



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.48550/arXiv.2308.04072](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.04072)

Document Version

Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Karlovykh, O., & Shargorodsky, E. (2023). *Bounded compact and dual compact approximation properties of Hardy spaces: new results and open problems*. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.04072>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Bounded compact and dual compact approximation properties of Hardy spaces: new results and open problems

Oleksiy Karlovych^a, Eugene Shargorodsky^b

^a*Centro de Matemática e Aplicações, Departamento de Matemática,
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa,
Quinta da Torre, Caparica, 2829-516, Portugal*

^b*Department of Mathematics, King's College London,
Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom*

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to highlight some open problems concerning approximation properties of Hardy spaces. We also present some results on the bounded compact and the dual compact approximation properties (shortly, BCAP and DCAP) of such spaces, to provide background for the open problems. Namely, we consider abstract Hardy spaces $H[X(w)]$ built upon translation-invariant Banach function spaces X with weights w such that $w \in X$ and $w^{-1} \in X'$, where X' is the associate space of X . We prove that if X is separable, then $H[X(w)]$ has the BCAP with the approximation constant $M(H[X(w)]) \leq 2$. Moreover, if X is reflexive, then $H[X(w)]$ has the BCAP and the DCAP with the approximation constants $M(H[X(w)]) \leq 2$ and $M^*(H[X(w)]) \leq 2$, respectively. In the case of classical weighted Hardy space $H^p(w) = H[L^p(w)]$ with $1 < p < \infty$, one has a sharper result: $M(H^p(w)) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}$ and $M^*(H^p(w)) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}$.

Keywords: Bounded compact and dual compact approximation properties, translation-invariant Banach function space, weighted Hardy space.

2020 MSC: 41A44, 41A65, 46B50, 46E30.

Email addresses: oyk@fct.unl.pt (Oleksiy Karlovych),
eugene.shargorodsky@kcl.ac.uk (Eugene Shargorodsky)

URL: <https://docentes.fct.unl.pt/oyk> (Oleksiy Karlovych),
<https://www.kcl.ac.uk/people/eugene-shargorodsky> (Eugene Shargorodsky)

1. Introduction

For a Banach space E , let $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(E)$ denote the sets of bounded linear and compact linear operators on E , respectively. The norm of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ is denoted by $\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)}$. The essential norm of $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ is defined as follows:

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),e} := \inf\{\|A - K\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} : K \in \mathcal{K}(E)\}.$$

For a Banach space E and an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, consider the following measure of noncompactness:

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),m} := \inf_{\substack{L \subseteq E \text{ closed linear subspace} \\ \dim(E/L) < \infty}} \|A|_L\|_{\mathcal{B}(L)},$$

where $A|_L$ denotes the restriction of A to L .

It follows from [19, formula (3.29)] that if $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, then

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),m} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),e}. \quad (1.1)$$

Motivated by applications to the Fredholm theory of Toeplitz operators (see [24]), we are interested in the smallest constant C in the reverse estimate:

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),e} \leq C \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),m} \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(E). \quad (1.2)$$

Note that such estimate is not true without additional assumptions on E (see [2] and also [16]).

A Banach space E is said to have the bounded compact approximation property (BCAP) if there exists a constant $M \in (0, \infty)$ such that given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite set $F \subset E$, there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{K}(E)$ such that

$$\|I - T\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq M, \quad \|y - Ty\|_E < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } y \in F. \quad (1.3)$$

Here I is the identity map from E to itself. The greatest lower bound of the constants M for which (1.3) holds will be denoted by $M(E)$.

A Banach space E with the dual space E^* is said to have the dual compact approximation property (DCAP) if there is a constant $M^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite set $G \subset E^*$ there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{K}(E)$ such that

$$\|I - T\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq M^*, \quad \|z - T^*z\|_{E^*} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } z \in G. \quad (1.4)$$

The greatest lower bound of the constants M^* , for which (1.4) holds, will be denoted by $M^*(E)$.

It is easy to see that if E is reflexive, then E has the DCAP if and only if its dual space E^* has the BCAP. In this case $M^*(E) = M(E^*)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a Banach space.*

- (a) *If E has the BCAP, then (1.2) holds with $C = 2M(E)$.*
- (b) *If E has the DCAP, then (1.2) holds with $C = M^*(E)$.*

Part (a) follows from [19, Theorems 3.1 and 3.6] (note that there is a typo in [19, formula (3.7)], where the factor 2 is missing). Part (b) was proved in [24, Theorem 2.2].

It follows from (1.1) and Theorem 1.1 that if a Banach space E has the BCAP or the DCAP, then the essential norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),e}$ and the m -measure of noncompactness $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(E),m}$ are equivalent.

