



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0598](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0598)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Irshad, S., Flores-Borja, F., Lawler, K., Monypenny, J., Evans, R., Male, V., Gordon, P., Cheung, A., Gazinska, P., Noor, F., Wong, F., Grigoriadis, A., Fruhwirth, G. O., Barber, P. R., Woodman, N., Patel, D., Rodriguez-Justo, M., Owen, J., Martin, S. G., ... Ng, T. (2017). RORyt⁺ innate lymphoid cells promote lymph node metastasis of breast cancers. *Cancer Research*, 77(5), 1083-1096. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0598>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **RORyt⁺ innate lymphoid cells promote lymph node metastasis of breast cancers.**

2 Sheeba Irshad^{1*}, Fabian Flores-Borja^{1,2*}, Katherine Lawler^{2,3}, James Monypenny², Rachel
3 Evans², Victoria Male¹, Peter Gordon^{1,2}, Anthony Cheung², Patrycja Gazinska¹, Farzana
4 Noor¹, Felix Wong², Anita Grigoriadis¹, Gilbert O Fruhwirth^{2, 10}, Paul R Barber⁴, Natalie
5 Woodman⁵, Dominic Patel¹¹, Manuel Rodriguez-Justo¹¹, Julie Owen⁵, Stewart Martin⁶, Sarah
6 E Pinder^{5,7}, Cheryl E. Gillett^{5,7}, Simon P Poland², Simon Ameer-Beg², Frank McCaughan^{8,9},
7 Leo M. Carlin¹⁰, Uzma Hasan¹¹, David R Withers¹², Peter Lane¹², Borivoj Vojnovic⁴, Sergio
8 A Quezada¹³, Paul Ellis¹⁴, Andrew Tutt^{1, 15} and Tony Ng^{1,2,13}.

9 ¹Breast Cancer Now (BCN) Research Unit, Kings College London (KCL)

10 ²Richard Dumbleby, Randall Division & Division of Cancer Studies, KCL

11 ³ Institute for Mathematical and Molecular Biomedicine, KCL

12 ⁴Gray Institute for Radiation Oncology & Biology, University of Oxford

13 ⁵King's Health Partners Cancer Biobank, KCL

14 ⁶School of Medicine, Division of Cancer and Stem Cells, Department of Clinical Oncology,
15 Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust

16 ⁷Research Oncology, Division of Cancer Studies, KCL, 3rd Floor, Bermondsey Wing, Guy's
17 Hospital, London

18 ⁸Department of Asthma, Allergy, and Lung Biology, KCL

19 ⁹Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge

20 ¹⁰Leukocyte Dynamics Group, Beatson Advanced Imaging Resource, CRUK Beatson
21 Institute, Glasgow.

1 ¹¹International Center for Infectiology Research, University of Lyon, France

2 ¹²MRC Centre for Immune Regulation, Institute for Biomedical Research, College of
3 Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham

4 ¹³UCL Cancer Institute, Paul O'Gorman Building, University College London

5 ¹⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Guy's and St Thomas Foundation Trust, London

6 ¹⁵ICR, BCN Research Unit, Toby Robins Research Centre, London

7

8 Running Title: **ROR γ ⁺ innate lymphoid cells and breast cancer**

9 Key Words: Innate lymphoid cells, breast cancer, metastasis, LNs, chemokines

10 * These authors contributed equally to the manuscript

11 #Correspondence should be addressed to Professor Tony Ng

12 Tel: +44(0) 20 7848 8056 e-mail: tony.ng@kcl.ac.uk

13 **Conflict of interest statement:** The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

14 Number of Figures: 6

15

16 **Abstract**

17

18 Cancer cells tend to metastasize first to tumor-draining lymph nodes (LN), but the
19 mechanisms mediating cancer cell invasion into the lymphatic vasculature remain little
20 understood. Here we show that in the human breast tumor microenvironment (TME) the
21 presence of increased numbers of ROR γ ⁺ group 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3) correlates

1 with an increased likelihood of LN metastasis. In a preclinical mouse model of breast cancer,
2 CCL21-mediated recruitment of ILC3 to tumors stimulated the production of the CXCL13 by
3 TME stromal cells, which in turn promoted ILC3-stromal interactions and production of the
4 cancer cell motile factor RANKL. Depleting ILC3 or neutralizing CCL21, CXCL13 or
5 RANKL was sufficient to decrease LN metastasis. Our findings establish a role for
6 ROR γ t+ILC3 in promoting lymphatic metastasis by modulating the local chemokine milieu
7 of cancer cells in the TME.

8

9 **Introduction**

10 Breast cancer is the most common malignant neoplasm with significant morbidity and
11 mortality. The ability of cancer cells to invade lymphatics stratifies breast cancers into
12 distinct prognostic groups (1). The molecular mechanisms mediating this tumor cell entry
13 remain unclear but studies have established important roles for the lymphoid chemokines
14 CXCL13, CCL19 and CCL21 (2).

15 An important early step in the construction of lymphoid organs is the recruitment of
16 lymphoid tissue inducer cells (LTis) by CXCL13 and CCL21, which are recognized via the
17 receptors CXCR5 and CCR7, respectively (3-5). LTis are members of the innate lymphoid
18 cells (ILCs) family. Recent moves to propose a uniform nomenclature divide these cells into
19 three groups (6), and LTis represent the prototypic cell type of the “group 3” ROR γ t⁺ family
20 of ILCs. We will refer to these cells henceforth as ILC3. ILC3 play a major role in lymphoid
21 tissue development both in the embryo (7) and in adult life (8, 9). Within the secondary
22 lymphoid structures, ILC3 produce lymphotoxin (LT) $\alpha_1\beta_2$ which binds LT β R on
23 mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC), stimulating the production of CXCL13, CCL19 and
24 CCL21, as well as the tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-family member, RANKL; promoting
25 lymphocyte recruitment and compartmentalization (10).

1 The presence or role of these cells has not yet been explored in breast cancers. Here we
2 demonstrate that CCL21-dependent recruitment of ILC3s into mammary tumors results in a
3 CXCL13-dependent positive feedback loop between ILC3 and MSCs. Antibody blocking
4 experiments in BALB/c and Rag1^{-/-} mice demonstrated that CCL21, CXCL13, ILC3 and
5 RANKL all promote metastasis to the LN. We report the novel identification of
6 RORγt⁺ILC3s within the human TME, their association with more aggressive breast cancer
7 subtypes, and lymphatic metastasis.

8

9 **Methods & Materials**

10

11 **Human tissue:** Tissue samples and data from patients were obtained from The King's Health
12 Partners (KHP) Cancer Biobank at Guy's Hospital, London (REC No: 07/40874/131).

13 **Mice:** Experiments were performed in accordance with the UK Home Office Animals
14 Scientific Procedures Act, 1986 and the UKCCCR guidelines. Tumors were established by
15 injection of 4T1.2 cells into the mammary fat pad of 6–8-week old BALB/c mice (Charles
16 River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) and Rag1^{-/-} mice (BALB/c background, Jackson
17 Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME). CXCL13 or CCL21 were neutralised by i.v. injection of
18 0.5ug goat antibodies (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA) starting on the first day after tumor
19 establishment and repeated every 3d until the end of the experiment. ILCs were depleted by
20 intraperitoneal injection of 0.25mg anti-CD90.2 (clone 30H12, BioXCell, West Lebanon,
21 USA) starting on d3 after tumor establishment and repeated every 3d until the end of the
22 experiment.

23 **Gene expression datasets:** The KHP Cancer Biobank of the METABRIC dataset was
24 profiled using the Illumina HT12 platform. Frozen tissue sections were subjected to
25 histopathological review to assess the presence of invasive tumor and only samples with

1 >70% tumoral DNA were included. Samples were quantile normalised, and a ComBat
2 BeadChip correction applied ($n=234$; 176 ER⁺ samples, 58 ER⁻ samples). PAM50 subtype
3 was assigned as in (11-13).

