as well as suppressing both the wing inflation and climbing phenotypes in the nervous system. To further confirm *yan* RNAi as a suppressor, I also tested an independent RNAi and found that this line also rescued the wing curve and neuronal wing inflation. Knockdown of two upstream regulators of Yan, *branchless* and *breathless*, also enhanced in the wing screen. Further investigation of Yan's effect on mitochondrial dysfunction should include analysis of *yan* mutants and manipulation of upstream regulators such as MAPK (Rolled, homologue of ERK) and Ras.

Analysis of Yan-DNA binding in Drosophila embryos shows that Yan binds multikilobase regions of DNA. Maintenance of these long stretches requires the Nterminal sterile α-motif (Webber, Zhang et al. 2013). GO analysis of genes putatively regulated by the DNA bound regions identified a number of signalling pathways implicated in development, including TGFβ and p53 signalling (Webber, Zhang et al. 2013). These may be of particular interest in neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction as p53 is implicated in retrograde signalling in COX5A knockdown flies (Owusu-Ansah, Yavari et al. 2008) and TGF β family members were identified in the modifier screen. Chromatin immunoprecipitation of adult flies with constitutively active Yan (Yan^{ACT}) revealed approximately 4000 bound regions close to 3000 genes in the gut and fat body (Alic, Giannakou et al. 2014). GO enrichment analysis found genes involved in the regulation of lipid metabolism most highly overrepresented (Alic, Giannakou et al. 2014). Microarray analysis of the fat body showed that Yan^{ACT} expression reduced genes involved in oxidation-reduction processes and the ETC (Alic, Giannakou et al. 2014). Yan may affect different targets in neurons, however roles in lipid storage, oxidation-reduction processes and the ETC may be mediating the rescue of mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes observed in this thesis.

Yan^{ACT} expression in the gut and fat body of adult female flies is able to extend lifespan, although knockdown of *yan* in these tissues has no effect on longevity (Alic, Giannakou et al. 2014). Similarly, inhibition of Ras signalling, through ubiquitous expression of dominant negative *Ras* (*Ras^{DN}*) or *Ras* RNAi, in adult flies extends lifespan, in a Yan dependant manner (Slack, Alic et al. 2015). Administration of a pharmacological agent,

Trametinib, that inhibits Ras activation of Erk (a downstream MAPK) also increases *Drosophila* lifespan (Slack, Alic et al. 2015). The finding that *yan* RNAi suppresses mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes may therefore seem surprising. However, if Yan activity reduces ETC genes in neurons as well as the gut and fat body, this could explain why *yan* knockdown is beneficial in situations of mitochondrial dysfunction. When the electron transport chain is impaired, further inhibition mediated by Yan may be detrimental to the cell. Mild mitochondrial dysfunction has been reported to have benefits, such as elongated longevity in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* (Dillin, Hsu et al. 2002, Copeland, Cho et al. 2009). If mitochondria are functional, a reduction in mitochondrial activity may be beneficial, by either reducing ROS production or by activation of advantageous retrograde signals, as seen in mild mitochondrial dysfunction which also enhances longevity (Copeland, Cho et al. 2009).

7.4.3 The interplay between Yan and Pointed

Yan RNAi was also able to suppress neuronal phenotypes in a Drosophila model of Leigh syndrome, but not *Parkin* mutant flies. Interestingly, heterozygous expression of pnt mutant also suppressed mitochondrial dysfunction in the TFAM overexpressing and the Parkin mutant flies. This is surprising because canonically Pnt and Yan act antagonistically to each other, Pnt enhancing and Yan suppressing expression of the same genes. Phosphorylated ERK (pERK) phosphorylates both PntP2 and Yan, promoting cytosolic translocation, and degradation of Yan as well as promoting the activity of PntP2. In a feedforward mechanism, Pnt negatively regulates the expression of yan (Rohrbaugh, Ramos et al. 2002). A mathematical model of these dynamics describes two possible cellular states: gene repression with high Yan and low PntP2 activity versus promotion of gene expression due to low Yan and high PntP2 (Graham, Tabei et al. 2010). As this model predicts, expression of Yan and Pnt is mutually exclusive, with a short overlapping transition period, in most tissues affected by Ras/MAPK signalling when imaged throughout Drosophila development. However, this is not always the case and numerous tissues throughout development expressed both Yan and Pnt, such as cone cells during eye development (Boisclair Lachance, Pelaez et al. 2014). This suggests a greater level of complexity in the regulation of Yan and Pnt. Further regulation of Pnt and Yan is mediated by the protein Mae. Mae antagonises Yan activity by facilitating phosphorylation and nuclear export of Yan (Baker, Mille-Baker et al. 2001, Tootle, Lee et al. 2003), whereas Yan directly inhibits
Mae transcription and Pnt promote Mae transcription (Vivekanand, Tootle et al. 2004). This fits with the model of binary Yan activation corresponding to Ras/MAPK signalling. However, Mae also antagonises PntP2 activity in vitro and in vivo (Tootle, Lee et al. 2003, Vivekanand, Tootle et al. 2004). The role of Pnt in repression of Yan has also been questioned as clones of *pnt* null mutations in the eye induces loss of Yan (Pelaez, Gavalda-Miralles et al. 2015). Overexpression of PntP1 and constitutively active PntP2 in the eye did accelerate Yan degradation immediately, however later degradation of Yan was inhibited (Pelaez, Gavalda-Miralles et al. 2015). These data indicate that the dynamics between Yan and Pnt may be more complex than previously thought, and may be context dependant. The data in this thesis, showing repressed mitochondrial dysfunction due to yan knockdown and pnt knockdown, may indicate that Yan and Pnt do not act antagonistically in neuronal tissue, or may be due to complex inter-regulation of Yan and Pnt levels. Imaging Pnt and Yan levels in neurons in yan RNAi and the pnt mutants would help to determine what effect these manipulations are having on protein level. Development of antibodies for phosphorylated Yan and Pnt would also help to understand what is happening to activity of these proteins.

7.4.4 Ras/MAPK pathway activation in disease

Misregulation of the Ras/MAPK is strongly associated with cancer. Extracellular signal regulated protein (ERK), the homologue of *Drosophila* Rolled, promotes migration of cancerous cells. It also stimulates degradation of extracellular matrix proteins promoting tumour invasion, as well as regulating pro-apoptotic proteins such as BIM, enhancing apoptosis resistance (Kim and Choi 2010).

ERK activation is also implicated in neurodegenerative diseases, along with the other MAPK subfamilies, JNK and p38. Although levels of ERK do not change, activation of ERK via phosphorylation, is greater in post-mortem brain tissue of Alzheimer's (AD) patients relative to controls (Zhu, Castellani et al. 2001, Zhu, Lee et al. 2002). Amyloid β is implicated in ERK activation: in a mouse model of AD, transgenic for mutant human amyloid precursor protein, ERK activation is upregulated in neurons (Stein and Johnson 2002). ERK activation is also increased in the midbrain and substantia nigra of post-mortem tissue from patients with Lewy body diseases, such as Parkinson's (Zhu, Kulich et al. 2002). *In vitro*, the main component of Lewy bodies, α -synuclein, rapidly

stimulates ERK activation (Klegeris, Pelech et al. 2008). As ERK activation is found in diseases associated with mitochondrial dysfunction, and modifications of the pathway modifies mitochondrial dysfunction in the wing and neurons in my study, I looked at whether ERK activation was increased neuronally in the model of mitochondrial dysfunction I used. Activation of ERK was detected in motor neuron cell bodies of *TFAM* overexpressing larvae.

As well as increased activation, abnormal localisation of ERK in discrete cytoplasmic granules is reported in brain tissue from patients with Lewy body diseases and AD (Pei, Braak et al. 2002, Zhu, Kulich et al. 2002). Activated ERK in disease brains may therefore be unable to reach the nucleus to regulate transcription. I did not detect cytoplasmic granules in the soma of *TFAM* overexpressing third instar larvae. However, this does not exclude the possibility of cytosolic granules of pERK in the axon and NMJ or in adult flies. I measured pERK levels in the cytosol, because there was a greater level of staining there than in the nucleus in control and test larvae, it is therefore also unclear whether activation of ERK in these models is able to act on nuclear targets, such as Yan. *In vivo* quantification of Yan in mitochondrial dysfunction would help to elucidate whether this is the case or not.

There is some debate about whether activated ERK has a protective or detrimental effect on neurons in neurodegenerative diseases. Initially *in vitro* studies in rat cells differentiated into neurons illuminated a protective role of ERK activation against apoptosis (Xia, Dickens et al. 1995). In rat cortical cultures, ERK activation is necessary and sufficient to mediate BDNF apoptosis resistance, to toxins that induce DNA damage (Gozdz, Habas et al. 2003). ERK upregulation has also been shown to protect neuronally differentiated cells treated with 1-Methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP⁺), commonly used to model Parkinson's disease (Teng, Kou et al. 2014). Various other *in vitro* studies show the protective effects of ERK activation against oxidative stress, Ca²⁺ overload, hypoxia and neurotoxic viruses (Hetman and Gozdz 2004). However, numerous studies also show that *inhibition* of ERK activation is protective against many neuronal insults (Chu, Levinthal et al. 2004). *In vivo*, the presence of pERK correlates with neuronal degeneration starting in the transentorhinal region of the brain and spreading throughout the brain with the neurofibrillary neurodegeneration (Pei, Braak et al. 2002).

Two mechanisms may govern whether ERK activation is protective or damaging: the period of activation and the cellular localisation. Experiments in a murine hippocampal cell line reveals differential effects depending on transient or chronic ERK activation (Luo and DeFranco 2006). Addition of glutamate to neuronal cell cultures induces cell death, mediated by free radical production (Pereira and Oliveira 2000). Chronic ERK activation was shown to be necessary for cell death by glutamate-induced oxidative toxicity, as transfection a dominant negative MEK (the MAPKK that phosphorylates ERK), inhibited this process (Luo and DeFranco 2006). On the other hand, transient activation of ERK imminently following glutamate exposure was shown to promote survival (Luo and DeFranco 2006).

Localisation of pERK is important as it controls the substrates pERK acts upon. The cytosolic granules of pERK identified in patients with neurodegenerative diseases may lead to abnormal activity in the cytosol and a loss of normal activity in the nucleus. pERK is known to hyperphosphorylate tau in AD, and so its cytosolic activity may contribute to pathology by aberrant cytosolic phosphorylation (Harris, Brecht et al. 2004). Aggregation of pERK in the cytosol may inhibit activation of prosurvival pathways in the nucleus, such as regulation of BDNF (Chu, Levinthal et al. 2004). This may explain why *yan* knockdown is protective in neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction, if pERK translocation to the nucleus is impaired so it is unable to inhibit Yan itself.

7.4.5 Regulation of the Ras/MAPK pathway

Ras can be activated by cell surface RTKs including the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), the insulin receptor and Sevenless. This allows the Ras/MAPK pathway to respond to extracellular signals. Ubiquitination of RTKs regulates the activity of this pathway, as ubiquitinated RTKs are endocytosed and targeted for degradation (Levkowitz, Waterman et al. 1999). Clones in the *Drosophila* eye disc, mutant for ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 (E1), revealed an upregulation of pERK, and an overgrowth phenotype (Yan, Chin et al. 2009). Knockdown of *Egfr* did not affect the Ras/MAPK upregulation in the flies. Similarly, knockdown of *drk* and *sos*, which mediate Ras activation by RTKs, did not affect the Ras/MAPK activity. Removal of one copy of *ras*, however, did suppress MAPK activation (Yan, Chin et al. 2009). This suggests that direct ubiquitination of Ras can also regulate the activity of the pathway. Ras has also been shown to be activated by ROS mediated modification *in vitro*, by

stimulation of Ras's GTPase activity (Lander, Hajjar et al. 1997). Oxidative reactions also inactivate ERK inhibitors: In primary human ovarian cultures mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 3 (MKP3) were degraded in a ROS dependant manner, and degradation was inhibited with antioxidant treatment (Chan, Liu et al. 2008). Calcium levels are also implicated in regulation of this pathway, as FCCP treatment, which causes cytosolic calcium accumulation in hippocampal rat cells activates ERK, whereas Oligomycin treatment, that does not result in mitochondrial Ca²⁺ release, did not activate ERK (Luo, Bond et al. 1997). High free Ca²⁺ increases the protein-protein binding activity of ERK *in vitro* and *in vivo*, which impairs translocation of ERK into the nucleus (Chuderland, Marmor et al. 2008). Determining which of these potential mechanisms leads to pERK activation in mitochondrial dysfunction requires further investigation.

7.5 Conclusions and future directions

In this thesis I hypothesised that greater knowledge of the neuronal response to mitochondrial dysfunction would allow modification of this response to improve neuronal function. Through transcriptional analysis of different mitochondrial dysfunction models and a genetic modifier screen I have identified two cellular responses, which when altered, improve neuronal function. Further research is required to elucidate the mechanisms of these pathways and to understand whether there is a relationship between them. I have also found that differences in mitochondrial insult affect the outcome of these manipulations, showing that complex I dysfunction is unresponsive to rescue by *sima* knockdown. This highlights the importance of considering the cause of mitochondrial dysfunction when developing treatments.

Both of the pathways identified in this thesis as potential therapeutic targets for mitochondrial dysfunction are also implicated as oncogenes, Ras/MAPK for its role in promoting growth and proliferation and HIF signalling for switching cellular metabolism to glycolysis, the Warburg effect. Mitochondrial dysfunction does not seem to normally cause cancer or help it spread (Ju, Alexandrov et al. 2014). Instead it appears that many cancer cells choose to switch to glycolytic processes and mitochondrial mutations accumulate in these cells because of enhanced proliferation of a single cell (Chinnery, Samuels et al. 2002, Fantin, St-Pierre et al. 2006). Enhanced ROS production in cancer cells is implicated in the activation of tumour promoting signals, such as Ras and HIF signalling (Liou and Storz 2010). Cancer cells adapt extremely well to mitochondrial damage and/or low mitochondrial activity, as can be seen by their highly proliferative nature. I therefore believe that a lot can be learnt from the adaptations cancer cells make (such as apoptosis resistance) when trying to deal with the impacts of mitochondrial dysfunction in neurodegeneration. It is of course extremely important to try and understand how to help cells adapt to mitochondrial dysfunction without triggering invasiveness and tumour formation. For this reason, I believe that most can be gained from exploring the downstream effects of the HIF and Ras/MAPK pathways. These pathways co-ordinate numerous outcomes and so it will be important to identify which of these downstream pathways can be manipulated beneficially and which should be left well alone. I speculate that some of the variability in the rescue of complex III, IV and V knockdowns with sima RNAi was due to the fact that some of the signalling mediated by Sima may be beneficial, whereas some may be

detrimental. Future work is required to determine what these downstream effects are and which should be targeted.

7.5.1 Future Experiments

The work in this thesis is a starting point from which we can uncover much more information about retrograde signalling in neurons and how we can manipulate those responses to salutatory effect. It has opened up many new questions, here I will outline what I believe to be the most important next steps for this research, although this is by no means an exhaustive list.

Blue native gels of each model of mitochondrial dysfunction would be beneficial as this assay would allow analysis of the level of each complex and the activities of these complexes, giving a greater understanding of the differences between the mitochondrial dysfunction models. Knockdown of one complex may affect assembly and activity of other complexes due to the formation of supercomplexes. Supercomplex formation could also be assessed with blue native gels. I would be particularly interested in whether there were global changes in supercomplex formation in the RNAi models (in which *RFeSP* was transcriptionally downregulated) and *TFAM* overexpression (in which *RFeSP* was transcriptionally upregulated) (see Discussion 7.2.1). Alternatively, analysis of oxygen consumption in relation to treatment with complex specific pharmacological inhibitors, could also be carried out to determine the activity of each OXPHOS complex in every model.

There are a number of other parameters that would be useful to measure in the mitochondrial dysfunction models I developed. Changes in metabolites would be interesting to evaluate. The KG:SA ratio would be particularly important, as it has been implicated in HIF-1 α stabilisation, mediating the complex I mutant's inability to stabilise HIF-1 α (see Discussion 7.2.5 & Figure 7.1). Recently a NADH oxidase from the bacteria *Lactobacillus brevis* (*Lb*NOX), has been used to increase the NAD⁺:NADH ratio in individual compartments of human cells (Titov, Cracan et al. 2016). Mitochondrially targeted *Lb*NOX could address the hypothesis that the *ND-75* RNAi causes an increase of NADH, therefore increasing the KG:SA ratio and impairing HIF-1 α stabilisation. Further analysis of ROS would also be beneficial in these models. I would hypothesise that complex I *ND-75* RNAi phenotypes are mediated by increased

ROS production, whereas the other models are not mediated by ROS. Genetic overexpression of antioxidant scavengers could test this hypothesis. Characterisation of Ca^{2+} dynamics in each mitochondrial dysfunction model would also be informative, particularly with regard to calcium regulation of MAPK activity and localisation (see Discussion 7.4.5).

In this thesis I have characterised several cellular changes that are induced by different mitochondrial insults. All of the models I have studied had functional impairments in climbing ability, however, I have not directly investigated the neuronal function in these models. The loss of mitochondria at the NMJ in these models suggests that synaptic transmission may be particularly impaired. It would be interesting to evaluate active zones in the models of mitochondrial dysfunction. *TFAM* overexpression in *Drosophila* motor neurons causes reduced active zones at the synapse, which is rescued by *sima* knockdown (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). I would like to measure active zones in the other models and to determine whether *sima* RNAi changes active zone number in these models too. Electrophysiology would be required to understand the functional effect of the mitochondrial dysfunction models on neuronal activity.

Having characterised five models of mitochondrial dysfunction, I have optimised and adapted tools to assess several features of neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* motor neurons. It would be beneficial to now use these assays to investigate the effect of modulating HIF and Ras/MAPK signalling on these features. *Sima* knockdown is known not to rescue the synaptic loss of mitochondria in *TFAM* overexpressing flies (Cagin, Duncan et al. 2015). Whether *sima* knockdown has an effect on other mitochondrial functions in this model is, however, unknown. Analysis of mitoTimer, roGFP-Grx and Perceval could help determine if *sima* knockdown alters mitochondrial phenotypes or if the functional rescue is purely due to retrograde signalling. In a mouse model of neurodegeneration, with IMM structural organisation impairments in forebrain neurons, neurodegeneration and neuroinflamation can be blocked by inhibition of mitochondrial fragmentation and mitophagy, without rescuing the mitochondrial dysfunction (Korwitz, Merkwirth et al. 2016).

Further investigation of the downstream effects of both Sima and Yan, will help elucidate targets for translational research and potential therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. I expect that both transcription factors mediate some downstream pathways in neurons that have positive effects, possibly *CG17734* for example (see Discussion 7.3.3) and others that have negative effects on mitochondrial dysfunction. This could perhaps explain the variable efficacy of *sima* knockdown in different assays and different complex RNAi (CIII, CIV & CV). Microarray analysis of mitochondrial dysfunction models with *sima* and *yan* knockdown would reveal genes that are differentially altered when mitochondrial dysfunction is suppressed. Comparison of transcriptional changes in models that are rescued by *sima* knockdown and complex I RNAi, that was not rescued, may shed further light on transcriptional changes that are able to improve the cellular response to mitochondrial dysfunction. Chromatin immunoprecipitation and DamID of Sima and Yan in neuronal tissue would also be useful techniques to identify genes that are regulated by these transcription factors in this tissue.

Upstream mechanisms regulating HIF signalling and the Ras/MAPK pathway in neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction would also be interesting to investigate. I have discussed a number of regulator of these pathways (see Discussion 7.2.4 & 7.4.5). The MAPK pathway has also been shown to enhance the translation of HIF-1 α and transcriptional activity of HIF, so there may be cross regulation between the two pathways. In hypoxic conditions, HIF-1 α was phosphorylated in HeLa cell, in a pERK dependent fashion, resulting in enhanced transcriptional activity of HIF-1 α (Richard, Berra et al. 1999). In human colon cancer cell line, insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) stimulation increases HIF-1a protein levels, without reducing HIF-1a degradation, resulting in increased expression of HIF-1a target genes. Constitutively active MEK (the MAPKK that phosphorylates ERK) was sufficient to induce this process (Fukuda, Hirota et al. 2002). Currently an antibody for *Drosophila* HIF-1a, Sima, is being developed (Rachel Hunt), which will be used to determine if there are shifts in the molecular weight of Sima that may indicate posttranslational modifications. Epigenetic experiments could be carried out to determine if Yan and Sima activity interact. In the background of mitochondrial dysfunction, epigenetic experiments could also help determine if Yan and Sima act in parallel or synergistically in this context.

To further understand the role of the Ras/MAPK pathway plays in neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction, Yan and Pnt should be imaged in neurons in mitochondrial dysfunction models, *yan* RNAi, *pnt*⁴⁸⁸ mutants and combinations of these genotypes. Localisation and levels of Yan and Pnt will be equally informative. Increased activation

of ERK has been observed in motor neurons of the *TFAM* overexpression model. Phosphorylation of Yan is shown in the literature to induce nuclear export and degradation of Yan, so a loss of Yan and shift from the nucleus would be expected in these flies. I hypothesise that translocation of pERK to the nucleus is impaired in *TFAM* overexpressing flies and so Yan levels will not decrease. If this is the case *yan* knockdown may be beneficial as it causes the loss of Yan that the pERK was unable to achieve.

Finally, investigation of the effects of Sima and Yan on neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction phenotypes in mammalian systems will determine if these findings have translational potential. Testing of small molecules that inhibit or activate these pathways in *Drosophila*, cell culture and later in animal models of neurodegenerative disease would be a good starting point. The small molecule inhibitor of HIF-1 α , PX-478, is already being investigated as a potential cancer treatment, and has successfully passed phase I clinical trials (Ban, Uto et al. 2011). Due to the fact that both *yan* RNAi and a *pnt* mutant suppress mitochondrial dysfunction in neurons, combined with potential nuclear translocation difficulties, it is unclear whether activation or inhibition of the Ras/MAPK pathway would be beneficial. To test the effect of inhibition of the Ras/MAPK pathway, MEK inhibitors such as Trametinib or PD98059 could be used.

Activators of this pathway are more difficult to come by, although chemotherapeutic drugs (taxol, etoposide and ceramide) have been reported to increase ERK activiation in two human cell lines, HeLa and A431 (Boldt, Weidle et al. 2002). I anticipate that drugs that improve nuclear transportation of pERK would be the most likely to ameliorate mitochondrial dysfunction. So perhaps targeting the protein binding affinity of pERK will be necessary. The effects of these drugs on neuronal mitochondrial dysfunction will aid our understanding of the roles of these pathways and the potential use of the drugs in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.

8 **REFERENCES**

A. Armstrong, R. (2013). "What causes alzheimer's disease?" <u>Folia Neuropathologica</u> **51**(3): 169-188.

Aberle, H., A. P. Haghighi, R. D. Fetter, B. D. McCabe, T. R. Magalhaes and C. S. Goodman (2002). "wishful thinking encodes a BMP type II receptor that regulates synaptic growth in Drosophila." <u>Neuron</u> **33**(4): 545-558.

Abrahams, J. P., A. G. Leslie, R. Lutter and J. E. Walker (1994). "Structure at 2.8 A resolution of F1-ATPase from bovine heart mitochondria." <u>Nature</u> **370**(6491): 621-628. Acin-Perez, R., M. P. Bayona-Bafaluy, P. Fernandez-Silva, R. Moreno-Loshuertos, A. Perez-Martos, C. Bruno, C. T. Moraes and J. A. Enriquez (2004). "Respiratory complex III is required to maintain complex I in mammalian mitochondria." <u>Mol Cell</u> **13**(6): 805-815.

Acin-Perez, R., P. Fernandez-Silva, M. L. Peleato, A. Perez-Martos and J. A. Enriquez (2008). "Respiratory active mitochondrial supercomplexes." <u>Mol Cell</u> **32**(4): 529-539. Ahmed, S. S., W. Santosh, S. Kumar and H. T. Christlet (2009). "Metabolic profiling of Parkinson's disease: evidence of biomarker from gene expression analysis and rapid neural network detection." J Biomed Sci **16**: 63.

Ahting, U., C. Thun, R. Hegerl, D. Typke, F. E. Nargang, W. Neupert and S. Nussberger (1999). "The TOM core complex: the general protein import pore of the outer membrane of mitochondria." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **147**(5): 959-968.

Akbari, M., P. Sykora and V. A. Bohr (2015). "Slow mitochondrial repair of 5'-AMP renders mtDNA susceptible to damage in APTX deficient cells." <u>Sci Rep</u> 5: 12876. Akram, M. (2014). "Citric Acid Cycle and Role of its Intermediates in Metabolism." <u>Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics</u> **68**(3): 475-478.

Albrecht, Simone C., Ana G. Barata, J. Großhans, Aurelio A. Teleman and Tobias P. Dick (2011). "In Vivo Mapping of Hydrogen Peroxide and Oxidized Glutathione Reveals Chemical and Regional Specificity of Redox Homeostasis." <u>Cell Metabolism</u> **14**(6): 819-829.

Albrecht, S. C., A. G. Barata, J. Grosshans, A. A. Teleman and T. P. Dick (2011). "In vivo mapping of hydrogen peroxide and oxidized glutathione reveals chemical and regional specificity of redox homeostasis." <u>Cell Metab</u> **14**(6): 819-829.

Alegre-Abarrategui, J., H. Christian, M. M. Lufino, R. Mutihac, L. L. Venda, O. Ansorge and R. Wade-Martins (2009). "LRRK2 regulates autophagic activity and localizes to specific membrane microdomains in a novel human genomic reporter cellular model." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **18**(21): 4022-4034.

Alic, N., M. E. Giannakou, I. Papatheodorou, M. P. Hoddinott, T. D. Andrews, E. Bolukbasi and L. Partridge (2014). "Interplay of dFOXO and two ETS-family transcription factors determines lifespan in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>PLoS Genet</u> **10**(9): e1004619.

Allen, G. F., R. Toth, J. James and I. G. Ganley (2013). "Loss of iron triggers PINK1/Parkin-independent mitophagy." <u>EMBO Rep</u> **14**(12): 1127-1135.

Allen, R. D., C. C. Schroeder and A. K. Fok (1989). "An investigation of mitochondrial inner membranes by rapid-freeze deep-etch techniques." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **108**(6): 2233-2240. Alvarez, A. D., W. Shi, B. A. Wilson and J. B. Skeath (2003). "pannier and pointedP2 act sequentially to regulate Drosophila heart development." <u>Development</u> **130**(13): 3015-3026.

Amuthan, G., G. Biswas, H. K. Ananadatheerthavarada, C. Vijayasarathy, H. M. Shephard and N. G. Avadhani (2002). "Mitochondrial stress-induced calcium signaling,

phenotypic changes and invasive behavior in human lung carcinoma A549 cells." <u>Oncogene</u> **21**(51): 7839-7849.

Anderson, S., A. T. Bankier, B. G. Barrell, M. H. de Bruijn, A. R. Coulson, J. Drouin, I. C. Eperon, D. P. Nierlich, B. A. Roe, F. Sanger, P. H. Schreier, A. J. Smith, R. Staden and I. G. Young (1981). "Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome." <u>Nature</u> **290**(5806): 457-465.

Andreyev, A. Y., Y. E. Kushnareva and A. A. Starkov (2005). "Mitochondrial metabolism of reactive oxygen species." <u>Biochemistry (Mosc)</u> **70**(2): 200-214. Arnberg, A., E. F. van Bruggen and P. Borst (1971). "The presence of DNA molecules with a displacement loop in standard mitochondrial DNA preparations." <u>Biochim</u> <u>Biophys Acta</u> **246**(2): 353-357.

Ashrafi, G., J. S. Schlehe, M. J. LaVoie and T. L. Schwarz (2014). "Mitophagy of damaged mitochondria occurs locally in distal neuronal axons and requires PINK1 and Parkin." J Cell Biol **206**(5): 655-670.

Attwell, D. and S. B. Laughlin (2001). "An energy budget for signaling in the grey matter of the brain." J Cereb Blood Flow Metab **21**(10): 1133-1145.

Baird, G. S., D. A. Zacharias and R. Y. Tsien (1999). "Circular permutation and receptor insertion within green fluorescent proteins." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **96**(20): 11241-11246.

Baker, B. M., A. M. Nargund, T. Sun and C. M. Haynes (2012). "Protective coupling of mitochondrial function and protein synthesis via the eIF2alpha kinase GCN-2." <u>PLoS</u> <u>Genet</u> **8**(6): e1002760.

Baker, D. A., B. Mille-Baker, S. M. Wainwright, D. Ish-Horowicz and N. J. Dibb (2001). "Mae mediates MAP kinase phosphorylation of Ets transcription factors in Drosophila." <u>Nature</u> **411**(6835): 330-334.

Baker, S. K. and M. A. Tarnopolsky (2003). "Targeting cellular energy production in neurological disorders." <u>Expert Opin Investig Drugs</u> **12**(10): 1655-1679.

Baldelli, S., K. Aquilano and M. R. Ciriolo (2014). "PGC-1alpha buffers ROS-mediated removal of mitochondria during myogenesis." <u>Cell Death Dis</u> **5**: e1515.

Ballard, C., S. Gauthier, A. Corbett, C. Brayne, D. Aarsland and E. Jones (2011). "Alzheimer's disease." Lancet **377**(9770): 1019-1031.

Baloyannis, S. J. (2006). "Mitochondrial alterations in Alzheimer's disease." J Alzheimers Dis **9**(2): 119-126.

Baloyannis, S. J., V. Costa and D. Michmizos (2004). "Mitochondrial alterations in Alzheimer's disease." <u>Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen</u> **19**(2): 89-93.

Ban, H. S., Y. Uto and H. Nakamura (2011). "Hypoxia-inducible factor inhibitors: a survey of recent patented compounds (2004 - 2010)." <u>Expert Opin Ther Pat</u> **21**(2): 131-146.

Baum, D. A. and B. Baum (2014). "An inside-out origin for the eukaryotic cell." <u>BMC</u> <u>Biol</u> **12**: 76.

Bazan, S., E. Mileykovskaya, V. K. Mallampalli, P. Heacock, G. C. Sparagna and W. Dowhan (2013). "Cardiolipin-dependent reconstitution of respiratory supercomplexes from purified Saccharomyces cerevisiae complexes III and IV." J Biol Chem 288(1): 401-411.

Beck, S. J., L. Guo, A. Phensy, J. Tian, L. Wang, N. Tandon, E. Gauba, L. Lu, J. M. Pascual, S. Kroener and H. Du (2016). "Deregulation of mitochondrial F1FO-ATP synthase via OSCP in Alzheimer/'s disease." <u>Nat Commun</u> **7**.

Behar, D. M., J. Blue-Smith, D. F. Soria-Hernanz, S. Tzur, Y. Hadid, C. Bormans, A. Moen, C. Tyler-Smith, L. Quintana-Murci, R. S. Wells and C. Genographic (2008). "A novel 154-bp deletion in the human mitochondrial DNA control region in healthy individuals." <u>Hum Mutat</u> **29**(12): 1387-1391.

Bellizzi, D., P. D'Aquila, M. Giordano, A. Montesanto and G. Passarino (2012). "Global DNA methylation levels are modulated by mitochondrial DNA variants." <u>Epigenomics</u> **4**(1): 17-27.

Benit, P., S. Lebon and P. Rustin (2009). "Respiratory-chain diseases related to complex III deficiency." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1793**(1): 181-185.

Berg, J., Y. P. Hung and G. Yellen (2009). "A genetically encoded fluorescent reporter of ATP:ADP ratio." <u>Nat Methods</u> **6**(2): 161-166.

Berg JM, T. J., Stryer L. (2002). "Intermediates of the Citric Acid Cycle and Other Major Pathways." <u>Biochemistry.</u> **5th edition**.

Berndt, N. and H. G. Holzhutter (2013). "The high energy demand of neuronal cells caused by passive leak currents is not a waste of energy." <u>Cell Biochem Biophys</u> **67**(2): 527-535.

Bianchi, C., M. L. Genova, G. Parenti Castelli and G. Lenaz (2004). "The mitochondrial respiratory chain is partially organized in a supercomplex assembly: kinetic evidence using flux control analysis." J Biol Chem **279**(35): 36562-36569.

Biswas, G., O. A. Adebanjo, B. D. Freedman, H. K. Anandatheerthavarada, C. Vijayasarathy, M. Zaidi, M. Kotlikoff and N. G. Avadhani (1999). "Retrograde Ca2+ signaling in C2C12 skeletal myocytes in response to mitochondrial genetic and metabolic stress: a novel mode of inter-organelle crosstalk." EMBO J **18**(3): 522-533.

Biswas, G., H. K. Anandatheerthavarada, M. Zaidi and N. G. Avadhani (2003). "Mitochondria to nucleus stress signaling: a distinctive mechanism of NF κ B/Rel activation through calcineurin-mediated inactivation of I κ B β ." <u>The Journal of Cell Biology</u> **161**(3): 507-519.

Bogenhagen, D. F. (1996). "Interaction of mtTFB and mtRNA polymerase at core promoters for transcription of Xenopus laevis mtDNA." J Biol Chem **271**(20): 12036-12041.

Bohnert, M., N. Pfanner and M. van der Laan (2015). "Mitochondrial machineries for insertion of membrane proteins." <u>Current Opinion in Structural Biology</u> **33**: 92-102. Boisclair Lachance, J. F., N. Pelaez, J. J. Cassidy, J. L. Webber, I. Rebay and R. W. Carthew (2014). "A comparative study of Pointed and Yan expression reveals new complexity to the transcriptional networks downstream of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling." <u>Dev Biol</u> **385**(2): 263-278.

Boldogh, I., N. Vojtov, S. Karmon and L. A. Pon (1998). "Interaction between mitochondria and the actin cytoskeleton in budding yeast requires two integral mitochondrial outer membrane proteins, Mmm1p and Mdm10p." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **141**(6): 1371-1381.

Boldt, S., U. H. Weidle and W. Kolch (2002). "The role of MAPK pathways in the action of chemotherapeutic drugs." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **23**(11): 1831-1838.

Bonawitz, N. D., D. A. Clayton and G. S. Shadel (2006). "Initiation and beyond: multiple functions of the human mitochondrial transcription machinery." <u>Mol Cell</u> **24**(6): 813-825.

Bonawitz, N. D., M. S. Rodeheffer and G. S. Shadel (2006). "Defective mitochondrial gene expression results in reactive oxygen species-mediated inhibition of respiration and reduction of yeast life span." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **26**(13): 4818-4829.

Bonnefoy, N., H. L. Fiumera, G. Dujardin and T. D. Fox (2009). "Roles of Oxa1-related inner-membrane translocases in assembly of respiratory chain complexes." <u>Biochim</u> <u>Biophys Acta</u> **1793**(1): 60-70.

Booth, F. W. and J. O. Holloszy (1975). "Effect of thyroid hormone administration on synthesis and degradation of cytochrome c in rat liver." <u>Arch Biochem Biophys</u> **167**(2): 674-677.

Boulanger, A., C. Clouet-Redt, M. Farge, A. Flandre, T. Guignard, C. Fernando, F. Juge and J. M. Dura (2011). "ftz-f1 and Hr39 opposing roles on EcR expression during Drosophila mushroom body neuron remodeling." <u>Nat Neurosci</u> **14**(1): 37-44. Boulanger, A., M. Farge, C. Ramanoudjame, K. Wharton and J. M. Dura (2012).

"Drosophila motor neuron retraction during metamorphosis is mediated by inputs from TGF-beta/BMP signaling and orphan nuclear receptors." <u>PLoS One</u> **7**(7): e40255.

Bowmaker, M., M. Y. Yang, T. Yasukawa, A. Reyes, H. T. Jacobs, J. A. Huberman and I. J. Holt (2003). "Mammalian mitochondrial DNA replicates bidirectionally from an initiation zone." J Biol Chem **278**(51): 50961-50969.

Brown, D. T., D. C. Samuels, E. M. Michael, D. M. Turnbull and P. F. Chinnery (2001). "Random genetic drift determines the level of mutant mtDNA in human primary oocytes." <u>Am J Hum Genet</u> **68**(2): 533-536.

Brown, T. A., A. N. Tkachuk and D. A. Clayton (2008). "Native R-loops persist throughout the mouse mitochondrial DNA genome." J Biol Chem **283**(52): 36743-36751.

Browne, S. E., A. C. Bowling, U. MacGarvey, M. J. Baik, S. C. Berger, M. M. Muqit, E. D. Bird and M. F. Beal (1997). "Oxidative damage and metabolic dysfunction in Huntington's disease: selective vulnerability of the basal ganglia." <u>Ann Neurol</u> **41**(5): 646-653.

Bruick, R. K. and S. L. McKnight (2001). "A conserved family of prolyl-4hydroxylases that modify HIF." Science **294**(5545): 1337-1340.

Brune, B. and J. Zhou (2003). "The role of nitric oxide (NO) in stability regulation of hypoxia inducible factor-1alpha (HIF-1alpha)." <u>Curr Med Chem</u> **10**(10): 845-855. Brunelle, J. K., E. L. Bell, N. M. Quesada, K. Vercauteren, V. Tiranti, M. Zeviani, R. C. Scarpulla and N. S. Chandel (2005). "Oxygen sensing requires mitochondrial ROS but not oxidative phosphorylation." <u>Cell Metab</u> **1**(6): 409-414.

Bultema, J. B., H. P. Braun, E. J. Boekema and R. Kouril (2009). "Megacomplex organization of the oxidative phosphorylation system by structural analysis of respiratory supercomplexes from potato." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1787**(1): 60-67. Burgstaller, J. P., I. G. Johnston, N. S. Jones, J. Albrechtova, T. Kolbe, C. Vogl, A.

Futschik, C. Mayrhofer, D. Klein, S. Sabitzer, M. Blattner, C. Gully, J. Poulton, T. Rulicke, J. Pialek, R. Steinborn and G. Brem (2014). "MtDNA segregation in heteroplasmic tissues is common in vivo and modulated by haplotype differences and developmental stage." <u>Cell Rep</u> **7**(6): 2031-2041.

Burns, N., B. Grimwade, P. B. Ross-Macdonald, E. Y. Choi, K. Finberg, G. S. Roeder and M. Snyder (1994). "Large-scale analysis of gene expression, protein localization, and gene disruption in Saccharomyces cerevisiae." <u>Genes Dev</u> **8**(9): 1087-1105. Cagin, U. (2012). <u>Investigating neuronal mitochondrial DNA loss in Drosophila</u>. PhD, King's College London.

Cagin, U., O. F. Duncan, A. P. Gatt, M. S. Dionne, S. T. Sweeney and J. M. Bateman (2015). "Mitochondrial retrograde signaling regulates neuronal function." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **112**(44): E6000-6009.

Calabrese, C., L. Iommarini, I. Kurelac, M. A. Calvaruso, M. Capristo, P. L. Lollini, P. Nanni, C. Bergamini, G. Nicoletti, C. D. Giovanni, A. Ghelli, V. Giorgio, M. F. Caratozzolo, F. Marzano, C. Manzari, C. M. Betts, V. Carelli, C. Ceccarelli, M. Attimonelli, G. Romeo, R. Fato, M. Rugolo, A. Tullo, G. Gasparre and A. M. Porcelli (2013). "Respiratory complex I is essential to induce a Warburg profile in mitochondria-defective tumor cells." Cancer Metab **1**(1): 11.

Calvo, S. E., K. R. Clauser and V. K. Mootha (2016). "MitoCarta2.0: an updated inventory of mammalian mitochondrial proteins." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **44**(D1): D1251-1257.

Cannon, B. and J. Nedergaard (2004). "Brown adipose tissue: function and physiological significance." <u>Physiol Rev</u> **84**(1): 277-359.

Cardoso, S. M., M. T. Proença, S. Santos, I. Santana and C. R. Oliveira (2004). "Cytochrome c oxidase is decreased in Alzheimer's disease platelets." <u>Neurobiology of Aging</u> **25**(1): 105-110.

Carling, D., V. A. Zammit and D. G. Hardie (1987). "A common bicyclic protein kinase cascade inactivates the regulatory enzymes of fatty acid and cholesterol biosynthesis." <u>FEBS Lett</u> **223**(2): 217-222.

Celotto, A. M., A. C. Frank, S. W. McGrath, T. Fergestad, W. A. Van Voorhies, K. F. Buttle, C. A. Mannella and M. J. Palladino (2006). "Mitochondrial encephalomyopathy in Drosophila." <u>J Neurosci</u> **26**(3): 810-820.

Centanin, L., P. J. Ratcliffe and P. Wappner (2005). "Reversion of lethality and growth defects in Fatiga oxygen-sensor mutant flies by loss of hypoxia-inducible factoralpha/Sima." <u>EMBO Rep</u> 6(11): 1070-1075.

Chacinska, A., C. M. Koehler, D. Milenkovic, T. Lithgow and N. Pfanner (2009). "Importing mitochondrial proteins: machineries and mechanisms." <u>Cell</u> **138**(4): 628-644.

