



# **King's Research Portal**

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Trampari, E., Prischi, F., Vargiu, A. V., Abi-Assaf, J., Bavro, V. N., & Webber, M. A. (2023). Functionally distinct mutations within AcrB underpin antibiotic resistance in different lifestyles. *npj Antimicrobials and Resistance*, *1*, Article 2.

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Functionally distinct mutations within AcrB underpin antibiotic
- 2 resistance in different lifestyles
- 3
- 4 Eleftheria Trampari<sup>1</sup>, Filippo Prischi<sup>2</sup>, Attilio V. Vargiu<sup>3</sup>, Justin Abi-Assaf<sup>1</sup>, Vassiliy N.
- 5 Bavro<sup>2\*</sup>, Mark A Webber<sup>1,4\*</sup>
- 6 Affiliations:
- 7 <sup>1</sup>Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk, NR4 7UQ,
- 8 U.K.
- 9 <sup>2</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, CO4
- 10 3SQ, U.K.
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Cagliari, S. P. 8, km. 0.700, 09042,
- 12 Monserrato, Italy
- 13 <sup>4</sup>Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich,
- 14 Norfolk, NR4 7UA, U.K.
- 15 <u>\*v.bavro@essex.ac.uk</u>
- 16 <u>\*mark.webber@quadram.ac.uk</u>
- 17
- 18
- 19 Key words:
- 20 Efflux, macrolides, cephalosporins, biofilm, evolution, Salmonella

#### 21 Abstract

22 Antibiotic resistance is a pressing healthcare challenge and is mediated by various 23 mechanisms including active export of drugs via multidrug efflux systems which 24 prevent drug accumulation within the cell. Here, we studied how Salmonella evolved 25 resistance to two key antibiotics, cefotaxime and azithromycin, when grown 26 planktonically, or as a biofilm. Resistance to both drugs emerged in both conditions 27 and was associated with different substitutions within the efflux-associated 28 transporter, AcrB. Azithromycin exposure selected for an R717L substitution, while 29 cefotaxime for Q176K. Additional mutations in ramR or envZ, accumulated 30 concurrently with the R717L or Q176K substitutions respectively, resulting in clinical 31 resistance to the selective antibiotics and cross-resistance to other drugs. Structural, 32 genetic, and phenotypic analysis showed the two AcrB substitutions confer their 33 benefits in profoundly different ways. R717L reduces steric barriers associated with 34 transit through the substrate channel 2 of AcrB. Q176K increases binding energy for 35 cefotaxime, improving recognition in the distal binding pocket, resulting in increased 36 efflux efficiency. Finally, we show the R717 substitution is present in isolates 37 recovered around the world.

#### 39 Introduction

Antibiotics are crucial for modern medicine, but their introduction and use has
resulted in the widespread emergence of antibiotic resistant bacteria. Bacteria can
rapidly adapt to changing environments and exposure to antibiotics selects for
genetic traits that confer resistance, promoting expansion of resistant mutants <sup>1</sup>.
Several important mechanisms of antibiotic resistance have been described
including enzymatic degradation, target modification or bypass, membrane
alterations and changes in efflux activity <sup>2</sup>.

47 Energy-dependent efflux systems are responsible for the export of toxic compounds 48 from the cell to the environment, are found in all bacteria, and act synergistically with other mechanisms of resistance <sup>3</sup>. In Gram-negative bacteria, efflux systems are 49 50 tripartite transmembrane protein complexes that secrete molecules from the 51 periplasm to the exterior of the cell. The 'Resistance Nodulation cell Division' (RND) 52 efflux family is the most important for antibiotic export <sup>4-7</sup> and RND systems have 53 been shown to determine the basal level of susceptibility of cells to many 54 antimicrobials.

55 Within the RND family the Enterobacterial AcrAB-ToIC is the best characterised 56 tripartite efflux system and is built around the energised inner membrane H<sup>+</sup>/drug-57 antiporter AcrB<sup>5</sup>. The functional unit of AcrB is a homotrimer, containing three 58 functionally interdependent protomers, cycling consecutively through loose (L), tight 59 (T) and open (O) conformational states during the efflux cycle, in a supposedly cooperative fashion <sup>8,9</sup>. This allosteric "pumping" allows a drug to be acquired from 60 61 either periplasmic space or the outer leaflet of the inner membrane and passed out 62 of the cell via a conduit produced by the partner outer membrane factor (OMF) and periplasmic adaptor proteins (PAPs) <sup>4,10,11</sup>. 63

64 AcrB can export multiple classes of antibiotics including macrolides,  $\beta$ -lactams, 65 quinolones, rifamycins, tetracyclines, as well as other substrates including anticancer 66 drugs, bile salts, dyes and solvents <sup>12-17</sup>. This broad substrate specificity is 67 underpinned by the presence of distinct binding pockets within the pump. Drugs of 68 different molecular weight are suggested to be processed in two principal multisite 69 binding pockets, termed the 'Proximal Binding Pocket' (PBP) and the 'Distal Binding 70 Pocket' (DBP), which have wide specificities and are separated from each other by the so-called gating or switch-loop<sup>8,18-21</sup>. High molecular weight drugs appear to be 71

72 predominantly recognised by the PBP, and recent evidence suggests they may be 73 exported directly to the OMF, bypassing the DBP altogether <sup>22</sup>, whilst low-molecular 74 weight drugs are thought to be processed predominantly within the DBP<sup>8,19</sup>. Access 75 to these multisite binding pockets is governed by at least four distinct substrate 76 channels, each of which also exhibit different substrate specificities <sup>22-26</sup>. The 77 principal periplasmic drug access channel for polar compounds is proposed to be 78 channel 2 (CH2), preferred by macrolide, rifamycin and tetracycline antibiotics <sup>23,26</sup>, 79 while hydrophobic compounds, such as linezolid, phenicols, fluoroquinolones and 80 novobiocin are suggested to be acquired from the outer leaflet of the inner 81 membrane via channel 1 (CH1). Compounds entering via CH1 and CH2 are thought 82 to pass sequentially through both the PBP and DBP, with access to the latter being 83 restricted by the switch-loop. On the other hand, channel 3 (CH3), implicated in the 84 transport of planar aromatic cations (PACs), such as benzalkonium chloride, crystal 85 violet, ethidium bromide, methylene blue, and rhodamine 6G, is suggested to 86 bypass the PBP and the gating loop altogether, allowing direct access to the DBP <sup>26</sup>. 87 Similarly, membrane-localized carboxylated substrates, such as fusidic acid and 88 hydrophobic  $\beta$ -lactams, access the pump via a groove between the transmembrane helices TM1 and TM2, which forms part of the recently described CH4, again 89 bypassing the PBP, allowing direct access to the DBP <sup>25</sup>. 90

91 Whilst AcrB helps determine the intrinsic level of susceptibility to many drugs it can 92 also confer resistance when over-expressed due to mutations in the regulatory circuits controlling its production <sup>27,28</sup>. Changes within AcrB itself that alter export of 93 94 specific antibiotics can also be selected by antibiotic exposure <sup>6,29-33</sup>. For example 95 substitutions M78I and P319L were shown to confer decreased susceptibility to multiple antimicrobial substrates <sup>34</sup> and substitution G288D has been linked to 96 increased tolerance against ciprofloxacin <sup>30</sup>. These examples demonstrate how 97 98 selection can favour strains with mutant AcrB proteins altering substrate recognition 99 or export efficiency, as well as mutations in regulators which control pump 100 expression.

Despite the benefits provided, the selection of resistance can have impacts on
 fitness for a bacterium, and the fate of any resistance mutation that occurs within a
 population will depend on how permissive it is for the organism's lifestyle <sup>35</sup>. Efflux
 pumps contribute to various important cellular functions including those relevant to

- 105 infection. Relationships between efflux pump function and the ability to form biofilms
- 106 has been established in multiple species <sup>36</sup> and loss of pump function commonly
- 107 compromises virulence <sup>37</sup>. Life within a biofilm is common for bacteria and is an
- 108 important determinant of many infections, as biofilms are also by nature highly
- 109 tolerant of antibiotics <sup>38</sup>.
- 110 In this work we used an evolution model to study how subinhibitory concentrations of
- 111 two clinically important antibiotics, cefotaxime (Cef) and azithromycin (Azi),
- representing two major structural classes of antibiotics, cephalosporins and
- 113 macrolides respectively, selected for resistance mechanisms in *Salmonella*, in both
- biofilm and planktonic conditions. We found that both antibiotics selected for unique
- substitutions within AcrB. We confirmed these substitutions affect antibiotic
- susceptibility and identified their prevalence in the real world of these mutant *acrB*
- alleles. Using structural and computational approaches, supported by genetic and
- 118 phenotypic analysis, we demonstrate how these two distinct substitutions within AcrB
- 119 facilitate drug translocation through the efflux conduit of the pump in fundamentally
- 120 different ways.

#### 121 Results

#### 122 Cefotaxime and azithromycin both select for substitutions within AcrB

123 To investigate adaptation of Salmonella to clinically important antibiotics, we used 124 representatives of two antibiotic families amongst the drugs of choice for treatment of Salmonellosis: cefotaxime, a 3rd generation cephalosporin and azithromycin, a 2rd 125 126 generation macrolide. We repeatedly exposed independent planktonic and biofilm 127 lineages of S. Typhimurium 14028S to concentrations of azithromycin and 128 cefotaxime that restricted planktonic growth rates by approximately 50% (10 and 129 0.062 µg/ mL, respectively) for 17 passage cycles (each lasting 72 hours). 130 Estimation of the number of generations each population went through (based on 131 calculating log2 x the dilution factor of cells in each condition by the number of 132 passages) gave ~170 for planktonic conditions, ~264 for cefotaxime-exposed 133 biofilms, ~289 for azithromycin-exposed biofilms and ~317 for control biofilms. The 134 number of generations was higher for biofilms than planktonic conditions as we used 135 a bead-based evolution model <sup>39</sup>, where the dilution factor of cells which occurs 136 when new, sterile beads are colonised, is higher than the dilution in planktonic 137 cultures.