The condition $\|I - T\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq M$ is often substituted by $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(E)} \leq M$ in the definition of BCAP (see, e.g., [5, 6, 20, 21], and the references therein). Let $m(E)$ be the greatest lower bound of the constants M for which the conditions in this alternative definition of BCAP are satisfied. Clearly,

$$m(E) - 1 \leq M(E) \leq m(E) + 1.$$

We are interested in $M(E)$ rather than in $m(E)$ because the former appears naturally in estimates for the essential norms of operators by their measures of noncompactness (see Theorem 1.1 and [2, 9, 19, 24]). It is well known that $m(L^p[0, 1]) = 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ (see, e.g., [22, Lemma 19.3.5]). The value of $M(L^p[0, 1])$ was found in [25, Theorem 3.2]: if $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $M(L^p[0, 1]) = C_p$, where C_p is the norm of the operator

$$L^p[0, 1] \ni f \longmapsto f - \int_0^1 f(t) dt \in L^p[0, 1],$$

i.e. $C_1 = 2$ and, for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$C_p := \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} (\alpha^{p-1} + (1 - \alpha)^{p-1})^{1/p} (\alpha^{1/(p-1)} + (1 - \alpha)^{1/(p-1)})^{1-1/p} \quad (1.5)$$

(see [11, formula (8)]).

For a function $f \in L^1$ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, let

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

be the Fourier coefficients of f . Let X be a Banach space of measurable complex-valued functions on \mathbb{T} continuously embedded into L^1 . Let

$$H[X] := \{g \in X : \widehat{g}(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n < 0\}$$

denote the abstract Hardy space built upon the space X . In the case $X = L^p$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we will use the standard notation $H^p := H[L^p]$.

The classical Hardy spaces H^p with $1 < p < \infty$ have the BCAP and the DCAP with

$$M(H^p) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad M^*(H^p) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|} \quad (1.6)$$

(see [24, Theorem 3.1]).

A measurable function $w : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be a weight if $0 < w < \infty$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} . Let $1 < p < \infty$ and w be a weight. Weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p(w)$ consist of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $fw \in L^p$. The norm in $L^p(w)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^p(w)} := \|fw\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t)|^p w^p(t) dm(t) \right)^{1/p},$$

where m is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} normalized so that $m(\mathbb{T}) = 1$. Estimates (1.6) remain true for the weighted Hardy spaces $H^p(w) := H[L^p(w)]$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, and let w be a weight such that $w \in L^p$ and $1/w \in L^{p'}$. Then the weighted Hardy space $H^p(w)$ has the BCAP and the DCAP with*

$$M(H^p(w)) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad M^*(H^p(w)) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}.$$

Let X be a Banach function space on the unit circle \mathbb{T} equipped with the Lebesgue measure dm and let X' be its associate space (see [4, Ch. 1]). We postpone the definitions of these notions until Section 2.1. Here we only mention that the class of Banach function spaces is very rich, it includes all Lebesgue spaces L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, Orlicz spaces L^φ (see, e.g., [4, Ch. 4, Section 8]), and Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}$ (see, e.g., [4, Ch. 4, Section 4]). For a weight w , the weighted space $X(w)$ consists of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $fw \in X$. We equip it with the norm

$$\|f\|_{X(w)} = \|fw\|_X.$$

We will suppose that $w \in X$ and $1/w \in X'$. Then $X(w)$ is a Banach function space itself and $L^\infty \hookrightarrow X(w) \hookrightarrow L^1$ (see [13, Lemma 2.3(b)]).

For $f \in X$ we will use the following notation:

$$(\tau_\vartheta f)(e^{it}) := f(e^{i(t-\vartheta)}), \quad t, \vartheta \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

A Banach function space is said to be translation-invariant if for every $f \in X$ and every $\vartheta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, one has $\tau_\vartheta f \in X$ and $\|\tau_\vartheta f\|_X = \|f\|_X$. Note that all rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces (see [4, Ch. 2]) are translation-invariant.

The following analogue of (1.6) holds for the spaces $H[X(w)]$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a translation-invariant Banach function space with the associate space X' and let w be a weight such that $w \in X$ and $1/w \in X'$.*

(a) *If X is separable, then the abstract Hardy space has the BCAP with*

$$M(H[X(w)]) \leq 2.$$

(b) *If X is reflexive, then the abstract Hardy space $H[X(w)]$ has the BCAP and the DCAP with*

$$M(H[X(w)]) \leq 2, \quad M^*(H[X(w)]) \leq 2.$$

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we collect preliminaries on Banach function spaces. Further, we give some estimates for the adjoints to restrictions of operators.

In Section 3, we show that if a translation-invariant Banach function space X is separable, then the Hardy space $H[X]$ has the BCAP with $M(H[X]) \leq 2$. Moreover, if X is reflexive, then $H[X]$ has the BCAP and the DCAP with $M(H[X]) \leq 2$ and $M^*(H[X]) \leq 2$, respectively.

In Section 4, we observe that if X is a Banach function space and w is a weight such that $w \in X$ and $1/w \in X'$, then the Hardy spaces $H[X]$ and $H[X(w)]$ are isometrically isomorphic. This result combined with (1.6) and the main result of Section 3 implies Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

The main part of the paper is Section 5, where some open problems concerning approximation properties of Hardy spaces are stated and discussed.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Banach function spaces

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all measurable extended complex-valued functions on \mathbb{T} equipped with the normalized measure $dm(t) = |dt|/(2\pi)$ and let \mathcal{M}^+ be the subset of functions in \mathcal{M} whose values lie in $[0, \infty]$.