4 ***Immunohistochemistry, Immunofluorescence and Image analysis:*** 60 fresh frozen tumor
5 sections were randomly selected from the METABRIC patient cohort for ILC staining as
6 described (14). Confocal tile scan images were obtained using an LSM510 Metamicroscope
7 (Carl Zeiss, UK). Image analysis for ROR γ ⁺ILC quantification was carried out using
8 MacBiophotonics ImageJ software. Detailed immunohistochemistry protocols are described
9 in Supplementary information.

10 ***Cell Lines and culture conditions:*** The mouse breast cancer cell line 4T1.2 (derived from a
11 mammary carcinoma in a BALB/c mouse) (15) and human bone marrow derived MSC (HS-
12 5) were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) complete media. Extracellular matrix
13 (ECM) invasion assays, based on the Boyden chamber principle, were carried out using 96-
14 well Cell Invasion Assay Kit (ECM555, Chemicon International, CA, USA) as per the
15 manufacturers' instructions. To confirm identity, Short Tandem Repeat (STR) profiling was
16 performed on all cell lines.

17 ***ILC3 cell isolation and flow cytometry:*** For NKp46⁻ILC3 sorting experiments, splenocyte
18 suspensions were prepared from BALB/c mice and cells stained with CD3, CD11c, B220R,
19 CD127, CD90.2 and NKp46 and sorted by using a FACS Aria. The NKp46⁻ILC3 were
20 identified as CD3⁻CD11c⁻B220⁻CD127⁺CD90.2⁺NKp46⁻ cells. Purity was confirmed at
21 >90%. To extract intratumoural ILC3, tumors were minced and incubated with
22 collagenase/hyaluronidase at 37°C for 60min; and passed through a filter to form a single cell
23 suspension. Cells were stained as per sorting experiments. Flow cytometry reference beads
24 (PeakFlow blue; Invitrogen) were added to the samples before analysis for quantification of
25 cells in each tumor. The absolute number of cells/mg of tumor was calculated using the

1 formula: Density of x cells = (number of beads added to each sample multiplied by count of x
2 cells/count of beads)/tumour weight. For multi-photon experiments, $5-6 \times 10^4$ sorted NKp46⁻
3 ILC3 were injected (i.v) into tumor-bearing mice on the same day. Immune cell populations
4 from tumors and DLN from mice after treatment with either neutralising anti-CXCL13, anti-
5 CCL21 or IgG control (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA) were isolated as described above.
6 Antibodies used are included in Supplementary Table S1.

7 ***Time-lapse microscopy and image analysis:*** Cells were cultured in DMEM complete
8 medium supplemented with 25mM HEPES. For NKp46⁻ILC3-MSc co-culture experiments,
9 MSc were grown in 9.4×10.7 mm ibidi™ 8-well-slide chambers. Image acquisition was
10 performed using an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope housed within an environment
11 chamber maintained at 37°C. Sequential phase contrast images were captured every 10-
12 minutes for a total of 10h. NKp46⁻ILC3-MSc clustering was measured as described in
13 Supplementary Information.

14 ***ELISA:*** Tumors were snap frozen and lysed by homogenisation in 100mM Tris pH 7.5,
15 150mM NaCl, 1mM EGTA, 1mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) Triton-X-100 and 0.5% (w/v) sodium
16 deoxycholate. ELISAs were performed using DuoSet kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis,
17 USA).

18 ***siRNA knockdown:*** MSc were cultured overnight in 6-well plates to 30% confluency. Cells
19 were transfected with RNAimax in serum free OptiMEM and siRNAs at 20nM. Details of the
20 siRNA used are in Supplementary Table S2.

21 ***Surgical window and Multi-photon Imaging:*** Mammary Imaging Window (MIW) surgery
22 was performed 10d after injection of 1×10^6 4T1.2 cells into the mammary fat-pad as
23 described (16). For multi-photon experiments, 1×10^6 MSc (control or knock-down)
24 followed 24h later by 5×10^4 sorted NKp46⁻ILC3 cells were i.v. injected into mice. 24h later
25 mice were placed in a microscope-attached imaging box kept at 32°C and imaged for a

1 maximum period of 3h/day for 3 consecutive days. Image processing and image
2 reconstructions were done using MacBiophotonics ImageJ software.

3 **Statistics:** Permutation tests for small samples with multiple ties were performed using the
4 “coin” package in R-2.13.0 (17). Predictive value of ILC score for high LN burden was
5 determined using Cox’s multivariate proportional hazards model. GraphPad was used for
6 other data analysis. *P* values <0.05 were considered significant.

7

8 **Results**

9

10 **CCL21-mediated recruitment of NKp46⁺ILC3 to tumors in a mouse model of triple** 11 **negative breast cancer**

12 To investigate whether ILC3s are recruited into a TME, we used a mouse model of triple
13 negative breast cancer (TNBC) with 4T1.2 cells in BALB/c mice that develop metastatic
14 disease via lymphatics (Figure 1A) (18). Upon tumor induction, the number of NKp46⁺ILC3
15 (19) were determined at different times in tumors, draining LN (DLN) and non-draining LN
16 (NDLN) (Figure 1A,B). FACS and immunofluorescence staining for CCR6, ROR γ t, and CD4
17 further confirmed the gated cells to be ILC3 (Supplementary Figure S1). The number of
18 NKp46⁺ILC3 cells in tumors peaked at d14 (d10 vs. d14 *P*=0.0019, unpaired t-test), while the
19 number in DLN peaked later, at d18 (d10 vs. d18, *P*=0.0041 unpaired t-test) (Figure 1B).
20 NKp46⁺ILC3 cell density within the NDLN did not change significantly, acting as an internal
21 control. Confocal imaging of primary tumours and DLNs taken at d14 and d21 respectively,
22 for markers discriminatory for ROR γ t⁺ILC3 (defined as ROR γ t⁺CD127⁺CD3⁻) as previously
23 published (20) confirmed the presence within our mouse model (Figure 1C and 1D). In
24 contrast to the temporal pattern of NKp46⁺ILC3 infiltration (Figure 1B), absolute numbers of

1 CD3⁺T cells (Figure 1E) and CD19⁺B cells (Figure 1F) decreased in tumors over time, while
2 the number of T and B cells in the DLN continued to increase until d24.

3 CXCL13 has an essential role in ILC3 function (5) and lymphoid structures resembling the
4 LN paracortex develop in tumors expressing high levels of CCL21 (21). Therefore, we
5 investigated whether either of these chemokines could play a role in the recruitment of
6 NKp46⁺ILC3 cells to tumors in our model. We confirmed that tumor NKp46⁺ILC3 express
7 both CCR7 and CXCR5, and are thus capable of responding to CCL21 and CXCL13,
8 respectively (Figure 1G). We then analysed the levels of CCL21 and CXCL13 present in
9 primary tumors and serum at various times after tumor establishment. CCL21 levels peaked
10 in both the tumor and serum at d12, before declining rapidly (Figure 1H). CXCL13 in the
11 tumor also peaked early (d10-12), but levels in serum lagged behind, peaking at d14. In
12 contrast to CCL21, CXCL13 oscillated, with tumor CXCL13 beginning to rise again at d20,
13 and serum CXCL13 concentration increasing at d24 (Figure 1I).

14 To examine the effect of CCL21 or CXCL13 blockade on NKp46⁺ILC3s recruitment to
15 tumors *in vivo*, tumor-bearing mice were treated with control or neutralizing anti-CXCL13
16 and anti-CCL21 antibodies, starting one day after tumor cell implantation and repeated every
17 3 days. Tumors were analysed for NKp46⁺ILC3 at d14, a time-point at which maximum
18 number of these cells had previously been shown to be present in the tumors (Figure 1B).
19 When compared with isotype controls, anti-CCL21, but not anti-CXCL13 neutralizing
20 antibodies, significantly reduced NKp46⁺ILC3s recruitment to the primary tumor (Figure 1J).

