



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.2217/fon-2016-0255](https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2016-0255)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Peek, M. C., Charalampoudis, P., Anninga, B., Baker, R., & Douek, M. (2017). Blue dye for identification of sentinel nodes in breast cancer and malignant melanoma: A systematic review and meta-Analysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Future Oncology*, 13(5), 455-467. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2016-0255>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Blue dye for identification of sentinel nodes in breast cancer and malignant**
2 **melanoma: a systematic review**

3 **Abstract**

4 The combined technique (radioisotope and blue dye) is the gold standard for sentinel lymph
5 node biopsy (SLNB) and there is wide variation in techniques and blue dyes used. We
6 performed a systematic review to assess the need for radioisotope and the optimal blue dye
7 for SLNB. A total of 21 studies were included. The SLNB identification rates are high with all
8 the commonly used blue dyes. Furthermore, methylene blue is superior to iso-sulphan blue
9 and Patent Blue V with respect to false negative rates. The combined technique remains the
10 most accurate and effective technique for SLNB. In order to standardise the SLNB technique,
11 comparative trials to determine the most effective blue dye and national guidelines are
12 required.

13 **Keywords**

14 Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB); Combined technique; Radioisotope; Blue dye;
15 Methylene blue; Patent Blue V; Iso-sulphan blue; Breast; Malignant melanoma.

1 Introduction

2 Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) has been used in breast cancer and malignant melanoma
3 for surgical lymph nodal staging since the early 90's [1]. The standard technique for
4 localising sentinel lymph nodes is the combined technique of radioisotope (^{99m}Tc) and blue
5 dye injected into the breast or near the melanoma site, however there is wide variation in
6 dyes and techniques used [2]. SLNB was first performed using a radioisotope injection in
7 1993 by Krag *et al.* [3]. Blue dye was first reported in 1992 when Morton *et al.* used iso-
8 sulpham blue (Mylan Institutional LLC, United States) and Patent Blue V (Guerbet, France) for
9 SLNB in 223 patients, obtaining an identification rate of 82% [1]. By using a combination of
10 both techniques a higher identification rate is achievable [4, 5]. In experienced hands high
11 identification rates of up to 96% are achieved with blue dye alone [6].

12 The use of radioisotopes creates logistical challenges for hospitals, including the handling
13 and disposal of radioisotopes, training of staff, and legislative requirements. These factors in
14 addition to lack of access to radioisotopes, have limited the uptake of SLNB worldwide.
15 Although the incidence of cancer is rising, the use of the SLNB procedure has reached a
16 plateau, with around 60% of an estimated 500,000 patients in developed countries having
17 access to the procedure. This figure falls to 5% in China and is even lower in the rest of the
18 world [7-9]. So for developed countries where radioisotopes are readily available, there is
19 interest in eliminating blue dye and using radioisotope on its own but current evidence
20 suggests SLN identification rate is significantly lower with radioisotope alone. Whereas
21 worldwide and in developing countries, where there is limited or no access to radioisotopes,
22 there is interest in using blue dye alone and in ascertaining which is the optimal blue dye to
23 use.

24 The most common blue dyes used in SLNB are iso-sulpham blue 1%, Patent Blue V sodium
25 2.5% and methylene blue 1% (*figure 1*); other dyes such as indigocarmine or indocyanine
26 have been used in the Far East due to lack of availability to other blue dyes [5, 10]. Iso-
27 sulpham blue, otherwise known as lymphazurin blue, is an isomer of Patent Blue V which has
28 two different constituents, a calcium and a sodium based salt, the latter being used in SLNB
29 [5]. Iso-sulpham blue has been applied as a colouring agent in textiles, cosmetics and the
30 paper and leather industry [11]. It binds to albumin and other local proteins and is absorbed

1 by the lymphatic system, which makes it suitable for SLNBs [12]. Adverse events such as
2 interference with the pulse oxygen oxymetry, blue tattooing of the skin, discoloration of
3 body fluids and anaphylactic and allergic reactions have been reported in the literature [11-
4 18]. A skin test can be performed to detect any hypersensitivity but it lacks sensitivity [15-
5 17].

6 Patent Blue V dye has been used for food colouring, cosmetics, textiles and in the paper
7 industry [19]. It is recommended by the Association of Breast Surgery for use in SLNB in the
8 United Kingdom [2, 20]. It shares similar mechanisms of action and adverse events with iso-
9 sulphane blue [19, 21, 22]. Patent Blue V is also known by the names alphazurine, sulfan blue,
10 sulphane blue, Patent blue violet and Patent blue pure [5].

11 Methylene blue (Akorn, United Kingdom; American Regent, United States; and Colonis
12 Pharma, United Kingdom) is a dark green crystalline compound, which becomes dark blue in
13 solution [5]. It has been commonly used in medicine in both diagnostic and therapeutic
14 procedures [5]. It also has been used in resuscitation to improve the outcomes in patients
15 with hypovolemic states [23]. Due to the wider availability and lower cost, many centres
16 have changed their practice to methylene blue [24-26].

17 Kim *et al.* [27] published a systematic review in which 69 trials were evaluated performing
18 SLNB followed by axillary lymph node clearance for early breast cancer and concluded that
19 the combined technique has a better identification rate compared to the radioisotope or
20 blue dye techniques alone. Furthermore, Valsecchi *et al.* [28] performed a meta-analysis of
21 71 studies which used SLNB for staging of malignant melanoma and found a mean
22 identification rate of 98.1% and a mean false negative rate of 12.5% supporting the use of
23 SLNB for staging patients with malignant melanoma. However, five-ten years later, there are
24 still no national or international guidelines pertaining to standards of using the combined
25 radioisotope and blue dye technique in SLNB.

