

King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1007/s11469-024-01356-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-024-01356-3)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kotera, Y., Miyamoto, Y., Vilar-Lluch, S., Aizawa, I., Reilly, O., Miwa, A., Murakami, M., Stergiopoulos, V., Kroon, H., Giles, K., Garner, K., Ronaldson, A., McPhilbin, M., Jebara, T., Takhi, S., Repper, J., Meddings, S., Jepps, J., Simpson, A. J., ... Eguchi, S. (2024). Cross-cultural Comparison of Recovery College Implementation Between Japan and England: Corpus-based Discourse Analysis. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-024-01356-3>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Cross-cultural Comparison of Recovery College Implementation Between Japan and England: Corpus-based Discourse Analysis

Yasuhiro Kotera^{1,2} · Yuki Miyamoto³ · Sara Vilar-Lluch⁴ · Ikuya Aizawa⁴ · Owen Reilly⁵ · Akihiro Miwa⁶ · Michio Murakami² · Vicky Stergiopoulos⁷ · Hans Kroon⁸ · Kirsty Giles⁹ · Kennedyrae Garner¹⁰ · Amy Ronaldson¹¹ · Merly McPhilbin¹ · Tesnime Jebara¹¹ · Simran Takhi¹ · Julie Repper¹² · Sara Meddings¹² · Jessica Jepps¹¹ · Adelabu Jonathan Simpson¹¹ · Vanessa Kellermann¹¹ · Naoko Arakawa¹³ · Claire Henderson¹¹ · Mike Slade^{1,14} · Shigeyuki Eguchi¹⁵

Accepted: 19 June 2024

© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Recovery Colleges (RCs) are mental health learning communities, operated in 28 countries across cultures. However, the RC operational model is informed by Western countries sharing similar cultural characteristics such as individualism and short-term orientation. How RC operational model needs to be adapted to non-Western culture remains unknown. We investigated how RCs are introduced to the public in two countries with contrasting cultural characteristics: Japan (collectivism, long-term) and England (individualism, short-term). Corpus-based discourse analysis on 22,827 words from promotional texts (13 RCs in Japan, 61 in England) revealed that both countries emphasised mental illness lived experiences. In Japan, the focus was on the relational and long-term aspects of recovery. In England, the focus was on personal learning and skill acquisition. People attending RCs in Japan may anticipate experiencing collectivistic and long-term elements, which are viewed unfavourably in the operational model. Findings suggest refinements to the operational model to include under-represented cultural characteristics.

Keywords Recovery College · Japan · England · Corpus-based discourse analysis · Mental health recovery · Cross-culture

Mental health recovery has garnered significant attention in mental health communities (Slade et al., 2014). Mental health recovery is commonly defined as “a deeply personal, unique process of changing one’s attitudes, values, feelings, goals, skills and/or roles” and “a way of living a satisfying, hopeful and contributing life even within the limitations caused by illness” (Anthony, 1993). Recovery-oriented approaches have been

Yasuhiro Kotera and Yuki Miyamoto contributed equally.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

implemented globally, demonstrating positive impacts such as empowerment, hope, autonomy and reduced stigma among service users (Dell et al., 2021; Ellison et al., 2018). These approaches also fostered positive attitudes and a better understanding of service users among mental health staff (McPherson et al., 2021). Mental health recovery principles have been integrated into numerous national policies (Department of Health & Ageing, 2009; Department of Health Social Services & Public Safety of Northern Ireland, 2018; Department of Mental Health-Thailand, 2019; HM Government, 2011; Mental Health Commission of Canada, 2012; New Freedom Commission on Mental Health, 2003; Slade et al., 2012).

Recovery Colleges (RCs) are a relatively new recovery-oriented approach providing mental health service users, carers and staff with social support and opportunities to develop skills. The concept of RCs was inspired by education centres and peer-run services (services that were run by people with lived experience) for mental health recovery, operated in the United States during the 1990s (Slade et al., 2014). The first RC was established in England in 2009. Since then, RCs have spread to 28 countries across economic levels and cultural characteristics, and the service settings have been diversified, e.g. primary and secondary mental healthcare, non-governmental organisations and education providers (Hayes et al., 2023).

RCs are regarded as a mental health innovation (Whitley et al., 2019). Distinctive features of RCs are two key approaches of co-production and adult education. Co-production is commonly defined as the involvement of lived experience and professional expertise in planning, designing, delivery and quality assurance of the programmes (Toney et al., 2018b). Adult education refers to self-directed learning that is characterised as strengths-based, person-centred, inclusive and community-focused (Thériault et al., 2020; Toney et al., 2018a). Co-production and adult learning enable personal recovery, which means living a purposeful and autonomous life despite the presence of mental health symptoms (Slade et al., 2014). Co-production is built upon two conceptual shifts related to personal recovery: (a) care focuses on the person, instead of the symptoms, and (b) empowerment (i.e. having control over one's own life) and quality of life are as important as symptom reduction (Lin et al., 2022a, 2022b). Therefore, in the RC model, recovery is realised through social inclusion of the students such as gaining or increasing social and/or economic roles. Anybody, including people with mental health symptoms, as well as formal and informal carers, can register as students in a RC (Lin et al., 2022a, 2022b; Perkins et al., 2012). RCs aim to help students manage their own wellbeing, e.g. coping with anxiety, and anger management (Kelly et al., 2017). Courses are intended to support students to understand recovery, rebuild their life (e.g. goal setting), develop life skills (e.g. money management) and get more involved in a RC (e.g. becoming a peer trainer) (Meddings et al., 2015).

The evidence about RC effectiveness and cost-effectiveness has been promising. Reviews and qualitative evidence synthesis about the impacts of RCs identified benefits on students and staff and cost-effectiveness (Thériault et al., 2020; Toney et al., 2018a; Whish et al., 2022). Benefits for students include increased confidence, hope, quality of life, empowerment and reduced stigma. Benefits for staff include enhanced motivation, skills and knowledge, which contribute to positive attitudinal changes to co-production and service users. For cost-effectiveness, RC attendance was associated with less inpatient days, unintended hospital admissions and community contacts over 18 months (Bourne et al., 2018). Reduced service use derived from RC attendance accounted for net savings of Australian \$269 per student per year (Cronin et al., 2021).

Evidence for cross-cultural differences remains under-developed in RCs. This is concerning because RCs are operated in many different cultural contexts around the world. Most RC research has been conducted in Western, educated, industrialised, rich and democratic (WEIRD) countries, lacking evidence from other countries (Hayes et al., 2022; Whitley et al., 2019). In RC research, six reviews have been published to date, which included 186 studies in total (Bester et al., 2022; Crowther et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2022a; Thériault et al., 2020; Toney et al., 2018a; Toney et al., 2018b) (Supplementary Material 1). However, no empirical study has specifically focused on RCs in non-WEIRD countries. Cross-cultural understanding is essential to inform cultural adjustment of the RC operational model to non-WEIRD contexts. Meta-analyses reported notable effect differences between culturally adapted treatment and non-adapted treatment, including a five-time greater likelihood of symptom remission with culturally adapted treatment (Arundell et al., 2021; Hall et al., 2016; Rathod et al., 2018). Differences in operation between RCs in non-WEIRD and WEIRD countries remain unknown.