Following [4, Ch. 1, Definition 1.1], a mapping $\rho : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a Banach function norm if, for all functions $f, g, f_n \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all constants $a \geq 0$, the following properties hold:

- (A1) $\rho(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0$ a.e., $\rho(af) = a\rho(f)$, $\rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$,
- (A2) $0 \leq g \leq f$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \rho(g) \leq \rho(f)$ (the lattice property),
- (A3) $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \rho(f_n) \uparrow \rho(f)$ (the Fatou property),
- (A4) $\rho(\mathbb{1}) < \infty$,
- (A5) $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t) dm(t) \leq C\rho(f)$

with a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ that may depend on ρ , but is independent of f . When functions differing only on a set of measure zero are identified, the set X of all functions $f \in \mathcal{M}$ for which $\rho(|f|) < \infty$ is called a Banach function space. For each $f \in X$, the norm of f is defined by $\|f\|_X := \rho(|f|)$. The set X equipped with the natural linear space operations and with this norm becomes a Banach space (see [4, Ch. 1, Theorems 1.4 and 1.6]). If ρ is a Banach function norm, its associate norm ρ' is defined on \mathcal{M}^+ by

$$\rho'(g) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t)g(t) dm(t) : f \in \mathcal{M}^+, \rho(f) \leq 1 \right\}, \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$

It is a Banach function norm itself ([4, Ch. 1, Theorem 2.2]). The Banach function space X' defined by the Banach function norm ρ' is called the associate space (Köthe dual) of X . The associate space X' can be viewed as a subspace of the Banach dual space X^* (see [4, Ch. 1, Theorem 2.9]). The following lemma can be proved as in the non-periodic case (see [15, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a Banach function space and X' be its associate space. Then X is translation-invariant if and only if X' is translation-invariant.*

2.2. Adjoints to restrictions of operators

In this subsection, we present some simple results, for which we could not find a convenient reference.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces, $X_0 \subseteq X$ and $Y_0 \subseteq Y$ be closed linear subspaces, and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be such that $A(X_0) \subseteq Y_0$. Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X_0, Y_0)$ be the restriction of A to X_0 :

$$A_0 x_0 := A x_0 \in Y_0 \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in X_0.$$

Let

$$X_0^\perp := \{x^* \in X^* : x^*(x_0) = 0 \text{ for all } x_0 \in X_0\}$$

and let Y_0^\perp be defined similarly. Then X_0^* and Y_0^* are isometrically isomorphic to the quotient spaces X^*/X_0^\perp and Y^*/Y_0^\perp , respectively (see, e.g., [7, Theorem 7.1]). We will identify these spaces and will denote by $[x^*]$ the element of X^*/X_0^\perp corresponding to $x^* \in X^*$, and similarly for $[y^*]$.

It is easy to see that $A^*(Y_0^\perp) \subseteq X_0^\perp$. Indeed, take any $y_0^* \in Y_0^\perp$ and $x_0 \in X_0$. Since $A x_0 \in Y_0$, one has

$$(A^* y_0^*)(x_0) = y_0^*(A x_0) = 0.$$

So, $A^* y_0^* \in X_0^\perp$. Hence the operator $[A^*]$,

$$[A^*][y^*] := [A^* y^*] \in X^*/X_0^\perp, \quad [y^*] \in Y^*/Y_0^\perp$$

is a well defined element of $\mathcal{B}(Y^*/Y_0^\perp, X^*/X_0^\perp) = \mathcal{B}(Y_0^*, X_0^*)$, and it is easy to see that $A_0^* = [A^*]$. Indeed, one has for every $[y^*] \in Y^*/Y_0^\perp$ and $x_0 \in X_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0^*[y^*])(x_0) &= [y^*](A_0 x_0) = [y^*](A x_0) = y^*(A x_0) = (A^* y^*)(x_0) \\ &= [A^* y^*](x_0) = ([A^*][y^*])(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, $X_0 \subseteq X$ and $Y_0 \subseteq Y$ be closed linear subspaces, and $A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be such that $A(X_0) \subseteq Y_0$. If $A_0 := A|_{X_0}$, then for every $y^* \in Y^*$, one has*

$$\|A_0^*[y^*]\|_{X_0^*} \leq \|A^* y^*\|_{X^*},$$

where $[y^*]$ is the element of Y^*/Y_0^\perp corresponding to y^* .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0^*[y^*]\|_{X_0^*} &= \|A_0^*[y^*]\|_{X^*/X_0^\perp} = \|[A^*][y^*]\|_{X^*/X_0^\perp} = \|[A^* y^*]\|_{X^*/X_0^\perp} \\ &= \inf_{x_0 \in X_0} \|A^* y^* + x_0\|_{X^*} \leq \|A^* y^*\|_{X^*}. \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

3. Bounded compact and dual compact approximation properties of abstract Hardy spaces built upon translation-invariant spaces

3.1. Continuity of shifts in separable translation-invariant Banach function spaces

We start with the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a translation-invariant Banach function space. If X is separable, then for every $f \in X$,*

$$\lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_{\vartheta} f - f\|_X = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. By [14, Lemma 2.2.1], a Banach function space X is separable if and only if the set of continuous functions C is dense in X . Let $f \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $g \in C$ such that $\|f - g\|_X < \varepsilon/3$. Taking into account that X is translation-invariant, we see that for all $\vartheta \in [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_{\vartheta} f - f\|_X &\leq \|\tau_{\vartheta} f - \tau_{\vartheta} g\|_X + \|\tau_{\vartheta} g - g\|_X + \|g - f\|_X \\ &= 2\|f - g\|_X + \|\tau_{\vartheta} g - g\|_X \\ &< \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon + \|\mathbb{1}\|_X \|\tau_{\vartheta} g - g\|_C. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_{\vartheta} g - g\|_C = 0,$$

the above inequality yields

$$\limsup_{\vartheta \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_{\vartheta} f - f\|_X \leq \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon < \varepsilon.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at (3.1). \square