21 **CXCL13 is required for clustering of NKp46⁺ILC3 and MSC**

22 During embryogenesis clustering of NKp46⁺ILC3s and production of CXCL13 and CCL21
23 by activated lymphoid tissue organizer cells (LTo, closely linked to stromal cells of
24 mesenchymal origin (22)) are responsible for initiating a feedback loop with further NKp46⁺

1 ILC3 recruitment and subsequent amplification of LT-receptor signaling (23). Given the
2 lineage relationship between MSCs, which exhibit a marked tropism for tumors (24), and
3 LTo cells that are known to interact with ILC3s, we hypothesized that ILC3 interaction with
4 CXCL13-producing stromal cells may modulate the chemokine profile of the TME. Within
5 our *in-vitro* model, MSCs secrete high concentrations of CCL21 and CXCL13 chemokines
6 (Supplementary Figure S2).

7 Time-lapse microscopy demonstrated NKp46⁺ILC3-MSc clustering (Figure 2A, upper panel
8 and Video S1), with cells remaining closely associated for as long as 7h (Figure 2A, red
9 arrow in lower panel and Video S2). There was no effect on proliferation of ILC3 on contact
10 or co-culture with MSCs (Supplementary Figure S3A). We quantified cell clustering of
11 NKp46⁺ILC3-MSc (Figure 2B) and investigated how knockdown of CXCL13 and CCL21 in
12 MSc (Supplementary Figure S3B and C) affected this clustering rate. Transient siRNA-
13 knockdown of CXCL13, but not of CCL21, resulted in a decrease of NKp46⁺ILC3-MSc
14 clustering around ($P < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test) (Figure 2C). CXCL13-mediated clustering may
15 be synergistic with the initial CCL21-mediated recruitment of NKp46⁺ILC3 into the primary
16 tumor, since the CCL21-recruited NKp46⁺ILC3 are required to promote significant CXCL13
17 production by interaction with MSc.

18 Next, we used an intravital mammary window (MIW) with multi-photon imaging to assess
19 NKp46⁺ILC3-MSc interaction *in vivo* (16). These visualisation experiments were conducted
20 to demonstrate how the fluorescent MSc (which are allogenic and therefore could have a
21 finite half-life once injected *in vivo*) may interact with ILC in the relatively short term and
22 whether this interaction is CXCL13 dependent. 4T1.2 cells were injected into the mammary
23 fat pad and a MIW placed over the tumor 10d after inoculation (Figure 2Di). Tumor-bearing
24 mice were treated with either neutralizing anti-CXCL13 or isotype antibody (as described for
25 Figure 1H). Fluorescently-labeled MSCs and NKp46⁺ILC3 were i.v. injected 48 or 24h prior

1 to imaging, respectively (Figure 2Dii). In control antibody-treated mice, NKp46⁺ILC3 were
2 clustered and in close proximity to MSC. However, in mice injected with neutralizing anti-
3 CXCL13 antibody, NKp46⁺ILC3 and MSC were not close with each other ($P<0.0001$,
4 unpaired t-test, Figure 2E, F). These *in vivo* imaging results support the *in vitro* observation
5 that NKp46⁺ILC3-MSK clustering is CXCL13-dependent.

6

7 **CCL21, CXCL13 and NKp46⁺ILC3 cells promote metastasis of tumor cells to DLN**

8 To test the hypothesis that CCL21 and CXCL13 might play a role in promoting metastasis of
9 tumor cells to LN, we treated 4T1.2 tumor-bearing mice with neutralising antibodies against
10 CCL21 or CXCL13, or with an antibody to deplete NKp46⁺ILC3 and examined the DLN for
11 evidence of metastasis.

12 *In vivo*, neither anti-CXCL13 nor anti-CCL21 treatments affected tumour growth (Figure
13 3A). The weight of the DLNs were significantly reduced in both cohorts (anti-CXCL13
14 $P=0.0156$; and anti-CCL21 $P=0.0017$ one-way ANOVA) compared to the control cohort
15 (Figure 3B). Immunohistochemical analysis of DLN for tumor load with anti-pancytokeratin
16 revealed fewer tumor foci within the DLN of mice treated with anti-CXCL13 or anti-CCL21
17 compared with control antibody-treated mice (Figure 3C). Measurements of the total surface
18 area of of tumor foci (μm^2) demonstrated a significant decrease in the tumor load in the DLN
19 of mice treated with anti-CXCL13 or anti-CCL21 ($P<0.05$ one-way ANOVA; Figure 3C and
20 3D).

21 Given the involvement of CXCL13 and CCL21 in B- and T-cell homeostasis (2), we assessed
22 the effect of anti-CXCL13 and anti-CCL21 blockade on LN metastasis in Rag1^{-/-} mice which
23 lack B and T cells. Rag1^{-/-} mice have much smaller lymph nodes, these lymph nodes samples
24 were therefore formalin fixed to help preserve the morphology better. We report a decrease

1 in the number of pan-cytokeratin positive tumour cells in DLN of tumor-bearing Rag1^{-/-} mice
2 treated with blocking anti-CCL21 or anti-CXCL13 (anti-CXCL13 $P=0.004$; anti-CCL21
3 $P=0.005$) (Figure 3E & 3F). These results suggest that T and B cells are not involved in the
4 CXCL13 and CCL21 dependent tumor cell migration into LNs.

5 To strengthen the link between ILC and chemokines in LN metastasis we depleted ILCs with
6 anti-CD90.2, as previously described (25) (Figure 3G). It is noteworthy that anti-CD90.2
7 does not specifically depletes ILC3 and is also able to deplete T cells. Therefore these
8 experiments were also carried out in Rag1^{-/-} mice. We found a significant decrease in the
9 tumor burden in the DLN of mice treated with anti-CD90.2 ($P=0.04$ Mann Whitney test
10 Figure 3H). Therefore, CCL21, CXCL13 and ILCs themselves, and no B or T cells, all
11 promote metastasis of breast cancer cells to the DLN.

12

13 **CXCL13 induces RANK/RANKL signalling to promote tumour cell invasion**

14 As our *in vivo* results suggested an inhibitory effect of CXCL13 or CCL21 blockade on 4T1.2
15 cell invasion into the DLN, we used extracellular matrix (ECM) invasion assay, to directly
16 investigate the effects of increasing concentrations of CXCL13 and CCL21 on tumour cell
17 invasion. EGF stimulation of 4T1.2 and NIH3T3 served as positive and negative controls
18 respectively. Recombinant CXCL13 or CCL21 did not significantly increase the invasion of
19 4T1.2 cells at concentrations between 10-100ng/ml (Figure 4A).

20 During LN development, the interaction of NKp46⁺ILC3-MSc stimulates RANKL
21 production by MSC, and RANKL signals back to the NKp46⁺ILC3 establishing a positive
22 feedback (26). CXCL13 has recently been shown to promote RANKL expression in stromal
23 cells in oral squamous cell carcinoma (27); and RANK signaling in several breast cancer cell
24 lines induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition, promoting cell migration and invasion (28).

1 To test the relationship between CXCL13 and RANK signaling *in vitro*; we first confirmed,
2 as shown previously (27), that whilst 4T1.2 cells expressed RANK receptor *in vitro* (Figure
3 4B), but were not themselves the source of RANKL (Figure 4C). Levels of over 200pg/ml of
4 RANKL were observed in MSC conditioned media, supporting the hypothesis that the source
5 of RANKL within the tumour is likely to be stromal (Figure 4C). Stimulation with CXCL13,
6 but not CCL21, increased the expression of RANKL in MSC (paired t-test 50ng/ml vs
7 control: $P<0.01$; Figure 4D & 4E). We also confirmed that MSCs expressed CXCR5 as
8 suggested by the above experiment (Supplementary Figure S4). We next investigated if
9 increasing concentrations of RANKL would increase 4T1.2 cells invasion. Addition of
10 RANKL to 4T1.2 (between 10-100ng/ml) was observed to significantly increase the ability of
11 the tumour cells to invade through the matrix (Figure 4F).