This study compared how RCs in Japan and England are introduced to the public, by evaluating promotional texts. Recent global studies on RCs have identified that the current RC operational model, informed by the RC components (Supplementary Material 2), is more aligned with cultural characteristics of WEIRD countries as defined in Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory (Hofstede & Minkov, 2013). The theory presents a framework for understanding cultural differences across countries, and how these differences impact people's behaviours based on six characteristics. Of the six cultural characteristics, the ones associated with the current RC operational model are individualism, uncertainty acceptance, indulgence and short-term orientation (no significant associations with power distance and success-drivenness) (Hayes et al., 2023; Kotera et al., 2024a, 2024b). Individualism refers to a degree to which a society expects individuals to take care of only themselves and their immediate family. Uncertainty acceptance means a degree to which individuals feel comfortable with unknown situations. Indulgence is acceptance of relatively free gratification of basic human needs, rather than controlling them. Short-term orientation values immediate results rather than future rewards (Hofstede & Minkov, 2013). The current RC operational model considers RCs oriented towards these four cultural characteristics to be more aligned with the model compared to other RCs. Compared with WEIRD cultures, Japanese culture is characterised as the opposite of these four characteristics: collectivism, uncertainty avoidance, self-restraint and long-term orientation. Culturally adapted promotional texts are crucial to healthcare implementation success (Elrod & Fortenberry, 2020; Lugovoy & Lugovaya, 2019). Healthcare providers create promotional texts that reflect the culture of the public to maximise engagement (Larkey & Hecht, 2010). However, no studies have evaluated RC promotional texts to date.

Our research questions (RQs) were as follows:

RQ1. How are RCs introduced in promotional texts to the public in Japan and England?

RQ2. How do linguistic differences in the promotional texts relate to cultural differences between the two countries?

Material and methods

Design

This was a text-based qualitative and quantitative study to analyse RC promotional texts that were publicly available in Japan and England. The study aimed to identify similarities

and differences between RCs in Japan and England. Ethics committee approval was not required for this study.

Materials

The online software Sketch Engine (Kilgarriff et al., 2014) was used for the linguistic analysis. Sketch Engine helps understand how words and phrases are used in the real-world language by providing detailed information about textual context, frequencies and language patterns (Kilgarriff et al., 2014) (see Supplementary Material 3 for a list of methodological terms).

Data collection

Promotional texts were collected from RC websites. Texts in 61 RCs in England (Supplementary Material 4) were collected by co-authors YK and SVL (11 October to 19 November 2023). Texts in all 13 RCs in Japan were collected by co-authors YK and YM (26 September to 11 October 2023; Supplementary Material 5). Japanese texts were first translated into English using the DeepL Translator (DeepL, 2017), then were checked by YK, a professional translator versed in RC terminology. Japanese text data comprised 813 words after translation into English. Text data from RCs in England comprised 22,014 words (Supplementary Material 4). A data sub-set of RCs in England with a similar word count to that of RCs in Japan was built to avoid skewed results in statistical comparisons (Table 1). The data sub-set of RCs in England and the rest of the English data were linguistically similar: corpus similarity 0.60 of 1.00 (Dunn, 2020; Kilgarriff, 2001).

Data analysis

We performed a corpus-based discourse analysis (Flowerdew, 2023). Corpus analysis (Hunston, 2002) involves the software-assisted examination of large collections of digitised texts (“corpus”), with typical sizes ranging from millions to billions of words (e.g.

Table 1 Japan dataset and England data sub-set with word counts

Japan	Words	England	Words
RC Mitaka	113	Digital RC	288
RC Ohta	113	Severn and Wye RC	243
RC Kochi	94	Oxfordshire RC	231
RC Kobe	88	Leicestershire RC	89
RC Tanto	88		
RC Okayama	75		
RC Fukuoka	62		
RC Nagoya	50		
RC Saga	45		
RC Annaka	37		
RC Mimasaka	21		
RC Neyagawa	0		
Total	813		851

British National corpus, news on the Web Corpus). Our discourse analysis was informed by the critical discourse analysis tradition (Fairclough, 2010, 2014). An adapted version of the framework (Mullet, 2018) used in this study is provided in Supplementary Material 6. Textual analysis was performed using common corpus tools to allow for quantitative comparisons: (a) wordlist, (b) word sketch and (c) keywords analyses. Interpretation of textual analysis as reflecting sociocultural practices was informed by (a) Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory (Hofstede & Minkov, 2013) and (b) an RC study about the impact of culture on the operational model, reflecting characteristics of context of production (RCs) and values of producers and audience (RC managerial staff and students) (Kotera et al., 2024a, 2024b).

1. Wordlist compiles lists of words from texts and identifies the raw frequency (RaF: number of occurrences) of each word in a dataset. To allow comparisons between the datasets, relative frequency (ReF) was calculated. ReF is the ratio between the RaF of a word and the total number of words in the dataset, expressed per 1000 words (1000 was chosen as being close to the data amounts: 813 words in Japan, 851 in England). We examined the top 10 verbs (processes), nouns (entities) and adjectives (descriptive terms) per dataset.
2. Word sketch automatically extracts “collocates”—words co-occurring with a focus word with a frequency higher than chance—and shows patterns of use of the focus word and the collocates. We set “recovery” as the focus word and examined how “recovery” was introduced in each dataset.
3. Keyword analysis involves the automatic extraction of statistically significant words in a dataset, as compared to a reference dataset (Culpeper & Demmen, 2015). Keywords reflect the uniqueness of a dataset (“keyness”). The England data sub-set was used as reference for extracting keywords of the Japan dataset and vice versa. This process identified keywords of the Japanese RCs, compared to the English RCs, and vice versa. Analysis of both single and multi-term keywords enabled us to distil key themes from each dataset, with themes identified based on total keyness scores (TKSs) exceeding 10,000. We examined the top 50 keywords and focused on lexical words (e.g. nouns, verbs), which were content-informative compared with grammatical words such as prepositions.

The contexts of use of keywords and high frequency terms were examined with the concordance tool, allowing to examining word and phrase usage in context (Wynne, 2008), to identify themes inductively.

Results

Overview

Wordlist analysis showed similarities between Japan and England (full) datasets in relation to entities being talked about (nouns), processes attributed to them (verbs) and descriptive words (adjectives) (Table 2). High-frequency terms, assisted by concordance checks, identified seven themes: personal learning; place for wellbeing; recovery; mental illness experience; reference to service user; education; and community.

Frequency analysis was complemented with a keywords analysis (single and multi-terms) to examine those themes that were uniquely emphasised in the Japanese RC dataset, and in the English RC data sub-set (Table 3). “Mental illness experience” was highlighted in both countries. In Japan, “Place for wellbeing”, “recovery” and “community” were uniquely highlighted. In England, “personal learning” and “education” were uniquely highlighted.