Chae, S., B. Y. Ahn, K. Byun, Y. M. Cho, M. H. Yu, B. Lee, D. Hwang and K. S. Park (2013). "A systems approach for decoding mitochondrial retrograde signaling pathways." <u>Sci Signal</u> **6**(264): rs4.

Chan, D. W., V. W. Liu, G. S. Tsao, K. M. Yao, T. Furukawa, K. K. Chan and H. Y. Ngan (2008). "Loss of MKP3 mediated by oxidative stress enhances tumorigenicity and chemoresistance of ovarian cancer cells." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **29**(9): 1742-1750.

Chang, D. T., A. S. Honick and I. J. Reynolds (2006). "Mitochondrial trafficking to synapses in cultured primary cortical neurons." <u>J Neurosci</u> **26**(26): 7035-7045.

Chen, H., M. Vermulst, Y. E. Wang, A. Chomyn, T. A. Prolla, J. M. McCaffery and D. C. Chan (2010). "Mitochondrial fusion is required for mtDNA stability in skeletal muscle and tolerance of mtDNA mutations." <u>Cell</u> **141**(2): 280-289.

Chen, J., X. Shi, R. Padmanabhan, Q. Wang, Z. Wu, S. C. Stevenson, M. Hild, D. Garza and H. Li (2008). "Identification of novel modulators of mitochondrial function by a genome-wide RNAi screen in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Genome Research</u> **18**(1): 123-136.

Chen, X., R. Prosser, S. Simonetti, J. Sadlock, G. Jagiello and E. A. Schon (1995). "Rearranged mitochondrial genomes are present in human oocytes." <u>Am J Hum Genet</u> **57**(2): 239-247.

Chen, Y. C., E. B. Taylor, N. Dephoure, J. M. Heo, A. Tonhato, I. Papandreou, N. Nath, N. C. Denko, S. P. Gygi and J. Rutter (2012). "Identification of a protein mediating respiratory supercomplex stability." <u>Cell Metab</u> **15**(3): 348-360.

Chinnery, P. F., D. C. Samuels, J. Elson and D. M. Turnbull (2002). "Accumulation of mitochondrial DNA mutations in ageing, cancer, and mitochondrial disease: is there a common mechanism?" Lancet **360**(9342): 1323-1325.

Chintapalli, V. R., J. Wang and J. A. Dow (2007). "Using FlyAtlas to identify better Drosophila melanogaster models of human disease." <u>Nat Genet</u> **39**(6): 715-720.

Chu, C. T., D. J. Levinthal, S. M. Kulich, E. M. Chalovich and D. B. DeFranco (2004). "Oxidative neuronal injury. The dark side of ERK1/2." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> **271**(11): 2060-2066.

Chuderland, D., G. Marmor, A. Shainskaya and R. Seger (2008). "Calcium-mediated interactions regulate the subcellular localization of extracellular signal-regulated kinases." J Biol Chem **283**(17): 11176-11188.

Clapier, C. R. and B. R. Cairns (2009). "The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes." <u>Annu Rev Biochem</u> **78**: 273-304.

Clark, C. G. and A. J. Roger (1995). "Direct evidence for secondary loss of mitochondria in Entamoeba histolytica." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **92**(14): 6518-6521. Cocheme, H. M., C. Quin, S. J. McQuaker, F. Cabreiro, A. Logan, T. A. Prime, I. Abakumova, J. V. Patel, I. M. Fearnley, A. M. James, C. M. Porteous, R. A. Smith, S. Saeed, J. E. Carre, M. Singer, D. Gems, R. C. Hartley, L. Partridge and M. P. Murphy (2011). "Measurement of H2O2 within living Drosophila during aging using a ratiometric mass spectrometry probe targeted to the mitochondrial matrix." <u>Cell Metab</u> **13**(3): 340-350.

Copeland, J. M., J. Cho, T. Lo, Jr., J. H. Hur, S. Bahadorani, T. Arabyan, J. Rabie, J. Soh and D. W. Walker (2009). "Extension of Drosophila life span by RNAi of the mitochondrial respiratory chain." <u>Curr Biol</u> **19**(19): 1591-1598.

Copeland, W. C. (2008). "Inherited mitochondrial diseases of DNA replication." <u>Annu</u> <u>Rev Med</u> **59**: 131-146.

Cotzias, G. C. (1968). "L-Dopa for Parkinsonism." <u>N Engl J Med</u> **278**(11): 630. Crabtree, H. G. (1929). "Observations on the carbohydrate metabolism of tumours." <u>Biochem J</u> **23**(3): 536-545.

Cruciat, C. M., K. Hell, H. Folsch, W. Neupert and R. A. Stuart (1999). "Bcs1p, an AAA-family member, is a chaperone for the assembly of the cytochrome bc(1) complex." <u>EMBO J</u> **18**(19): 5226-5233.

Da-Re, C., S. von Stockum, A. Biscontin, C. Millino, P. Cisotto, M. A. Zordan, M. Zeviani, P. Bernardi, C. De Pitta and R. Costa (2014). "Leigh syndrome in Drosophila melanogaster: morphological and biochemical characterization of Surf1 post-transcriptional silencing." J Biol Chem **289**(42): 29235-29246.

Dahia, P. L., K. N. Ross, M. E. Wright, C. Y. Hayashida, S. Santagata, M. Barontini, A. L. Kung, G. Sanso, J. F. Powers, A. S. Tischler, R. Hodin, S. Heitritter, F. Moore, R. Dluhy, J. A. Sosa, I. T. Ocal, D. E. Benn, D. J. Marsh, B. G. Robinson, K. Schneider, J. Garber, S. M. Arum, M. Korbonits, A. Grossman, P. Pigny, S. P. Toledo, V. Nose, C. Li and C. D. Stiles (2005). "A HIF1alpha regulatory loop links hypoxia and mitochondrial signals in pheochromocytomas." <u>PLoS Genet</u> 1(1): 72-80.

Dairaghi, D. J., G. S. Shadel and D. A. Clayton (1995). "Addition of a 29 residue carboxyl-terminal tail converts a simple HMG box-containing protein into a transcriptional activator." J Mol Biol **249**(1): 11-28.

De Benedictis, G., G. Rose, G. Carrieri, M. De Luca, E. Falcone, G. Passarino, M. Bonafe, D. Monti, G. Baggio, S. Bertolini, D. Mari, R. Mattace and C. Franceschi (1999). "Mitochondrial DNA inherited variants are associated with successful aging and longevity in humans." <u>FASEB J</u> **13**(12): 1532-1536.

Dell'agnello, C., S. Leo, A. Agostino, G. Szabadkai, C. Tiveron, A. Zulian, A. Prelle, P. Roubertoux, R. Rizzuto and M. Zeviani (2007). "Increased longevity and refractoriness to Ca(2+)-dependent neurodegeneration in Surf1 knockout mice." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **16**(4): 431-444.

Dennis, G., Jr., B. T. Sherman, D. A. Hosack, J. Yang, W. Gao, H. C. Lane and R. A. Lempicki (2003). "DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery." <u>Genome Biol</u> **4**(5): P3.

Denton, R. M. and J. G. McCormack (1985). "Ca2+ transport by mammalian mitochondria and its role in hormone action." <u>Am J Physiol</u> **249**(6 Pt 1): E543-554. Dever, T. E., L. Feng, R. C. Wek, A. M. Cigan, T. F. Donahue and A. G. Hinnebusch (1992). "Phosphorylation of initiation factor 2 alpha by protein kinase GCN2 mediates gene-specific translational control of GCN4 in yeast." <u>Cell</u> **68**(3): 585-596.

Diaz, F., H. Fukui, S. Garcia and C. T. Moraes (2006). "Cytochrome c oxidase is required for the assembly/stability of respiratory complex I in mouse fibroblasts." <u>Mol</u> <u>Cell Biol</u> **26**(13): 4872-4881.

Dienhart, M. K. and R. A. Stuart (2008). "The yeast Aac2 protein exists in physical association with the cytochrome bc1-COX supercomplex and the TIM23 machinery." <u>Mol Biol Cell</u> **19**(9): 3934-3943.

Dietzl, G., D. Chen, F. Schnorrer, K.-C. Su, Y. Barinova, M. Fellner, B. Gasser, K. Kinsey, S. Oppel, S. Scheiblauer, A. Couto, V. Marra, K. Keleman and B. J. Dickson (2007). "A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in Drosophila." <u>Nature</u> **448**(7150): 151-156.

Dillin, A., A. L. Hsu, N. Arantes-Oliveira, J. Lehrer-Graiwer, H. Hsin, A. G. Fraser, R. S. Kamath, J. Ahringer and C. Kenyon (2002). "Rates of behavior and aging specified by mitochondrial function during development." <u>Science</u> **298**(5602): 2398-2401.

Dombi, E., A. Diot, K. Morten, J. Carver, T. Lodge, C. Fratter, Y. S. Ng, C. Liao, R.

Muir, E. L. Blakely, I. Hargreaves, M. Al-Dosary, G. Sarkar, S. J. Hickman, S. M. Downes, S. Jayawant, P. Yu-Wai-Man, R. W. Taylor and J. Poulton (2016). "The m.13051G>A mitochondrial DNA mutation results in variable neurology and activated mitophagy." <u>Neurology</u> **86**(20): 1921-1923.

Dowhan, W., C. R. Bibus and G. Schatz (1985). "The cytoplasmically-made subunit IV is necessary for assembly of cytochrome c oxidase in yeast." <u>EMBO Journal</u> **4**(1): 179-184.

Dudkina, N. V., H. Eubel, W. Keegstra, E. J. Boekema and H. P. Braun (2005). "Structure of a mitochondrial supercomplex formed by respiratory-chain complexes I and III." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **102**(9): 3225-3229.

Dudkina, N. V., R. Kouril, K. Peters, H. P. Braun and E. J. Boekema (2010). "Structure and function of mitochondrial supercomplexes." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1797**(6-7): 664-670.

Dudkina, N. V., S. Sunderhaus, H. P. Braun and E. J. Boekema (2006).

"Characterization of dimeric ATP synthase and cristae membrane ultrastructure from Saccharomyces and Polytomella mitochondria." <u>FEBS Lett</u> **580**(14): 3427-3432. Durand, A. and M. Merrick (2006). "In vitro analysis of the Escherichia coli AmtB-GlnK complex reveals a stoichiometric interaction and sensitivity to ATP and 2-oxoglutarate." J Biol Chem **281**(40): 29558-29567.

Ekstrand, M. I., M. Terzioglu, D. Galter, S. Zhu, C. Hofstetter, E. Lindqvist, S. Thams, A. Bergstrand, F. S. Hansson, A. Trifunovic, B. Hoffer, S. Cullheim, A. H. Mohammed, L. Olson and N. G. Larsson (2007). "Progressive parkinsonism in mice with respiratory-chain-deficient dopamine neurons." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **104**(4): 1325-1330. Enari, M., H. Sakahira, H. Yokoyama, K. Okawa, A. Iwamatsu and S. Nagata (1998). "A caspase-activated DNase that degrades DNA during apoptosis, and its inhibitor ICAD." <u>Nature</u> **391**(6662): 43-50.

Endres, W., K. Ballanyi, G. Serve and P. Grafe (1986). "Excitatory amino acids and intracellular pH in motoneurons of the isolated frog spinal cord." <u>Neurosci Lett</u> **72**(1): 54-58.

Ernster, L. and G. Schatz (1981). "Mitochondria: a historical review." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **91**(3 Pt 2): 227s-255s.

Eubel, H., J. Heinemeyer, S. Sunderhaus and H. P. Braun (2004). "Respiratory chain supercomplexes in plant mitochondria." <u>Plant Physiol Biochem</u> **42**(12): 937-942.

Fan, W., K. G. Waymire, N. Narula, P. Li, C. Rocher, P. E. Coskun, M. A. Vannan, J. Narula, G. R. Macgregor and D. C. Wallace (2008). "A mouse model of mitochondrial disease reveals germline selection against severe mtDNA mutations." <u>Science</u> **319**(5865): 958-962.

Fantin, V. R., J. St-Pierre and P. Leder (2006). "Attenuation of LDH-A expression uncovers a link between glycolysis, mitochondrial physiology, and tumor maintenance." <u>Cancer Cell</u> **9**(6): 425-434.

Farge, G., S. Touraille, R. Debise and S. Alziari (2002). "The respiratory chain complex thresholds in mitochondria of a Drosophila subobscura mutant strain." <u>Biochimie</u> **84**(12): 1189-1197.

Fernandez-Ayala, D. J., S. Chen, E. Kemppainen, K. M. O'Dell and H. T. Jacobs (2010). "Gene expression in a Drosophila model of mitochondrial disease." <u>PLoS One</u> **5**(1): e8549.

Fernandez-Vizarra, E., V. Tiranti and M. Zeviani (2009). "Assembly of the oxidative phosphorylation system in humans: what we have learned by studying its defects." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1793**(1): 200-211.

Ferree, A. W., K. Trudeau, E. Zik, I. Y. Benador, G. Twig, R. A. Gottlieb and O. S. Shirihai (2013). "MitoTimer probe reveals the impact of autophagy, fusion, and motility on subcellular distribution of young and old mitochondrial protein and on relative mitochondrial protein age." <u>Autophagy</u> **9**(11): 1887-1896.

Finck, B. N. and D. P. Kelly (2007). "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-1 (PGC-1) regulatory cascade in cardiac physiology and disease." Circulation **115**(19): 2540-2548.

Firth, J. D., B. L. Ebert and P. J. Ratcliffe (1995). "Hypoxic regulation of lactate dehydrogenase A. Interaction between hypoxia-inducible factor 1 and cAMP response elements." J Biol Chem **270**(36): 21021-21027.

Fisher, R. P. and D. A. Clayton (1988). "Purification and characterization of human mitochondrial transcription factor 1." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **8**(8): 3496-3509.

Fisher, R. P., J. N. Topper and D. A. Clayton (1987). "Promoter selection in human mitochondria involves binding of a transcription factor to orientation-independent upstream regulatory elements." <u>Cell</u> **50**(2): 247-258.

Foretz, M., S. Hebrard, J. Leclerc, E. Zarrinpashneh, M. Soty, G. Mithieux, K. Sakamoto, F. Andreelli and B. Viollet (2010). "Metformin inhibits hepatic gluconeogenesis in mice independently of the LKB1/AMPK pathway via a decrease in hepatic energy state." J Clin Invest **120**(7): 2355-2369.

Forte, M., H. R. Guy and C. A. Mannella (1987). "Molecular genetics of the VDAC ion channel: structural model and sequence analysis." <u>J Bioenerg Biomembr</u> **19**(4): 341-350.

Fransson, A., A. Ruusala and P. Aspenstrom (2003). "Atypical Rho GTPases have roles in mitochondrial homeostasis and apoptosis." J Biol Chem 278(8): 6495-6502.

Freeman, M. (1996). "Reiterative use of the EGF receptor triggers differentiation of all cell types in the Drosophila eye." <u>Cell</u> **87**(4): 651-660.

Freije, W. A., S. Mandal and U. Banerjee (2012). "Expression profiling of attenuated mitochondrial function identifies retrograde signals in Drosophila." <u>G3 (Bethesda)</u> **2**(8): 843-851.

Fukuda, R., K. Hirota, F. Fan, Y. D. Jung, L. M. Ellis and G. L. Semenza (2002). "Insulin-like growth factor 1 induces hypoxia-inducible factor 1-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor expression, which is dependent on MAP kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling in colon cancer cells." J Biol Chem 277(41): 38205-38211.

Fukuda, R., H. Zhang, J. W. Kim, L. Shimoda, C. V. Dang and G. L. Semenza (2007). "HIF-1 regulates cytochrome oxidase subunits to optimize efficiency of respiration in hypoxic cells." <u>Cell</u> **129**(1): 111-122.

Fukui, H., F. Diaz, S. Garcia and C. T. Moraes (2007). "Cytochrome c oxidase deficiency in neurons decreases both oxidative stress and amyloid formation in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **104**(35): 14163-14168. Fukui, H. and C. T. Moraes (2009). "Mechanisms of formation and accumulation of mitochondrial DNA deletions in aging neurons." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **18**(6): 1028-1036. Gabay, L., H. Scholz, M. Golembo, A. Klaes, B. Z. Shilo and C. Klambt (1996). "EGF receptor signaling induces pointed P1 transcription and inactivates Yan protein in the Drosophila embryonic ventral ectoderm." <u>Development</u> **122**(11): 3355-3362.

Garcia-Heredia, J. M. and A. Carnero (2015). "Decoding Warburg's hypothesis: tumorrelated mutations in the mitochondrial respiratory chain." <u>Oncotarget</u> 6(39): 41582-41599.

Garesse, R. and L. S. Kaguni (2005). "A Drosophila model of mitochondrial DNA replication: proteins, genes and regulation." <u>IUBMB Life</u> **57**(8): 555-561. Gatenby, R. A. and R. J. Gillies (2004). "Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis?" Nat Rev Cancer **4**(11): 891-899.

Gatt, A. P., O. F. Duncan, J. Attems, P. T. Francis, C. G. Ballard and J. M. Bateman (2016). "Dementia in Parkinson's disease is associated with enhanced mitochondrial complex I deficiency." <u>Mov Disord</u> **31**(3): 352-359.

Gegg, M. E., J. M. Cooper, K. Y. Chau, M. Rojo, A. H. Schapira and J. W. Taanman (2010). "Mitofusin 1 and mitofusin 2 are ubiquitinated in a PINK1/parkin-dependent manner upon induction of mitophagy." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **19**(24): 4861-4870.

Gellerich, F. N., S. Trumbeckaite, J. R. Opalka, E. Seppet, H. N. Rasmussen, C. Neuhoff and S. Zierz (2000). "Function of the mitochondrial outer membrane as a diffusion barrier in health and diseases." <u>Biochem Soc Trans</u> **28**(2): 164-169. Gillick, K. and M. Crompton (2008). "Evaluating cytochrome c diffusion in the intermembrane spaces of mitochondria during cytochrome c release." <u>J Cell Sci</u> **121**(Pt 5): 618-626.

Gollnick, P. D., R. B. Armstrong, C. W. t. Saubert, K. Piehl and B. Saltin (1972). "Enzyme activity and fiber composition in skeletal muscle of untrained and trained men." <u>J Appl Physiol</u> **33**(3): 312-319.

Goto, A., Y. Matsushima, T. Kadowaki and Y. Kitagawa (2001). "Drosophila mitochondrial transcription factor A (d-TFAM) is dispensable for the transcription of mitochondrial DNA in Kc167 cells." <u>Biochem J</u> **354**(Pt 2): 243-248.

Goto, Y., I. Nonaka and S. Horai (1990). "A mutation in the tRNA(Leu)(UUR) gene associated with the MELAS subgroup of mitochondrial encephalomyopathies." <u>Nature</u> **348**(6302): 651-653.

Gozdz, A., A. Habas, J. Jaworski, M. Zielinska, J. Albrecht, M. Chlystun, A. Jalili and M. Hetman (2003). "Role of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in the neuroprotective activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 by cisplatin." J Biol Chem **278**(44): 43663-43671.

Graham, B. H., K. G. Waymire, B. Cottrell, I. A. Trounce, G. R. MacGregor and D. C. Wallace (1997). "A mouse model for mitochondrial myopathy and cardiomyopathy resulting from a deficiency in the heart/muscle isoform of the adenine nucleotide translocator." <u>Nat Genet</u> **16**(3): 226-234.

Graham, T. G., S. M. Tabei, A. R. Dinner and I. Rebay (2010). "Modeling bistable cellfate choices in the Drosophila eye: qualitative and quantitative perspectives." <u>Development</u> **137**(14): 2265-2278.

Green, E. W., G. Fedele, F. Giorgini and C. P. Kyriacou (2014). "A Drosophila RNAi collection is subject to dominant phenotypic effects." <u>Nat Meth</u> **11**(3): 222-223.

Greene, J. C., A. J. Whitworth, L. A. Andrews, T. J. Parker and L. J. Pallanck (2005). "Genetic and genomic studies of Drosophila parkin mutants implicate oxidative stress and innate immune responses in pathogenesis." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **14**(6): 799-811. Greene, J. C., A. J. Whitworth, I. Kuo, L. A. Andrews, M. B. Feany and L. J. Pallanck (2003). "Mitochondrial pathology and apoptotic muscle degeneration in Drosophila parkin mutants." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **100**(7): 4078-4083. Greenleaf, A. L., J. L. Kelly and I. R. Lehman (1986). "Yeast RPO41 gene product is required for transcription and maintenance of the mitochondrial genome." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **83**(10): 3391-3394.

Guo, X., G. T. Macleod, A. Wellington, F. Hu, S. Panchumarthi, M. Schoenfield, L. Marin, M. P. Charlton, H. L. Atwood and K. E. Zinsmaier (2005). "The GTPase dMiro is required for axonal transport of mitochondria to Drosophila synapses." <u>Neuron</u> **47**(3): 379-393.

Gutscher, M., A.-L. Pauleau, L. Marty, T. Brach, G. H. Wabnitz, Y. Samstag, A. J. Meyer and T. P. Dick (2008). "Real-time imaging of the intracellular glutathione redox potential." <u>Nat Meth</u> **5**(6): 553-559.

Hackenbrock, C. R., B. Chazotte and S. S. Gupte (1986). "The random collision model and a critical assessment of diffusion and collision in mitochondrial electron transport." J Bioenerg Biomembr **18**(5): 331-368.

Hales, K. G. and M. T. Fuller (1997). "Developmentally regulated mitochondrial fusion mediated by a conserved, novel, predicted GTPase." <u>Cell</u> **90**(1): 121-129.

Hallberg, B. M. and N. G. Larsson (2011). "TFAM forces mtDNA to make a U-turn." <u>Nat Struct Mol Biol</u> **18**(11): 1179-1181.

Hamanaka, R. B., A. Glasauer, P. Hoover, S. Yang, H. Blatt, A. R. Mullen, S. Getsios, C. J. Gottardi, R. J. DeBerardinis, R. M. Lavker and N. S. Chandel (2013).

"Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species promote epidermal differentiation and hair follicle development." Sci Signal 6(261): ra8.

Hamanaka, R. B., S. E. Weinberg, C. R. Reczek and N. S. Chandel (2016). "The mitochondrial respiratory chain is required for organismal adaptation to hypoxia." <u>Cell</u> <u>reports</u> **15**(3): 451-459.

Hampl, V., J. D. Silberman, A. Stechmann, S. Diaz-Trivino, P. J. Johnson and A. J. Roger (2008). "Genetic evidence for a mitochondriate ancestry in the 'amitochondriate' flagellate Trimastix pyriformis." <u>PLoS One</u> **3**(1): e1383.

Han, G., R. J. Casson, G. Chidlow and J. P. M. Wood (2014). "The Mitochondrial Complex I Inhibitor Rotenone Induces Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Activation of GSK-3β in Cultured Rat Retinal CellsRotenone Induces ER Stress and Activates GSK-3β." Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science **55**(9): 5616-5628.

Han, J. Y., S. H. Oh, F. Morgillo, J. N. Myers, E. Kim, W. K. Hong and H. Y. Lee (2005). "Hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha and antiangiogenic activity of farnesyltransferase inhibitor SCH66336 in human aerodigestive tract cancer " I Nat

farnesyltransferase inhibitor SCH66336 in human aerodigestive tract cancer." <u>J Natl</u> <u>Cancer Inst</u> **97**(17): 1272-1286.

Hanson, G. T., R. Aggeler, D. Oglesbee, M. Cannon, R. A. Capaldi, R. Y. Tsien and S. J. Remington (2004). "Investigating mitochondrial redox potential with redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein indicators." J Biol Chem **279**(13): 13044-13053.

Hardie, D. G. (2011). "AMP-activated protein kinase—an energy sensor that regulates all aspects of cell function." <u>Genes & Development</u> **25**(18): 1895-1908.

Hardie, D. G., D. Carling and S. J. Gamblin (2011). "AMP-activated protein kinase: also regulated by ADP?" <u>Trends in Biochemical Sciences</u> **36**(9): 470-477.

Hardie, D. G. and S. A. Hawley (2001). "AMP-activated protein kinase: the energy charge hypothesis revisited." <u>Bioessays</u> **23**(12): 1112-1119.

Harding, H. P., Y. Zhang and D. Ron (1999). "Protein translation and folding are coupled by an endoplasmic-reticulum-resident kinase." <u>Nature</u> **397**(6716): 271-274. Harman, D. (1956). "Aging: a theory based on free radical and radiation chemistry." <u>J</u> <u>Gerontol</u> **11**(3): 298-300.

Harris, F. M., W. J. Brecht, Q. Xu, R. W. Mahley and Y. Huang (2004). "Increased tau phosphorylation in apolipoprotein E4 transgenic mice is associated with activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase: modulation by zinc." J Biol Chem **279**(43): 44795-44801.

Harris, Julia J., R. Jolivet and D. Attwell "Synaptic Energy Use and Supply." <u>Neuron</u> **75**(5): 762-777.

Hashimoto, Y., T. Niikura, H. Tajima, T. Yasukawa, H. Sudo, Y. Ito, Y. Kita, M. Kawasumi, K. Kouyama, M. Doyu, G. Sobue, T. Koide, S. Tsuji, J. Lang, K. Kurokawa and I. Nishimoto (2001). "A rescue factor abolishing neuronal cell death by a wide spectrum of familial Alzheimer's disease genes and Abeta." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **98**(11): 6336-6341.

Haut, S., M. Brivet, G. Touati, P. Rustin, S. Lebon, A. Garcia-Cazorla, J. M. Saudubray, A. Boutron, A. Legrand and A. Slama (2003). "A deletion in the human QP-C gene causes a complex III deficiency resulting in hypoglycaemia and lactic acidosis." <u>Hum</u> <u>Genet</u> **113**(2): 118-122.

Haynes, C. M., C. J. Fiorese and Y. F. Lin (2013). "Evaluating and responding to mitochondrial dysfunction: the mitochondrial unfolded-protein response and beyond." <u>Trends Cell Biol</u> **23**(7): 311-318.

Hemrika, W., G. Lobo-Hajdu, J. A. Berden and L. A. Grivell (1994). "The aromatic nature of residue 66 of the 11-kDa subunit of ubiquinol-cytochrome c oxidoreductase of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is important for the assembly of a functional enzyme." <u>FEBS Lett</u> **344**(1): 15-19.

Hernandez, G., C. Thornton, A. Stotland, D. Lui, J. Sin, J. Ramil, N. Magee, A. Andres, G. Quarato, R. S. Carreira, M. R. Sayen, R. Wolkowicz and R. A. Gottlieb (2013). "MitoTimer: a novel tool for monitoring mitochondrial turnover." <u>Autophagy</u> **9**(11): 1852-1861.

Herrmann, J. M. and S. Funes (2005). "Biogenesis of cytochrome oxidase-sophisticated assembly lines in the mitochondrial inner membrane." <u>Gene</u> **354**: 43-52.

Herrmann, J. M. and J. Riemer (2010). "The intermembrane space of mitochondria." <u>Antioxid Redox Signal</u> **13**(9): 1341-1358.

Herrnstadt, C., J. L. Elson, E. Fahy, G. Preston, D. M. Turnbull, C. Anderson, S. S. Ghosh, J. M. Olefsky, M. F. Beal, R. E. Davis and N. Howell (2002). "Reduced-median-network analysis of complete mitochondrial DNA coding-region sequences for the major African, Asian, and European haplogroups." <u>Am J Hum Genet</u> **70**(5): 1152-1171.

Hetman, M. and A. Gozdz (2004). "Role of extracellular signal regulated kinases 1 and 2 in neuronal survival." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> **271**(11): 2050-2055.

Hildenbeutel, M., E. L. Hegg, K. Stephan, S. Gruschke, B. Meunier and M. Ott (2014). "Assembly factors monitor sequential hemylation of cytochrome b to regulate mitochondrial translation." J Cell Biol **205**(4): 511-524.

Hill, J. H., Z. Chen and H. Xu (2014). "Selective propagation of functional mitochondrial DNA during oogenesis restricts the transmission of a deleterious mitochondrial variant." <u>Nat Genet</u> **46**(4): 389-392.

Hock, M. B. and A. Kralli (2009). "Transcriptional control of mitochondrial biogenesis and function." <u>Annu Rev Physiol</u> **71**: 177-203.

Hoefs, S. J., O. H. Skjeldal, R. J. Rodenburg, B. Nedregaard, E. P. van Kaauwen, U. Spiekerkotter, J. C. von Kleist-Retzow, J. A. Smeitink, L. G. Nijtmans and L. P. van den Heuvel (2010). "Novel mutations in the NDUFS1 gene cause low residual activities in human complex I deficiencies." <u>Mol Genet Metab</u> **100**(3): 251-256.

Holloszy, J. O. (1967). "Biochemical adaptations in muscle. Effects of exercise on mitochondrial oxygen uptake and respiratory enzyme activity in skeletal muscle." J Biol Chem **242**(9): 2278-2282.

Holt, I. J., A. E. Harding and J. A. Morgan-Hughes (1988). "Deletions of muscle mitochondrial DNA in patients with mitochondrial myopathies." <u>Nature</u> **331**(6158): 717-719.

Holt, I. J., H. E. Lorimer and H. T. Jacobs (2000). "Coupled leading- and lagging-strand synthesis of mammalian mitochondrial DNA." <u>Cell</u> **100**(5): 515-524.

Holt, I. J. and A. Reyes (2012). "Human mitochondrial DNA replication." <u>Cold Spring</u> <u>Harb Perspect Biol</u> **4**(12).

Homouz, D., G. Chen and A. S. Kudlicki (2015). "Correcting positional correlations in Affymetrix(R) genome chips." <u>Sci Rep</u> **5**: 9078.

Houten, S. M. and R. J. Wanders (2010). "A general introduction to the biochemistry of mitochondrial fatty acid beta-oxidation." <u>J Inherit Metab Dis</u> **33**(5): 469-477.

Houtkooper, R. H., L. Mouchiroud, D. Ryu, N. Moullan, E. Katsyuba, G. Knott, R. W. Williams and J. Auwerx (2013). "Mitonuclear protein imbalance as a conserved longevity mechanism." <u>Nature</u> **497**(7450): 451-457.

Huang, T. T., E. J. Carlson, A. M. Gillespie, Y. Shi and C. J. Epstein (2000). "Ubiquitous overexpression of CuZn superoxide dismutase does not extend life span in mice." J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci **55**(1): B5-9.

Humphrey, D. M., R. B. Parsons, Z. N. Ludlow, T. Riemensperger, G. Esposito, P. Verstreken, H. T. Jacobs, S. Birman and F. Hirth (2012). "Alternative oxidase rescues mitochondria-mediated dopaminergic cell loss in Drosophila." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **21**(12): 2698-2712.

Hurd, T. R., F. X. Liang and R. Lehmann (2015). "Curly Encodes Dual Oxidase, Which Acts with Heme Peroxidase Curly Su to Shape the Adult Drosophila Wing." <u>PLoS</u> <u>Genet</u> **11**(11): e1005625.

Hyslop, L. A., P. Blakeley, L. Craven, J. Richardson, N. M. Fogarty, E. Fragouli, M. Lamb, S. E. Wamaitha, N. Prathalingam, Q. Zhang, H. O'Keefe, Y. Takeda, L. Arizzi, S. Alfarawati, H. A. Tuppen, L. Irving, D. Kalleas, M. Choudhary, D. Wells, A. P. Murdoch, D. M. Turnbull, K. K. Niakan and M. Herbert (2016). "Towards clinical application of pronuclear transfer to prevent mitochondrial DNA disease." <u>Nature</u> 534(7607): 383-386.

Indo, H. P., H. C. Yen, I. Nakanishi, K. Matsumoto, M. Tamura, Y. Nagano, H. Matsui, O. Gusev, R. Cornette, T. Okuda, Y. Minamiyama, H. Ichikawa, S. Suenaga, M. Oki, T. Sato, T. Ozawa, D. K. Clair and H. J. Majima (2015). "A mitochondrial superoxide theory for oxidative stress diseases and aging." <u>J Clin Biochem Nutr</u> **56**(1): 1-7. Inoue, K., K. Nakada, A. Ogura, K. Isobe, Y. Goto, I. Nonaka and J. I. Hayashi (2000). "Generation of mice with mitochondrial dysfunction by introducing mouse mtDNA carrying a deletion into zygotes." Nat Genet **26**(2): 176-181.

Isaacs, J. S., Y. J. Jung, D. R. Mole, S. Lee, C. Torres-Cabala, Y. L. Chung, M. Merino, J. Trepel, B. Zbar, J. Toro, P. J. Ratcliffe, W. M. Linehan and L. Neckers (2005). "HIF overexpression correlates with biallelic loss of fumarate hydratase in renal cancer: novel role of fumarate in regulation of HIF stability." <u>Cancer Cell</u> **8**(2): 143-153.

Ishihara, N., M. Nomura, A. Jofuku, H. Kato, S. O. Suzuki, K. Masuda, H. Otera, Y. Nakanishi, I. Nonaka, Y.-i. Goto, N. Taguchi, H. Morinaga, M. Maeda, R. Takayanagi, S. Yokota and K. Mihara (2009). "Mitochondrial fission factor Drp1 is essential for embryonic development and synapse formation in mice." <u>Nat Cell Biol</u> **11**(8): 958-966. Iyengar, B., N. Luo, C. L. Farr, L. S. Kaguni and A. R. Campos (2002). "The accessory subunit of DNA polymerase gamma is essential for mitochondrial DNA maintenance and development in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **99**(7): 4483-4488.

Jacobs, H. T. (1997). "Mitochondrial deafness." Ann Med 29(6): 483-491.

Jahangir Tafrechi, R. S., P. J. Svensson, G. M. Janssen, K. Szuhai, J. A. Maassen and A. K. Raap (2005). "Distinct nuclear gene expression profiles in cells with mtDNA depletion and homoplasmic A3243G mutation." <u>Mutat Res</u> **578**(1-2): 43-52.

Jahangir Tafrechi, R. S., P. J. Svensson, G. M. C. Janssen, K. Szuhai, J. A. Maassen and A. K. Raap (2005). "Distinct nuclear gene expression profiles in cells with mtDNA

depletion and homoplasmic A3243G mutation." <u>Mutation Research/Fundamental and</u> <u>Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis</u> **578**(1–2): 43-52.

Jain, I. H., L. Zazzeron, R. Goli, K. Alexa, S. Schatzman-Bone, H. Dhillon, O. Goldberger, J. Peng, O. Shalem, N. E. Sanjana, F. Zhang, W. Goessling, W. M. Zapol and V. K. Mootha (2016). "Hypoxia as a therapy for mitochondrial disease." <u>Science</u> **352**(6281): 54-61.

Jani-Acsadi, A., K. Krajewski and M. E. Shy (2008). "Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathies: diagnosis and management." <u>Semin Neurol</u> **28**(2): 185-194.

Jenkins, B. G., W. J. Koroshetz, M. F. Beal and B. R. Rosen (1993). "Evidence for impairment of energy metabolism in vivo in Huntington's disease using localized 1H NMR spectroscopy." <u>Neurology</u> **43**(12): 2689-2695.

Jensen, P. A., X. Zheng, T. Lee and M. B. O'Connor (2009). "The Drosophila Activinlike ligand Dawdle signals preferentially through one isoform of the Type-I receptor Baboon." <u>Mechanisms of development</u> **126**(11-12): 950-957.

Jenuth, J. P., A. C. Peterson and E. A. Shoubridge (1997). "Tissue-specific selection for different mtDNA genotypes in heteroplasmic mice." <u>Nat Genet</u> **16**(1): 93-95.

Jia, Y., B. Rothermel, J. Thornton and R. A. Butow (1997). "A basic helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper transcription complex in yeast functions in a signaling pathway from mitochondria to the nucleus." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **17**(3): 1110-1117.

Johnson, S. C., M. E. Yanos, E. B. Kayser, A. Quintana, M. Sangesland, A. Castanza, L. Uhde, J. Hui, V. Z. Wall, A. Gagnidze, K. Oh, B. M. Wasko, F. J. Ramos, R. D. Palmiter, P. S. Rabinovitch, P. G. Morgan, M. M. Sedensky and M. Kaeberlein (2013). "mTOR inhibition alleviates mitochondrial disease in a mouse model of Leigh syndrome." <u>Science</u> **342**(6165): 1524-1528.

Ju, Y. S., L. B. Alexandrov, M. Gerstung, I. Martincorena, S. Nik-Zainal, M. Ramakrishna, H. R. Davies, E. Papaemmanuil, G. Gundem, A. Shlien, N. Bolli, S. Behjati, P. S. Tarpey, J. Nangalia, C. E. Massie, A. P. Butler, J. W. Teague, G. S. Vassiliou, A. R. Green, M. Q. Du, A. Unnikrishnan, J. E. Pimanda, B. T. Teh, N. Munshi, M. Greaves, P. Vyas, A. K. El-Naggar, T. Santarius, V. P. Collins, R. Grundy, J. A. Taylor, D. N. Hayes, D. Malkin, I. B. C. Group, I. C. M. D. Group, I. P. C. Group, C. S. Foster, A. Y. Warren, H. C. Whitaker, D. Brewer, R. Eeles, C. Cooper, D. Neal, T. Visakorpi, W. B. Isaacs, G. S. Bova, A. M. Flanagan, P. A. Futreal, A. G. Lynch, P. F. Chinnery, U. McDermott, M. R. Stratton and P. J. Campbell (2014). "Origins and functional consequences of somatic mitochondrial DNA mutations in human cancer." Elife **3**.

Kalia, L. V. and A. E. Lang (2015). "Parkinson's disease." <u>The Lancet</u> **386**(9996): 896-912.

Kang, D., S. H. Kim and N. Hamasaki (2007). "Mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM): roles in maintenance of mtDNA and cellular functions." <u>Mitochondrion</u> **7**(1-2): 39-44.

Kanki, T., K. Ohgaki, M. Gaspari, C. M. Gustafsson, A. Fukuoh, N. Sasaki, N.
Hamasaki and D. Kang (2004). "Architectural role of mitochondrial transcription factor A in maintenance of human mitochondrial DNA." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> 24(22): 9823-9834.
Karuppagounder, S. S., H. Xu, Q. Shi, L. H. Chen, S. Pedrini, D. Pechman, H. Baker, M. F. Beal, S. E. Gandy and G. E. Gibson (2009). "Thiamine deficiency induces oxidative stress and exacerbates the plaque pathology in Alzheimer's mouse model." <u>Neurobiol Aging 30(10)</u>: 1587-1600.

Kasamatsu, H., D. L. Robberson and J. Vinograd (1971). "A novel closed-circular mitochondrial DNA with properties of a replicating intermediate." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U</u> <u>S A</u> **68**(9): 2252-2257.

Kasamatsu, H. and J. Vinograd (1973). "Unidirectionality of replication in mouse mitochondrial DNA." <u>Nat New Biol</u> **241**(108): 103-105.

Kasiviswanathan, R., T. R. Collins and W. C. Copeland (2012). "The interface of transcription and DNA replication in the mitochondria." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1819**(9-10): 970-978.

Kaufman, B. A., N. Durisic, J. M. Mativetsky, S. Costantino, M. A. Hancock, P. Grutter and E. A. Shoubridge (2007). "The mitochondrial transcription factor TFAM coordinates the assembly of multiple DNA molecules into nucleoid-like structures." <u>Mol Biol Cell</u> **18**(9): 3225-3236.

Kayalar, C., J. Rosing and P. D. Boyer (1977). "An alternating site sequence for oxidative phosphorylation suggested by measurement of substrate binding patterns and exchange reaction inhibitions." J Biol Chem **252**(8): 2486-2491.

Keeney, P. M., J. Xie, R. A. Capaldi and J. P. Bennett, Jr. (2006). "Parkinson's disease brain mitochondrial complex I has oxidatively damaged subunits and is functionally impaired and misassembled." J Neurosci **26**(19): 5256-5264.

Kemppainen, K. K., J. Rinne, A. Sriram, M. Lakanmaa, A. Zeb, T. Tuomela, A. Popplestone, S. Singh, A. Sanz, P. Rustin and H. T. Jacobs (2014). "Expression of alternative oxidase in Drosophila ameliorates diverse phenotypes due to cytochrome oxidase deficiency." <u>Human Molecular Genetics</u> **23**(8): 2078-2093.

Khakh, B. S. and G. Burnstock (2009). "The double life of ATP." <u>Sci Am</u> **301**(6): 84-90, 92.

Kim, E. K. and E. J. Choi (2010). "Pathological roles of MAPK signaling pathways in human diseases." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1802**(4): 396-405.

Kim, K., Y. S. Lee, D. Harris, K. Nakahara and R. W. Carthew (2006). "The RNAi pathway initiated by Dicer-2 in Drosophila." <u>Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol</u> **71**: 39-44.