12 To investigate the relationship between CXCL13 and RANKL expression *in vivo*, we
13 analysed the changes in the levels of RANKL in the sera of 4T1.2 tumor-bearing mice at a
14 number of timepoints after tumor establishment. RANKL levels peaked at d18 ($P<0.0001$,
15 unpaired t-test; Figure 4G), approximately 4d after the first serum peak in CXCL13 (Figure
16 1H). In mice treated with anti-CXCL13, levels of RANKL at d14 were significantly reduced
17 ($P<0.001$ unpaired t-test; Figure 4H), in support of the idea that CXCL13 drives RANKL
18 production *in vivo*. A significant reduction in RANKL was also observed in anti-CCL21
19 treated mice ($P<0.001$ unpaired t-test; Figure 4H).

20 These findings led us to hypothesise that RANKL, like CCL21 and CXCL13, might promote
21 metastasis of tumor cells to DLN. Treatment with anti-RANKL neutralizing antibody did not
22 affect the growth of the primary tumor (Figure 4I). Immunohistochemical analysis of DLN
23 for tumor load revealed no metastasis in majority of antibody-treated mice ($n = 5/7$) and the
24 mean area of tumor metastasis was lower in the antibody-treated mice than the controls
25 ($P<0.01$, unpaired t-test; Figure 4J). RANKL blockade using a neutralising antibody did not

1 significantly affect the recruitment of NKp46⁻ILC3 into the primary tumors but the numbers
2 in DLN were significantly lower, compared to the controls ($P<0.05$, one-way ANOVA;
3 Figure 4K).

4

5 **ROR γ t⁺ILC and their associated chemokines are present in the human breast cancer** 6 **TME**

7 We further analysed the gene expression of ROR γ t⁺ILC3-associated/lymphoid chemokines
8 CXCL13, CCL19, and CCL21 and their receptors, CXCR5 and CCR7, in a subset of 234
9 samples of breast cancer from the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International
10 Consortium (METABRIC) (29) (see Supplementary Table S3 for patient characteristics).
11 Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis of the transcriptional profile in these samples
12 revealed that this cohort could be categorized based on their expression of ROR γ t⁺ILC3-
13 associated/lymphoid chemokines and their receptors (Figure 5A). “Basal-like” breast cancers
14 (PAM50 intrinsic subtype assignments (30)) presented high expression of these genes (31/53
15 basal-like tumors lie in the top-branch cluster, $n=89$; $P=0.0007$, two-tailed Fisher's exact test)
16 (Figure 5A). Further cross validation of these results was seen in 4-independent breast cancer
17 datasets (Supplementary Figure S5). ROR γ t⁺ILC3-associated/lymphoid chemokine and their
18 receptor genes were highly specific (no association with other lymphoid chemokine genes,
19 such as the ligand-receptor pair CCL20-CCR6, which attract immature DC, effector/memory
20 T-cells and B-cells) and showed significant internal pair-wise correlation ($P<10^{-4}$, Figure 5B).
21 We next stained frozen primary tumor sections for markers for ROR γ t⁺ILC3 (defined as
22 ROR γ t⁺CD127⁺CD3⁻), as we previously published (20). ROR γ t⁺ILC3 were present in
23 approximately half of the sections examined (Figure 5C, 5D). These cells were in proximity

1 to CD3⁺T cells (Figure 5C) and found within TLS, as previously defined (31)
2 (Supplementary Figure S6). We hypothesized that tumors with higher levels of RORγ⁺ILC3-
3 associated chemokines would have a higher number of RORγ⁺ILC3. To test this, we
4 performed a blinded study in primary breast cancer sections (total patients *n*=59). The
5 number of RORγ⁺CD127⁺CD3⁻ cells/mm² (of total area/section) varied considerably from
6 case to case (range 0–56/mm²) (Figure 5D) but patients with high tumor ILC3 counts were
7 also likely to have a high gene expression score for the ILC3-associated chemokines (Figure
8 5D; *P*<0.001, Spearman correlation permutation test).

9 We next assessed the correlation of CXCL13 and CCL21 protein and gene expression levels
10 with ILC3 scores. Fifty-nine cases with known ILC3 scores were stained for CXCL13 or
11 CCL21 expression. For CXCL13 only stromal cells stained for this chemokine (Figure 5Ei).
12 In contrast, CCL21 staining was positive for both tumoral and stromal cells. We quantified
13 the relationship between ILC3 and stromal CXCL13/CCL21 staining. ILC3 presence
14 correlated positively with CXCL13 staining but not with stromal CCL21 (Figure 5Eii). These
15 additional data strengthen our pre-clinical data (Figure 2), with CXCL13 up-regulation in
16 stromal cells as a secondary event to the recruitment of ILC3 to the primary tumour.

17

18 **Tumoral RORγ⁺ILC3 cell density correlates with lymphatic tumor cell invasion and** 19 **DLN metastasis within basal-like and HER2-enriched breast cancer**

20 We next stained tumor sections for the lymphatic endothelial cell marker, podoplanin, and
21 evaluated sections for evidence of tumor cell invasion into lymphatics (Figure 6Ai). We
22 considered lymphatic invasion to have occurred if at least one tumor cell cluster was clearly
23 visible in the lymphatic vascular space (red arrow in Figure 6Ai). RORγ⁺ILC3 were present
24 in 82% (14/17) of tumor samples with lymphatic tumor cell invasion but only in 27% (8/30)

1 of samples without lymphatic tumor cell invasion. Similarly, 73% (22/30) of samples without
2 lymphatic tumor cell invasion had no ROR γ ⁺ILC3 present in the tumor, whereas only 17%
3 (3/17) of samples without ROR γ ⁺ILC3 cells displayed lymphatic invasion (Figure 6ii;
4 $P < 0.003$, Fisher's exact test). We did not find an association between lymphatic invasion and
5 CD3⁺T cells or with CD3⁺CD127⁺ROR γ ⁺ (most likely representing TH17 cells),
6 strengthening the specificity of the correlation between ROR γ ⁺ILC3 cells and lymphatic
7 invasion (Table 2). We next investigated if the association between ROR γ ⁺ILC3 counts and
8 lymphatic invasion translated into a high LN tumour burden (i.e. four or more metastatic LNs
9 at surgical resection) within our dataset. In basal-like breast cancer raised ROR γ ⁺ILC3
10 counts were found to also correlate with a higher burden of LN metastases ($P = 0.02$,
11 permutation-based Mann–Whitney; Figure 6B). Given our *in vitro* and *in vivo* findings, we
12 investigated whether LN burden was related to gene expression of CCL21 and CXCL13 in
13 the primary tumor. We found that in basal-like breast cancers a high LN tumor burden was
14 associated with significantly increased levels of CCL21 ($P = 0.0043$; LN positive, 4+ vs. 0;
15 two-tailed Mann–Whitney; Figure 6C). Although CXCL13 levels were also increased in
16 patients suffering from a high LN burden, this association was not significant ($P = 0.15$; Figure
17 6D). These correlations were not statistically significant in other breast cancer subtypes
18 (HER2+ve or Luminal A/B), suggesting that the proposed mechanisms may only operate in
19 specific breast cancer subtypes. In a multivariate (Cox's) proportional hazards model and
20 taking basal-like and HER2-enriched tumors together, the ROR γ ⁺ILC3 score achieved 84%
21 prediction accuracy for high LN burden: higher than traditional clinicopathological
22 parameters (e.g. grade, tumor size, receptor status; Figure 6E).