Personal learning

“Personal learning” characterised RCs in England (Table 3). Data in this theme emphasised service users developing knowledge, skills and self-management. Since “learn” (verb) was identified as recurrent in both datasets (Table 2), concordances for learn (including both the lemma, i.e. the standard form, learn, and derived forms, e.g. “learning”) were examined to identify further differences. Table 4 includes 10 random concordances. RCs in Japan converge individual learning with community experience (examples 1–5 (E1–5)). While references to communal learning were also identified in RCs in England, these references emphasised service users’ individual learning (E6–8) and the educational focus of the RCs (E9–10).

Place for wellbeing

RCs as a “place for wellbeing” were particularly emphasised in RCs in Japan (Table 3). Concordances for “college” were recurrent in both datasets (Table 2) and were further examined focusing on characterisations of RCs. Table 5 includes 10 random concordances for “college is”. Both datasets describe college as a space for learning about recovery (E11–15, 17, 19), reflecting education as a path to recovery. RCs in Japan regarded colleges as a space for community (E12–15). While RCs in England also identified colleges as a communal space (E20), their emphasis was placed on RCs being a space for personal development (E16–19).

Recovery

The theme “recovery” was more emphasised in RCs in Japan (Table 3). RCs in Japan and in England showed different contexts for recovery (Fig. 1: word sketch for RCs in Japan and England full datasets). RCs in England characterised recovery as “journey”, “wellbeing”, “self-management” and “personal”. The Japanese dataset was too small to retrieve substantial collocates; however, references to personal, “mental health”, “improve” or being “different” were found. Concordances for recovery were further examined (excluding those for “recovery college” and RC names). Table 6 includes 10 random concordances. RCs in Japan and in England shared understandings of recovery as going beyond the improvement of symptoms and regaining a meaning in life (E21–22, 24, 28). RCs in Japan emphasised the personal dimension (E22) and the importance of the community (E25). RCs in England also acknowledged those aspects; however, a strong focus was placed on recovery as individual change (e.g. learning, acquisition of control and responsibility; E26–27, 30).

Table 2 Wordlists with raw and relative frequencies for top 10 verbs, nouns and adjectives in Japan and England RCs (full dataset)

Theme	Word type	Japan (813 words)		England (22,014 words)		
		Raw frequency	Relative frequency	Raw frequency	Relative frequency	
Personal learning	Verb (processes)	19	23.37	Learn	115	5.22
	Total	19	23.37		115	5.22
Place for wellbeing	Nouns (entities)	15	18.45	College	220	9.99
	Total	14	17.22		220	9.99
Recovery	Verb (processes)	2	2.46			
	Nouns (entities)	37	45.51	Recovery	414	18.81
	Adjectives (descriptive words)	2	2.46	Personal ^a	33	1.49
	Total	41	50.43	Experience	447	20.30
					167	7.59
Mental illness experience	Nouns (entities)	9	11.07			
		8	9.84			
		6	7.38			
	Adjectives (descriptive words)	17	20.91	Mental	283	12.86
			22.46	Physical	37	1.68
Reference to service user				Personal^a	14	0.64
	Total	42	51.66		501	22.76
	nouns (entities)	10	12.30	People	230	10.45
				Student	107	4.86
	Total	10	12.30		337	15.31

Table 2 (continued)

Theme	Word type	Japan (813 words)		England (22,014 words)	
		Raw frequency	Relative frequency	Raw frequency	Relative frequency
Education	verb (processes)			Work	86
				Offer	84
				Support	80
				Provide	70
	Nouns (entities)	knowledge	4.92	Course	315
				Service	90
				Educational	48
	Adjectives (descriptive words)			Free	42
				Professional	32
Community	Total	4	4.92		
	Verb (processes)	2	2.46		
	Total	2	2.46	0	0.00

Similarities (i.e. words common between RCs in England and Japan) are presented in bold

^aThe concordance tool revealed that “personal” in the England dataset describes the “recovery” and “mental illness experience” aspects of RC. Words that were not associated with the coding scheme are not included

Table 3 Single and multi-term keywords for RCs in Japan and England

Theme	Japan (813 words)		England (851 words)	
	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score
Personal learning (E)		0.00		
			Develop skill (3/3100.17), skill (3/3100.17), self-management (2/2067.12), ourselves (2/2067.12), become (2/2067.12), style (1/1034.06)	13,435.76
			Developing skill (1/1034.06), active role (1/1034.06), tried-and-test (1/1034.06), people learn (1/1034.06), developing knowledge (1/1034.06), own resourcefulness (1/1034.06)	6204.36
Place for wellbeing (J)	Where (8/8640.31), bring (4/4320.65), welfare (3/3240.74), provider (2/2160.83), option (1/1080.91), peace (1/1080.91)	20,524.35	Site (2/2067.12), welcome (1/1034.06), hospital (1/1034.06)	4135.24
			Health welfare (2/2160.83), welfare service (2/2160.83), health welfare service (2/2160.83), mental health welfare (2/2160.83), mental health welfare service (2/2160.83), care provider (1/1080.91), medical care (1/1080.91), medical care provider (1/1080.91), welfare professional (1/1080.91), welfare service provider (1/1080.91), mental health welfare service provider (1/1080.91)	17,289.61
			Mental health service (3/3100.17), wellbeing recovery college (1/1034.06)	4134.23

Table 3 (continued)

Theme	Japan (813 words)		England (851 words)	
	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score
Recovery (J)	Wisdom (4/4320.65), process (4/4320.65), rich (2/2160.83), improve (2/2160.83), regain (2/2160.8), hope (2/2160.83), functioning (1/1080.91), hap- pen (1/1080.91)	20,524.35	Living life (2/2160.83), improvement in mental symptoms (1/1080.91), cure of an illness (1/1080.91), different recovery (1/1080.91), unique way (1/1080.91), growing movement (1/1080.91), process of recovery (1/1080.91), finding new meaning (1/1080.91), small experience of recovery (1/1080.91)	10,808.11
			Wellbeing recovery (1/1034.06), development of new meaning (1/1034.06), contributing life (1/1034.06)	0.00
				3102.18

Table 3 (continued)

