



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1016/j.ica.2018.04.050

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Al-Jibori, S. A., Hameed, W. J., Al-Janabi, A. S., Basak-Modi, S., Wagner, C., & Hogarth, G. (2018). A comparative study of the coordination of saccharinate, thiosaccharinate and benzisothiozolinate ligands to cyclometalated [Pd(Me2NCH2C6H4- κ 2N,C)(μ -Cl)]2: Molecular structures of [Pd(Me2NCH2C6H4- κ 2N,C)(μ -X)]2 (X = sac, bit and tsac) and [Pd(Me2NCH2C6H4- κ 2N,C)Cl(ampyH- κ 1N)] (ampyH = 2-amino-3-methylpyridine). *INORGANICA CHIMICA ACTA*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2018.04.050

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Research paper

A comparative study of the coordination of saccharinate, thiosaccharinate and benzisothiozolinate ligands to cyclometalated $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 -\varkappa {}^2N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$: Molecular structures of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 -\varkappa {}^2N, C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, bit and tsac) and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 -\varkappa {}^2N, C)Cl(ampyH-\varkappa {}^1N)]$ (ampyH = 2-ami-no-3-methylpyridine)



PII:	S0020-1693(18)30053-7			
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2018.04.050			
Reference:	ICA 18241			
To appear in:	Inorganica Chimica Acta			
Received Date:	9 January 2018			
Revised Date:	22 March 2018			
Accepted Date:	24 April 2018			



Please cite this article as: S.A. Al-Jibori, W.J. Hameed, A.S. Al-Janabi, S. Basak-Modi, C. Wagner, G. Hogarth, A comparative study of the coordination of saccharinate, thiosaccharinate and benzisothiozolinate ligands to cyclometalated $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \varkappa^2 N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$: Molecular structures of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \varkappa^2 N, C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, bit and tsac) and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \varkappa^2 N, C)Cl(ampyH-\varkappa^1 N)]$ (ampyH = 2-amino-3-methylpyridine), *Inorganica Chimica Acta* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2018.04.050

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

A comparative study of the coordination of saccharinate, thiosaccharinate and benzisothiozolinate ligands to cyclometalated $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$: Molecular structures of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, bit and tsac) and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)Cl(ampyH-\kappa^1N)]$ (ampyH = 2-amino-3-methylpyridine)

Subhi A. Al-Jibori^a*, Wisam J. Hameed^a, Ahmed S. Al-Janabi^b, Sucharita Basak-Modi^c, Christoph Wagner^d and Graeme Hogarth^e*

^a Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq
 ^b Department of Chemistry, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq
 ^c Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H
 0AJ, UK

^dInstitut für Chemie, Martin-Luther-Universität, Halle-Wittenberg, Kurt-Mothes-Str. 2, D-06120 Halle, Germany

^e Department of Chemistry, King's College London, Britannia House, 7 Trinity Street, London SE1 IDB, UK

Abstract

Reactions of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ with two equivalents of sodium saccharinate (Nasac), thiosaccharin (Htsac) or sodium benzisothiozolinate (Nabit) results in the stepwise substitution of the bridging halides to form sequentially $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-X)]$ (X = sac, tsac) and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, tsac, bit). The molecular structures of all three disubstituted complexes are reported. In each the two metalated ligands bind in a chelate manner adopting a relative *anti* conformation, while the new ligands bridge the two palladium atoms adopting a relative *cis* conformation. The local conformation about each palladium differs with small ligand changes. Thus in the sac and bit complexes all nitrogens lie *trans* to one another, in the tsac complex they are *cis*. Conformational changes also lead to large differences in the non-bonded Pd...Pd distance which range over 0.5 Å. Treatment of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ with two equivalents of 2-amino-3-methylpyridine (ampyH) in the presence of NEt₃ affords mononuclear [PdCl(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)(ampyH-\kappa^1N)] as a result of "bridge-splitting", the

ampyH ligand binding through the pyridyl-nitrogen and lying approximately perpendicular to the PdCClN₂ plane as shown by a crystallographic study.

Keywords: dipalladium; cyclometalated; saccharinate; thiosaccharinate; benzisothiozolinate

1. Introduction

Saccharinate (sac) is a versatile poly-functional ligand, shown to adopt a variety of coordination modes, and consequently its coordination chemistry has been widely studied [1]. The coordination chemistry of thiosaccharinate has also received recent attention [2-18], but that of benzisothiozolinate (bit) remains virtually unexplored [19-20] (Chart 1). With this in mind we recently reported a comparative study of the reactions of each of these ligands with *trans*-[PdCl₂(H₂NBz)₂] [21]. The expected outcome of this was that in each case both chlorides could be replaced by the new ligands (and indeed even addition of one equivalent of ligand gave the disubstituted product), the unexpected outcome was the isolation of three different product types. With sodium saccharinate, *trans*-[Pd(N-sac)₂(H₂NBz)₂] resulted in which the sac ligands are N-bound and while a similar N-bound coordination was observed with sodium benzisothiazolinate, substitution also resulted in a relative rearrangement of the two amine ligands leading to formation of *cis*-[Pd(N-bit)₂(H₂NBz)₂]. In contrast, with sodium thiosaccharinate the new ligands adopted an S-bound coordination mode affording *trans*-[Pd(S-tsac)₂(H₂NBz)₂] [21].



Chart 1. Ligands used in this work

Palladium complexes find widespread applications in homogeneous catalysis with those containing cyclic metalated chelating ligands (termed palladacycles) being particularly prevalent [**22-26**]. An early example of such a complex is binuclear [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄-

 $\kappa^2 N, C$ (µ-Cl)]₂ (1) prepared by Cope and Friedrich in 1968 [27] and subsequently widely studied [28-36]. Classic reactivity patterns of 1 include so-called "bridge-splitting" upon addition of two equivalents of a monodentate neutral ligand (L) to yield mononuclear complexes of the type [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄- $\kappa^2 N, C$)Cl(L)], while with bidentate monocharged ligands (LX) either mononuclear [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄- $\kappa^2 N, C$)(LX- κ^2)] or binuclear $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - LX)]_2$ result [28]. Examples of the latter include reactions with acetate [37] and tetrafluoroacetate [29] which afford $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - O_2CMe)]_2$ and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-O_2CCF_3)]_2$ respectively, while related carboxamide complexes $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - HNCRO)]_2$ are also readily accessible [**30**]. Continuing our studies on the coordination chemistry of the closely related sac, tsac and bit ligands, we herein report the outcomes of their reactions with 1. We show that all three ligands behave in an analogous fashion to afford disubstituted [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄- $\kappa^2 N, C$)(μ -X]₂ (X = sac, tsac, bit) (2-4) as the final products, while for sac and tsac the intermediate mono-substituted complexes $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-X)]$ (X = sac, tsac) (5-6) are also accessible. All three disubstituted derivatives have been crystallographically characterised allowing metric parameters to be compared and significant structural differences to be identified. We also detail the synthesis and crystal structure of $[PdCl(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(amp_VH - \kappa^1 N)]$ (7), formed from the bridge-splitting reaction of 1 with 2-amino-3-methylpyridine (ampyH) (Chart 1).