138 Phenotyping of isolates recovered over time from the experiments found that both 139 antibiotics rapidly selected for resistance (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Genome 140 sequencing identified drug-specific mutations resulting in substitutions within AcrB. 141 Cefotaxime selected for a Q176K substitution and azithromycin for a R717L 142 substitution. To define the phenotypic impacts of these mutations in more detail and 143 to determine when they emerged in each experiment, three single colonies were 144 recovered from each of three time points (early, middle, and late; corresponding to 145 passages 1, 9 and 17 respectively). Isolation of single isolates was carried out for each of the four independent exposed biofilm lineages, as well as the exposed 146 147 planktonic and unexposed biofilm control (20 isolates in total, derived from exposed 148 conditions). These mutants were then phenotyped and genome sequenced. 149 Exposure to azithromycin rapidly selected for the R717L mutation within AcrB after 150 just a single exposure under stress in all populations regardless of the selective

- 151 context (biofilm or planktonic). The R717L mutation was associated with an 8-fold
- increase in the MIC for azithromycin. **Figure 1a** shows this substitution was present
- 153 in all isolates over time from one randomly selected biofilm lineage (as well as being

154 in all the populations sequenced). An additional mutation within the local 155 transcriptional repressor ramR controlling the expression of the acrAB multidrug 156 operon <sup>40</sup> (corresponding to a T18P substitution), emerged after passage 9 in 157 addition to the *acrB* mutation. This was associated with a further increase in MIC of 158 azithromycin to 32-fold higher relative to the parent strain. This mutation was also 159 linked with increased MICs of different classes of antibiotics, including 160 chloramphenicol (8-fold increase) and ciprofloxacin (8-fold change) consistent with 161 previous work <sup>41</sup>. No other additional mutations were identified in the isolated 162 mutants, and none were seen to repeatedly occur in multiple populations.

163 The dynamics of selection for substitutions within AcrB by cefotaxime were different.

164 Initial populations obtained a mutation within *envZ* (R397H) leading to reduced

165 permeability to cefotaxime (which we have recently described in detail, <sup>42</sup>). In

166 contrast to the azithromycin exposure where the *acrB* mutation emerged first, the

167 Q176K substitution within AcrB emerged half-way through the experiment (passage

168 9) and was always seen in conjunction with the *envZ* mutation. Notably, Q176K was

169 only recovered from planktonic populations. The acquisition of these two mutations

170 was associated with an MIC increase for cefotaxime to the clinical breakpoint (2  $\mu$ g/

mL), compared to the parent strain's MIC (0,125  $\mu$ g/ mL) (**Figure 1b**). Increased

tolerance was maintained throughout the course of the experiment for mutants

carrying both substitutions. In passage 17, the measured susceptibility of these

strains was a fold lower compared to passage 9. This is not considered significant

and is accepted as error of the method. Fitness, in the form of bacterial growth in

176 liquid culture, of isolates carrying the two identified substitutions, was not affected, as

177 measured by growth curve assays (**Supplementary Figure 2**). However, a negative

178 effect on biofilm formation was observed.

# 179 Characterisation of the role of AcrB substitutions in resistance

To confirm the changes observed within AcrB were responsible for the decreases in susceptibility observed for the corresponding selective drugs we recreated the relevant genotypes in the parent *Salmonella* strain. We then determined their impact on sensitivity to a panel of drugs and on cellular permeability to the efflux substrate, resazurin.

185 We generated a mutant of the parent strain 14028S lacking *acrB* and complemented

186 it with either wild-type or mutant alleles on a plasmid to determine impacts on

phenotypes observed (**Table 1a**). Introduction of AcrB R717L to the *ΔacrB*background led to resistance against azithromycin only, matching the phenotype of

- 189 the adapted strains carrying the AcrB R717L mutation. The additional introduction of
- 190 RamR T18P led not only to a further increase in MIC of azithromycin, but also to
- 191 MICs to chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid and tetracycline, showing that this
- 192 substitution does not compromise other substrates and that the overexpression of
- 193 the efflux pump is the major determinant for MDR (**Table 1a**).
- 194 While the complementation of the *acrB* deletion strain with *acrB*-Q176K did not have 195 a detectable impact on cefotaxime resistance (Table 1, b), the complementation of 196 *acrB* in a  $\Delta acrB/\Delta ramR$  background (which results in overexpression of *acrB* due to 197 loss of RamR, and hence make the impact of the complementation clearer) with the 198 acrB-Q176K allele did replicate the phenotype of strains derived from the evolution 199 experiments. Similarly, a strain with chromosomal mutations conferring both AcrB 200 Q176K and EnvZ R397H also showed an MIC of cefotaxime fourfold higher than the 201 parent strain. These data confirmed the specific role of AcrB Q176K in cefotaxime 202 sensitivity, but also showed that a significant change in MIC requires synergistic 203 mutations in either ramR or envZ.

# 204 Impact of substitutions on efflux substrate accumulation and gene expression

205 To further confirm whether the Q176K and R717L AcrB substitutions altered general 206 drug accumulation or efflux activity, we monitored intracellular accumulation of 207 resazurin <sup>43</sup> (**Figure 2**). Resazurin is a non-fluorescent dye which upon cell-entry 208 undergoes a redox reaction leading to colour change. We used WT (14028S) as our 209 reference and a to/C deficient mutant as a control lacking functional efflux. The 210 R717L mutant alone did not show any changes in resazurin accumulation compared 211 to the WT, suggesting the substitution does not impact export of this substrate 212 (Figure 2a).

The AcrB Q176K substitution was always present in strains already carrying the EnvZ R397H substitution. We measured resazurin accumulation in strains carrying only the EnvZ substitution, and strains also carrying the additional AcrB Q176K substitution. Mutants carrying EnvZ R397H alone accumulated less resazurin compared to the WT and addition of the AcrB Q176K substitution resulted in further decrease of resazurin accumulation, consistent with an increase in efflux efficiency (**Figure 2b**).

To further confirm the role of the RamR substitution seen under azithromycin
exposure on pump expression, we extracted RNA from 48-hour old biofilms, and we
measured expression of *acrB* and *ramA* by qRT-PCR, using *gyrB* expression as our
internal reference (Figure 2c). Both genes were found to be derepressed in the
mutants compared to the parent strain.

# In silico modelling reveals a distinct role of R717L substitution in substrate specificity of the pump.

- 227 Analysis of the 3D structure of Salmonella Typhimurium AcrB (STmAcrB)<sup>44</sup>, 228 indicated that both the acquired substitutions map within the multisite drug-binding 229 pockets of the transporter, with R717L occupying the front end of the PBP, close to 230 the exit of the substrate channel CH2, and Q176K being located in the DBP (Figure 231 **3**), suggesting that they may impact drug interaction directly and specifically, rather 232 than having a general or allosteric effect. To gain further mechanistic insight on their 233 effect, we performed in silico docking of the respective antibiotics to both WT and 234 mutationally-modified drug binding pockets of STmAcrB.
- 235 To enable docking we needed to identify suitable docking templates, based on both 236 the ligand occupancy and functional state of the transporter. The only available 237 experimental structure of STmAcrB (PDB ID: 6Z12)<sup>44</sup>, is an apo-structure derived 238 from cryo-electron microscopy at a modest resolution (4.6 Å), making accurate side 239 chain predictions within the respective binding pockets unreliable. Furthermore, the 240 structure is C3-symmetrised, and hence binding pockets could not be assigned to 241 either of the physiologically relevant L, T or O-conformations, making that structure 242 poorly suited for the intended docking studies. Fortuitously, the multisite drug binding 243 pockets of Salmonella and E. coli AcrB are highly conserved, with only 3 244 substitutions, namely S48T, E280K and M573L, affecting the lining of the drug-245 binding pockets. Of these, only M573 is predicted to participate in the binding of 246 macrolide and rifampin-like compounds within the PBP according to the available 247 crystal structures <sup>19,22</sup>, while E280K (which is only participating in the formation of the pocket via its main-chain atoms), and the conservative S48T substitution, might have 248 a limited effect in the DBP <sup>19,21</sup>. Taking these considerations into account and 249 following previous protocol <sup>45</sup>, we performed ensemble docking of azithromycin and 250 251 cefotaxime onto the DBP, PBP and CH2 entrance channel (that is, the sites 252 containing the mutated residues) of several homology models of the Salmonella 253 AcrB derived from the available high-resolution X-ray crystal structures of the E. coli

orthologue, which present the functionally relevant ligand-bound L- and T conformers <sup>19,46</sup> (see *Methods* for details). For each ligand and each binding site, the
 top docking pose was further relaxed, as this has been shown to improve accuracy
 <sup>47</sup>.

258 We first focused our attention on the R717L substitution and performed ensemble 259 docking of azithromycin (abbreviated to Azi below). We performed two separate 260 runs, one centred at the PBP, and the second centred at the CH2 access channel of 261 AcrB. When centring the docking grid on CH2, the top poses in the WT cluster 262 closely together (**Supplementary Figure 3**), and overlap with the site that is involved 263 in substrate binding observed in the L-protomer rifampicin/3-formylrifampicin SV-264 bound structures <sup>19,22</sup>, but not macrolide bound structures. Intriguingly, the top WT 265 docking pose for Azi shows direct involvement of R717 (alongside neighbouring 266 residues N719, L828 and Q830) in ligand coordination (Figure 4A), which is 267 consistent with residue contacts seen in rifampicin/3-formylrifampicin SV/rifabutin, 268 but not macrolide-occupied crystal structures.

In the case of the R717L mutant, the poses also cluster tightly together, however

they center closer to the front end of the PBP, overlapping the CH2 exit

271 (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Correspondingly, the R717L mutation resulted in

272 radically different coordination of Azi from the one observed in the WT (Figure 4B),

and loses contact not only with the R717L itself, but also its polar contacts with

274 D681, N719, E826. While Q830 is still providing coordination, several hydrophobic

contacts are created from the opposite side of the pocket, notably F664, F666 andP669.

277 Supporting the idea that the preferred CH2 binding site of Azi diverges in the R717L

278 mutant when compared to the WT, the top pose of binding of Azi to CH2 in the

279 mutant R717L structure has significantly lower binding score (~ 2kcal/mol, **Table 2**),

than in the WT protein.

These different affinities can be rationalized by a change of coordination, as while in the R717L-pocket the top pose includes additional coordination with participation of Q830 and retains L728, it loses the essential N719, E826 and L717 contacts. Taken together this suggests that azithromycin features different binding modes to the WT and R717L, with more stable contacts with CH2 in the WT form, which may translate into lower residence times for it in the case of R717L. After entry via CH2, Azi is thought to move into the PBP, where its primary binding

site is located, as demonstrated by several macrolide-AcrB structures <sup>19,22,48</sup>. In

agreement with that, when docked at the centre of the PBP (Figure 4 C,D), Azi

290 preferentially clusters into the back of this site in both WT and R717L structures.