23

24

1 **Discussion**

2 Recent years have seen a growing appreciation of the pleiotropic nature of the TME (32).
3 The importance of ILC3s in normal lymphoid organogenesis has been accepted for long time
4 but their role in the TME has only recently begun to be investigated. Work by Shields *et al*
5 described, in a murine model of melanoma, a mechanism by which CCL21-expressing
6 tumors recruit ILC3 cells, which transform the TME contributing to a tolerant milieu that
7 promotes immune evasion (21). Study by Eisenring *et al* showed that ROR γ ⁺ILCs, are
8 required for IL-12 to exert its anti-tumor activity (33). Similarly, a protective function of
9 NKp46⁺ILC3 (distinct from the NKp46⁻ILC3) has been reported in lung cancers (34). These
10 findings are not necessarily at odds, since whether ILC3s promote or prevent cancer
11 progression is likely to depend on the type of cancer and whether they recruit immune cells
12 into a tolerogenic (21) or inflammatory (33) microenvironment. We report the presence of
13 ROR γ ⁺ILC3 in human breast cancers and that they have a previously unrecognized function
14 in facilitating tumor invasion into the lymphatic system through modulation of the local
15 lymphoid chemokine milieu. We show that ILC3 recruitment into a TNBC tumor model is
16 CCL21-dependent, whilst CXCL13 regulates their clustering with stromal cells (see Figure
17 7).

18 The CCL21/CCR7 axis plays a role in the progression of different malignancies (35, 36).
19 These studies focus on the direct effects of CCL21 on CCR7-expressing tumor cells, rather
20 than on how CCL21 may modify the TME. We show that CCL21 is expressed both in
21 primary human breast cancers and in a mouse model of TNBC. In the mouse model, the peak
22 of CCL21 expression in tumors was closely followed by ILC3 recruitment, an association we
23 show to be causal through its prevention by CCL21 blockade, consistent with the melanoma
24 xenograft study correlating tumor expression of CCL21 and ILC3 cells recruitment (21).

1 CXCL13 was not required for ILC3 recruitment to tumors but was important for the
2 induction of ILC3-MSC clustering and RANKL upregulation by MSC. MSC are recruited to
3 tumors early in development via mechanisms reminiscent of those that operate in chronic
4 wound healing (37, 38). Once activated, they secrete CXCL13, CCL21 and CCL19 and
5 secrete lymphangiogenic factors such as VEGF-C (39). This interaction, in the TME, may
6 promote neo-lymphangiogenesis, increasing the number of lymphatic vessels into which
7 tumor cells are able to migrate and thus increasing opportunities for lymphatic metastases.

8 In addition to its role in promoting clustering, CXCL13 stimulates increased RANKL
9 production by MSC. This is likely to facilitate LN metastasis by promoting epithelial-
10 mesenchymal transition in breast cancer cells, enhancing their ability to migrate and
11 metastasize (28, 40). This explains the reduction in serum levels of RANKL observed in anti-
12 CXCL13 treated mice. ILC3 interaction with CXCL13-producing stromal cells may
13 constitute a positive feedback, with CXCL13 reinforcing the ILC3-MSC interaction as shown
14 *in vitro* and by intravital imaging. These are likely to explain our observations that patients
15 with tumor cell invasion into the lymphatics were more likely to have a higher ROR γ ⁺ILC3
16 score compared to patients without lymphatic vessel invasion, as well as the significant
17 association between ROR γ ⁺ILC3 counts and greater risk of an increased number of LN
18 metastasis in basal-like breast cancer patients.

19 CXCL13 is highly expressed in clinical samples from some breast cancer patients (41) but
20 there is conflicting evidence on how it affects disease progression. While high CXCL13-
21 CXCR5 expression positively correlate with classical determinants of poor prognosis (41,
22 42), it serves as a good prognostic marker within this high-risk subgroup of breast cancer
23 patients (42-44). Within the TME, the role of immune cells and/or chemokines is particularly
24 complex (45, 46). Here, we report that, downstream of CCL21-mediated recruitment of

1 intra-tumoral ILC3, CXCL13 promotes lymphatic invasion of tumor cells via the RANK-
2 RANKL signalling pathway. However, CXCL13 is also a powerful chemoattractant for
3 lymphocytes (47). This is in line with our finding that basal-like breast cancers, which
4 frequently bear a prominent lymphocytic infiltrate, presented a high score for the lymphoid
5 chemokine/chemokine receptor gene signature, and our data demonstrating a decreased
6 number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILS) in anti-CCL21 or anti-CXCL13-treated
7 mice. Additionally, the presence of TILS and TLS are described as key prognostic and
8 predictive markers for specific breast cancer subtypes (47-50). Therefore, the well-
9 established role of CXCL13 as a chemoattractant could explain why, in a subset of cases, it
10 seems to play a protective role. In our cohort of patients, CCL21 expression and
11 ROR γ ^TILC3 presence in the primary tumor were associated with increased DLN metastasis
12 in basal-like breast cancer, but not in HER2+ve or luminalA/B subtypes. It is noteworthy that
13 these data may not translate into worse prognosis for patients and additional studies are
14 required to fully understand the clinical significance of these findings.

15 One important consideration for any future development of chemokine-based therapeutic
16 interventions is the interplay between the CCL19-CCL21/CCR7 and CXCL13/CXCR5 axes
17 within tumors. Both have been implicated as important drivers of leukocyte trafficking and
18 lymphoid organogenesis in physiological situations (51). However, it is important to make a
19 distinction between the two chemokines in the pathological context of the TME since
20 CXCL13, but not CCL21, is required for ILC3-MSCs clustering, which we proposed here to
21 be an important regulatory mechanism in tumor cell migration through RANKL production
22 by MSC.

23 In summary, we propose that, in our tumor model, ILC3 are recruited to the tumor by CCL21,
24 have a pivotal role in facilitating lymphatic vessel invasion by tumor cells and they do this

1 via two CXCL13-mediated positive feedback loops. Further investigation into how ILC3,
2 MSC, CCL21, CXCL13 and RANKL are co-ordinated to establish a network of interactions
3 between the tumor cells and their microenvironment is required.