3.2. Convolutions with integrable functions on translation-invariant Banach function spaces

Recall that the convolution of two functions $f, g \in L^1$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(e^{i\varphi}) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

The following lemmas might be known to experts, however we were not able to find an explicit reference.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that X is a translation-invariant Banach function spaces. If $K \in L^1$, then the convolution operator C_K defined by*

$$C_K g = K * g, \quad g \in X, \quad (3.2)$$

is bounded on X and

$$\|C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} \leq \|K\|_{L^1}. \quad (3.3)$$

If, in addition, $K \geq 0$, then

$$\|C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = \|K\|_{L^1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For every $h \in X'$, in view of Tonelli's theorem (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 5.28]) and Hölder's inequality for Banach function spaces (see [4, Ch. 1, Theorem 2.4]), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(K * g)(e^{i\vartheta})h(e^{i\vartheta})| d\vartheta &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K(e^{i(\vartheta-\theta)})| |g(e^{i\theta})| |h(e^{i\vartheta})| d\theta d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K(e^{i\theta})| |g(e^{i(\vartheta-\theta)})| |h(e^{i\vartheta})| d\theta d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K(e^{i\theta})| \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(\tau_{\theta}g)(e^{i\vartheta})| |h(e^{i\vartheta})| d\vartheta \right) d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |K(e^{i\theta})| \|\tau_{\theta}g\|_X \|h\|_{X'} d\theta = 2\pi \|K\|_{L^1} \|g\|_X \|h\|_{X'}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In view of the Lorentz-Luxemburg theorem (see [4, Ch. 1, Theorem 2.7]), the last inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K * g\|_X &= \|K * g\|_{X''} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(K * g)(e^{i\vartheta})h(e^{i\vartheta})| d\vartheta : h \in X', \|h\|_{X'} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \|K\|_{L^1} \|g\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.3).

If, in addition, we suppose that $K \geq 0$, then for a.e. $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$(K * \mathbb{1})(e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(e^{it}) dt = \|K\|_{L^1}.$$

Hence,

$$\|C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = \sup_{f \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|K * f\|_X}{\|f\|_X} \geq \frac{\|K * \mathbb{1}\|_X}{\|\mathbb{1}\|_X} = \frac{\|K\|_{L^1} \|\mathbb{1}\|_X}{\|\mathbb{1}\|_X} = \|K\|_{L^1}.$$

Combining this inequality with (3.3), we arrive at (3.4). \square

3.3. *BCAP and DCAP of abstract Hardy spaces built upon translation-invariant Banach function spaces*

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a translation-invariant Banach function space.*

(a) *If X is separable, then the abstract Hardy space $H[X]$ has the BCAP with*

$$M(H[X]) \leq 2.$$

(b) *If X is reflexive, then the abstract Hardy space $H[X]$ has the BCAP and DCAP with*

$$M(H[X]) \leq 2, \quad M^*(H[X]) \leq 2.$$

Proof. (a) For $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$K_n(e^{i\theta}) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) e^{ik\theta} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{(n+1)\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^2,$$

be the n -th Fejér kernel, and let

$$\mathbf{K}_n f := K_n * f, \quad f \in X.$$

It is well known that $K_n \geq 0$, $\|K_n\|_{L^1} = 1$, and

$$(\mathbf{K}_n f)(e^{i\vartheta}) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}(k) \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) e^{ik\vartheta}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\widehat{f}(k)$ is the k -th Fourier coefficient of f (see, e.g., [18, Ch. I, Section 2.5]). It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\|\mathbf{K}_n\|_{X \rightarrow X} = 1$. Hence

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} \leq 1 + \|\mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = 2.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that a separable translation-invariant Banach function space X is a homogeneous Banach space in the sense of [18, Ch. I, Definition 2.10]. Hence [18, Ch. I, Theorem 2.11] implies that \mathbf{K}_n converge strongly to the identity operator on X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, (3.6) implies that \mathbf{K}_n maps $H[X]$ to $H[X]$. Thus $M(H[X]) \leq 2$.

(b) If X is reflexive, then $X^* = X'$ is also separable (see [4, Ch. 1, Corollaries 4.3-4.4 and 5.6]) and translation-invariant (see Lemma 2.1). It follows from the above that the adjoint operators $\mathbf{K}_n^* = \mathbf{K}_n : X' \rightarrow X'$ converge strongly to the identity operator as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $A = I - \mathbf{K}_n$, $X_0 = Y_0 = H[X]$, one concludes that the adjoint operators $\mathbf{K}_n^* : (H[X])^* \rightarrow (H[X])^*$ also converge strongly to the identity operator as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $M^*(H[X]) \leq 2$. \square

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

4.1. BCAP and DCAP of isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces

The next lemma follows immediately from the definitions of the BCAP and the DCAP.