Theme	Japan (813 words)		England (851 words)	
	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score
Mental illness experience (JE)	<p>Difficulty (8/860.31), disability (3/3240.74), living (2/2160.83), symptom (2/2160.83), prognosis (1/1080.91), disorder (1/1080.91), psychiatric (1/1080.91), addiction (1/1080.91)</p>	<p>20,526.35</p>	<p>Story (2/2067.12), diagnosis (2/2067.12), issue (2/2067.12), problem (2/2067.12), limitation (1/1034.06)</p>	<p>9302.54</p>
	<p>Health difficulty (3/3240.74), mental health difficulty (3/3240.74), difficulty in living (2/2160.83), various difficulties (2/2160.83), experienced mental health (1/1080.91), experienced mental health hardship (1/1080.91), experienced mental health difficulty (1/1080.91), variety of experiences (1/1080.91), people with difficulties in living (1/1080.91), mental disorder (1/1080.91), mental hardship (1/1080.91), experience of mental health difficulties (1/1080.91), mental symptom (1/1080.91)</p>	<p>20,531.33</p>	<p>Mental health problem (2/2067.12), mental health related issue (2/2067.12), health problem (2/2067.12), experience of mental health problems (2/2067.12), health related issue (2/2067.12), catastrophic effect (1/1034.06), experiencing mental health (1/1034.06), experiencing mental health challenge (1/1034.06), catastrophic effect of mental illness (1/1034.06), health condition (1/1034.06), particular diagnosis (1/1034.06), person with mental illness (1/1034.06), effect of mental illness (1/1034.06), personal experience of mental illness (1/1034.06)</p>	<p>19,642.14</p>
Reference to service user	<p>Walk (2/2160.83), participant (1/1080.91)</p>	<p>3241.74</p>	<p>Service user (4/4133.23), user of the mental health (1/1034.06)</p>	<p>0.00</p>
		<p>2160.83</p>		<p>5167.29</p>

Table 3 (continued)

Theme	Japan (813 words)		England (851 words)	
	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score	Single (raw frequency/keyness score)	Total keyness score
Education (E)	Facilitate (1/1080.91), education (1/1080.91)	2161.82	Educational option (1/1080.91), called professional (1/1080.91), mental health professional (1/1080.91)	3242.73
Education (E)			Work (5/5166.29), approach (4/4133.23), provide (4/4133.23), trainer (3/3100.17), aim (3/3100.17), training (2/2067.12), study (2/2067.12), topic (2/2067.12), understanding (2/2067.12) free (2/2067.12), both (2/2067.12), teach (2/2067.12), range (2/2067.12), development (1/1034.06)	37,204.11
Education (E)			Lived experience (4/4133.23), lived experience of mental health (2/2067.12), people with lived experience (2/2067.12), called peer experience (2/2067.12), offering online course (1/1034.06), classroom course (1/1034.06), education course (1/1034.06), thorough perspective (1/1034.06), group discussion (1/1034.06), educational workshop (1/1034.06), health recovery training (1/1034.06), emphasis on sharing stories (1/1034.06)	17,574.01
Community (J)	Community (4/4320.65), supporter (3/3240.74), gather (2/2160.83), movement (2/2160.83), social (2/2160.83), respect (1/1080.91)	15,124.79	Carer (4/4133.23), relate (3/3100.17), co-produce (2/2067.12)	9300.52
Community (J)			Carer of service (1/1034.06)	1034.06

Total keyness score > 10,000 as a key theme in bold. Keywords are classified by theme and ranked by keyness score, which is how a word is statistically distinctive within one dataset compared to the reference dataset (see Kilgarriff, 2001; Kilgarriff et al., 2014). Keyness score = $f_{\text{focus}} + N / f_{\text{ref}} + N \cdot f_{\text{focus}}$ is the normalised (per million) frequency of the word in the focus corpus, f_{ref} is the normalised frequency of the same word in the reference corpus, and N the smoothing parameter (by default, $N = 1$). Keywords not coded by theme and references to locations of specific RCs have not been included (e.g. “Oxfordshire”)

(J) key theme in the Japan dataset, (E) key theme in the England sub dataset

Table 4 Concordances for “learn”

Country	Examples—learn (lemma)
Japan	1 RC is a learning community where anyone can participate as a student, regardless of position
	2 ...people with various difficulties and differences gather, bring their wisdom and experiences, and learn from each other to improve their own recovery and mental health
	3 ... those who feel difficulty in living, and those who support them can learn from each other in order to face themselves with sincere joy and live a rich life in the community
	4 Recovery College is expanding as a place where people with illnesses and their supporters can learn from each other the knowledge they need to live well in the community
	5 ... bring their expertise, knowledge, and wisdom to create a place where they can learn from each other
	6 ... educational courses to help students better understand mental health issues, learn self-management techniques and gain skills to give them better hopes for the future
	7 Learn about yourself and your recovery through a unique range of courses and short sessions ...
	8 ... taking responsibility for personal wellbeing and learning to live alongside any continued symptoms or impairments ...
	9 ... to make sure that lots of voices contribute to each course, workshop and learning opportunity that we offer
	10 This way, every one of our courses, workshops and learning opportunities are fully designed with Recovery in mind

Table 5 Concordances for “college”

Country	Examples—“college is”
Japan	11 Recovery College is a place to learn mental health recovery on one’s own initiative to enrich one’s life...
	12 Recovery College is a place where people with various difficulties and differences gather, bring their wisdom and experiences...
	13 Recovery College is a place to learn together about recovery
	14 Recovery College is expanding as a place where people with illnesses and their supporters can learn from each other...
	15 Recovery College is neither a place of treatment nor a place of support, but rather a place of “proactive learning about recovery”...
England (full dataset)	16 ...Recovery College is a safe space where you can be yourself, free from judgement
	17 The Recovery College is all about providing a relaxed and informal educational approach to wellbeing and recovery for people...
	18 The College is based on the principles of hope, control and personal responsibility
	19 The college is not somewhere to obtain qualifications but to learn self-management and personal development
	20 The College is open to people with mental health challenges, their relatives, friends and carers and to staff...



To answer RO2, the different foci of the promotional texts between the two countries

Table 6 Concordances for recovery

Country	Examples—recovery
Japan	21 Until now, “recovery” has been considered within a narrow scope and has tended to focus on improving the prognosis of illness...
	22 Recovery here does not simply refer to improvement in mental symptoms or functioning, but rather to living life as the person is
	23 ...growing into a person who is content, hopeful, and designing his or her own life at that moment, also known as personal recovery
	24 Recovery is a concept for rebuilding a meaningful and satisfying life despite “difficult life events...”
	25 The one thing we all had in common was that recovery does not happen alone, but in a relationship with people you trust
England (full dataset)	26 Recovery is a deeply personal, unique process of changing one’s attitudes, values, feelings, goals, skills and roles
	27 Recovery is a non-linear process of rebuilding after a crisis, taking responsibility for personal wellbeing and learning...
	28 Recovery is a way of living a satisfying, hopeful, and contributing life even with the limitations caused by illness. Recovery involves the development of new meaning and purpose in one’s life as one grows beyond the catastrophic effects...
	29 Recovery is holistic and embraces the whole person, including their mind, body, spirit and community
	30 Self-management and self-determination are the foundations of recovery as people define their own goals and plan their own journey towards these goals

Table 7 Concordances for “course” and “knowledge”