Kim, S. H., R. Vlkolinsky, N. Cairns, M. Fountoulakis and G. Lubec (2001). "The reduction of NADH." <u>Life Sciences</u> **68**(24): 2741-2750.

Kim, Y., J. Park, S. Kim, S. Song, S. K. Kwon, S. H. Lee, T. Kitada, J. M. Kim and J. Chung (2008). "PINK1 controls mitochondrial localization of Parkin through direct phosphorylation." <u>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</u> **377**(3): 975-980.

Klambt, C. (1993). "The Drosophila gene pointed encodes two ETS-like proteins which are involved in the development of the midline glial cells." <u>Development</u> **117**(1): 163-176.

Klambt, C., L. Glazer and B. Z. Shilo (1992). "breathless, a Drosophila FGF receptor homolog, is essential for migration of tracheal and specific midline glial cells." <u>Genes</u> <u>Dev</u> **6**(9): 1668-1678.

Klegeris, A., S. Pelech, B. I. Giasson, J. Maguire, H. Zhang, E. G. McGeer and P. L. McGeer (2008). " α -Synuclein activates stress signaling protein kinases in THP-1 cells and microglia." <u>Neurobiology of Aging</u> **29**(5): 739-752.

Klein, C. and A. Westenberger (2012). "Genetics of Parkinson's disease." <u>Cold Spring</u> <u>Harb Perspect Med</u> **2**(1): a008888.

Knowles, H. J., R. R. Raval, A. L. Harris and P. J. Ratcliffe (2003). "Effect of ascorbate on the activity of hypoxia-inducible factor in cancer cells." <u>Cancer Res</u> **63**(8): 1764-1768.

Koh, Y. H., E. Popova, U. Thomas, L. C. Griffith and V. Budnik (1999). "Regulation of DLG Localization at Synapses by CaMKII-Dependent Phosphorylation." <u>Cell</u> **98**(3): 353-363.

Koopman, W. J., F. Distelmaier, J. A. Smeitink and P. H. Willems (2013). "OXPHOS mutations and neurodegeneration." <u>EMBO J</u> **32**(1): 9-29.

Korwitz, A., C. Merkwirth, R. Richter-Dennerlein, S. E. Troder, H. G. Sprenger, P. M. Quiros, C. Lopez-Otin, E. I. Rugarli and T. Langer (2016). "Loss of OMA1 delays neurodegeneration by preventing stress-induced OPA1 processing in mitochondria." J <u>Cell Biol</u> **212**(2): 157-166.

Krause, F., N. H. Reifschneider, S. Goto and N. A. Dencher (2005). "Active oligomeric ATP synthases in mammalian mitochondria." <u>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</u> **329**(2): 583-590.

Kruse, S. E., W. C. Watt, D. J. Marcinek, R. P. Kapur, K. A. Schenkman and R. D. Palmiter (2008). "Mice with mitochondrial complex I deficiency develop a fatal encephalomyopathy." <u>Cell Metab</u> **7**(4): 312-320.

Kukat, C. and N. G. Larsson (2013). "mtDNA makes a U-turn for the mitochondrial nucleoid." <u>Trends Cell Biol</u> **23**(9): 457-463.

Kunkele, K. P., S. Heins, M. Dembowski, F. E. Nargang, R. Benz, M. Thieffry, J. Walz, R. Lill, S. Nussberger and W. Neupert (1998). "The preprotein translocation channel of the outer membrane of mitochondria." <u>Cell</u> **93**(6): 1009-1019.

Kurihara, T., P. D. Westenskow, M. L. Gantner, Y. Usui, A. Schultz, S. Bravo, E. Aguilar, C. Wittgrove, M. Friedlander, L. P. Paris, E. Chew, G. Siuzdak and M. Friedlander (2016). "Hypoxia-induced metabolic stress in retinal pigment epithelial cells is sufficient to induce photoreceptor degeneration." <u>Elife</u> **5**.

Lai, Z. C. and G. M. Rubin (1992). "Negative control of photoreceptor development in Drosophila by the product of the yan gene, an ETS domain protein." <u>Cell</u> **70**(4): 609-620.

Laker, R. C., P. Xu, K. A. Ryall, A. Sujkowski, B. M. Kenwood, K. H. Chain, M. Zhang, M. A. Royal, K. L. Hoehn, M. Driscoll, P. N. Adler, R. J. Wessells, J. J. Saucerman and Z. Yan (2014). "A novel MitoTimer reporter gene for mitochondrial content, structure, stress, and damage in vivo." J Biol Chem 289(17): 12005-12015. Lander, H. M., D. P. Hajjar, B. L. Hempstead, U. A. Mirza, B. T. Chait, S. Campbell and L. A. Quilliam (1997). "A molecular redox switch on p21(ras). Structural basis for the nitric oxide-p21(ras) interaction." J Biol Chem 272(7): 4323-4326.

Lando, D., D. J. Peet, J. J. Gorman, D. A. Whelan, M. L. Whitelaw and R. K. Bruick (2002). "FIH-1 is an asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that regulates the transcriptional activity of hypoxia-inducible factor." <u>Genes & Development</u> **16**(12): 1466-1471. Lane, N. and W. Martin (2010). "The energetics of genome complexity." <u>Nature</u> **467**(7318): 929-934.

Langston, J. W., P. Ballard, J. W. Tetrud and I. Irwin (1983). "Chronic Parkinsonism in humans due to a product of meperidine-analog synthesis." <u>Science</u> **219**(4587): 979-980. Lanning, Nathan J., Brendan D. Looyenga, Audra L. Kauffman, Natalie M. Niemi, J. Sudderth, Ralph J. DeBerardinis and Jeffrey P. MacKeigan "A Mitochondrial RNAi Screen Defines Cellular Bioenergetic Determinants and Identifies an Adenylate Kinase as a Key Regulator of ATP Levels." <u>Cell Reports</u> **7**(3): 907-917.

Larsson, N. G., J. Wang, H. Wilhelmsson, A. Oldfors, P. Rustin, M. Lewandoski, G. S. Barsh and D. A. Clayton (1998). "Mitochondrial transcription factor A is necessary for mtDNA maintenance and embryogenesis in mice." <u>Nat Genet</u> **18**(3): 231-236.

Latorre-Pellicer, A., R. Moreno-Loshuertos, A. V. Lechuga-Vieco, F. Sanchez-Cabo, C. Torroja, R. Acin-Perez, E. Calvo, E. Aix, A. Gonzalez-Guerra, A. Logan, M. L. Bernad-Miana, E. Romanos, R. Cruz, S. Cogliati, B. Sobrino, A. Carracedo, A. Perez-Martos, P. Fernandez-Silva, J. Ruiz-Cabello, M. P. Murphy, I. Flores, J. Vazquez and J. A. Enriquez (2016). "Mitochondrial and nuclear DNA matching shapes metabolism and healthy ageing." <u>Nature</u>.

Lavara-Culebras, E. and N. Paricio (2007). "Drosophila DJ-1 mutants are sensitive to oxidative stress and show reduced lifespan and motor deficits." <u>Gene</u> **400**(1–2): 158-165.

Lavista-Llanos, S., L. Centanin, M. Irisarri, D. M. Russo, J. M. Gleadle, S. N. Bocca, M. Muzzopappa, P. J. Ratcliffe and P. Wappner (2002). "Control of the hypoxic response in Drosophila melanogaster by the basic helix-loop-helix PAS protein similar." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **22**(19): 6842-6853.

Lee, H. J., S. Y. Shin, C. Choi, Y. H. Lee and S. J. Lee (2002). "Formation and removal of alpha-synuclein aggregates in cells exposed to mitochondrial inhibitors." <u>J Biol</u> <u>Chem</u> **277**(7): 5411-5417.

Lee, I. C., A. W. El-Hattab, J. Wang, F. Y. Li, S. W. Weng, W. J. Craigen and L. J. Wong (2012). "SURF1-associated Leigh syndrome: a case series and novel mutations." <u>Hum Mutat</u> **33**(8): 1192-1200.

Lee, K. A., B. Kim, H. You and W. J. Lee (2015). "Uracil-induced signaling pathways for DUOX-dependent gut immunity." <u>Fly (Austin)</u> **9**(3): 115-120.

Lee, T. and L. Luo (1999). "Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker for Studies of Gene Function in Neuronal Morphogenesis." <u>Neuron</u> **22**(3): 451-461.

Lefai, E., M. Calleja, I. Ruiz de Mena, A. T. Lagina, 3rd, L. S. Kaguni and R. Garesse (2000). "Overexpression of the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase gamma results in depletion of mitochondrial DNA in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Mol Gen Genet</u> **264**(1-2): 37-46.

Levkowitz, G., H. Waterman, S. A. Ettenberg, M. Katz, A. Y. Tsygankov, I. Alroy, S. Lavi, K. Iwai, Y. Reiss, A. Ciechanover, S. Lipkowitz and Y. Yarden (1999). "Ubiquitin ligase activity and tyrosine phosphorylation underlie suppression of growth factor signaling by c-Cbl/Sli-1." <u>Mol Cell</u> **4**(6): 1029-1040.

Lewis, S. C., L. F. Uchiyama and J. Nunnari (2016). "ER-mitochondria contacts couple mtDNA synthesis with mitochondrial division in human cells." <u>Science</u> **353**(6296): aaf5549.

Lezi, E. and R. H. Swerdlow (2012). "Mitochondria in neurodegeneration." <u>Adv Exp</u> <u>Med Biol</u> 942: 269-286.

Li, J., H. Y. Shuai, E. Gylfe and A. Tengholm (2013). "Oscillations of sub-membrane ATP in glucose-stimulated beta cells depend on negative feedback from Ca(2+)." <u>Diabetologia</u> **56**(7): 1577-1586.

Li, X. B., J. D. Gu and Q. H. Zhou (2015). "Review of aerobic glycolysis and its key enzymes - new targets for lung cancer therapy." <u>Thorac Cancer</u> 6(1): 17-24.

Li, Y., D. Padmanabha, L. B. Gentile, C. I. Dumur, R. B. Beckstead and K. D. Baker (2013). "HIF- and non-HIF-regulated hypoxic responses require the estrogen-related receptor in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>PLoS Genet</u> **9**(1): e1003230.

Liao, T. S., G. B. Call, P. Guptan, A. Cespedes, J. Marshall, K. Yackle, E. Owusu-Ansah, S. Mandal, Q. A. Fang, G. L. Goodstein, W. Kim and U. Banerjee (2006). "An efficient genetic screen in Drosophila to identify nuclear-encoded genes with mitochondrial function." <u>Genetics</u> **174**(1): 525-533.

Liao, X. S., W. C. Small, P. A. Srere and R. A. Butow (1991). "Intramitochondrial functions regulate nonmitochondrial citrate synthase (CIT2) expression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae." Mol Cell Biol **11**(1): 38-46.

Lill, R. (2009). "Function and biogenesis of iron-sulphur proteins." <u>Nature</u> **460**(7257): 831-838.

Lillig, C. H., C. Berndt and A. Holmgren (2008). "Glutaredoxin systems." <u>Biochimica</u> <u>et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects</u> **1780**(11): 1304-1317.

Liou, G.-Y. and P. Storz (2010). "Reactive oxygen species in cancer." <u>Free radical</u> research **44**(5): 10.3109/10715761003667554.

Littleton, J. T., E. R. Chapman, R. Kreber, M. B. Garment, S. D. Carlson and B. Ganetzky (1998). "Temperature-sensitive paralytic mutations demonstrate that synaptic exocytosis requires SNARE complex assembly and disassembly." <u>Neuron</u> **21**(2): 401-413.

Liu, L. and M. C. Simon (2004). "Regulation of transcription and translation by hypoxia." <u>Cancer Biol Ther</u> **3**(6): 492-497.

Liu, S., T. Sawada, S. Lee, W. Yu, G. Silverio, P. Alapatt, I. Millan, A. Shen, W. Saxton, T. Kanao, R. Takahashi, N. Hattori, Y. Imai and B. Lu (2012). "Parkinson's

disease-associated kinase PINK1 regulates Miro protein level and axonal transport of mitochondria." <u>PLoS Genet</u> **8**(3): e1002537.

Liu, W., R. Gnanasambandam, J. Benjamin, G. Kaur, P. B. Getman, A. J. Siegel, R. D. Shortridge and S. Singh (2007). "Mutations in cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIa cause neurodegeneration and motor dysfunction in Drosophila." <u>Genetics</u> **176**(2): 937-946. Liu, Z. and R. A. Butow (2006). "Mitochondrial retrograde signaling." <u>Annu Rev Genet</u> **40**: 159-185.

Logan, A., I. G. Shabalina, T. A. Prime, S. Rogatti, A. V. Kalinovich, R. C. Hartley, R. C. Budd, B. Cannon and M. P. Murphy (2014). "In vivo levels of mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide increase with age in mtDNA mutator mice." <u>Aging Cell</u> **13**(4): 765-768.

Luan, H., W. C. Lemon, N. C. Peabody, J. B. Pohl, P. K. Zelensky, D. Wang, M. N. Nitabach, T. C. Holmes and B. H. White (2006). "Functional dissection of a neuronal network required for cuticle tanning and wing expansion in Drosophila." <u>J Neurosci</u> **26**(2): 573-584.

Luo, Y., J. D. Bond and V. M. Ingram (1997). "Compromised mitochondrial function leads to increased cytosolic calcium and to activation of MAP kinases." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **94**(18): 9705-9710.

Luo, Y. and D. B. DeFranco (2006). "Opposing roles for ERK1/2 in neuronal oxidative toxicity: distinct mechanisms of ERK1/2 action at early versus late phases of oxidative stress." J Biol Chem **281**(24): 16436-16442.

Lynch, M. and G. K. Marinov (2016). "Reply to Lane and Martin: Mitochondria do not boost the bioenergetic capacity of eukaryotic cells." <u>Proceedings of the National</u> <u>Academy of Sciences</u> **113**(6): E667-E668.

Ma, H., H. Xu and P. H. O'Farrell (2014). "Transmission of mitochondrial mutations and action of purifying selection in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Nat Genet</u> **46**(4): 393-397.

Ma, X., M. Jin, Y. Cai, H. Xia, K. Long, J. Liu, Q. Yu and J. Yuan (2011). "Mitochondrial Electron Transport Chain Complex III Is Required for Antimycin A to Inhibit Autophagy." <u>Chemistry & biology</u> **18**(11): 1474-1481.

MacAskill, A. F., J. E. Rinholm, A. E. Twelvetrees, I. L. Arancibia-Carcamo, J. Muir, A. Fransson, P. Aspenstrom, D. Attwell and J. T. Kittler (2009). "Miro1 Is a Calcium Sensor for Glutamate Receptor-Dependent Localization of Mitochondria at Synapses." <u>Neuron</u> **61**(4): 541-555.

MacKenzie, E. D., M. A. Selak, D. A. Tennant, L. J. Payne, S. Crosby, C. M. Frederiksen, D. G. Watson and E. Gottlieb (2007). "Cell-permeating alpha-ketoglutarate derivatives alleviate pseudohypoxia in succinate dehydrogenase-deficient cells." <u>Mol</u> <u>Cell Biol</u> **27**(9): 3282-3289.

Mahr, A. and H. Aberle (2006). "The expression pattern of the Drosophila vesicular glutamate transporter: a marker protein for motoneurons and glutamatergic centers in the brain." <u>Gene Expr Patterns</u> 6(3): 299-309.

Majmundar, A. J., W. J. Wong and M. C. Simon (2010). "Hypoxia-inducible factors and the response to hypoxic stress." <u>Mol Cell</u> **40**(2): 294-309.

Malka, F., O. Guillery, C. Cifuentes-Diaz, E. Guillou, P. Belenguer, A. Lombes and M. Rojo (2005). "Separate fusion of outer and inner mitochondrial membranes." <u>EMBO</u> <u>Rep</u> **6**(9): 853-859.

Manczak, M., B. S. Park, Y. Jung and P. H. Reddy (2004). "Differential expression of oxidative phosphorylation genes in patients with Alzheimer's disease: implications for early mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative damage." <u>Neuromolecular Med</u> **5**(2): 147-162.

Mandal, S., P. Guptan, E. Owusu-Ansah and U. Banerjee (2005). "Mitochondrial regulation of cell cycle progression during development as revealed by the tenured mutation in Drosophila." Dev Cell 9(6): 843-854.

Maniura-Weber, K., S. Goffart, H. L. Garstka, J. Montoya and R. J. Wiesner (2004). "Transient overexpression of mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) is sufficient to stimulate mitochondrial DNA transcription, but not sufficient to increase mtDNA copy number in cultured cells." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **32**(20): 6015-6027.

Martin, H., C. Eckerskorn, F. Gartner, J. Rassow, F. Lottspeich and N. Pfanner (1998). "The yeast mitochondrial intermembrane space: purification and analysis of two distinct fractions." <u>Anal Biochem</u> **265**(1): 123-128.

Martin, J., K. Mahlke and N. Pfanner (1991). "Role of an energized inner membrane in mitochondrial protein import. Delta psi drives the movement of presequences." <u>J Biol</u> <u>Chem</u> **266**(27): 18051-18057.

Martin, W. and M. Muller (1998). "The hydrogen hypothesis for the first eukaryote." <u>Nature</u> **392**(6671): 37-41.

Masoud, G. N. and W. Li (2015). "HIF-1alpha pathway: role, regulation and intervention for cancer therapy." <u>Acta Pharm Sin B</u> **5**(5): 378-389.

Masoud, G. N. and W. Li (2015). "HIF-1 α pathway: role, regulation and intervention for cancer therapy." <u>Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B</u> **5**(5): 378-389.

Masters, B. S., L. L. Stohl and D. A. Clayton (1987). "Yeast mitochondrial RNA polymerase is homologous to those encoded by bacteriophages T3 and T7." <u>Cell</u> **51**(1): 89-99.

Maurer, I., S. Zierz and H. J. Moller (2000). "A selective defect of cytochrome c oxidase is present in brain of Alzheimer disease patients." <u>Neurobiol Aging</u> **21**(3): 455-462.

Mauvezin, C., M. Orpinell, V. A. Francis, F. Mansilla, J. Duran, V. Ribas, M. Palacin, P. Boya, A. A. Teleman and A. Zorzano (2010). "The nuclear cofactor DOR regulates autophagy in mammalian and Drosophila cells." <u>EMBO Rep</u> **11**(1): 37-44.

Maxwell, P. H., M. S. Wiesener, G. W. Chang, S. C. Clifford, E. C. Vaux, M. E. Cockman, C. C. Wykoff, C. W. Pugh, E. R. Maher and P. J. Ratcliffe (1999). "The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis." <u>Nature</u> **399**(6733): 271-275.

McCammon, M. T., C. B. Epstein, B. Przybyla-Zawislak, L. McAlister-Henn and R. A. Butow (2003). "Global transcription analysis of Krebs tricarboxylic acid cycle mutants reveals an alternating pattern of gene expression and effects on hypoxic and oxidative genes." <u>Mol Biol Cell</u> **14**(3): 958-972.

McCulloch, V. and G. S. Shadel (2003). "Human mitochondrial transcription factor B1 interacts with the C-terminal activation region of h-mtTFA and stimulates transcription independently of its RNA methyltransferase activity." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> 23(16): 5816-5824. McKenzie, M., M. Lazarou, D. R. Thorburn and M. T. Ryan (2006). "Mitochondrial respiratory chain supercomplexes are destabilized in Barth Syndrome patients." <u>J Mol Biol</u> 361(3): 462-469.

Melser, S., Etienne H. Chatelain, J. Lavie, W. Mahfouf, C. Jose, E. Obre, S. Goorden, M. Priault, Y. Elgersma, Hamid R. Rezvani, R. Rossignol and G. Bénard (2013). "Rheb Regulates Mitophagy Induced by Mitochondrial Energetic Status." <u>Cell Metabolism</u> **17**(5): 719-730.

Menger, K. E., A. M. James, H. M. Cocheme, M. E. Harbour, E. T. Chouchani, S. Ding, I. M. Fearnley, L. Partridge and M. P. Murphy (2015). "Fasting, but Not Aging, Dramatically Alters the Redox Status of Cysteine Residues on Proteins in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Cell Rep</u> **11**(12): 1856-1865.

Menzies, F. M., S. C. Yenisetti and K. T. Min (2005). "Roles of Drosophila DJ-1 in survival of dopaminergic neurons and oxidative stress." <u>Curr Biol</u> **15**(17): 1578-1582.

Mick, David U., S. Dennerlein, H. Wiese, R. Reinhold, D. Pacheu-Grau, I. Lorenzi, F. Sasarman, W. Weraarpachai, Eric A. Shoubridge, B. Warscheid and P. Rehling "MITRAC Links Mitochondrial Protein Translocation to Respiratory-Chain Assembly and Translational Regulation." <u>Cell</u> **151**(7): 1528-1541.

Milan, M., F. J. Diaz-Benjumea and S. M. Cohen (1998). "Beadex encodes an LMO protein that regulates Apterous LIM-homeodomain activity in Drosophila wing development: a model for LMO oncogene function." <u>Genes Dev</u> **12**(18): 2912-2920. Miller, F. J., F. L. Rosenfeldt, C. Zhang, A. W. Linnane and P. Nagley (2003). "Precise determination of mitochondrial DNA copy number in human skeletal and cardiac muscle by a PCR-based assay: lack of change of copy number with age." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **31**(11): e61.

Milton, V. J., H. E. Jarrett, K. Gowers, S. Chalak, L. Briggs, I. M. Robinson and S. T. Sweeney (2011). "Oxidative stress induces overgrowth of the Drosophila

neuromuscular junction." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **108**(42): 17521-17526. Mishra, P. and D. C. Chan (2016). "Metabolic regulation of mitochondrial dynamics." <u>J</u> Cell Biol **212**(4): 379-387.

Mitchell, P. (1961). "Coupling of phosphorylation to electron and hydrogen transfer by a chemi-osmotic type of mechanism." <u>Nature</u> **191**: 144-148.

Miyadera, H., H. Amino, A. Hiraishi, H. Taka, K. Murayama, H. Miyoshi, K. Sakamoto, N. Ishii, S. Hekimi and K. Kita (2001). "Altered quinone biosynthesis in the long-lived clk-1 mutants of Caenorhabditis elegans." J Biol Chem **276**(11): 7713-7716. Miyako, K., T. Irie, T. Muta, S. Umeda, Y. Kai, T. Fujiwara, K. Takeshige and D. Kang (1999). "1-Methyl-4-phenylpyridinium ion (MPP+) selectively inhibits the replication of mitochondrial DNA." Eur J Biochem **259**(1-2): 412-418.

Mockett, R. J., B. H. Sohal and R. S. Sohal (2010). "Expression of multiple copies of mitochondrially targeted catalase or genomic Mn superoxide dismutase transgenes does not extend the life span of Drosophila melanogaster." Free Radical Biology and Medicine **49**(12): 2028-2031.

Moore, D. J., V. L. Dawson and T. M. Dawson (2006). "Lessons from Drosophila Models of DJ-1 Deficiency." <u>Sci. Aging Knowl. Environ.</u> **2006**(2): pe2-.

Morava, E., R. J. Rodenburg, F. Hol, M. de Vries, A. Janssen, L. van den Heuvel, L. Nijtmans and J. Smeitink (2006). "Clinical and biochemical characteristics in patients with a high mutant load of the mitochondrial T8993G/C mutations." <u>Am J Med Genet A</u> **140**(8): 863-868.

Murphy, M. P. (2009). "How mitochondria produce reactive oxygen species." <u>Biochem</u> J **417**(1): 1-13.

Murphy, M. P., M. J. Krueger, S. O. Sablin, R. R. Ramsay and T. P. Singer (1995). "Inhibition of complex I by hydrophobic analogues of N-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+) and the use of an ion-selective electrode to measure their accumulation by mitochondria and electron-transport particles." <u>Biochem J</u> **306** (**Pt 2**): 359-365.

Nakamura, M., D. Baldwin, S. Hannaford, J. Palka and C. Montell (2002). "Defective proboscis extension response (DPR), a member of the Ig superfamily required for the gustatory response to salt." <u>J Neurosci</u> **22**(9): 3463-3472.

Narendra, D., A. Tanaka, D.-F. Suen and R. J. Youle (2008). "Parkin is recruited selectively to impaired mitochondria and promotes their autophagy." <u>The Journal of Cell Biology</u> **183**(5): 795-803.

Nargund, A. M., M. W. Pellegrino, C. J. Fiorese, B. M. Baker and C. M. Haynes (2012). "Mitochondrial import efficiency of ATFS-1 regulates mitochondrial UPR activation." <u>Science</u> **337**(6094): 587-590.

Nass, S. and M. M. Nass (1963). "Intramitochondrial Fibers with DNA Characteristics. Ii. Enzymatic and Other Hydrolytic Treatments." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **19**: 613-629. Nemoto, S., M. M. Fergusson and T. Finkel (2005). "SIRT1 functionally interacts with the metabolic regulator and transcriptional coactivator PGC-1{alpha}." J Biol Chem **280**(16): 16456-16460.

Ngo, H. B., J. T. Kaiser and D. C. Chan (2011). "The mitochondrial transcription and packaging factor Tfam imposes a U-turn on mitochondrial DNA." <u>Nat Struct Mol Biol</u> **18**(11): 1290-1296.

Ni, J. Q., M. Markstein, R. Binari, B. Pfeiffer, L. P. Liu, C. Villalta, M. Booker, L. Perkins and N. Perrimon (2008). "Vector and parameters for targeted transgenic RNA interference in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Nat Methods</u> **5**(1): 49-51.

Niemeyer, B. A. and T. L. Schwarz (2000). "SNAP-24, a Drosophila SNAP-25 homologue on granule membranes, is a putative mediator of secretion and granule-granule fusion in salivary glands." Journal of Cell Science **113**(22): 4055-4064.

Niikura, T., E. Sidahmed, C. Hirata-Fukae, P. S. Aisen and Y. Matsuoka (2011). "A humanin derivative reduces amyloid beta accumulation and ameliorates memory deficit in triple transgenic mice." <u>PLoS One</u> 6(1): e16259.

Nusslein-Volhard, C. and E. Wieschaus (1980). "Mutations affecting segment number and polarity in Drosophila." <u>Nature</u> **287**(5785): 795-801.

O'Hagan, H. M., W. Wang, S. Sen, C. Destefano Shields, S. S. Lee, Y. W. Zhang, E. G. Clements, Y. Cai, L. Van Neste, H. Easwaran, R. A. Casero, C. L. Sears and S. B.

Baylin (2011). "Oxidative damage targets complexes containing DNA

methyltransferases, SIRT1, and polycomb members to promoter CpG Islands." <u>Cancer</u> <u>Cell</u> **20**(5): 606-619.

O'Neill, E. M., I. Rebay, R. Tjian and G. M. Rubin (1994). "The activities of two Etsrelated transcription factors required for drosophila eye development are modulated by the Ras/MAPK pathway." <u>Cell</u> **78**(1): 137-147.

O'Neill, E. M., I. Rebay, R. Tjian and G. M. Rubin (1994). "The activities of two Etsrelated transcription factors required for Drosophila eye development are modulated by the Ras/MAPK pathway." <u>Cell</u> **78**(1): 137-147.

Ohno, N., G. J. Kidd, D. Mahad, S. Kiryu-Seo, A. Avishai, H. Komuro and B. D. Trapp (2011). "Myelination and axonal electrical activity modulate the distribution and motility of mitochondria at CNS nodes of Ranvier." J Neurosci **31**(20): 7249-7258.

Ohshiro, T., Y. Emori and K. Saigo (2002). "Ligand-dependent activation of breathless FGF receptor gene in Drosophila developing trachea." <u>Mech Dev</u> **114**(1-2): 3-11. Okado-Matsumoto, A. and I. Fridovich (2001). "Subcellular distribution of superoxide dismutases (SOD) in rat liver: Cu,Zn-SOD in mitochondria." <u>J Biol Chem</u> **276**(42):

38388-38393.

Orr, W. C. and R. S. Sohal (1994). "Extension of life-span by overexpression of superoxide dismutase and catalase in Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Science</u> **263**(5150): 1128-1130.

Osada, M., S. Imaoka and Y. Funae (2004). "Apigenin suppresses the expression of VEGF, an important factor for angiogenesis, in endothelial cells via degradation of HIF-1alpha protein." <u>FEBS Lett</u> **575**(1-3): 59-63.

Ostergaard, H., A. Henriksen, F. G. Hansen and J. R. Winther (2001). "Shedding light on disulfide bond formation: engineering a redox switch in green fluorescent protein." <u>EMBO J</u> **20**(21): 5853-5862.

Owusu-Ansah, E., W. Song and N. Perrimon (2013). "Muscle mitohormesis promotes longevity via systemic repression of insulin signaling." <u>Cell</u> **155**(3): 699-712. Owusu-Ansah, E., A. Yavari, S. Mandal and U. Banerjee (2008). "Distinct mitochondrial retrograde signals control the G1-S cell cycle checkpoint." <u>Nat Genet</u> **40**(3): 356-361.

Pacelli, C., N. Giguere, M. J. Bourque, M. Levesque, R. S. Slack and L. E. Trudeau (2015). "Elevated Mitochondrial Bioenergetics and Axonal Arborization Size Are Key Contributors to the Vulnerability of Dopamine Neurons." <u>Curr Biol</u> **25**(18): 2349-2360. Palade, G. E. (1953). "An electron microscope study of the mitochondrial structure." <u>J</u> <u>Histochem Cytochem</u> **1**(4): 188-211.

Parikh, V. S., M. M. Morgan, R. Scott, L. S. Clements and R. A. Butow (1987). "The mitochondrial genotype can influence nuclear gene expression in yeast." <u>Science</u> **235**(4788): 576-580.

Parisi, M. A., B. Xu and D. A. Clayton (1993). "A human mitochondrial transcriptional activator can functionally replace a yeast mitochondrial HMG-box protein both in vivo and in vitro." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **13**(3): 1951-1961.

Park, J., S. Y. Kim, G.-H. Cha, S. B. Lee, S. Kim and J. Chung (2005). "Drosophila DJ-1 mutants show oxidative stress-sensitive locomotive dysfunction." <u>Gene</u> **361**: 133-139. Parker, L., J. E. Ellis, M. Q. Nguyen and K. Arora (2006). "The divergent TGF-beta ligand Dawdle utilizes an activin pathway to influence axon guidance in Drosophila." <u>Development</u> **133**(24): 4981-4991.

Parker, W. D., Jr., S. J. Boyson and J. K. Parks (1989). "Abnormalities of the electron transport chain in idiopathic Parkinson's disease." <u>Ann Neurol</u> **26**(6): 719-723. Parsons, C. G., W. Danysz, A. Dekundy and I. Pulte (2013). "Memantine and cholinesterase inhibitors: complementary mechanisms in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease." <u>Neurotox Res</u> **24**(3): 358-369.

Pei, J. J., H. Braak, W. L. An, B. Winblad, R. F. Cowburn, K. Iqbal and I. Grundke-Iqbal (2002). "Up-regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases ERK1/2 and MEK1/2 is associated with the progression of neurofibrillary degeneration in Alzheimer's disease." <u>Brain Res Mol Brain Res</u> **109**(1-2): 45-55.

Pelaez, N., A. Gavalda-Miralles, B. Wang, H. T. Navarro, H. Gudjonson, I. Rebay, A.
R. Dinner, A. K. Katsaggelos, L. A. Amaral and R. W. Carthew (2015). "Dynamics and heterogeneity of a fate determinant during transition towards cell differentiation." <u>Elife</u>
4.

Pequignot, M. O., R. Dey, M. Zeviani, V. Tiranti, C. Godinot, A. Poyau, C. Sue, S. Di Mauro, M. Abitbol and C. Marsac (2001). "Mutations in the SURF1 gene associated with Leigh syndrome and cytochrome C oxidase deficiency." <u>Hum Mutat</u> **17**(5): 374-381.

Pereira, C. F. and C. R. Oliveira (2000). "Oxidative glutamate toxicity involves mitochondrial dysfunction and perturbation of intracellular Ca2+ homeostasis." <u>Neurosci Res</u> **37**(3): 227-236.

Perrin, L., S. Bloyer, C. Ferraz, N. Agrawal, P. Sinha and J. M. Dura (2003). "The leucine zipper motif of the Drosophila AF10 homologue can inhibit PRE-mediated repression: implications for leukemogenic activity of human MLL-AF10 fusions." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **23**(1): 119-130.

Pfanner, N. and W. Neupert (1985). "Transport of proteins into mitochondria: a potassium diffusion potential is able to drive the import of ADP/ATP carrier." <u>EMBO J</u> **4**(11): 2819-2825.

Pianet, I., M. Merle, J. Labouesse and P. Canioni (1991). "Phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance of C6 glioma cells and rat astrocytes. Evidence for a modification of the longitudinal relaxation time of ATP and Pi during glucose starvation." <u>Eur J</u> <u>Biochem</u> **195**(1): 87-95.

Picard, M., J. Zhang, S. Hancock, O. Derbeneva, R. Golhar, P. Golik, S. O'Hearn, S. Levy, P. Potluri, M. Lvova, A. Davila, C. S. Lin, J. C. Perin, E. F. Rappaport, H. Hakonarson, I. A. Trounce, V. Procaccio and D. C. Wallace (2014). "Progressive increase in mtDNA 3243A>G heteroplasmy causes abrupt transcriptional reprogramming." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111(38): E4033-4042.

Pickrell, A. M., M. Pinto, A. Hida and C. T. Moraes (2011). "Striatal dysfunctions associated with mitochondrial DNA damage in dopaminergic neurons in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease." J Neurosci **31**(48): 17649-17658.

Pickrell, Alicia M. and Richard J. Youle "The Roles of PINK1, Parkin, and Mitochondrial Fidelity in Parkinson's Disease." <u>Neuron</u> **85**(2): 257-273. Pitkanen, S. and B. H. Robinson "Mitochondrial complex I deficiency leads to increased production of superoxide radicals and induction of superoxide dismutase." <u>The Journal</u> <u>of Clinical Investigation</u> **98**(2): 345-351.

Pohjoismaki, J. L., S. Wanrooij, A. K. Hyvarinen, S. Goffart, I. J. Holt, J. N. Spelbrink and H. T. Jacobs (2006). "Alterations to the expression level of mitochondrial transcription factor A, TFAM, modify the mode of mitochondrial DNA replication in cultured human cells." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **34**(20): 5815-5828.

Pollard, P. J., J. J. Briere, N. A. Alam, J. Barwell, E. Barclay, N. C. Wortham, T. Hunt, M. Mitchell, S. Olpin, S. J. Moat, I. P. Hargreaves, S. J. Heales, Y. L. Chung, J. R. Griffiths, A. Dalgleish, J. A. McGrath, M. J. Gleeson, S. V. Hodgson, R. Poulsom, P. Rustin and I. P. Tomlinson (2005). "Accumulation of Krebs cycle intermediates and over-expression of HIF1alpha in tumours which result from germline FH and SDH mutations." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **14**(15): 2231-2239.

Pons, R., A. L. Andreu, N. Checcarelli, M. R. Vila, K. Engelstad, C. M. Sue, D. Shungu, R. Haggerty, D. C. de Vivo and S. DiMauro (2004). "Mitochondrial DNA abnormalities and autistic spectrum disorders." <u>J Pediatr</u> **144**(1): 81-85.

Porcelli, A. M., A. Ghelli, C. Ceccarelli, M. Lang, G. Cenacchi, M. Capristo, L. F. Pennisi, I. Morra, E. Ciccarelli, A. Melcarne, A. Bartoletti-Stella, N. Salfi, G. Tallini, A. Martinuzzi, V. Carelli, M. Attimonelli, M. Rugolo, G. Romeo and G. Gasparre (2010). "The genetic and metabolic signature of oncocytic transformation implicates HIF1alpha destabilization." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **19**(6): 1019-1032.

Prezant, T. R., J. V. Agapian, M. C. Bohlman, X. Bu, S. Oztas, W. Q. Qiu, K. S. Arnos, G. A. Cortopassi, L. Jaber, J. I. Rotter and et al. (1993). "Mitochondrial ribosomal RNA mutation associated with both antibiotic-induced and non-syndromic deafness." <u>Nat</u> <u>Genet</u> **4**(3): 289-294.

Puigserver, P., Z. Wu, C. W. Park, R. Graves, M. Wright and B. M. Spiegelman (1998). "A cold-inducible coactivator of nuclear receptors linked to adaptive thermogenesis." <u>Cell</u> **92**(6): 829-839.

Quinlan, C. L., A. L. Orr, I. V. Perevoshchikova, J. R. Treberg, B. A. Ackrell and M. D. Brand (2012). "Mitochondrial complex II can generate reactive oxygen species at high rates in both the forward and reverse reactions." J Biol Chem 287(32): 27255-27264. Quintana, A., S. E. Kruse, R. P. Kapur, E. Sanz and R. D. Palmiter (2010). "Complex I deficiency due to loss of Ndufs4 in the brain results in progressive encephalopathy resembling Leigh syndrome." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107(24): 10996-11001. Quiros, P. M., A. Mottis and J. Auwerx (2016). "Mitonuclear communication in homeostasis and stress." Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 17(4): 213-226.

Raimundo, N., B. E. Baysal and G. S. Shadel "Revisiting the TCA cycle: signaling to tumor formation." <u>Trends in Molecular Medicine</u> **17**(11): 641-649.

Raimundo, N., L. Song, T. E. Shutt, S. E. McKay, J. Cotney, M. X. Guan, T. C. Gilliland, D. Hohuan, J. Santos-Sacchi and G. S. Shadel (2012). "Mitochondrial stress engages E2F1 apoptotic signaling to cause deafness." <u>Cell</u> **148**(4): 716-726.

Ramaiah, A., J. A. Hathaway and D. E. Atkinson (1964). "ADENYLATE AS A METABOLIC REGULATOR. EFFECT ON YEAST PHOSPHOFRUCTOKINASE KINETICS." J Biol Chem 239: 3619-3622.

Ramanan, V. K. and A. J. Saykin (2013). "Pathways to neurodegeneration: mechanistic insights from GWAS in Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and related disorders." Am J Neurodegener Dis **2**(3): 145-175.

Rebay, I. and G. M. Rubin (1995). "Yan functions as a general inhibitor of differentiation and is negatively regulated by activation of the Ras1/MAPK pathway." <u>Cell</u> **81**(6): 857-866.

Rehling, P., K. Brandner and N. Pfanner (2004). "Mitochondrial import and the twinpore translocase." <u>Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol</u> **5**(7): 519-530.

Reinecke, F., J. A. Smeitink and F. H. van der Westhuizen (2009). "OXPHOS gene expression and control in mitochondrial disorders." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1792**(12): 1113-1121.

Reiter, L. T., L. Potocki, S. Chien, M. Gribskov and E. Bier (2001). "A Systematic Analysis of Human Disease-Associated Gene Sequences In Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Genome Research</u> **11**(6): 1114-1125.

Ricci, J.-E., C. Muñoz-Pinedo, P. Fitzgerald, B. Bailly-Maitre, G. A. Perkins, N. Yadava, I. E. Scheffler, M. H. Ellisman and D. R. Green (2004). "Disruption of Mitochondrial Function during Apoptosis Is Mediated by Caspase Cleavage of the p75 Subunit of Complex I of the Electron Transport Chain." <u>Cell</u> **117**(6): 773-786.

Ricci, J. E., R. A. Gottlieb and D. R. Green (2003). "Caspase-mediated loss of mitochondrial function and generation of reactive oxygen species during apoptosis." <u>J</u> <u>Cell Biol</u> **160**(1): 65-75.

Richard, D. E., E. Berra, E. Gothie, D. Roux and J. Pouyssegur (1999). "p42/p44 mitogen-activated protein kinases phosphorylate hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha (HIF-1alpha) and enhance the transcriptional activity of HIF-1." J Biol Chem **274**(46): 32631-32637.

Rivera, M. C. and J. A. Lake (1992). "Evidence that eukaryotes and eocyte prokaryotes are immediate relatives." <u>Science</u> **257**(5066): 74-76.

Rizzuto, R., D. De Stefani, A. Raffaello and C. Mammucari (2012). "Mitochondria as sensors and regulators of calcium signalling." <u>Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol</u> **13**(9): 566-578. Robinson, B. H. (2006). "Lactic acidemia and mitochondrial disease." <u>Mol Genet Metab</u> **89**(1-2): 3-13.

Rogge, R., P. J. Green, J. Urano, S. Horn-Saban, M. Mlodzik, B. Z. Shilo, V. Hartenstein and U. Banerjee (1995). "The role of yan in mediating the choice between cell division and differentiation." <u>Development</u> **121**(12): 3947-3958.

Rohn, J. L., J. V. Patel, B. Neumann, J. Bulkescher, N. McHedlishvili, R. C. McMullan, O. A. Quintero, J. Ellenberg and B. Baum (2014). "Myo19 ensures symmetric partitioning of mitochondria and coupling of mitochondrial segregation to cell division."

Curr Biol 24(21): 2598-2605.