291 These Azi docking positions broadly overlap with the observed substrate position in

the erythromycin-occupied experimental structures <sup>19,22,48</sup>, and notably are

associated with loss of contact with R/L717. The pseudo binding free energies of the

top poses of this compound to the PBP are very similar in both the WT and R717L

variants of AcrB (**Table 2**), consistent with our interpretation that the enhanced efflux

of Azi seen in the R717L mutant is due to changes in CH2 rather than altered

297 coordination within the PBP itself.

298 Our docking results suggested that the R717L substitution would mostly impact 299 substrates relying on PBP sequestering, and entering the PBP via CH2 (e.g. 300 macrolides, rifamycins and other ansamycins). Anthracyclines such as doxorubicin 301 and tetracycline antibiotics are also thought to utilise CH2, but appear to bypass PBP altogether and are instead sequestered directly in the DBP <sup>22,46,49</sup>, so R717L would 302 303 be expected to have smaller impact on their efflux. Finally, substrates that enter the 304 PBP via the membrane-linked CH1 (including linezolid, fusidic acid, and novobiocin), 305 and planar cations such as EtBr that are thought to enter directly into DBP via CH3 306  $^{22,26}$ , are expected to be relatively unaffected by the R717L. To challenge these 307 predictions, the susceptibility of defined mutants to members of the above compound 308 classes was tested. Consistent with our hypothesis, the MICs of the other tested 309 macrolides and rifampicin were similarly affected, while tetracycline, doxorubicin and 310 novobiocin showed no significant differences, and linezolid was unaffected by the

311 R717L substitution (**Table 3**).

312 To extend these observations beyond Azi, we conducted additional single-structure 313 docking using AutoDock Vina, using structures PDB 3AOC and 3AOB. The 314 preferential binding mode for most tested compounds appears to be within the back 315 part of the PBP, which consistent with our predictions, appears to be undisturbed by 316 the mutation. The only notable exceptions are for Cla and Ery, which appear to form 317 novel hydrophobic interactions in the front part of the PBP, in the case of R717L. 318 That also coincides with a loss of interaction of these compounds with the R717 side 319 chain and might help explain the observed differences in the MIC (data not shown).

In silico modelling predicts AcrB Q176K affects substrate recognition in a distinct
 manner to R717L

To investigate the impact of the Q176K substitution on the STmAcrB structure and substrate binding, we performed *in silico* modelling of the distal binding pocket of the STmAcrB using homology models of the *Salmonella* DBP based on the experimental *E. coli* structures, followed by ensemble docking of cefotaxime (Cef) as described above for the PBP (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

- 327 The best poses found for Cef in the DBP of the T monomer (after structural
- relaxation) are shown in Figure 5. The corresponding observed binding score is -8.4
- and -9.7 kcal/mol for the WT and Q176K, respectively, which is opposite to the
- situation observed with R717L and Azi binding to the CH2. Here, the introduction of
- the Lys-residue into the DBP results in a direct increase of hydrogen bonds between
- the protein and the ligand (**Figure 5B**), which translates into a better fit for the drug
- and correspondingly higher energy of binding. This suggests that the mechanism by
- which the Q176K substitution aids Cef export is radically different from that by which
  R717L substitution affects Azi efflux.
- We corroborated these docking results by additional single-structure docking of the related compounds – cephalothin and nitrocefin, both of which showed very limited displacement, but notable change of coordination with the addition of Q176K (data not shown).

#### 340 Differential abundance of AcrB substitutions in globally dispersed isolates

- 341 To determine whether the mutations selected in this study were biologically
- 342 permissive and in circulation in the real world, we searched for their presence in
- 343 EnteroBase which contains over 200,000 Salmonella genomes deposited from
- around the globe <sup>50,51</sup>. Whilst we first reported the AcrB R717L allele in 2019 <sup>52</sup>, a
- search of the deposited strains identified it in 12 S. Typhimurium isolates originating
- 346 from patients, livestock and food in the United Kingdom, United States, Ireland, and
- 347 Denmark, with the first deposition being in 2003 (**Figure 6**). A recent study also
- identified substitution at R717 in multiple azithromycin-resistant isolates of S. Typhi
- 349 (R717Q) and Paratyphi A (R717L) from patients in Bangladesh <sup>53</sup>. These findings
- demonstrate that this substitution has been selected on multiple occasions in

different *Salmonella* serotypes around the world. The Q176 substitution was notidentified in the database.

353

#### 354 Discussion

355 Antibiotic resistance is a complex phenomenon, and it has become clear that the 356 physiological state of bacteria has a large impact on resistance. Recent work has 357 focused on how biofilms can evolve resistance and has shown that for some species 358 there are biofilms specific routes to resistance, or that developing resistance can 359 affect biofilm formation itself <sup>39,42,54</sup>. In this study, we identified sub-inhibitory 360 concentrations of two critical antibiotics rapidly selected for substitutions within AcrB 361 as a central mechanism underpinning evolution of resistance of Salmonella to both in 362 planktonic and biofilm states. Adaptive mutations of RND pump proteins are being 363 increasingly reported and represent a general frontline mechanism of bacterial 364 response to antibiotic and other environmental stress <sup>34,55,56</sup>. However, there is little 365 current understanding of how the various changes reported act mechanistically and 366 what impacts there may be on the capacity of the pump to export other substrates. In 367 this work, we characterise two substitutions in detail, which allows their mechanisms 368 to be understood and demonstrates two fundamentally different modes of action. 369 The importance of the two mutations in the biology of the cell also appears to differ, 370 which may reflect their relative importance in the real world.

One of the first AcrB-specific mutations to be isolated due to antibiotic treatment in a clinical setting resulted in a G288D substitution in *Salmonella* AcrB <sup>30</sup>. This conferred clinically significant ciprofloxacin resistance isolated from an infection which proved fatal to the patient. Additional M78I and P319L substitutions within AcrB have also been identified in ciprofloxacin resistant isolates of *Salmonella* <sup>34</sup>. Substitutions have also been reported within AcrB which confer resistance in *Klebsiella* <sup>55</sup>, as well as in the related CmeB RND transporter in *Campylobacter* <sup>56</sup>.

R717 is located on the upper side of the access CH2 exit, and contributes to the
formation of the frontal part of the PBP, where it can directly coordinate Rifampicin
<sup>19</sup>, 3-Formylrifamicn <sup>22</sup> and a number of smaller compounds (e.g. ciprofloxacin <sup>57</sup>
and doxorubicin <sup>46</sup> in the L-conformers of *E.coli* AcrB. As revealed by a number of
experimental structures, R717 is the focus of a multi-residue network, including the
side chain of Q830 and backbone atoms of S715 and L828, involved in coordination

of rifampicin, and 3-Formylrifamicin. While R717 is not seen directly interacting with
 erythromycin molecules in the PBP of the available structures (e.g. PBD ID 3AOC;
 <sup>19</sup>), it is within interacting distance of other critically important ligand-coordinating
 residues such as N719, which can provide direct bonding both with the erythromycin
 substrate alongside E826 (e.g. PDB ID 4ZJQ <sup>48</sup>).

389 Thus, substitution of R717 with a hydrophobic, bulky leucine residue could be 390 expected to influence efflux efficiency via a direct change in drug coordination, as 391 well as via secondary effects, due to disruption of the charged residue networks, and 392 general changes in the electrostatics and solvation in the pocket. While short of a direct experimental validation, our ensemble docking results support these 393 394 predictions. Docking of azithromycin to the CH2 entrance of the WT protein resulted 395 in a tight clustering of high affinity poses in proximity of R717 (Supplementary 396 Figure 3), with the top pose making extensive direct contact with the side chain of 397 this amino acid (**Figure 4A**). This coordination is not directly observed in the 398 available erythromycin-bound structures, but is highly compatible with the rifampicin, 399 3-formyl-rifampicin, and rifabutin-bound structures e.g. PDB IDs 3AOB; 6ZOB; 6ZO9 400 <sup>19,22</sup>, and we propose that such a pose represents a valid transient interaction of the 401 macrolide ligands during their transit from the CH2 channel into the PBP proper. The 402 predicted interaction can also readily explain the observed impact of R717 on MICs 403 of both macrolide and ansamycin antibiotics that we observed (Table 3). Consistent 404 with this interpretation is the dramatic change of coordination we observed when 405 docking azithromycin to the R717L pocket, resulting in an unexpected shift, or 406 "slippage" of the preferred azithromycin docking positions down towards the bottom 407 of CH2 (**Supplementary Figure 3**, figure 4B). This loss of coordination with several 408 residues participating in the stabilisation of the ligand in the WT translates into a 409 significant difference in the estimated binding energy of azithromycin to the R717L 410 pocket. This observation provides strong evidence for a structural impact on CH2 411 impacting azithromycin transit. However, we also wanted to explore any possible 412 impact on the second, canonical macrolide binding site, within the PBP. There, the 413 preferred docking poses for both WT and R717L overlap and align with experimental macrolide-bound structures <sup>19,22,48</sup> (Figure 4 C, D). This is expected, given that this 414 binding site does not allow a direct contact of the ligand with either R717 or L717 415 416 side chain, and correspondingly there is no measurable difference in the pseudo 417 binding free energy of azithromycin to this site (**Table 2**). These data are important,

as they suggest, that while the recognition and energy of binding in the back of PBP

- 419 is not affected by R717L substitution, the mutation has a dramatic impact on the front
- 420 of the ligand transport pathway (CH2), associated with the initial stages of
- 421 macrolide/ansamycin transport. Previously, stepwise transfer of substrates through
- 422 the efflux duct of AcrB has been suggested by the available substrate-occupied X-
- 423 ray and cryo-EM structures of AcrB <sup>9,19,46,58</sup>, as well as by a number of molecular
- 424 dynamics simulations <sup>49,59,60</sup> and our *in silico* data strongly support these predictions.
- Taken together, our analysis suggests that while the R717L mutation affects access
  to CH2 by the large macrolide compounds, it doesn't affect the PBP's affinity towards
  these classes of drugs. This was further supported by the differential impacts that the
  R717L mutation had on drugs predicted to utilise different substrate channels (**Table 3**). Indeed, the observed 2 kcal/mol differences in binding energies between the WT
  and R717L in the front of the CH2, but not in the back of the PBP, suggests that the
- 431 retention time of drugs such as azithromycin, might be lower in the mutant,
- 432 facilitating the drug transition from the CH2 to the back of the PBP, without impacting
- recognition in the latter. This is important, as it could explain how this substitution
- does not result in loss of ability to export other AcrB substrates, and so does not
- 435 prevent the MDR phenotype observed when R717L was overexpressed.
- Subsequent to our first description of R717L <sup>52</sup> a recent study by Zwama and Nishino
  <sup>61</sup>, has provided evidence which indicated steric hindrance and electrostatic effects
  to be the cause of a change in the relative accessibility of the PBP. This supports the
  work we report here, and we now significantly expand the scope of that study, by
  providing quantitative assessment of drug binding, and the specific molecular
  environment within the binding pockets of the pump, to further understand the
  molecular mechanisms of this mutation.
- The importance of changes at R717 (*Salmonella* AcrB numbering) is further
- supported by a recent report of mutations in the orthologous Neisserial transporter
- 445 MtrD, associated with increased azithromycin MICs namely R714G and K823E
- substitutions <sup>62,63</sup>. This led the authors to speculate that non-mosaic gonococcal
- 447 strains bearing both the *mtrR* promoter and amino acid changes at MtrD positions
- 448 714 or 823 could translate into clinically significant levels of azithromycin resistance.
- A follow-up study using a global meta-analysis collection of 4,852 *N. gonorrhoeae*
- 450 genomes <sup>63</sup>, did identify the residue R714 of MtrD as a hotspot for mutations leading

451 to increased MICs against azithromycin arising in clinical settings. Several alleles of 452 R714 have been reported from clinical isolates, including R714L, as well as R714C and R714H. This supports our identification of R717L in various isolates of 453 454 Salmonella serovars from humans and animals around the world (Figure 6), and the 455 emergence and spread in azithromycin resistance in S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi 456 isolates <sup>53</sup>. The fact we observe this mutation to emerge rapidly and have a strong 457 phenotypic impact on azithromycin susceptibility, which does not compromise the 458 ability of AcrB to export other substrates when over-expressed, may make this a 459 variant with significant benefits and helps understand its emergence.

