



King's Research Portal

DOI:
[10.1111/add.15397](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15397)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Walsh, H., & Duaso, M. (2021). Co-use measurement is also required in treatment interventions. *Addiction*, 116(7), 1633-1634. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15397>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Co-use measurement is also required in treatment interventions
 Hannah Walsh¹ and Maria J Duaso¹
¹ Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing, Midwifery and Palliative Care, King’s College London
 No interests to declare

Key words	6 key words	Tobacco, Cannabis, co-use, treatment, intervention, measurement
Concise statement	A statement of one or two sentences of the key point that is being made. This should stand alone as a meaningful statement and not include meta-phrases such as ‘This commentary discusses’	Clinical treatment interventions need to include assessment of co-use of cannabis and tobacco. We need a better understanding of which factors, including legal status of cannabis use, impact on co-use measurement for both practitioners and individuals using such interventions.
Word count	771	

McClure and Hindocha (1) make the argument for improved measurement of tobacco and cannabis co-use in both clinical studies and population level surveys, proposing a tiered system of questions for the research field. In this commentary we expand on how clinical treatment interventions and services may approach co-use measurement.

Despite being commonly co-used tobacco and cannabis are usually separated for treatment purposes as well as in research studies. Treatment options for individuals wishing to address their tobacco and cannabis use have been explored, but few options exist for co-treatment as yet (2,3,4). We need to better understand what approach is taken within clinical practice and in the digital health domain for those seeking smoking cessation treatment and for those seeking cannabis cessation or reduction treatment with respect to co-use. First, little is known about how smoking cessation services consider co-use of cannabis in either assessment or interventions. We need to establish whether and how cannabis use is measured. Then, we need to understand more about what areas of good practice exist already, what practitioners may require in terms of assessment tools, knowledge of cannabis and the wide array of cannabis products and delivery systems available, and the impact of these on someone’s tobacco quit attempt. Practitioners would likely benefit from guidance on detailed assessment of co-use of cannabis, including guidance on asking questions of what in some areas is illicit drug use, and support in advising clients on optimising their quit attempt. Co-use measurement would also equip them to monitor co-use at service level; the tiered domain of questions proposed by McClure and Hindocha would aid this process. The UK based Nacional Centre for Smoking Cessation and Training (NCSCT) has recently published a briefing for smoking cessation practitioners on addressing cannabis use, but more evidence is required to inform clinical practice and to describe the prevalence amongst tobacco cessation treatment seekers (5).

From the other perspective, we must also consider how services addressing cannabis use, which may include primary care, substance misuse services as well as digital treatment options may address tobacco use. As highlighted by McClure and Hindocha (1), without comprehensive co-use measurement, such services may miss the opportunity to assess and treat tobacco dependence, which may also impact on treatment outcomes for cannabis use. This hidden population of tobacco

users who don't identify as 'smokers' is increasingly recognised in the literature (6). Cannabis cessation outcomes appear worse amongst tobacco users (7) and the impact of co-administration versus concurrent co-use on these outcomes is as yet unknown. Despite high prevalence of smoking within the client population, services addressing substance misuse are not necessarily ready to address tobacco use effectively (8).

Second, and most importantly, we need understand more about how someone who uses both substances finds questions about co-use across different geographical and health service contexts. Legal frameworks in a particular country or state will influence not only how socially acceptable cannabis use may be, and whether use incurs any form of penalty and how serious this penalty might be, but also the impact of disclosure of cannabis use on employment, on insurance and on the duty of reporting to child protection services. Perhaps paradoxically, whilst an increasing number of US states are decriminalising cannabis use, some states still require healthcare professionals to report parental substance use to authorities (9). We need to investigate therefore how acceptable is screening for and documenting cannabis use within a smoking cessation service, or other service such as primary care, and which groups may be most reluctant to disclose this. Concurrent to a client's perspective, we also need to know what additional support practitioners and services may need when asking about cannabis use. Previous research has found practitioners poorly equipped to screen and manage cannabis use (10,11). In areas where cannabis use remains illegal, we need to understand what guidance is required for practitioners to ask and record use, what advice they feel able to provide and how to tailor this to particular groups, and in areas where use is legal, what knowledge do practitioners require in order to be able to advise clients with confidence.

As McClure and Hindocha (1) highlight, clients in smoking cessation services should be routinely asked about cannabis use, as those accessing services to address cannabis use should be asked about tobacco use, and interventions including those in digital format should routinely ask about co-use whichever substance they are targeting. Without adequate measurement and a greater understanding of how to implement such measurement, clinical services will remain unable to adequately address the complexity of co-use. As the title indicates, if you don't know about it, you can't manage it.

References

1. Hindocha C, McClure EA. Unknown population-level harms of cannabis and tobacco co-use: if you don't measure it, you can't manage it. *Addiction*. 2020 Oct 13.
2. McClure EA, Rabin RA, Lee DC, Hindocha C. Treatment Implications Associated With Cannabis and Tobacco Co-use. *Current Addiction Reports*. 2020 Oct 2:1-2.
3. Walsh H, McNeill A, Purssell E, Duaso M. A systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis of interventions which target or assess co-use of tobacco and cannabis in single-or multi-substance interventions. *Addiction*. 2020 Jan 31.
4. Nguyen N, Nguyen C, Thrul J. Digital Health for Assessment and Intervention Targeting Tobacco and Cannabis Co-Use. *Current Addiction Reports*. 2020 Jun 19:1-2.
5. Walsh H, Papadakis S, Ross L, McEwen A. Smoking cessation and cannabis use: A guide for stop smoking practitioners. National Centre for Smoking Cessation and Training. 2020. Accessed via https://www.ncsct.co.uk/publication_cannabis.php

6. Hindocha C, Brose L, Walsh H, Cheeseman H. Cannabis use and co-use in tobacco smokers and non-smokers: prevalence and associations with mental health in a cross-sectional, nationally representative sample of adults in Great Britain. 2020. *Addiction*. (for publication December 21st 2020)
7. Peters EN, Budney AJ, Carroll KM. Clinical correlates of co-occurring cannabis and tobacco use: A systematic review. *Addiction*. 2012 Aug;107(8):1404-17.
8. Gentry S, Craig J, Holland R, Notley C. Smoking cessation for substance misusers: A systematic review of qualitative studies on participant and provider beliefs and perceptions. *Drug and alcohol dependence*. 2017 Nov 1;180:178-92.
9. Jarlenski M, Hogan C, Bogen DL, Chang JC, Bodnar LM, Van Nostrand E. Characterization of US state laws requiring health care provider reporting of perinatal substance use. *Women's health issues*. 2017 May 1;27(3):264-70.
10. Gérardin M, Victorri-Vigneau C, Louvigné C, Rivoal M, Jolliet P. Management of cannabis use during pregnancy: an assessment of healthcare professionals' practices. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. 2011 May;20(5):464-73.
11. Norberg MM, Gates P, Dillon P, Kavanagh DJ, Manocha R, Copeland J. Screening and managing cannabis use: comparing GP's and nurses' knowledge, beliefs, and behavior. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*. 2012 Dec 1;7(1):31.