Country	Examples—course/knowledge
Japan	31 In addition, the course will be planned, managed, and facilitated together (co-production) by mental health professionals and [people with lived experience]
	32 We also offer courses that draw on the wisdom of our own experiences of mental health difficulties and the expertise of mental health...
	33 Participants learn from each other's experiences, rather than from a teacher who offers specific knowledge [people with lived experience] and those who have learned about mental health, called professionals, bring their expertise, knowledge, and wisdom to create a place where they can learn from each other
	34 This is based on the idea that richer possibilities are created when people bring together a variety of experiences and knowledge
	35 Their educational courses about mental health recovery and self-management are meant to complement existing mental health services
England (full dataset)	36 60-min online course about how to recognise loneliness and learn strategies that may help, plus how to increase personal connections...
	37 The Developing Skills and Interests courses aim to develop your skills, interests and confidence, whether it is learning new craft skills, joining in a reading...
	38 Courses and workshops are co-produced with patients, where possible, and run across four areas
	39 Courses are co-designed and co-facilitated by people who have experienced their own mental health challenges, working...
	40

Table 8 Concordances for “community”

Country	Examples—community
Japan	41 RC is a learning community where anyone can participate as a student, regardless of position, title or disability to learn about mental health
	42 Recovery College is a place where people with difficulties in living, family members, supporters and community members gather to learn together about living life on their own terms
	43 ...those who support them can learn from each other in order to face themselves with sincere joy and live a rich life in the community
	44 ...where people with illnesses and their supporters can learn from each other the knowledge they need to live well in the community while coping with various difficulties in their lives
	45 We support the local community with a particular focus on rehabilitation for individuals who have physical or mental ill health...
England (full dataset)	46 We work alongside a range of community partners to bring you the very best opportunities in your local area
	47 This is an exciting and challenging time for practitioners working with vulnerable communities and the Recovery College allows people the time to reflect on hot topics and challenge their thinking...
	48 The core team is made up of a handful of paid staff and volunteers, but the wider team consists of our students, community organisations and other health and social care staff
	49 ... supports people to find opportunities to live well within their community

were in line with collectivism and long-term orientation of Japanese culture, relative to English culture. The emphases on personal learning and skill development were in line with individualism and short-term orientation of English culture, relative to Japanese culture.

Discussion

RCs represent a new mental health recovery approach implemented in 28 countries across diverse cultures. This Japan-England study compared how RCs are introduced to the public. Both countries emphasise lived experiences of mental illness in their promotional texts. Acknowledging lived experience as valid source of knowledge shifts traditional epistemic power imbalances in mental health practices, and it empowers service users. In Japan, RCs accentuated the relational and long-term aspects of recovery, resonating with the cultural characteristics of collectivism and long-term orientation. Conversely, in England, the focus centres on personal learning and skill acquisition, underscoring the relevance to individualism and short-term orientation.

The different emphases evident in promotional texts for RC in Japan and England offer insight into the strategic considerations of RC managers in each country, reflecting cultural values and ideologies. In Japan, emphasis is placed on collectivism and long-term orientation. This strategic emphasis suggests that RC managers in Japan believe that highlighting the collectivistic and long-term aspects of RC will resonate with the public and attract attention. Consequently, it is plausible that individuals attending RCs in Japan may anticipate experiencing and benefiting from these collectivistic and long-term elements. However, these aspects are currently viewed unfavourably within the current RC operational model, assessed as not following the model (Hayes et al., 2023).

The current RC operational model presents challenges for many Asian countries that value collectivism and long-term orientation. For example, in the current RC operational model, there is an expectation for each student to identify and articulate their individual needs. This expectation is underscored in component 3, which evaluates whether an RC actively enquires about the student individual needs (Supplementary Material 2). However, this approach can pose significant difficulties within collectivistic cultures, where individuals are often educated to be attuned to the needs of others, and expressing one's own needs in a group setting may be considered immature or rude (Schouten et al., 2020). Moreover, RC staff actively enquiring about individual needs may cause stress to students, as it could be experienced as pressuring them to prioritise their own needs over those of the group, leading to potential discomfort or fear of being perceived as different (Kotera et al., 2022). Component 3, as currently formulated, may be unfeasible in Japan and many other Asian countries. In Japanese RCs, for instance, the identification of student individual needs typically occurs in smaller group settings, one-on-one interactions or through online forms. In situations where individual needs are not explicitly expressed, RC staff often resort to introducing past examples or establishing ground rules and activities by reading the atmosphere of the room ("kuki" (空気)) (Meyer, 2022; Ueno et al., 2022). It is imperative that the operational model of RCs incorporates a Collectivistic approach to ensure cultural adaptability in diverse settings.

Likewise, it is essential for the RC operational model to recognise the importance of long-term orientation. Component 7, titled "Commitment to Recovery", evaluates the presence of positive energy within the RC environment. Assessing this component positively can be difficult for individuals who are long-term-oriented. Long-term orientation views the presence of difficulties as a realistic aspect of life. People oriented to long-term orientation are inclined to believe that encountering challenges is essential for their long-term wellbeing compared to

those oriented to short-term orientation (Uchida & Kitayama, 2009). Moreover, people oriented to long-term orientation tend to interpret present difficulties as indicators of future happiness more so than people oriented to short-term orientation (Ji et al., 2001). Furthermore, the term “energy” in component 7 can be interpreted as “qi (氣)” in Eastern philosophy, symbolising the importance of holding both yin and yang (positive and negative), inherent in long-term orientation (Feuchtwang, 2016). To ensure fair assessment between long-term versus short-term orientation, adjustments to component 7 are warranted. Such modifications should accommodate the nuanced perspectives and values inherent in long-term orientation, thus fostering equitable evaluation within the RC operational model.

Our analysis did not identify any meaningful differences between Japan and England regarding the other two cultural characteristics associated with the RC operational model: uncertainty acceptance and indulgence. One reason for this may be that these two cultural characteristics are difficult to present in texts, which are a fixed medium of communication. Uncertain acceptance and indulgence are relatively dynamic cultural characteristics (Güss et al., 2017). For example, an uncertain situation is needed to express uncertain acceptance such as decision-making process (Güss et al., 2012). Similarly, indulgence can be observed when someone satisfies (or does not satisfy) their needs such as in healthy behaviour choices (Oh, 2020). To evaluate how these cultural characteristics may manifest in RC operation, future research should engage with more dynamic aspects of RCs such as observing students’ behaviours in RC courses.