4

5

1 References

- 2 1. Mohammed RA, Ellis IO, Mahmmod AM, Hawkes EC, Green AR, Rakha EA,
3 et al. Lymphatic and blood vessels in basal and triple-negative breast cancers:
4 characteristics and prognostic significance. *Mod Pathol*. 2011 Jun;24(6):774-85.
5 PubMed PMID: 21378756. Epub 2011/03/08. eng.
- 6 2. Stein JV, Nombela-Arrieta C. Chemokine control of lymphocyte trafficking: a
7 general overview. *Immunology*. 2005 Sep;116(1):1-12. PubMed PMID: 16108812.
8 Pubmed Central PMCID: 1802414. Epub 2005/08/20. eng.
- 9 3. Ansel KM, Ngo VN, Hyman PL, Luther SA, Forster R, Sedgwick JD, et al. A
10 chemokine-driven positive feedback loop organizes lymphoid follicles. *Nature*. 2000
11 Jul 20;406(6793):309-14. PubMed PMID: 10917533. Epub 2000/08/05. eng.
- 12 4. Ohl L, Henning G, Krautwald S, Lipp M, Hardtke S, Bernhardt G, et al.
13 Cooperating mechanisms of CXCR5 and CCR7 in development and organization of
14 secondary lymphoid organs. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 2003 May
15 5;197(9):1199-204. PubMed PMID: 12732661. Pubmed Central PMCID:
16 Pmc2193963. Epub 2003/05/07. eng.
- 17 5. van de Pavert SA, Olivier BJ, Goverse G, Vondenhoff MF, Greuter M, Beke P,
18 et al. Chemokine CXCL13 is essential for lymph node initiation and is induced by
19 retinoic acid and neuronal stimulation. *Nat Immunol*. 2009 Nov;10(11):1193-9.
20 PubMed PMID: 19783990. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2771164.
- 21 6. Spits H, Artis D, Colonna M, Diefenbach A, Di Santo JP, Eberl G, et al. Innate
22 lymphoid cells--a proposal for uniform nomenclature. *Nature reviews Immunology*.
23 2013 Feb;13(2):145-9. PubMed PMID: 23348417.
- 24 7. Sun Z, Unutmaz D, Zou YR, Sunshine MJ, Pierani A, Brenner-Morton S, et al.
25 Requirement for ROR γ in thymocyte survival and lymphoid organ
26 development. *Science (New York, NY)*. 2000 Jun 30;288(5475):2369-73. PubMed
27 PMID: 10875923. Epub 2000/07/06. eng.
- 28 8. Tsuji M, Suzuki K, Kitamura H, Maruya M, Kinoshita K, Ivanov, II, et al.
29 Requirement for lymphoid tissue-inducer cells in isolated follicle formation and T cell-
30 independent immunoglobulin A generation in the gut. *Immunity*. 2008 Aug
31 15;29(2):261-71. PubMed PMID: 18656387. Epub 2008/07/29. eng.
- 32 9. Scandella E, Bolinger B, Lattmann E, Miller S, Favre S, Littman DR, et al.
33 Restoration of lymphoid organ integrity through the interaction of lymphoid tissue-
34 inducer cells with stroma of the T cell zone. *Nat Immunol*. 2008 Jun;9(6):667-75.
35 PubMed PMID: 18425132. Epub 2008/04/22. eng.
- 36 10. Honda K, Nakano H, Yoshida H, Nishikawa S, Rennert P, Ikuta K, et al.
37 Molecular basis for hematopoietic/mesenchymal interaction during initiation of
38 Peyer's patch organogenesis. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 2001 Mar
39 5;193(5):621-30. PubMed PMID: 11238592. Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc2193398.
40 Epub 2001/03/10. eng.
- 41 11. Weigelt B, Mackay A, A'Hern R, Natrajan R, Tan DS, Dowsett M, et al. Breast
42 cancer molecular profiling with single sample predictors: a retrospective analysis.
43 *The lancet oncology*. 2010 Apr;11(4):339-49. PubMed PMID: 20181526.
- 44 12. Parker JS, Mullins M, Cheang MC, Leung S, Voduc D, Vickery T, et al.
45 Supervised risk predictor of breast cancer based on intrinsic subtypes. *Journal of*
46 *clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2009
47 Mar 10;27(8):1160-7. PubMed PMID: 19204204. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2667820.

- 1 13. Gazinska P, Grigoriadis A, Brown JP, Millis RR, Mera A, Gillett CE, et al.
2 Comparison of basal-like triple-negative breast cancer defined by morphology,
3 immunohistochemistry and transcriptional profiles. *Modern pathology : an official*
4 *journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc.* 2013
5 Jul;26(7):955-66. PubMed PMID: 23392436.
- 6 14. Withers DR, Gaspal FM, Mackley EC, Marriott CL, Ross EA, Desanti GE, et
7 al. Cutting edge: lymphoid tissue inducer cells maintain memory CD4 T cells within
8 secondary lymphoid tissue. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950).* 2012 Sep
9 1;189(5):2094-8. PubMed PMID: 22855716. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3442242.
- 10 15. Lelekakis M, Moseley JM, Martin TJ, Hards D, Williams E, Ho P, et al. A novel
11 orthotopic model of breast cancer metastasis to bone. *Clinical & experimental*
12 *metastasis.* 1999 Mar;17(2):163-70. PubMed PMID: 10411109.
- 13 16. Kedrin D, Gligorijevic B, Wyckoff J, Verkhusha VV, Condeelis J, Segall JE, et
14 al. Intravital imaging of metastatic behavior through a mammary imaging window.
15 *Nat Methods.* 2008 Dec;5(12):1019-21. PubMed PMID: 18997781. Pubmed Central
16 PMCID: 2820719.
- 17 17. Hothorn T HK, van de Wiel MA, Zeileis A A Lego System for Conditional
18 Inference. *The American Statistician.* 2006;60(3):257-63.
- 19 18. Kaur P, Nagaraja GM, Zheng H, Gizachew D, Galukande M, Krishnan S, et al.
20 A mouse model for triple-negative breast cancer tumor-initiating cells (TNBC-TICs)
21 exhibits similar aggressive phenotype to the human disease. *BMC cancer.*
22 2012;12:120. PubMed PMID: 22452810. Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc3340297.
23 Epub 2012/03/29. eng.
- 24 19. Walker JA, Barlow JL, McKenzie AN. Innate lymphoid cells--how did we miss
25 them? *Nature reviews Immunology.* 2013 Feb;13(2):75-87. PubMed PMID:
26 23292121.
- 27 20. Kim S, Han S, Withers DR, Gaspal F, Bae J, Baik S, et al. CD117(+) CD3(-)
28 CD56(-) OX40Lhigh cells express IL-22 and display an LT_i phenotype in human
29 secondary lymphoid tissues. *Eur J Immunol.* 2011 Jun;41(6):1563-72. PubMed
30 PMID: 21469096.
- 31 21. Shields JD, Kourtis IC, Tomei AA, Roberts JM, Swartz MA. Induction of
32 lymphoidlike stroma and immune escape by tumors that express the chemokine
33 CCL21. *Science (New York, NY).* 2010 May 7;328(5979):749-52. PubMed PMID:
34 20339029. Epub 2010/03/27. eng.
- 35 22. Brendolan A, Caamano JH. Mesenchymal cell differentiation during lymph
36 node organogenesis. *Frontiers in immunology.* 2012;3:381. PubMed PMID:
37 23248630. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3522075.
- 38 23. Evans I, Kim MY. Involvement of lymphoid inducer cells in the development of
39 secondary and tertiary lymphoid structure. *BMB Rep.* 2009 Apr 30;42(4):189-93.
40 PubMed PMID: 19403040.
- 41 24. Bernardo ME, Locatelli F, Fibbe WE. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. *Annals of*
42 *the New York Academy of Sciences.* 2009;1176(1):101-17.
- 43 25. Monticelli LA, Sonnenberg GF, Abt MC, Alenghat T, Ziegler CG, Doering TA,
44 et al. Innate lymphoid cells promote lung-tissue homeostasis after infection with
45 influenza virus. *Nature immunology.* 2011 Nov;12(11):1045-54. PubMed PMID:
46 21946417. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3320042.
- 47 26. Mueller CG, Hess E. Emerging Functions of RANKL in Lymphoid Tissues.
48 *Frontiers in immunology.* 2012;3:261. PubMed PMID: 22969763. Pubmed Central
49 PMCID: Pmc3432452. Epub 2012/09/13. eng.