Lemma 4.1. *Let E and F be isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces.*

(a) *The space E has the BCAP if and only if F has the BCAP. In this case*

$$M(E) = M(F).$$

(b) *The space E has the DCAP if and only if F has the DCAP. In this case*

$$M^*(E) = M^*(F).$$

4.2. Isometric isomorphism of weighted and nonweighted abstract Hardy spaces

Having in mind the previous lemma, we show that $H[X]$ and $H[X(w)]$ are isometrically isomorphic under natural assumptions on weights w .

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a Banach function space with the associate space X' and let w be a weight such that $w \in X$ and $1/w \in X'$. Then $H[X(w)]$ is isometrically isomorphic to $H[X]$.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disc: $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. A function F analytic in \mathbb{D} is said to belong to the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, if the integral mean

$$M_p(r, F) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$M_\infty(r, F) = \max_{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi} |F(re^{i\theta})|,$$

remains bounded as $r \rightarrow 1$. If $F \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, then the nontangential limit $F(e^{i\theta})$ exists almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} and $F \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ (see, e.g., [7, Theorem 2.2]). If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $F \in H^p$ (see, e.g., [7, Theorem 3.4]).

It follows from $w \in X$, $1/w \in X'$ and Axiom (A5) that $w \in L^1$, $\frac{1}{w} \in L^1$. Then $\log w \in L^1$. Consider the outer function

$$W(z) := \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \log w(e^{it}) dt \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

(see [12, Ch. 5]). It belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{D})$ and $|W| = w$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} .

It follows from the definition of $X(w)$ that

$$\|Wf\|_X = \|wf\|_X = \|f\|_{X(w)} \quad \text{for all } f \in H[X(w)]. \quad (4.1)$$

Since $X(w)$ is a Banach function space, Axiom (A5) implies that $X(w) \subseteq L^1$ and $H[X(w)] \subseteq H^1$. Take any $f \in H[X(w)]$. Let $F \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ be its analytic extensions to the unit disk \mathbb{D} by means of the Poisson integral (see the proof of [7, Theorem 3.4]). Since $W, F \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$, Hölder's inequality implies that $WF \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{D})$. It follows from (4.1) and Axiom (A5) that $Wf \in X \subseteq L^1$. Hence $WF \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ (see [7, Theorem 2.11]). So, $Wf \in H^1 \cap X = H[X]$. This proves that the mapping $f \mapsto Wf$ is an isometric isomorphism of $H[X(w)]$ into $H[X]$.

Repeating the above argument, one gets that the mapping $g \mapsto \frac{1}{W}g$ is an isometric isomorphism of $H[X]$ into $H[X(w)]$. Hence $H[X(w)]$ and $H[X]$ are isometrically isomorphic. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Lemma 4.2, the spaces H^p and $H^p(w)$ are isometrically isomorphic. Therefore, in view of (1.6) and Lemma 4.1, the weighted Hardy space has the BCAP and the DCAP and

$$M(H^p(w)) = M(H^p) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad M^*(H^p(w)) = M^*(H^p) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|},$$

which completes the proof. \square

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that the spaces $H[X]$ and $H[X(w)]$ are isometrically isomorphic. Now part (a) (resp., part (b)) follows from part (a) (resp., part (b)) of Lemma 4.1 and part (a) (resp., part (b)) of Theorem 3.3. \square

5. Concluding remarks and open problems

5.1. Exact values of the norms of the operators $I - \mathbf{K}_n$ and $I - \mathbf{P}_r$ on L^p and H^p

Upper estimates for the norms of the operators $I - \mathbf{K}_n$ play a crucial role in the proof of estimates (1.6) (see [24]). Consider also the operators $I - \mathbf{P}_r$, where

$$\mathbf{P}_r f := P_r * f, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and P_r is the Poisson kernel

$$P_r(e^{i\theta}) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|} e^{ik\theta} = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta}, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi], \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

The following theorem provides a two-sided estimate for operators of this type.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $K \in L^1$, $\|K\|_{L^1} = 1$, $K \geq 0$, and $\widehat{K}(n) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following estimate holds for the convolution operator C_K defined by (3.2)*

$$C_p \leq \|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (5.1)$$

where $C_1 = 2 = C_\infty$ and C_p is given by (1.5) for $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\|C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} = 1$, and hence

$$\|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^1)} \leq 2, \quad \|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\infty)} \leq 2$$

(cf. the proof of Theorem 3.3). Since $\widehat{K}(n) \geq 0$ and $\widehat{K}(n) \leq \|K\|_{L^1} = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Parseval theorem gives $\|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \leq 1$. (In fact, one can easily see that $\|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} = 1$, since $\widehat{K}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ due to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.) Then the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem implies that

$$\|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (5.2)$$

which proves the upper estimate in (5.1).

Since trigonometric polynomials are dense in L^1 , it follows from Lemma 3.2 that C_K can be approximated in norm by finite rank operators. So, $C_K : L^p \rightarrow L^p$ is a compact operator. The equality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) \cdot \mathbb{1} \, d\theta = \|K\|_{L^1} = 1$$

implies that C_K preserves constant functions. Then

$$\|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \geq C_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

(see [25, Theorem 3.4]).