The strengths of this study were (a) the first-ever RC research using linguistic analyses; (b) the largest dataset in RC linguistic research (74 RCs, 22,827 words); (c) a Japan-England comparison that can highlight the cultural differences as both countries belong to the Global North, yet Japan is not a WEIRD country (i.e. their economic levels are similar, but cultures are different) and (d) the interpretations of textual analysis according to cultural characteristics, namely, individualism and short-term orientation, were empirically informed, building upon our previous RC studies. This study had several limitations which need to be acknowledged. First, there were several RCs in England that were not included as they did not participate in our RC research programme. Second, we did not investigate how the financial aspects and implementation phases of RCs might impact promotional material. Almost all RCs in England are free to participate, whereas almost all RCs in Japan charge a small fee (e.g. 500 JPY). Moreover, many RCs in England are supported by the National Health Service, whereas many RCs in Japan are supported by non-government organisations. The implementation phases of RCs between Japan and England are also different. Future research should evaluate whether these financial and implementation differences might impact the promotional texts. Third, promotional texts that were not digitised were not collected. Fourth, we compared a non-WEIRD country and a WEIRD country but did not compare a Global-South country and a Global-North country. Fifth, the linguistic differences were not considered. English language is more agentic than Japanese language: English places more emphasis on the causal agent (e.g. a person who caused an event) (Hidaka, 2010; Kotera et al., 2023). However, we believe the impact of the linguistic differences on our findings was not substantial, if any, because the RC promotional texts were not describing accidental events (Fausey et al., 2010). Sixth, we did not focus on different cultural groups in each country (e.g. ethnic minority groups), regional cultural differences (e.g. metropolitan vs rural; seaside vs mountain; the nomenclature of territorial units for statistics in Europe) (Kaasa et al., 2014; Tung, 2008) and different types of cultural characteristics (e.g. horizontal versus vertical individualism (Singelis et al., 1995)). Future research needs to evaluate Global-South countries and different groups, regions and cultural types.

Conclusion

Using corpus-based discourse analysis, we found cross-cultural differences between Japan and England in RC promotional texts. These differences were in line with cross-cultural biases existed in the RC operational model. Our findings underscore the need for cross-cultural adaptation of the RC operational model. Given the global implementation of RCs, such adaptation could help optimise their effectiveness for individuals in Japan, as well as countries with similar cultural characteristics and multicultural communities.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-024-01356-3>.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Nigel Henderson who helped facilitate the completion of RC surveys in Scotland. We thank the RECOLLECT Lived Experience Advisory Panel (LEAP) who provided input into the design of the survey and interpretation of results. MS acknowledges the support of NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre.

Author contribution Conceptualization: YK. Data curation: YK, YM, SVL. Formal analysis: SVL. Funding acquisition: CH, MS. investigation: All authors. Methodology: YK, SVL, IA. Project administration: YK. Resources: All authors. Software: SVL, IA. Validation: All authors. Visualization: SVL. Writing—original draft: YK, YM, SVL. Writing—review and editing: All authors.

Funding The study was conducted as part of the RECOLLECT programme. RECOLLECT is a 5-year (2020–2025) National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR)-funded research programme exploring how Recovery Colleges can provide the most benefit to people to who use mental health services (Award ID: NIHR200605).

Data availability Data are available in the public domain. All sources/URLs are provided in the supplementary materials.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (5). Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Anthony, W. A. (1993). Recovery from mental illness: The guiding vision of the mental health service system in the 1990s. *Psychosocial Rehabilitation Journal*, 16(4), 11.
- Arundell, L.-L., Barnett, P., Buckman, J. E. J., Saunders, R., & Pilling, S. (2021). The effectiveness of adapted psychological interventions for people from ethnic minority groups: a systematic review and conceptual typology. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 88, 102063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102063>
- Bester, K. L., McGlade, A., & Darragh, E. (2022). Is co-production working well in recovery colleges? Emergent themes from a systematic narrative review. *The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice*, 17(1), 48–60. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMHTEP-05-2021-0046>
- Bourne, P., Meddings, S., & Whittington, A. (2018). An evaluation of service use outcomes in a Recovery College. *Journal of Mental Health*, 27(4), 359–366. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2017.1417557>
- Cronin, P., Stein-Parbury, J., Sommer, J., & Gill, K. H. (2021). What about value for money? A cost benefit analysis of the South Eastern Sydney Recovery and Wellbeing College. *Journal of Mental Health*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2021.1922625>
- Crowther, A., Taylor, A., Toney, R., Meddings, S., Whale, T., Jennings, H., Pollock, K., Bates, P., Henderson, C., Waring, J., & Slade, M. (2019). The impact of Recovery Colleges on mental health staff, services and society. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 28(5), 481–488. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S204579601800063X>
- Culpeper, J., & Demmen, J. (2015). Keywords. In D. Biber & R. Reppen (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of English Corpus Linguistics* (pp. 90–105). Cambridge University Press.
- DeepL SE. (2017). *DeepL Translator*. In DeepL SE. <https://www.deepl.com/translator>
- Dell, N. A., Long, C., & Mancini, M. A. (2021). Models of mental health recovery: an overview of systematic reviews and qualitative meta-syntheses. *Psychiatr. Rehabil. J.*, 44(3), 238–253. <https://doi.org/10.1037/prj0000444>
- Department of Health and Ageing. (2009). *Fourth national mental health plan: An agenda for collaborative government action in mental health 2009–2014*. Commonwealth of Australia. <https://apo.gov.au/node/19745>. Accessed 22 Apr 2024
- Department of Health Social Services and Public Safety of Northern Ireland. (2018). *Revised service framework for mental health and wellbeing 2018–2021*. Department of health social services and public safety. <https://www.health-ni.gov.uk/consultations/revised-service-framework-mental-health-and-wellbeing-2018-2021>. Accessed 22 Apr 2024
- Department of Mental Health-Thailand. (2019). *National mental health development plan no. 1 (2018–2037). Strategy and planning division, department of mental health*. Department of Mental Health-Thailand. <https://dmh-elibrary.org/items/show/450>. Accessed 12 Jan 2024
- Dunn, J. (2020). *Corpus_similarity*. GitHub, Inc. https://github.com/jonathandunn/corpus_similarity. Accessed 29 Dec 2023
- Ellison, M. L., Belanger, L. K., Niles, B. L., Evans, L. C., & Bauer, M. S. (2018). Explication and definition of mental health recovery: a systematic review. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 45(1), 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-016-0767-9>
- Elrod, J. K., & Fortenberry, J. L. (2020). Advertising in health and medicine: using mass media to communicate with patients. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1), 818. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05599-3>
- Fairclough, N. (2010). *Critical discourse analysis* (2 ed.). Routledge.
- Fairclough, N. (2014). *Language and Power* (3 ed.). Routledge.
- Fausey, C. M., Long, B. L., Inamori, A., & Boroditsky, L. (2010). Constructing agency: The role of language. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1, 162. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2010.00162>
- Feuchtwang, S. (2016). Chinese religions. In L. Woodhead, C. Partridge, & H. Kawanami (Eds.), *Religions in the Modern World: Traditions and Transformations* (3 ed., pp. 150–151). Routledge.
- Flowerdew, L. (2023). Corpus-based discourse analysis. In *The Routledge Handbook of Discourse Analysis* (pp. 126–138). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003035244-11>
- Güss, C. D., Devore Edelstein, H., Badibanga, A., & Bartow, S. (2017). Comparing business experts and novices in complex problem solving. *Journal of Intelligence*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence5020020>
- Güss, C. D., Fadil, P., & Strohschneider, S. (2012). The influence of uncertainty avoidance on dynamic business decision making across cultures: A growth mixture modeling approach. *International Business: Research, Teaching and Practice*, 6(2), 12–30.
- Hall, G. C. N., Ibaraki, A. Y., Huang, E. R., Marti, C. N., & Stice, E. (2016). A meta-analysis of cultural adaptations of psychological interventions. *Behavior Therapy*, 47(6), 993–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2016.09.005>