2. Experimental

2.1. General methods, reagents and instrumentation

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity spectrometer using d⁶-DMSO as solvent. IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FT-IR 8400 spectrophotometer in the 400-4000 cm⁻¹ range as KBr discs and in the 200-600 cm⁻¹ as CsI discs Elemental analyses were carried out at Al Al-Bayt University, Jorden using a Euro vector EURO EA300 elemental analyser. Melting points measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Conductivity measurements were carried out on 10⁻³ molar solutions using a digital conductivity meter. Benzisothiazoline (Hbit), sodium saccharinate (Nasac) and 2-amino-3-methylpyridine (ampy) are commercial products used as received. [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄-

 $\kappa^2 N, C$)(μ -Cl)]₂ (1) [27], 2-acetylamino-3-methylpyridine (acmpy) [38], thiosaccharin (Htsac) [39] and sodium benzisothiozolinate (Nabit) [19] were prepared by literature methods.

2.2. Synthesis of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-sac)]_2$ (2)

A solution of Nasac (0.037 g, 0.18 mmol) in MeOH (3 cm³) was added to a solution of **1** (0.050 g, 0.09 mmol) in CHCl₃ (8 cm³). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, filtered and left to evaporate. The lemon-yellow solid which formed was filtered off, washed with water and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.062 g, 88%. *Anal.* Calc. for $C_{33}H_{32}N_4O_6Pd_2S_2$: C, 45.4, H, 3.8, N, 6.6. Found: C, 46.0, H, 4.0, N, 6.5. Molar conductivity (DMSO): 1.00 (Ω^{-1} mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR: 3051w, 2918w, 1623s, 1585s, 1452m, 1257s, 1172s, 536m cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 7.93 (dd, J 8.0, 2H, sac), 7.78 (d, J 7.6, 4H, sac), 7.56 (d, J 7.6, 2H, sac), 7.08 (d, J 3.6, 2H, Ph), 7.02 (bs, 2H, Ph), 6.85 (t, J 8.0, 2H, Ph), 6.61 (t, J 8.0, 2H, Ph), 4.06 (bs, 2H, CH₂), 3.95 (bs, 2H, CH₂), 2.70 (s, 12H, 4CH₃). Mp: 198-200°C.

2.3. Synthesis of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-tsac)]_2$ (3)

A solution of Htsac (0.035 g, 0.18 mmol) in MeOH (3 cm³) was added to a solution of **1** (0.051 g, 0.09 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 cm³). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h to afford an orange precipitate which was collected and dried. Recrystallisation from acetone/MeOH gave orange needle-like crystals. Yield 0.072 g, 91%. *Anal*. Calc. for $C_{35}H_{39}N_5O_5Pd_2S_4$: C, 44.8, H, 3.7, N, 6.4. Found: C, 44. 5, H, 3.8, N, 6.3. Molar conductivity (DMSO): 0.80 (Ω^{-1} mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR: 3040w, 2921w 1560s, 1452vs, 1317s, 1168m, 1018s, 815m, 439w cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 8.12-8.10 (m, 2H, tsac), 7.97-7.9 (m, 2H, tsac), 7.83 (dd, J 7.6, 1.2, 4H, tsac), 7.46 (dd, J 7.6, 1.2, 2H, Ph), 7.04 (dd, J 7.2, 1.2, 2H, Ph), 6.98 (dd, J 7.2, 1.2, 2H, Ph), 6.82 (dd, J 7.19, J 1.19, 2H, Ph), 4.12 (s, 4H, 2CH₂), 2.72 (s, 12H, 4CH₃). Mp: 244-246 °C.

2.4. Synthesis of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-bit)]_2$ (4)

To a solution of Nabit (0.068 g. 0.36 mmol) in MeOH was added **1** (0.10 g, 0.18 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 cm³). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then filtered. The filtrate was set aside to evaporate at room temperature and the resulting orange needles were

collected and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.126 g, 88%. *Anal.* Cal. For $C_{33}H_{33}Cl_3N_4O_2Pd_2S$: C, 49.2, H, 4.1, N, 7.2. Found: C, 49.4, H, 4.4, N, 7.0. Molar conductivity (DMSO): 0.80 (Ω^{-1} mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 7.78 (s, 4H, bit), 7.48 (s, 2H, bit), 7.28 (s, 2H, bit), 6.94 (s, 4H, Ph), 6.73 (s, 2H, Ph), 6.48 (d, J 8.0, 2H, Ph), 4.03 (s, 4H, 2CH₂), 2.72 (s, 12H, 4CH₃). IR: 3051w, 2910w, 1650s, 1533s, 1444m, 516m cm⁻¹. Mp: 222-224°C.

2.5. Synthesis of $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-sac)]$ (5)

A solution of Nasac (0.037 g, 0.18 mmol) in MeOH (3 cm³) was added to a solution of **1** (0.10 g, 0.18 mmol) in CHCl₃ (8 cm³). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The off-white solid formed was collected, washed with water and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.112 g, 88%. Anal. Calc. for C₂₅H₂₈ClN₃O₃Pd₂S: C, 43.0, H, 4.0, N, 6.0. Found: C, 42.5, H, 4.2, N, 5.9. Molar conductivity (DMSO): 1.20 (Ω^{-1} mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR: 1630vs, 1556vs, 1450m, 1257vs, 1168s, 527m, 364s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 7.97 (d, J 7.6, 2H, sac), 7.91 (d, J 7.6, H, sac), 7.81-7.75 (m, 2H, sac), 7.59 (d, J 7.6, 2H, sac), 7.03 (bs, 2H, Ar), 6.94 (bs, 2H, Ar), 6.85 (t, J 8.4, 2H, Ar), 6.61 (t, J 8.0, 2H, Ar), 4.03 (s, 4H, 2CH₂), 2.68 (s, 12H, 4CH₃). Mp: 226-228°C.

2.6. Synthesis of $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-tsac)]$ (6)

A solution of Htsac (0.035g, 0.18mmol) in MeOH was added to a solution of **1** (0.10g, 0.18 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 cm³). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and the resulting pale brown solid was collected and dried in vacuum. Yield 0.116 g, 90%. *Anal*. Calc. for $C_{25}H_{28}ClN_3O_2Pd_2S_2$: C, 42.0, H, 4.0, N, 5.9. Found: C, 42.2, H, 4.0, N, 5.7. Molar conductivity (DMSO): 0.30 (Ω^{-1} mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR: 3083w, 2916w, 1558m, 1453vs, 1317s, 1168s, 1020s, 815s, 449w, 362s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 8.12-8.10 (dd, J 7.2, 1.2 2H, Ph), 7.97-7.95 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.84-7.82 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.60 (d, J 7.2, 1H, Ph), 7.47 (d, J 7.2, 1H, Ph), 7.05- 6.91 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.82 (d, J 7.2, 1H, Ph), 4.02 (s, 4H, CH₂), 2.72 (s, 12H, 4CH₃). Mp: 202-204°C.

2.7. Synthesis of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)Cl(ampyH-\kappa^1N)]$ (7)

A solution of 2-amino-3-methylpyridine (ampyH) (0.54 g, 0.36 mmol) in MeOH was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ (0.10 g, 0.18 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 cm³). Three drops of NEt₃ were added

and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. It was then filtered and the filtrate was left to evaporate at room temperature to afford pale yellow needles. These were collected by filtration, washed with warm water and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.066 g, 91%. *Anal.* Calc. for $C_{15}H_{20}ClN_3Pd$: C, 46. 9, H, 5.5, N, 10.9. Found: C, 47.1, H, 5.5, N, 11.1. Molar conductivity (DMSO): 0.40 (Ω^{-1} mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR: 3296m, 3026w, 2918w, 1568s, 1515s, 518m, 352m cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 8.07 (d, J 6.0, 1H, Py), 7.43 (d, J 6.7, 1H, Py), 6.97-6.85 (m, 3H, 1Py+2 Ph), 6.61 (m, 2H, Ph), 5.78 (s, 2H, NH₂), 4.01 (dt, J 6.0, 2H, CH₂), 2.76 (t, J 6.7, 6H, 2CH₃), 2.34 (s, 3H, Py-CH₃). Mp: 196-198°C.