The Q176 residue forms part of the distal binding pocket of AcrB <sup>9,46</sup>, specifically 460 461 participating in the so-called 'DP<sub>T</sub> cave' structure of the pocket as defined by  $^{64}$ . Due 462 to its central position in the DP<sub>T</sub> cave, Q176 has been implicated in direct binding to 463 both substrates and non-substrates <sup>21</sup>, (e.g. Doxorubicin, 2DR6.pdb <sup>9</sup>; Rhodamine 464 6G; <sup>65</sup>), as well as competitive pump inhibitors such as D13-9001 (aka P9D) (PDB ID 3W9H; <sup>18,66</sup>), and pyranopyridine derivatives including MBX3135 (PDB ID 5ENR <sup>65</sup>), 465 466 but not MBX2319. In addition, several carbapenem antibiotics have been suggested 467 to interact directly with the Q176 based on recent MD analysis <sup>66</sup> including 468 ertapenem and biapenem. Recently, this residue has also been found in proximity to the binding site of levofloxacin (PDB ID 7B8T; <sup>67</sup>), further highlighting its critical role 469 470 in recognition and coordination of substrates.

471 Docking of cefotaxime to the WT and Q176K DBP pocket shows the side chain in 472 direct contact with the substrate in both cases (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 4). 473 Importantly, and directly opposite to the effect of the R717L however, the Q176K 474 substitution seems to specifically change the binding efficiency of the  $DB_T$  towards 475 cefotaxime, as the introduction of the lysine side chain produces several new strong 476 polar contacts with the ligand, which translates to notably more favourable energy of 477 binding and ligand recognition. A similar mechanism is inferred by the nitrocefin and 478 cephalothin docking.

Importantly, the predicted increase in pseudo binding free energy (~1.4 kcal/mol) as a result of the Q176K substitution is likely to improve recognition while keeping the affinity below an "inhibition threshold", which would convert cefotaxime into a competitive inhibitor of the pump by increasing its residence time within the DBP <sup>68-</sup> <sup>70</sup>, as evidenced by previous studies involving *e.g.* MBX2319 *vs* minocycline binding

484 <sup>71</sup>. Enhanced fitting within the DBP below the inhibition threshold thus translates into 485 increased probability for allosteric conformational change induced in the TM-region 486 and/or correspondingly increased likelihood of a T- to C (O)-transition of the 487 respective AcrB protomers <sup>4,58,72</sup>, resulting in more effective overall transport. 488 Whilst our data show that Q176K had improved recognition of cefotaxime, which 489 translates into decreased susceptibility for strains with this change, the phenotypic 490 impact was only evident in combination with change in *envZ* or *ramR*. These act to 491 either reduce drug entry through porin loss, or through over-expression of acrB 492 respectively. Notably, we did not identify the Q176K substitution in isolation, and it 493 was not present in the Enterobase database. We recently characterised the role and 494 fitness impacts of the EnvZ substitutions selected as precursors to the emergence of 495 Q176K, and found that mutation of *envZ* had a cost on biofilm formation, potentially 496 affecting its fitness to survive in the environment and cause disease<sup>42</sup>. Given the 497 likely dependence on mutation within *envZ* for the AcrB Q176K to confer a benefit, 498 and the inability to form good biofilms, it's possible that this combination may occur 499 rarely in nature and hence is not recorded on Enterobase.

500 This work has shown that using laboratory evolution can efficiently and quickly 501 identify mutations which allow bacteria to resist important antibiotics, furthermore this 502 method also allows epistatic relationships to emerge and be identified. This has 503 allowed us to identify two key changes within AcrB, but also to understand their 504 interactions with other regulators which control cellular permeability and stress 505 responses. Importantly we could also identify the probable hierarchy of selection as 506 we reproducibly saw the same mutations emerging in the same sequences in 507 different lineages – azithromycin resistance emerges via selection of AcrB R717L 508 and first and later is accelerated by gain of loss of function changes within *ramR*. In 509 contrast, for cefotaxime a change in EnvZ is the crucial first step before the Q176K 510 AcrB substitution can exert a significant effect. The use of different conditions can 511 also inform the possible fitness outcomes of different combinations of mutations, and 512 we see different permissive routes to resistance in biofilm and planktonic conditions. 513 This is important, as understanding how resistance that emerges in the laboratory 514 setting can inform selection in the real-world, while our ability to model and predict 515 resistance development is an important tool in understanding AMR. 516 In summary, the combination of laboratory evolution and analysis of mutants has

517 shown the central importance of AcrB in evolution of resistance to major antibiotics,

- 518 but also how these substitutions relate to the wider network of genes within the cell
- 519 which control envelope permeability and have impacts in different growth conditions.
- 520 Furthermore, we show that despite similar phenotypic manifestations the two
- 521 described AcrB substitutions employ strikingly divergent molecular mechanisms,
- 522 providing new insight into how this crucial bacterial defence system operates and
- 523 can evolve. Understanding the potential fitness trade-offs and changes in lifestyle
- 524 that are associated with resistance gain acquired via mutations in AcrB and other
- 525 efflux pumps might provide value in our continuous fight against antibiotic resistance.

#### 526 Methods

#### 527 Experimental evolution model

528 The experimental evolution model was carried out as described in detail in <sup>42</sup>. Briefly, 529 six independent Salmonella lineages (two exposed planktonic lineages and four 530 exposed biofilm lineages) were exposed to 0.06 µg/mL of cefotaxime and 10 µg/mL 531 of azithromycin respectively. The lineages were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) with no 532 salt at 30°C and were serially transferred every 72 hours for 17 passages. Biofilm 533 lineages were grown on 6mm soda lime glass beads. Cells were recovered from the 534 beads by vortexing, three single-cell colonies from passages 1, 9 and 17 were 535 isolated from populations and were stored in 20% glycerol for subsequent 536 phenotyping.

## 537 Antimicrobial susceptibility assays

538 Minimum inhibition concentrations were determined by the broth microdilution 539 method and the agar dilution method in Mueller-Hinton broth or agar respectively,

540 following EUCAST guidelines <sup>73</sup>.

#### 541 Whole genome Sequencing and analysis

542 Genomic DNA was normalised to 0.5 ng/µL with 10mM Tris-HCI. 0.9 µL of TD 543 Tagment DNA Buffer (Illumina Catalogue No. 15027866) was mixed with 0.09 µL 544 TDE1, Tagment DNA Enzyme (Illumina Catalogue No. 15027865) and 2.01 µL PCR. 545 grade water in a master mix and 3ul added to a chilled 96 well plate. 2 µL of 546 normalised DNA (1ng total) was mixed with the 3  $\mu$ L of the tagmentation mix and 547 heated to 55 °C for 10 minutes in a PCR block. A PCR master mix was made up 548 using 4 ul kapa2G buffer, 0.4 µL dNTP's, 0.08 µL Polymerase and 4.52 µL PCR grade water, contained in the Kap2G Robust PCR kit (Sigma Catalogue No. 549 550 KK5005) per sample and 11  $\mu$ L added to each well need to be used in a 96-well 551 plate. 2 µL of each P7 and P5 of Nextera XT Index Kit v2 index primers (Illumina 552 Catalogue No. FC-131-2001 to 2004) were added to each well. Finally, the 5 µL 553 Tagmentation mix was added and mixed. The PCR was run with 72 °C for 3 minutes, 554 95 °C for 1 minute, 14 cycles of 95 °C for 10 seconds, 55 °C for 20 seconds and 72 555 °C for 3 minutes. Following the PCR reaction, the libraries were quantified using the 556 Quant-iT dsDNA Assay Kit, high sensitivity kit (Catalogue No. 10164582) and run on 557 a FLUOstar Optima plate reader. Libraries were pooled following quantification in

558 equal quantities. The final pool was double-spri size selected between 0.5 and 0.7X 559 bead volumes using KAPA Pure Beads (Roche Catalogue No. 07983298001). The 560 final pool was quantified on a Qubit 3.0 instrument and run on a High Sensitivity 561 D1000 ScreenTape (Agilent Catalogue No. 5067-5579) using the Agilent Tapestation 562 4200 to calculate the final library pool molarity. The pool was run at a final 563 concentration of 1.8 pM on an Illumina Nextseq500 instrument using a Mid Output 564 Flowcell (NSQ® 500 Mid Output KT v2(300 CYS) Illumina Catalogue FC-404-2003) 565 and 15 pM on an Illumina MiSeg instrument. Illumina recommended denaturation 566 and loading recommendations which included a 1% PhiX spike in (PhiX Control v3 567 Illumina Catalogue FC-110-3001). To determine SNPs between the parent strain and 568 the de novo assembled Salmonella genomes, derived from evolved isolates, Snippy 569 version 3.1 was used (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy). Salmonella enterica 570 serovar Typhimurium 14028S (accession number: CP001363), was used as the 571 reference strain for all analysis as it is fully sequenced and annotated.