26 We performed a systematic review to assess the need for radioisotope and the optimal blue
27 dye for SLNB in breast cancer and malignant melanoma. This systematic review was
28 performed to assess the need for radioisotope and the optimal blue dye for SLNB in breast
29 cancer and malignant melanoma. There is currently no standardised technique for SLNB, as
30 several blue dyes are used and some centres have stopped using radioisotope or blue dye

1 altogether, even though several systematic reviews have demonstrated the superiority of
2 the combined technique.

3 **Materials and Methods**

4 **Study selection**

5 A systematic review of the literature was performed using PubMed and Medline databases
6 to identify all studies published up to June 2015 evaluating the role of blue dyes for SLNB.
7 The MESH terms used were sentinel AND node AND cancer AND any combination of blue
8 dye, methylene blue (MBD), isosulph* blue (IBD), Patent Blue (PBD), indigocarmine (IDC),
9 sulphan blue, sulphane blue, patent violet or patent pure. We required reports to be in the
10 English language and the subjects to be human. To broaden the search the related articles
11 function was used. References of included articles were searched by hand to broaden the
12 search. The last search was conducted on June 26th, 2015.

13 **Inclusion criteria**

14 Studies were eligible if they met the following criteria: (1) studies performed on human
15 subjects with breast cancer or malignant melanoma, (2) studies including radioisotope in a
16 comparative arm (3) studies including blue dye in both comparative arms, (4) studies
17 describing the identification rate and/or (5) studies describing the complication rates. For
18 studies with overlapping study populations, only the most recent study was included.

19 **Exclusion criteria**

20 Studies were excluded if they failed to meet the inclusion criteria. Studies in which all
21 patients had SLNB with the same technique, studies with inconsistent injection site and/or
22 studies reporting on less than 50 patients were excluded. Studies using different types of
23 radioisotope, indocyanine green or magnetic dye were excluded as lymph nodes localised
24 with these technique cannot be identified solely by direct vision. Letters, editorials and case
25 reports were also excluded from the study.

26 **Data extraction**

1 Each study was evaluated for either inclusion or exclusion. One reviewer, (M.P.) extracted
2 data from all selected studies and a second reviewer (P.C.) verified the accuracy of the
3 extracted data. In case of a disagreement, the senior author (M.D.) made the final decision.

4 **Risk of bias in individual studies**

5 To determine the suitability of randomised controlled trials (RCTs), the “Risk of bias” tool, as
6 described in the Cochrane Handbook [29] was used. The quality of cohort studies was
7 assessed according to the guidelines of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
8 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement [30]. Six items of the amended STROBE
9 statement were considered relevant for quality evaluation. Studies with a score of less than
10 four were excluded. Two reviewers (M.P. and P.C.) independently performed the
11 assessment. In case of discordance, the senior author (M.D.) made the final decision.

12 **Statistical analysis**

13 All extracted data were tabulated, synthesized and presented as means and percentages.
14 Numerators and denominators were provided to address outcomes of included studies. For
15 continuous variables the mean \pm standard deviation (SD), median and range were extracted
16 and reported where available. The false negative rate was defined as the percentage of
17 involved nodes missed with respectively the combined or the blue dye technique alone.
18 Meta-analysis was performed using network analysis, with two random effects (correcting
19 for blue dye and radioisotope) using maximum likelihood via a purpose written FORTRAN
20 program. The probabilities were presented in probability \pm standard error (95% confidence
21 interval limits).

22 **Results**

23 **Selected studies**

24 A total of 1825 articles published up to June 2015 were identified from the literature search
25 (figure 2). Searching through references of included articles identified four further articles.
26 After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 1722 articles were excluded and 107 articles
27 underwent full text examination. A total of 22 articles fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

1 However, one study [31] reported on less than 50 patients and therefore was excluded,
2 resulting in a total of 21 studies [24, 32-51] deemed eligible for further analysis.

3 **Study characteristics**

4 A total of 21 studies with 6082 clinically node negative patients and 6133 SLNBs were
5 included in this systematic review. Eligible studies encompassed 11 prospective studies [24,
6 33, 35, 37-39, 46-48, 50, 51], six retrospective studies [32, 34, 36, 40, 42, 49], three
7 randomised studies [43-45] and one RCT [41]. The mean age was 55.7 ± 2.2 (17-87 years, 12
8 studies (2082 patients)) [24, 34, 35, 37, 39-41, 45, 46, 48, 50, 51]. SLNB was performed for
9 staging of the axilla in clinically node negative patients with breast cancer in 18 studies [24,
10 33-39, 41, 42, 44-51] and melanoma in three studies [32, 40, 43]. Studies on melanoma
11 included 441 patients with melanomas located in the head and neck, upper and lower
12 extremities and torso. Tumour characteristics and the type of surgery were not reported
13 [32, 40, 43]. In studies on breast cancer, 1615 patients (28.6%) were diagnosed with invasive
14 carcinoma, 92 patients (1.6%) with non-invasive carcinoma and the tumour type was not
15 reported in 3934 patients (69.7%). Breast conserving surgery was performed in 633 patients
16 (11.2%), mastectomy in 560 patients (9.9%) and the type of surgery was not reported in
17 4448 patients (78.9%). SLNBs in patients with breast recurrence were not included or not
18 reported in the studies.