Rohrbaugh, M., E. Ramos, D. Nguyen, M. Price, Y. Wen and Z.-C. Lai (2002). "Notch Activation of yan Expression Is Antagonized by RTK/Pointed Signaling in the Drosophila Eye." <u>Current Biology</u> **12**(7): 576-581.

Rolland, S. G., E. Motori, N. Memar, J. Hench, S. Frank, K. F. Winklhofer and B. Conradt (2013). "Impaired complex IV activity in response to loss of LRPPRC function can be compensated by mitochondrial hyperfusion." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **110**(32): E2967-2976.

Rossano, A. J., A. K. Chouhan and G. T. Macleod (2013). "Genetically encoded pHindicators reveal activity-dependent cytosolic acidification of Drosophila motor nerve termini in vivo." <u>The Journal of Physiology</u> **591**(Pt 7): 1691-1706.

Rouault, T. A. (2015). "Mammalian iron-sulphur proteins: novel insights into biogenesis and function." <u>Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol</u> **16**(1): 45-55.

Rubio-Cosials, A., J. F. Sidow, N. Jimenez-Menendez, P. Fernandez-Millan, J. Montoya, H. T. Jacobs, M. Coll, P. Bernado and M. Sola (2011). "Human mitochondrial transcription factor A induces a U-turn structure in the light strand promoter." <u>Nat</u> <u>Struct Mol Biol</u> **18**(11): 1281-1289.

Rueda, C. B., J. Traba, I. Amigo, I. Llorente-Folch, P. Gonzalez-Sanchez, B. Pardo, J. A. Esteban, A. del Arco and J. Satrustegui (2015). "Mitochondrial ATP-Mg/Pi carrier SCaMC-3/Slc25a23 counteracts PARP-1-dependent fall in mitochondrial ATP caused by excitotoxic insults in neurons." J Neurosci **35**(8): 3566-3581.

Runkel, E. D., S. Liu, R. Baumeister and E. Schulze (2013). "Surveillance-activated defenses block the ROS-induced mitochondrial unfolded protein response." <u>PLoS Genet</u> **9**(3): e1003346.

Rutter, J., D. R. Winge and J. D. Schiffman (2010). "Succinate dehydrogenase – Assembly, regulation and role in human disease." <u>Mitochondrion</u> **10**(4): 393-401. Safavizadeh, N., S. A. Rahmani and M. Zaefizadeh (2013). "Investigation of cytocrom c oxidase gene subunits expression on the Multiple sclerosis." <u>Indian J Hum Genet</u> **19**(1): 18-25.

Saha, S., M. D. Guillily, A. Ferree, J. Lanceta, D. Chan, J. Ghosh, C. H. Hsu, L. Segal, K. Raghavan, K. Matsumoto, N. Hisamoto, T. Kuwahara, T. Iwatsubo, L. Moore, L. Goldstein, M. Cookson and B. Wolozin (2009). "LRRK2 modulates vulnerability to mitochondrial dysfunction in Caenorhabditis elegans." J Neurosci 29(29): 9210-9218.
Sajic, M., V. Mastrolia, C. Y. Lee, D. Trigo, M. Sadeghian, A. J. Mosley, N. A. Gregson, M. R. Duchen and K. J. Smith (2014). "Impulse Conduction Increases Mitochondrial Transport in Adult Mammalian Peripheral Nerves <italic>In Vivo</italic>." PLoS Biol 11(12): e1001754.

Sandra M Cardoso, A. R. E. (2015). "LRRK2 a pivotal player in mitochondrial dynamics and lysosomal clustering: highlights to sporadic Parkinson's disease." Therapeutic Targets for Neurological Diseases **2**.

Sasarman, F., H. Antonicka and E. A. Shoubridge (2008). "The A3243G tRNALeu(UUR) MELAS mutation causes amino acid misincorporation and a combined respiratory chain assembly defect partially suppressed by overexpression of EFTu and EFG2." Human Molecular Genetics **17**(23): 3697-3707.

Sato, M. and K. Sato (2013). "Maternal inheritance of mitochondrial DNA by diverse mechanisms to eliminate paternal mitochondrial DNA." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1833**(8): 1979-1984.

Schagger, H. and K. Pfeiffer (2000). "Supercomplexes in the respiratory chains of yeast and mammalian mitochondria." <u>EMBO J</u> **19**(8): 1777-1783.

Schapira, A. H., J. M. Cooper, D. Dexter, P. Jenner, J. B. Clark and C. D. Marsden (1989). "Mitochondrial complex I deficiency in Parkinson's disease." <u>Lancet</u> 1(8649): 1269.

Schapira, A. H. V. "Mitochondrial disease." <u>The Lancet</u> **368**(9529): 70-82. Schatz, G., E. Haslbrunner and H. Tuppy (1964). "Deoxyribonucleic Acid Associated with Yeast Mitochondria." Biochem Biophys Res Commun **15**(2): 127-132.

Schieber, M. and N. S. Chandel (2014). "ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress." <u>Curr Biol</u> **24**(10): R453-462.

Schriner, S. E., N. J. Linford, G. M. Martin, P. Treuting, C. E. Ogburn, M. Emond, P. E. Coskun, W. Ladiges, N. Wolf, H. Van Remmen, D. C. Wallace and P. S. Rabinovitch (2005). "Extension of murine life span by overexpression of catalase targeted to mitochondria." <u>Science</u> **308**(5730): 1909-1911.

Schroeder, E. A., N. Raimundo and G. S. Shadel (2013). "Epigenetic silencing mediates mitochondria stress-induced longevity." <u>Cell Metab</u> **17**(6): 954-964.

Schwarz, T. L. (2013). "Mitochondrial trafficking in neurons." <u>Cold Spring Harb</u> <u>Perspect Biol</u> **5**(6).

Selak, M. A., S. M. Armour, E. D. MacKenzie, H. Boulahbel, D. G. Watson, K. D. Mansfield, Y. Pan, M. C. Simon, C. B. Thompson and E. Gottlieb (2005). "Succinate links TCA cycle dysfunction to oncogenesis by inhibiting HIF-alpha prolyl hydroxylase." <u>Cancer Cell</u> **7**(1): 77-85.

Semenza, G. L. (2010). "Oxygen homeostasis." <u>Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:</u> Systems Biology and Medicine **2**(3): 336-361.

Sesaki, H. and R. E. Jensen (2001). "UGO1 encodes an outer membrane protein required for mitochondrial fusion." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **152**(6): 1123-1134.

Sha, D., L. S. Chin and L. Li (2010). "Phosphorylation of parkin by Parkinson diseaselinked kinase PINK1 activates parkin E3 ligase function and NF-kappaB signaling." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **19**(2): 352-363.

Shadel, G. S. (2004). "Coupling the mitochondrial transcription machinery to human disease." <u>Trends Genet</u> 20(10): 513-519.

Shadel, G. S. and T. L. Horvath (2015). "Mitochondrial ROS signaling in organismal homeostasis." <u>Cell **163**(3): 560-569</u>.

Shahni, R., C. M. Cale, G. Anderson, L. D. Osellame, S. Hambleton, T. S. Jacques, Y. Wedatilake, J. W. Taanman, E. Chan, W. Qasim, V. Plagnol, A. Chalasani, M. R. Duchen, K. C. Gilmour and S. Rahman (2015). "Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2 deficiency is a novel disorder of mitochondrial fission." <u>Brain</u> **138**(Pt 10): 2834-2846.

Sheng, B., X. Wang, B. Su, H. G. Lee, G. Casadesus, G. Perry and X. Zhu (2012). "Impaired mitochondrial biogenesis contributes to mitochondrial dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease." J Neurochem **120**(3): 419-429.

Shi, S. Y., S. Y. Lu, T. Sivasubramaniyam, X. S. Revelo, E. P. Cai, C. T. Luk, S. A.
Schroer, P. Patel, R. H. Kim, E. Bombardier, J. Quadrilatero, A. R. Tupling, T. W. Mak,
D. A. Winer and M. Woo (2015). "DJ-1 links muscle ROS production with metabolic reprogramming and systemic energy homeostasis in mice." <u>Nat Commun</u> 6: 7415.
Shoulson, I., D. Oakes, S. Fahn, A. Lang, J. W. Langston, P. LeWitt, C. W. Olanow, J.
B. Penney, C. Tanner, K. Kieburtz and A. Rudolph (2002). "Impact of sustained deprenyl (selegiline) in levodopa-treated Parkinson's disease: a randomized placebocontrolled extension of the deprenyl and tocopherol antioxidative therapy of parkinsonism trial." <u>Ann Neurol</u> 51(5): 604-612.

Simon, M. A., D. D. L. Bowtell, G. S. Dodson, T. R. Laverty and G. M. Rubin (1991). "Ras1 and a putative guanine nucleotide exchange factor perform crucial steps in signaling by the sevenless protein tyrosine kinase." <u>Cell</u> **67**(4): 701-716.

Slack, C., N. Alic, A. Foley, M. Cabecinha, M. P. Hoddinott and L. Partridge (2015). "The Ras-Erk-ETS-Signaling Pathway Is a Drug Target for Longevity." <u>Cell</u> **162**(1): 72-83.

Spang, A., J. H. Saw, S. L. Jorgensen, K. Zaremba-Niedzwiedzka, J. Martijn, A. E. Lind, R. van Eijk, C. Schleper, L. Guy and T. J. G. Ettema (2015). "Complex archaea that bridge the gap between prokaryotes and eukaryotes." <u>Nature</u> **521**(7551): 173-179. Srahna, M., M. Leyssen, C. M. Choi, L. G. Fradkin, J. N. Noordermeer and B. A. Hassan (2006). "A signaling network for patterning of neuronal connectivity in the Drosophila brain." <u>PLoS Biol</u> **4**(11): e348.

St Johnston, D. (2002). "The art and design of genetic screens: Drosophila melanogaster." <u>Nat Rev Genet</u> **3**(3): 176-188.

Stehling, O., A. A. Vashisht, J. Mascarenhas, Z. O. Jonsson, T. Sharma, D. J. Netz, A. J. Pierik, J. A. Wohlschlegel and R. Lill (2012). "MMS19 assembles iron-sulfur proteins required for DNA metabolism and genomic integrity." <u>Science</u> **337**(6091): 195-199. Stein, T. D. and J. A. Johnson (2002). "Lack of neurodegeneration in transgenic mice overexpressing mutant amyloid precursor protein is associated with increased levels of transthyretin and the activation of cell survival pathways." <u>J Neurosci</u> **22**(17): 7380-7388.

Stewart, J. B., C. Freyer, J. L. Elson, A. Wredenberg, Z. Cansu, A. Trifunovic and N. G. Larsson (2008). "Strong purifying selection in transmission of mammalian mitochondrial DNA." <u>PLoS Biol</u> **6**(1): e10.

Stiburek, L., K. Vesela, H. Hansikova, P. Pecina, M. Tesarova, L. Cerna, J. Houstek and J. Zeman (2005). "Tissue-specific cytochrome c oxidase assembly defects due to mutations in SCO2 and SURF1." <u>Biochem J</u> **392**(Pt 3): 625-632.

Storch, A., W. H. Jost, P. Vieregge, J. Spiegel, W. Greulich, J. Durner, T. Muller, A. Kupsch, H. Henningsen, W. H. Oertel, G. Fuchs, W. Kuhn, P. Niklowitz, R. Koch, B. Herting and H. Reichmann (2007). "Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial on symptomatic effects of coenzyme Q(10) in Parkinson disease." <u>Arch Neurol</u> **64**(7): 938-944.

Stowers, R. S., L. J. Megeath, J. Gorska-Andrzejak, I. A. Meinertzhagen and T. L. Schwarz (2002). "Axonal transport of mitochondria to synapses depends on milton, a novel Drosophila protein." <u>Neuron</u> **36**(6): 1063-1077.

Strogolova, V., A. Furness, M. Robb-McGrath, J. Garlich and R. A. Stuart (2012). "Rcf1 and Rcf2, members of the hypoxia-induced gene 1 protein family, are critical components of the mitochondrial cytochrome bc1-cytochrome c oxidase supercomplex." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **32**(8): 1363-1373.

Stros, M., D. Launholt and K. D. Grasser (2007). "The HMG-box: a versatile protein domain occurring in a wide variety of DNA-binding proteins." <u>Cell Mol Life Sci</u> **64**(19-20): 2590-2606.

Sung, H., L. C. Tandarich, K. Nguyen and P. J. Hollenbeck (2016).

"Compartmentalized Regulation of Parkin-Mediated Mitochondrial Quality Control in the Drosophila Nervous System In Vivo." <u>The Journal of Neuroscience</u> **36**(28): 7375-7391.

Surin, A. M., S. Khiroug, L. R. Gorbacheva, B. I. Khodorov, V. G. Pinelis and L.
Khiroug (2012). "Comparative analysis of cytosolic and mitochondrial ATP synthesis in embryonic and postnatal hippocampal neuronal cultures." <u>Front Mol Neurosci</u> 5: 102.
Swerdlow, R. H., J. K. Parks, S. W. Miller, J. B. Tuttle, P. A. Trimmer, J. P. Sheehan, J. P. Bennett, Jr., R. E. Davis and W. D. Parker, Jr. (1996). "Origin and functional consequences of the complex I defect in Parkinson's disease." <u>Ann Neurol</u> 40(4): 663-671.

Taanman, J. W. (1999). "The mitochondrial genome: structure, transcription, translation and replication." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1410**(2): 103-123.

Tantama, M., J. R. Martinez-Francois, R. Mongeon and G. Yellen (2013). "Imaging energy status in live cells with a fluorescent biosensor of the intracellular ATP-to-ADP ratio." <u>Nat Commun</u> **4**: 2550.

Tantama, M., J. R. Martínez-François, R. Mongeon and G. Yellen (2013). "Imaging energy status in live cells with a fluorescent biosensor of the intracellular ATP-to-ADP ratio." <u>Nat Commun</u> **4**.

Tarca, A. L., R. Romero and S. Draghici (2006). "Analysis of microarray experiments of gene expression profiling." <u>Am J Obstet Gynecol</u> **195**(2): 373-388.

Taylor, R. W. and D. M. Turnbull (2005). "Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human disease." Nat Rev Genet 6(5): 389-402.

Taylor, S. W., E. Fahy and S. S. Ghosh (2003). "Global organellar proteomics." <u>Trends</u> <u>Biotechnol</u> **21**(2): 82-88.

Taylor, S. W., E. Fahy, B. Zhang, G. M. Glenn, D. E. Warnock, S. Wiley, A. N.

Murphy, S. P. Gaucher, R. A. Capaldi, B. W. Gibson and S. S. Ghosh (2003).

"Characterization of the human heart mitochondrial proteome." <u>Nat Biotechnol</u> **21**(3): 281-286.

Teleman, A. A., Y. W. Chen and S. M. Cohen (2005). "4E-BP functions as a metabolic brake used under stress conditions but not during normal growth." <u>Genes Dev</u> **19**(16): 1844-1848.

Teng, L., C. Kou, C. Lu, J. Xu, J. Xie, J. Lu, Y. Liu, Z. Wang and D. Wang (2014). "Involvement of the ERK pathway in the protective effects of glycyrrhizic acid against the MPP+-induced apoptosis of dopaminergic neuronal cells." <u>Int J Mol Med</u> **34**(3): 742-748.

Terskikh, A., A. Fradkov, G. Ermakova, A. Zaraisky, P. Tan, A. V. Kajava, X. Zhao, S. Lukyanov, M. Matz, S. Kim, I. Weissman and P. Siebert (2000). ""Fluorescent timer": protein that changes color with time." <u>Science</u> **290**(5496): 1585-1588.

Tieu, Q. and J. Nunnari (2000). "Mdv1p is a WD repeat protein that interacts with the dynamin-related GTPase, Dnm1p, to trigger mitochondrial division." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **151**(2): 353-366.

Tieu, Q., V. Okreglak, K. Naylor and J. Nunnari (2002). "The WD repeat protein, Mdv1p, functions as a molecular adaptor by interacting with Dnm1p and Fis1p during mitochondrial fission." <u>J Cell Biol</u> **158**(3): 445-452.

Tiranti, V., A. Savoia, F. Forti, M. F. D'Apolito, M. Centra, M. Rocchi and M. Zeviani (1997). "Identification of the gene encoding the human mitochondrial RNA polymerase (h-mtRPOL) by cyberscreening of the Expressed Sequence Tags database." <u>Hum Mol</u> <u>Genet</u> **6**(4): 615-625.

Titov, D. V., V. Cracan, R. P. Goodman, J. Peng, Z. Grabarek and V. K. Mootha (2016). "Complementation of mitochondrial electron transport chain by manipulation of the NAD+/NADH ratio." <u>Science</u> **352**(6282): 231-235.

Toivonen, J. M., K. M. O'Dell, N. Petit, S. C. Irvine, G. K. Knight, M. Lehtonen, M. Longmuir, K. Luoto, S. Touraille, Z. Wang, S. Alziari, Z. H. Shah and H. T. Jacobs (2001). "Technical knockout, a Drosophila model of mitochondrial deafness." <u>Genetics</u> **159**(1): 241-254.

Tootle, T. L., P. S. Lee and I. Rebay (2003). "CRM1-mediated nuclear export and regulated activity of the Receptor Tyrosine Kinase antagonist YAN require specific interactions with MAE." <u>Development</u> **130**(5): 845-857.

Tretter, L., I. Sipos and V. Adam-Vizi (2004). "Initiation of Neuronal Damage by Complex I Deficiency and Oxidative Stress in Parkinson's Disease." <u>Neurochemical Research</u> **29**(3): 569-577.

Trifunovic, A., A. Hansson, A. Wredenberg, A. T. Rovio, E. Dufour, I. Khvorostov, J. N. Spelbrink, R. Wibom, H. T. Jacobs and N. G. Larsson (2005). "Somatic mtDNA mutations cause aging phenotypes without affecting reactive oxygen species production." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **102**(50): 17993-17998.

Truscott, K. N., P. Kovermann, A. Geissler, A. Merlin, M. Meijer, A. J. Driessen, J. Rassow, N. Pfanner and R. Wagner (2001). "A presequence- and voltage-sensitive channel of the mitochondrial preprotein translocase formed by Tim23." <u>Nat Struct Biol</u> **8**(12): 1074-1082.

Tufi, R., S. Gandhi, I. P. de Castro, S. Lehmann, P. R. Angelova, D. Dinsdale, E. Deas, H. Plun-Favreau, P. Nicotera, A. Y. Abramov, A. E. Willis, G. R. Mallucci, S. H. Y. Loh and L. M. Martins (2014). "Enhancing nucleotide metabolism protects against mitochondrial dysfunction and neurodegeneration in a PINK1 model of Parkinson's disease." <u>Nat Cell Biol</u> **16**(2): 157-166.

Tuppen, H. A., E. L. Blakely, D. M. Turnbull and R. W. Taylor (2010). "Mitochondrial DNA mutations and human disease." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1797**(2): 113-128.

Tyynismaa, H., K. P. Mjosund, S. Wanrooij, I. Lappalainen, E. Ylikallio, A. Jalanko, J. N. Spelbrink, A. Paetau and A. Suomalainen (2005). "Mutant mitochondrial helicase Twinkle causes multiple mtDNA deletions and a late-onset mitochondrial disease in mice." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **102**(49): 17687-17692.

Tyynismaa, H. and A. Suomalainen (2009). "Mouse models of mitochondrial DNA defects and their relevance for human disease." <u>EMBO Reports</u> **10**(2): 137-143. Vaarmann, A., M. Mandel, A. Zeb, P. Wareski, J. Liiv, M. Kuum, E. Antsov, M. Liiv, M. Cagalinec, V. Choubey and A. Kaasik (2016). "Mitochondrial biogenesis is required for axonal growth." <u>Development</u> **143**(11): 1981-1992.
van den Ouweland, J. M. W., H. H. P. J. Lemkes, W. Ruitenbeek, L. A. Sandkuijl, M. F. de Vijlder, P. A. A. Struyvenberg, J. J. P. van de Kamp and J. A. Maassen (1992). "Mutation in mitochondrial tRNALeu(UUR) gene in a large pedigree with maternally transmitted type II diabetes mellitus and deafness." <u>Nat Genet</u> **1**(5): 368-371. Van Raamsdonk, J. M. and S. Hekimi (2009). "Deletion of the mitochondrial superoxide dismutase sod-2 extends lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans." <u>PLoS Genet</u> **5**(2): e1000361.

van der Walt, J. M., K. K. Nicodemus, E. R. Martin, W. K. Scott, M. A. Nance, R. L. Watts, J. P. Hubble, J. L. Haines, W. C. Koller, K. Lyons, R. Pahwa, M. B. Stern, A. Colcher, B. C. Hiner, J. Jankovic, W. G. Ondo, F. H. Allen Jr, C. G. Goetz, G. W. Small, F. Mastaglia, J. M. Stajich, A. C. McLaurin, L. T. Middleton, B. L. Scott, D. E. Schmechel, M. A. Pericak-Vance and J. M. Vance (2003). "Mitochondrial Polymorphisms Significantly Reduce the Risk of Parkinson Disease." <u>American Journal of Human Genetics</u> **72**(4): 804-811.

Vanden Broeck, L., M. Naval-Sánchez, Y. Adachi, D. Diaper, P. Dourlen, J. Chapuis, G. Kleinberger, M. Gistelinck, C. Van Broeckhoven, J.-C. Lambert, F. Hirth, S. Aerts, P. Callaerts and B. Dermaut (2013). "TDP-43 Loss-of-Function Causes Neuronal Loss Due to Defective Steroid Receptor-Mediated Gene Program Switching in Drosophila." <u>Cell Reports 3(1): 160-172</u>.

Varoqueaux, F., S. Jamain and N. Brose (2004). "Neuroligin 2 is exclusively localized to inhibitory synapses." <u>European Journal of Cell Biology</u> **83**(9): 449-456.

Vartak, R., C. A. Porras and Y. Bai (2013). "Respiratory supercomplexes: structure, function and assembly." <u>Protein Cell</u> **4**(8): 582-590.

Vattem, K. M. and R. C. Wek (2004). "Reinitiation involving upstream ORFs regulates ATF4 mRNA translation in mammalian cells." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **101**(31): 11269-11274.

Veech, R. L., J. W. Lawson, N. W. Cornell and H. A. Krebs (1979). "Cytosolic phosphorylation potential." J Biol Chem **254**(14): 6538-6547.

Vermulst, M., J. H. Bielas, G. C. Kujoth, W. C. Ladiges, P. S. Rabinovitch, T. A. Prolla and L. A. Loeb (2007). "Mitochondrial point mutations do not limit the natural lifespan of mice." <u>Nat Genet</u> **39**(4): 540-543.

Vincent, A., L. Briggs, G. F. J. Chatwin, E. Emery, R. Tomlins, M. Oswald, C. A. Middleton, G. J. O. Evans, S. T. Sweeney and C. J. H. Elliott (2012). "parkin-induced defects in neurophysiology and locomotion are generated by metabolic dysfunction and not oxidative stress." <u>Human Molecular Genetics</u> **21**(8): 1760-1769.

Visch, H. J., G. A. Rutter, W. J. Koopman, J. B. Koenderink, S. Verkaart, T. de Groot, A. Varadi, K. J. Mitchell, L. P. van den Heuvel, J. A. Smeitink and P. H. Willems (2004). "Inhibition of mitochondrial Na+-Ca2+ exchange restores agonist-induced ATP production and Ca2+ handling in human complex I deficiency." J Biol Chem **279**(39): 40328-40336.

Vissers, J. H. A., S. A. Manning, A. Kulkarni and K. F. Harvey (2016). "A Drosophila RNAi library modulates Hippo pathway-dependent tissue growth." <u>Nat Commun</u> **7**. Vivekanand, P., T. L. Tootle and I. Rebay (2004). "MAE, a dual regulator of the EGFR signaling pathway, is a target of the Ets transcription factors PNT and YAN." <u>Mech Dev</u> **121**(12): 1469-1479.

Vogel, R. O., R. J. Janssen, C. Ugalde, M. Grovenstein, R. J. Huijbens, H. J. Visch, L.
P. van den Heuvel, P. H. Willems, M. Zeviani, J. A. Smeitink and L. G. Nijtmans (2005). "Human mitochondrial complex I assembly is mediated by NDUFAF1." <u>FEBS J</u> 272(20): 5317-5326.

von Heijne, G. (1986). "Mitochondrial targeting sequences may form amphiphilic helices." <u>EMBO J</u> **5**(6): 1335-1342.

Wan, B., K. F. LaNoue, J. Y. Cheung and R. C. Scaduto, Jr. (1989). "Regulation of citric acid cycle by calcium." <u>J Biol Chem</u> **264**(23): 13430-13439.

Wang, C. and R. J. Youle (2009). "The role of mitochondria in apoptosis*." <u>Annu Rev</u> <u>Genet</u> **43**: 95-118.

Wang, H. and R. Morais (1997). "Up-regulation of nuclear genes in response to inhibition of mitochondrial DNA expression in chicken cells." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1352**(3): 325-334.

Wang, J., Y. Cao, Y. Chen, Y. Chen, P. Gardner and D. F. Steiner (2006). "Pancreatic β cells lack a low glucose and O2-inducible mitochondrial protein that augments cell survival." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u> **103**(28): 10636-10641. Wang, J., S. Xiong, C. Xie, W. R. Markesbery and M. A. Lovell (2005). "Increased oxidative damage in nuclear and mitochondrial DNA in Alzheimer's disease." <u>J</u> Neurochem **93**(4): 953-962.

Wang, P., S. Saraswati, Z. Guan, C. J. Watkins, R. J. Wurtman and J. T. Littleton (2004). "A Drosophila temperature-sensitive seizure mutant in phosphoglycerate kinase disrupts ATP generation and alters synaptic function." <u>J Neurosci</u> **24**(19): 4518-4529. Wang, X., B. Su, S. L. Siedlak, P. I. Moreira, H. Fujioka, Y. Wang, G. Casadesus and X. Zhu (2008). "Amyloid-beta overproduction causes abnormal mitochondrial dynamics via differential modulation of mitochondrial fission/fusion proteins." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **105**(49): 19318-19323.

Wang, X., D. Winter, G. Ashrafi, J. Schlehe, Y. L. Wong, D. Selkoe, S. Rice, J. Steen,
M. J. LaVoie and T. L. Schwarz (2011). "PINK1 and Parkin target Miro for phosphorylation and degradation to arrest mitochondrial motility." <u>Cell</u> 147(4): 893-906.

Waterham, H. R., J. Koster, C. W. van Roermund, P. A. Mooyer, R. J. Wanders and J. V. Leonard (2007). "A lethal defect of mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission." <u>N Engl J</u> <u>Med</u> **356**(17): 1736-1741.

Waterhouse, N. J., J. C. Goldstein, O. von Ahsen, M. Schuler, D. D. Newmeyer and D. R. Green (2001). "Cytochrome c maintains mitochondrial transmembrane potential and ATP generation after outer mitochondrial membrane permeabilization during the apoptotic process." J Cell Biol **153**(2): 319-328.

Webber, J. L., J. Zhang, L. Cote, P. Vivekanand, X. Ni, J. Zhou, N. Negre, R. W. Carthew, K. P. White and I. Rebay (2013). "The relationship between long-range chromatin occupancy and polymerization of the Drosophila ETS family transcriptional repressor Yan." <u>Genetics</u> **193**(2): 633-649.

West, A. P., W. Khoury-Hanold, M. Staron, M. C. Tal, C. M. Pineda, S. M. Lang, M. Bestwick, B. A. Duguay, N. Raimundo, D. A. MacDuff, S. M. Kaech, J. R. Smiley, R. E. Means, A. Iwasaki and G. S. Shadel (2015). "Mitochondrial DNA stress primes the antiviral innate immune response." <u>Nature</u> **520**(7548): 553-557.

Westermann, B. (2010). "Mitochondrial fusion and fission in cell life and death." <u>Nat</u> <u>Rev Mol Cell Biol</u> **11**(12): 872-884.

White, Jacqueline K., A.-K. Gerdin, Natasha A. Karp, E. Ryder, M. Buljan, James N.
Bussell, J. Salisbury, S. Clare, Neil J. Ingham, C. Podrini, R. Houghton, J. Estabel,
Joanna R. Bottomley, David G. Melvin, D. Sunter, Niels C. Adams, L. Baker, C.
Barnes, R. Beveridge, E. Cambridge, D. Carragher, P. Chana, K. Clarke, Y. Hooks, N.
Igosheva, O. Ismail, H. Jackson, L. Kane, R. Lacey, David T. Lafont, M. Lucas, S.
Maguire, K. McGill, Rebecca E. McIntyre, S. Messager, L. Mottram, L. Mulderrig, S.
Pearson, Hayley J. Protheroe, L.-A. Roberson, G. Salsbury, M. Sanderson, D. Sanger,
C. Shannon, Paul C. Thompson, E. Tuck, Valerie E. Vancollie, L. Brackenbury, W.
Bushell, R. Cook, P. Dalvi, D. Gleeson, B. Habib, M. Hardy, K. Liakath-Ali, E.
Miklejewska, S. Price, D. Sethi, E. Trenchard, D. von Schiller, S. Vyas, Anthony P.
West, J. Woodward, E. Wynn, A. Evans, D. Gannon, M. Griffiths, S. Holroyd, V. Iyer,

C. Kipp, M. Lewis, W. Li, D. Oakley, D. Richardson, D. Smedley, C. Agu, J. Bryant, L. Delaney, Nadia I. Gueorguieva, H. Tharagonnet, Anne J. Townsend, D. Biggs, E. Brown, A. Collinson, C.-E. Dumeau, E. Grau, S. Harrison, J. Harrison, Catherine E. Ingle, H. Kundi, A. Madich, D. Mayhew, T. Metcalf, S. Newman, J. Pass, L. Pearson, H. Reynolds, C. Sinclair, H. Wardle-Jones, M. Woods, L. Alexander, T. Brown, F. Flack, C. Frost, N. Griggs, S. Hrnciarova, A. Kirton, J. McDermott, C. Rogerson, G. White, P. Zielezinski, T. DiTommaso, A. Edwards, E. Heath, Mary A. Mahajan, B. Yalcin, D. Tannahill, D. W. Logan, D. G. MacArthur, J. Flint, V. B. Mahajan, S. H. Tsang, I. Smyth, F. M. Watt, W. C. Skarnes, G. Dougan, D. J. Adams, R. Ramirez-Solis, A. Bradley and K. P. Steel (2013). "Genome-wide Generation and Systematic Phenotyping of Knockout Mice Reveals New Roles for Many Genes." <u>Cell</u> 154(2): 452-464.

Woodson, J. D. and J. Chory (2008). "Coordination of gene expression between organellar and nuclear genomes." <u>Nat Rev Genet</u> **9**(5): 383-395.

Xia, Z., M. Dickens, J. Raingeaud, R. J. Davis and M. E. Greenberg (1995). "Opposing effects of ERK and JNK-p38 MAP kinases on apoptosis." <u>Science</u> **270**(5240): 1326-1331.

Xiong, H., D. Wang, L. Chen, Y. S. Choo, H. Ma, C. Tang, K. Xia, W. Jiang, Z. Ronai, X. Zhuang and Z. Zhang (2009). "Parkin, PINK1, and DJ-1 form a ubiquitin E3 ligase complex promoting unfolded protein degradation." J Clin Invest **119**(3): 650-660. Xu, B. and D. A. Clayton (1996). "RNA-DNA hybrid formation at the human mitochondrial heavy-strand origin ceases at replication start sites: an implication for RNA-DNA hybrids serving as primers." <u>EMBO J</u> **15**(12): 3135-3143.

Xu, H., S. Z. DeLuca and P. H. O'Farrell (2008). "Manipulating the metazoan mitochondrial genome with targeted restriction enzymes." <u>Science</u> **321**(5888): 575-577. Yamamoto-Hino, M. and S. Goto (2013). "In Vivo RNAi-Based Screens: Studies in Model Organisms." <u>Genes</u> **4**(4): 646-665.

Yan, H., M. L. Chin, E. A. Horvath, E. A. Kane and C. M. Pfleger (2009). "Impairment of ubiquitylation by mutation in Drosophila E1 promotes both cell-autonomous and non-cell-autonomous Ras-ERK activation in vivo." J Cell Sci 122(Pt 9): 1461-1470. Yang, D., Y. Oyaizu, H. Oyaizu, G. J. Olsen and C. R. Woese (1985). "Mitochondrial origins." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82(13): 4443-4447.

Yang, M. Y., M. Bowmaker, A. Reyes, L. Vergani, P. Angeli, E. Gringeri, H. T. Jacobs and I. J. Holt (2002). "Biased incorporation of ribonucleotides on the mitochondrial L-strand accounts for apparent strand-asymmetric DNA replication." <u>Cell</u> **111**(4): 495-505.

Yang, W. and S. Hekimi (2010). "A mitochondrial superoxide signal triggers increased longevity in Caenorhabditis elegans." <u>PLoS Biol</u> **8**(12): e1000556.

Yang, Y., Y. Ouyang, L. Yang, M. F. Beal, A. McQuibban, H. Vogel and B. Lu (2008). "Pink1 regulates mitochondrial dynamics through interaction with the fission/fusion machinery." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of</u> <u>America</u> **105**(19): 7070-7075.

Yang, Z., Q. Zhang, J. Ge and Z. Tan (2008). "Protective effects of tetramethylpyrazine on rat retinal cell cultures." <u>Neurochem Int</u> **52**(6): 1176-1187.

Yasukawa, T., A. Reyes, T. J. Cluett, M. Y. Yang, M. Bowmaker, H. T. Jacobs and I. J. Holt (2006). "Replication of vertebrate mitochondrial DNA entails transient

ribonucleotide incorporation throughout the lagging strand." <u>EMBO J</u> **25**(22): 5358-5371.

Yen, K., C. Lee, H. Mehta and P. Cohen (2013). "The emerging role of the mitochondrial-derived peptide humanin in stress resistance." J Mol Endocrinol **50**(1): R11-19.

Yildiz, O., C. Kalthoff, S. Raunser and W. Kuhlbrandt (2007). "Structure of GlnK1 with bound effectors indicates regulatory mechanism for ammonia uptake." <u>EMBO J</u> **26**(2): 589-599.

Ylikallio, E., H. Tyynismaa, H. Tsutsui, T. Ide and A. Suomalainen (2010). "High mitochondrial DNA copy number has detrimental effects in mice." <u>Hum Mol Genet</u> **19**(13): 2695-2705.

Zalman, L. S., H. Nikaido and Y. Kagawa (1980). "Mitochondrial outer membrane contains a protein producing nonspecific diffusion channels." <u>J Biol Chem</u> **255**(5): 1771-1774.

Zara, V., L. Conte and B. L. Trumpower (2009). "Evidence that the assembly of the yeast cytochrome bc1 complex involves the formation of a large core structure in the inner mitochondrial membrane." <u>FEBS J</u> **276**(7): 1900-1914.

Zhang, W., W. Zhang, Z. Li, J. Hao, Z. Zhang, L. Liu, N. Mao, J. Miao and L. Zhang (2012). "S14G-humanin improves cognitive deficits and reduces amyloid pathology in the middle-aged APPswe/PS1dE9 mice." <u>Pharmacol Biochem Behav</u> **100**(3): 361-369. Zhu, C. C., J. Q. Boone, P. A. Jensen, S. Hanna, L. Podemski, J. Locke, C. Q. Doe and M. B. O'Connor (2008). "Drosophila Activin- and the Activin-like product Dawdle function redundantly to regulate proliferation in the larval brain." <u>Development</u> **135**(3): 513-521.

Zhu, J.-H., S. M. Kulich, T. D. Oury and C. T. Chu (2002). "Cytoplasmic Aggregates of Phosphorylated Extracellular Signal-Regulated Protein Kinases in Lewy Body Diseases." <u>The American Journal of Pathology</u> **161**(6): 2087-2098.

Zhu, J. H., S. M. Kulich, T. D. Oury and C. T. Chu (2002). "Cytoplasmic aggregates of phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases in Lewy body diseases." <u>Am J Pathol</u> **161**(6): 2087-2098.

Zhu, X., R. J. Castellani, A. Takeda, A. Nunomura, C. S. Atwood, G. Perry and M. A. Smith (2001). "Differential activation of neuronal ERK, JNK/SAPK and p38 in Alzheimer disease: the 'two hit' hypothesis." <u>Mechanisms of Ageing and Development</u> **123**(1): 39-46.

Zhu, X., H. G. Lee, A. K. Raina, G. Perry and M. A. Smith (2002). "The role of mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways in Alzheimer's disease." <u>Neurosignals</u> **11**(5): 270-281.

Ziello, J. E., I. S. Jovin and Y. Huang (2007). "Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)-1 regulatory pathway and its potential for therapeutic intervention in malignancy and ischemia." <u>Yale J Biol Med</u> **80**(2): 51-60.

Zordan, M. A., P. Cisotto, C. Benna, A. Agostino, G. Rizzo, A. Piccin, M. Pegoraro, F. Sandrelli, G. Perini, G. Tognon, R. De Caro, S. Peron, T. T. Kronnie, A. Megighian, C. Reggiani, M. Zeviani and R. Costa (2006). "Post-transcriptional silencing and functional characterization of the Drosophila melanogaster homolog of human Surf1." <u>Genetics</u> **172**(1): 229-241.

9.1 Microarray appendices

9.1.1 The number of genes changed in each microarray condition, compared to control, p < 0.05 with fold change cut-offs of >1.5 and >2.

Genes with oppositely regulated probes were - fold change > 1.5 (CI) CG43102, mamo, mod(mdg4), (CIII) CG42594, CG42755, CG9650, (CIV) CG32369, Cyp18a1, (CV), Meltrin and - fold change > 2 (CI) CG43102, mamo, mod(mdg4), (CIII) CG42594, CG42755, (CIV) CG32369, Cyp18a1, (CV), Meltrin.

		Increased		Decreased		Only changed in this condition		Ν	umbe co	er of ge ommon	enes in
	Number of genes	number of genes	%	number of genes	%	number of genes	%	С Ш	C IV	C V	TFAM
CI	382	202	53	180	47	202	53	67	49	83	63
CIII	525	430	82	95	18	305	58		83	120	87
CIV	328	206	63	122	37	192	59			75	66
CV	539	438	81	101	19	268	50				154
TFAM	393	172	44	221	56	178	45				

p < 0.05 fold change > 1.5

p < 0.05 fold change > 2

		Increased Decreased		Decreased		Only changed in this condition		N	umbe co	er of ge ommon	enes in
	number of genes	number of genes	%	number of genes	%	number of genes	%	CI II	CI V	CV	TFAM
CI	275	145	53	130	47	173	63	48	37	44	45
СШ	418	299	72	119	28	253	61		64	92	65
CIV	274	89	32	185	68	172	63			62	51
CV	360	213	59	147	41	185	51				90
TFAM	276	118	43	158	57	136	49				

9.1.2 Correlation genes significantly changed in common *ND-75* RNAi and *UQCR-14* RNAi and *ND-75* RNAi and *COX5B* RNAi, without outlier removed.

R is Pearson's r, axis represent fold change.





9.1.3 Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed in *ND-75* RNAi, compared to control.