2.8. Molecular structure determinations

Crystals of suitable for X-ray crystallography were mounted on a glass fiber and all geometric and intensity data were taken from this sample using a STOE-IPDS diffractometer (2-4) or Oxford Diffraction Supernova with Atlas detector (7) with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7103$ Å, graphite monochromator). Absorption corrections were made using the IPDS software package [40]. All structures were solved by direct methods and refined using full-matrix least-square routines against F² with SHELXL-97 [41]. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in the models by calculating the positions (riding model) and refined with calculated isotropic displacement parameters. Illustrations were generated using DIAMOND 3.0 [42]. Crystallographic data is summarised in Table 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterisation of disubstituted $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, tsac, bit)

Addition of two equivalents of methanol solutions of Nasac, tsacH or Nabit to $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ in CHCl₃ at room temperature resulted in the slow (2-3 h) formation of disubstituted $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, tsac, bit) (2-4) in 88-91 % yields as air and moisture stable yellow-orange solids. Analytical and spectroscopic data were in full accord with the proposed formulations and these were confirmed in each case by X-ray crystallographic studies the results of which are summarised in Figures 1-3 and

their captions. Complex **3** crystallises with a molecule of dmf and **4** with a molecule of CHCl₃. In the latter there is a short contact between a chloride and an oxygen [Cl(1) \cdots O(1) 3.22 Å] but this does not influence the overall structure. While the three complexes are superficially similar closer inspection reveals that each has unique features and the saccharinate complexes **2** is markedly different from thiosaccharinate and benzisothiozolinate complexes **3** and **4** respectively.



Chart 2. Binding modes of ligands used in this work

In all three complexes the cyclometalated N,N,-dimethylbenzylamine ligands bind in a chelate manner the cyclometalated ligands adopting a relative *anti* configuration, which is common for complexes of this type [**29,30,43-46**]. The introduced ligands bridge the dipalladium centre, binding in all cases through nitrogen and either the carbon oxygen (sac and bit) or thiocarbonyl sulfur (tsac) (**Chart 2**) lying *cis* to one another (**Chart 3**).



Chart 3. Ligand coordination geometries of dipalladium complexes

While gross structure features of complexes **2-4** are very similar closer inspection shows that there are some important differences. In the sac derivative **2**, the nitrogen atoms of the sac ligands lie *trans* to those of the metalated ligands [N1-Pd-N2 $177.2(2)^{\circ}$], with oxygens lying

trans to carbon $[O1^{a}-Pd-C9\ 171.7(2)^{o}; {}^{a}: x, -y+1/2, -z]$. For the tsac complex **3**, sulphur lies *trans* to the nitrogen of the metalated ligand [N3-Pd1-S4 167.8(2)^o], while the nitrogen of the tsac ligands lie *trans* to the carbon of the metalated ligand C15-Pd1-N1 174.4(2)^o]. The bit complex 4 superficially closely resembles the structure of the sac derivative, the nitrogens all lie *trans* to one another [N1-Pd1-N3 175.7(2)^o], while oxygens lie *trans* to the carbons of the metalated ligands [O2-Pd1-C15 172.3(2)^o]. In the related N-S bonded pyridine-2-thionate complex $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - SC_5H_4N)]_2$ [**31**] the sulfurs also lie *trans* to the nitrogens of the metalated ligands [N-Pd-S 166.8(2) and 167.6(2)^o] [43]. In all three structures Pd-C and Pd-N bond lengths to the metalated ligands of 1.963(7)-1.993(7) and 2.075(5)-2.119(5) Å respectively are within the expected ranges. The palladium-nitrogen bond lengths to the introduced ligands cover a wider range; those in 2 [2.056(6) Å] and 4 [2.062(5) Å], being significantly shorter than that in **3** [2.162(6) Å] being related to the nature of the trans ligand. For comparison, Pd-N and Pd-S bond lengths to the pyridine-2-thionate ligands in $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - SC_5H_4N)]_2$ of 2.157(7)-2.184(7) and 2.295(2)-2.305(2) Å respectively, are much longer [43]. An interesting feature of 2-4 is the relative arrangement of the two square planar Pd(II) centers. Thus in 3 and 4 they come into relatively close contact as shown by Pd···Pd distances of 3.0629(7) and 3.0281(8) Å respectively, while in 2 they are much farther apart [Pd···Pd 3.5148(1) Å]. A similar observation is made between the pyridine-2-thionate complex $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - SC_5H_4N)]_2$ and its selenium analogue [43] which are characterised by Pd…Pd distances of 2.976(2) and 3.420 Å respectively. Closely related to 2-4 are $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - O_2CCF_3)]_2$ [29] and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)(\mu-HNCPhO)]_2$ [**30**] which are characterised by Pd···Pd interactions of 3.0588(4) and 3.005(1) Å respectively. The N,O-bridge in $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-HNCPhO)]_2$ [**30**] closely resembles that in **2** yet in the latter the Pd…Pd interactions is ca. 0.5 Å longer. This is a non-bonding interaction and thus is likely to be highly dependent upon the steric and electronic properties of the associated ligands. In the homoleptic pyridine-2-thionate complex $[Pd_2(\mu-SC_5H_4N)_4]$ a very short Pd···Pd distance of 2.677(1) Å is noted [47] being significantly shorter (as are those in 3-4) than the sum of the van der Waals radii for two palladium atoms of 3.26 Å [48]. The elongation of the Pd…Pd interaction in 2 appears to result from a significant twisting of the two square planar PdN₂OC centers with respect to one another as shown by the torsional angles of 26.8 and 39.9° between the two sac ligands. These contrast with related torsional angles of 10.4 and 13.8° in

4 and 19.6 and 20.7° in **3**. It is not exactly clear how these affect the Pd…Pd interaction but it is obvious that there is a good degree of flexibility between the two PdN₂CX centers.

Spectroscopic data for **2-4** suggest that the solid state structures are maintained in solution. In all cases only a single isomer is noted and we make the assumption that this is that observed in the solid state. In the ¹H NMR spectra the twelve methyl protons are always observed as a (slightly broad) singlet. From the solid state structures it is clear that there are two methyl environments and thus two signals would be expected. Deeming and co-workers have previously noted this for the 6-methyl pyridine-2-thionate complex [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄- $\kappa^2 N, C$)(μ -SC₅H₃MeN)]₂ [**31**]. Upon cooling they found that the broad singlet split into two signals of equal intensity and attributed the observed changes to an intramolecular fluxional process suggested to be (but not proven) cleavage of a Pd-N bond to the 6-methyl pyridine-2thionate ligand followed by rotation about the remaining Pd-S bond and reformation. We thus suggest similar processes must operate in **2-4**, that is cleavage of a Pd-N bond to one of the bridging ligands followed by rapid rotation about the remaining Pd-O or Pd-S bond.