19 All studies used the radioisotope technique in a proportion of patients. The radioisotope
20 was injected between 1-7 days before surgery in one study [43], on the day prior to surgery
21 in ten studies [33-35, 37, 40, 42, 45, 48-50], on the morning of surgery in five studies [39, 41,
22 46, 47, 51] and the time of injection was not reported in five studies (table 1) [24, 32, 36, 38,
23 44]. The radioisotope was injected peri-tumorally in 11 studies [24, 33, 35-38, 42, 45, 48, 49,
24 51], intra-dermally in four studies [32, 40, 43, 47], subdermally in three studies [34, 41, 50],
25 peri-areolarly in one study [39] and not reported in two studies [44, 46]. In 12 studies [32-
26 34, 37, 38, 40, 43, 46-50] between 0-1mCi of radioisotope was injected, more than 1mCi was
27 injected in four studies [35, 36, 45, 51], between 1-2ml in two studies [24, 42] and not
28 reported in three studies.

29 Patent Blue V was used in ten studies [33, 35, 38-41, 45, 48, 49, 51], methylene blue in six
30 studies [24, 34, 37, 39, 43, 50] and iso-sulphan blue in eight studies [24, 32, 36, 42-44, 46,

1 47]. The blue dye was injected on the morning of surgery in one study [49], just prior to
2 surgery in 18 studies [24, 32-35, 37-43, 45-48, 50, 51] and the time of injection was not
3 reported in two studies (table 1) [36, 44]. Blue dye was injected peri-tumorally in 12 studies
4 [24, 33, 35, 36, 38, 42, 45-49, 51], sub-areolarly in three studies [37, 39, 50], intra-dermally
5 in three studies [32, 40, 43], subdermally in two studies [34, 41] and was not reported in
6 one study [44]. Between 0-1ml of blue dye was injected in five studies [32, 36, 40, 41, 50], 1-
7 2 ml in six studies [35, 39, 43, 45, 48, 49], 2-5 ml in eight studies [24, 33, 37, 38, 42, 46, 47,
8 51], more than 5 ml in one study [34] and one study [44] did not report on the injected
9 dose.

10 Patent Blue V alone was compared to the combined technique in nine studies [33, 35, 38,
11 40, 41, 45, 48, 49, 51], iso-sulphan blue alone was compared to the combined technique in
12 six studies [32, 36, 42, 44, 46, 47] and methylene blue alone was compared to the combined
13 technique in three studies [34, 37, 50].

14 Methylene blue was compared to iso-sulphan blue in two studies [24, 43] and was
15 compared to Patent Blue V in one study [39]. All three studies [24, 39, 43] were performed
16 with radioisotope injected in all patients.

17 **Quality assessment**

18 Six criteria of the amended STROBE statement [30] were used to perform a quality
19 assessment of the included cohort studies (table 2a). All studies stated their study objectives
20 and all but one study [36] reported on clear inclusion criteria. The SLNB technique was
21 standardised in all but three studies [24, 32, 49] and standardised histopathology was not
22 used in five studies [33, 34, 42, 45, 51]. Patients were followed-up after surgery in two
23 studies [32, 40] and one study [40] reported on incomplete data which caused withdrawals
24 from the study. The overall STROBE score was 4.6 ± 0.5 (4.0-5.0). Three studies [35, 38, 44]
25 used previously published information which described all relevant information.

26 The Cochrane checklist [29] was used to determine the quality of the RCT [41] (table 2b).
27 Adequate sequence generation was present, patients were randomised and a power
28 analysis was performed. Concealed allocation was not applied and blinding was not possible

1 due to different injection procedures. Incomplete data were not addressed and selective or
2 other biases were not found. The study had a mean score of 5.0.

3 **Outcomes**

4 *SLNB identification rate*

5 The identification rate of the combined technique was $95.0\pm 5.7\%$ (82-100%) and with blue
6 dye alone $86.2\pm 10.0\%$ (65-98%; tables 3 and 4). The identification rate by the type of blue
7 dye was $83.2\pm 10.3\%$ (65-96%) [33, 35, 38, 40, 41, 45, 48, 49, 51] with Patent Blue V,
8 $92.7\pm 8.4\%$ (83-98%) [34, 37, 50] with methylene blue and $86.7\pm 9.3\%$ (73-98%) [32, 36, 42,
9 44, 46, 47] with iso-sulphan blue. Combining blue dye with radioisotope showed an
10 identification rate of $94.7\pm 5.6\%$ (83-100%) [33, 35, 38-41, 45, 48, 49, 51] with Patent Blue V,
11 $97.7\pm 2.3\%$ (94-100%) [24, 34, 37, 39, 43, 50] with methylene blue and $93.4\pm 7.0\%$ (82-100%)
12 [24, 32, 36, 42-44, 46, 47] with iso-sulphan blue.

13 Setting the random effects to zero, it appears that the blue dyes differ in probability of
14 identifying a node, with methylene blue having a greater probability than Patent Blue V
15 ($p=0.0122$). Including random effects for the radioisotope and the blue dye shows that there
16 is no evidence that the three blue dyes differ in probability of identifying a node, with
17 probabilities (\pm standard error (95% confidence interval)) of 0.945 ± 0.0059 (0.933, 0.956),
18 0.946 ± 0.0082 (0.929, 0.961) and 0.942 ± 0.0082 (0.925, 0.958) for respectively iso-sulphan
19 blue, methylene blue and Patent Blue V. The mean probability that the radioisotope will
20 detect nodes which have not been detected by blue dye is 0.610 ± 0.0352 (0.546, 0.684).