It is left to prove the lower estimate in (5.1) for $p = \infty$. In the case $p = \infty$ or $p = 1$, (5.1) turns into the equality $\|I - C_K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} = 2$, which follows from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that L^∞ and L^1 have the Daugavet property (see [1, Theorem 1 and the references therein] and [26, Corollary 6 and its proof]): $\|I - T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} = 1 + \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}$ for every operator $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^p)$, $p = \infty$ or $p = 1$. \square

It is easy to see that \mathbf{K}_n and \mathbf{P}_r satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.1 and map H^p into itself. Clearly,

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} \leq \|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}, \quad \|I - \mathbf{P}_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} \leq \|I - \mathbf{P}_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

The above remarks lead to the following.

Open problem 5.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. Find the exact values of $\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}$ and $\|I - \mathbf{P}_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}$ for $1 < p < \infty$, and of $\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}$ and $\|I - \mathbf{P}_r\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.*

It seems that the above problem is open even for $n = 1$. For $n = 0$, one has $(I - \mathbf{K}_0)f = f - \widehat{f}(0) = (I - \mathbf{P}_0)f$ and

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} = C_p$$

(see [11, formula (8)]), but the value of $\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}$ does not seem to be known for $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. What is known is that

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^\infty)} = 2 \tag{5.3}$$

(see [10, Theorem 2.5]) and

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} < \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}$$

for sufficiently small $p \geq 1$. Indeed, $\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} = C_p \rightarrow 2$ as $p \rightarrow 1$, while

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} < 1.7047$$

for sufficiently small $p \geq 1$ (see the proof of [10, Theorem 2.4]).

It follows from the lower estimate in (5.1) that

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)} \geq \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}. \tag{5.4}$$

An analogue of this estimate holds in the H^p setting.

Lemma 5.3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} \geq \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}.$$

Proof. Take any $f \in H^p \setminus \{0\}$ and set $f_m(e^{i\theta}) := f(e^{im\theta})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f \in H^p$ and $\|f_m\|_{H^p} = \|f\|_{H^p}$ (see [8, Theorem 5.5]). Let $m > n$. It follows from (3.6) that $\mathbf{K}_n f_m = \widehat{f}(0) = \mathbf{K}_0 f_m = \mathbf{K}_0 f$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} &= \sup_{g \in H^p \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(I - \mathbf{K}_n)g\|_{H^p}}{\|g\|_{H^p}} \geq \sup_{f \in H^p \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(I - \mathbf{K}_n)f_m\|_{H^p}}{\|f_m\|_{H^p}} \\ &= \sup_{f \in H^p \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(I - \mathbf{K}_0)f_m\|_{H^p}}{\|f\|_{H^p}} = \sup_{f \in H^p \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(I - \mathbf{K}_0)f\|_{H^p}}{\|f\|_{H^p}} \\ &= \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 applies in the L^p setting and provides a simpler proof of (5.4).

5.2. Exact value of the norm of the backward shift operator on H^p

We think that the question about the exact value of $\|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}$ is particularly interesting, and although it is a special case of Problem 5.2, we state it again below in terms of the backward shift operator

$$(\mathbf{B}f)(e^{i\theta}) := e^{-i\theta} \left(f(e^{i\theta}) - \widehat{f}(0) \right) = e^{-i\theta} ((I - \mathbf{K}_0)f)(e^{i\theta}), \quad f \in H^p.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{B}f| = |(I - \mathbf{K}_0)f| &\implies \|\mathbf{B}f\|_{H^p} = \|(I - \mathbf{K}_0)f\|_{H^p} \text{ for all } f \in H^p \\ &\implies \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)} = \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\|B\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^\infty)} = 2$$

(see (5.3) and [10, Theorem 2.5]).

Open problem 5.4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Find the exact value of the norm $\|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}$ of the backward shift operator.*

5.3. *Exact values for $M(H^p)$ and $M^*(H^p)$*

It seems that estimates (1.6) and the estimate $M(H^1) \leq 2$, which follows from Theorem 1.3(a), are all what is known about the values of $M(H^p)$ and $M^*(H^p)$. So, it would be interesting to get nontrivial lower and better upper bounds for $M(H^p)$ and $M^*(H^q)$ and, moreover, to solve the following.

Open problem 5.5. (a) *Find the exact value of $M(H^p)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

(b) *Find the exact value of $M^*(H^p)$, $1 < p < \infty$.*

Given that $M(L^p) = \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}$ (see [25, Theorem 3.2]), it would be interesting to know whether $M(H^p) = \|I - \mathbf{K}_0\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^p)}$.

5.4. *Estimates for $M(H[L^\varphi])$ and $M^*(H[L^\varphi])$ in the case of some Orlicz spaces L^φ*

Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a convex nondecreasing left-continuous function that is not identically zero or infinity on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$. For a measurable function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define

$$I_\varphi(f) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(|f(t)|) dm(t).$$

The Orlicz space L^φ is the set of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $I_\varphi(\lambda f) < \infty$ for some $\lambda = \lambda(f) > 0$. This space is a Banach space when equipped with either of the following two equivalent norms: the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_\varphi := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : I_\varphi(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}$$

and the Orlicz norm (in the Amemiya form)

$$\|f\|_\varphi^0 := \inf_{k>0} \frac{1}{k} (1 + I_\varphi(kf)).$$

It is well known that

$$\|f\|_\varphi \leq \|f\|_\varphi^0 \leq 2\|f\|_\varphi \quad \text{for all } f \in L^\varphi.$$

We denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all quasi-concave functions $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is, the functions ρ such that $\rho(x) = 0$ precisely when $x = 0$, the function $\rho(x)$ is increasing and the function $\rho(x)/x$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ denote the subset of all concave functions in \mathcal{P} .