Showing the 15 most significant clusters

Enrichment Score: 1.623548522727936				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0004364~glutathione transferase				
activity	6	1.20	0.01	4.63
IPR010987:Glutathione S-transferase, C-				
terminal-like	6	1.20	0.01	4.29
IPR004045:Glutathione S-transferase, N-				
terminal	6	1.20	0.01	4.29
IPR004046:Glutathione S-transferase, C-				
terminal	6	1.20	0.01	4.29
IPR017933:Glutathione S-				
transferase/chloride channel, C-terminal	6	1.20	0.01	4.08
GO:0016765~transferase activity,				
transferring alkyl or aryl (other than methyl)				
groups	7	1.40	0.02	3.30
dme00980:Metabolism of xenobiotics by				
cytochrome P450	7	1.40	0.03	2.80
dme00982:Drug metabolism	7	1.40	0.04	2.72
dme00480:Glutathione metabolism	6	1.20	0.10	2.40
Posttranslational modification, protein				
turnover, chaperones	9	1.80	0.11	1.78
Enrichment Score: 1.2903890522275716				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0009074~aromatic amino acid family				
catabolic process	3	0.60	0.02	13.31
GO:0009063~cellular amino acid catabolic				
process	5	1.00	0.03	4.03
GO:0019439~aromatic compound catabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.03	9.98

GO:0009072~aromatic amino acid family				
metabolic process	4	0.80	0.04	5.32
GO:0009310~amine catabolic process	5	1.00	0.04	3.70
GO:0016054~organic acid catabolic process	5	1.00	0.09	2.96
GO:0046395~carboxylic acid catabolic				
process	5	1.00	0.09	2.96
GO:0046700~heterocycle catabolic process	3	0.60	0.18	3.80
Enrichment Score: 1.2825815160736065				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0007602~phototransduction	8	1.60	0.00	4.84
GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus	8	1.60	0.00	4.26
GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus	8	1.60	0.00	3.87
GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus	8	1.60	0.01	3.55
GO:0051606~detection of stimulus	9	1.80	0.02	2.55
GO:0016027~inaD signalling complex	3	0.60	0.03	10.48
GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus	13	2.59	0.04	1.93
GO:0009416~response to light stimulus	8	1.60	0.04	2.51
GO:0016028~rhabdomere	4	0.80	0.04	5.03
GO:0009314~response to radiation	8	1.60	0.07	2.17
GO:0016056~rhodopsin mediated				
signalling pathway	3	0.60	0.13	4.70
GO:0044463~cell projection part	4	0.80	0.19	2.62
GO:0007601~visual perception	5	1.00	0.25	1.96
GO:0050953~sensory perception of light				
stimulus	5	1.00	0.26	1.93
GO:0042995~cell projection	6	1.20	0.27	1.70
GO:0019897~extrinsic to plasma membrane	3	0.60	0.30	2.70
vision	4	0.80	0.34	1.92
sensory transduction	5	1.00	0.76	0.98
Enrichment Score: 1.270008938219629				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0019898~extrinsic to membrane	12	2.40	0.01	2.47
GO:0055114~oxidation reduction	35	6.99	0.01	1.55

iron	14	2.79	0.01	2.14
GO:0005624~membrane fraction	9	1.80	0.02	2.70
GO:0005506~iron ion binding	17	3.39	0.02	1.86
GO:0005626~insoluble fraction	9	1.80	0.02	2.60
GO:0009055~electron carrier activity	14	2.79	0.02	1.99
GO:0000267~cell fraction	9	1.80	0.02	2.53
oxidoreductase	31	6.19	0.02	1.50
GO:0005783~endoplasmic reticulum	16	3.19	0.03	1.81
metal ion-binding site:Iron (heme axial				
ligand)	8	1.60	0.05	2.32
GO:0042598~vesicular fraction	7	1.40	0.06	2.50
GO:0005792~microsome	7	1.40	0.06	2.50
Monooxygenase	8	1.60	0.07	2.18
microsome	7	1.40	0.07	2.37
IPR001128:Cytochrome P450	7	1.40	0.09	2.24
IPR017973:Cytochrome P450, C-terminal				
region	7	1.40	0.09	2.24
Secondary metabolites biosynthesis,				
transport, and catabolism	7	1.40	0.11	2.07
IPR017972:Cytochrome P450, conserved				
site	7	1.40	0.11	2.14
dme00903:Limonene and pinene				
degradation	7	1.40	0.11	2.08
heme	8	1.60	0.11	1.96
GO:0020037~heme binding	9	1.80	0.12	1.83
GO:0046906~tetrapyrrole binding	9	1.80	0.12	1.83
IPR002401:Cytochrome P450, E-class,				
group I	6	1.20	0.15	2.15
endoplasmic reticulum	8	1.60	0.30	1.47
PIRSF000051:cytochrome P450 CYP3A5	3	0.60	0.40	2.16
Enrichment Score: 1.0610818389982286				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0046394~carboxylic acid biosynthetic				
process	8	1.60	0.01	3.13

GO:0016053~organic acid biosynthetic				
process	8	1.60	0.01	3.13
GO:0006633~fatty acid biosynthetic				
process	4	0.80	0.11	3.44
GO:0008652~cellular amino acid				
biosynthetic process	4	0.80	0.12	3.33
GO:0006631~fatty acid metabolic process	5	1.00	0.14	2.51
GO:0009309~amine biosynthetic process	4	0.80	0.29	2.13
GO:0008610~lipid biosynthetic process	6	1.20	0.45	1.37
Enrichment Score: 0.95966/1/640/14/				F 11
			DV/ 1	Fold
	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0042802~identical protein binding	10	2.00	0.07	1.93
GO:0046983~protein dimerization activity	8	1.60	0.08	2.14
GO:0042803~protein homodimerization				
activity	4	0.80	0.23	2.42
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913				
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913				Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core	Count 14	%	PValue 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.76
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase	Count 14 5	% 2.79 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc	Count 14 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase	Count 14 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity	Count 14 5 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active	Count 14 5 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 501
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term	Count 14 5 5 5 3 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 2.33 Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term GO:0019748~secondary metabolic process	Count 14 5 5 5 3 3 Count 9	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60 % 1.80	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue 0.00	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 2.33 Fold Enrichment 3.52
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term GO:0019748~secondary metabolic process GO:0042440~pigment metabolic process	Count 14 5 5 5 3 3 Count 9 6	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60 % 1.80 1.20	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue 0.00 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.32 2.33 Fold Enrichment 3.52 2.71
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term GO:0019748~secondary metabolic process GO:0042440~pigment metabolic process GO:0048066~pigmentation during	Count 14 5 5 5 3 3 Count 9 6	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60 % 1.80 1.20	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue 0.00 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.32 2.33 Fold Enrichment 3.52 2.71

GO:0008055~ocellus pigment biosynthetic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0033060~ocellus pigmentation	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0046152~ommochrome metabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0046158~ocellus pigment metabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0006727~ommochrome biosynthetic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0043473~pigmentation	5	1.00	0.15	2.42
GO:0046148~pigment biosynthetic process	4	0.80	0.28	2.17
GO:0006726~eye pigment biosynthetic				
process	3	0.60	0.28	2.85
GO:0042441~eye pigment metabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.30	2.75
GO:0048069~eye pigmentation	3	0.60	0.35	2.42
GO:0018130~heterocycle biosynthetic				
process	4	0.80	0.40	1.75
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018				
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018				Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus	Count 13	%	PValue 0.04	Fold Enrichment 1.93
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response	Count 13 3	% 2.59 0.60	PValue 0.04 0.20	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat	Count 13 3 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature	Count 13 3 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term	Count 13 3 5 5 Count	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 PValue	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 PValue 0.06	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23 1.21
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane transmembrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52 43	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38 8.58	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08 0.29	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23 1.21 1.12
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane transmembrane membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52 43 46	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38 10.38 8.58 9.18	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08 0.29 0.33	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23 1.21 1.12 1.09
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane transmembrane membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52 43 46	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38 8.58 9.18	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08 0.29 0.33	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23 1.21 1.12 1.09

				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006817~phosphate transport	3	0.60	0.03	11.41
GO:0008509~anion transmembrane				
transporter activity	7	1.40	0.11	2.11
GO:0015698~inorganic anion transport	3	0.60	0.16	4.20
GO:0015114~phosphate transmembrane				
transporter activity	3	0.60	0.24	3.21
GO:0006820~anion transport	4	0.80	0.26	2.27
GO:0015103~inorganic anion				
transmembrane transporter activity	3	0.60	0.40	2.19
Enrichment Score: 0.749753045344896				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0046914~transition metal ion binding	58	11.58	0.08	1.20
GO:0043169~cation binding	73	14.57	0.09	1.17
GO:0043167~ion binding	73	14.57	0.10	1.16
GO:0046872~metal ion binding	70	13.97	0.12	1.15
metal-binding	36	7.19	0.17	1.22
GO:0008270~zinc ion binding	38	7.58	0.49	1.04
zinc	20	3.99	0.75	0.93
Enrichment Score: 0.7460443800081359				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR001092:Basic helix-loop-helix				
dimerisation region bHLH	5	1.00	0.17	2.30
IPR011598:Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding	4	0.80	0.18	2.72
SM00353:HLH	5	1.00	0.19	2.20
Enrichment Score: 0.7183541899645033				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
binding site:ATP	10	2.00	0.01	2.72
active site:Proton acceptor	10	2.00	0.02	2.42

GO:0006468~protein amino acid				
phosphorylation	17	3.39	0.03	1.80
kinase	15	2.99	0.03	1.86
GO:0004674~protein serine/threonine				
kinase activity	13	2.59	0.05	1.82
IPR000719:Protein kinase, core	14	2.79	0.05	1.76
GO:0004672~protein kinase activity	16	3.19	0.07	1.62
domain:Protein kinase	7	1.40	0.08	2.32
serine/threonine-protein kinase	10	2.00	0.11	1.79
IPR002290:Serine/threonine protein kinase	8	1.60	0.12	1.94
IPR008271:Serine/threonine protein kinase,				
active site	10	2.00	0.12	1.74
GO:0016310~phosphorylation	21	4.19	0.12	1.38
IPR017441:Protein kinase, ATP binding				
site	11	2.20	0.13	1.64
SM00220:S_TKc	8	1.60	0.14	1.85
nucleotide phosphate-binding region:ATP	9	1.80	0.40	1.27
IPR017442:Serine/threonine protein kinase-				
related	8	1.60	0.42	1.29
GO:0006793~phosphorus metabolic process	22	4.39	0.42	1.11
GO:0006796~phosphate metabolic process	22	4.39	0.42	1.11
nucleotide-binding	29	5.79	0.51	1.04
GO:0000166~nucleotide binding	44	8.78	0.52	1.02
GO:0017076~purine nucleotide binding	36	7.19	0.54	1.02
GO:0032555~purine ribonucleotide binding	33	6.59	0.59	1.00
GO:0032553~ribonucleotide binding	33	6.59	0.59	1.00
GO:0030554~adenyl nucleotide binding	28	5.59	0.68	0.96
GO:0001883~purine nucleoside binding	28	5.59	0.69	0.96
atp-binding	21	4.19	0.70	0.95
GO:0001882~nucleoside binding	28	5.59	0.71	0.95
GO:0005524~ATP binding	25	4.99	0.74	0.94
GO:0032559~adenyl ribonucleotide binding	25	4.99	0.74	0.93
Enrichment Score: 0.7093783711719821				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment

IPR015609:Molecular chaperone, heat				
shock protein, Hsp40, DnaJ	4	0.80	0.13	3.20
IPR001623:Heat shock protein DnaJ, N-				
terminal	4	0.80	0.19	2.65
SM00271:DnaJ	4	0.80	0.21	2.53
GO:0031072~heat shock protein binding	4	0.80	0.21	2.53
GO:0051082~unfolded protein binding	5	1.00	0.27	1.88
Enrichment Score: 0.6247087996073746				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006457~protein folding	8	1.60	0.13	1.88
GO:0051082~unfolded protein binding	5	1.00	0.27	1.88
Chaperone	4	0.80	0.38	1.82

9.1.4 Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed in *UQCR-14* RNAi, compared to control.

Showing the most significant 15 clusters

Enrichment Score: 1.623548522727936				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0004364~glutathione transferase				
activity	6	1.20	0.01	4.63
IPR010987:Glutathione S-transferase, C-				
terminal-like	6	1.20	0.01	4.29
IPR004045:Glutathione S-transferase, N-				
terminal	6	1.20	0.01	4.29
IPR004046:Glutathione S-transferase, C-				
terminal	6	1.20	0.01	4.29
IPR017933:Glutathione S-				
transferase/chloride channel, C-terminal	6	1.20	0.01	4.08
GO:0016765~transferase activity,				
transferring alkyl or aryl (other than methyl)				
groups	7	1.40	0.02	3.30
dme00980:Metabolism of xenobiotics by				
cytochrome P450	7	1.40	0.03	2.80
dme00982:Drug metabolism	7	1.40	0.04	2.72
dme00480:Glutathione metabolism	6	1.20	0.10	2.40
Posttranslational modification, protein				
turnover, chaperones	9	1.80	0.11	1.78
Enrichment Score: 1.2903890522275716				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0009074~aromatic amino acid family				
catabolic process	3	0.60	0.02	13.31
GO:0009063~cellular amino acid catabolic				
process	5	1.00	0.03	4.03
GO:0019439~aromatic compound catabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.03	9.98

GO:0009072~aromatic amino acid family				
metabolic process	4	0.80	0.04	5.32
GO:0009310~amine catabolic process	5	1.00	0.04	3.70
GO:0016054~organic acid catabolic process	5	1.00	0.09	2.96
GO:0046395~carboxylic acid catabolic				
process	5	1.00	0.09	2.96
GO:0046700~heterocycle catabolic process	3	0.60	0.18	3.80
Enrichment Score: 1.2825815160736065				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0007602~phototransduction	8	1.60	0.00	4.84
GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus	8	1.60	0.00	4.26
GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus	8	1.60	0.00	3.87
GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus	8	1.60	0.01	3.55
GO:0051606~detection of stimulus	9	1.80	0.02	2.55
GO:0016027~inaD signalling complex	3	0.60	0.03	10.48
GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus	13	2.59	0.04	1.93
GO:0009416~response to light stimulus	8	1.60	0.04	2.51
GO:0016028~rhabdomere	4	0.80	0.04	5.03
GO:0009314~response to radiation	8	1.60	0.07	2.17
GO:0016056~rhodopsin mediated				
signalling pathway	3	0.60	0.13	4.70
GO:0044463~cell projection part	4	0.80	0.19	2.62
GO:0007601~visual perception	5	1.00	0.25	1.96
GO:0050953~sensory perception of light				
stimulus	5	1.00	0.26	1.93
GO:0042995~cell projection	6	1.20	0.27	1.70
GO:0019897~extrinsic to plasma membrane	3	0.60	0.30	2.70
vision	4	0.80	0.34	1.92
sensory transduction	5	1.00	0.76	0.98
Enrichment Score: 1.270008938219629				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0019898~extrinsic to membrane	12	2.40	0.01	2.47
GO:0055114~oxidation reduction	35	6.99	0.01	1.55

iron	14	2.79	0.01	2.14
GO:0005624~membrane fraction	9	1.80	0.02	2.70
GO:0005506~iron ion binding	17	3.39	0.02	1.86
GO:0005626~insoluble fraction	9	1.80	0.02	2.60
GO:0009055~electron carrier activity	14	2.79	0.02	1.99
GO:0000267~cell fraction	9	1.80	0.02	2.53
oxidoreductase	31	6.19	0.02	1.50
GO:0005783~endoplasmic reticulum	16	3.19	0.03	1.81
metal ion-binding site:Iron (heme axial				
ligand)	8	1.60	0.05	2.32
GO:0042598~vesicular fraction	7	1.40	0.06	2.50
GO:0005792~microsome	7	1.40	0.06	2.50
Monooxygenase	8	1.60	0.07	2.18
microsome	7	1.40	0.07	2.37
IPR001128:Cytochrome P450	7	1.40	0.09	2.24
IPR017973:Cytochrome P450, C-terminal				
region	7	1.40	0.09	2.24
Secondary metabolites biosynthesis,				
transport, and catabolism	7	1.40	0.11	2.07
IPR017972:Cytochrome P450, conserved				
site	7	1.40	0.11	2.14
dme00903:Limonene and pinene				
degradation	7	1.40	0.11	2.08
heme	8	1.60	0.11	1.96
GO:0020037~heme binding	9	1.80	0.12	1.83
GO:0046906~tetrapyrrole binding	9	1.80	0.12	1.83
IPR002401:Cytochrome P450, E-class,				
group I	6	1.20	0.15	2.15
endoplasmic reticulum	8	1.60	0.30	1.47
PIRSF000051:cytochrome P450 CYP3A5	3	0.60	0.40	2.16
Enrichment Score: 1.0610818389982286				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0046394~carboxylic acid biosynthetic				
process	8	1.60	0.01	3.13

GO:0016053~organic acid biosynthetic				
process	8	1.60	0.01	3.13
GO:0006633~fatty acid biosynthetic				
process	4	0.80	0.11	3.44
GO:0008652~cellular amino acid				
biosynthetic process	4	0.80	0.12	3.33
GO:0006631~fatty acid metabolic process	5	1.00	0.14	2.51
GO:0009309~amine biosynthetic process	4	0.80	0.29	2.13
GO:0008610~lipid biosynthetic process	6	1.20	0.45	1.37
Enrichment Score: 0.95966/1/640/14/				F 11
	G (DV 1	Fold
	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0042802~identical protein binding	10	2.00	0.07	1.93
GO:0046983~protein dimerization activity	8	1.60	0.08	2.14
GO:0042803~protein homodimerization				
activity	4	0.80	0.23	2.42
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913				
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913				Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core	Count 14	%	PValue 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.76
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase	Count 14 5	% 2.79 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc	Count 14 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase	Count 14 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity	Count 14 5 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active	Count 14 5 5 5	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.32
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115	Count 14 5 5 5 3	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 50d
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term	Count 14 5 5 5 3 Count	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60 %	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 2.33 Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term GO:0019748~secondary metabolic process	Count 14 5 5 5 3 3 Count 9	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60 % 1.80	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue 0.00	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 2.33 Fold Enrichment 3.52
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term GO:0019748~secondary metabolic process GO:0042440~pigment metabolic process	Count 14 5 5 5 3 3 Count 9 6	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60 % 1.80 1.20	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue 0.00 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.33 2.33 Fold Enrichment 3.52 2.71
Enrichment Score: 0.9301108663755913 Term IPR000719:Protein kinase, core IPR001245:Tyrosine protein kinase SM00219:TyrKc GO:0004713~protein tyrosine kinase activity IPR008266:Tyrosine protein kinase, active site Enrichment Score: 0.8577947721427115 Term GO:0019748~secondary metabolic process GO:0048066~pigment ation during	Count 14 5 5 5 3 3 Count 9 6	% 2.79 1.00 1.00 0.60 % 1.80 1.20	PValue 0.05 0.08 0.09 0.17 0.37 PValue 0.00 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.76 3.09 2.95 2.32 2.32 2.33 Fold Enrichment 3.52 2.71

GO:0008055~ocellus pigment biosynthetic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0033060~ocellus pigmentation	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0046152~ommochrome metabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0046158~ocellus pigment metabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0006727~ommochrome biosynthetic				
process	3	0.60	0.14	4.44
GO:0043473~pigmentation	5	1.00	0.15	2.42
GO:0046148~pigment biosynthetic process	4	0.80	0.28	2.17
GO:0006726~eye pigment biosynthetic				
process	3	0.60	0.28	2.85
GO:0042441~eye pigment metabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.30	2.75
GO:0048069~eye pigmentation	3	0.60	0.35	2.42
GO:0018130~heterocycle biosynthetic				
process	4	0.80	0.40	1.75
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018				
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018				Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus	Count 13	%	PValue 0.04	Fold Enrichment 1.93
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response	Count 13 3	% 2.59 0.60	PValue 0.04 0.20	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat	Count 13 3 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature	Count 13 3 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306	Count 13 3 5 5	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term	Count 13 3 5 5 Count	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 PValue	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 PValue 0.06	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 5 Count 52 52	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23 1.21
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane transmembrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52 43	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38 8.58	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08 0.29	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 Fold Enrichment 1.23 1.21 1.12
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane transmembrane membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52 43 46	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38 8.58 9.18	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08 0.29 0.33	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 50d Enrichment 1.23 1.21 1.12 1.09
Enrichment Score: 0.8487844492402018 Term GO:0009628~response to abiotic stimulus stress response GO:0009408~response to heat GO:0009266~response to temperature stimulus Enrichment Score: 0.8424188853995306 Term GO:0016021~integral to membrane GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane transmembrane membrane	Count 13 3 5 5 Count 52 52 43 46	% 2.59 0.60 1.00 1.00 % 10.38 10.38 8.58 9.18	PValue 0.04 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.25 PValue 0.06 0.08 0.29 0.33	Fold Enrichment 1.93 3.60 2.05 1.96 50d Enrichment 1.23 1.21 1.12 1.09

				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006817~phosphate transport	3	0.60	0.03	11.41
GO:0008509~anion transmembrane				
transporter activity	7	1.40	0.11	2.11
GO:0015698~inorganic anion transport	3	0.60	0.16	4.20
GO:0015114~phosphate transmembrane				
transporter activity	3	0.60	0.24	3.21
GO:0006820~anion transport	4	0.80	0.26	2.27
GO:0015103~inorganic anion				
transmembrane transporter activity	3	0.60	0.40	2.19
Enrichment Score: 0.749753045344896				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0046914~transition metal ion binding	58	11.58	0.08	1.20
GO:0043169~cation binding	73	14.57	0.09	1.17
GO:0043167~ion binding	73	14.57	0.10	1.16
GO:0046872~metal ion binding	70	13.97	0.12	1.15
metal-binding	36	7.19	0.17	1.22
GO:0008270~zinc ion binding	38	7.58	0.49	1.04
zinc	20	3.99	0.75	0.93
Enrichment Score: 0.7460443800081359				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR001092:Basic helix-loop-helix				
dimerisation region bHLH	5	1.00	0.17	2.30
IPR011598:Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding	4	0.80	0.18	2.72
SM00353:HLH	5	1.00	0.19	2.20
Enrichment Score: 0.7183541899645033				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
binding site:ATP	10	2.00	0.01	2.72
active site:Proton acceptor	10	2.00	0.02	2.42

GO:0006468~protein amino acid				
phosphorylation	17	3.39	0.03	1.80
kinase	15	2.99	0.03	1.86
GO:0004674~protein serine/threonine				
kinase activity	13	2.59	0.05	1.82
IPR000719:Protein kinase, core	14	2.79	0.05	1.76
GO:0004672~protein kinase activity	16	3.19	0.07	1.62
domain:Protein kinase	7	1.40	0.08	2.32
serine/threonine-protein kinase	10	2.00	0.11	1.79
IPR002290:Serine/threonine protein kinase	8	1.60	0.12	1.94
IPR008271:Serine/threonine protein kinase,				
active site	10	2.00	0.12	1.74
GO:0016310~phosphorylation	21	4.19	0.12	1.38
IPR017441:Protein kinase, ATP binding				
site	11	2.20	0.13	1.64
SM00220:S_TKc	8	1.60	0.14	1.85
nucleotide phosphate-binding region:ATP	9	1.80	0.40	1.27
IPR017442:Serine/threonine protein kinase-				
related	8	1.60	0.42	1.29
GO:0006793~phosphorus metabolic process	22	4.39	0.42	1.11
GO:0006796~phosphate metabolic process	22	4.39	0.42	1.11
nucleotide-binding	29	5.79	0.51	1.04
GO:0000166~nucleotide binding	44	8.78	0.52	1.02
GO:0017076~purine nucleotide binding	36	7.19	0.54	1.02
GO:0032555~purine ribonucleotide binding	33	6.59	0.59	1.00
GO:0032553~ribonucleotide binding	33	6.59	0.59	1.00
GO:0030554~adenyl nucleotide binding	28	5.59	0.68	0.96
GO:0001883~purine nucleoside binding	28	5.59	0.69	0.96
atp-binding	21	4.19	0.70	0.95
GO:0001882~nucleoside binding	28	5.59	0.71	0.95
GO:0005524~ATP binding	25	4.99	0.74	0.94
GO:0032559~adenyl ribonucleotide binding	25	4.99	0.74	0.93
Enrichment Score: 0.7093783711719821				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment

IPR015609:Molecular chaperone, heat				
shock protein, Hsp40, DnaJ	4	0.80	0.13	3.20
IPR001623:Heat shock protein DnaJ, N-				
terminal	4	0.80	0.19	2.65
SM00271:DnaJ	4	0.80	0.21	2.53
GO:0031072~heat shock protein binding	4	0.80	0.21	2.53
GO:0051082~unfolded protein binding	5	1.00	0.27	1.88
Enrichment Score: 0.6247087996073746				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006457~protein folding	8	1.60	0.13	1.88
GO:0051082~unfolded protein binding	5	1.00	0.27	1.88
Chaperone	4	0.80	0.38	1.82
Enrichment Score: 0.5882269738765975				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
	Count	70		
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle	8	1.60	0.15	1.82
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis	8 8	1.60 1.60	0.15	1.82 1.82
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis	8 8 5	1.60 1.60 1.00	0.15 0.15 0.15	1.82 1.82 2.42
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle	8 8 5 8	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome	8 8 5 8	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation	8 8 5 8 8 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site	8 8 5 8 8 4 7	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation	8 8 5 8 4 7 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation	8 8 5 8 4 7 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909	8 8 5 8 4 7 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909	8 8 5 8 4 7 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909 Term	8 8 5 8 4 7 4 7 4 Count	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80 %	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76 PValue	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold Enrichment
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909 Term compositionally biased region:Poly-Gln	8 8 5 8 4 7 4 7 4 Count 8	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76 PValue 0.05	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold Enrichment 2.37
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909 Term compositionally biased region:Poly-Gln compositionally biased region:Poly-Gly	8 8 5 8 4 7 4 7 4 Count 8 5	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76 PValue 0.05 0.24	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold Enrichment 2.37 1.99
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909 Term compositionally biased region:Poly-Gln compositionally biased region:Poly-Gly compositionally biased region:Poly-Ala	8 8 5 8 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 8 5 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.40 0.80 0.80 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76 0.76 PValue 0.05 0.24 0.71	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold Enrichment 2.37 1.99 1.09
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909 Term compositionally biased region:Poly-Gln compositionally biased region:Poly-Gly compositionally biased region:Poly-Ala compositionally biased region:Poly-Ala	8 8 5 8 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 5 4 4 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.60 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76 PValue 0.05 0.24 0.71 0.72	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold Enrichment 2.37 1.99 1.09 1.08
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle GO:0007126~meiosis GO:0007143~female meiosis GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle GO:0045132~meiotic chromosome segregation mutagenesis site GO:0007059~chromosome segregation Enrichment Score: 0.5575655031346909 Term compositionally biased region:Poly-Gln compositionally biased region:Poly-Gly compositionally biased region:Poly-Ala compositionally biased region:Poly-Ala	8 8 5 8 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 5 4 4 4	1.60 1.60 1.00 1.60 0.80 1.40 0.80 1.60 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80	0.15 0.15 0.15 0.17 0.25 0.75 0.76 PValue 0.05 0.24 0.71 0.72	1.82 1.82 2.42 1.76 2.31 0.95 1.01 Fold Enrichment 2.37 1.99 1.09 1.08

			Fold
Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
4	0.80	0.08	3.94
4	0.80	0.08	3.94
4	0.80	0.10	3.55
4	0.80	0.14	3.04
9	1.80	0.21	1.57
9	1.80	0.28	1.45
9	1.80	0.32	1.39
5	1.00	0.47	1.42
6	1.20	0.53	1.25
11	2.20	0.59	1.06
3	0.60	0.80	1.01
4	0.80	0.92	0.71
4	0.80	0.92	0.71
			Fold
Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
4	0.80	0.20	2.57
4	0.80	0.23	2.42
5	1.00	0.67	1.10
			Fold
Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
6	1.20	0.25	1.77
6	1.20	0.25	1.77
6	1.20	0.25	1.77
3	0.60	0.67	1.31
	Count 4 4 4 4 4 9 9 9 9 9 5 6 11 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 3	Count % 4 0.80 4 0.80 4 0.80 4 0.80 4 0.80 9 1.80 9 1.80 9 1.80 9 1.80 9 1.80 9 1.80 10 1.20 11 2.20 3 0.60 4 0.80 4 0.80 4 0.80 4 0.80 5 1.00 4 0.80 4 0.80 4 0.80 5 1.00 4 0.80 5 1.00 5 1.00 6 1.20 6 1.20 6 1.20 6 1.20 6 1.20 6 1.20 6 1.20 6 1.20 7 0.60 <td>Count%PValue40.800.0840.800.0840.800.1040.800.1491.800.2191.800.23101.800.32112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59111.200.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20121.000.67130.600.25140.800.25151.200.25161.200.25170.600.67180.600.67191.200.25100.600.67140.600.67151.200.2516<td< td=""></td<></td>	Count%PValue40.800.0840.800.0840.800.1040.800.1491.800.2191.800.23101.800.32112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59112.200.59111.200.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20110.800.20121.000.67130.600.25140.800.25151.200.25161.200.25170.600.67180.600.67191.200.25100.600.67140.600.67151.200.2516 <td< td=""></td<>

Enrichment Score: 0.4939274299252366				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR000169:Peptidase, cysteine peptidase				
active site	3	0.60	0.18	3.88
GO:0004197~cysteine-type endopeptidase				
activity	3	0.60	0.29	2.78
GO:0008234~cysteine-type peptidase				
activity	3	0.60	0.63	1.41
Enrichment Score: 0.49100952349161914				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0045197~establishment or maintenance				
of epithelial cell apical/basal polarity	3	0.60	0.17	3.99
GO:0035088~establishment or maintenance				
of apical/basal cell polarity	3	0.60	0.25	3.07
GO:0007163~establishment or maintenance				
of cell polarity	4	0.80	0.78	0.99
Enrichment Score: 0.4820648145204265				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR001680:WD40 repeat	10	2.00	0.22	1.50
SM00320:WD40	10	2.00	0.25	1.43
IPR015943:WD40/YVTN repeat-like	10	2.00	0.26	1.44
IPR019781:WD40 repeat, subgroup	8	1.60	0.30	1.47
IPR019782:WD40 repeat 2	7	1.40	0.40	1.38
wd repeat	8	1.60	0.40	1.32
IPR017986:WD40 repeat, region	6	1.20	0.61	1.13
Enrichment Score: 0.44828762374215625				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0007140~male meiosis	4	0.80	0.12	3.33
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle	8	1.60	0.15	1.82
GO:0007126~meiosis	8	1.60	0.15	1.82

GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle	8	1.60	0.17	1.76
cell cycle	6	1.20	0.30	1.65
mitosis	4	0.80	0.30	2.07
GO:0000279~M phase	16	3.19	0.37	1.18
GO:0051301~cell division	9	1.80	0.40	1.28
cell division	4	0.80	0.42	1.70
GO:0022403~cell cycle phase	16	3.19	0.45	1.12
GO:0007067~mitosis	6	1.20	0.50	1.29
GO:0000087~M phase of mitotic cell cycle	6	1.20	0.51	1.27
GO:0000280~nuclear division	6	1.20	0.51	1.27
GO:0022402~cell cycle process	17	3.39	0.54	1.06
GO:0048285~organelle fission	6	1.20	0.55	1.22
GO:0007049~cell cycle	18	3.59	0.67	0.97
GO:0000278~mitotic cell cycle	10	2.00	0.88	0.80
Enrichment Score: 0.4474546457948761				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR013087:Zinc finger, C2H2-				
type/integrase, DNA-binding	9	1.80	0.16	1.69
IPR007087:Zinc finger, C2H2-type	14	2.79	0.38	1.20
IPR015880:Zinc finger, C2H2-like	14	2.79	0.41	1.18
SM00355:ZnF_C2H2	14	2.79	0.47	1.13
GO:0008270~zinc ion binding	20			
	38	7.58	0.49	1.04
	38	7.58	0.49	1.04
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897	38	7.58	0.49	1.04
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897	38	7.58	0.49	1.04 Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term	Count	7.58	0.49 PValue	1.04 Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator	Count	7.58	0.49 PValue	1.04 Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity	Count 31	7.58 % 6.19	0.49 PValue 0.12	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II	Count 31	7.58 % 6.19	0.49 PValue 0.12	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity	38 Count 31 6	7.58 % 6.19 1.20	0.49 PValue 0.12 0.14	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29 2.17
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity GO:0003702~RNA polymerase II	38 Count 31 6	7.58 % 6.19 1.20	0.49 PValue 0.12 0.14	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29 2.17
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity GO:0003702~RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity	38 Count 31 6 13	7.58 % 6.19 1.20 2.59	0.49 PValue 0.12 0.14 0.19	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29 2.17 1.44
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity GO:0003702~RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity GO:0006357~regulation of transcription	38 Count 31 6 13	7.58 % 6.19 1.20 2.59	0.49 PValue 0.12 0.14 0.19	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29 2.17 1.44
Enrichment Score: 0.43281586986952897 Term GO:0030528~transcription regulator activity GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity GO:0003702~RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity GO:0006357~regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	38 Count 31 6 13 10	7.58 % 6.19 1.20 2.59 2.00	0.49 PValue 0.12 0.14 0.19 0.28	1.04 Fold Enrichment 1.29 2.17 1.44 1.41

GO:0045449~regulation of transcription	31	6.19	0.31	1.13
GO:0006355~regulation of transcription,				
DNA-dependent	23	4.59	0.38	1.13
GO:0051252~regulation of RNA metabolic				
process	25	4.99	0.42	1.09
GO:0043565~sequence-specific DNA				
binding	10	2.00	0.44	1.21
transcription regulation	16	3.19	0.50	1.10
Transcription	16	3.19	0.52	1.08
GO:0006350~transcription	18	3.59	0.55	1.05
nucleus	31	6.19	0.70	0.96
GO:0003677~DNA binding	28	5.59	0.70	0.95
dna-binding	14	2.79	0.78	0.90
Enrichment Score: 0.42581162137465006				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR003598:Immunoglobulin subtype 2	6	1.20	0.26	1.75
IPR003599:Immunoglobulin subtype	6	1.20	0.28	1.68
SM00408:IGc2	6	1.20	0.28	1.67
SM00409:IG	6	1.20	0.31	1.61
IPR013151:Immunoglobulin	5	1.00	0.35	1.68
IPR007110:Immunoglobulin-like	7	1.40	0.35	1.45
IPR013106:Immunoglobulin V-set	3	0.60	0.50	1.81
IPR013098:Immunoglobulin I-set	3	0.60	0.61	1.46
IPR013783:Immunoglobulin-like fold	5	1.00	0.62	1.17
Enrichment Score: 0.4159124467959462				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
domain:BTB	3	0.60	0.13	4.63
IPR011333:BTB/POZ fold	5	1.00	0.34	1.70
IPR013069:BTB/POZ	4	0.80	0.37	1.84
IPR000210:BTB/POZ-like	4	0.80	0.50	1.51
SM00225:BTB	4	0.80	0.53	1.44
GO:0006325~chromatin organization	6	1.20	0.74	0.97

Enrichment Score: 0.3996141036794812				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0007618~mating	5	1.00	0.19	2.18
GO:0007617~mating behavior	4	0.80	0.31	2.05
GO:0051705~behavioral interaction				
between organisms	4	0.80	0.32	2.01
GO:0019098~reproductive behavior	4	0.80	0.44	1.64
GO:0007619~courtship behavior	3	0.60	0.46	1.95
GO:0033057~reproductive behavior in a				
multicellular organism	3	0.60	0.55	1.63
GO:0007610~behavior	11	2.20	0.75	0.93
Enrichment Score: 0.3967914724357458				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0010941~regulation of cell death	9	1.80	0.04	2.26
GO:0043067~regulation of programmed				
cell death	9	1.80	0.04	2.26
GO:0060548~negative regulation of cell				
death	5	1.00	0.09	2.89
GO:0043069~negative regulation of				
programmed cell death	5	1.00	0.09	2.89
GO:0042981~regulation of apoptosis	7	1.40	0.13	2.03
GO:0006917~induction of apoptosis	3	0.60	0.25	3.07
Apoptosis	3	0.60	0.30	2.73
GO:0012502~induction of programmed cell				
death	3	0.60	0.37	2.35
GO:0043065~positive regulation of				
apoptosis	3	0.60	0.37	2.35
GO:0007435~salivary gland morphogenesis	6	1.20	0.42	1.41
GO:0022612~gland morphogenesis	6	1.20	0.42	1.41
GO:0043066~negative regulation of				
apoptosis	3	0.60	0.46	1.95
GO:0035071~salivary gland cell autophagic				
cell death	4	0.80	0.46	1.59
GO:0035070~salivary gland histolysis	4	0.80	0.46	1.59

GO:0048102~autophagic cell death	4	0.80	0.46	1.59
GO:0007559~histolysis	4	0.80	0.48	1.54
GO:0016271~tissue death	4	0.80	0.48	1.54
GO:0012501~programmed cell death	7	1.40	0.49	1.24
GO:0010942~positive regulation of cell				
death	3	0.60	0.49	1.82
GO:0043068~positive regulation of				
programmed cell death	3	0.60	0.49	1.82
GO:0009791~post-embryonic development	16	3.19	0.51	1.09
GO:0008219~cell death	7	1.40	0.53	1.19
GO:0016265~death	7	1.40	0.54	1.19
GO:0006915~apoptosis	4	0.80	0.55	1.40
GO:0035272~exocrine system development	6	1.20	0.58	1.17
GO:0007431~salivary gland development	6	1.20	0.58	1.17
GO:0009886~post-embryonic				
morphogenesis	12	2.40	0.63	1.02
GO:0048732~gland development	6	1.20	0.71	1.02
GO:0048707~instar larval or pupal				
morphogenesis	11	2.20	0.72	0.95
GO:0002165~instar larval or pupal				
development	13	2.59	0.76	0.92
GO:0048569~post-embryonic organ				
development	9	1.80	0.76	0.93
GO:0007552~metamorphosis	11	2.20	0.77	0.91
GO:0007444~imaginal disc development	11	2.20	0.86	0.83
GO:0048563~post-embryonic organ				
morphogenesis	7	1.40	0.90	0.76
GO:0007560~imaginal disc morphogenesis	7	1.40	0.90	0.76
Enrichment Score: 0.39215105638247444				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006006~glucose metabolic process	5	1.00	0.19	2.22
glycolysis	3	0.60	0.21	3.45
dme00010:Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	4	0.80	0.31	2.02
GO:0006096~glycolysis	3	0.60	0.37	2.35

GO:0016052~carbohydrate catabolic				
process	5	1.00	0.37	1.62
GO:0019318~hexose metabolic process	5	1.00	0.39	1.58
GO:0006007~glucose catabolic process	3	0.60	0.47	1.90
GO:0019320~hexose catabolic process	3	0.60	0.47	1.90
GO:0005996~monosaccharide metabolic				
process	5	1.00	0.48	1.40
GO:0046365~monosaccharide catabolic				
process	3	0.60	0.48	1.86
GO:0044275~cellular carbohydrate				
catabolic process	3	0.60	0.56	1.60
GO:0046164~alcohol catabolic process	3	0.60	0.56	1.60
GO:0006091~generation of precursor				
metabolites and energy	7	1.40	0.77	0.93
Enrichment Score: 0.37139123397574175				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0050909~sensory perception of taste	5	1.00	0.21	2.11
IPR013604:7TM chemoreceptor	4	0.80	0.27	2.22
GO:0007186~G-protein coupled receptor				
protein signalling pathway	15	2.99	0.27	1.28
g-protein coupled receptor	11	2.20	0.30	1.35
GO:0008527~taste receptor activity	4	0.80	0.31	2.06
GO:0007600~sensory perception	12	2.40	0.42	1.19
GO:0050877~neurological system process	19	3.79	0.64	0.99
GO:0007606~sensory perception of				
chemical stimulus	7	1.40	0.72	0.98
GO:0050890~cognition	12	2.40	0.73	0.94
cell membrane	7	1.40	0.98	0.61
Enrichment Score: 0.37080382068197393				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0003704~specific RNA polymerase II				
transcription factor activity	6	1.20	0.14	2.17

neurogenesis	3	0.60	0.75	1.12
Enrichment Score: 0.36922101599659796				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
iron-sulfur	3	0.60	0.26	3.05
GO:0051536~iron-sulfur cluster binding	3	0.60	0.55	1.63
GO:0051540~metal cluster binding	3	0.60	0.55	1.63
Enrichment Score: 0.3532372585825864				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0031968~organelle outer membrane	4	0.80	0.06	4.49
GO:0019867~outer membrane	4	0.80	0.06	4.34
GO:0005739~mitochondrion	22	4.39	0.14	1.33
GO:0005746~mitochondrial respiratory				
chain	4	0.80	0.38	1.80
GO:0042775~mitochondrial ATP synthesis				
coupled electron transport	4	0.80	0.39	1.77
GO:0031966~mitochondrial membrane	9	1.80	0.40	1.28
GO:0070469~respiratory chain	4	0.80	0.41	1.72
GO:0042773~ATP synthesis coupled				
electron transport	4	0.80	0.41	1.72
GO:0022904~respiratory electron transport				
chain	4	0.80	0.43	1.66
GO:0005740~mitochondrial envelope	9	1.80	0.49	1.18
GO:0022900~electron transport chain	4	0.80	0.56	1.37
GO:0044429~mitochondrial part	13	2.59	0.58	1.05
GO:0031967~organelle envelope	11	2.20	0.58	1.07
GO:0031975~envelope	11	2.20	0.58	1.06
GO:0019866~organelle inner membrane	7	1.40	0.60	1.11
GO:0005743~mitochondrial inner				
membrane	6	1.20	0.68	1.04
GO:0045333~cellular respiration	4	0.80	0.70	1.12
GO:0044455~mitochondrial membrane part	4	0.80	0.72	1.08
GO:0015980~energy derivation by				
oxidation of organic compounds	4	0.80	0.75	1.02