3.2. Synthesis and characterisation of mono-substituted $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, tsac)

Reactions of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ with simple thiolate ligands generally result in substitution of only a single bridging halide to give products of the type $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-thiolate)]$ resulting **[49]**, while other monosubsituted derivatives such as $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-PPh_2)]$ have also been prepared **[50,51]**. From reactions of one equivalent of Nabit with $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ we were only able to isolate the disubstituted complex **4**, however, with both one equivalent of Nasac and tsacH different products resulted which have been characterised by analytical and spectroscopic data as the monosubsituted $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-sac)]$ **(5)** and $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-tsac)]$ **(6)** respectively. Most informative are the ¹H NMR spectrum which clearly show a sac/tsac to metalated ligand ratio of 1:2 together with formation of a single isomer. Somewhat unexpectedly, all four methyl groups are equivalent. This would not be expected if the added ligand bound in a μ -N-E fashion as found in **2-3** since this would clearly render the two palladium centers inequivalent. Thus either the complexes are highly fluxional (although it is not easy to see how this could interconvert the

palladium atoms) or the sac-tsac ligands are bound in a monodentate bridging manner through either the nitrogen or oxygen or sulfur. We favour the latter as we have recently prepared a number of cadmium-tsac complexes in which the tsac ligands spans two cadmium centers through binding only via sulfur [**52**]. In this respect then the tsac complex **6** could then be considered as a simple thiolate derivative of the type $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-thiolate)]$ [**49**]. On this basis we might then suggest that in **5** the sac ligand binds only through oxygen as a functionalised alkoxide ligand [**1**]. While as far as we are aware such alkoxide species have not been isolated, the related hydroxide complexes $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)_2(\mu-X)(\mu-OH)]$ (X = Cl, Br) have both been prepared and crystallographically characterised [**53,54**]. The O-sac and S-tsac binding in **5** and **6** respectively may also account for their quite different colour; off-white and brown. Unfortunately we have been unable to confirm this by crystallography.

3.3. Synthesis and characterisation of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)Cl(ampyH-\kappa^1N)]$

After successfully preparing sac, tsac and bit complexes 2-6 we considered preparing a related 2-amino-3-methylpyridine (ampyH) complex $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu-ampy)]_2$ from reaction of 1 and two equivalents of ampyH in the presence of NEt₃ with the expectation that elimination of HCl might result. However, this reaction rather than giving the target product instead afforded mononuclear $[Pd(-\kappa^2 N, CMe_2NCH_2C_6H_4)Cl(ampyH-\kappa^2 N)]$ (7) as a pale yellow solid in 91% yield. Characterisation was straightforward based on analytical and spectroscopic data and also from an X-ray crystallographic study the results of which are summarised in Figure 4 and its caption. The structure confirms the so-called "bridge-splitting" of **1** and the overall coordination geometry is very similar to related Pd(II) complexes containing 2-aminopyridine (2-apyH) and 2-aminopyrimidine (2-apymH) and a metalated 2-pyridine ligand [55]. In all these complexes the two nitrogen atoms lie *trans* to one another [7 N1-Pd1-N2 174.0(1)^o] and the Pd-N bond to the ampyH ligand [Pd1-N2 2.047(2) Å] is slightly shorter than that to the dimethylamine group [Pd1-N1 2.084(2) Å]. The arene ring of the ampyH ligand lies approximately perpendicular to the PdClCN₂ plane as found in related complexes. The solid state structure is maintained in solution, a virtual triplet consisting of two overlapping doublets being observed at for the NMe₂ groups at 2.76 ppm. Clearly loss of HCl has not occurred and this most likely requires a stronger base than

NEt₃. Thus, reaction of **1** with ampyH closely follows that of pyridine which has been reported to yield [Pd(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄- $\kappa^2 N$, *C*)Cl(py)] [**32**, **37**, **56**, **57**].

4. Summary and Conclusions

In this work we have compared reactions of the closely related amide ligands saccharinate, thiosaccharinate and benzisothiozolinate with the widely studied dipalladium complex $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$. For each, stepwise substitution of the bridging halides is observed leading sequentially to $[Pd_2(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)_2(\mu-Cl)(\mu-X)]$ (X = sac, tsac) and $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4 - \kappa^2 N, C)(\mu - X)]_2$ (X = sac, tsac, bit). The molecular structures of all disubstituted complexes reveal that the introduced amide ligands bridge the dipalladium centre adopting a relative *cis* conformation. While the gross structures are similar, small but potentially significant changes in the conformation about each palladium is noted upon changing the added ligand type. The sac and bit complexes are similar in that nitrogen atoms lie *trans* to one another, while in the tsac complex they are *cis*. The complexes differ significantly in the nature of the non-bonding Pd···Pd interaction, which is around 3 Å for the tsac and bit complexes but over 0.5 Å longer in the sac complex. This shows that this ligand framework is extremely flexible. Reaction of dimeric $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ with 2-amino-3-methylpyridine in the presence of base affords [PdCl(Me₂NCH₂C₆H₄- $\kappa^2 N, C$ (ampyH- $\kappa^1 N$)] as a result of "bridge-splitting", the ampyH ligand binding through the pyridyl-nitrogen and lying approximately perpendicular to the PdCCIN₂ plane.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the University of Tikrit for partial support of this work and the Erasmus-Mundus programme for the provision of a post-doctoral fellowship to S.B.-M.

Appendix A Supplementary data

Crystallographic data for the structural analyses have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 1518322 for **2**, 1518323 for **3**, 1518324 for **4** and 1815801 for **7**. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from the Director, CCDC, 12

Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1 EZ, UK (fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: <u>deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u> or www: <u>http://www.ccdc.ac.uk</u>).

References

- [1] E.J. Baran, V.T. Yilmaz, Coord. Chem. Rev. 250 (2006) 1980.
- [2] S.H. Tarulli, O.V. Quinzani, E.J. Baran, O.E. Piro, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 628 (2002) 751.
- [3] S.H. Tarulli, O.V. Quinzani, E.J. Baran, O.E. Piro, E.E. Castellano, J. Mol. Struct. 656 (2003) 161.
- [4] S.H. Tarulli, O.V. Quinzani, O.E. Piro, E.E. Castellano, E.J. Baran, Zeit. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 629 (2003) 1975.
- [5] M. Dennehy, G.P. Telleria, S.H. Turulli, O.V. Quinzani, S.D. Mandolesi, J.A. Guida,G.A. Echeverria, O.E. Piro, E.C. Castellano, Inorg. Chim. Acta 360 (2007) 3169.
- [6] M. Dennehy, R.M. Ferullo, O.V. Quinzani, S.D. Mandolesi, N. Castellani, M. Jennings, Polyhedron 27 (2008) 2243.
- [7] M. Dennehy, G.P. Telleria, O.V. Quinzani, G.A. Echeverria, O.E. Piro, E.C. Castellano, Inorg. Chim. Acta 362 (2009) 2900.
- [8] M. Dennehy, O.V. Quinzani, A. Grandos, A.R. Burrow, Polyhedron 29 (2010) 1344.
- [9] S.H. Tarulli, O.V. Quinzani, O.E. Piro, E.J. Baran, E.E. Castellano, Monat. Chem. 132 (2001) 779.
- [10] M. Vieites, D. Gambino, M. Gonzalez, H. Cerecetto, S.H. Tarulli, O.V. Quinzani, E.J. Baran, J. Coord. Chem. 59 (2006) 101.
- [11] S. H. Tarulli, O. V. Quinzani, S. D. Mandolesi, J. A. Guida, G. V. Echeverria, O. E.Piro, E. E. Castellano, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 645 (2009) 1604.
- [12] M. Dennehy, O.V. Quinzani, S.D. Mandolesi, R.A. Burrow, J. Mol. Struct. 998 (2011) 119.
- [13] S.A. Al-Jibori, M.H.S. Al-Jibori, G. Hogarth, Inorg. Chim. Acta 398 (2013) 117.
- [14] S.A. Al-Jibori, G.H. Al-Jibori, L.J. Al-Hayaly, C. Wagner, H. Schmidt, S. Timur, F. Baris Barlas, E. Subasi, S. Ghosh, G. Hogarth, J. Inorg. Biochem 141 (2014) 55.
- [15] S.A. Al-Jibori, A.T. Habeeb, G.H.H. Al-Jibori, N.A. Dayaaf, K. Merzweiler, C. Wagner, H. Schmidt, G. Hogarth, Polyhedron 67 (2014) 338