21 The identification rate when using blue dye alone was $85.3\%\pm 10.2\%$ in the breast studies
22 and $90.0\%\pm 0.0\%$ in the melanoma studies. Adding radioisotope gives identification rates of
23 $94.2\%\pm 5.7\%$ and $99.0\%\pm 2.0\%$ respectively. An additional term was added to the log-odds
24 ratio to determine if a melanoma study caused any difference in the identification rate and
25 this showed no significance ($p=0.55$). Hence we performed analysis on both breast and
26 melanoma studies together.

27 *Lymph node retrieval rate per patient*

1 Mean lymph node retrieval rate per patient was 1.8 ± 0.3 nodes (1.3-2.5 nodes) for the
2 combined technique whereas for blue dye alone it was 1.6 ± 0.3 nodes (1.1-2.1 nodes; table
3 3). By type of blue dye, mean lymph node retrieval rate per patient was 1.5 ± 0.3 nodes (1.1-
4 1.8 nodes) [33, 35, 40, 41, 48, 49] with Patent Blue V, 1.7 ± 0.2 nodes (1.5-1.9 nodes) [34, 37,
5 50] with methylene blue and 1.8 ± 0.4 nodes (1.4-2.1 nodes) [32, 42, 46] with iso-sulphan
6 blue. When combined with radioisotope the mean lymph node retrieval rate per patient
7 was 1.7 ± 0.3 nodes (1.3-2.1 nodes) [33, 35, 40, 41, 48, 49] with Patent Blue V, 1.9 ± 0.4 nodes
8 (1.6-2.5 nodes) [24, 34, 37, 50] with methylene blue and 1.8 ± 0.3 nodes (1.4-2.0 nodes) [24,
9 32, 42, 46] with iso-sulphan blue.

10 The node retrieval rates were 1.62 ± 0.3 nodes for the breast studies and 1.40 ± 0.0 with the
11 melanoma studies with blue dye alone and 1.78 ± 0.34 nodes versus 1.65 ± 0.35 nodes with
12 blue dye and radioisotope, respectively.

13 *False negative rate*

14 The mean false negative rate (missed involved nodes not detected during SLNB but with
15 axillary node clearance) of the blue dye alone technique was $11.5\pm 7.4\%$ (0-23%) [33-37, 41,
16 42, 44, 45, 48-50]. For the combined technique the mean false negative rate was $7.5\pm 8.7\%$
17 (0-33%) [33-37, 40-42, 44, 45, 48-50]. Looking at the blue dyes separately, the mean false
18 negative rate for Patent Blue V was $9.9\pm 8.4\%$ (4-23%) [33, 35, 41, 45, 48, 49], methylene
19 blue $6.4\pm 8.2\%$ (4-16%) [34, 37, 50] and iso-sulphan blue $13.3\pm 2.0\%$ (11-15%) [36, 42, 44].

20 With random effects it is seen that the probabilities of a false negative for iso-sulphan blue
21 and Patent Blue V differ significantly from methylene blue. Hence, methylene blue has
22 significantly fewer false negative nodes than either of the other blue dyes, with probabilities
23 of 0.076 ± 0.022 (0.026, 0.113), 0.027 ± 0.009 (0.011, 0.046) and 0.055 ± 0.016 (0.021, 0.084)
24 for respectively iso-sulphan blue, methylene blue and Patent Blue V. The mean probability
25 for a false negative node with radioisotope is 0.524 ± 0.114 (0.179, 0.740).

26 The blue dyes alone have probabilities of 0.146 ± 0.015 (0.119, 0.018), 0.0523 ± 0.014 (0.0304,
27 0.0829) and 0.106 ± 0.024 (0.067, 0.159), respectively.

28 Breast studies using blue dye only had a false negative rate of $11.5\pm 7.4\%$. No studies with
29 melanoma reported false negative rates for blue dye alone. With addition of radioisotope

1 the false negative rate was $5.4\pm 4.0\%$ for breast and $33\pm 0.0\%$ for melanoma. No
2 difference was seen between breast and melanoma studies due to a lack of information on
3 false negative rates in melanoma studies.

4 *Histopathology*

5 Histopathologic characteristics are shown in table 3 and 4. A total of 4093 patients (67.3%)
6 had normal SLNs, 1698 patients (27.9%) involved SLNs (micro- or macro-metastases) and for
7 291 patients (4.8%) nodal involvement was not reported. The proportion of macro- and
8 micro-metastases was not reported in almost all articles.

9 Out of the 1698 patients with involved nodes, 555/2433 patients (22.8%) treated with iso-
10 sulpham blue, 192/726 patients (26.4%) with methylene blue and 831/2322 patients (35.8%)
11 with Patent Blue V had involved nodes. In one comparative study [39] no separation was
12 made for involved nodes between the two blue dye groups.

13 *Adverse event and recurrence rates*

14 Adverse events were documented in five studies [34, 38-40, 43]. Three studies [34, 40, 43]
15 reported no adverse events and two studies [38, 39] reported allergic reactions in 0.2%
16 (3/1824, Patent Blue V, peri-tumorally injection of 2-5 ml), local inflammation at the
17 injection site in 0.3% (6/1824, five methylene blue and one Patent Blue V, sub-areolar
18 injection of 1-2ml) and skin discoloration in 3.2% (59/1824, 22 methylene blue and 37
19 Patent Blue V, sub-areolar injection of 1-2ml).