GO:0006091~generation of precursor				
metabolites and energy	7	1.40	0.77	0.93
GO:0031090~organelle membrane	12	2.40	0.78	0.91
GO:0006119~oxidative phosphorylation	4	0.80	0.83	0.89
dme00190:Oxidative phosphorylation	4	0.80	0.86	0.85
Enrichment Score: 0.35225911259062226				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0032561~guanyl ribonucleotide binding	9	1.80	0.30	1.41
GO:0019001~guanyl nucleotide binding	9	1.80	0.31	1.41
GO:0005525~GTP binding	8	1.60	0.43	1.28
GO:0003924~GTPase activity	6	1.20	0.47	1.32
gtp-binding	7	1.40	0.50	1.24
IPR005225:Small GTP-binding protein	3	0.60	0.82	0.97
Enrichment Score: 0.35030128533146093				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin	Count 4	% 0.80	PValue 0.14	Enrichment 3.02
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN	Count 4 4	% 0.80 0.80	PValue 0.14 0.16	Enrichment 3.02 2.88
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase	Count 4 4	% 0.80 0.80	PValue 0.14 0.16	Enrichment 3.02 2.88
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity	Count 4 4 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor	Count 4 4 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity	Count 4 3 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity	Count 4 3 3 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity	Count 4 3 3 3 3 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity	Count 4 3 3 3 3 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717	Count 4 4 3 3 3 3 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717	Count 4 4 3 3 3 3	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82 Fold
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717 Term	Count 4 4 3 3 3 3 Count Count	% 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717 Term GO:0016563~transcription activator activity	Count 4 3 3 3 3 3 Count 7	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 1.40	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89 PValue 0.11	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82 Fold Enrichment 2.11
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717 Term GO:0016563~transcription activator activity GO:0045941~positive regulation of	Count 4 4 3 3 3 3 Count 7	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 1.40	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89 PValue 0.11	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82 Fold Enrichment 2.11
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717 Term GO:0016563~transcription activator activity GO:0045941~positive regulation of transcription	Count 4 3 3 3 3 3 5 7 6	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 1.40 1.20	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89 PValue 0.11	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82 Fold Enrichment 2.11 1.43
Term IPR000215:Protease inhibitor I4, serpin SM00093:SERPIN GO:0004867~serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004866~endopeptidase inhibitor activity GO:0030414~peptidase inhibitor activity GO:0004857~enzyme inhibitor activity Enrichment Score: 0.33461494273128717 Term GO:0016563~transcription activator activity GO:0045941~positive regulation of transcription GO:0010628~positive regulation of gene	Count 4 3 3 3 3 3 Count 7 6	% 0.80 0.80 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 1.40 1.20	PValue 0.14 0.16 0.66 0.76 0.78 0.89 PValue 0.11 0.41	Enrichment 3.02 2.88 1.34 1.10 1.06 0.82 Fold Enrichment 2.11 1.43

GO:0045935~positive regulation of				
nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and				
nucleic acid metabolic process	6	1.20	0.45	1.37
GO:0051173~positive regulation of				
nitrogen compound metabolic process	6	1.20	0.45	1.37
GO:0010557~positive regulation of				
macromolecule biosynthetic process	6	1.20	0.50	1.28
GO:0010604~positive regulation of				
macromolecule metabolic process	6	1.20	0.59	1.16
GO:0045893~positive regulation of				
transcription, DNA-dependent	4	0.80	0.65	1.21
GO:0031328~positive regulation of cellular				
biosynthetic process	6	1.20	0.66	1.08
GO:0009891~positive regulation of				
biosynthetic process	6	1.20	0.66	1.08
GO:0051254~positive regulation of RNA				
metabolic process	4	0.80	0.66	1.18
Enrichment Score: 0.3281931871064238				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress	Count 10	%	PValue 0.18	Fold Enrichment 1.58
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage	Count 10	% 2.00	PValue 0.18	Fold Enrichment 1.58
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus	Count 10 6	% 2.00 1.20	PValue 0.18 0.39	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair	Count 10 6 4	% 2.00 1.20 0.80	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.10
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process	Count 10 6 4 5	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.10 0.64
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process	Count 10 6 4 5	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.10 0.64
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process Enrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633	Count 10 6 4 5	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.10 0.64
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process Enrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633	Count 10 6 4 5	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.10 0.64 Fold
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process Enrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633 Term	Count 10 6 4 5 Count	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.10 0.64 Contemport Fold Enrichment
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process Enrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633 Term GO:0016298~lipase activity	Count 10 6 4 5 Count 5	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00 % 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.45 0.64 0.64 Fold Enrichment 1.56
Term GO:0033554~cellular response to stress GO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulus GO:0006281~DNA repair GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process Enrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633 Term GO:0016298~lipase activity GO:0004091~carboxylesterase activity	Count 10 6 4 5 Count 5 5	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00 % 1.00 1.00 1.00	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue 0.40 0.52	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.45 0.64 0.64 Fold Enrichment 1.56 1.34
TermGO:0033554~cellular response to stressGO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulusGO:0006281~DNA repairGO:0006259~DNA metabolic processEnrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633TermGO:0016298~lipase activityGO:0004091~carboxylesterase activityGO:0004620~phospholipase activity	Count 10 6 4 5 Count 5 5 3	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00 % 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue 0.40 0.52 0.52	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.45 0.64 0.64 Fold Enrichment 1.56 1.34 1.74
TermGO:0033554~cellular response to stressGO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulusGO:0006281~DNA repairGO:0006259~DNA metabolic processEnrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633TermGO:0016298~lipase activityGO:0004091~carboxylesterase activityGO:0004620~phospholipase activity	Count 10 6 4 5 Count 5 3	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00 % 1.00 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue 0.40 0.52 0.52	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.45 0.64 0.64 Fold Enrichment 1.56 1.34 1.74
TermGO:0033554~cellular response to stressGO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulusGO:0006281~DNA repairGO:0006259~DNA metabolic processEnrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633TermGO:0016298~lipase activityGO:0004091~carboxylesterase activityGO:0004620~phospholipase activityEnrichment Score: 0.3027954976726047	Count 10 6 4 5 Count 5 3	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00 % 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue 0.40 0.52 0.52	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.45 0.64 0.64 Fold Enrichment 1.56 1.34 1.74
TermGO:0033554~cellular response to stressGO:0006974~response to DNA damage stimulusGO:0006281~DNA repairGO:0006259~DNA metabolic processEnrichment Score: 0.3252309916925633TermGO:0016298~lipase activityGO:0004091~carboxylesterase activityGO:0004620~phospholipase activityEnrichment Score: 0.3027954976726047	Count 10 6 4 5 Count 5 3 3	% 2.00 1.20 0.80 1.00 % 1.00 % 1.00 0.60	PValue 0.18 0.39 0.71 0.96 PValue 0.40 0.52 0.52	Fold Enrichment 1.58 1.45 1.45 0.64 0.64 Constant Fold Enrichment 1.56 1.34 1.74 Constant Enrichment

GO:0030534~adult behavior	5	1.00	0.34	1.68
GO:0008344~adult locomotory behavior	3	0.60	0.51	1.77
GO:0007626~locomotory behavior	5	1.00	0.71	1.04

9.1.5 Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed in *COX5B* RNAi, compared to control.

Showing the most significant 15 clusters.

Enrichment Score: 1.6515985177115597				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
transmembrane region	23	6.80	0.00	2.15
GO:0016021~integral to membrane	44	13.02	0.00	1.55
GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane	44	13.02	0.00	1.53
glycosylation site:N-linked (GlcNAc)	18	5.33	0.00	2.25
topological domain:Cytoplasmic	17	5.03	0.00	2.28
transmembrane	39	11.54	0.01	1.55
GO:0050877~neurological system process	21	6.21	0.01	1.87
membrane	41	12.13	0.01	1.49
GO:0050909~sensory perception of taste	6	1.78	0.01	4.33
GO:0050890~cognition	15	4.44	0.02	2.01
IPR013604:7TM chemoreceptor	5	1.48	0.03	4.37
GO:0008527~taste receptor activity	5	1.48	0.04	3.90
glycoprotein	18	5.33	0.04	1.69
GO:0007166~cell surface receptor linked				
signal transduction	21	6.21	0.04	1.56
topological domain:Extracellular	11	3.25	0.06	1.88
GO:0007600~sensory perception	10	2.96	0.13	1.70
g-protein coupled receptor	9	2.66	0.16	1.70
GO:0005886~plasma membrane	21	6.21	0.17	1.29
transducer	9	2.66	0.22	1.57
GO:0007606~sensory perception of				
chemical stimulus	7	2.07	0.24	1.67
GO:0007186~G-protein coupled receptor				
protein signalling pathway	10	2.96	0.24	1.46
cell membrane	10	2.96	0.34	1.33
receptor	11	3.25	0.34	1.29
Enrichment Score: 1.3133509565378623				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment

IPR005829:Sugar transporter, conserved				
site	5	1.48	0.02	4.46
GO:0051119~sugar transmembrane				
transporter activity	4	1.18	0.03	6.23
IPR005828:General substrate transporter	4	1.18	0.04	5.19
IPR003663:Sugar/inositol transporter	3	0.89	0.04	9.18
GO:0005355~glucose transmembrane				
transporter activity	3	0.89	0.05	7.89
GO:0015149~hexose transmembrane				
transporter activity	3	0.89	0.07	6.64
GO:0055085~transmembrane transport	6	1.78	0.08	2.57
GO:0015145~monosaccharide				
transmembrane transporter activity	3	0.89	0.09	5.74
Enrichment Score: 0.9698190913426162				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR011333:BTB/POZ fold	6	1.78	0.04	3.21
domain:BTB	3	0.89	0.04	8.68
IPR000210:BTB/POZ-like	5	1.48	0.09	2.97
SM00225:BTB	5	1.48	0.09	2.93
IPR013069:BTB/POZ	4	1.18	0.16	2.90
mutagenesis site	4	1.18	0.75	1.02
Enrichment Score: 0.9607147547835124				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0035162~embryonic hemopoiesis	3	0.89	0.06	7.18
GO:0030097~hemopoiesis	4	1.18	0.07	4.23
GO:0048568~embryonic organ				
development	3	0.89	0.07	6.82
GO:0002520~immune system development	4	1.18	0.11	3.37
GO:0048534~hemopoietic or lymphoid				
organ development	4	1.18	0.11	3.37
GO:0048732~gland development	5	1.48	0.45	1.45
Enrichment Score: 0.9268694906194741				

				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
splice variant	20	5.92	0.01	1.75
alternative splicing	20	5.92	0.17	1.33
phosphoprotein	14	4.14	0.96	0.70
Enrichment Score: 0.9066632748734383				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0007611~learning or memory	6	1.78	0.02	3.69
GO:0007612~learning	5	1.48	0.03	4.37
GO:0007610~behavior	11	3.25	0.15	1.58
GO:0008355~olfactory learning	3	0.89	0.26	3.03
GO:0007635~chemosensory behavior	4	1.18	0.29	2.11
GO:0042048~olfactory behavior	3	0.89	0.54	1.66
Enrichment Score: 0.889157862484622				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
topological domain:Lumenal	5	1.48	0.03	4.20
Signal-anchor	4	1.18	0.12	3.31
GO:0005794~Golgi apparatus	7	2.07	0.13	2.02
golgi apparatus	5	1.48	0.16	2.35
GO:0044431~Golgi apparatus part	3	0.89	0.52	1.72
Enrichment Score: 0.7667288030337848				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0005624~membrane fraction	7	2.07	0.02	3.13
GO:0005626~insoluble fraction	7	2.07	0.03	3.02
GO:0000267~cell fraction	7	2.07	0.03	2.94
GO:0009055~electron carrier activity	9	2.66	0.09	1.93
metal ion-binding site:Iron (heme axial				
ligand)	5	1.48	0.11	2.71
GO:0042598~vesicular fraction	5	1.48	0.11	2.67
GO:0005792~microsome	5	1.48	0.11	2.67
microsome	5	1.48	0.13	2.60
GO:0005783~endoplasmic reticulum	10	2.96	0.13	1.69
--	-------	------	--------	------------
IPR017973:Cytochrome P450, C-terminal				
region	5	1.48	0.14	2.52
IPR001128:Cytochrome P450	5	1.48	0.14	2.52
Secondary metabolites biosynthesis,				
transport, and catabolism	5	1.48	0.14	2.35
endoplasmic reticulum	7	2.07	0.14	1.97
IPR017972:Cytochrome P450, conserved				
site	5	1.48	0.15	2.41
Monooxygenase	5	1.48	0.22	2.09
heme	5	1.48	0.28	1.87
GO:0055114~oxidation reduction	16	4.73	0.34	1.21
oxidoreductase	16	4.73	0.37	1.19
GO:0019898~extrinsic to membrane	5	1.48	0.40	1.54
GO:0046906~tetrapyrrole binding	5	1.48	0.41	1.54
GO:0020037~heme binding	5	1.48	0.41	1.54
iron	6	1.78	0.42	1.40
IPR002401:Cytochrome P450, E-class,				
group I	3	0.89	0.53	1.69
dme00903:Limonene and pinene				
degradation	3	0.89	0.53	1.66
GO:0005506~iron ion binding	7	2.07	0.56	1.16
Enrichment Score: 0.7174069513716923				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0007126~meiosis	7	2.07	0.04	2.72
GO:0051327~M phase of meiotic cell cycle	7	2.07	0.04	2.72
GO:0051321~meiotic cell cycle	7	2.07	0.05	2.63
GO:0007131~reciprocal meiotic				
recombination	3	0.89	0.08	6.49
GO:0007140~male meiosis	3	0.89	0.15	4.26
GO:0006310~DNA recombination	3	0.89	0.20	3.59
GO:0007127~meiosis I	3	0.89	0.23	3.25
GO:0022402~cell cycle process	11	3.25	0.46	1.17
GO:0007049~cell cycle	12	3.55	0.51	1.11
GO:0000279~M phase	9	2.66	0.54	1.13

GO:0022403~cell cycle phase	9	2.66	0.59	1.08
GO:0006259~DNA metabolic process	5	1.48	0.68	1.09
Enrichment Score: 0.6914216518634427				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0031301~integral to organelle				
membrane	3	0.89	0.14	4.55
GO:0031300~intrinsic to organelle				
membrane	3	0.89	0.21	3.53
GO:0012505~endomembrane system	7	2.07	0.30	1.53
Enrichment Score: 0.6841102822995805				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0055082~cellular chemical homeostasis	4	1.18	0.09	3.79
GO:0048878~chemical homeostasis	4	1.18	0.16	2.89
GO:0019725~cellular homeostasis	5	1.48	0.20	2.16
GO:0006873~cellular ion homeostasis	3	0.89	0.23	3.25
GO:0050801~ion homeostasis	3	0.89	0.28	2.84
GO:0042592~homeostatic process	5	1.48	0.44	1.47
Enrichment Score: 0.6761817198959175				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
compositionally biased region:Gln-rich	8	2.37	0.03	2.64
GO:0030528~transcription regulator				
activity	22	6.51	0.11	1.38
GO:0003700~transcription factor activity	14	4.14	0.12	1.53
GO:0003702~RNA polymerase II				
transcription factor activity	10	2.96	0.14	1.68
GO:0007389~pattern specification process	13	3.85	0.15	1.51
GO:0051252~regulation of RNA metabolic				
process	18	5.33	0.17	1.35
GO:0003002~regionalization	12	3.55	0.18	1.49
GO:0006355~regulation of transcription,				
DNA-dependent	16	4.73	0.21	1.34

dna-binding	14	4.14	0.21	1.38
GO:0045449~regulation of transcription	20	5.92	0.24	1.25
GO:0006350~transcription	13	3.85	0.30	1.29
GO:0003677~DNA binding	21	6.21	0.46	1.08
transcription regulation	11	3.25	0.49	1.15
Transcription	11	3.25	0.51	1.14
nucleus	17	5.03	0.90	0.80
Enrichment Score: 0.6705400302801843				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0010941~regulation of cell death	7	2.07	0.03	3.00
GO:0043067~regulation of programmed				
cell death	7	2.07	0.03	3.00
compositionally biased region:Poly-Gln	6	1.78	0.03	3.33
GO:0042461~photoreceptor cell				
development	4	1.18	0.14	3.08
GO:0051252~regulation of RNA metabolic				
process	18	5.33	0.17	1.35
GO:0003002~regionalization	12	3.55	0.18	1.49
dna-binding	14	4.14	0.21	1.38
GO:0045165~cell fate commitment	8	2.37	0.23	1.59
GO:0046530~photoreceptor cell				
differentiation	5	1.48	0.25	1.94
GO:0007422~peripheral nervous system				
development	4	1.18	0.25	2.27
GO:0042051~compound eye photoreceptor				
development	3	0.89	0.29	2.78
GO:0042462~eye photoreceptor cell				
development	3	0.89	0.30	2.73
GO:0030182~neuron differentiation	11	3.25	0.31	1.33
developmental protein	16	4.73	0.35	1.21
GO:0001745~compound eye				
morphogenesis	6	1.78	0.36	1.51
GO:0048749~compound eye development	7	2.07	0.39	1.38
GO:0001751~compound eye photoreceptor				
cell differentiation	4	1.18	0.40	1.75

GO:0048592~eye morphogenesis	6	1.78	0.41	1.43
GO:0001754~eye photoreceptor cell				
differentiation	4	1.18	0.41	1.72
GO:0001654~eye development	7	2.07	0.44	1.31
GO:0007423~sensory organ development	7	2.07	0.68	1.02
Enrichment Score: 0.6605565711289421				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR015880:Zinc finger, C2H2-like	12	3.55	0.13	1.59
SM00355:ZnF_C2H2	12	3.55	0.14	1.57
IPR007087:Zinc finger, C2H2-type	11	3.25	0.20	1.49
GO:0008270~zinc ion binding	24	7.10	0.62	0.99
Enrichment Score: 0.6471233172524414				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR003591:Leucine-rich repeat, typical				
subtype	4	1.18	0.16	2.85
SM00369:LRR_TYP	4	1.18	0.17	2.81
leucine-rich repeat	4	1.18	0.29	2.10
IPR001611:Leucine-rich repeat	4	1.18	0.32	1.99

9.1.6 Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed in *ATPsynCf6* RNAi, compared to control.

Showing the most significant 15 clusters.

Enrichment Score: 1.6670282151726432				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR015421:Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent				
transferase, major region, subdomain 1	8	0.98	0.00	3.91
GO:0030170~pyridoxal phosphate binding	8	0.98	0.01	3.34
GO:0070279~vitamin B6 binding	8	0.98	0.01	3.34
IPR004839: Aminotransferase, class I and II	4	0.49	0.02	6.46
GO:0016769~transferase activity,				
transferring nitrogenous groups	5	0.61	0.03	4.07
GO:0019842~vitamin binding	12	1.47	0.04	2.00
pyridoxal phosphate	5	0.61	0.08	3.00
GO:0048037~cofactor binding	17	2.08	0.10	1.51
Enrichment Score: 1.5696306314705861				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0046365~monosaccharide catabolic				
process	9	1.10	0.00	3.52
GO:0005996~monosaccharide metabolic				
process	14	1.71	0.00	2.48
GO:0006006~glucose metabolic process	10	1.22	0.01	2.81
GO:0044275~cellular carbohydrate				
catabolic process	9	1.10	0.01	3.03
GO:0046164~alcohol catabolic process	9	1.10	0.01	3.03
dme00010:Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	9	1.10	0.01	2.88
GO:0019318~hexose metabolic process	12	1.47	0.01	2.40
GO:0006007~glucose catabolic process	8	0.98	0.01	3.21
GO:0019320~hexose catabolic process	8	0.98	0.01	3.21
binding site:Substrate	8	0.98	0.02	2.81
GO:0006096~glycolysis	6	0.73	0.05	2.97
dme00030:Pentose phosphate pathway	5	0.61	0.05	3.48
glycolysis	5	0.61	0.05	3.53

GO:0016052~carbohydrate catabolic				
process	10	1.22	0.05	2.05
dme00051:Fructose and mannose				
metabolism	5	0.61	0.11	2.67
GO:0006091~generation of precursor				
metabolites and energy	17	2.08	0.13	1.43
binding site:NAD	3	0.37	0.15	4.22
nucleotide phosphate-binding region:NAD	3	0.37	0.22	3.37
nad	5	0.61	0.26	1.93
Enrichment Score: 1.5047733950792477				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0034637~cellular carbohydrate				
biosynthetic process	6	0.73	0.01	4.21
GO:0016051~carbohydrate biosynthetic				
process	9	1.10	0.02	2.71
GO:0046165~alcohol biosynthetic process	3	0.37	0.16	4.21
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616				
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616				Fold
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I	Count 5	%	PValue 0.00	Fold Enrichment 7.34
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter	Count 5 5	% 0.61 0.61	PValue 0.00 0.00	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport	Count 5 5 5 7	% 0.61 0.61 0.86	PValue 0.00 0.00 0.03	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport	Count 5 5 5 7 7 7	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86	PValue 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.04	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37	PValue 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease-	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3 4	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.06	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region GO:0005275~amine transmembrane	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3 4	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.06	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region GO:0005275~amine transmembrane transporter activity	Count 5 5 5 7 7 7 3 4 8	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49 0.98	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.06	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31 2.25
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region GO:0005275~amine transmembrane transporter activity GO:0015849~organic acid transport	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3 4 8 7	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49 0.98 0.86	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31 2.25 2.22
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region GO:0005275~amine transmembrane transporter activity GO:0015849~organic acid transport GO:0046942~carboxylic acid transport	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3 4 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49 0.98 0.98 0.86 0.86	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31 2.25 2.22 2.22
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region GO:0005275~amine transmembrane transporter activity GO:0015849~organic acid transport GO:0046942~carboxylic acid transport	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3 4 8 7 7 7 7	% 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49 0.98 0.86 0.86	PValue 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31 2.25 2.22 2.22 2.22
Enrichment Score: 1.4482265659165616 Term IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine transporter I PIRSF006060:AA_transporter GO:0006865~amino acid transport GO:0015837~amine transport GO:0015804~neutral amino acid transport IPR004841:Amino acid permease- associated region GO:0005275~amine transmembrane transporter activity GO:0015849~organic acid transport GO:0015171~amino acid transmembrane transporter activity	Count 5 5 7 7 7 3 4 8 7 7 7 6	% 0.61 0.61 0.86 0.86 0.37 0.49 0.98 0.86 0.86 0.86	PValue 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06 0.09 0.09 0.09	Fold Enrichment 7.34 6.98 2.95 2.81 8.42 4.31 2.25 2.22 2.22 2.22 2.22

Enrichment Score: 1.1169438747080616				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
SM00700:JHBP	5	0.61	0.07	3.20
IPR004272:Odorant binding protein	5	0.61	0.07	3.10
IPR013053:Hormone binding	5	0.61	0.09	2.88
Enrichment Score: 1.068391775877172				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0009309~amine biosynthetic process	8	0.98	0.03	2.69
GO:0008652~cellular amino acid				
biosynthetic process	6	0.73	0.04	3.16
GO:0046394~carboxylic acid biosynthetic				
process	8	0.98	0.11	1.98
GO:0016053~organic acid biosynthetic				
process	8	0.98	0.11	1.98
GO:0006790~sulfur metabolic process	4	0.49	0.39	1.77
Enrichment Score: 0.9781014441900322				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0016769~transferase activity,				
transferring nitrogenous groups	5	0.61	0.03	4.07
dme00250:Alanine, aspartate and glutamate				
metabolism	5	0.61	0.09	2.86
Aminotransferase	3	0.37	0.19	3.74
GO:0008483~transaminase activity	3	0.37	0.23	3.26
Enrichment Score: 0.9583958930900406				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0016765~transferase activity,				
transferring alkyl or aryl (other than methyl)				
groups	8	0.98	0.07	2.21
IPR004045:Glutathione S-transferase, N-				
terminal	6	0.73	0.08	2.55

IPR004046:Glutathione S-transferase, C-				
terminal	6	0.73	0.08	2.55
IPR010987:Glutathione S-transferase, C-				
terminal-like	6	0.73	0.08	2.55
dme00480:Glutathione metabolism	8	0.98	0.09	2.03
IPR017933:Glutathione S-				
transferase/chloride channel, C-terminal	6	0.73	0.10	2.42
Posttranslational modification, protein				
turnover, chaperones	12	1.47	0.11	1.60
GO:0004364~glutathione transferase				
activity	5	0.61	0.18	2.26
dme00980:Metabolism of xenobiotics by				
cytochrome P450	7	0.86	0.19	1.78
dme00982:Drug metabolism	7	0.86	0.21	1.73
Enrichment Score: 0.915447249219811				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR004160:Translation elongation factor				
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal	3	0.37	0.07	6.92
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor	3	0.37	0.07	6.92
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2	3	0.37	0.07	6.92 3.80
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP-	3	0.37	0.07	6.92 3.80
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding	3 4 4	0.37 0.49 0.49	0.07 0.08 0.11	6.92 3.80 3.40
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity	3 4 4 10	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity	3 4 4 10	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366	3 4 4 10	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366	3 4 4 10	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term	3 4 4 10 Count	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13	3 4 4 10 Count 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue 0.04	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13 repeat:LRR 14	3 4 4 10 Count 3 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue 0.04 0.04	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43 8.43
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 15	3 4 4 10 Count 3 3 3 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37 0.37 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue 0.04 0.04	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43 8.43
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 15 repeat:LRR 11	3 4 4 10 Count 3 3 3 3 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue 0.04 0.04 0.04	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43 8.43 8.43 8.43
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 15 repeat:LRR 11 repeat:LRR 11 repeat:LRR 12	3 4 4 10 Count 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43 8.43 8.43 8.43 7.23
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 15 repeat:LRR 11 repeat:LRR 12 repeat:LRR 9	3 4 4 10 Count 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43 8.43 8.43 8.43 7.23 7.23 6.33
EFTu/EF1A, C-terminal IPR004161:Translation elongation factor EFTu/EF1A, domain 2 IPR000795:Protein synthesis factor, GTP- binding GO:0003924~GTPase activity Enrichment Score: 0.8914180439102366 Term repeat:LRR 13 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 14 repeat:LRR 11 repeat:LRR 11 repeat:LRR 11 repeat:LRR 12 repeat:LRR 12 repeat:LRR 9 repeat:LRR 10	3 4 4 10 Count 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0.37 0.49 0.49 1.22 % 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37 0.37	0.07 0.08 0.11 0.37 PValue PValue 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.06	6.92 3.80 3.40 1.29 Fold Enrichment 8.43 8.43 8.43 8.43 7.23 7.23 6.33

repeat:LRR 8	3	0.37	0.09	5.62
repeat:LRR 5	3	0.37	0.15	4.22
repeat:LRR 6	3	0.37	0.15	4.22
IPR001611:Leucine-rich repeat	9	1.10	0.16	1.69
repeat:LRR 4	3	0.37	0.22	3.37
repeat:LRR 3	3	0.37	0.29	2.81
SM00369:LRR_TYP	6	0.73	0.29	1.66
repeat:LRR 1	3	0.37	0.31	2.66
repeat:LRR 2	3	0.37	0.31	2.66
IPR003591:Leucine-rich repeat, typical				
subtype	6	0.73	0.31	1.61
leucine-rich repeat	7	0.86	0.34	1.47
Enrichment Score: 0.7464449797504061				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
transmembrane	74	9.06	0.09	1.18
GO:0031224~intrinsic to membrane	86	10.53	0.13	1.12
GO:0016021~integral to membrane	84	10.28	0.16	1.11
transmembrane region	37	4.53	0.29	1.12
membrane	73	8.94	0.35	1.06
Enrichment Score: 0.7055874960932808				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0009119~ribonucleoside metabolic				
process	4	0.49	0.14	3.06
GO:0042278~purine nucleoside metabolic				
process	3	0.37	0.18	3.88
GO:0046128~purine ribonucleoside				
metabolic process	3	0.37	0.18	3.88
GO:0009116~nucleoside metabolic process	4	0.49	0.34	1.92
Enrichment Score: 0.7040318208117982				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR016040:NAD(P)-binding domain	15	1.84	0.06	1.67

IPR002347:Glucose/ribitol dehydrogenase	5	0.61	0.33	1.72
IPR002198:Short-chain				
dehydrogenase/reductase SDR	6	0.73	0.36	1.51
Enrichment Score: 0.6997494692070707				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0009063~cellular amino acid catabolic				
process	5	0.61	0.13	2.55
GO:0009310~amine catabolic process	5	0.61	0.16	2.34
GO:0046395~carboxylic acid catabolic				
process	5	0.61	0.28	1.87
GO:0016054~organic acid catabolic process	5	0.61	0.28	1.87
Enrichment Score: 0.6282781777575291				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
gtp-binding	14	1.71	0.13	1.52
GO:0005525~GTP binding	15	1.84	0.18	1.41
GO:0032561~guanyl ribonucleotide binding	15	1.84	0.20	1.38
GO:0019001~guanyl nucleotide binding	15	1.84	0.20	1.37
nucleotide phosphate-binding region:GTP	6	0.73	0.29	1.66
GO:0003924~GTPase activity	10	1.22	0.37	1.29
IPR005225:Small GTP-binding protein	7	0.86	0.42	1.35

9.1.7 Enriched functional annotation clusters for genes significantly changed in *TFAM* overexpression compared to control.

Showing the most significant 15 clusters.

Enrichment Score: 1.7198141162255618				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0005275~amine transmembrane				
transporter activity	9	1.47	0.00	3.47
GO:0015171~amino acid transmembrane				
transporter activity	8	1.31	0.00	3.73
IPR002293:Amino acid/polyamine				
transporter I	4	0.65	0.01	8.32
PIRSF006060:AA_transporter	4	0.65	0.01	7.55
IPR004841:Amino acid permease-				
associated region	4	0.65	0.03	6.10
GO:0006865~amino acid transport	6	0.98	0.03	3.49
GO:0015837~amine transport	6	0.98	0.03	3.33
GO:0015849~organic acid transport	6	0.98	0.07	2.64
GO:0046942~carboxylic acid transport	6	0.98	0.07	2.64
Enrichment Score: 1.3434307198420672				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
IPR016040:NAD(P)-binding domain	14	2.29	0.01	2.21
IPR002198:Short-chain				
dehydrogenase/reductase SDR	7	1.15	0.06	2.50
IPR002347:Glucose/ribitol dehydrogenase	5	0.82	0.15	2.43
Enrichment Score: 0.8318200087612984				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0015295~solute:hydrogen symporter				
activity	3	0.49	0.08	6.10
GO:0005416~cation:amino acid symporter				
activity	3	0.49	0.11	5.16

GO:0015294~solute:cation symporter				
activity	7	1.15	0.15	1.96
GO:0015293~symporter activity	7	1.15	0.17	1.86
GO:0015370~solute:sodium symporter				
activity	5	0.82	0.30	1.80
Enrichment Score: 0.8246448114776627				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0048563~post-embryonic organ				
morphogenesis	16	2.62	0.10	1.51
GO:0007560~imaginal disc morphogenesis	16	2.62	0.10	1.51
GO:0007424~open tracheal system				
development	11	1.80	0.11	1.72
GO:0060541~respiratory system				
development	11	1.80	0.11	1.72
GO:0035239~tube morphogenesis	6	0.98	0.25	1.77
GO:0035295~tube development	6	0.98	0.38	1.49
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857				
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857				Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis	Count 15	%	PValue 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.74
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis	Count 15 15	% 2.45 2.45	PValue 0.05 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived	Count 15 15	% 2.45 2.45	PValue 0.05 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development	Count 15 15 15	% 2.45 2.45 2.45	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development	Count 15 15 15 15	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage	Count 15 15 15 15	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis	Count 15 15 15 15 15 14	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis GO:0007476~imaginal disc-derived wing	Count 15 15 15 15 14	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis GO:0007476~imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis	Count 15 15 15 15 14 13	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29 2.13	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70 1.67
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis GO:0007476~imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis GO:0048569~post-embryonic organ	Count 15 15 15 15 14 13	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29 2.13	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70 1.67
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis GO:0007476~imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis GO:0048569~post-embryonic organ development	Count 15 15 15 15 14 13 17	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29 2.13 2.78	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70 1.67 1.53
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis GO:0007476~imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis GO:0048569~post-embryonic organ development GO:0007472~wing disc morphogenesis	Count 15 15 15 15 14 13 17 13	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29 2.13 2.78 2.13	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.09 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70 1.67 1.53 1.65
Enrichment Score: 0.8172480285450857 Term GO:0035114~imaginal disc-derived appendage morphogenesis GO:0035107~appendage morphogenesis GO:0048737~imaginal disc-derived appendage development GO:0048736~appendage development GO:0035120~post-embryonic appendage morphogenesis GO:0007476~imaginal disc-derived wing morphogenesis GO:0048569~post-embryonic organ development GO:0007472~wing disc morphogenesis GO:0048563~post-embryonic organ	Count 15 15 15 15 14 13 17 13	% 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.29 2.13 2.78 2.13	PValue 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.09 0.09	Fold Enrichment 1.74 1.72 1.71 1.70 1.70 1.67 1.53 1.65

GO:0007560~imaginal disc morphogenesis	16	2.62	0.10	1.51
GO:0007444~imaginal disc development	21	3.44	0.12	1.38
GO:0035220~wing disc development	15	2.45	0.14	1.47
GO:0003002~regionalization	21	3.44	0.15	1.34
GO:0007389~pattern specification process	22	3.60	0.16	1.31
GO:0009886~post-embryonic				
morphogenesis	18	2.95	0.19	1.33
GO:0007552~metamorphosis	18	2.95	0.22	1.30
developmental protein	29	4.75	0.23	1.19
GO:0009791~post-embryonic development	21	3.44	0.24	1.25
GO:0048707~instar larval or pupal				
morphogenesis	17	2.78	0.25	1.29
GO:0002165~instar larval or pupal				
development	19	3.11	0.34	1.18
GO:0007423~sensory organ development	16	2.62	0.36	1.19
GO:0001745~compound eye				
morphogenesis	10	1.64	0.37	1.29
GO:0048749~compound eye development	12	1.96	0.40	1.21
GO:0048592~eye morphogenesis	10	1.64	0.43	1.22
GO:0001654~eve development	12	1.96	0.47	1.15
GO.0001054 eye development				
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017				
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017				Fold
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term	Count	%	PValue	Fold Enrichment
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction	Count 7	%	PValue 0.01	Fold Enrichment 3.70
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus	Count 7 7	% 1.15 1.15	PValue 0.01 0.02	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus	Count 7 7 7 7	% 1.15 1.15 1.15	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus	Count 7 7 7 7 7	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation	Count 7 7 7 7 9	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.06	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation GO:0009416~response to light stimulus	Count 7 7 7 7 9 8	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47 1.31	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.06 0.07	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14 2.19
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation GO:0009416~response to light stimulus GO:0051606~detection of stimulus	Count 7 7 7 7 9 8 8 8	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47 1.31 1.31	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.06 0.07 0.11	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14 2.19 1.98
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation GO:0009416~response to light stimulus GO:0051606~detection of stimulus GO:0022400~regulation of rhodopsin	Count 7 7 7 7 9 8 8 8	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47 1.31 1.31	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.06 0.07 0.11	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14 2.19 1.98
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation GO:0009416~response to light stimulus GO:0051606~detection of stimulus GO:0022400~regulation of rhodopsin mediated signalling pathway	Count 7 7 7 7 9 8 8 8 3	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47 1.31 1.31 0.49	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.07 0.11	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14 2.19 1.98 4.99
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation GO:0009416~response to light stimulus GO:0051606~detection of stimulus GO:0022400~regulation of rhodopsin mediated signalling pathway GO:0016059~deactivation of rhodopsin	Count 7 7 7 7 9 8 8 8 3	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47 1.31 1.31 0.49	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.06 0.07 0.11	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14 2.19 1.98 4.99
Enrichment Score: 0.7904745828248017 Term GO:0007602~phototransduction GO:0009583~detection of light stimulus GO:0009582~detection of abiotic stimulus GO:0009581~detection of external stimulus GO:0009314~response to radiation GO:0009416~response to light stimulus GO:0051606~detection of stimulus GO:0022400~regulation of rhodopsin mediated signalling pathway GO:0016059~deactivation of rhodopsin mediated signalling	Count 7 7 7 7 7 9 8 8 8 8 3 3	% 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.47 1.31 1.31 0.49 0.49	PValue 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.07 0.11 0.12	Fold Enrichment 3.70 3.26 2.96 2.72 2.14 2.19 1.98 4.99

GO:0009586~rhodopsin mediated				
phototransduction	3	0.49	0.15	4.37
GO:0016056~rhodopsin mediated				
signalling pathway	3	0.49	0.16	4.11
GO:0007603~phototransduction, visible				
light	3	0.49	0.19	3.68
GO:0050908~detection of light stimulus				
involved in visual perception	3	0.49	0.23	3.33
GO:0050962~detection of light stimulus				
involved in sensory perception	3	0.49	0.24	3.17
GO:0008277~regulation of G-protein				
coupled receptor protein signalling pathway	3	0.49	0.24	3.17
GO:0009584~detection of visible light	3	0.49	0.29	2.79
GO:0007601~visual perception	5	0.82	0.33	1.71
GO:0050953~sensory perception of light				
stimulus	5	0.82	0.34	1.69
GO:0050906~detection of stimulus				
involved in sensory perception	3	0.49	0.64	1.40
vision	3	0.49	0.72	1.21
sensory transduction	6	0.98	0.74	0.98
GO:0050890~cognition	13	2.13	0.79	0.89
GO:0007600~sensory perception	9	1.47	0.89	0.78
Enrichment Score: 0.7746292167452069				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0004091~carboxylesterase activity	9	1.47	0.09	1.94
GO:0016298~lipase activity	8	1.31	0.10	2.01
GO:0004806~triacylglycerol lipase activity	3	0.49	0.51	1.77
Enrichment Score: 0.7287254533647473				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0035127~post-embryonic limb				
morphogenesis	4	0.65	0.13	3.21
GO:0007480~imaginal disc-derived leg				
morphogenesis	4	0.65	0.13	3.21

GO:0035109~imaginal disc-derived limb				
morphogenesis	4	0.65	0.14	3.10
GO:0007478~leg disc morphogenesis	4	0.65	0.15	3.00
GO:0007447~imaginal disc pattern				
formation	7	1.15	0.21	1.75
GO:0035110~leg morphogenesis	4	0.65	0.22	2.45
GO:0060173~limb development	4	0.65	0.23	2.39
GO:0035108~limb morphogenesis	4	0.65	0.23	2.39
GO:0035218~leg disc development	4	0.65	0.36	1.86
Enrichment Score: 0.7058090552956913				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0019320~hexose catabolic process	5	0.82	0.10	2.77
GO:0006007~glucose catabolic process	5	0.82	0.10	2.77
dme00620:Pyruvate metabolism	5	0.82	0.11	2.72
GO:0046365~monosaccharide catabolic				
process	5	0.82	0.11	2.71
dme00010:Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	5	0.82	0.12	2.56
GO:0044275~cellular carbohydrate				
catabolic process	5	0.82	0.16	2.33
GO:0046164~alcohol catabolic process	5	0.82	0.16	2.33
GO:0006096~glycolysis	4	0.65	0.18	2.74
GO:0006006~glucose metabolic process	5	0.82	0.25	1.94
GO:0016052~carbohydrate catabolic				
process	6	0.98	0.27	1.70
glycolysis	3	0.49	0.28	2.88
GO:0005996~monosaccharide metabolic				
process	6	0.98	0.38	1.47
GO:0019318~hexose metabolic process	5	0.82	0.49	1.39
GO:0006091~generation of precursor				
metabolites and energy	10	1.64	0.49	1.16
Enrichment Score: 0.6722522080814334				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0004091~carboxylesterase activity	9	1.47	0.09	1.94

IPR019819:Carboxylesterase type B,				
conserved site	3	0.49	0.15	4.29
IPR002018:Carboxylesterase, type B	4	0.65	0.19	2.62
Lipid metabolism	3	0.49	0.75	1.12
Enrichment Score: 0.638611044166274				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0048100~wing disc anterior/posterior				
pattern formation	3	0.49	0.10	5.37
GO:0035222~wing disc pattern formation	6	0.98	0.14	2.15
GO:0007447~imaginal disc pattern				
formation	7	1.15	0.21	1.75
GO:0045596~negative regulation of cell				
differentiation	6	0.98	0.21	1.89
GO:0007448~anterior/posterior pattern				
formation, imaginal disc	3	0.49	0.21	3.49
GO:0048190~wing disc dorsal/ventral				
pattern formation	4	0.65	0.33	1.98
GO:0007450~dorsal/ventral pattern				
formation, imaginal disc	4	0.65	0.41	1.72
GO:0009953~dorsal/ventral pattern				
formation	7	1.15	0.42	1.34
Enrichment Score: 0.6273746130923906				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0051119~sugar transmembrane				
transporter activity	4	0.65	0.12	3.31
IPR003663:Sugar/inositol transporter	3	0.49	0.12	4.90
GO:0005355~glucose transmembrane				
transporter activity	3	0.49	0.16	4.19
GO:0015149~hexose transmembrane				
transporter activity	3	0.49	0.21	3.53
GO:0015145~monosaccharide				
transmembrane transporter activity	3	0.49	0.26	3.05
GO:0055085~transmembrane transport	7	1.15	0.30	1.54

IPR005828:General substrate transporter	3	0.49	0.42	2.08
IPR005829:Sugar transporter, conserved				
site	3	0.49	0.63	1.43
Enrichment Score: 0.6242817651820919				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006378~mRNA polyadenylation	3	0.49	0.12	4.99
GO:0043631~RNA polyadenylation	3	0.49	0.16	4.11
GO:0031124~mRNA 3'-end processing	3	0.49	0.26	3.04
GO:0031123~RNA 3'-end processing	3	0.49	0.32	2.59
GO:0006403~RNA localization	6	0.98	0.47	1.33
Enrichment Score: 0.619127763528576				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0006397~mRNA processing	12	1.96	0.09	1.70
GO:0016071~mRNA metabolic process	13	2.13	0.11	1.60
GO:0008380~RNA splicing	9	1.47	0.13	1.78
GO:0006396~RNA processing	17	2.78	0.14	1.41
GO:0000377~RNA splicing, via				
transesterification reactions with bulged				
adenosine as nucleophile	6	0.98	0.40	1.44
GO:0000398~nuclear mRNA splicing, via				
spliceosome	6	0.98	0.40	1.44
GO:0000375~RNA splicing, via				
transesterification reactions	6	0.98	0.41	1.43
dme03040:Spliceosome	6	0.98	0.42	1.39
GO:0005681~spliceosome	4	0.65	0.49	1.51
Enrichment Score: 0.5807036437396773				
				Fold
Term	Count	%	PValue	Enrichment
GO:0051247~positive regulation of protein				
metabolic process	3	0.49	0.18	3.88
GO:0032270~positive regulation of cellular				
protein metabolic process	3	0.49	0.18	3.88

GO:0010604~positive regulation of				
macromolecule metabolic process	9	1.47	0.24	1.52
GO:0032268~regulation of cellular protein				
metabolic process	6	0.98	0.63	1.12

9.1.8 Significant gene expression changes of the OXPHOS knockdown and *TFAM* overexpression models in HIF responsive genes, identified by Li et al., 2013.