- [16] S.A. Al-Jibori, Q.K. Al-Jibori, H. Schmidt, K. Merzweiler, C. Wagner, G. Hogarth, Inorg. Chim. Acta 402 (2013) 69.
- [17] M. Dennehy, O.V. Quinzani, R.M. Ferullo, A. Granados, R.A. Burrow, Inorg. Chim. Acta 377 (2011) 77.
- [18] R.A. Burrow, G.Z. Belmonte, V. Dorn, M. Dennehy, Inorg. Chim. Acta 450 (2016) 39.
- [19] S.A. Al-Jibori, B.S.M. Ahmed, S.A. Ahmed, A. Karadag, H. Schmidt, C. Wagner, G. Hogarth, Inorg. Chim. Acta 436 (2015) 7.
- [20] D.M. Griffith, A. Haughey, S. Chahal, H. Muller-Bunz, C.J. Marmion, Inorg. Chim. Acta 363 (2010) 2333.
- [21] S.A. Al-Jibori, W.J. Hameed, L.J. Al-Hayaly, C. Wagner, G. Hogarth, Trans. Met. Chem. accepted.
- [22] J. Dupont, C.S. Consorti, J. Spencer, Chem. Rev. 105 (2005) 2527.
- [23] J. Dupont, M. Pfeffer, J. Spencer, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2001) 1917.
- [24] A. Schnyder, A.F. Indolese, M. Studer, H.-U. Blaser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41 (2002) 3668.
- [25] G.-R. Peh, E.A.B. Kantchev, J.-C. Er, J.Y. Jing, Chem. Eur. J. 16 (2010) 4010.
- [26] G. Cai, Y. Fu, Y. Li, X. Wan, Z. Shi, J. Am Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 7666.
- [27] A. C. Cope, E. C. Friedrich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 909.
- [28] V.K. Jain, L. Jain, Coord. Chem. Rev. 249 (2005) 3075.
- [29] R.B. Bedford, C.S.J. Cazin, S.J. Coles, T. Gelbrich, P.N. Horton, M.B. Hursthouse, M.E. Light, Organometallics 22 (2003) 987.
- [30] J. Ruiz, N. Cutillas, V. Rodríguez, J. Sampedro, G. López, P.A. Chaloner, P.B. Hitchcock, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1999) 2939.
- [31] A.J. Deeming, M.N. Meah, P.A. Bates, M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1988) 2193.
- [32] A.J. Deeming, I.P. Rothwell, M.B. Hursthouse, L. New, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1978) 1490.
- [33] R. Kaur, S.C. Menon, S. Panda, H.B. Singh, R.P. Patel, R.J. Butcher, Organometallics 28 (2009) 2363.
- [34] A. Mentes, R.D.W. Kemmit, J. Fawcett, D.R. Russell, J. Mol. Struct. 693 (2004) 241.
- [35] S. Naeem, A.J.P. White, G. Hogarth, J.D.E.T. Wilton-Ely, Organometallics 29 (2010) 2542.

- [36] J.D.E.T. Wilton-Ely, D. Solanki, E.R. Knight, K.B. Holt, A.L. Thompson, G. Hogarth, Inorg. Chem. 47 (2008) 9642.
- [37] B.N. Cockburn, D.V. Howe, T. Keating, B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1973) 404.
- [38] M. N. Hughes, K. J. Rutt, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1972) 1311.
- [39] J.R. Meadow, J.C. Cavaguol, J. Org. Chem. 16 (1951) 1582.
- [40] IPDS-Software Package, Stoe and Cie (1999).
- [41] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97, Program for Refinement of Crystal Structures, Göttingen (1997).
- [42] K. Brandenburg. Diamond 3.2k, Crystal Impact, GbR, Bonn (2014).
- [43] S. Kolay, N. Ghavale, A. Wadawale, D. Das, V.K. Jain, Phos. Sulf. Silicon, 188 (2013) 1449.
- [44] M.D. Santama, R. Garcia-Bueno, G. Garcia, G. Sanchez, J. Garcia, J. Perez, L. Garcia, J.L. Serrano, Dalton Trans. 40 (2011) 3537.
- [45] M. Cato, A. Omura, A. Toshikawa, S. Kishi, Y. Sugimoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 41 (2002) 3183.
- [46] J. Ruiz, F. Florenciano, G. Lopez, P.A. Chaloner, P.B. Hitchcock, Inorg. Chim. Acta 281 (1998) 165.
- [47] K. Umakoshi, A. Ichimura, I. Kinoshita, S. Ooi, Inorg. Chem. 29 (1990) 4005.
- [48] http://periodictable.com/Elements/046/data.html
- [49] N.D. Ghavale, S. Dey, V.K. Jain, M. Nethaji, Inorg. Chim. Acta 361 (2008) 2462.
- [50] V.A. Stepanova, V.V. Dunina, I.P. Smoliakova, Organometallics 28 (2009) 6546.
- [51] V.A. Stepanova, V.V. Dunina, I.P. Smoliakova, J. Organomet. Chem. 696 (2011) 871.
- [52] S.A. Al-Jibori, M.M.A. Al-Bayati, H.M. Gergees, C. Wagner, G. Hogarth, Inorg. Chim. Acta 459 (2017) 73.
- [53] A. Apfelbacher, P. Braunstein, L. Brissieux, R. Welter, Dalton Trans. (2003) 1669.
- [54] J. Ruiz, N. Cutillas, J. Sampedro, G. López, J.A. Hermoso, M. Martínez-Ripoll, J. Organomet. Chem. 526 (1996) 67.
- [55] S.A. Al-Jibori, H.M Gergees, S. Basak-Modi, H. Schmidt, M. Laguna, G. Hogarth, Inorg. Chim. Acta 450 (2016) 50.
- [56] B. Crociani, T. Boschi, R. Pietropaolo, U. Belluco, J. Chem. Soc., Sect. A, (1970) 1531.
- [57] J.D. Higgins, L. Neely, S. Fricker, J. Inorg. Biochem. 49 (1993) 149.



Figure 1. The molecular structure of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-sac)]_2$ (**2**) with selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°), thermal ellipsoids at the 50% level, hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity: Pd···Pd 3.515(6), Pd-C9 1.967(7), Pd-N1 2.056(6), Pd-N2 2.075(5), Pd-O1#1 2.180(5), C9-Pd-N1 94.9(2), C9-Pd-N2 82.5(2), C9-Pd-O1#1 171.2(2), N1-Pd-N2 177.2(2), N1-Pd-O1#1 90.6(2), N2-Pd-O1#1 91.9(2).

SCRÌ



Figure 2. The molecular structure of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N,C)(\mu-tsac)]_2$ (**3**) with selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°), thermal ellipsoids at the 50% level, hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity: Pd1-Pd(2) 3.0629(7), Pd1–C15 1.982(7), Pd1–N1 2.162(6), Pd1–N3 2.113(5), Pd1-S4 2.296(2), C15-Pd1-N3 81.0(2), C15-Pd1-N1 174.4(2), N3-Pd1-N1 94.2(2), C(15)-Pd1-S4 93.4(2), N3-Pd1-S4 167.8(2), N1-Pd1-S4 91.9(1).