20 Tumour recurrence was documented in three studies [32, 40, 49] of which two studies [32,
21 49] did not find any recurrence and one study [40] reported on recurrence in 1.4%
22 (9/644).

23 **Discussion**

24 The combined technique has a high mean identification rate of $95.0\pm 5.7\%$, a lymph node
25 retrieval rate of 1.8 ± 0.3 nodes per patient and a false negative rate of $7.5\pm 8.7\%$. It should be
26 used by centres with access to radioisotopes as standard of care. For blue dye alone, the
27 mean identification rate was $86.2\pm 10.0\%$, lymph node retrieval rate was 1.6 ± 0.3 nodes per
28 patient and false negative rate was $11.5\pm 7.4\%$. Blue dye alone is an inferior technique to the

1 combined technique and the blue dye technology still has a long way to go to be perfected.
2 This can be done by improving the type of blue dye and by further identifying the anatomy
3 of the lymph nodes [52].

4 Several articles reported a learning curve associated with using blue dye for SLNB [33, 35,
5 37, 41, 46, 47]. The more recent published literature does not report on the learning curve
6 or correct for it, which would explain the observed differences in identification and false
7 negative rates. Also, not all studies performed lymph node clearance, which would enable a
8 more accurate assessment of false negative rate.

9 The outcomes also differed with type of blue dye used. The identification rates were highest
10 with methylene blue ($92.7\pm 8.4\%$) and lowest with Patent Blue V ($83.2\pm 10.3\%$); lymph node
11 retrieval rates were lowest with Patent Blue V (1.5 ± 0.3) and highest with iso-sulphan blue
12 (1.8 ± 0.4); false negative rates were lowest with methylene blue ($6.4\pm 8.2\%$) and highest with
13 iso-sulphan blue ($13.3\pm 2.0\%$). The diversity in identification rates for the different blue dyes
14 could also be attributed partially to differences in surgeons' learning curve, as this was not
15 reported in the included studies.

16 Methylene blue had the highest identification rates and lowest false negative rates
17 suggesting that it may be superior to the other blue dyes. Statistical analysis confirmed that
18 methylene blue is superior to the other blue dyes with respects to false negative rates. For
19 the identification rates, it appeared that methylene blue was superior as well, however,
20 after adding two random effects it was shown that there was no significant difference
21 between the blue dyes in terms of identification rates. Only three studies (670 patients)
22 compared different blue dyes and larger studies are required in order to standardise the
23 SLNB technique. This is particularly important from a clinical perspective (avoidance of wide
24 variations in SLNB technique) and for future trials comparing novel tracers against the
25 combined technique.

26 The ideal blue dye would be the dye with the highest identification rate but also with the
27 lowest adverse event rate. This is predominantly important in large breast cancer centres
28 where even a low incidence of anaphylaxis could significantly impact on practice. In remote
29 centres or satellite day-surgery centres, a small but significant risk of anaphylaxis is an
30 important issue. The incidence and severity of adverse events following injection were

1 under reported and only described in five out of 21 studies (1824 patients). The allergic
2 reaction rate of 0.2% (3/1824) is lower than the 1% rate reported by both the ALMANAC
3 trial and NEW START [10, 53]. Tattooing of the skin is rarely reported suggesting that this is
4 not of particular concern to patients or clinicians. There is insufficient evidence to compare
5 the incidence of allergic reactions between the different blue dyes.

6 There is wide variation in dyes and techniques used for SLNB [2]. National guidelines for
7 SLNB are recommended in order to ensure correct documentation of technique and
8 reporting of adverse events. Furthermore it would resolve the current wide variation in blue
9 dyes used. Patent Blue V is currently licensed as a medical product in France. Irrespectively,
10 it is the most common blue dye used for SLNB in some countries, for instance in the UK. Iso-
11 sulpham blue is used in North America and less often in Europe as it is unlicensed.
12 Methylene blue dye is CE-marked as an injectable device with one the indications for use
13 being visualisation of sentinel lymph nodes (Colonis Pharma Ltd, UK). Despite this, it is not
14 often used for SLNB in the UK. Furthermore in a cost effectiveness performed by Gold *et al.*
15 [54] it was shown that methylene blue is much more cost effective compared to iso-sulphan
16 blue and the costs are also lower compared to Patent Blue V. This is potentially important in
17 developing were lack of access to radioisotope prevents introduction to SLNB [7].

18 The current combined technique has a mean SLN identification rate of 97% in breast cancer
19 and 98.1% in malignant melanoma [10, 28, 53]. Hence, finding a non-inferior surrogate for
20 the combined technique remains challenging. Techniques using microbubbles and magnetic
21 nanoparticles are currently under investigation, with a view to overcome the drawbacks
22 portended by the radioisotope use and to make the technique more widely available.

23 **Conclusion**

24 The SLNB identification rates are high with all the commonly used blue dyes. Furthermore,
25 methylene blue is superior to iso-sulphan blue and Patent Blue V with respect to false
26 negative rates. The combined technique remains the most accurate and effective surgical
27 technique for SLNB and radioisotope should continue to be used together with blue dye. In
28 order to standardise the SLNB technique, comparative trials are required to determine the
29 most effective blue dye for SLNB. National guidelines for SLNB are required in order to
30 ensure documentation of technique and reporting of adverse events.