It follows from [17, Lemma 3.2] that if $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ and $\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, then the function φ , inverse to the function φ^{-1} defined by

$$\varphi^{-1}(0) := 0, \quad \varphi^{-1}(x) := x^{1/p} \rho(x^{1/q-1/p}), \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad (5.5)$$

is convex. Moreover, if $1 < p < q < \infty$, then φ and its complementary function φ^* defined by

$$\varphi^*(x) := \sup_{y>0} (xy - \varphi(y)),$$

satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for all $x \geq 0$, that is, there exist $K, K^* > 0$ such that $\varphi(2x) \leq K\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi^*(2x) \leq K^*\varphi^*(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Then L^φ is reflexive (see, e.g., [23, Corollary 15.4.2]).

For $1 < p, q < \infty$, put

$$\gamma_{p,q} := \inf \left\{ \gamma > 0 : \inf_{x+y=\gamma, x \geq 0, y \geq 0} (x^p + y^q) = 1 \right\}.$$

It follows from [17, Proposition 4.3] that $\gamma_{p,q}$ continuously increases in p and q . Moreover, if $p \leq q$, then

$$2^{1-1/p} \leq \gamma_{p,q} \leq 2^{1-1/q}.$$

For $r \in (1, \infty)$, define r' by $1/r + 1/r' = 1$.

Theorem 5.6 ([17, Theorem 5.1]). *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Suppose that φ^{-1} is defined by (5.5). If $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^p)$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^q)$, then $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^\varphi)$ and*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\varphi)} \leq C_{p,q} \max \{ \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}, \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^q)} \},$$

where L^φ is equipped with the Luxemburg norm or with the Orlicz norm, and

$$1 \leq C_{p,q} := \min \left\{ (2\gamma_{p,q})^{1/p}, (2\gamma_{q',p'})^{1/q'} \right\} \leq 2^{1/(pq) + \min\{1/p, 1/q'\}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Using this interpolation theorem, we can refine the results of Theorem 3.3(b) for some Orlicz spaces.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Suppose that φ^{-1} is defined by (5.5) and the corresponding Orlicz space L^φ is equipped with the Luxemburg*

norm or with the Orlicz norm. Then the Hardy-Orlicz space $H[L^\varphi]$ has the BCAP and the DCAP with

$$M(H[L^\varphi]) \leq \min\{2, \Lambda_{p,q}\}, \quad M^*(H[L^\varphi]) \leq \min\{2, \Lambda_{p,q}\},$$

where

$$\Lambda_{p,q} := C_{p,q} \max\{2^{|1-2/p|}, 2^{|1-2/q|}\}, \quad (5.7)$$

and the constant $C_{p,q}$ is defined by (5.6).

Proof. It is well-known and easy to check that each Orlicz space is translation-invariant. As it was mentioned above, L^φ is reflexive under the assumptions of the Theorem. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3(b), the Hardy-Orlicz space $H[L^\varphi]$ has the BCAP and the DCAP with $M(H[L^\varphi]) \leq 2$ and $M^*(H[L^\varphi]) \leq 2$. It remains to show that

$$M(H[L^\varphi]) \leq \Lambda_{p,q}, \quad M^*(H[L^\varphi]) \leq \Lambda_{p,q}. \quad (5.8)$$

It follows from (3.6), (5.2) and Theorem 5.6 that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(H[L^\varphi])} &\leq \|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^\varphi)} \\ &\leq C_{p,q} \max\{\|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p)}, \|I - \mathbf{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^q)}\} \\ &\leq C_{p,q} \max\{2^{|1-2/p|}, 2^{|1-2/q|}\} = \Lambda_{p,q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Orlicz space L^φ is equipped with the Luxemburg norm or the Orlicz norm. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3(b), this implies (5.8). \square

It follows from (5.6) and (5.7) that if p and q are sufficiently close to 2, then $M(H[L^\varphi]) < 2$ and $M^*(H[L^\varphi]) < 2$. Given that the value of $M(H^p)$ is not known, it would perhaps be too ambitious to ask about the exact values of $M(H[L^\varphi])$ and $M^*(H[L^\varphi])$. Nevertheless, we think it would be interesting to get more information on these quantities.

5.5. Estimates for $M(H[L^{p,q}])$ and $M^*(H[L^{p,q}])$ in the case of Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}$

The distribution function m_f of a measurable a.e. finite function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$m_f(\lambda) := m\{t \in \mathbb{T} : |f(t)| > \lambda\}, \quad \lambda \geq 0.$$

The non-increasing rearrangement of f is defined by

$$f^*(x) := \inf\{\lambda : m_f(\lambda) \leq x\}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

We refer to [4, Ch. 2, Section 1] for properties of distribution functions and non-increasing rearrangements.