Figure 3. The molecular structure of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)(\mu-bit)]_2$ (4) with selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°), thermal ellipsoids at the 50% level, hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity: Pd1-Pd2 3.0281(8), Pd1-C15 1.980(7), Pd1-N1 2.062(5), Pd1-N3 2.092(6), Pd1-O2 2.147(5), C15-Pd1-N1 96.9(3), C15-Pd1-N3 82.1(3), N1-Pd1-N3 175.7(2), C15-Pd1-O2 172.3(2), N1-Pd1-O2 90.22, N3-Pd1-O2 90.6(2).



Figure 4. The molecular structure of $[Pd(Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4-\kappa^2N, C)Cl(ampy-\kappa^1N)]$ (7) with selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pd1-Cl1 2.4360(7), Pd1-N1 2.084(2), Pd1-N2 2.047(2), Pd1-Cl1 1.979(3), N1-Pd1-Cl1 94.49(7), N2-Pd1-Cl1 91.34(7), N2-Pd1-N1 174.04(10), C1-Pd1-Cl1 173.36(8), C1-Pd1-N2 91.89(11).

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Compound	2	3·DMF	4·CHCl ₃	7	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Empirical formula	$C_{32}H_{32}N_4O_6Pd_2S_2$	$C_{35}H_{39}N_5O_5Pd_2S_4$	$C_{33}H_{33}Cl_{3}N_{4}O_{2}Pd_{2}S_{2} \\$	$C_{15}H_{20}ClN_3Pd$	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Formula weight	805.28	950.75	900.90	384.21	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Temperature (K)	200(2)	293(2)	200(2)	150 (2)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$						
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Crystal system,	orthorhombic,	monoclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	space group	Pbna	$P2_1/c$	Pc2 ₁ n	$P2_1/c$	
a (Å) 9.1353(4) 10.5465(8) 14.2439(3) 14.6918(3) b (Å) 18.0446(7) 32.192(3) 14.7164(3) 9.9499(2) c (Å) 19.202(1) 12.2367(9) 16.7591(5) 11.0931(2) α (°) 90 90 90 90 90 β (°) 90 90 90 90 90 γ (°) 90 90 90 90 90 γ (°) 90 90 90 90 90 γ (°) 90 90 90 90 90 Z 8 4 4 4 4 Density (calc.) (g/cm ³) 1.774 1.675 1.702 1.6269 Absorption coefficient 1.320 1.224 1.407 1.347 F(000) 2176 1920 1800 772.8 Crystal size (mm) 0.10 x 0.10 x 0.10 0.42 x 0.34 x 0.14 0.48 x 0.25 x 0.22 0.24 × 0.23 × 0.16 Theta range for data 2.12 to 25.00 3.81 to 25.00 1.85 to 5.00 3.52 to 29.7 collection (°) -21<	Unit cell dimensions					
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	a (Å)	9.1353(4)	10.5465(8)	14.2439(3)	14.6918(3)	
$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A) & 19.202(1) & 12.2367(9) & 16.7691(5) & 11.0931(2) \\ \alpha(^{\circ}) & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\ \beta(^{\circ}) & 90 & 114.877(5) & 90 & 104.701(2) \\ \gamma(^{\circ}) & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	b (Å)	18.0446(7)	32.192(3)	14.7164(3)	9.9499(2)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	c (Å)	19.202(1)	12.2367(9)	16.7691(5)	11.0931(2)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	α (°)	90	90	90	90	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	β (°)	90	114.877(5)	90	104.701(2)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	γ (°)	90	90	90	90	
Z8444Density (calc.) (g/cm3)1.7741.6751.7021.6269Absorption coefficient1.3201.2241.4071.347(mm3)11.2241.4071.347F(000)217619201800772.8Crystal size (mm)0.10 x 0.10 x 0.100.42 x 0.34 x 0.140.48 x 0.25 x 0.220.24 × 0.23 × 0.16Theta range for data2.12 to 25.003.81 to 25.001.88 to 25.003.52 to 29.7collection (°)-11<=h<=12, -16<=h<=16, -18 ≤ h ≤ 15, -21<=h<22, -38<=k<=33, -15<=k<=17, -10 ≤ k ≤ 12, -22<=1=22	Volume (A^3)	3165.3(2)	3769.1(5)	3515.1(2)	1568.52(6)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Z	8	4	4	4	
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)1.3201.2241.4071.347F(000)217619201800772.8Crystal size (mm)0.10 x 0.10 x 0.100.42 x 0.34 x 0.140.48 x 0.25 x 0.220.24 × 0.23 × 0.16Theta range for data collection (°)2.12 to 25.003.81 to 25.001.88 to 25.003.52 to 29.7Limiting indices $-10 <= h <= 10$, $-21 <= k <= 21$, $-22 <= -14 <= 12$, $-14 <= h <= 12$, $-14 <= h <= 12$, $-15 <= k <= 17$, $-10 <= k <= 12$, $-10 <= h <= 12$, $-22 <= -14 <= -14$ $-19 <= h <= -19$, $-21 <= h <= 51$ $-18 \le h \le 15$, $-10 < k \le 12$, $-22 <= -14 <= -14$ $-19 <= h <= -19$, $-19 <= h <= 15$ $-18 \le h \le 15$, $-10 < k \le 12$, $-22 <= -14 <= -14$ $-19 <= h <= -19$, $-21 <= -19$ $-8 \le 1 \le 13$ Reflections collected/ Independent reflection [Rint]0.1677 0.1023 0.0679 0.0397Completeness to $\theta =$ $100 %$ 99.2 % $99.2 %$ $99.6 %$ 94.5 %25.0003600 1.033 1.041Max./min on F20.9514 / 0.7884 1.015 0.800 0.800 1.033 1.041 Orderss-of-fit in a k indices $R I = 0.0477$, $R I = 0.0404$, $R I = 0.0328$, $R I = 0.0327$, $R I = 0.0327,$ $R I = 0.0079$ $R I = 0.0089$ $R = 0.0167$ $R I = 0.0328,$ $R I = 0.0329,$ $R I = 0.0402,$ $R R = 0.0869$ $R R = 0.0166$ $R R = 0.0886$ $R R = 0.0834$ Largest diff, peak/hole $(0.657/-0.452)$ 0.615/-1.248 $0.615/-1.248$ 0.584/-1.2990.92 - 0.84	Density (calc.) (g/cm^3)	1.774	1.675	1.702	1.6269	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Absorption coefficient	1.320	1.224	1.407	1.347	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(mm^{-1})					