1

2

1 **Future perspective**

2 In the future, SLNB may not be offered routinely as currently over 70% of breast cancer
3 patients are found to be node negative. Until there are significant improvements in axillary
4 imaging, SLNB will continue to be used routinely for staging early breast cancer. It is
5 important that the SLNB technique is standardised and this requires national or
6 international guidelines. This should also include the minimum dataset required for
7 appropriate operative documentation to enable subsequent assessment of outcome and for
8 auditing purposes. The combined technique remains the most accurate and effective
9 surgical technique for SLNB and radioisotope should continue to be used together with blue
10 dye. Any future novel SLNB technique should be evaluated against the combined technique
11 within a randomised controlled trial. The type of blue dye will need be standardised and this
12 will depend on the most readily available blue dye used at the participating sites.

13

14 **Executive Summary**

15 Introduction

- 16 • The combined technique is the gold standard for sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB),
17 however there is wide variation in techniques and blue dyes used.
- 18 • A systematic review was performed to assess the need for radioisotope and the optimal
19 blue dye for SLNB.

20 Methods

- 21 • We identified all studies published up to June 2015, evaluating the role of blue dyes for
22 SLNB in breast cancer and malignant melanoma.
- 23 • Studies were considered eligible if they compared a SLNB technique which included blue
24 dye and reported on the identification and/or complication rates.

25 Results

- 26 • A total of 21 studies were included using Patent Blue V in ten studies, methylene blue in
27 six studies and iso-sulphan blue in eight studies.
- 28 • The combined and blue dye alone techniques had mean identification rates of
29 $95.0\pm 5.7\%$ and $86.2\pm 10.0\%$. The identification rates of iso-sulphan blue, methylene blue
30 and Patent Blue V alone were $86.7\pm 9.3\%$, $92.7\pm 8.4\%$ and $83.2\pm 10.3\%$.

- 1 • Lymph node retrieval rates of iso-sulphan blue, methylene blue and Patent Blue V alone
2 were 1.8 ± 0.4 , 1.7 ± 0.2 and 1.5 ± 0.3 nodes and false negative rates were $13.3 \pm 2.0\%$,
3 $6.4 \pm 8.2\%$ and $9.9 \pm 8.4\%$.

4 Discussion

- 5 • The blue dye alone technique is inferior to the combined technique.
6 • Standardisation of procedures is important for future trials comparing novel tracers like
7 microbubbles and magnetic nanoparticles, against the combined technique.
8 • There is insufficient evidence to compare the incidence of allergic reactions between the
9 different blue dyes.

10 Conclusions

- 11 • The SLNB identification rate is high with all the commonly used blue dyes but higher
12 with the combined technique. Methylene blue is superior to Patent Blue V and iso-
13 sulphan blue with respect to false negative rates.
14 • In order to standardise the SLNB technique, comparative trials to determine the most
15 effective blue dye and national guidelines are required.

16

1 References

- 2 1. Morton DL, Wen DR, Wong JH *et al*. Technical details of intraoperative lymphatic mapping
3 for early stage melanoma. *Arch Surg* 127(4), 392-399 (1992).
- 4 2. Surgery AOB. Use of Blue dye for SLNB 2009. *Association of Breast Surgery Guidelines* 1-3
5 (2012).
- 6 3. Krag DN, Weaver DL, Alex JC, Fairbank JT. Surgical resection and radiolocalization of the
7 sentinel lymph node in breast cancer using a gamma probe. *Surg Oncol* 2(6), 335-339;
8 discussion 340 (1993).
- 9 4. Derossis AM, Fey J, Yeung H *et al*. A trend analysis of the relative value of blue dye and
10 isotope localization in 2,000 consecutive cases of sentinel node biopsy for breast cancer. *J*
11 *Am Coll Surg* 193(5), 473-478 (2001).
- 12 5. Masannat Y, Shenoy H, Speirs V, Hanby A, Horgan K. Properties and characteristics of the
13 dyes injected to assist axillary sentinel node localization in breast surgery. *Eur J Surg Oncol*
14 32(4), 381-384 (2006).
- 15 6. Giuliano AE, Kirgan DM, Guenther JM, Morton DL. Lymphatic mapping and sentinel
16 lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. *Ann Surg* 220(3), 391-398; discussion 398-401 (1994).
- 17 7. Rescigno J, Zampell JC, Axelrod D. Patterns of axillary surgical care for breast cancer in the
18 era of sentinel lymph node biopsy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 16(3), 687-696 (2009).
- 19 8. Leong SP, Shen ZZ, Liu TJ *et al*. Is breast cancer the same disease in Asian and Western
20 countries? *World J Surg* 34(10), 2308-2324 (2010).
- 21 9. Ahmed M, Purushotham AD, Douek M. Novel techniques for sentinel lymph node biopsy in
22 breast cancer: a systematic review. *Lancet Oncol* 15(8), e351-362 (2014).
- 23 10. Barthelmes L, Goyal A, Newcombe RG, Mcneill F, Mansel RE. Adverse reactions to patent
24 blue V dye – The NEW START and ALMANAC experience. *European Journal of Surgical*
25 *Oncology (EJSO)* 36(4), 399-403 (2010).
- 26 11. Cimmino VM, Brown AC, Szocik JF *et al*. Allergic reactions to isosulfan blue during sentinel
27 node biopsy--a common event. *Surgery* 130(3), 439-442 (2001).
- 28 12. Albo D, Wayne JD, Hunt KK *et al*. Anaphylactic reactions to isosulfan blue dye during sentinel
29 lymph node biopsy for breast cancer. *Am J Surg* 182(4), 393-398 (2001).
- 30 13. Coleman RL, Whitten CW, O'boyle J, Sidhu B. Unexplained decrease in measured oxygen
31 saturation by pulse oximetry following injection of Lymphazurin 1% (isosulfan blue) during a
32 lymphatic mapping procedure. *J Surg Oncol* 70(2), 126-129 (1999).
- 33 14. Momeni R, Ariyan S. Pulse oximetry declines due to intradermal isosulfan blue dye: a
34 controlled prospective study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 11(4), 434-437 (2004).
- 35 15. Laurie SA, Khan DA, Gruchalla RS, Peters G. Anaphylaxis to isosulfan blue. *Ann Allergy*
36 *Asthma Immunol* 88(1), 64-66 (2002).
- 37 16. Lyew MA, Gamblin TC, Ayoub M. Systemic anaphylaxis associated with intramammary
38 isosulfan blue injection used for sentinel node detection under general anesthesia.
39 *Anesthesiology* 93(4), 1145-1146 (2000).
- 40 17. Sprung J, Tully MJ, Ziser A. Anaphylactic reactions to isosulfan blue dye during sentinel node
41 lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. *Anesth Analg* 96(4), 1051-1053, table of contents
42 (2003).
- 43 18. Sadiq TS, Burns WW, 3rd, Taber DJ, Damitz L, Ollila DW. Blue urticaria: a previously
44 unreported adverse event associated with isosulfan blue. *Arch Surg* 136(12), 1433-1435
45 (2001).
- 46 19. Wohrl S, Focke M, Hinterhuber G, Stingl G, Binder M. Near-fatal anaphylaxis to patent blue
47 V. *Br J Dermatol* 150(5), 1037-1038 (2004).
- 48 20. Tsopelas C, Sutton R. Why certain dyes are useful for localizing the sentinel lymph node. *J*
49 *Nucl Med* 43(10), 1377-1382 (2002).