#### 572 Identification of the mutations identified in isolates from EnteroBase

573 The EnteroBase repository holds and curates *Salmonella* genomes including 574 automated annotation of all submissions and assignment of unique allele tags to 575 annotated genes. To identify the presence of strains carrying specific mutations of 576 interest in the database we downloaded all the *acrB* alleles recorded. We then 577 created a local BLAST database for each and used our mutant allele sequences to 578 query these databases and identify alleles with 100% identity, i.e. with the 579 substitution of interest.

#### 580 In silico modelling and antibiotic docking

581 STmAcrB structures for ensemble docking were built as follows: 1) several homology 582 models of the wild type, R717L, and Q176K transporters in an asymmetric LTO state 583 were generated using the software Modeller 10.2<sup>74,75</sup> and the experimental

- structures with the following PDB codes as templates: 2DHH, 2DR6, 2DRD, 2GIF,
- 585 2HRT, 2J8S, 3AOA, 3AOB, 3AOC, 3AOD, 3NOC, 3NOG, 3W9H, 4DX5, 4DX6,
- 586 4DX7, 4U8V, 4U8Y, 4U95, 4U96, 4ZIT, 4ZIV, 4ZJL, 5JMN, 5NC5, 5YIL, 6Q4N,
- 587 6Q4O, 6Q4P. Each pair of target and template sequences were aligned using
- 588 Clustal Omega <sup>76</sup>. Next, 10 homology models were built for each template, using the
- variable target function method to perform the optimisation. Finally, the model with
- 590 the highest MOLPDF was selected for the next step. 2) Ensemble docking of Azi and

591 Cef was performed on three different groups of AcrB structures, each defined for 592 docking the compounds to the CH2 entrance, the PBP and the DBP. The groups of 593 structures were chosen by adapting the protocol introduced in <sup>45</sup>. Namely, the 29 594 homology model structures selected above were aligned to each of the three sites 595 mentioned above, and the corresponding RMSDs at those sites were calculated for 596 each possible pair, resulting in three symmetric 29 × 29 matrices. From each matrix 597 we kept only the structures that exhibited global RMSD values (calculated for all the 598 heavy atoms defining the corresponding site) larger than 1.0 Å from each other. This 599 allowed to include a limited number of non-redundant structures displaying different 600 conformations at the site of interest, which should improve docking accuracy 77,78. 601 For pairs with RMSDs values below this threshold, we removed the structure with the 602 lowest resolution from the pool. This resulted in 19 (2DHH, 2DR6, 2GIF, 2J8S, 603 3AOA, 3AOB, 3AOC, 3NOC, 3NOG, 3W9H, 4DX5, 4DX6, 4DX7, 4U8V, 4ZIT, 4ZJL, 604 5JMN, 5NC5, 6Q4P), 20 (2DHH, 2DR6, 2GIF, 2J8S, 3AOA, 3AOB, 3AOC, 3NOC, 605 3NOG, 3W9H, 4DX5, 4DX6, 4DX7, 4U8V, 4ZIT, 4ZJL, 5JMN, 5NC5, 5YIL, 6Q4P), 606 and 11 (3AOB, 2DHH, 2DR6, 2GIF, 2J8S, 3AOA, 3AOC, 3NOC, 3NOG, 3W9H, 607 5YIL) structures used for docking ligands on the CH2 entrance, PBP and DBP, 608 respectively. The aforementioned sites include, respectively, residues 566, 645, 649, 609 653, 656, 662, 676, 678, 715, 717, 719, 722, 830 (for CH2); 79, 91, 134, 135, 573, 610 575, 577, 617, 624, 664, 666, 667, 668, 674, 828 (for PBP); and 46, 89, 128, 130, 611 134, 136, 139, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 273, 274, 276, 277, 327, 573, 610, 612, 615, 612 617, 620, 628 (for DBP).

Docking was performed using the software GNINA <sup>79</sup>, setting the number of output
poses to 10 and the remaining parameters but the exhaustiveness (128 vs. a default

value of 8) to their default values. The grids were centred onto the geometrical

616 centre of the corresponding docking site. This resulted in grids of volumes  $35 \cdot 25 \cdot 25$ 

 $Å^3$ , 30.30.30 Å<sup>3</sup>, and 30.30.30 Å<sup>3</sup> for CH2, PBP, and DBP respectively.

For each ligand and each site, the top docking pose was further relaxed using

- 619 AMBER20, (https://ambermd.org/AmberMD.php) and rescored with Autodock ,
- 620 using the AutoDock VINA scoring function implemented in GNINA to provide a
- 621 qualitative estimate of the binding affinities <sup>47</sup>.
- 622 For single-structure docking, AutoDock VINA was used to dock compounds onto (i)
- 623 STmAcrB PBP, which models were based on the L-conformers occupied by

- 624 Erythromycin (PDB ID: 3AOC chain C) and Rifampicin (PDB ID: 3AOB chain C) <sup>19</sup>,
- 625 modified to account for the M573L species-specific substitution; and (ii) STmAcrB
- 626 DBP, which models were derived from the T-conformer apo-structure (PDB ID: 2J8S
- 627 chain B), and occupied by minocycline (PDB ID: 4DX5 chain B) <sup>46</sup>, modified to
- account for the species-specific substitutions S48T, E280K. The grids centres and
- 629 volumes were the same as the ensemble docking.

# 630 **Preparation of RNA samples for q-RT PCR**

- 631 RNA from biofilms was isolated using the SV Total RNA Isolation System kit
- 632 (Promega). RNA was extracted from strains carrying the AcrB R717L and AcrB
- 633 R717L/ RamR T18P substitutions. Biofilms of these strains were grown on the
- 634 surface of lysogeny broth agar with no salt and these were incubated for 72 hours at
- 635 30°C. Cells from each biofilm were prepared for lysis in 100 μL TE containing 50
- 636 mg/mL lysozyme and were homogenised by vortexing. RNA was isolated following
- the Promega kit protocol and was eluted using 100 μL of nuclease-free water. RNA
  quantification was performed using the Qubit RNA High Sensitivity Assay kit
- 639 (Q32852).

# 640 Quantitative Real-Time PCR (q-RT PCR)

To determine expression levels of *acrB* and *ramA*, we performed q-RT PCR using the Luna Universal One-Step RT-qPCR Kit from NEB (E3005), using the Applied BiosystemsTM 7500 Real-Time PCR system. The primers used for the q-RT PCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Efficiency of the primers was calculated by generation of calibration curves for each primer pair on serially diluted DNA samples.

- The R2 of the calibration curves calibrated was ≥0.98 for all the primer pairs used inthis study.
- 648 RNA at a final amount of 50-100 ng was added to 10 μL final volume PCR reactions,
- 649 mixed with 400 nM of each primer. The cycle parameters were as follows: 10
- 650 minutes at 55 °C (reverse transcription step), 1-minute denaturation at 95 °C and 40
- cycles of 10 seconds at 95 °C and 1 minute at 60 °C.
- For each sample, two technical replicates from two biological replicates each were
- 653 included (four in total) per reaction. Controls with no reverse transcriptase were also
- 654 included for each RNA sample to eliminate DNA contamination.

To calculate expression levels, expression fold change was calculated using *gyrB* expression as a reference. The relative expression was determined by calculating the logarithmic base 2 of the difference between *gyrB* gene expression and target gene expression per sample.

#### 659 Drug accumulation assay

660 To measure changes in cellular membrane permeability to drugs, we used the 661 resazurin accumulation assay. Strains of interest were grown to early exponential 662 phase (OD: 0.2-0.3) using 1:100 inoculum from an overnight culture. The cells were 663 washed with PBS and normalised for cell density before being mixed with 10 µg /mL 664 of resazurin in 100 µL final volume in round-bottom microtiter plates. Fluorescence 665 was measured at 544nm excitation and 590nm emission in an Omega FLUOstar 666 plate reader. Five biological replicates (with three technical replicates assayed for 667 each) were included per strain and resazurin-only reactions were used as controls. 668 The assays repeated on at least two separate occasions with reproducible results 669 observed each time.

#### 670 Genetic manipulations

For the gene deletion mutants, we used the  $\lambda$ -red gene doctoring technique as described in <sup>80</sup>, 300-400 bp-long homologous regions flanking the genes of interest were cloned into the MCS1 and MCS2 of the pDOC-K vector. The cloned regions include the first and last 10 codons of the gene to be deleted, to avoid pleiotropic effects. For the *acrB* and *ramR* deletions, the upstream homologous regions were cloned EcoRI/ BamHI in MCS1 and the downstream ones as Xhol/ NheI in MCS2 of pDOC-K.

For the complementation of *acrB*, we used the pWKS30/ AcrB plasmid previously described <sup>81</sup>, expression of the gene is under the control of the pBAD system and induction was achieved with the use of 0.5% (w/v) arabinose.

For complementation of *ramR*, we used the pDOC-K/ glms vector <sup>82</sup>. Wild-type *ramR* and 'ramR-T18P' alleles were cloned Xhol/ HindIII in pDOC-K/ glms under the

683 control of the gene's native promoter.

## 684 Data availability

- 685 Whole genome sequencing data that support the findings of this study have been
- deposited in the Sequence Read Archive with the project number PRJNA529870
- 687 (accession numbers: SAMN11288384, SAMN11288382, SAMN11288381,
- 688 SAMN11288380, SAMN11288379, SAMN11288378, SAMN11288370,
- 689 SAMN11288368, SAMN11288366, SAMN11288361).

# 690 Acknowledgements

- ET, and MAW were supported by the BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme
- Microbes in the Food Chain BB/R012504/1 and its constituent project
- 693 BBS/E/F/000PR10349. Bioinformatics analyses were performed on CLIMB-
- 694 computing servers, an infrastructure supported by a grant from the UK Medical
- 695 Research Council (MR/L015080/1).

# 696 Author contributions:

- 697 ET designed and performed experiments, analysed data and wrote the paper. JAA
- 698 performed experiments and analysed data. FP analysed data and wrote the paper.
- AVV designed methodology, performed docking and analysed data. VNB ran *in silico*
- structural analysis, analysed data and wrote the paper. MAW designed experiments,
- analysed data and wrote the paper.

