



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1177/01708406241286485](https://doi.org/10.1177/01708406241286485)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Acosta, P., Bothello, J., Gutierrez Huerter O, G., Delmestri, G., Stefanie, H., & Elke, S. (in press). Extrapolations – A View from OS4F. *Organization Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01708406241286485>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Title of Paper:
Media Review: Extrapolations - A View from OS4F

Authors:

Pilar Acosta
pilar.acosta@polytechnique.edu
i3-CRG, École polytechnique, CNRS, Institut polytechnique de Paris

Joel Bothello*
Joel.bothello@concordia.ca
Concordia University, Canada

Giuseppe Delmestri
giuseppe.delmestri@wu.ac.at
WU Vienna, Austria

Stefanie Habersang
stefanie.habersang@leuphana.de
Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany

Gabriela Gutierrez Huerter O
gabriela.gutierrez_huerter_o@kcl.ac.uk
King's College London, UK

Elke Schüßler
elke.schuessler@leuphana.de
Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany

*** Corresponding author.**

Media Review: Extrapolations - A View from OS4F

Scott Z. Burns

Extrapolations

Apple TV+

Reviewed by: Pilar Acosta (*Ecole Polytechnique, France*), Joel Bothello (*Concordia University, Canada*), Giuseppe Delmestri (*WU Vienna, Austria*), Stefanie Habersang (*Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany*), Gabriela Gutierrez (*King's College London, UK*), Elke Schüßler (*Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany*)

As we draw inexorably closer to a two – and, more likely to a three – degree Celsius rise in global temperatures above pre-industrial levels, we, as members of OS4Future^[1] feel increasingly compelled to raise awareness of the reality of the climate crisis. This topic has only recently gained more widespread attention by management researchers, drawing attention to questions of climate justice, problematizing short-term market incentives and the pressures for business as usual, or proposing prefigurative and more sustainable forms of organizing. Nonetheless, organization scholars, with few exceptions (e.g., Dentoni, 2024), still do not seriously entertain the possibility of severe disruption. This is a collective failure of imagination that requires different modalities besides scientific reports in order to convey the urgency of the situation.

One attempt to do so is the TV series *Extrapolations*. Set in the near future, but moving forward in time with each episode (from 2037 to 2070), the series exposes the viewer to a world experiencing accelerating ecological and societal collapse. Eight episodes offer distinct yet interconnected narratives of how different actors attempt to adapt - oftentimes unsuccessfully - to irreversible changes in their environment and communities. The series depicts a future of remarkable technological progress, yet one where little is accomplished to curb carbon emissions. As a result, wildfires, droughts, heatwaves, and hurricanes envelop wide swathes of the planet and cause mass extinction of flora and fauna. The Arctic's ice caps have all but disappeared, while the world's oceans progressively become barren and acidified. Surging waters, held back in some areas by vast man-made dams, inundate other less fortunate coastal regions, creating millions of climate refugees. Villages are abandoned due to oppressive heat storms.

For organization scholars, the series is an attempt to convey the dystopian consequences of continuing “business as usual”, spurring action through affect and aesthetics rather than argument. The focus on individuals and communities grappling with the collapse of institutions, nature, organizations, values, and relationships raises new questions for scholarly engagement. In this media review, we critically discuss three salient emotional themes undergirding the series. We believe these themes offer essential perspectives for advancing our current organizational research, particularly in advocating for a more robust normative engagement with the harmful impacts of the climate crisis.

Misplaced hope: How techno-optimism obscures alternative approaches

A key debate in organization studies pertains to the inordinate faith placed in technology solutions (aligned with pro-market mechanisms) as a way to tackle climate change (e.g., Veal and Mouzas, 2012). The first half of the series features novel technologies such as desalination plants, automated solar-powered planes, global dimming technology, and DNA cloning of extinct animals, all of which offer potential solutions to the climate crisis. As with our current world, many of these innovations are developed and promoted by consolidated commercial interests; as the series progresses, the reliance on those products and services becomes increasingly entrenched and difficult to reverse - and importantly, accessible to few. Innovation ultimately pivots towards individualized digital solutions, such as the storage of human memories and the digitalization of consciousness. Technology here becomes “long-termist”, where the present is seemingly abandoned to focus on the distant future.

Importantly, the series showcases the failures of techno-optimism when implementation is not accompanied by the requisite institutional, organizational, and cultural transformations. *Extrapolations* deftly illustrates how technology ameliorates some local problems while creating myriad unintended systems-level consequences. These externalities impact both how humans relate to nature and each other; in fact, the technologies on the show undermine what it means to be human, with decision-making, memory, and consciousness outsourced to digital platforms. An over-reliance on technology also crowds out the possibility of engaging in political and cultural changes that are necessary complements to technological solutions.