- 1 21. Govaert GA, Oostenbroek RJ, Plaisier PW. Prolonged skin staining after intradermal use of
2 patent blue in sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 31(4), 373-375
3 (2005).
- 4 22. Mullan MH, Deacock SJ, Quiney NF, Kissin MW. Anaphylaxis to patent blue dye during
5 sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 27(2), 218-219 (2001).
- 6 23. Ghiassi S, Sun YS, Kim VB *et al.* Methylene blue enhancement of resuscitation after
7 refractory hemorrhagic shock. *J Trauma* 57(3), 515-521 (2004).
- 8 24. Blessing WD, Stolier AJ, Teng SC, Bolton JS, Fuhrman GM. A comparison of methylene blue
9 and lymphazurin in breast cancer sentinel node mapping. *Am J Surg* 184(4), 341-345 (2002).
- 10 25. Eldrageely K, Vargas MP, Khalkhali I *et al.* Sentinel lymph node mapping of breast cancer: a
11 case-control study of methylene blue tracer compared to isosulfan blue. *Am Surg* 70(10),
12 872-875 (2004).
- 13 26. Nour A. Efficacy of methylene blue dye in localization of sentinel lymph node in breast
14 cancer patients. *Breast J* 10(5), 388-391 (2004).
- 15 27. Kim T, Giuliano AE, Lyman GH. Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node biopsy in early-
16 stage breast carcinoma: a metaanalysis. *Cancer* 106(1), 4-16 (2006).
- 17 28. Valsecchi ME, Silbermins D, De Rosa N, Wong SL, Lyman GH. Lymphatic mapping and
18 sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with melanoma: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 29(11),
19 1479-1487 (2011).
- 20 29. The Nordic Cochrane Centre CC. Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version
21 5.0. . (2008).
- 22 30. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M *et al.* The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational
23 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.
24 *Lancet* 370(9596), 1453-1457 (2007).
- 25 31. Shpitzer T, Segal K, Schachter J *et al.* Sentinel node guided surgery for melanoma in the head
26 and neck region. *Melanoma Res* 14(4), 283-287 (2004).
- 27 32. Bostick P, Essner R, Sarantou T *et al.* Intraoperative lymphatic mapping for early-stage
28 melanoma of the head and neck. *Am J Surg* 174(5), 536-539 (1997).
- 29 33. Canavese G, Gipponi M, Catturich A *et al.* Sentinel lymph node mapping in early-stage breast
30 cancer: technical issues and results with vital blue dye mapping and radioguided surgery. *J*
31 *Surg Oncol* 74(1), 61-68 (2000).
- 32 34. Coskun G, Dogan L, Karaman N, Ozaslan C, Atalay C. Value of sentinel lymph node biopsy in
33 breast cancer patients with previous excisional biopsy. *J Breast Cancer* 15(1), 87-90 (2012).
- 34 35. Cserni G, Rajtar M, Boross G, Sinko M, Svebis M, Baltas B. Comparison of vital dye-guided
35 lymphatic mapping and dye plus gamma probe-guided sentinel node biopsy in breast cancer.
36 *World J Surg* 26(5), 592-597 (2002).
- 37 36. Degnim AC, Oh K, Cimmino VM *et al.* Is blue dye indicated for sentinel lymph node biopsy in
38 breast cancer patients with a positive lymphoscintigram? *Ann Surg Oncol* 12(9), 712-717
39 (2005).
- 40 37. D'eredita G, Giardina C, Guerrieri AM, Berardi T. A further validation of subareolar injection
41 technique for breast sentinel lymph node biopsy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 13(5), 701-707 (2006).
- 42 38. Elmadahm AA, Gill PG, Bochner M *et al.* Identification of the sentinel lymph node in the
43 SNAC-1 trial. *ANZ J Surg* 85(1-2), 58-63 (2015).
- 44 39. Fattahi AS, Tavassoli A, Rohbakhshfar O, Sadeghi R, Abdollahi A, Forghani MN. Can
45 methylene blue dye be used as an alternative to patent blue dye to find the sentinel lymph
46 node in breast cancer surgery? *J Res Med Sci* 19(10), 918-922 (2014).
- 47 40. Gipponi M, Di Somma C, Peressini A *et al.* Sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with Stage
48 I/II melanoma: Clinical experience and literature review. *J Surg Oncol* 85(3), 133-140 (2004).
- 49 41. Hung WK, Chan CM, Ying M, Chong SF, Mak KL, Yip AW. Randomized clinical trial comparing
50 blue dye with combined dye and isotope for sentinel lymph node biopsy in breast cancer. *Br*
51 *J Surg* 92(12), 1494-1497 (2005).