Gene Symbol CI CIII CIV CV TFAM CG11652 -2.24 CG4408 -2.03 -2.53 lectin-28C -2.67 <			Fold Change					
CG11652 -2.24 Image: CG4408 -2.03 -2.53 Iectin-28C -2.67 Image: CG31274 2.73 Image: CG31274 2.73 Image: CG31274 2.73 Image: CG31274 Image: CG32694 Image: CG32369 Image: CG3236 Image: CG32369 Ima	Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM		
CG4408 -2.03 -2.53 lectin-28C -2.67 CG31274 2.73 Gld 1.61 CG17724 -1.31 bnl 1.67	CG11652	-2.24						
lectin-28C -2.67	CG4408		-2.03		-2.53			
CG31274 2.73 Image: CG1724 1.61 Image: CG17724 Image: CG177724 Image: CG177774 Image: CG177774 Image: CG177774 Image: CG177774 Image: CG177774 Image: CG17774 <thimage: cg177774<="" th=""> Image: CG17774<td>lectin-28C</td><td>-2.67</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thimage:>	lectin-28C	-2.67						
Gld 1.61 -1.31 1 CG17724 -1.31 1.67 bnl 1.67 2.45 CG34104 2.45 2.45 CG34104 -3.22 -2.71 1 CG32694 -1.58 -1.58 1.63 CG32369 -2.62 1 1.63 ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 1.63 1.63 Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 1.63 CG7900 1.174 1.63 1.17 Syt7 1.174 1.51 1.17 Syt7 1.131 1.17 1.17 Syt7 1.131 1.17 1.17 Syt7 1.131 1.17 1.18 Comm2 1.131 1.10 1.109 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 1.141 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 1.37 TBCB 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67 RhoGAP15B 2.91	CG31274	2.73						
CG17724 -1.31 1.67 bnl 1.67 2.45 CG34104 2.45 2.45 CG32694 -1.58 -1.58 CG32369 -2.62 1.63 ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 2.81 CG7900 -1.34 -1.64 1.63 CG7900 -1.34 -1.64 1.17 Syt7 -1.52 1.17 1.17 Syt7 -1.52 1.17 1.17 Syt7 -1.31 -1.52 1.17 CG13117 -1.31 -1.64 -1.09 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 -1.48 comm2 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 1.37 1.42 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 7.1.25 7.1.46	Gld	1.61						
bnl 1.67 CG34104 2.45 CG14957 -3.22 -2.71 CG32694 -1.58 CG32369 -2.62 ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 1.63 Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 -1.48 CG7900 1.17 1.17 Syt7 1.93 1.17 Syt7 1.93 1.17 CG13117 1.131 1.17 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 -1.48 comm2 1.166 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.166 -1.61 1.137 spir 1.37 1.42 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 1.22	CG17724		-1.31					
CG34104 2.45 CG14957 -3.22 -2.71 CG32694 -1.58 CG32369 -2.62 ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 -1.63 Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 -1.48 CG7900 1.17 -1.48 -1.48 CG43078 2.38 1.17 -1.52 MESK2 1.93 -1.52 -1.52 MESK2 1.93 -1.131 -1.09 CG13117 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 dream 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 -1.46 -1.25	bnl				1.67			
CG14957 -3.22 -2.71 Image: constraint of the system of the syst	CG34104					2.45		
CG32694 -1.58 CG32369 -2.62 ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 - - Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 - - CG7900 -1.64 - - - - CG43078 2.38 -	CG14957	-3.22	-2.71					
CG32369 -2.62 ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 - - - Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 -	CG32694					-1.58		
ptr 5.53 6.4 2.81 CG18135 1.63 1.63 1.63 Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 1.63 CG7900 -1.34 -1.64 1.63 CG7900 -1.34 -1.64 1.63 CG7900 -1.34 -1.64 1.17 CG43078 2.38 1.17 1.17 Syt7 -1.52 1.17 1.17 Syt7 -1.52 1.17 1.17 MESK2 1.93 -1.52 1.17 MESK2 1.93 -1.8 -1.09 CG13117 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 1.37 dream -1.25 -1.46 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 1.22 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	CG32369			-2.62				
CG18135 1.63 Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 CG7900 -1.48 CG43078 2.38 -1.48 CG43078 2.38 1.17 fog -1.48 -1.48 CG43078 2.38 1.17 Syt7 -1.52 1.17 MESK2 1.93 -1.52 MESK2 1.93 -1.52 CG13117 -1.31 -1.8 comm2 -1.66 -1.61 -1.09 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir -1.25 -1.46 -1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 -1.41 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	ptr		5.53	6.4		2.81		
Paip2 -1.34 -1.64 Image: CG7900 Image: -1.48 CG7900 2.38 Image: CG43078 2.38 Image: CG43078 -1.48 CG43078 2.38 Image: CG43078 2.38 Image: CG43078 1.17 Syt7 Image: CG43078 2.38 Image: CG43078 Image: CG430	CG18135				1.63			
CG7900 -1.48 CG43078 2.38 fog 1.17 fog -1.52 MESK2 1.93 RnrS -1.31 CG13117 -1.8 comm2 -1.66 CG4783 -1.66 JosecGM11932 1.74 spir 1.37 IBCB -1.25 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	Paip2	-1.34	-1.64					
CG43078 2.38 Image: CG43078 1mild constraints fog 1 1 1.17 Syt7 1.93 -1.52 1.93 MESK2 1.93 1 1 RnrS -1.31 1 1 1 CG13117 1 1 1.17 1.09 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 1.74 1.51 1.37 DsecGM11932 1 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1 1 1.37 1.42 dream 1 1.25 -1.46 1.22 TBCB 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	CG7900					-1.48		
fog Image: Image in the system Image in the system Image in the system Image in the system Syt7 Image in the system MESK2 Image in the system Image in the system<	CG43078	2.38						
Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 MESK2 1.93 Image: Syt7 RnrS -1.31 Image: Syt7 CG13117 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 Image: Syt7 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 Image: Syt7 DsecGM11932 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 spir Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 dream Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 TBCB Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 Image: Syt7 <t< td=""><td>fog</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.17</td></t<>	fog					1.17		
MESK2 1.93 1.93 RnrS -1.31 1 CG13117 1.31 -1.8 comm2 1.66 -1.61 -1.09 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.37 1.42 1.37 1.42 dream -1.25 -1.46 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67 i 1.37 1.37 1.37 1.37	Syt7				-1.52			
RnrS -1.31 -1.8 CG13117 -1.8 -1.09 comm2 -1.66 -1.61 -1.09 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 1.37 dream 1.22 1.25 -1.46 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	MESK2	1.93						
CG13117 -1.8 comm2 -1.66 CG4783 -1.66 DsecGM11932 1.74 DsecGM11932 1.74 Instructure 1.37 spir 1.37 dream 1.22 TBCB -1.25 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	RnrS	-1.31						
comm2 -1.66 -1.61 -1.09 CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 1.37 1.42 dream 1.22 1.22 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 1.22 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	CG13117				-1.8			
CG4783 -1.66 -1.61 -1.41 DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 1.37 dream 1.22 1.22 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 1.22 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	comm2					-1.09		
DsecGM11932 1.74 1.51 1.37 spir 1.37 1.42 dream 1.22 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	CG4783	-1.66	-1.61			-1.41		
spir 1.37 1.42 dream 1.22 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	DsecGM11932			1.74	1.51	1.37		
dream 1.22 TBCB -1.25 -1.46 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	spir				1.37	1.42		
TBCB -1.25 -1.46 RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	dream					1.22		
RhoGAP15B 2.91 3.23 2.28 2.67	ТВСВ		-1.25	-1.46				
	RhoGAP15B		2.91	3.23	2.28	2.67		
scyl 1.21 1.27	scyl				1.21	1.27		
CG10623 1.3	CG10623	1.3						
th -1.28	th				-1.28			

	Fold Change				
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
daw					-1.97
Rgk2				5.17	
RpL28		-3.04		-2.57	-2.46
pdgy				1.25	
lz.			1.33	1.61	1.7
Glut1				-1.41	-1.57
Spt-I		-1.27		-1.23	-1.11
CG1542	-1.3				
CG10581				-2.3	-1.63
CG31809			-6.74		
CG12264	1.34			1.69	
CG13810		2.54			
nop5				1.22	
CG8326				1.35	
CG11318		3.17			
CG9630				1.3	
CG5789	1.35			1.7	
CG32053			-9.34		
Hsc70-5				1.39	
SdhB	-1.19				
CG7845				1.17	
CG8531		1.18		1.34	
CG8728				1.29	
obst-J				4.01	
escl				1.52	
Got2				1.31	
CG3803				1.27	
be			-1.7		
ns1				1.12	
CG14906	1.73			2.14	1.55
<i>l</i> (2)37 <i>Cg</i>		-1.2			
JhI-21				1.94	1.39
Arc1	3.61	2.37		3.05	1.64
UGP	4.4				
EfTuM				1.15	

	Fold Change				
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
CG2076	1.2	1.46		1.69	1.32
Fdxh		1.21		1.55	
CG11158	1.38				
CG4623				-2.51	
<i>p</i> 24-2	-10.43				
Сурбv1			2.89	3.7	2.58
AdSS				1.45	1.31
CG43739		-1.98			
Ast-C				2.42	
CG42684		3.81			
Amy-d				5.34	
mbl		-3.98			-2.59
PGRP-LF	3.18				
CG3608				2.18	1.64
Hmgs	1.27			1.43	1.34
Aatf	2.06				
CG6512	1.65			1.46	1.14
CG3476				1.99	
CG30022	1.39			1.34	
CG1894		-1.68			
Shawn				1.46	
Jafrac1				1.29	
CG10638		1.34			
mthl8	-2.62	-1.41		-1.97	-1.37
CG8066					-1.44
РМСА			3.17		
Alr				1.66	
CG31148		6.94			
CG1882				1.97	
Arc2	5.7	4.44		4.43	
CG3940	-1.19				
Mocs1	2.57				
CG10420				1.46	
CG30017		-18.27		-1.37	
Pdp1				2.04	1.8

	Fold Change				
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
CG14237					-3.57
CG10182	2.9				
CG15093				1.33	
CG10918				-11.29	-9.18
Myo28B1			8.6	8.94	
CG2064	1.85	1.49		2.28	1.26
CG2065		1.56		2.36	1.58
l(2)03659		1.31		2.31	
bor				1.62	1.53
CG14906		1.43		1.41	1.49
Nop60B	1.3	-1.44		-1.11	-1.13
Hsp22	10.75	8.4		12.43	7.96
CG3706		2.76			
Arc1	19.02	7.02	5.78	9.2	5.68
CG15347		2.47			
CG6295					-4.6
CG32850	1.94				
LysX				-3.02	
CG31974		1.41			
SCAP	-3.48				
CG10910				-2.35	
pst			-2.12		1.69
Pepck			-3.5	3.34	
GstD2	4.4	4.41		4.23	2.09
Cyp9b2		2.82		2.93	
Cyp9b1		2.48	1.55		
spok				-6.69	
Est-Q					-5.33
NTPase				1.63	1.28
w		5.88		6.16	
CG13659					1.38
CG42335	-4.66				
CG33468	-3.97				
Cyp4p2		53.45			
Сур28а5	-4.92				

	Fold Change				
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
LysP		19.19			
у	28.12			1.92	
Сурба8	1.45				
CG2177	2.03	-9.51	2.21	2.05	-14.83
CG10559		-3.99	-2.29	-8.2	-5.76
CG5550		6.97			
CG15695					2.66
Rala				1.16	
CG8087				-3.22	-5.47
RFeSP	-9.63	-5.43	-6.16	-4.32	2.11
CG13658					6
Сурба17	179.91	8.29	4.19		
Jon66Cii		9.57		6.92	5.93
Mur29B		-1.31		-1.48	
Eip74EF	1.67				
CG10178	-13.51				
CG14945				1.25	
mnd				1.26	1.24
CG1773					-6.67
spz6	2.24	2.39			
CG1969					1.26
CG30083				-2.74	
Cpr65Ec				-1.94	-1.65
CG13488			-12.2		
RpS29					2.01
Pect	1.14			1.17	
Sp7	1.4				
CG7442				1.27	
WRNexo				-1.34	
CG5789					1.54
Jon66Ci		12.16			4.8
Art8				-1.1	
SpdS			1.36		
CG6961	1.07		-1.13		
Treh				1.74	

	Fold Change				
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
CrzR				-1.92	
CG10527	-1.68			1.33	
CG15044			3.76		
CG14132	-1.95				
icln				1.09	
CG30375		2.67			
CG10903				1.17	
Gbp				-5.97	
CG11583					-1.1
Nop60B		-1.39			
CG9667		1.26		1.3	
Art3	-1.17				
CG17219				1.48	
pr				1.15	
CG42235		1.46		2.16	1.81
CG33099				-2.29	-2.18
CG9669			-1.17		
ТВРН					1.5
CG13295					-1.38
RNaseX25			1.62	2.21	1.77
Gapdh2				1.72	
spict				-1.51	
Wbp2				1.36	
CG11367	1.1	1.11			
dm			1.67	1.4	
CG31635					1.65
CG30413					-5.03
dgo				2.4	1.83
kek1	-1.97			-2.2	
Acp24A4			3.82		
CG10274		1.2			
CG2812	-1.56				
ect					-1.54
CG7686				1.19	
Met			-13.06	-3.14	

]	Fold Change		
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM
Acsl					1.27
Tsp42Eg	-6.36				
CG8399		-1.15		1.86	
Pi3K59F				-1.66	-1.71
CG10916	-1.58			-1.78	
Thor		6.89		9.88	3.77
CG14196		-3.97			
CG31810			7.29		
Rbp1				1.96	1.39
CG14608				2.15	
Bzd					-1.24
CG12081				-1.28	
Dgp-1	1.88	2.42	2.53	2.53	
Tsp42Er		2.96			
CG12576					1.18
yuri				2.13	1.78
CG17855			-4.75		
CG5639					-2.17
CG34376		-1.47			
Gadd45				5.38	
DOR					-1.58
CG10163		15.93		22.2	
CG43980		3.14			
Pkcdelta		7.53		8.24	
CG9449	-1.38				
<i>c11.1</i>	1.62			1.57	1.71
CG2217					1.27
Osi2			-6.2		
Timp				-1.24	
ImpL2					1.28
hebe				1.72	
Hex-A				3.25	1.82
CG5080		-1.19			
CG13868		1.97			
Cyp4p1		24.25			

	Fold Change								
Gene Symbol	CI	CIII	CIV	CV	TFAM				
Wwox		-1.46		-1.47	-1.27				
CG1113		3.52		3.48					
asparagine-synthetase				1.67					
CG3838					1.34				
CG15784	15.54	4	3.19	7.34	3.16				
CG8630	-1.38								
MFS3				1.8					

9.2 Modifier screen appendices

9.2.1 RNAi lines screened in the modifier wing screen.

The outcome of the screen is in the result column. Any lines that had a phenotype alone were excluded from the screen, so the result reads 'Excluded'. Any RNAi that in combination with *TFAM* knockdown scored a 3 or 4 is termed an 'Enhancer', if the wing curve was reduced the line is termed a 'Suppressor', if the score was lower than a 3 then the result is 'no effect'. The confirmed column refers to confirmation of the result with an independent RNAi for the same gene. If this is blank, then an alternative RNAi has not been tested.

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG3317 0	FBgn00531 70	33170	102433		Enhancer	N
Enhancer of bithorax	E(bx)	FBgn00005 41	32346	24740		Enhancer	N
breathless	btl	FBgn00055 92	32134	27106		Enhancer	Ν
-	CG3132 4	FBgn00513 24	31324	107220		Enhancer	Ν
scribbled	scrib	FBgn02632 89	31082	102821		Enhancer	N
pyrexia	рух	FBgn00351 13	17142	110130		Enhancer	N
dawdle	daw	FBgn00314 61	16987	105309		Enhancer	N
Inositol 1,4,5- triphosphat e kinase 2		FBgn02663 75	15745	102730		Enhancer	N
gamma- glutamyl carboxylas e	GC	FBgn00352 45	13927	109613		Enhancer	Ν
Neuroligin 2	Nlg2	FBgn00318 66	13772	107166		Enhancer	Ν
-	CG1165 8	FBgn00361 96	11658	108611		Enhancer	N
twin of eyeless	toy	FBgn00196 50	11186	110353		Enhancer	N
Disabled	Dab	FBgn00004 14	9695	109646		Enhancer	Ν
-	CG9095	FBgn00306 17	9095	104608		Enhancer	Ν
Imitation SWI	Iswi	FBgn00116 04	8625	24505		Enhancer	N
Sodium/sol ute co- transporter -like 5A11	SLC5A1 1	FBgn00319 98	8451	104177		Enhancer	N
-	Wdr62	FBgn00313 74	7337	110764		Enhancer	Ν

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
taranis	tara	FBgn00400 71	6889	107508		Enhancer	Ν
-	CG6847	FBgn00308 84	6847	22451		Enhancer	N
plum	plum	FBgn00394 31	6490	101135		Enhancer	Ν
MORF- related gene 15	MRG15	FBgn00273 78	6363	110618		Enhancer	Ν
arrow	arr	FBgn00001 19	5912		HMC0 3571	Enhancer	Ν
-	CG5466	FBgn00388 15	5466	104522		Enhancer	N
-	CG5455	FBgn00394 30	5455	104118		Enhancer	N
-	CG5059	FBgn00370 07	5059	107493		Enhancer	N
GATAd	GATAd	FBgn00322 23	5034	100389		Enhancer	N
elbow B	elB	FBgn00048 58	4220	104620		Enhancer	N
spoonbill	spoon	FBgn02639 87	3249	105107		Enhancer	N
Integrator 6	IntS6	FBgn02613 83	3125	110612		Enhancer	N
late bloomer	lbm	FBgn00160 32	2374	102739		Enhancer	N
-	CG2225	FBgn00329 57	2225	102815		Enhancer	N
ATP- dependent chromatin assembly factor large subunit	Acf	FBgn00276 20	1966	33447		Enhancer	N
-	CG8778	FBgn00337 61	8778	105442		Enhancer	Opposite
branchless	bnl	FBgn00141 35	4608	5732		Enhancer	Y
Dual- specificity tyrosine phosphoryl ation- regulated kinase 2	Dyrk2	FBgn00169 30	4551	101376		Enhancer	Y

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Heat shock gene 67Bc	Hsp67B c	FBgn00012 29	4190	103974		Enhancer	Y
Reticulon- like1	Rtnl1	FBgn00531 13	33113	110545		Enhancer	Y
X11Lbeta	X11Lbet a	FBgn00526 77	32677	14872		Enhancer	Y
defective proboscis extension response 8	dpr8	FBgn00526 00	32600	106791		Enhancer	Y
Keren	Krn	FBgn00521 79	32179	6119		Enhancer	Y
-	CG1854 9	FBgn00380 53	18549	107272		Enhancer	Y
Adenylate kinase 1	Adk1	FBgn00227 09	17146	104475		Enhancer	Y
sloppy paired 1	slp1	FBgn00034 30	16738	107562		Enhancer	Y
5- hydroxytry ptamine (serotonin) receptor 1A	5-HT1A	FBgn00041 68	16720		16720 R-1	Enhancer	Y
Capability receptor	CapaR	FBgn00371 00	14575	13384		Enhancer	Y
distal antenna- related	danr	FBgn00392 83	13651	11514		Enhancer	Y
Forkhead box K	FoxK	FBgn00361 34	11799	110151		Enhancer	Y
Phosphogl ucose isomerase	Pgi	FBgn00030 74	8251	103616		Enhancer	Y
Wnt oncogene analog 5	Wnt5	FBgn00101 94	6407	101621		Enhancer	Y
-	CG6330	FBgn00394 64	6330	104776		Enhancer	Y
-	CG5599	FBgn00306 12	5599	106456		Enhancer	Y
-	CG4004	FBgn00304 18	4004	104537		Enhancer	Y
phtf	phtf	FBgn00285 79	3268	103578		Enhancer	Y
Phosphogly cerate kinase	Pgk	FBgn02509 06	3127	110081		Enhancer	Y
Amun	Amun	FBgn00303 28	2446	104808		Enhancer	Y
lethal (1) G0289	l(1)G02 89	FBgn00283 31	2221	107283		Enhancer	Y