One of the closest classes of translation-invariant spaces to the class of Lebesgue spaces L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ consists of the Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}$ defined as follows. For $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}$ consists of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left(\int_0^1 [t^{1/p} f^*(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

This is a rearrangement-invariant Banach function space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ (see, e.g., [4, Ch. 4, Theorem 4.3]). The Lorentz space $L^{p,p}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Lebesgue space L^p .

It follows from Theorem 3.3 that if $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, then the Hardy-Lorentz space $H[L^{p,q}]$ has the BCAP with

$$M(H[L^{p,q}]) \leq 2, \tag{5.9}$$

because $L^{p,q}$ is separable in this case. Moreover, if $1 < q \leq p < \infty$, then the Hardy-Lorentz space $H[L^{p,q}]$ has the DCAP with

$$M^*(H[L^{p,q}]) \leq 2, \tag{5.10}$$

since the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}$ is reflexive in this case.

Having in mind estimates (1.6), which can be stated as follows:

$$M(H[L^{p,p}]) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad M^*(H[L^{p,p}]) \leq 2^{|1-2/p|}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

it seems natural to formulate the following.

Open problem 5.8. (a) *Let $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$. Find a nontrivial lower bound for $M(H[L^{p,q}])$. Improve the upper bound for $M(H[L^{p,q}])$ given by (5.9).*

(b) *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. Find a nontrivial lower bound for $M^*(H[L^{p,q}])$. Improve the upper bound for $M^*(H[L^{p,q}])$ given by (5.10).*

Acknowledgments

This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 and UIDP/00297/2020 (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

References

- [1] Y. Abramovich. New classes of spaces on which compact operators satisfy the Daugavet equation. *J. Operator Theory*, 25(2):331–345, 1991.
- [2] K. Astala and H.-O. Tylli. On the bounded compact approximation property and measures of noncompactness. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 70(2):388–401, 1987.
- [3] S. Axler. *Measure, integration & real analysis*, volume 282 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [4] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*, volume 129 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [5] P. G. Casazza. Approximation properties. In *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I*, pages 271–316. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [6] P. G. Casazza and N. J. Kalton. Notes on approximation properties in separable Banach spaces. In *Geometry of Banach spaces (Strobl, 1989)*, volume 158 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 49–63. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] P. L. Duren. *Theory of H^p spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 38. Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.
- [8] V. Dybin and S. M. Grudsky. *Introduction to the theory of Toeplitz operators with infinite index*, volume 137 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002. Translated from the Russian by Andrei Iacob.
- [9] D. E. Edmunds and E. Shargorodsky. The inner variation of an operator and the essential norms of pointwise multipliers in function spaces. *Houston J. Math.*, 31(3):841–855, 2005.
- [10] T. Ferguson. Bounds on the norm of the backward shift and related operators in Hardy and Bergman spaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, 61(1-2):81–96, 2017.

- [11] C. Franchetti. The norm of the minimal projection onto hyperplanes in $L^p[0, 1]$ and the radial constant. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)*, 4(4):803–821, 1990.
- [12] K. Hoffman. *Banach spaces of analytic functions*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1988. Reprint of the 1962 original.
- [13] A. Karlovich. Fredholmness of singular integral operators with piecewise continuous coefficients on weighted Banach function spaces. *J. Integral Equations Appl.*, 15(3):263–320, 2003.
- [14] A. Karlovich. Density of analytic polynomials in abstract Hardy spaces. *Comment. Math.*, 57(2):131–141, 2017.
- [15] A. Karlovich and E. Shargorodsky. When does the norm of a Fourier multiplier dominate its L^∞ norm? *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 118(4):901–941, 2019.
- [16] A. Karlovich and E. Shargorodsky. On an analogue of a theorem by Astala and Tylli. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 118(1):73–77, 2022.
- [17] A. Y. Karlovich and L. Maligranda. On the interpolation constant for Orlicz spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129(9):2727–2739, 2001.
- [18] Y. Katznelson. *An introduction to harmonic analysis*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2004.
- [19] A. Lebow and M. Schechter. Semigroups of operators and measures of noncompactness. *J. Functional Analysis*, 7:1–26, 1971.
- [20] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. Sequence spaces.
- [21] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. II*, volume 97 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. Function spaces.

- [22] A. Pietsch. *Operator ideals*, volume 20 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980. Translated from German by the author.
- [23] B.-Z. A. Rubshtein, G. Y. Grabarnik, M. A. Muratov, and Y. S. Pashkova. *Foundations of symmetric spaces of measurable functions*, volume 45 of *Developments in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2016. Lorentz, Marcinkiewicz and Orlicz spaces.
- [24] E. Shargorodsky. On the essential norms of Toeplitz operators with continuous symbols. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 280(2):108835, 11, 2021.
- [25] E. Shargorodsky and T. Sharia. Sharp estimates for conditionally centered moments and for compact operators on L^p spaces. *Math. Nachr.*, 296(1):368–381, 2023.
- [26] D. Werner. An elementary approach to the Daugavet equation. In *Interaction between functional analysis, harmonic analysis, and probability (Columbia, MO, 1994)*, volume 175 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 449–454. Dekker, New York, 1996.