# 702 Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

# **References**

| 705 | 1 Martinez, J. L. & Baquero, F. Mutation frequencies and antibiotic resistance.                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 706 | Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44, 1771-1777 (2000). https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.44.7.1771-                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 707 | <u>1777.2000</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 708 | Blair, J. M., Webber, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Ogbolu, D. O. & Piddock, L. J. Molecular                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 709 | mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Micro 13, 42-51 (2015).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 710 | 3 Piddock, L. J. Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance efflux                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 711 | pumps in bacteria. <i>Clin Microbiol Rev</i> 19, 382-402 (2006).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 712 | 4 Alav, I. <i>et al.</i> Structure, Assembly, and Function of Tripartite Efflux and Type 1                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 713 | Secretion Systems in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Chem. Rev. 121, 5479-5596 (2021).                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 714 | https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00055  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 715 | 5 Klenotic, P. A., Moseng, M. A., Morgan, C. E. & Yu, E. W. Structural and Functional                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 716 | Diversity of Resistance-Nodulation-Cell Division Transporters. Chem. Rev. 121, 5378-5416                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 717 | (2021). <u>https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00621</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 718 | 6 Li, X. Z., Plésiat, P. & Nikaido, H. The challenge of efflux-mediated antibiotic                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 719 | resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev 28, 337-418 (2015).                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 720 | https://doi.org:10.1128/cmr.00117-14   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 721 | 7 Poole, K. Efflux-mediated antimicrobial resistance. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i> <b>56</b> , 20-            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 722 | 51 (2005). <u>https://doi.org:10.1093/jac/dki171</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 723 | 8 Seeger, M. A. <i>et al.</i> Structural asymmetry of AcrB trimer suggests a peristaltic pump                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 724 | mechanism. Science 313, 1295-1298 (2006). <u>https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1131542</u>                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 725 | 9 Murakami, S., Nakashima, R., Yamashita, E., Matsumoto, T. & Yamaguchi, A.                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 726 | Crystal structures of a multidrug transporter reveal a functionally rotating mechanism. Nature                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 727 | <b>443</b> , 173-179 (2006).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 728 | 10 McNeil, H. E. <i>et al.</i> Identification of binding residues between periplasmic adapter                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 729 | protein (PAP) and RND efflux pumps explains PAP-pump promiscuity and roles in                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 730 | antimicrobial resistance. PLoS pathogens 15, e1008101 (2019).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 731 | 11 Chen, M. <i>et al.</i> In situ structure of the AcrAB-ToIC efflux pump at subnanometer                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 732 | resolution. <i>Structure</i> <b>30</b> , 107-113.e103 (2022). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.str.2021.08.008</u> |  |  |  |  |  |
| 733 | 12 Kobayashi, N., Tamura, N., van Veen, H. W., Yamaguchi, A. & Murakami, S. β-                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 734 | Lactam selectivity of multidrug transporters AcrB and AcrD resides in the proximal binding                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 735 | pocket. The Journal of biological chemistry 289, 10680-10690 (2014).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 736 | https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M114.547794  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 737 | Hobbs, E. C., Yin, X., Paul, B. J., Astarita, J. L. & Storz, G. Conserved small protein                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 738 | associates with the multidrug efflux pump AcrB and differentially affects antibiotic resistance.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 739 | Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109,                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |

740 16696-16701 (2012). <u>https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1210093109</u>

- 741 14 Bohnert, J. A. *et al.* Site-directed mutagenesis reveals putative substrate binding
- residues in the Escherichia coli RND efflux pump AcrB. J Bacteriol 190, 8225-8229 (2008).
- 743 https://doi.org:10.1128/jb.00912-08
- 15 Nishino, K. & Yamaguchi, A. Analysis of a complete library of putative drug
- transporter genes in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **183**, 5803-5812 (2001).
- 746 <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/jb.183.20.5803-5812.2001</u>
- 16 Sulavik, M. C. *et al.* Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Escherichia coli strains lacking
- multidrug efflux pump genes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **45**, 1126-1136 (2001).
- 749 https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.45.4.1126-1136.2001
- Tsukagoshi, N. & Aono, R. Entry into and release of solvents by Escherichia coli in
- 751 an organic-aqueous two-liquid-phase system and substrate specificity of the AcrAB-TolC
- 752 solvent-extruding pump. J Bacteriol 182, 4803-4810 (2000).
- 753 https://doi.org:10.1128/jb.182.17.4803-4810.2000
- 18 Nakashima, R. *et al.* Structural basis for the inhibition of bacterial multidrug
- 755 exporters. Nature 500, 102-106 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature12300
- 756 http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v500/n7460/abs/nature12300.html#supplementary-
- 757 information
- Nakashima, R., Sakurai, K., Yamasaki, S., Nishino, K. & Yamaguchi, A. Structures of
  the multidrug exporter AcrB reveal a proximal multisite drug-binding pocket. *Nature* 480,
  565-569 (2011).
- 761 20 Ruggerone, P., Murakami, S., Pos, K. M. & Vargiu, A. V. RND Efflux Pumps:
- 762 Structural Information Translated into Function and Inhibition Mechanisms. *Current topics in* 763 *medicinal chemistry* **13**, 3079-3100 (2013).
- 764 21 Vargiu, A. V. & Nikaido, H. Multidrug binding properties of the AcrB efflux pump
- characterized by molecular dynamics simulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 766 Sciences 109, 20637-20642 (2012). <u>https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1218348109</u>
- 767 22 Tam, H. K. *et al.* Allosteric drug transport mechanism of multidrug transporter AcrB.
- 768 *Nat Commun* **12**, 3889 (2021). <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-021-24151-3</u>
- Alav, I., Bavro, V. N. & Blair, J. M. A. A role for the periplasmic adaptor protein AcrA
- in vetting substrate access to the RND efflux transporter AcrB. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 4752 (2022).
- 771 https://doi.org:10.1038/s41598-022-08903-9
- 772 24 Schuster, S., Vavra, M. & Kern, W. V. Evidence of a Substrate-Discriminating
- 773 Entrance Channel in the Lower Porter Domain of the Multidrug Resistance Efflux Pump
- 774 AcrB. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **60**, 4315-4323 (2016).
- 775 <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.00314-16</u>

- Tam, H. K. *et al.* Binding and Transport of Carboxylated Drugs by the Multidrug
- 777 Transporter AcrB. Journal of molecular biology 432, 861-877 (2020).

778 https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.12.025

- Zwama, M. et al. Multiple entry pathways within the efflux transporter AcrB contribute
- 780 to multidrug recognition. *Nat Commun* **9**, 124 (2018). <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-017-</u>
- 781 <u>02493-1</u>
- 782 27 Kapach, G. et al. Loss of the Periplasmic Chaperone Skp and Mutations in the Efflux
- 783 Pump AcrAB-TolC Play a Role in Acquired Resistance to Antimicrobial Peptides in
- 784 Salmonella typhimurium. *Front Microbiol* **11**, 189 (2020).
- 785 <u>https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2020.00189</u>
- 786 28 Grimsey, E. M., Weston, N., Ricci, V., Stone, J. W. & Piddock, L. J. V.
- 787 Overexpression of RamA, Which Regulates Production of the Multidrug Resistance Efflux
- 788 Pump AcrAB-TolC, Increases Mutation Rate and Influences Drug Resistance Phenotype.
- 789 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.02460-19
- 790 29 Piddock, L. J. V. Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance
- r91 efflux pumps in bacteria. Clinical microbiology reviews 19, 382-402 (2006).
- 792 https://doi.org:10.1128/cmr.19.2.382-402.2006
- 30 Blair, J. M. et al. AcrB drug-binding pocket substitution confers clinically relevant
- resistance and altered substrate specificity. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 795 Sciences of the United States of America **112**, 3511-3516 (2015).
- 796 https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1419939112
- 797 31 Zwama, M. & Nishino, K. Ever-Adapting RND Efflux Pumps in Gram-Negative
- 798 Multidrug-Resistant Pathogens: A Race against Time. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **10** (2021).
- 799 https://doi.org:10.3390/antibiotics10070774
- 800 32 Kobylka, J., Kuth, M. S., Müller, R. T., Geertsma, E. R. & Pos, K. M. AcrB: a mean,
- 801 keen, drug efflux machine. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1459, 38-68 (2020).
- 802 <u>https://doi.org:10.1111/nyas.14239</u>
- 803 33 Li, X. Z. & Nikaido, H. Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria: an update. *Drugs*
- 805 34 Yang, L. *et al.* Emergence of two AcrB substitutions conferring multidrug resistance
- to Salmonella spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65 (2021).
- 807 <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.01589-20</u>
- Andersson, D. I. & Hughes, D. Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to
- 809 reverse resistance? Nat Rev Microbiol 8, 260-271 (2010). https://doi.org:nrmicro2319 [pii]
- 810 10.1038/nrmicro2319
- 811 36 Alav, I., Sutton, J. M. & Rahman, K. M. Role of bacterial efflux pumps in biofilm
- 812 formation. J Antimicrob Chemother 73, 2003-2020 (2018). <u>https://doi.org:10.1093/jac/dky042</u>

- 813 37 Piddock, L. J. Multidrug-resistance efflux pumps not just for resistance. *Nat Rev*814 *Microbiol* 4, 629-636 (2006).
- 815 38 Costerton, J. W. *et al.* Bacterial biofilms in nature and disease. *Annu Rev Microbiol*816 **41**, 435-464 (1987). <u>https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev.mi.41.100187.002251</u>
- 817 39 Trampari, E. *et al.* Exposure of Salmonella biofilms to antibiotic concentrations
- rapidly selects resistance with collateral tradeoffs. *NPJ biofilms and microbiomes* **7**, 1-13
- 819 (2021).
- 820 40 Baucheron, S. *et al.* Bile-mediated activation of the acrAB and tolC multidrug efflux
- genes occurs mainly through transcriptional derepression of ramA in Salmonella enterica
   serovar Typhimurium. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 69, 2400-2406 (2014).
- 823 <u>https://doi.org:10.1093/jac/dku140</u>
- 41 Yamasaki, S. *et al.* The crystal structure of multidrug-resistance regulator RamR with multiple drugs. *Nat Commun* **4** (2013). https://doi.org:10.1038/ncomms3078
- 826 42 Trampari, E. *et al.* Cefotaxime Exposure Selects Mutations within the CA-Domain of
- 827 envZ Which Promote Antibiotic Resistance but Repress Biofilm Formation in Salmonella.
- 828 Microbiol Spectr, e0214521 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1128/spectrum.02145-21
- 43 Vidal-Aroca, F., Meng, A., Minz, T., Page, M. G. & Dreier, J. Use of resazurin to
- 830 detect mefloquine as an efflux-pump inhibitor in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia
- 831 coli. J. Microbiol. Methods **79**, 232-237 (2009). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.mimet.2009.09.021</u>
- 44 Johnson, R. M. et al. Cryo-EM Structure and Molecular Dynamics Analysis of the
- 833 Fluoroquinolone Resistant Mutant of the AcrB Transporter from Salmonella. *Microorganisms*
- 834 **8** (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.3390/microorganisms8060943</u>
- 835 45 Malvacio, I. *et al.* Molecular basis for the different interactions of congeneric
- substrates with the polyspecific transporter AcrB. *Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr* 1861,
- 837 1397-1408 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.bbamem.2019.05.004
- 838 46 Eicher, T. *et al.* Transport of drugs by the multidrug transporter AcrB involves an
- access and a deep binding pocket that are separated by a switch-loop. *Proceedings of the*
- 840 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 5687-5692 (2012).
- 841 <u>https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1114944109</u>
- 842 47 Basciu, A., Koukos, P. I., Malloci, G., Bonvin, A. & Vargiu, A. V. Coupling enhanced
- sampling of the apo-receptor with template-based ligand conformers selection: performance
- in pose prediction in the D3R Grand Challenge 4. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* **34**, 149-162
- 845 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1007/s10822-019-00244-6</u>
- Ababou, A. & Koronakis, V. Structures of Gate Loop Variants of the AcrB Drug Efflux
- Pump Bound by Erythromycin Substrate. *PLoS One* **11**, e0159154 (2016).
- 848 <u>https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pone.0159154</u>