- 1 27. Sambandam Y, Sundaram K, Liu A, Kirkwood KL, Ries WL, Reddy SV.
2 CXCL13 activation of c-Myc induces RANK ligand expression in
3 stromal/preosteoblast cells in the oral squamous cell carcinoma tumor-bone
4 microenvironment. *Oncogene*. 2013 Jan 3;32(1):97-105. PubMed PMID: 22330139.
5 Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc3355224. Epub 2012/02/15. eng.
- 6 28. Palafox M, Ferrer I, Pellegrini P, Vila S, Hernandez-Ortega S, Urruticoechea
7 A, et al. RANK induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and stemness in human
8 mammary epithelial cells and promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis. *Cancer Res*.
9 2012 Jun 1;72(11):2879-88. PubMed PMID: 22496457.
- 10 29. Curtis C, Shah SP, Chin SF, Turashvili G, Rueda OM, Dunning MJ, et al. The
11 genomic and transcriptomic architecture of 2,000 breast tumours reveals novel
12 subgroups. *Nature*. 2012 Jun 21;486(7403):346-52. PubMed PMID: 22522925.
13 Pubmed Central PMCID: 3440846.
- 14 30. Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, et al.
15 Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*. 2000 Aug 17;406(6797):747-
16 52. PubMed PMID: 10963602.
- 17 31. Pages F, Galon J, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Tartour E, Sautes-Fridman C, Fridman
18 WH. Immune infiltration in human tumors: a prognostic factor that should not be
19 ignored. *Oncogene*. 2010 Feb 25;29(8):1093-102. PubMed PMID: 19946335. Epub
20 2009/12/01. eng.
- 21 32. Quail DF, Joyce JA. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and
22 metastasis. *Nat Med*. 2013 Nov;19(11):1423-37. PubMed PMID: 24202395.
- 23 33. Eisenring M, vom Berg J, Kristiansen G, Saller E, Becher B. IL-12 initiates
24 tumor rejection via lymphoid tissue-inducer cells bearing the natural cytotoxicity
25 receptor NKp46. *Nature immunology*. 2010 Nov;11(11):1030-8. PubMed PMID:
26 20935648. Epub 2010/10/12. eng.
- 27 34. Carrega P, Loiacono F, Di Carlo E, Scaramuccia A, Mora M, Conte R, et al.
28 NCR(+)ILC3 concentrate in human lung cancer and associate with intratumoral
29 lymphoid structures. *Nature communications*. 2015;6:8280. PubMed PMID:
30 26395069.
- 31 35. Mashino K, Sadanaga N, Yamaguchi H, Tanaka F, Ohta M, Shibuta K, et al.
32 Expression of chemokine receptor CCR7 is associated with lymph node metastasis
33 of gastric carcinoma. *Cancer research*. 2002 May 15;62(10):2937-41. PubMed
34 PMID: 12019175. Epub 2002/05/23. eng.
- 35 36. Muller A, Homey B, Soto H, Ge N, Catron D, Buchanan ME, et al.
36 Involvement of chemokine receptors in breast cancer metastasis. *Nature*. 2001 Mar
37 1;410(6824):50-6. PubMed PMID: 11242036. Epub 2001/03/10. eng.
- 38 37. Spaeth E, Klopp A, Dembinski J, Andreeff M, Marini F. Inflammation and
39 tumor microenvironments: defining the migratory itinerary of mesenchymal stem
40 cells. *Gene Ther*. 2008 May;15(10):730-8. PubMed PMID: 18401438.
- 41 38. Dvorak HF. Tumors: wounds that do not heal. Similarities between tumor
42 stroma generation and wound healing. *N Engl J Med*. 1986 Dec 25;315(26):1650-9.
43 PubMed PMID: 3537791.
- 44 39. Benezech C, White A, Mader E, Serre K, Parnell S, Pfeffer K, et al. Ontogeny
45 of stromal organizer cells during lymph node development. *Journal of immunology*
46 (Baltimore, Md : 1950). 2010 Apr 15;184(8):4521-30. PubMed PMID: 20237296.
47 Pubmed Central PMCID: Pmc2862734. Epub 2010/03/20. eng.
- 48 40. Biswas S, Sengupta S, Roy Chowdhury S, Jana S, Mandal G, Mandal PK, et
49 al. CXCL13-CXCR5 co-expression regulates epithelial to mesenchymal transition of
50 breast cancer cells during lymph node metastasis. *Breast cancer research and*

1 treatment. 2014 Jan;143(2):265-76. PubMed PMID: 24337540. Epub 2013/12/18.
2 eng.

3 41. Panse J, Friedrichs K, Marx A, Hildebrandt Y, Luetkens T, Barrels K, et al.
4 Chemokine CXCL13 is overexpressed in the tumour tissue and in the peripheral
5 blood of breast cancer patients. *Br J Cancer*. 2008 Sep 16;99(6):930-8. PubMed
6 PMID: 18781150. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2538749.

7 42. Razis E, Kalogeras KT, Kotoula V, Eleftheraki AG, Nikitas N, Kronenwett R, et
8 al. Improved outcome of high-risk early HER2 positive breast cancer with high
9 CXCL13-CXCR5 messenger RNA expression. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2012
10 Jun;12(3):183-93. PubMed PMID: 22607768.

11 43. Yau C, Esserman L, Moore DH, Waldman F, Sninsky J, Benz CC. A
12 multigene predictor of metastatic outcome in early stage hormone receptor-negative
13 and triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2010;12(5):R85. PubMed
14 PMID: 20946665. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3096978.

15 44. Sabatier R, Finetti P, Mamessier E, Raynaud S, Cervera N, Lambaudie E, et
16 al. Kinome expression profiling and prognosis of basal breast cancers. *Mol Cancer*.
17 2011;10:86. PubMed PMID: 21777462. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3156788.

18 45. DeNardo DG, Andreu P, Coussens LM. Interactions between lymphocytes
19 and myeloid cells regulate pro- versus anti-tumor immunity. *Cancer metastasis*
20 *reviews*. 2010 Jun;29(2):309-16. PubMed PMID: 20405169. Pubmed Central
21 PMCID: Pmc2865635. Epub 2010/04/21. eng.

22 46. Viola A, Sarukhan A, Bronte V, Molon B. The pros and cons of chemokines in
23 tumor immunology. *Trends in immunology*. 2012 Oct;33(10):496-504. PubMed
24 PMID: 22726608. Epub 2012/06/26. eng.

25 47. Gu-Trantien C, Loi S, Garaud S, Equeter C, Libin M, de Wind A, et al. CD4+
26 follicular helper T cell infiltration predicts breast cancer survival. *J Clin Invest*. 2013
27 Jun 17. PubMed PMID: 23778140. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3696556.

28 48. Loi S, Sirtaine N, Piette F, Salgado R, Viale G, Van Eenoo F, et al. Prognostic
29 and predictive value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in a phase III randomized
30 adjuvant breast cancer trial in node-positive breast cancer comparing the addition of
31 docetaxel to doxorubicin with doxorubicin-based chemotherapy: BIG 02-98. *Journal*
32 *of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*.
33 2013 Mar 1;31(7):860-7. PubMed PMID: 23341518.

34 49. Denkert C, Loibl S, Noske A, Roller M, Muller BM, Komor M, et al. Tumor-
35 associated lymphocytes as an independent predictor of response to neoadjuvant
36 chemotherapy in breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010 Jan 1;28(1):105-13. PubMed
37 PMID: 19917869.

38 50. Ono M, Tsuda H, Shimizu C, Yamamoto S, Shibata T, Yamamoto H, et al.
39 Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are correlated with response to neoadjuvant
40 chemotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast cancer research and*
41 *treatment*. 2012 Apr;132(3):793-805. PubMed PMID: 21562709.

42 51. Cyster JG. Chemokines and cell migration in secondary lymphoid organs.
43 *Science (New York, NY)*. 1999 Dec 10;286(5447):2098-102. PubMed PMID:
44 10617422. Epub 2000/01/05. eng.

45

46

47

1 **Figure Legends**

2 **Figure 1: CCL21 recruits NKp46⁺ILC3 to tumors in a model of TNBC**

3 **A:** Mice were inoculated subcutaneously with 10^6 4T1.2 cells on d0. Control and tumor-bearing mice
4 were culled on d10,12,14,18,20,24. FACS analysis for NKp46⁺ILC3, CD3⁺T and CD19⁺B cells in
5 tumors, DLN and NDLN (n=3/day). **B:** Absolute number of NKp46⁺ILC3 in DLN and NDLN and
6 cell counts/mg of tumor. Confocal micrographs of **(C)** primary tumor and **(D)** DLN in BALB/c mice.
7 Yellow arrows point to ILC3. Scale bars=15 μ m. Absolute number of CD3⁺T cells **(E)** and CD19⁺B
8 cells **(F)** in DLN and NDLN and cell counts/milligram of tumor. **G:** CCR7 and CXCR5 expression
9 by intratumoural ILC3, CD3⁺ and CD19⁺ cells. Levels of CCL21 **(H)** and CXCL13 **(I)** in tumors and
10 serum at indicated time points. (n \geq 3/time point). **J:** NKp46⁺ILC3/mg of tumor at d14 after tumor cell
11 implantation, treated with anti-CCL21, anti-CXCL13 or isotype control antibody (n \geq 3). Significance
12 determined by one-way ANOVA and data represent means \pm SEM

13

14 **Figure 2: CXCL13 is required for clustering of NKp46⁺ILC3 and MSC *in vitro* and *in vivo***

15 **A:** Time-lapse microscopy of sorted splenic ILC3 co-cultured with MSC. Scale bars: upper panel=
16 50 μ m; lower panel=20 μ m. **B:** Representative phase contrast (upper panels) and binary images (lower
17 panel) used for the quantification of cell clustering. The graph summarises the change in mean area of
18 the field occupied by cells. **C:** NKp46⁺ILC3 co-cultured with MSC transfected with siRNA targeting
19 CCL21, CXCL13 or control vector. **D:** i) MIW was surgically implanted on top of the developing
20 tumor. ii) Schematic representation of the experimental plan for multi-photon imaging of MSC-
21 NKp46⁺ILC3 cell interaction. **E:** Representative images (n=15 fields analyzed). Scale bar=10 μ m. **F:**
22 Mean distances between the centre of imaged MSCs and NKp46⁺ILC3 are shown. Significance was
23 determined using unpaired t-tests.