Such images of the future may guide significant questions for organization scholars today. Organization scholars could reflect further on what is needed to offset the hope in technological, market-oriented approaches to the climate crisis and how this crowds out other more democratic and sustainable solutions, such as regulation of – and behavioral changes around – consumption patterns. We could also examine what is needed to support sustainability, human dignity, and other desirable values in a tech-mediated social infrastructure.

Disillusionment: The Inadequacy of Climate Governance

A key theme of *Extrapolations* is public disillusionment and frustration following organizational failures to respond to the climate crisis. A range of organizations - whether businesses, governments, research and religious organizations - struggle to recognize, much less act upon, the effects of a capitalist, carbon-dependent economy. Much of the inaction occurs at the levels of the state and transnational governance (Schüßler, Rüling, & Wittneben, 2014). In the first episode, the UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) is mired in disagreements at the COP42 (Conference of Parties) meeting and cannot resolve basic issues around climate refugees and access to water.

Even when necessary regulatory measures are taken in the series, they echo the problematic (lack) of governance around climate change. Actions taken by rich nations in the show - for instance, a ban on geo-engineering - often impose burdens on developing countries. Ultimately, commercial interests come to dominate policy-making and governance, which occurs in

tandem with the entrenchment of technological solutions. By depicting co-opted governments, the series pushes us to critically reflect on what kind of governance mechanisms are needed to break the fossil fuel hegemony (Nyberg, Wright and Bowden, 2022). For example, a citizen assembly model on climate policy, where a randomly selected sample of citizens are educated on climate issues and tasked with making binding recommendations, could help bypass corporate influence and partisan gridlock while ensuring more democratic representation in climate decision-making (Devaney, Torney, Brereton and Coleman, 2020).

Organization scholars could further engage with the topic of disillusionment and fatalism in governance around climate action, and how to counteract these emotional responses. When democratically elected governments are unable to act, this erodes their legitimacy in the eyes of their populations, providing the basis for alternative orders - driven by market actors or populist movements - to emerge and fill in the governance gap (Bothello and Roulet, 2023).

Morality: The Ethical Complexity of the Climate Crisis

The series is also one that squarely focuses on moral dilemmas, whether at an institutional or individual level. The first episode, for instance, involves government officials at COP42 grappling with a fundamental question that both defines and stalls climate negotiations: how can global temperature increases be capped without burdening those who are already most affected by - and have contributed least to - the climate crisis? At an individual level, characters face difficult decisions in how they tackle the climate crisis: is radical action necessary even if it is undemocratic (episode 5)? Can a system be changed from within (episode 2)? Should one preserve the past or chart a new future (episode 6)? The series, while never offering resolutions to these dilemmas, takes us on a journey alongside various individuals who wrestle with these complexities in all the spheres of their lives.

Organizational scholarship has not sufficiently delved into the ethical dilemmas associated with the climate crisis, and the moral complexity involved in making system-level changes. In this area, we can ask: How can other ontologies inform how to grapple with those fundamental ethical dilemmas? How can the lived experiences of those already impacted by the climate crisis teach us how to cope, innovate, adapt, and live in a world full of destruction? Aside from this, while management and organizational scholars have increasingly engaged with concepts such as environmental justice, organizational research could increasingly study the organizational (historical) processes that create and maintain unequal distribution of environmental benefits and burdens (Foster et al., 2023).

Extrapolating from Extrapolations

The series could be seen as a wake-up call about the climate crisis. In some areas, it accomplishes this through, for instance, panoramas of burning forests and smog covered cities, or stories of personal loss provide powerful images of a destructive future. In other areas, it falls short: Extrapolations misses a key opportunity to include a narrative of collective action against ecological collapse. Acts of resistance in the series are only taken by individuals; protests and demonstrations (and one incident of self-immolation) occur as an underexplored backdrop to some other events. Political mobilization - as we currently observe with

movements such as Fridays for Future or Just Stop Oil - is a key driver of climate change accountability.

While certainly imperfect, *Extrapolations* is but one artistic expression needed to effectively - and affectively - convey a possible future if we do not act now on the climate crisis. It is an attempt to complement other formats that have proved powerful in mobilizing awareness through images, for instance, David Attenborough's 'Planet Earth' series or Al Gore's 'An Inconvenient Truth'. The series does much to remind us that climate change is not merely an abstract concept, but a frightening reality. With its stark images and glimpses into day-to-day life in a heated world, the series offers organization scholars multiple avenues for further thought and - hopefully - also action. As one watches *Extrapolations*, it is disturbing to observe the capacity of humans to adapt and normalize an unraveling catastrophe. It is also unsurprising: after all, isn't this what we are already doing?