Crystal size (mm) Theta range for data collection (°) $0.10 \ge 0.10 \ge 0.10$ $2.12 to 25.00$ $0.42 \ge 0.34 \ge 0.14$ $3.81 to 25.00$ $0.48 \ge 0.25 \ge 0.22$ $1.88 to 25.00$ $0.24 \ge 0.23 \ge 0.16$ $3.52 to 29.7$ Limiting indices $-10 <= h <= 10$, $-21 <= h <= 21$, $-22 <= 1 <= 22$ $-11 <= h <= 12$, $-38 <= h <= 33$, $-15 <= h <= 16$, $-15 <= h <= 16$, $-10 \le h \le 12$, $-22 <= 1 <= 22$ $-14 <= 12$ $-14 <= 12 -14 <= 12 -19 <= 1 <= 19-18 \le h \le 15,-10 \le h \le 12,-8 \le 1 \le 13Reflections collected/Independent reflection[Rint]0.16770.10230.06790.03970.0397Completeness to \theta =100 \%99.2 %99.2 \%99.6 %94.5 \%25.00Max./min.0.9514 / 0.78840.8672 / 0.70750.7472 / 0.55160.843 / 0.785TransmissionData / restraints /2792 / 0 / 2086591 / 0 / 4695551 / 1 / 4165551 / 1 / 416Goodness-of-fitin a indices1.015R1 = 0.0407,R1 = 0.0404,R1 = 0.0328,R1 = 0.0327,R1 = 0.0327,R1 = 0.0329,R1 = 0.0329,R1 = 0.0402,WR2 = 0.0866WR2 = 0.0886WR2 = 0.0834Largest diff, peak/hole(o, h^{-3})0.657 / 0.4520.615 / 1.2481.584 / 1.2990.92 - 0.84$	F(000)	2176	1920	1800	772.8	
Theta range for data collection (°)2.12 to 25.003.81 to 25.001.88 to 25.003.52 to 29.7Limiting indices -10<=h<=10, -21<=k<=21, -22<=l<=22	Crystal size (mm)	0.10 x 0.10 x 0.10	0.42 x 0.34 x 0.14	0.48 x 0.25 x 0.22	$0.24 \times 0.23 \times 0.16$	
collection (°) Limiting indices $-10 < =h < = 10$, $-11 < =h < = 12$, $-16 < =h < = 16$, $-18 \le h \le 15$, $-21 < =k < = 21$, $-38 < =k < = 33$, $-15 < =k < = 17$, $-10 \le k \le 12$, $-22 < =1 < = 22$, $-14 < =1 < = 14$, $-19 < = <= 19$, $-8 \le 1 \le 13$ Reflections collected/ 19118 / 2792 16142 / 6591 21894 / 5551 10843 / 3660 Independent reflection [Rint] 0.1677 0.1023 0.0679 0.0397 Completeness to $\theta = 100$ % 99.2 % 99.6 % 94.5 % 25.00 Max./min. 0.9514 / 0.7884 0.8672 / 0.7075 0.7472 / 0.5516 0.843 / 0.785 transmission Data / restraints / 2792 / 0 / 208 6591 / 0 / 469 5551 / 1 / 416 3660 / 0.184 parameters Goodness-of-fit 1.015 0.800 1.033 1.041 on F2 Final R indices R1 = 0.0477, R1 = 0.0404, R1 = 0.0328, R1 = 0.0327, [I > 2 (I)] wR2 = 0.0709 wR2 = 0.0816 wR2 = 0.0875 wR2 = 0.0774 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.1007, R1 = 0.0892, R1 = 0.0339, R1 = 0.0402, wR2 = 0.0869 wR2 = 0.1106 wR2 = 0.0886 wR2 = 0.0834 Largest diff. peak/hole 0.657/-0.452 0.615/-1.248 1.584/-1.299 0.92 - 0.84	Theta range for data	2.12 to 25.00	3.81 to 25.00	1.88 to 25.00	3.52 to 29.7	
Limiting indices $-10 <=h <=10$, $-11 <=h <=12$, $-16 <=h <=16$, $-18 \le h \le 15$, $-21 <=k <=21$, $-38 <=k <=33$, $-15 <=k <=17$, $-10 \le k \le 12$, $-22 <=l <=22$ $-14 <=l <=14$ $-19 <=l <=19$ $-8 \le 1 \le 13$ Reflections collected/ 19118 / 2792 16142/ 6591 21894 / 5551 10843/3660 Independent reflection [Rint] 0.1677 0.1023 0.0679 0.0397 Completeness to $\theta =$ 100 % 99.2 % 99.6 % 94.5 % 25.00 Max./min. 0.9514 / 0.7884 0.8672 / 0.7075 0.7472 / 0.5516 0.843 / 0.785 transmission Data / restraints / 2792 / 0 / 208 6591 / 0 / 469 5551 / 1 / 416 3660/0/184 parameters Goodness-of-fit 1.015 0.800 1.033 1.041 on F2 Final R indices R1 = 0.0477, R1 = 0.0404, R1 = 0.0328, R1 = 0.0327, [I > 2\sigma(I)] wR2 = 0.0709 wR2 = 0.0816 wR2 = 0.0875 wR2 = 0.0774 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.1007, R1 = 0.0892, R1 = 0.0339, R1 = 0.0402, wR2 = 0.0869 wR2 = 0.1106 wR2 = 0.0886 wR2 = 0.0834 Largest diff, peak/hole 0.657/-0.452 0.615/-1.248 1.584/-1.299 0.92 - 0.84	collection (°)					
Limiting indices $-10<=h<=10$, $-11<=h<=12$, $-16<=h<=16$, $-18 \le h \le 15$, $-21<=k<=21$, $-38<=k<=33$, $-15<=k<=17$, $-10 \le k \le 12$, $-22<=l<=22$ $-14<=l<=14$ $-19<=l<=19$ $-8 \le 1 \le 13$ Reflections collected/ 19118 / 2792 16142 / 6591 21894 / 5551 10843 / 3660 Independent reflection [Rint] 0.1677 0.1023 0.0679 0.0397 Completeness to $\theta =$ 100 % 99.2 % 99.6 % 94.5 % 25.00 Max./min. 0.9514 / 0.7884 0.8672 / 0.7075 0.7472 / 0.5516 0.843 / 0.785 transmission Data / restraints / 2792 / 0 / 208 6591 / 0 / 469 5551 / 1 / 416 3660/0/184 parameters Goodness-of-fit 1.015 0.800 1.033 1.041 on F2 Final R indices R1 = 0.0477, R1 = 0.0404, R1 = 0.0328, R1 = 0.0327, [I>2σ(1)] wR2 = 0.0709 wR2 = 0.0816 wR2 = 0.0875 wR2 = 0.0774 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.1007, R1 = 0.0892, R1 = 0.0339, R1 = 0.0402, wR2 = 0.0869 wR2 = 0.1106 wR2 = 0.0886 wR2 = 0.0834 Largest diff. peak/hole 0.657/-0.452 0.615/-1.248 1.584/-1.299 0.92 - 0.84						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Limiting indices	-10<=h<=10,	-11<=h<=12,	-16<=h<=16,	$-18 \le h \le 15$,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-21<=k<=21,	-38<=k<=33,	-15<=k<=17,	$-10 \le k \le 12$,	
Reflections collected/19118 / 279216142 / 659121894 / 555110843/3660Independent reflection0.16770.10230.06790.0397Completeness to $\theta =$ 100 %99.2 %99.6 %94.5 %25.000.0571 / 0.78840.8672 / 0.70750.7472 / 0.55160.843 / 0.785Max./min.0.9514 / 0.78840.8672 / 0.70750.7472 / 0.55160.843 / 0.785Transmission0.9514 / 0.78840.8672 / 0.70750.7472 / 0.55160.843 / 0.785Data / restraints /2792 / 0 / 2086591 / 0 / 4695551 / 1 / 4163660/0/184parameters0.6001.0331.0410Goodness-of-fit1.0150.8001.0331.041on F211.0150.8001.0331.041Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477,R1 = 0.0404,R1 = 0.0328,R1 = 0.0327,[I>2σ(I)]wR2 = 0.0709wR2 = 0.0816wR2 = 0.0875wR2 = 0.0774R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007,R1 = 0.0892,R1 = 0.0339,R1 = 0.0402,wR2 = 0.0869wR2 = 0.1106wR2 = 0.0886wR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84		-22<=l<=22	-14<=l<=14	-19<=l<=19	$-8 \le 1 \le 13$	