- Hayes, D., Henderson, C., Bakolis, I., Lawrence, V., Elliott, R. A., Ronaldson, A., Richards, G., Repper, J., Bates, P., Brewin, J., Meddings, S., Winship, G., Bishop, S., Emsley, R., Elton, D., McNaughton, R., Whitley, R., Smelson, D., Stepanian, K., ... Slade, M. (2022). Recovery Colleges Characterisation and Testing in England (RECOLLECT): rationale and protocol. *BMC Psychiatry*, 22(1), 627. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-04253-y>
- Hayes, D., Hunter-Brown, H., Camacho, E., McPhilbin, M., Elliott, R. A., Ronaldson, A., Bakolis, I., Repper, J., Meddings, S., Stergiopoulos, V., Brophy, L., Miyamoto, Y., Castelein, S., Klevan, T. G., Elton, D., Grant-Rowles, J., Kotera, Y., Henderson, C., Slade, M., ... Jebara, T. (2023). Organisational and student characteristics, fidelity, funding models, and unit costs of recovery colleges in 28 countries: A cross-sectional survey. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 10(10), 768–779. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(23\)00229-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(23)00229-8)
- Hidaka, T. (2010, Jun). How Japanese language has been used and transformed--focused on social-cultural context and the use in communication. *Integr Psychol Behav Sci*, 44(2), 156–161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12124-010-9122-y>
- HM Government. (2011). *No health without mental health: A cross-government mental health outcomes strategy for people of all ages*. Department of Health. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7c348ae5274a25a914129d/dh_124058.pdf
- Hofstede, G., & Minkov, M. (2013). *Values survey module 2013 manual*. Geert Hofstede BV. <https://geerthofstede.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Manual-VSM-2013.pdf>. Accessed 25 Jan 2023
- Hunston, S. (2002). *Corpora in applied linguistics*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524773>
- Ji, L.-J., Nisbett, R. E., & Su, Y. (2001). Culture, change, and prediction. *Psychological Science*, 12(6), 450–456. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00384>
- Kaasa, A., Vadi, M., & Varblane, U. (2014). Regional cultural differences within European countries: evidence from multi-country surveys. *Management International Review*, 54(6), 825–852. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-014-0223-6>
- Kelly, J., Gallagher, S., & McMahon, J. (2017). Developing a recovery college: a preliminary exercise in establishing regional readiness and community needs. *Journal of Mental Health*, 26(2), 150–155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2016.1207227>
- Kilgariff, A. (2001). Comparing Corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 6(1), 97–133. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.6.1.05kil>
- Kilgariff, A., Baisa, V., Bušta, J., Jakubíček, M., Kovář, V., Michelfeit, J., Rychlý, P., & Suchomel, V. (2014). The Sketch Engine: ten years on. *Lexicography*, 1(1), 7–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40607-014-0009-9>
- Kotera, Y., Ozaki, A., Miyatake, H., Tsunetoshi, C., Nishikawa, Y., Kosaka, M., & Tanimoto, T. (2022). Qualitative investigation into the mental health of healthcare workers in Japan during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 19(1), 568. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19010568>
- Kotera, Y., Ronaldson, A., Hayes, D., Hunter-Brown, H., McPhilbin, M., Dunnett, D., Jebara, T., Takhi, S., Masuda, T., Camacho, E., Bakolis, I., Repper, J., Meddings, S., Stergiopoulos, V., Brophy, L., De Ruyscher, C., Okoliyski, M., Kubinová, P., Eplov, L., ... Slade, M. (2024a). *Cross-Cultural Insights from Two Global Mental Health Studies: Self-Enhancement and Ingroup Biases*. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-024-01307-y>
- Kotera, Y., Ronaldson, A., Hayes, D., Hunter-Brown, H., McPhilbin, M., Dunnett, D., Jebara, T., Takhi, S., Masuda, T., Camacho, E., Bakolis, I., Repper, J., Meddings, S., Stergiopoulos, V., Brophy, L., De Ruyscher, C., Okoliyski, M., Kubinová, P., Eplov, L., Toernes, C., Narusson, D., Tinland, A., Puschner, B., Hiltensperger, R., Lucchi, F., Miyamoto, Y., Castelein, S., Borg, M., T. G., Meng, R., Sornchai, C., Tiengtom, K., Farkas, M., Moreland, H., Moore, E., Butler, A., Mpango, R., Tse, S., Kondor, Z., Ryan, M., Zuaboni, G., Elton, D., Grant-Rowles, J., McNaughton, R., Hanlon, C., Harcla, C., Vanderplasschen, W., Arbour, S., Silverstone, D., Bejerholm, U., Ling, C., Ochoa, S., Garcia-Franco, M., Tolonen, J., Yeo, C., Charles, A., Henderson, C., & Slade, M. (2024b). How culture impacts recovery intervention: 28-country global study on associations between cultural characteristics and Recovery College fidelity. *Preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.34787.36648>
- Kotera, Y., Taylor, E., Brooks-Ucheaga, M., & Edwards, A. M. (2023). Need for a tool to inform cultural adaptation in mental health interventions. *ISSBD Bulletin*, 1(83), 2–5. https://issbd.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/JBD_47_3S_Combined-pdf.pdf
- Larkey, L. K., & Hecht, M. (2010). A model of effects of narrative as culture-centric health promotion. *Journal of health communication*, 15(2), 114–135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730903528017>
- Lin, E., Harris, H., Black, G., Bellissimo, G., Di Giandomenico, A., Rodak, T., Costa-Dookhan, K. A., Shier, R., Rovet, J., Gruszecki, S., & Soklaridis, S. (2022a). Evaluating recovery colleges: a co-created scoping review. *Journal of Mental Health*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2022.2140788>