- 1 42. Ikeda T, Masamura S, Fujii H *et al.* Sentinel lymph node biopsy using tin colloid RI and blue
2 dye method. *Breast Cancer* 7(4), 284-286 (2000).
- 3 43. Liu Y, Truini C, Ariyan S. A randomized study comparing the effectiveness of methylene blue
4 dye with lymphazurin blue dye in sentinel lymph node biopsy for the treatment of cutaneous
5 melanoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 15(9), 2412-2417 (2008).
- 6 44. Mamounas EP, Brown A, Anderson S *et al.* Sentinel node biopsy after neoadjuvant
7 chemotherapy in breast cancer: results from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel
8 Project Protocol B-27. *J Clin Oncol* 23(12), 2694-2702 (2005).
- 9 45. Meyer-Rochow GY, Martin RC, Harman CR. Sentinel node biopsy in breast cancer: validation
10 study and comparison of blue dye alone with triple modality localization. *ANZ J Surg* 73(10),
11 815-818 (2003).
- 12 46. Morrow M, Rademaker AW, Bethke KP *et al.* Learning sentinel node biopsy: results of a
13 prospective randomized trial of two techniques. *Surgery* 126(4), 714-720; discussion 720-712
14 (1999).
- 15 47. Nathanson SD, Grogan JK, Debruyne D, Kapke A, Karvelis K. Breast cancer sentinel lymph node
16 identification rates: the influence of radiocolloid mapping, case volume, and the place of the
17 procedure. *Ann Surg Oncol* 14(5), 1629-1637 (2007).
- 18 48. Radovanovic Z, Golubovic A, Plzak A, Stojiljkovic B, Radovanovic D. Blue dye versus combined
19 blue dye-radioactive tracer technique in detection of sentinel lymph node in breast cancer.
20 *Eur J Surg Oncol* 30(9), 913-917 (2004).
- 21 49. Syme DB, Collins JP, Mann GB. Comparison of blue dye and isotope with blue dye alone in
22 breast sentinel node biopsy. *ANZ J Surg* 75(9), 817-821 (2005).
- 23 50. Varghese P, Mostafa A, Abdel-Rahman AT *et al.* Methylene blue dye versus combined dye-
24 radioactive tracer technique for sentinel lymph node localisation in early breast cancer. *Eur J*
25 *Surg Oncol* 33(2), 147-152 (2007).
- 26 51. Noguchi M, Bando E, Tsugawa K *et al.* Staging efficacy of breast cancer with sentinel
27 lymphadenectomy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 57(2), 221-229 (1999).
- 28 52. Wang M, Zhou W, Zhao Y *et al.* A novel finding of sentinel lymphatic channels in early stage
29 breast cancer patients: which may influence detection rate and false-negative rate of
30 sentinel lymph node biopsy. *PLoS One* 7(12), e51226 (2012).
- 31 53. Mansel RE, Fallowfield L, Kissin M *et al.* Randomized Multicenter Trial of Sentinel Node
32 Biopsy Versus Standard Axillary Treatment in Operable Breast Cancer: The ALMANAC Trial.
33 *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 98(9), 599-609 (2006).
- 34 54. Gold H, Do H, Osborne M. Cost-effectiveness of isosulfan blue vs. methylene blue dye in
35 sentinel node biopsy. Presented at: *ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings*. 2005.

36

37

1 **Reference annotations**

- 2 - Ref 2*: Guidance which are of interest as is states the different types of blue dyes
3 used for SLNB.
- 4 - Ref 24**: A comparative trial, as recommended by our study, comparing methylene
5 blue with iso-sulphan blue for SLNB in patients with breast cancer.
- 6 - Ref 27*: A systematic review in which 69 trials were evaluated performing SLNB
7 followed by axillary lymph node clearance for early breast cancer.
- 8 - Ref 28*: A meta-analysis of 71 studies which used SLNB for staging of malignant
9 melanoma.
- 10 - Ref 39**: A comparative trial, as recommended by our study, comparing methylene
11 blue with Patent Blue V for SLNB in patients with breast cancer.
- 12 - Ref 43**: A comparative trial, as recommended by our study, comparing methylene
13 blue with iso-sulphan blue for SLNB in patients with malignant melanoma.

14

15