



King's Research Portal

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Patel, J. P., Roberts, L., Patel, R., & Arya, R. (2017). Re: Pregnancy outcomes in women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves – a prospective descriptive population-based study using the United Kingdom Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS) data collection system. British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Article 10.1111/1471-0528.14914.

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- •Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 29. Dec. 2024

DOI: 10.1111/1471-0528.14914 BJOG Exchange www.bjog.org

Re: Pregnancy outcomes in women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves – a prospective descriptive population-based study using the United Kingdom Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS) data collection system

Sir,

We read with interest the study by Vause and colleagues¹ on the reported outcomes of pregnant women with mechanical heart valves *in situ* in the UK over a 2-year period. We agree with the accompanying editorials to this paper that the poor maternal and fetal outcomes are disheartening and that, given the low number of women with mechanical heart valves, their management should be undertaken in units where women can receive expert care.

In Vause's study, 41 women (71%) were managed with low molecular weight heparin throughout pregnancy, with the authors reporting large variation in the frequency of monitoring and the target levels aimed for. The gravid state has a significant impact on the pharmacokinetics of LMWH. It is well recognised that the glomerular filtration rate increases by ~50% by the end of the first trimester and is maintained throughout the remainder of pregnancy, and that the intravascular plasma volume increases by up to 60% during the gravid state. These changes will directly impact on the clearance and volume of distribution of LMWH due to their significant renal excretion and their distribution being largely confined to the intravascular space. Therefore, one would predict a significantly higher

clearance of LMWH during the first trimester of pregnancy, where clearance changes dominate; however, as changes in the volume of distribution begin to take hold, this will begin to rebalance over the course of pregnancy, and the elimination half-life of LMWH will begin to be prolonged, having been reduced earlier on in pregnancy.

We have developed a pharmacokinetic model for enoxaparin during the antenatal period.² This demonstrates that as pregnancy progresses, if a woman is given the same dose of LMWH throughout pregnancy, a gradual increase in the trough concentration is observed during pregnancy, illustrating the prolongation of the half-life of enoxaparin. Others have reported similar findings.^{3,4} Given this and those from outcomes papers like Vause and colleagues, there is a clear need to be aggressive with LMWH dosing during the first trimester to ensure therapeutic anticoagulation, and a careful evaluation of dosing in the third trimester to prevent bleeding. Although not routinely recommended by international societies, we are of the opinion that trough anti-Xa monitoring has a role in this setting. Trough anti-Xa levels will provide clinicians with an indication of how quickly the LMWH is being cleared and arguably is more informative than a peak level.

Vause and colleagues' paper highlights the real challenge clinicians are faced with when women with mechanical heart valves present at the clinic. The lack of consensus has led to wide variation on how women are managed. We call on international societies from the cardiology, obstetric and haematology disciplines to provide unified guidelines for this patient population, with clearer guidance on dosing and monitoring of LMWH, with real consideration of the role of trough anti-Xa monitoring, so this high-risk group receives optimal and standardised management, and informative evaluation studies can be performed.

References

- **1** Vause S, Clarke B, Tower CL, Hay CRM, Knight M, on behalf of UKOSS. Pregnancy outcomes in women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves a prospective descriptive population-based study using the United Kingdom Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS) data collection system. *BJOG* 2017;124:1411–9.
- **2** Patel JP, Green B, Patel RK, Marsh MS, Davies JG, Arya R. Population pharmacokinetics of enoxaparin during the antenatal period. *Circulation* 2013;128:1462–9.
- **3** Lebaudy C, Hulot JS, Amoura Z, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Serreau R, Ankri A, et al. Changes in enoxaparin pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and implications for antithrombotic therapeutic strategy. *Clin Pharm Therap* 2008;84:370–7.
- **4** Berresheim M, Wilkie J, Nerenberg KA, Ibrahim Q, Bungard TJ. A case series of LMWH use in pregnancy: should trough anti-Xa levels guide dosing? *Thromb Res* 2014;134:1234–40.

Jignesh P Patel,^{a,b} Lara N Roberts,^a Raj K Patel,^a & Roopen Arya^a

^aDepartment of Haematological Medicine, King's Thrombosis Centre, King's College Hospital Foundation NHS Trust, London, UK ^bInstitute of Pharmaceutical Science, King's College London, London, UK

Accepted 17 August 2017.

DOI: 10.1111/1471-0528.14914