24

1 **Figure 3: CCL21, CXCL13 and ILCs promote metastasis of tumor cells to DLN**

2 Tumour-bearing mice were treated with either anti-CCL21, anti-CXCL13 or isotype control
3 antibodies. n=6 mice per treatment group. **A:** Tumour growth over time. **B:** Weight of inguinal DLN
4 from mice at d21. **C:** Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of DLN from tumour-bearing BALB/c mice at
5 d21 using anti-pancytokeratin (brown). **D:** Quantification of the total area of metastasis per mm² of
6 sectional area within LN at d21. **E:** IHC of DLN from tumour-bearing Rag1^{-/-} mice at d21 using anti-
7 pan-cytokeratin (brown). Orange arrows highlight the pan-cytokeratin⁺ tumour cells. **F:**
8 Quantification of the total number of pan-cytokeratin⁺ tumour cells/mm² of sectional area within LN
9 at d21 (n≥3 per group). **G:** FACS analysis for ILC in the DLN of isotype control and anti-CD90.2-
10 treated Rag1^{-/-} mice at d14. Gating as in Figure 1A. **H:** Pan-cytokeratin IHC (brown) of DLN of
11 tumour-bearing Rag1^{-/-} mice to assess tumour load in ILC-depleted (anti-CD90.2-treated) and non-
12 depleted (isotype control-treated) mice at d21 (bilateral tumors in 3 mice per treatment group (n=6 per
13 treatment group). The bar graphs show the total area of metastasis per mm² of sectional area within
14 LN. Scale Bar=100µm. Data represent means±SEM.

15 **Figure 4: CXCL13 induces RANK-RANK-L signaling**

16 **A:** Cell invasion assay with 4T1.2 cells (white bars) and NIH3T3 cells (black bars, non-invasive
17 control). **B:** Confocal micrograph showing cytoplasmic and membranous staining of RANK (red) in
18 4T1.2 cells. DAPI-stained nuclei are shown in blue. **C:** Supernatants from co-culture experiments of
19 4T1.2 cells and MSCs were analysed after 48h to determine RANKL level by ELISA. **D:** RANKL
20 expression in MSCs following stimulation by rCXCL13. Scale bar=50µm. **E:** RANKL concentration
21 in MSC culture supernatants after stimulation with the indicated concentrations of rCXCL13 or
22 rCCL21. Data represent means±SEM, paired t-test. **F:** Cell invasion assay, as described in **A**, with
23 4T1.2 stimulated with EGF and RANKL. *Note: data on Fig 4A and 4F is the data from the same*
24 *experiment.* **G:** RANKL serum concentrations were determined at the indicated time points after
25 tumour inoculation. (n=3 mice/timepoint). **H:** RANKL serum concentration at d14 in mice treated
26 with neutralizing antibodies as indicated. Data represent means±SEM, unpaired t-test. **I:** Tumour-

1 bearing mice treated with either anti-RANKL or isotype control antibodies. The change in tumor
2 volume with time after inoculation of 4T1.2 cells into the mammary fat pad is shown. **J**:
3 Quantification of the total area of metastasis/mm² of sectional area in DLN of tumour-bearing mice
4 treated with anti-RANKL or isotype control. Inset: representative IHC using anti-pancytokeratin
5 (brown) to assess tumor burden in the anti-RANKL-treated cohort. **K**: Absolute cell counts of
6 NKp46⁺ILC3/milligram of tumour and within DLN from tumour-bearing mice treated with anti-
7 RANKL or isotype control antibody until d21. n≥3 mice/treatment group. (One-way ANOVA. Data
8 represent means±SEM, unpaired t-test).

9 **Figure 5. RORγt⁺ILC3 and their associated chemokines in the human breast cancer TME.**

10 **A**: Hierarchical clustering of the expression of genes encoding lymphoid-associated chemokines and
11 receptors in the Guy's METABRIC data set (n=234). Columns represent patient samples, with
12 dendrogram coloured according to the top-level cut-off point (black/red). PAM50 intrinsic subtype
13 assignments are displayed below and association was determined using a two-tailed Fisher's exact
14 test. **B**: Significance of pair-wise gene expression correlations for genes encoding lymphoid-
15 associated chemokines and receptors. **C**: H&E staining and confocal micrographs of fresh frozen
16 section of a primary human breast cancer. RORγt⁺ILC3 are defined as CD3⁺CD127⁺RORγt⁺. Scale
17 bar=15μm. **D**: Comparison of gene expression profiles and presence of RORγt⁺ILC3. The heatmap
18 illustrates relative expression of genes encoding RORγt⁺ILC3-associated chemokines and receptors.
19 Columns (samples, n=59) are ordered by increasing expression score and rows by hierarchical
20 clustering. The ranks of ILC3 counts (cells/mm²) are depicted below, ordered from lowest to highest.
21 **E**: **i**) IHC analysis for CXCL13 and CCL21 in human breast tumour samples. **ii**) Associations
22 between stromal staining for CXCL13 or CCL21 and the presence/absence of RORγt⁺ILC3. The
23 association of these two cytokines and ILC3 was determined using Fisher's exact test.

24 **Figure 6: Association of RORγt⁺ILC3 and lymphatic invasion within the TME**

25 **A**: **i**) IHC staining with lymphatic marker, podoplanin (brown) in primary human breast cancer tissue,
26 cell nuclei are stained blue. Sections were examined for presence or absence of tumor cell invasion

1 into lymphatics (red arrow) Scale bar=100 μ m. **ii)** Lymphatic invasion is associated with the presence
2 of ROR γ t⁺ILC3. The association between numbers of ROR γ t⁺ILC3, CD3⁺T cells or
3 CD3⁺CD127⁺ROR γ t⁺ cells with lymphatic invasion was determined using Fisher's exact test. CD3
4 low was defined as <100cells/mm², CD3 high as >100cells/mm². **B:** Correlation between ILC3 count
5 and the presence of lymphatic metastasis. (Mann Whitney-U-Test, is shown above the boxplots).
6 Correlation between CCL21 (**C**) and CXCL13 (**D**) gene expression and lymphatic metastasis in the
7 METABRIC dataset. Median-centred gene expression values are shown (arbitrary units). **E:**
8 Prediction accuracy for LN burden amongst Basal/HER2-enriched tumours. Average validation
9 accuracy is shown (red diamonds). Baseline accuracy using assignment of all values to the largest
10 class is shown for comparison (grey). ROR γ t⁺ILC3/mm² achieves prediction accuracy of 84% using
11 median threshold of 11.6/mm².

12 **Figure 7:** In a model of triple negative breast cancer, we report on the CCL21-mediated recruitment
13 of ILC3 to tumors where they stimulate stromal cells to produce CXCL13. CXCL13 feeds back to
14 promote further interactions between ILC3 and stromal cells leading to production of RANKL, which
15 enhances tumor cell motility resulting in lymph node metastases.

16

17