- 849 49 Zuo, Z., Wang, B., Weng, J. & Wang, W. Stepwise substrate translocation
- 850 mechanism revealed by free energy calculations of doxorubicin in the multidrug transporter
- 851 AcrB. Sci. Rep. 5, 13905 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1038/srep13905
- 852 50 Achtman, M. *et al.* Genomic diversity of Salmonella enterica The UoWUCC 10K
- genomes project. Wellcome Open Res 5, 223 (2020).
- 854 <u>https://doi.org:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16291.2</u>
- Alikhan, N. F., Zhou, Z., Sergeant, M. J. & Achtman, M. A genomic overview of the
- population structure of Salmonella. *PLoS Genet* **14**, e1007261 (2018).
- 857 <u>https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007261</u>
- Trampari, E. *et al.* Antibiotics select for novel pathways of resistance in biofilms. *BioRxiv*, 605212 (2019).
- 860 53 Hooda, Y. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of azithromycin resistance among typhoidal
- 861 Salmonella strains in Bangladesh identified through passive pediatric surveillance. PLoS
- 862 Negl. Trop. Dis. 13, e0007868 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007868</u>
- 863 54 Scribner, M. R., Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C. W., Deitrick, C. & Cooper, V. S.
- 864 Parallel Evolution of Tobramycin Resistance across Species and Environments. *mBio* 11
- 865 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/mBio.00932-20</u>
- 866 55 Li, Y., Cross, T. S. & Dörr, T. Analysis of AcrB in Klebsiella pneumoniae reveals
- 867 natural variants promoting enhanced multidrug resistance. *Res Microbiol* 173, 103901
- 868 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.resmic.2021.103901
- S6 Yao, H. *et al.* Emergence of a Potent Multidrug Efflux Pump Variant That Enhances
  Campylobacter Resistance to Multiple Antibiotics. *mBio* 7 (2016).
- 871 https://doi.org:10.1128/mBio.01543-16
- 57 Yu, E. W., Aires, J. R., McDermott, G. & Nikaido, H. A periplasmic drug-binding site
- 873 of the AcrB multidrug efflux pump: a crystallographic and site-directed mutagenesis study. J
- 874 Bacteriol 187, 6804-6815 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1128/jb.187.19.6804-6815.2005
- Eicher, T. *et al.* Coupling of remote alternating-access transport mechanisms for
- protons and substrates in the multidrug efflux pump AcrB. *Elife* **3** (2014).
- 877 <u>https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.03145</u>
- 878 59 Reading, E. *et al.* Perturbed structural dynamics underlie inhibition and altered efflux
- of the multidrug resistance pump AcrB. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5565 (2020).
- 880 <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-19397-2</u>
- 881 60 Vargiu, A. V. *et al.* Water-mediated interactions enable smooth substrate transport in
- a bacterial efflux pump. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* 1862, 836-845 (2018).
- 883 <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.bbagen.2018.01.010</u>

- 884 61 Zwama, M. & Nishino, K. Proximal Binding Pocket Arg717 Substitutions in
- 885 Escherichia coli AcrB Cause Clinically Relevant Divergencies in Resistance Profiles.
- 886 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 66, e0239221 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.02392-21
- 887 62 Lyu, M. et al. Cryo-EM Structures of a Gonococcal Multidrug Efflux Pump Illuminate a
- 888 Mechanism of Drug Recognition and Resistance. *mBio* **11** (2020).
- 889 <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/mBio.00996-20</u>
- 890 63 Ma, K. C., Mortimer, T. D. & Grad, Y. H. Efflux Pump Antibiotic Binding Site
- 891 Mutations Are Associated with Azithromycin Nonsusceptibility in Clinical Neisseria
- 892 gonorrhoeae Isolates. *mBio* 11 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/mBio.01509-20</u>
- 893 64 Takatsuka, Y., Chen, C. & Nikaido, H. Mechanism of recognition of compounds of
- 894 diverse structures by the multidrug efflux pump AcrB of Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the
- National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107, 6559-6565 (2010).
- 896 https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1001460107
- 897 65 Sjuts, H. *et al.* Molecular basis for inhibition of AcrB multidrug efflux pump by novel
  898 and powerful pyranopyridine derivatives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*899 of the United States of America **113**, 3509-3514 (2016).
- 900 https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1602472113
- 901 66 Atzori, A. *et al.* Molecular Interactions of Carbapenem Antibiotics with the Multidrug
- 902 Efflux Transporter AcrB of Escherichia coli. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (2020).
- 903 https://doi.org:10.3390/ijms21030860
- 904 67 Ornik-Cha, A. *et al.* Structural and functional analysis of the promiscuous AcrB and
  905 AdeB efflux pumps suggests different drug binding mechanisms. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6919
  906 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-021-27146-2
- 907 68 Dey, D., Kavanaugh, L. G. & Conn, G. L. Antibiotic Substrate Selectivity of
- 908 Pseudomonas aeruginosa MexY and MexB Efflux Systems Is Determined by a Goldilocks
- 909 Affinity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1128/AAC.00496-20
- 910 69 Kinana, A. D., Vargiu, A. V. & Nikaido, H. Effect of site-directed mutations in
- 911 multidrug efflux pump AcrB examined by quantitative efflux assays. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*
- 912 Commun. 480, 552-557 (2016). <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.083</u>
- 913 70 Vargiu, A. V. *et al.* Effect of the F610A Mutation on Substrate Extrusion in the AcrB
- 914 Transporter: Explanation and Rationale by Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Journal of the
- 915 American Chemical Society **133**, 10704-10707 (2011). <u>https://doi.org:10.1021/ja202666x</u>
- 916 71 Vargiu, A. V., Ruggerone, P., Opperman, T. J., Nguyen, S. T. & Nikaido, H.
- 917 Molecular mechanism of MBX2319 inhibition of Escherichia coli AcrB multidrug efflux pump
- 918 and comparison with other inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**, 6224-6234 (2014).
- 919 <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/aac.03283-14</u>

- 920 72 Glavier, M. et al. Antibiotic export by MexB multidrug efflux transporter is allosterically
- 921 controlled by a MexA-OprM chaperone-like complex. *Nat Commun* **11**, 4948 (2020).
- 922 https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-18770-5
- 923 73 (EUCAST), T. E. c. o. a. s. t. (2016).
- 924 74 Grimsey, E. M. et al. Chlorpromazine and Amitriptyline Are Substrates and Inhibitors
- 925 of the AcrB Multidrug Efflux Pump. *mBio* 11 (2020). <u>https://doi.org:10.1128/mBio.00465-20</u>
- 926 75 Webb, B. & Sali, A. Comparative Protein Structure Modeling Using MODELLER. *Curr*
- 927 Protoc Bioinformatics 54, 5 6 1-5 6 37 (2016). <u>https://doi.org:10.1002/cpbi.3</u>
- 928 76 Sievers, F. *et al.* Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence
- alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 539 (2011).
- 930 <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/msb.2011.75</u>
- 931 77 Asthana, S., Shukla, S., Ruggerone, P. & Vargiu, A. V. Molecular mechanism of viral
- 932 resistance to a potent non-nucleoside inhibitor unveiled by molecular simulations.
- 933 Biochemistry 53, 6941-6953 (2014). <u>https://doi.org:10.1021/bi500490z</u>
- 934 78 Basciu, A., Malloci, G., Pietrucci, F., Bonvin, A. & Vargiu, A. V. Holo-like and
- 935 Druggable Protein Conformations from Enhanced Sampling of Binding Pocket Volume and
- 936 Shape. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 59, 1515-1528 (2019). <u>https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.jcim.8b00730</u>
- 937 79 McNutt, A. T. *et al.* GNINA 1.0: molecular docking with deep learning. *J. Cheminform.*
- 938 13, 43 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1186/s13321-021-00522-2
- 80 Lee, D. J. *et al.* Gene doctoring: a method for recombineering in laboratory and
- 940 pathogenic Escherichia coli strains. *BMC Microbiol* **9**, 252 (2009).
- 941 <u>https://doi.org:10.1186/1471-2180-9-252</u>
- 81 Baugh, S., Ekanayaka, A. S., Piddock, L. J. & Webber, M. A. Loss of or inhibition of
- 943 all multidrug resistance efflux pumps of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium results in
- 944 impaired ability to form a biofilm. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **67**, 2409-2417 (2012).
- 945 https://doi.org:10.1093/jac/dks228
- 946 82 Holden, E. R., Wickham, G. J., Webber, M. A., Thomson, N. M. & Trampari, E. Donor
- 947 plasmids for phenotypically neutral chromosomal gene insertions in Enterobacteriaceae.
- 948 *Microbiology* **166**, 1115-1120 (2020).
- 949
- 950

#### 951 Figure Legends

952 Figure 1: Selection of substitutions within AcrB in different conditions. A.

953 Azithromycin selection. Three isolates were phenotyped and sequenced from 954 biofilms passaged 1, 9, and 17 times, respectively. AcrB R717L emerged after 955 passage 1 and led to an 8-fold increase in azithromycin MIC. Five isolates (out of the 956 6) from passages 9 and 17 also carried an additional RamR T18P substitution 957 conferring a 4-fold additional increase in azithromycin MIC. **B.** Cefotaxime selection. 958 Three isolates from a planktonic population were phenotyped and sequenced after 959 passages 1, 9, and 17. Mutations within *envZ* emerged after passage 1 conferring 4-960 fold increase in MIC. By passage 9, the AcrB Q176K substitution emerged, which led 961 to a 16-fold change in MIC. Isolates from passage 17 exhibited a 4-8-fold change in 962 MIC. Any MIC change of 2-fold or above was considered significant. Long horizontal 963 bars indicate the average value for each condition and smaller error bars the 964 standard deviation.