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG2124	FBgn00302 17	2124	106235		Enhancer	Y
tropomodul in	tmod	FBgn00825 82	1539	108389		Enhancer	Y
-	CG1880 9	FBgn00421 32	18809	20702		Enhancer	
-	ZAP3	FBgn00526 85	32685	22781		Enhancer	
-	CG3252 1	FBgn00525 21	32521	110002		Enhancer	
stathmin	stai	FBgn02665 21	31641	32370		Enhancer	
-	CG3143 6	FBgn00514 36	31436	107606		Enhancer	
-	CG1773 4	FBgn00378 90	17734	102605		Enhancer	
Lipase 2	Lip2	FBgn00247 40	17116	102033		Enhancer	
roquin	roq	FBgn00366 21	16807	23843		Enhancer	
defective proboscis extension response 6	dpr6	FBgn00408 23	14162	103521		Enhancer	
caskin	ckn	FBgn00339 87	12424	25222		Enhancer	
-	DOR	FBgn00355 42	11347	105330		Enhancer	
Cytochrom e P450-6a9	Сурба9	FBgn00137 71	10246	100143		Enhancer	
-	CG6154	FBgn00394 20	6154	23008		Enhancer	
-	CG4477 4	FBgn02660 00	4068	103486		Enhancer	
encore	enc	FBgn00048 75	10847	101500		Excluded	
-	CG2970	FBgn00349 36	2970	110562		Excluded	
dead end	dnd	FBgn00389 16	6560	104311		Excluded	
eIF5B	eIF5B	FBgn00262 59	10840	109782		Excluded	
Heterogene ous nuclear ribonucleo protein at 27C	Hrb27C	FBgn00048 38	10377	101555		Excluded	
Zinc-finger protein	Zif	FBgn00374 46	10267	100204		Excluded	
-	CG6227	FBgn00306 31	6227	110778		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Rab11	Rab11	FBgn00157 90	5771	108382	_	Excluded	
bonus	bon	FBgn00230 97	5206	101737		Excluded	
Rab5	Rab5	FBgn00140 10	3664	103945		Excluded	
S- adenosylme thionine Synthetase	Sam-S	FBgn00052 78	2674	103143		Excluded	
Vacuolar protein sorting 15	Vps15	FBgn02609 35	9746	110706		Excluded	
mitochondr ial ribosomal protein S11	mRpS11	FBgn00384 74	5184	106653		Excluded	
grainy head	grh	FBgn02592 11	42311	101428		Excluded	
grainy head	grh	FBgn02592 11	42311	106879		Excluded	
Nipped-A	Nipped- A	FBgn00535 54	33554	44781		Excluded	
Nipped-A	Nipped- A	FBgn00535 54	33554	40789		Excluded	
Nipped-A	Nipped- A	FBgn00535 54	33554	40790		Excluded	
Nipped-A	Nipped- A	FBgn00535 54	33554	52436		Excluded	
Nipped-A	Nipped- A	FBgn00535 54	33554	52487		Excluded	
nab	nab	FBgn02599 86	33545	104811		Excluded	
Hormone receptor 3	Hr3	FBgn00004 48	33183	106837		Excluded	
-	CG3318 1	FBgn00531 81	33181	103142		Excluded	
-	CG3312 9	FBgn00531 29	33129	107365		Excluded	
-	CG3281 3	FBgn00528 13	32813	101839		Excluded	
-	CG3276 7	FBgn00527 67	32767	42336		Excluded	
lethal (1) G0320	l(1)G03 20	FBgn00283 27	32701	110344		Excluded	
-	CG3268 3	FBgn00526 83	32683	104029		Excluded	
Autophagy- related 8a	Atg8a	FBgn00526 72	32672	109654		Excluded	
Death- associated	Drak	FBgn00526 66	32666	107263		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
protein kinase related							
-	CG3264 7	FBgn00526 47	32647	104082		Excluded	
lethal (1) G0007	<i>l</i> (1)G00 07	FBgn00267 13	32604	103940		Excluded	
-	CG3254 9	FBgn00525 49	32549	103916		Excluded	
bves	bves	FBgn00311 50	32513	104719		Excluded	
-	CG3226 4	FBgn00522 64	32264	101503		Excluded	
Ecdysone- induced protein 74EF	Eip74E F	FBgn00005 67	32180	105301		Excluded	
Formin- like	Frl	FBgn02677 95	32138	110438		Excluded	
RNA- binding Fox protein 1	Rbfox1	FBgn00520 62	32062	110518		Excluded	
Centaurin gamma 1A	CenG1A	FBgn00285 09	31811	100123		Excluded	
virus- induced RNA 1	vir-1	FBgn00438 41	31764	102534		Excluded	
Cnot 4 homologue	Cnot4	FBgn00517 16	31716	110472		Excluded	
Trissin receptor	TrissinR	FBgn00854 10	31645	42759		Excluded	
-	Unc- 115a	FBgn00513 52	31352	106405		Excluded	
curled	си	FBgn02618 08	31299	109759		Excluded	
couch potato	сро	FBgn02639 95	31243	14385		Excluded	
Lipophorin receptor 2	LpR2	FBgn00510 92	31092	107597		Excluded	
hephaestus	heph	FBgn00112 24	31000	110749		Excluded	
boca	boca	FBgn00041 32	30498	108406		Excluded	
Prosap	Prosap	FBgn00407 52	30483	103592		Excluded	
-	CG3034 0	FBgn00503 40	30340	7387		Excluded	
Tetraspani n 42Ea	Tsp42E a	FBgn00295 08	18817	109172		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
moira	mor	FBgn00027 83	18740	110712		Excluded	
Furin 2	Fur2	FBgn00045 98	18734	101242		Excluded	
-	CG1859 6	FBgn00389 53	18596	108183		Excluded	
Insulin-like receptor	InR	FBgn02834 99	18402	991		Excluded	
Insulin-like receptor	InR	FBgn02834 99	18402	992		Excluded	
TATA box binding protein- related factor 2	Trf2	FBgn02617 93	18009	101318		Excluded	
Syncrip	Syp	FBgn00388 26	17838	110542		Excluded	
-	CG1781 6	FBgn00375 25	17816	103210		Excluded	
G protein alpha q subunit	Galphaq	FBgn00044 35	17759	19088		Excluded	
frizzled	fz	FBgn00010 85	17697	105493		Excluded	
Enolase	Eno	FBgn00005 79	17654	110090		Excluded	
-	CG1754 4	FBgn00327 75	17544	110169		Excluded	
derailed	drl	FBgn00153 80	17348	100039		Excluded	
levy	levy	FBgn00348 77	17280	101523		Excluded	
grapes	grp	FBgn02612 78	17161	110076		Excluded	
homothora x	hth	FBgn00012 35	17117		17117 R-2	Excluded	
homothora x	hth	FBgn00012 35	17117	12763		Excluded	
homothora x	hth	FBgn00012 35	17117	100630		Excluded	
-	CG3229 5	FBgn02604 80	16757	105888		Excluded	
zipper	zip	FBgn02654 34	15792	7819		Excluded	
-	CG1577 1	FBgn00298 01	15771	106331		Excluded	
-	CG1544 5	FBgn00311 61	15445	106271		Excluded	
Casein kinase II	CkIIbeta	FBgn00002 59	15224	106845		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
beta subunit					_		
Pak3	Pak3	FBgn00448 26	14895	107260		Excluded	
-	CG1476 7	FBgn00407 77	14767	105373		Excluded	
Tachykinin	Tk	FBgn00379 76	14734	103662		Excluded	
Cytochrom e c oxidase subunit 5A	COX5A	FBgn00196 24	14724	109070		Excluded	
-	CG1472 2	FBgn00379 43	14722	105127		Excluded	
Bromodom ain containing 8	Brd8	FBgn00396 54	14514	104879		Excluded	
Glutamate receptor binding protein	Grip	FBgn00298 30	14447	103551		Excluded	
-	<i>CG1443</i> 8	FBgn00298 99	14438	100109		Excluded	
-	CG1432 2	FBgn00385 32	14322	105876		Excluded	
-	CG1429 1	FBgn00386 60	14291	107384		Excluded	
Cytochrom e c oxidase subunit 6B	COX6B	FBgn00310 66	14235	26848		Excluded	
domeless	dome	FBgn00439 03	14226	19717		Excluded	
domeless	dome	FBgn00439 03	14226	106071		Excluded	
-	CG1421 5	FBgn00310 52	14215	103547		Excluded	
vrille	vri	FBgn00160 76	14029	110751		Excluded	
-	CG1391 7	FBgn00352 37	13917	32082		Excluded	
-	CG1378 4	FBgn00318 97	13784	110080		Excluded	
MRG/MOR F4L binding protein	MrgBP	FBgn00333 41	13746	41402		Excluded	
MRG/MOR F4L binding protein	MrgBP	FBgn00333 41	13746	41403		Excluded	
pyramus	pyr	FBgn00336 49	13194	36524		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG1293 5	FBgn00335 47	12935	100154	_	Excluded	
Esa1- associated factor 6	Eaf6	FBgn00356 24	12756	101457		Excluded	
olf413	olf413	FBgn00371 53	12673	104097		Excluded	
Mothers against dpp	Mad	FBgn00116 48	12399	12635		Excluded	
Lachesin	Lac	FBgn00102 38	12369	107450		Excluded	
glial cells missing	gcm	FBgn00141 79	12245	2961		Excluded	
glial cells missing	gcm	FBgn00141 79	12245	2962		Excluded	
glial cells missing	gcm	FBgn00141 79	12245	110539		Excluded	
licorne	lic	FBgn02615 24	12244	106822		Excluded	
lethal (1) G0156	l(1)G01 56	FBgn00272 91	12233	106091		Excluded	
Dorsal switch protein 1	Dsp1	FBgn02786 08	12223	101327		Excluded	
baiser	bai	FBgn00458 66	11785	100612		Excluded	
Neural conserved at 73EF	Nc73EF	FBgn00103 52	11661	107713		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein L6	RpL6	FBgn00398 57	11522	107302		Excluded	
-	CG1148 6	FBgn00353 97	11486	106497		Excluded	
-	CG1124 1	FBgn00371 86	11241	110651		Excluded	
lethal (3) 04053	l(3)0405 3	FBgn00108 30	11238	31465		Excluded	
DNA methyltrans ferase 1 associated protein 1	DMAP1	FBgn00345 37	11132	103734		Excluded	
Mesoderm- expressed 2	Mes2	FBgn00372 07	11100	109111		Excluded	
Activin- beta	Actbeta	FBgn00249 13	11062		11062 R-1	Excluded	
-	CG1094 9	FBgn00328 58	10949	107251		Excluded	
-	CG1090 3	FBgn00375 43	10903	109610		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG1089 8	FBgn00379 11	10898	103422		Excluded	
lethal (2) 37Cc	l(2)37C c	FBgn00020 31	10691	12360		Excluded	
vein	vn	FBgn00039 84	10491	50358		Excluded	
-	CG1047 9	FBgn00356 56	10479	106226		Excluded	
p21- activated kinase	Pak	FBgn02676 98	10295	108937		Excluded	
Rm62	Rm62	FBgn00032 61	10279	110102		Excluded	
Topoisome rase 2	Top2	FBgn00037 32	10223	30625		Excluded	
Vacuolar protein sorting 8	Vps8	FBgn00357 04	10144	105952		Excluded	
-	CG1013 7	FBgn00328 00	10137	100007		Excluded	
Epidermal growth factor receptor	Egfr	FBgn00037 31	10079	43267		Excluded	
Epidermal growth factor receptor	Egfr	FBgn00037 31	10079	43268		Excluded	
Allatostatin A receptor 2	AstA-R2	FBgn00395 95	10001	1326		Excluded	
Allatostatin A receptor 2	AstA-R2	FBgn00395 95	10001	1327		Excluded	
Partner of paired	Рра	FBgn00202 57	9952	100298		Excluded	
transformin g acidic coiled-coil protein	tacc	FBgn00266 20	9765	101439		Excluded	
Neprilysin 2	Nep2	FBgn00275 70	9761	102584		Excluded	
reptin	rept	FBgn00400 75	9750		9750R- 1	Excluded	
reptin	rept	FBgn00400 75	9750		HMS0 0410	Excluded	
Tumor susceptibili ty gene 101	TSG101	FBgn00366 66	9712	23944		Excluded	
domino	dom	FBgn00203 06	9696	7787		Excluded	
Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
---	----------------	-----------------	------	------------	-----------------------	----------	-----------
-	CG9674	FBgn00366 63	9674	100170		Excluded	
Sex comb on midleg	Scm	FBgn00033 34	9495	109597		Excluded	
-	CG9399	FBgn00377 15	9399	101455		Excluded	
Acetyl Coenzyme A synthase	AcCoAS	FBgn00120 34	9390	100281		Excluded	
skywalker	sky	FBgn00329 01	9339	108736		Excluded	
varicose	vari	FBgn02507 85	9326	104548		Excluded	
-	CG9257	FBgn00329 16	9257	103425		Excluded	
Lipid storage droplet-2	Lsd-2	FBgn00306 08	9057	40734		Excluded	
tay bridge	tay	FBgn02609 38	9056	107891		Excluded	
Glycerol 3 phosphate dehydrogen ase	Gpdh	FBgn00011 28	9042	105359		Excluded	
Ral guanine nucleotide dissociatio n stimulator- like ortholog (M. musculus)	Rgl	FBgn00263 76	8865	106468		Excluded	
Sin3A	Sin3A	FBgn00227 64	8815	105852		Excluded	
wallenda	wnd	FBgn00368 96	8789	103410		Excluded	
-	CG8728	FBgn00332 35	8728	110093		Excluded	
trithorax	trx	FBgn00038 62	8651	108122		Excluded	
-	CG8602	FBgn00357 63	8602	101575		Excluded	
scalloped	sd	FBgn00033 45	8544	101497		Excluded	
combgap	cg	FBgn00002 89	8367	102054		Excluded	
sulfateless	sfl	FBgn00202 51	8339	5070		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG8272	FBgn00333 37	8272	106686		Excluded	
Glyceropho sphate oxidase-1	Gpo-1	FBgn00221 60	8256	110608		Excluded	
PDGF- and VEGF- receptor related	Pvr	FBgn00320 06	8222	105353		Excluded	
Methyl- CpG binding domain protein-like	MBD- like	FBgn00279 50	8208	107151		Excluded	
Ecdysone- induced protein 75B	Eip75B	FBgn00005 68	8127	108399		Excluded	
Mi-2	Mi-2	FBgn02625 19	8103	107204		Excluded	
Vacuolar H[+] ATPase 44kD subunit	Vha44	FBgn02625 11	8048	101527		Excluded	
-	CG8034	FBgn00310 11	8034		HMS0 0331	Excluded	
Vacuolar H[+] ATPase AC45 accessory subunit	VhaAC4 5	FBgn02625 15	8029	101726		Excluded	
-	CG7991	FBgn00352 60	7991	109922		Excluded	
-	CG7971	FBgn00352 53	7971	101384		Excluded	
-	CG7966	FBgn00381 15	7966		7966R- 1	Excluded	
similar	sima	FBgn02664 11	7951	106187		Excluded	
Actin- related protein 5	Arp5	FBgn00385 76	7940	110235		Excluded	
PNGase- like	Pngl	FBgn00330 50	7865	103607		Excluded	
stripe	sr	FBgn00034 99	7847	105282		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein S8	RpS8	FBgn00397 13	7808	106835		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Enhancer of Polycomb	E(Pc)	FBgn00005 81	7776	35268		Excluded	
schnurri	shn	FBgn00033 96	7734	105643		Excluded	
Mekk1	Mekk1	FBgn00243 29	7717	110339		Excluded	
-	CG7694	FBgn00386 27	7694	108995		Excluded	
charybde	chrb	FBgn00361 65	7533	105757		Excluded	
-	CG7488	FBgn00381 06	7488	106677		Excluded	
Histone deacetylase 1	HDAC1	FBgn00158 05	7471	30599		Excluded	
Histone deacetylase 1	HDAC1	FBgn00158 05	7471	30600		Excluded	
Histone deacetylase 1	HDAC1	FBgn00158 05	7471	46929		Excluded	
Histone deacetylase 1	HDAC1	FBgn00158 05	7471	46930		Excluded	
osa	osa	FBgn02618 85	7467	7810		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein L22	RpL22	FBgn00152 88	7434	104506		Excluded	
effete	eff	FBgn00112 17	7425		7425R- 2	Excluded	
Retinoblast oma-family protein	Rbf	FBgn00157 99	7413	10696		Excluded	
Fatty acid (long chain) transport protein	Fatp	FBgn02678 28	7400	100124		Excluded	
Lipase 1	Lip1	FBgn00234 96	7279	18107		Excluded	
Glycogen phosphoryl ase	GlyP	FBgn00045 07	7254	109596		Excluded	
-	CG7222	FBgn00335 51	7222	34377		Excluded	
Cytochrom e c oxidase subunit 8	COX8	FBgn02639 11	7181	104047		Excluded	
scully	scu	FBgn00217 65	7113	110802		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
happyhour	hppy	FBgn02633 95	7097	103580		Excluded	
Brahma associated protein 111kD	Bap111	FBgn00300 93	7055	104361		Excluded	
gilgamesh	gish	FBgn02508 23	6963	106826		Excluded	
Oscillin	Oscillin	FBgn00317 17	6957	106685		Excluded	
lethal (3) neo38	l(3)neo3 8	FBgn02652 76	6930	107927		Excluded	
MYPT-75D	MYPT- 75D	FBgn00368 01	6896	109909		Excluded	
tolkin	tok	FBgn00048 85	6863	110432		Excluded	
-	CG6770	FBgn00324 00	6770	102402		Excluded	
Cysteine proteinase- 1	Cp1	FBgn00137 70	6692	110619		Excluded	
klingon	klg	FBgn00175 90	6669	102502		Excluded	
klingon	klg	FBgn00175 90	6669	108818		Excluded	
dorsal	dl	FBgn02606 32	6667	10549		Excluded	
Fasciclin 1	Fas1	FBgn02627 42	6588	101779		Excluded	
Brahma associated protein 55kD	Bap55	FBgn00257 16	6546	24703		Excluded	
Brahma associated protein 55kD	Bap55	FBgn00257 16	6546	24704		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein L18A	RpL18A	FBgn00104 09	6510	107278		Excluded	
-	CG6422	FBgn00392 61	6422	106251		Excluded	
UDP-N- acetyl- alpha-D- galactosam ine:polypep tide N- acetylgalac tosaminyltr ansferase	GalNAc -T2	FBgn00309 30	6394	105160		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
2							
female lethal d	fl(2)d	FBgn00006 62	6315	103361		Excluded	
twins	tws	FBgn00048 89	6235	104167		Excluded	
Surfeit 4	Surf4	FBgn00199 25	6202	108944		Excluded	
Tat interactive protein 60kDa	Tip60	FBgn00260 80	6121	110617		Excluded	
Lipase 4	Lip4	FBgn00322 64	6113	31021		Excluded	
sarah	sra	FBgn00863 70	6072	107573		Excluded	
Aldolase	Ald	FBgn00000 64	6058	101339		Excluded	
-	CG6040	FBgn00386 79	6040	106606		Excluded	
Huntingtin- interacting protein 14	Hip14	FBgn02598 24	6017	101736		Excluded	
brahma	brm	FBgn00002 12	5942	37720		Excluded	
brahma	brm	FBgn00002 12	5942	37721		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein S2	RpS2	FBgn00048 67	5920	100308		Excluded	
ETHR	ETHR	FBgn00388 74	5911	42716		Excluded	
Dichaete	D	FBgn00004 11	5893	2940		Excluded	
Dichaete	D	FBgn00004 11	5893	107194		Excluded	
-	CG5694	FBgn00321 97	5694	102156		Excluded	
-	CG5555	FBgn00386 86	5555	110162		Excluded	
scrawny	scny	FBgn02609 36	5505	105989		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein L4	RpL4	FBgn00032 79	5502	101346		Excluded	
Histone H2A variant	His2Av	FBgn00011 97	5499	110598		Excluded	
Dodeca- satellite- binding protein 1	Dp1	FBgn00278 35	5170	106047		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG5003	FBgn00395 54	5003	26679		Excluded	
-	CG5001	FBgn00313 22	5001	101532		Excluded	
mini spindles	msps	FBgn00279 48	5000	21982		Excluded	
spalt- related	salr	FBgn00002 87	4881	28387		Excluded	
hedgehog	hh	FBgn00046 44	4637	1402		Excluded	
Nucleosom e remodeling factor - 38kD	Nurf-38	FBgn00166 87	4634	103776		Excluded	
YL-1	YL-1	FBgn00323 21	4621	107951		Excluded	
-	CG4612	FBgn00350 16	4612	52497		Excluded	
branchless	bnl	FBgn00141 35	4608	5730		Excluded	
Phospholip ase C at 21C	Plc21C	FBgn00046 11	4574	108395		Excluded	
-	CG4502	FBgn00318 96	4502	34858		Excluded	
no ocelli	noc	FBgn00057 71	4491	108422		Excluded	
enhanced adult sensory threshold	east	FBgn02619 54	4399	104091		Excluded	
Mitochondr ial trifunctiona l protein alpha subunit	Mtpalph a	FBgn00284 79	4389	100021		Excluded	
Star	S	FBgn00033 10	4385	109838		Excluded	
Glutathion e S transferase D3	GstD3	FBgn00100 39	4381	106287		Excluded	
raptor	raptor	FBgn00298 40	4320	13112		Excluded	
Multiple inositol polyphosph ate phosphatas e 2	Mipp2	FBgn00260 60	4317	108018		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Brahma associated protein 60kD	Bap60	FBgn00254 63	4303	103634		Excluded	
Adaptor Protein complex 2, alpha subunit	AP- 2alpha	FBgn02648 55	4260	15565		Excluded	
Signal- transducer and activator of transcriptio n protein at 92E	Stat92E	FBgn00169 17	4257	43866		Excluded	
Chromatin assembly factor 1, p55 subunit	Caf1-55	FBgn02639 79	4236	105838		Excluded	
Actin 5C	Act5C	FBgn00000 42	4027	101438		Excluded	
pontin	pont	FBgn00400 78	4003	105408		Excluded	
kraken	kraken	FBgn00205 45	3943	105604		Excluded	
Chip	Chi	FBgn00137 64	3924	107314		Excluded	
knockdown	kdn	FBgn02619 55	3861	107642		Excluded	
Secreted decoy of InR	Sdr	FBgn00382 79	3837	105549		Excluded	
-	CG3812	FBgn00304 21	3812	109657		Excluded	
Ribosomal protein S24	RpS24	FBgn02615 96	3751	104676		Excluded	
wings apart-like	wapl	FBgn00046 55	3707	34686		Excluded	
bifid	bi	FBgn00001 79	3578	100598		Excluded	
visceral mesoderma l armadillo- repeats	vimar	FBgn00229 60	3572	105618		Excluded	
cappuccino	сари	FBgn00002 56	3399		HMS0 0712	Excluded	
Brahma associated	Bap170	FBgn00420 85	3274	34582		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
protein 170kD					_		
-	CG3104	FBgn00314 73	3104	101519		Excluded	
Hsc/Hsp70 -interacting protein related	HIP-R	FBgn00296 76	2947	43724		Excluded	
Ras-like protein A	Rala	FBgn00152 86	2849	105296		Excluded	
G protein alpha s subunit	Galphas	FBgn00011 23	2835	24958		Excluded	
G protein alpha s subunit	Galphas	FBgn00011 23	2835		2835R- 1	Excluded	
u-shaped	ush	FBgn00039 63	2762	104102		Excluded	
-	CG2747	FBgn00375 41	2747	33566		Excluded	
Syntaxin 4	Syx4	FBgn00249 80	2715	102466		Excluded	
shaggy	sgg	FBgn00033 71	2621	101538		Excluded	
corto	corto	FBgn00103 13	2530	3778		Excluded	
pipsqueak	psq	FBgn02631 02	2368	106404		Excluded	
-	CG2182	FBgn00373 60	2182	109653		Excluded	
-	CG2162	FBgn00353 88	2162	33493		Excluded	
castor	cas	FBgn00048 78	2102	100305		Excluded	
Ten-Eleven Translocati on (TET) family protein	Tet	FBgn02633 92	2083	110549		Excluded	
Ubiquitin conjugatin g enzyme 6	Ubc6	FBgn00044 36	2013	23230		Excluded	
Protein C kinase 98E	Pkc98E	FBgn00030 93	1954	108151		Excluded	
dre4	dre4	FBgn00021 83	1828	106049		Excluded	
bifocal	bif	FBgn00141 33	1822	109722		Excluded	
Protein tyrosine phosphatas e 10D	Ptp10D	FBgn00043 70	1817	110443		Excluded	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Cystathioni ne beta- synthase	Cbs	FBgn00311 48	1753	107325		Excluded	
-	CG1640	FBgn00304 78	1640	32681		Excluded	
eyeless	ey	FBgn00055 58	1464	106628		Excluded	
Myocyte enhancer factor 2	Mef2	FBgn00116 56	1429	15549		Excluded	
Cullin 5	Cul5	FBgn00396 32	1401	108817		Excluded	
Heat shock protein 83	Hsp83	FBgn00012 33	1242	7716		Excluded	
Alhambra	Alh	FBgn02612 38	1070	102972		Excluded	
Snf5- related 1	Snr1	FBgn00117 15	1064	108599		Excluded	
eyeless	ey	FBgn00055 58	1464	42845		Excluded	
Chloride intracellula r channel	Clic	FBgn00305 29	10997		10997 R-3	No effect	
disconnecte d	disco	FBgn00004 59	9908		JF0307 4	No effect	
Punch	Ри	FBgn00031 62	9441	107296		No effect	
Lipid storage droplet-2	Lsd-2	FBgn00306 08	9057		HMS0 1292	No effect	
Lipid storage droplet-2	Lsd-2	FBgn00306 08	9057		HMS0 0629	No effect	
grainy head	grh	FBgn02592 11	42311	33678		No effect	
grainy head	grh	FBgn02592 11	42311	33680		No effect	
terribly reduced optic lobes	trol	FBgn02679 11	33950	24549		No effect	
nab	nab	FBgn02599 86	33545	39906		No effect	
Molecule interacting with CasL	Mical	FBgn00532 08	33208	105837		No effect	
Heparan sulfate 3-0 sulfotransfe rase-A	Hs3st-A	FBgn00531 47	33147	4998		No effect	
Dpr- interacting	DIP- alpha	FBgn00527 91	32791	104044		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
protein alpha							
dunce	dnc	FBgn00004 79	32498	107967		No effect	
-	CG3219 5	FBgn00521 95	32195	108898		No effect	
Nuclear export factor 3	Nxf3	FBgn02632 32	32135	104114		No effect	
-	CG4483 8	FBgn02661 01	32043	49421		No effect	
-	CG3169 0	FBgn00516 90	31690	43813		No effect	
Trissin receptor	TrissinR	FBgn00854 10	31645	42758		No effect	
-	CG3152 2	FBgn00515 22	31522	106652		No effect	
-	CG3147 5	FBgn00514 75	31475	106664		No effect	
INO80 complex subunit	Ino80	FBgn00866 13	31212	37473		No effect	
-	CG3119 1	FBgn00511 91	31191	102425		No effect	
methuselah -like 11	mthl11	FBgn00454 43	31147	5968		No effect	
-	CG3109 8	FBgn00510 98	31098	21300		No effect	
Guanine nucleotide exchange factor in mesoderm	GEFmes o	FBgn00501 15	30115	33858		No effect	
CCHamide -1 receptor	CCHal- R	FBgn00501 06	30106	1678		No effect	
-	CG3002 2	FBgn00500 22	30022	30882		No effect	
Dopamine 1-like receptor 2	Dop1R2	FBgn02661 37	18741	3392		No effect	
Ankyrin- repeat, SH3- domain, and Proline- rich-region containing Protein	ASPP	FBgn00346 06	18375	25332		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
midkine and pleiotrophi n 2	miple2	FBgn00290 02	18321	102644		No effect	
Rim2 ortholog (S. cerevisiae)	Rim2	FBgn00313 59	18317	100807		No effect	
under- developed	udd	FBgn00332 61	18316	25312		No effect	
Actin 87E	Act87E	FBgn00000 46	18290	102480		No effect	
pleiohomeo tic	pho	FBgn00025 21	17743	110466		No effect	
-	CG1764 6	FBgn02644 94	17646	100378		No effect	
-	CG1760 0	FBgn00311 95	17600	102833		No effect	
scabrous	sca	FBgn00033 26	17579	104703		No effect	
Lnk	Lnk	FBgn00287 17	17367	103646		No effect	
pyrexia	рух	FBgn00351 13	17142	107870		No effect	
Lipase 2	Lip2	FBgn00247 40	17116	31035		No effect	
methuselah -like 9	mthl9	FBgn00351 31	17084	2769		No effect	
methuselah -like 9	mthl9	FBgn00351 31	17084	2770		No effect	
pointed	pnt	FBgn00031 18	17077	105390		No effect	
Dopamine 2-like receptor	Dop2R	FBgn00535 17	17004	11470		No effect	
Dopamine 2-like receptor	Dop2R	FBgn00535 17	17004	11471		No effect	
squid	sqd	FBgn02633 96	16901	32395		No effect	
viking	vkg	FBgn00160 75	16858	106812		No effect	
painless	pain	FBgn00602 96	15860	39478		No effect	
Chromatin accessibilit y complex 16kD protein	Chrac- 16	FBgn00430 01	15736	104787		No effect	
ensconsin	ens	FBgn02646 93	14998	106270		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG1497 1	FBgn00354 49	14971	108955		No effect	
ATPase 8B	ATP8B	FBgn00379 89	14741	102648		No effect	
lost	lost	FBgn02635 94	14648	110736		No effect	
Crustacean cardioactiv e peptide receptor	CCAP-R	FBgn00393 96	14547	14767		No effect	
Ionotropic receptor 54a	Ir54a	FBgn00342 72	14487	2720		No effect	
Ionotropic receptor 54a	Ir54a	FBgn00342 72	14487	47091		No effect	
-	CG1420 7	FBgn00310 37	14207	31802		No effect	
-	CG1399 5	FBgn00317 70	13995	42525		No effect	
-	CG1390 7	FBgn00351 73	13907	107339		No effect	
-	CG1389 5	FBgn00351 58	13895	41511		No effect	
-	CG1382 7	FBgn00390 68	13827	101466		No effect	
Myosuppre ssin receptor 2	MsR2	FBgn02640 02	13803	49952		No effect	
Myosuppre ssin receptor 2	MsR2	FBgn02640 02	13803	49953		No effect	
Pigment- dispersing factor receptor	Pdfr	FBgn02607 53	13758	42724		No effect	
Repressed by TOR	REPTO R	FBgn00392 09	13624	109612		No effect	
six-banded	sba	FBgn00167 54	13598	101314		No effect	
Odorant- binding protein 57c	Obp57c	FBgn00345 09	13421	44276		No effect	
Chromatin accessibilit y complex 14kD protein	Chrac- 14	FBgn00430 02	13399	39773		No effect	
Chromatin accessibilit y complex	Chrac- 14	FBgn00430 02	13399	50778		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
14kD protein							
Chromatin accessibilit y complex 14kD protein	Chrac- 14	FBgn00430 02	13399	31781		No effect	
fuzzy	fy	FBgn00010 84	13396	108550		No effect	
taiman	tai	FBgn00410 92	13109	15709		No effect	
-	CG1295 0	FBgn00377 36	12950	106353		No effect	
Esa1- associated factor 6	Eaf6	FBgn00356 24	12756	31761		No effect	
forked end	fend	FBgn00300 90	12664	110068		No effect	
thisbe	ths	FBgn00336 52	12443	24538		No effect	
Diuretic hormone 44 receptor 2	Dh44- R2	FBgn00337 44	12370	109558		No effect	
SP1029	SP1029	FBgn02632 36	11956	105785		No effect	
Metazoan SpoT homolog-1	Mesh1	FBgn00396 50	11900	108961		No effect	
-	CG1187 3	FBgn00396 33	11873	108148		No effect	
seven up	svp	FBgn00036 51	11502	37086		No effect	
seven up	svp	FBgn00036 51	11502	37087		No effect	
cut	ct	FBgn00041 98	11387	4138		No effect	
polybromo	polybro mo	FBgn00392 27	11375	108618		No effect	
-	CG1136 7	FBgn00371 85	11367	103409		No effect	
Adipokineti c hormone receptor	AkhR	FBgn00255 95	11325	9546		No effect	
Mesoderm- expressed 4	Mes4	FBgn00347 26	11301	110192		No effect	
twin of eyeless	toy	FBgn00196 50	11186	15919		No effect	
-	CG1115 1	FBgn00305 19	11151	107530		No effect	
prickle	pk	FBgn00030 90	11084	101480		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Retinoid- and fatty acid- binding glycoprotei n	Rfabg	FBgn00870 02	11064	6879		No effect	
Retinoid- and fatty acid- binding glycoprotei n	Rfabg	FBgn00870 02	11064		HM05 157	No effect	
Autophagy- related 1	Atgl	FBgn02609 45	10967	16133		No effect	
-	CG1091 4	FBgn00343 07	10914	108735		No effect	
Corazonin receptor	CrzR	FBgn00362 78	10698	44310		No effect	
-	CG1063 9	FBgn00327 29	10639	103602		No effect	
Leucokinin receptor	Lkr	FBgn00356 10	10626	22845		No effect	
tailup	tup	FBgn00038 96	10619	103585		No effect	
-	CG1060 0	FBgn00327 17	10600	31277		No effect	
crossbronx	cbx	FBgn00112 41	10536	101755		No effect	
myoblast city	mbc	FBgn00155 13	10379	16044		No effect	
-	CG1036 5	FBgn00391 09	10365	108626		No effect	
spitz	spi	FBgn00056 72	10334		10334 R-1	No effect	
-	CG1020 9	FBgn00339 71	10209	106002		No effect	
Sprouty- related protein with EVH- 1 domain	Spred	FBgn00207 67	10155	18025		No effect	
M-spondin	mspo	FBgn00202 69	10145	107608		No effect	
transforme r 2	tra2	FBgn00037 42	10128	101548		No effect	
traffic jam	tj	FBgn00009 64	10034	30525		No effect	
-	CG9932	FBgn02621 60	9932	107846		No effect	
-	CG9921	FBgn00307 43	9921	110744		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Rad, Gem/Kir family member 1	Rgk1	FBgn02647 53	9811	30104		No effect	
-	CG9743	FBgn00397 56	9743	108185		No effect	
frizzled 2	fz2	FBgn00167 97	9739	44391		No effect	
globin 1	glob1	FBgn00276 57	9734	101830		No effect	
domino	dom	FBgn00203 06	9696	7789		No effect	
real-time	retm	FBgn00318 14	9528	44687		No effect	
Punch	Ри	FBgn00031 62	9441	105761		No effect	
Calreticuli n	Calr	FBgn00055 85	9429	51271		No effect	
short gastrulatio n	sog	FBgn00034 63	9224	105853		No effect	
vacuolar peduncle	vap	FBgn00039 69	9209	107341		No effect	
Gas41	Gas41	FBgn00318 73	9207	106922		No effect	
Pyrokinin 2 receptor 1	PK2-R1	FBgn00381 40	8784	15988		No effect	
Pyrokinin 2 receptor 1	PK2-R1	FBgn00381 40	8784	15989		No effect	
G protein beta- subunit 76C	Gbeta76 C	FBgn00046 23	8770	28869		No effect	
Imitation SWI	Iswi	FBgn00116 04	8625	6208		No effect	
anachronis m	ana	FBgn00117 46	8084		JF026 65	No effect	
Tachykinin -like receptor at 99D	TkR99D	FBgn00046 22	7887	1374		No effect	
Actin- related protein 8	Arp8	FBgn00308 77	7846	104425		No effect	
Enhancer of Polycomb	E(Pc)	FBgn00005 81	7776	35271		No effect	
pumpless	ppl	FBgn00279 45	7758	101751		No effect	
Octopamin e-Tyramine receptor	Oct- TyrR	FBgn00045 14	7485	26876		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
heartless	htl	FBgn00103 89	7223	6692		No effect	
heartless	htl	FBgn00103 89	7223	27180		No effect	
-	CG7149	FBgn00319 48	7149	102304		No effect	
four wheel drive	fwd	FBgn00043 73	7004	110159		No effect	
Octopamin e beta1 receptor	Octbeta 1R	FBgn00389 80	6919	47895		No effect	
Glycogen synthase	GlyS	FBgn02660 64	6904	35136		No effect	
Leucine- rich- repeats and calponin homology domain protein	Lrch	FBgn00326 33	6860	107047		No effect	
CTP synthase	CTPsyn	FBgn02664 52	6854	12762		No effect	
dorsal	dl	FBgn02606 32	6667	45998		No effect	
dorsal	dl	FBgn02606 32	6667	45996		No effect	
-	CG6420	FBgn00394 51	6420	110609		No effect	
Cysteine string protein	Csp	FBgn00041 79	6395	103201		No effect	
-	CG6329	FBgn00338 72	6329	104595		No effect	
-	CG6325	FBgn00378 14	6325	35072		No effect	
-	CG6123	FBgn00309 13	6123	22236		No effect	
Bicoid interacting protein 1	Bin1	FBgn00244 91	6046	105352		No effect	
-	CG6006	FBgn00636 49	6006	106513		No effect	
-	CG3363 9	FBgn00536 39	5936	29644		No effect	
Dek	Dek	FBgn00265 33	5935	100282		No effect	
Dichaete	D	FBgn00004 11	5893	49549		No effect	
-	CG5681	FBgn00326 58	5681	34139		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
basket	bsk	FBgn00002 29	5680	34138		No effect	
cylindroma tosis ortholog (H. sapiens)	CYLD	FBgn00322 10	5603	101414		No effect	
Phosphoeth anolamine cytidylyltra nsferase	Pect	FBgn00324 82	5547	109802		No effect	
Leucine- rich repeat kinase	Lrrk	FBgn00388 16	5483	105630		No effect	
p38a MAP kinase	p38a	FBgn00157 65	5475	34238		No effect	
Signal sequence receptor beta	SsRbeta	FBgn00110 16	5474	12101		No effect	
SP2637	SP2637	FBgn00343 71	5473	105482		No effect	
TBP- associated factor 4	Taf4	FBgn00102 80	5444	109640		No effect	
Phosphodie sterase 8	Pde8	FBgn02663 77	5411	101413		No effect	
Adiponecti n receptor	AdipoR	FBgn00389 84	5315		5315R- 4	No effect	
locomotion defects	loco	FBgn00202 78	5248	110275		No effect	
Glutathion e S transferase E1	GstE1	FBgn00343 35	5164	110529		No effect	
division abnormally delayed	dally	FBgn02639 30	4974	14136		No effect	
Ror	Ror	FBgn00104 07	4926	935		No effect	
Ror	Ror	FBgn00104 07	4926	932		No effect	
wingless	wg	FBgn00040 09	4889	13352		No effect	
boule	bol	FBgn00112 06	4760	101435		No effect	
big brain	bib	FBgn00001 80	4722	103327		No effect	
hedgehog	hh	FBgn00046 44	4637	1403		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
failed axon connection s	fax	FBgn00141 63	4609	103929		No effect	
branchless	bnl	FBgn00141 35	4608	101377		No effect	
Innexin 2	Inx2	FBgn00271 08	4590	102194		No effect	
Thiolase	Thiolase	FBgn00253 52	4581	105500		No effect	
-	CG4565	FBgn00378 41	4565	5665		No effect	
argos	aos	FBgn00045 69	4531	47180		No effect	
methuselah -like 1	mthl1	FBgn00307 66	4521	33136		No effect	
-	CG4407	FBgn00304 31	4407	105541		No effect	
-	CG4393	FBgn00390 75	4393	105381		No effect	
hemipterou s	hep	FBgn00103 03	4353	109277		No effect	
Major Facilitator Superfamil y Transporte r 10	MFS10	FBgn00304 52	4330	108045		No effect	
moody	moody	FBgn00256 31	4322	1800		No effect	
-	CG4297	FBgn00312 58	4297	104552		No effect	
Signal- transducer and activator of transcriptio n protein at 92E	Stat92E	FBgn00169 17	4257	43867		No effect	
non-stop	not	FBgn00137 17	4166	45776		No effect	
-	CG4049	FBgn00349 76	4049	101670		No effect	
Abl tyrosine kinase	Abl	FBgn00000 17	4032	110186		No effect	
jumeau	јити	FBgn00153 96	4029	12610		No effect	
Neurospeci fic receptor kinase	Nrk	FBgn00203 91	4007	841		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Neurospeci fic receptor kinase	Nrk	FBgn00203 91	4007	9653		No effect	
Neurospeci fic receptor kinase	Nrk	FBgn00203 91	4007	36282		No effect	
Neurospeci fic receptor kinase	Nrk	FBgn00203 91	4007	42442		No effect	
Neurospeci fic receptor kinase	Nrk	FBgn00203 91	4007	103804		No effect	
pickled eggs	pigs	FBgn00298 81	3973	34772		No effect	
-	CG3967	FBgn00359 89	3967	106247		No effect	
-	CG3860	FBgn00349 51	3860	109804		No effect	
-	CG3838	FBgn00321 30	3838	106551		No effect	
Secreted decoy of InR	Sdr	FBgn00382 79	3837	44576		No effect	
Secreted decoy of InR	Sdr	FBgn00382 79	3837	44575		No effect	
cryptochro me	cry	FBgn00256 80	3772	105172		No effect	
-	CG3744	FBgn00392 40	3744	34695		No effect	
Chromodo main- helicase- DNA- binding protein 1	Chd1	FBgn02507 86	3733	103640		No effect	
-	Ggamm a30A	FBgn02672 52	3694	26873		No effect	
-	CG3625	FBgn00312 45	3625	106124		No effect	
-	CG3409	FBgn00330 95	3409	37141		No effect	
earthbound 1	ebd1	FBgn00351 53	3371	26180		No effect	
bigmax	bigmax	FBgn00395 09	3350	110630		No effect	
Kruppel	Kr	FBgn00013 25	3340	104150		No effect	
Brahma associated protein 170kD	Bap170	FBgn00420 85	3274	34581		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG3168	FBgn00298 96	3168	48010		No effect	
Synaptotag min 1	Syt1	FBgn00042 42	3139	100608		No effect	
Allatostatin A receptor 1	AstA-R1	FBgn02664 29	2872	48495		No effect	
-	CG2211	FBgn00352 11	2211	100510		No effect	
FMRFamid e Receptor	FMRFa R	FBgn00353 85	2114	9594		No effect	
-	CG2064	FBgn00332 05	2064	103276		No effect	
hikaru genki	hig	FBgn00101 14	2040	109863		No effect	
Rho GTPase activating protein at 100F	RhoGA P100F	FBgn00398 83	1976	106241		No effect	
ATP- dependent chromatin assembly factor large subunit	Acf	FBgn00276 20	1966	33446		No effect	
sprouty	sty	FBgn00143 88	1921	6948		No effect	
Rab40	Rab40	FBgn00303 91	1900	110563		No effect	
Ady43A	Ady43A	FBgn00266 02	1851	33133		No effect	
-	Br140	FBgn00331 55	1845	101311		No effect	
Phosphoryl ase kinase gamma	PhKgam ma	FBgn00117 54	1830	110638		No effect	
BTB (POZ) domain containing 9 ortholog	BTBD9	FBgn00302 28	1826	110685		No effect	
Histone deacetylase 4	HDAC4	FBgn00412 10	1770	20522		No effect	
Ras oncogene at 64B	Ras64B	FBgn00032 06	1167	6225		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
Glucose transporter 1	Glut1	FBgn02645 74	1086	13326		No effect	
KCNQ potassium channel	KCNQ	FBgn00334 94	33135	106655		No effect	
LDL receptor protein 1	LRP1	FBgn00530 87	33087	109605		No effect	
Secretory Pathway Calcium atpase	SPoCk	FBgn00524 51	32451	110379		No effect	
-	CG3038 9	FBgn00503 89	30389	101553		No effect	
sprite	sprt	FBgn00825 85	30023	107873		No effect	
Lk6 kinase	Lk6	FBgn00175 81	17342	109663		No effect	
Homeodom ain interacting protein kinase	Hipk	FBgn00351 42	17090	108254		No effect	
-	CG1702 7	FBgn00365 53	17027	103270		No effect	
jim lovell	lov	FBgn02661 29	16778	10739		No effect	
subdued	subdued	FBgn00387 21	16718	108953		No effect	
Ceramide kinase	Cerk	FBgn00373 15	16708	101550		No effect	
-	CG1670 0	FBgn00308 16	16700	110058		No effect	
-	CG1589 4	FBgn00298 64	15894	103818		No effect	
Juvenile hormone epoxide hydrolase 2	Jheh2	FBgn00344 05	15102	30909		No effect	
Juvenile hormone epoxide hydrolase 1	Jheh1	FBgn00100 53	15101	103249		No effect	
-	CG1502 7	FBgn00306 11	15027	110436		No effect	
Glutamic acid decarboxyl ase 1	Gad1	FBgn00045 16	14994	32344		No effect	
-	CG1494 6	FBgn00324 05	14946	106023		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
-	CG1365 4	FBgn00392 90	13654	110349		No effect	
-	CG1273 0	FBgn00297 71	12730	109016		No effect	
Shaker	Sh	FBgn00033 80	12348	104474		No effect	
Mob2	Mob2	FBgn02594 81	11711	107327		No effect	
-	CG1159 3	FBgn00354 88	11593	108869		No effect	
-	Lim1	FBgn00264 11	11354	104468		No effect	
fussel	fuss	FBgn00399 32	11093	103367		No effect	
mirror	mirr	FBgn00143 43	10601	50134		No effect	
chickadee	chic	FBgn00003 08	9553	102759		No effect	
king tubby	ktub	FBgn00157 21	9398	29110		No effect	
Sterol regulatory element binding protein	SREBP	FBgn02612 83	8522	37641		No effect	
-	CG8389	FBgn00340 63	8389	107639		No effect	
-	CG7739	FBgn00365 09	7739	51521		No effect	
Src oncogene at 64B	Src64B	FBgn02627 33	7524	35252		No effect	
-	CG7341	FBgn00367 77	7341	109019		No effect	
Pyruvate kinase	РуК	FBgn02673 85	7070	49533		No effect	
outspread	osp	FBgn00030 16	3479	110701		No effect	
castor	cas	FBgn00048 78	2102	2928		No effect	
Protein kinase N	Pkn	FBgn00206 21	2049	108870		No effect	
-	CG1882	FBgn00332 26	1882	41405		No effect	
<i>Hormone</i> <i>receptor-</i> <i>like in 38</i>	Hr38	FBgn00148 59	1864	2971		No effect	
discs large 1	dlg1	FBgn00016 24	1725	109274		No effect	

Gene name	Gene symbol	Flybase ID	CG #	VDRC ID	NIG ID/ Trip ID	Result	Confirmed
temperatur e-induced paralytic E	tipE	FBgn00037 10	1232	4483		No effect	
-	CG3130 1	FBgn00513 01	31301	104460		Suppress or	Ν
-	CG3112 5	FBgn00511 25	31125	25700		Suppress or	Ν
Hormone receptor- like in 39	Hr39	FBgn02612 39	8676		8676R- 3	Suppress or	N
RYamide receptor	RYa-R	FBgn00048 42	5811	1259		Suppress or	Opposite
INO80 complex subunit	Ino80	FBgn00866 13	31212	106684		Suppress or	Y
Chromatin accessibilit y complex 14kD protein	Chrac- 14	FBgn00430 02	13399	31782		Suppress or	Y
Inhibitor of growth family, member 3	Ing3	FBgn00309 45	6632	109799		Suppress or	Y
anterior open	Aop/yan	FBgn00000 97	3166		3166R- 1	Suppress or	Y
Metastasis associated 1-like	MTA1- like	FBgn00279 51	2244	110632		Suppress or	Y
Daughters against dpp	Dad	FBgn00204 93	5201	110644		Suppress or	

Pathway	Gene name		
5HT2 type receptor mediated signalling pathway	5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin)		
(P04374)	receptor 1A		
ALP23B signalling pathway (P06209)	dawdle		
Activin beta signalling pathway (P06210)	dawdle		
Alzheimer disease-presenilin pathway (P00004)	Wnt oncogene analog 5		
Angiogenesis (P00005)	Heat shock protein 67Bc		
Anglogenesis (F00003)	Wnt oncogene analog 5		
BMP/activin signalling pathway-drosophila (P06211)	dawdle		
CCVR signalling man (B06050)	Arrow		
CCKK signannig map (P00939)	spoon		
Cadherin signalling pathway (P00012)	Wnt oncogene analog 5		
De novo purine biosynthesis (P02738)	Adenylate kinase-1, isoform B		
Chunghunia (P00024)	Phosphoglucose isomerase		
Glycolysis (P00024)	Phosphoglycerate kinase		
Heterotrimeric G-protein signalling pathway-Gi	5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin)		
alpha and Gs alpha mediated pathway (P00026)	receptor 1A		
Pentose phosphate pathway (P02762)	Phosphoglucose isomerase		
Salvage pyrimidine ribonucleotides (P02775)	CG6330		
TGF-beta signalling pathway (P00052)	dawdle		
VEGF signalling pathway (P00056)	Heat shock protein 67Bc		
Wet size alling a stherese (D00057)	Imitation SWI		
witt signating pathway (P00057)	Arrow		
	Wnt oncogene analog 5		

9.2.2 Enhancers classified as pathway components in Panther

9.2.3 Suppressors classified as pathway components in Panther

Pathway	Gene name
Activin beta signalling pathway (P06210)	Daughters against dpp
BMP/activin signalling pathway-drosophila (P06211)	Daughters against dpp
DPP signalling pathway (P06213)	Daughters against dpp
TGF-beta signalling pathway (P00052)	Daughters against dpp
Wnt signalling pathway (P00057)	Ino80

Gene name	Gene		Bloomington	NIG ID/	D K
	symbol	CG #	ID	Trip ID	Result
Forkhead box K	FoxK	CG11799	27994	JF02827	Enhancer
distal antenna-	1	CC12651	20270	102015	Enhonson
relatea	aanr	CG13051	28378	JF03015	Enhancer
Capability receptor	CapaR	CG145/5	21215	JF02577	Enhancer
tropomodulin	tmod	CG1539	31534	JF01094	Enhancer
5-hydroxytryptamine					
(seroionin) receptor 1A	5-HT1A	CG16720	33885	HMS00823	Enhancer
sloppy paired 1	sln1	CG16738	34633	HMS01107	Enhancer
A denvlate kinase 1	A db1	CG17146	35582	GL 00177	Enhancer
Adenyidle Kindse I	Auki	CC19540	24201	UL00177	Enhancer
-	CG18549	CG18549	54391	HMS01385	Ennancer
-	CG2124	CG2124	55887	HMC04161	Enhancer
		~~~~			
lethal (1) G0289	l(1)G0289	CG2221	32910	HMS00700	Enhancer
Amun	Amun	CG2446	43241	GLC01428	Enhancer
<i>Phosphoglycerate</i>	D = L	CC2127	22622	111/1500021	Enhonson
Rinuse Phosphoabcarata	Гдк	003127	33033	HM300031	Ennancer
kinase	Pek	CG3127	35220	GL00101	Enhancer
Keren	Krn	CG32179		8056R-3	Enhancer
defective proboscis	<u>IIIII</u>	0032177		0050105	Linduleer
extension response 8	dpr8	CG32600	28744	JF03172	Enhancer
X11Lbeta	X11Lbeta	CG32677		32677R-2	Enhancer
phtf	phtf	CG3268	43631	GL01175	Enhancer
Reticulon-like1	Rtnl1	CG33113		18623R-4	Enhancer
-	CG4004	CG4004		4004R-2	Enhancer
Heat shock gene					
67Bc	Hsp67Bc	CG4190	42607	HMS02440	Enhancer
Dual-specificity					
tyrosine					
phosphorylation- regulated kinase					
2	Dvrk2	CG4551	35393	GL00313	Enhancer
hranchless	bnl	CG4608	34572	HMS01046	Enhancer
-	CG5599	CG5599	32876	HMS00663	Enhancer
_	CG6330	CG6330	62240	HMC05247	Enhancer
- Wnt oncogene analog	00000	00000	02240	11101003247	Limaneer
5	Wnt5	CG6407	34644	HMS01119	Enhancer
Wnt oncogene analog					
5	Wnt5	CG6407	28534	HM05020	Enhancer
Phosphoglucose		~~~~			
isomerase	Pgi	CG8251	51804	HMC03362	Enhancer
-	CG11658	CG11658	43298	HMS02671	Excluded
Neuroligin 2	Nlg2	CG13772	58128	HMJ22077	Excluded
dawdle	daw	CG16987	34974	HMS01110	Excluded
pyrexia	рух	CG17142	51836	HMC03408	Excluded
Adenylate kinase 1	Adk1	CG17146	<u>51799</u>	HMC03355	Excluded
Integrator 6	IntS6	CG3125	52904	HMC03644	Excluded

9.2.4 Independent RNAi lines for screen enhancers

Gene name	Gene	CG #	Bloomington	NIG ID/ Trin ID	Result
Phosphoglycerate	symbol				Kesut
kinase	Pgk	CG3127	33632	HMS00030	Excluded
Enhancer of bithorax	E(bx)	CG32346	33658	HMS00065	Excluded
Enhancer of bithorax	E(bx)	CG32346	31193	JF01709	Excluded
scribbled	scrib	CG43398	39073	HMS01993	Excluded
scribbled	scrib	CG43398	38199	GL00638	Excluded
scribbled	scrib	CG43398	35748	HMS01490	Excluded
scribbled	scrib	CG43398	29552	JF03229	Excluded
-	CG5466	CG5466	35758	HMS01504	Excluded
arrow	arr	CG5912	31473	JF01261	Excluded
taranis	tara	CG6889	31634	JF01421	Excluded
Imitation SWI	Iswi	CG8625	32845	HMS00628	Excluded
-	CG9095	CG9095	61881	HMJ23371	Excluded
twin of eyeless	toy	CG11186	33679	HMS00544	No effect
twin of eyeless	toy	CG11186	29346	JF02508	No effect
-	CG11658	CG11658	31373	JF01340	No effect
Neuroligin 2	Nlg2	CG13772	28331	JF02966	No effect
gamma-glutamyl	~ ~	~~~~~			
carboxylase	GC	CG13927	51897	HMC03471	No effect
tropomodulin	tmod	CG1539	41718	HMS02283	No effect
S-nyaroxytryptamine (serotonin) recentor					
1A	5-HT1A	CG16720	25834	JF01852	No effect
sloppy paired 1	slp1	CG16738	29354	JF02517	No effect
dawdle	daw	CG16987	50911	HMJ03135	No effect
pyrexia	рух	CG17142	31297	JF01242	No effect
ATP-dependent					
chromatin assembly					
jactor large subunit					
5000000	Acf	CG1966	31340	JF01298	No effect
ATP-dependent					
chromatin assembly					
factor large					
subunii	Acf	CG1966	35575	GL00124	No effect
-	CG2124	CG2124	40868	HMS02035	No effect
lethal (1) G0289	l(1)G0289	CG2221	33690	HMS00558	No effect
-	CG2225	CG2225	29619	JF03298	No effect
late bloomer	lbm	CG2374	35459	GL00385	No effect
late bloomer	lbm	CG2374	27278	JF02589	No effect
Phosphoglycerate					
kinase	Pgk	CG3127	28053	JF02889	No effect
-	CG31324	CG31324	28774	JF03202	No effect
breathless	btl	CG32134	55870	HMC04140	No effect
breathless	btl	CG32134	43544	HMS02656	No effect
breathless	btl	CG32134	40871	HMS02038	No effect

Gene name	Gene	CG #	Bloomington	NIG ID/ Trin ID	Result
Enhancer of hithoray	E(hr)	$CG_{\pi}$	35353	GL 00265	No effect
spoorbill	E(0X)	CC3240	38205	GL00205	No offect
spoononi	CC33170	CC32170	61956	UL00044	No effect
- Inositol 1 4 5-	0033170	00000170	01850	HWIJ25545	No effect
triphosphate kinase 2	IP3K2	CG34359	55240	HMC02364	No effect
Heat shock gene					
67Bc	Hsp67Bc	CG4190	35452	GL00377	No effect
elbow B	elB	CG4220	41960	HMS02357	No effect
scribbled	scrib	CG43398	58085	HMJ21977	No effect
Dual-specificity					
phosphorylation-					
regulated kinase					
2	Dyrk2	CG4551	41626	GL01208	No effect
GATAd	GATAd	CG5034	34625	HMS01300	No effect
GATAd	GATAd	CG5034	33747	HMS01086	No effect
GATAd	GATAd	CG5034	34640	HMS01115	No effect
-	CG5059	CG5059	42494	HMJ02058	No effect
-	CG5455	CG5455	43219	GL01564	No effect
arrow	arr	CG5912	31313	JF01260	No effect
MORF-related gene					
15	MRG15	CG6363	35241	GL00128	No effect
plum	plum	CG6490	60062	HMC05055	No effect
-	CG6847	CG6847	42908	HMS02601	No effect
-	Wdr62	CG7337	53242	GLC01394	No effect
Sodium/solute co-					
transporter-like 5A11	SLC5A11	CG8451		8451R-4	No effect
Imitation SWI	Iswi	CG8625	31111	JF01582	No effect
Imitation SWI	Iswi	CG8625	51931	GLC01788	No effect
Reticulon-like1	Rtnl1	CG8895		8895R-1	No effect
Disabled	Dab	CG9695	42646	HMS02482	No effect
-	CG8778	CG8778	36793	GL00533	Suppressor

Appendix 1. Independent RNAi lines for screen suppressors

Cono nomo	Gene		Bloomington	NIG ID/	
Gene name	symbol	CG #	ID	Trip ID	Result
RYamide receptor	RYa-R	CG5811	25944	JF01964	Enhancer
-	CG31125	CG31125		31125R-2	Excluded
Metastasis associated	MTA1-				
1-like	like	CG2244	34905	HMS01251	Excluded
-	CG31301	CG31301	60121	HMC05115	No effect
Hormone receptor-like					
in 39	Hr39	CG8676	27086	JF02432	No effect
Hormone receptor-like					
in 39	Hr39	CG8676	33624	HMS00018	No effect

Gene name	Gene		Bloomington	NIG ID/	
	symbol	CG #	ID	Trip ID	Result
Chromatin					
accessibility complex					
14kD protein	Chrac-14	CG13399	31052	35652	No effect
Metastasis associated	MTA1-				
1-like	like	CG2244	33745	HMS01084	No effect
Metastasis associated	MTA1-				
1-like	like	CG2244	34624	HMS01299	Suppressor
INO80 complex					
subunit	Ino80	CG31212	33708	HMS00586	Suppressor
INO80 complex					
subunit	Ino80	CG31212	37473	GL00616	Suppressor
Chromatin					
accessibility complex					
14kD protein	Chrac-14	CG13399		13399R-6	Suppressor
Inhibitor of growth					
family, member 3	Ing3	CG6632		6632R-2	Suppressor
anterior open	Aop/yan	CG3166		3166R-3	Suppressor

## 9.2.5 Climbing and wing inflation assays of RNAi lines identified in screen.

Flies were grown at 25°C, RNAi is expressed in motor neurons with *D42-Gal4*. (A-A') *CG31301* RNAi (B-B') *CG13399* RNAi (C-C') *CG2244* RNAi (D-D') *CG1966* RNAi (E-E') *CG8625* RNAi (F-F') *CG6363* RNAi (G-G') *CG31212* RNAi (H-H') *CG6632* RNAi



В



С