This review is dedicated to the memory of Helen Etchanchu, a founding member of OS4F who worked tirelessly to raise awareness of the climate crisis and change our individual and collective behaviors. She was an exemplar of an activist scholar.

References

Roulet, Thomas J., & Bothello, Joel (2023). An event-system perspective on disruption: theorizing the pandemic and other discontinuities through historical and fictional accounts of the plague. *Academy of Management Review*, 48(4), 772-789.

Dentoni, Domenico (2024). Heat and organization studies: Organizing in a world approaching 50 degrees Celsius. *Organization Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/017084062412614>

Devaney, Laura, Torney, Diarmuid, Brereton, Pat, & Coleman, Martha (2020). Ireland's citizens' assembly on climate change: Lessons for deliberative public engagement and communication. *Environmental Communication*, 14(2), 141-146.

Foster, Alec, Wissman, Nichole, Bray, Laura A., DeBoer, J., Ergene, Seray, Stewart, Oscar J. & Dunham, Ian M. (2023). Rising to the challenge: Embedding environmental justice in management and organization studies. *Organization & Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10860266231201992>

Nyberg, Daniel, Wright, Christopher, & Bowden, Vanessa (2022). *Organising responses to climate change: The politics of mitigation, adaptation and suffering*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Schüßler, Elke, Rüling, Charles-Clemens & Wittneben, Bettina F. (2014). On melting summits: The limitations of field-configuring events as catalysts of change in transnational climate policy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(1), 140-171.

Veal, Gareth & Mouzas, Stefanos (2012). Market-based responses to climate change: CO2 market design versus operation. *Organization Studies*, 33(11), 1589-1616.

^[i] OS4Future is a movement of organization and management scientists who wish to inspire fellow academics to take action on climate change. It focuses on actions that make a concrete impact along four dimensions: research, teaching, practice and leading by example.

Pilar Acosta is a researcher and Professor at École Polytechnique in the Innovation Management and Entrepreneurship department. Her research focuses on the evolution of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and sustainability-related practices across global value chains. She is also interested in the intersection of private and public actors in the Global South. Her work has been published in *Organization Studies*, *Journal of Business Ethics*, and management education journals.

Joel Bothello is an Associate Professor in management and holds the Concordia University Research Chair in Resilience and Institutions at the John Molson School of Business at Concordia University. An organizational theorist, Joel examines how organizations adapt to changes in their institutional environment, specifically with respect to stakeholder demands. His work has been published in leading peer-reviewed management and social science journals.

Giuseppe Delmestri is Full Professor and head of the Institute of Change Management and Management Development at WU Vienna University of Economics and Business. His research interests include an institutional understanding of organizational and field-level change with a particular focus on environmental and social sustainability. His work has been published, amongst others, in *Organization Studies*, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *Journal of Management Studies*, *Human Relations*, and *Journal of International Business Studies*.

Stefanie Habersang is an Assistant Professor of Business Administration at the Institute of Management & Organization at Leuphana University of Lüneburg, Germany. Her work focuses on organizational and strategic change processes and entrepreneurship with a particular focus on sustainability. In addition, Stefanie is interested in advancing qualitative research methods through qualitative meta-studies. Her work has been published in the *Journal of Management Studies* and *Organizational Research Methods* as well as in the *Handbook of Philosophy of Management*.

Gabriela Gutierrez-Huerter O is Associate Professor in International Management at King's Business School, King's College London, UK. Her research interests include corporate social responsibility, multi-national corporations, comparative institutional analysis, and modern slavery. Her work has appeared in *Journal of International Business Studies*, *Journal of World Business*, *Human Relations* and *Journal of Business Ethics*. She was the recipient of the 2023 FT Responsible Business Education Award, in the category of academic research with real-world impact.

Elke Schüßler is Professor of Business Administration, in particular Entrepreneurship at Leuphana University Lüneburg. She studies dynamics of organizational and institutional change with a focus on the development of sustainable forms of work and organizing. She is particularly interested in inter-organizational contexts like organizational fields, global supply chains, regional clusters or digital platforms and the ways in which institutional entrepreneurs use interaction spaces like field-configuring events to challenge established norms and shape new practices. She is also interested in the role and responsibility of corporate actors and of management scholarship in a world increasingly disrupted by crises. Her research has been published in leading social science journals such as the *Academy of Management Journal*, *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *Creativity and Innovation Management*, *Development and Change*, *Human Relations*, *Industrial and Corporate Change*, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, *Industry and Innovation*, *Organization Studies*, *Regional Studies* or *Socio-Economic Review*.