965

966 Figure 2. Accumulation of the efflux substrate resazurin and expression of 967 efflux genes. A, Reduced accumulation was observed in strains carrying both AcrB 968 R717L and RamR T18P substitutions (p< 0.0001) **B, Mutants carrying EnvZR397H** 969 exhibited decreased drug accumulation. Additional mutation within AcrB (Q176K), 970 led to a greater reduction in accumulation of resazurin in the cells (p < 0.0001). 971 to/C::cat, pump-defective mutant, was used as a control. C, qRT-PCR in 48-hour 972 **biofilms**. Expression of *acrB* and *ramA* was monitored in an isolate carrying the 973 AcrB R717L substitution and in a strain carrying both the AcrB R717L and the 974 additional RamR T18P. Increase of expression of acrB and ramA was significantly higher compared to the WT in the presence of the RamR T18P substitution. Error 975 976 bars reflect estimates +/- one standard error. Statistical significance was calculated 977 using a two-way Anova test.

978

Figure 3. Structural organization of the AcrB trimer indicating the location of mutated
residues with relevant substitutions and their relation to the proximal and distal
binding pockets. A single protomer (protomer 2) is annotated, with transmembrane
helices and the funnel domain in dark grey, while the porter domain sub-domains

(PN1, PC1, PN2 and PC2), which form the main substrate recognition channels and
drug binding pockets colour coded. Approximate locations of the PBP and DBP are
given with dotted circles. The sidechains of R717 and Q176 are shown as sticks.
The switch loop, separating the PBP from DBP is coloured in orange, and the
conserved residues F615 and F617, which belong to the loop are also shown as
sticks for reference. Illustration based on the experimental structure of the STmAcrB
6Z12.PDB <sup>44</sup>.

990

991 Figure 4. Docking of Azithromycin to the entrance of CH2 and PBP. All residues 992 within 2.5 Å of the docked ligands (plus the residue R/L717) are shown in stick 993 representation. A. Relaxed top pose of azithromycin bound to the entrance of CH2 in 994 the WT, showing ligand coordination with the participation of R717 (purple thick 995 sticks). Dotted lines represent polar contacts. Additional charged (red) and polar 996 (green) residues providing essential contacts are T676, D681, N719 and Q830, as 997 well as the hydrophobic F664, L828 and M862 (in orange). B. The CH2 entrance in 998 the R717L variant, showing radically different coordination of the ligand, as it slips 999 towards CH2 losing contact with L717(purple) and forming new contacts in opposite 1000 side of the channel – e.g. F666 and P669. C. Relaxed top pose for azithromycin 1001 bound to the PBP. The R717 does not participate in coordination of the azithromycin. 1002 Note the participation of E826 and the gating-loop residues F617 and A618 in 1003 coordination. **D.** Relaxed top pose for azithromycin bound to the R717L PBP, showing minor adjustment of coordination, with participation of the gating loop and 1004 1005 involvement of Q89.

1006

# Figure 5. Effect of Q176 substitution on the coordination of cefotaxime in the deep binding pocket from ensemble docking studies. A. Relaxed top pose

1009 coordination showing the essential residues in the WT. Side chain of the Q176 (thick

- 1010 purple sticks) directly participates in ligand binding, providing polar contacts; Ligand
- 1011 binding is additionally supported by predominantly hydrophobic interactions (orange).
- 1012 **B.** Relaxed top pose for Q176K, demonstrating the increased coordination with the
- 1013 participation of K176. S135 and G179 (via main chain) provide additional polar
- 1014 contacts (green), however overall, the position of the Cef in the DBP remains nearly
- 1015 identical to the one observed in the WT.

### 1016 Figure 6: Identification of R717L in geographically diverse isolates. The map

- 1017 shows where isolates carrying AcrB with the R717L substitution have been reported.
- 1018 Isolates from swine are indicated by purple, clinical isolates with blue, and isolates
- 1019 from the food chain are highlighted green. Isolates of S. Typhimurium were isolated
- 1020 from the United States, United Kingdom, Ireland and Denmark. Clinical isolates of S.
- 1021 Typhi, resistant to azithromycin were recorded in Bangladesh <sup>53</sup>. Isolates carrying the
- 1022 R717L allele were isolated between 2003 and 2019.



b



**Drug accumulation** 











# Tables

 Table 1: Reconstitution of acrB genotypes confirms impacts on susceptibility.

|                 | MIC (µg/ml) |               |                     |             |                |                      |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Α               | WT          | AcrB R717L    | AcrB R717L          | ∆acrB       | ∆acrB          | ∆acrB                |
|                 |             |               | RamR T18P           |             | p <i>acrB</i>  | p <i>acrB</i> _R717L |
| Azithromycin    | 4           | 32            | 64                  | 0.5         | 4              | 16                   |
| Cefotaxime      | 0.125       | 0.06          | 0.125               | 0.015       | 0.125          | 0.125                |
| Chloramphenicol | 4           | 8             | 16                  | 0.5         | 4              | 4                    |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 0.03        | 0.03          | 0.06                | 0.03        | 0.015          | 0.03                 |
| Kanamycin       | 4           | 4             | 2                   | 4           | 4              | 4                    |
| Nalidixic acid  | 2           | 2             | 8                   | 0.5         | 2              | 2                    |
| Tetracycline    | 0.5         | 0.5           | 2                   | 0.125       | 0.5            | 0.5                  |
|                 | ∆ramR       | ∆ramR         | ∆ramR               | ∆acrB,∆ramR | ∆acrB,∆ramR    | ∆acrB,∆ramR          |
|                 |             | p <i>ramR</i> | p <i>ramR_</i> T18P |             | p <i>acrB</i>  | p <i>acrB_R717L</i>  |
| Azithromycin    | 16          | 4             | 16                  | 0.5         | 4              | 16                   |
| Cefotaxime      | 0.25        | 0.06          | 0.25                | 0.015       | 0.25           | 0.125                |
| Chloramphenicol | 16          | 4             | 16                  | 0.5         | 8              | 8                    |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 0.06        | 0.03          | 0.06                | 0.03        | 0.03           | 0.06                 |
| Kanamycin       | 4           | ND            | ND                  | 2           | 4              | 4                    |
| Nalidixic acid  | 8           | 2             | 8                   | 0.5         | 4              | 4                    |
| Tetracycline    | 2           | 0.5           | 2                   | 0.125       | 1              | 1                    |
|                 |             |               |                     |             |                |                      |
| В               | WT          | EnvZ R397H    | EnvZ R397H          | ∆envZ       | ∆envZ          | ∆envZ                |
|                 |             |               | AcrB Q176K          |             | pe <i>nv</i> Z | pe <i>nvZ_</i> R397H |
| Azithromycin    | 4           | 4             | 2                   | 4           | 4              | 8                    |
| Cefotaxime      | 0.125       | 0.5           | 1                   | 0.125       | 0.125          | 0.5                  |
| Chloramphenicol | 4           | 16            | 16                  | 8           | ND             | 16                   |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 0.03        | 0.06          | 0.03                | 0.03        | 0.03           | 0.06                 |
| Kanamycin       | 4           | 2             | 4                   | 4           | ND             | ND                   |
| Nalidixic acid  | 2           | 4             | 4                   | 2           | 2              | 4                    |

| Tetracycline    | 0.5   | 1                             | 2                    | 0.5         | 0.5                         | 1                          |
|-----------------|-------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                 | ∆acrB | <i>∆acrB</i><br>p <i>acrB</i> | ∆acrВ<br>pacrB_Q176K | ∆acrB,∆ramR | <i>∆acrB,∆ramR</i><br>pacrB | ΔacrB,ΔramR<br>pacrB_Q176K |
| Azithromycin    | 0.5   | 2                             | 2                    | 0.5         | 4                           | 2                          |
| Cefotaxime      | 0.015 | 0.125                         | 0.125                | 0.015       | 0.25                        | 1                          |
| Chloramphenicol | 0.5   | 4                             | 8                    | 0.5         | 8                           | 16                         |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 0.03  | 0.015                         | 0.015                | 0.03        | 0.03                        | 0.03                       |
| Kanamycin       | 4     | 4                             | 4                    | 2           | 4                           | 4                          |
| Nalidixic acid  | 0.5   | 2                             | 2                    | 0.5         | 4                           | 4                          |
| Tetracycline    | 0.125 | 0.5                           | 1                    | 0.125       | 1                           | 2                          |

**A.** Complementation of AcrB R717L in  $\Delta acrB$  and of RamR T18P in  $\Delta ramR$  background reproduced the resistance profiles of the strains isolated from the evolution experiments, confirming that these substitutions are key to the resistant phenotypes observed. **B.** Complementation of Q176K in the  $\Delta acrB$  background had no pronounced impact on cefotaxime resistance until combined with either  $\Delta ramR$  or EnvZ R397H, where it then conferred decreased susceptibility to cefotaxime, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline causing an MDR phenotype. ND indicates not determined due to presence of confounding resistance cassettes. Values in bold indicate a fourfold or higher increase in MIC compared to the WT, and those in italics a fourfold or higher decrease.

| Top poses from ensemble docking | Centre on CH2<br>kcal/mol | Centre on PBP<br>kcal/mol |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| WT                              | -11.8                     | -13.9                     |
| R717L                           | -10.0                     | -13.9                     |

•

**Table 2**. Pseudo-free energy of binding of top poses of azithromycin for the twoensemble docking runs in the CH2 and PBP after relaxation

| MIC(µg/ml)                | Azi | Ery | Cla | Tet  | Rif | Lin | Nov   | Dox  |
|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| 14028S (WT)               | 8   | 64  | 64  | 1    | 12  | 256 | 200   | 200  |
| 14028S ΔAcrB              | 1   | 2   | 2   | 0.25 | 6   | 8   | 3.125 | 1.56 |
| ΔAcrB/<br>pWKS30-pacrB_WT | 8   | 64  | 32  | 0.5  | 6   | 128 | 100   | 200  |
| ∆AcrB/pWKS30-pacrB_R717L  | 64  | 256 | 256 | 0.5  | 6   | 128 | 50    | 200  |

Table 3. MICs of compounds which do not utilise CH2 are not affected by R717L.

Azi, azithromycin, Ery, erythromycin, Cla, clarithyromycin, Tet, tetracycline, Rif, rifampicin, Lin, linezolid, Nov, novobiocin, Dox, doxorubicin. Results show the mean of three independent experiments. Bold values indicate significant changes.