- Lin, E., Harris, H., Gruszecki, S., Costa-Dookhan, K. A., Rodak, T., Sockalingam, S., & Soklaridis, S. (2022b). Developing an evaluation framework for assessing the impact of recovery colleges: Protocol for a participatory stakeholder engagement process and cocreated scoping review. *British Medical Journal Open*, 12(3), e055289. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055289>
- Lugovoy, D. B., & Lugovaya, E. A. (2019). *Communication strategies in the activity of charitable organizations in digital society* 2019 Communication Strategies in Digital Society Workshop (ComSDS), <https://doi.org/10.1109/comsds.2019.8709626>
- McPherson, P., Lloyd-Evans, B., Dalton-Locke, C., & Killaspy, H. (2021). A systematic review of the characteristics and efficacy of recovery training for mental health staff: Implications for supported accommodation services. *Front Psychiatry*, 12, 624081. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.624081>
- Meddings, S., McGregor, J., Roeg, W., & Shepherd, G. (2015). Recovery colleges: Quality and outcomes. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*, 19(4), 212–221. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MHSI-08-2015-0035>
- Mental Health Commission of Canada. (2012). *Changing directions, changing lives: the mental health strategy for Canada*. Mental Health Commission of Canada. <https://mentalhealthcommission.ca/resource/mental-health-strategy-for-canada/>. Accessed 22 Apr 2024
- Meyer, E. (2022). *The culture map: Decoding how people think, lead, and get things done across cultures* (1. Auflage ed.). PublicAffairs.
- Mullet, D. R. (2018). A general critical discourse analysis framework for educational research. *Journal of Advanced Academics*, 29(2), 116–142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1932202X18758260>
- New Freedom Commission on Mental Health. (2003). *Achieving the promise: transforming mental health care in America report*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <https://dmh.mo.gov/media/pdf/achieving-promise-transforming-mental-health-care-america-report>. Accessed 22 Apr 2024
- Oh, G.-E. (2020). When dessert comes close: the effects of anticipating indulgent consumption and dietary restraint on healthy food preference at restaurants. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 90, 102614. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102614>
- Perkins, R., Repper, J., Rinaldi, M., & Brown, H. (2012). *Implementing recovery through organisational change*. ImROC. <https://imroc.org/resource/recovery-colleges/>. Accessed 29 Dec 2023
- Rathod, S., Gega, L., Degnan, A., Pikard, J., Khan, T., Husain, N., Munshi, T., & Naeem, F. (2018). The current status of culturally adapted mental health interventions: A practice-focused review of meta-analyses. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 14, 165–178. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.S138430>
- Schouten, A., Boiger, M., Kirchner-Häusler, A., Uchida, Y., & Mesquita, B. (2020). Cultural differences in emotion suppression in Belgian and Japanese couples: a social functional model [original research]. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01048>
- Singelis, T. M., Triandis, H. C., Bhawuk, D. P. S., & Gelfand, M. J. (1995). Horizontal and vertical dimensions of individualism and collectivism: a theoretical and measurement refinement. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 29(3), 240–275. <https://doi.org/10.1177/106939719502900302>
- Slade, M., Adams, N., & O'Hagan, M. (2012). Recovery: past progress and future challenges. *Int Rev Psychiatry*, 24(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2011.644847>
- Slade, M., Amering, M., Farkas, M., Hamilton, B., O'Hagan, M., Panther, G., Perkins, R., Shepherd, G., Tse, S., & Whitley, R. (2014). Uses and abuses of recovery: implementing recovery-oriented practices in mental health systems. *World Psychiatry*, 13(1), 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20084>
- Thériault, J., Lord, M.-M., Briand, C., Piat, M., & Meddings, S. (2020). Recovery Colleges after a decade of research: a literature review. *Psychiatric Services*, 71(9), 928–940. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201900352>
- Toney, R., Elton, D., Munday, E., Hamill, K., Crowther, A., Meddings, S., Taylor, A., Henderson, C., Jennings, H., Waring, J., Pollock, K., Bates, P., & Slade, M. (2018a). Mechanisms of action and outcomes for students in Recovery Colleges. *Psychiatric Services*, 69(12), 1222–1229. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201800283>
- Toney, R., Knight, J., Hamill, K., Taylor, A., Henderson, C., Crowther, A., Meddings, S., Barbic, S., Jennings, H., Pollock, K., Bates, P., Repper, J., & Slade, M. (2018b). Development and evaluation of a recovery college fidelity measure. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 64(6), 405–414. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743718815893>
- Tung, R. L. (2008). The cross-cultural research imperative: The need to balance cross-national and intra-national diversity. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39, 41–46.
- Uchida, Y., & Kitayama, S. (2009). Happiness and unhappiness in east and west: Themes and variations. *Emotion*, 9(4), 441–456. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015634>
- Uneno, Y., Kotera, Y., Fujisawa, D., Kataoka, Y., Kosugi, K., Murata, N., Kessoku, T., Ozaki, A., Miyatake, H., & Muto, M. (2022). Development of a novel COMPAssion focused online psyChoTherapy for bereaved informal caregivers: The COMPACT feasibility trial protocol. *British Medical Journal Open*, 12(12), e067187. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-067187>

- Whish, R., Huckle, C., & Mason, O. (2022). What is the impact of recovery colleges on students? A thematic synthesis of qualitative evidence. *The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice*, 17(5), 443–454. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMHTEP-11-2021-0130>
- Whitley, R., Shepherd, G., & Slade, M. (2019). Recovery colleges as a mental health innovation. *World Psychiatry*, 18(2), 141–142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20620>
- Wynne, M. (2008). Searching and concordancing. In Anke Lüdeling & M. Kytö (Eds.), *Corpus linguistics: An international handbook* (Vol. 1, pp. 706–737). Walter de Gruyter.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Yasuhiro Kotera^{1,2}  · Yuki Miyamoto³ · Sara Vilar-Lluch⁴ · Ikuya Aizawa⁴ · Owen Reilly⁵ · Akihiro Miwa⁶ · Michio Murakami² · Vicky Stergiopoulos⁷ · Hans Kroon⁸ · Kirsty Giles⁹ · Kennedyrae Garner¹⁰ · Amy Ronaldson¹¹ · Merly McPhilbin¹ · Tesnime Jebara¹¹ · Simran Takhi¹ · Julie Repper¹² · Sara Meddings¹² · Jessica Jepps¹¹ · Adelabu Jonathan Simpson¹¹ · Vanessa Kellermann¹¹ · Naoko Arakawa¹³ · Claire Henderson¹¹ · Mike Slade^{1,14} · Shigeyuki Eguchi¹⁵

✉ Yasuhiro Kotera
yasuhiro.kotera@nottingham.ac.uk

- ¹ School of Health Sciences, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2TU, UK
- ² Center for Infectious Disease Education and Research, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
- ³ Department of Psychiatric Nursing, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo 1130033, Japan
- ⁴ School of English, University of Nottingham, Trent Building, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK
- ⁵ RECOLLECT Lived Experience Advisory Panel, London SE5 8AF, UK
- ⁶ Kanagawa Human Rights Advocacy Center for Psychiatric Health, Yokohama 235-0023, Japan
- ⁷ Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5T 1R8, Canada
- ⁸ Tranzo, Tilburg School of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands
- ⁹ South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Maudsley Hospital, London SE5 8AZ, UK
- ¹⁰ Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Duncan Macmillan House, Porchester Road, Mapperley, Nottingham NG3 6AA, UK
- ¹¹ Health Service and Population Research Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK
- ¹² ImROC, Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Duncan Macmillan House, Porchester Road, Mapperley, Nottingham NG3 6AA, UK
- ¹³ Division of Pharmacy Practice and Policy, School of Pharmacy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2, UK
- ¹⁴ Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Health and Community Participation Division, Nord University, Postbox 474, 7801 Namsos, Norway
- ¹⁵ Tokyo Musashino Hospital, Komone, Itabashi City, Tokyo 173-0037, Japan