Independent reflection[Rint]0.16770.10230.06790.0397Completeness to $\theta =$ 100 %99.2 %99.6 %94.5 %25.00	Reflections collected/	19118 / 2792	16142/ 6591	21894 / 5551	10843/3660	
	Independent reflection					
Completeness to $\theta =$ 100 %99.2 %99.6 %94.5 %25.00Max./min.0.9514 / 0.78840.8672 / 0.70750.7472 / 0.55160.843 / 0.785transmissionData / restraints /2792 / 0 / 2086591 / 0 / 4695551 / 1 / 4163660/0/184parametersGoodness-of-fit1.0150.8001.0331.041on F2Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477,R1 = 0.0404,R1 = 0.0328,R1 = 0.0327,[I>2\sigma(I)]wR2 = 0.0709wR2 = 0.0816wR2 = 0.0875wR2 = 0.0774R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007,R1 = 0.0892,R1 = 0.0339,R1 = 0.0402,wR2 = 0.0869wR2 = 0.1106wR2 = 0.0886wR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84	[Rint]	0.1677	0.1023	0.0679	0.0397	
25.00 Max./min. 0.9514 / 0.7884 0.8672 / 0.7075 0.7472 / 0.5516 0.843 / 0.785 transmission Data / restraints / 2792 / 0 / 208 6591 / 0 / 469 5551 / 1 / 416 3660/0/184 parameters Goodness-of-fit 1.015 0.800 1.033 1.041 on F2 Final R indices R1 = 0.0477, R1 = 0.0404, R1 = 0.0328, R1 = 0.0327, [I>2 σ (I)] wR2 = 0.0709 wR2 = 0.0816 wR2 = 0.0875 wR2 = 0.0774 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.1007, R1 = 0.0892, R1 = 0.0339, R1 = 0.0402, wR2 = 0.0869 wR2 = 0.1106 wR2 = 0.0886 wR2 = 0.0834 Largest diff. peak/hole 0.657/-0.452 0.615/-1.248 1.584/-1.299 0.92 -0.84	Completeness to $\theta =$	100 %	99.2 %	99.6 %	94.5 %	
Max./min. $0.9514/0.7884$ $0.8672/0.7075$ $0.7472/0.5516$ $0.843/0.785$ transmissionData / restraints / $2792/0/208$ $6591/0/469$ $5551/1/416$ $3660/0/184$ parametersGoodness-of-fit 1.015 0.800 1.033 1.041 on F2Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477 ,R1 = 0.0404 ,R1 = 0.0328 ,R1 = 0.0327 ,[I>2 σ (I)]wR2 = 0.0709 wR2 = 0.0816 wR2 = 0.0875 wR2 = 0.0774 R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007 ,R1 = 0.0892 ,R1 = 0.0339 ,R1 = 0.0402 ,wR2 = 0.0869 wR2 = 0.1106 wR2 = 0.0886 wR2 = 0.0834 Largest diff. peak/hole $0.657/-0.452$ $0.615/-1.248$ $1.584/-1.299$ 0.92 - 0.84	25.00					
transmission Data / restraints / 2792 / 0 / 208 6591 / 0 / 469 5551 / 1 / 416 3660/0/184 parameters Goodness-of-fit 1.015 0.800 1.033 1.041 on F2 Final R indices R1 = 0.0477, R1 = 0.0404, R1 = 0.0328, R1 = 0.0327, [I>2 σ (I)] wR2 = 0.0709 wR2 = 0.0816 wR2 = 0.0875 wR2 = 0.0774 R indices (all data) R1 = 0.1007, R1 = 0.0892, R1 = 0.0339, R1 = 0.0402, wR2 = 0.0869 wR2 = 0.1106 wR2 = 0.0886 wR2 = 0.0834 Largest diff. peak/hole 0.657/-0.452 0.615/-1.248 1.584/-1.299 0.92 -0.84	Max./min.	0.9514 / 0.7884	0.8672 / 0.7075	0.7472 / 0.5516	0.843 /0.785	
Data / restraints / parameters $2792 / 0 / 208$ $6591 / 0 / 469$ $5551 / 1 / 416$ $3660 / 0 / 184$ Goodness-of-fit on F21.0150.8001.0331.041Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477, WR2 = 0.0709R1 = 0.0404, WR2 = 0.0816R1 = 0.0328, WR2 = 0.0875R1 = 0.0327, WR2 = 0.0774R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007, WR2 = 0.0869R1 = 0.0892, WR2 = 0.1106R1 = 0.0339, WR2 = 0.0886R1 = 0.0402, WR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657 / -0.4520.615 / -1.2481.584 / -1.2990.92 - 0.84	transmission					
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Data / restraints /	2792 / 0 / 208	6591 / 0 / 469	5551 / 1 / 416	3660/0/184	
Goodness-of-fit on F21.0150.8001.0331.041Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477, WR2 = 0.0709R1 = 0.0404, WR2 = 0.0816R1 = 0.0328, WR2 = 0.0875R1 = 0.0327, WR2 = 0.0774[I>2 σ (I)]WR2 = 0.0709 WR2 = 0.0807, WR2 = 0.0892, WR2 = 0.0339,R1 = 0.0329, R1 = 0.0402, WR2 = 0.0886R1 = 0.0402, WR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84	parameters					
on F2Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477,R1 = 0.0404,R1 = 0.0328,R1 = 0.0327, $[I>2\sigma(I)]$ wR2 = 0.0709wR2 = 0.0816wR2 = 0.0875wR2 = 0.0774R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007,R1 = 0.0892,R1 = 0.0339,R1 = 0.0402,wR2 = 0.0869wR2 = 0.1106wR2 = 0.0886wR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84	Goodness-of-fit	1.015	0.800	1.033	1.041	
Final R indicesR1 = 0.0477,R1 = 0.0404,R1 = 0.0328,R1 = 0.0327, $[I>2\sigma(I)]$ wR2 = 0.0709wR2 = 0.0816wR2 = 0.0875wR2 = 0.0774R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007,R1 = 0.0892,R1 = 0.0339,R1 = 0.0402,wR2 = 0.0869wR2 = 0.1106wR2 = 0.0886wR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84	on F2					
$ \begin{bmatrix} I > 2\sigma(I) \end{bmatrix} & wR2 = 0.0709 & wR2 = 0.0816 & wR2 = 0.0875 & wR2 = 0.0774 \\ R \text{ indices (all data)} & R1 = 0.1007, & R1 = 0.0892, & R1 = 0.0339, & R1 = 0.0402, \\ wR2 = 0.0869 & wR2 = 0.1106 & wR2 = 0.0886 & wR2 = 0.0834 \\ \text{Largest diff. peak/hole} & 0.657/-0.452 & 0.615/-1.248 & 1.584/-1.299 & 0.92 -0.84 \\ \hline (\alpha + \hat{\lambda}^{-3}) & \alpha + \hat{\lambda}^{-3} \end{bmatrix} $	Final R indices	R1 = 0.0477,	R1 = 0.0404,	R1 = 0.0328,	R1 = 0.0327,	
R indices (all data)R1 = 0.1007, wR2 = 0.0869R1 = 0.0892, wR2 = 0.1106R1 = 0.0339, wR2 = 0.0886R1 = 0.0402, wR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84	[I>2σ(I)]	wR2 = 0.0709	wR2 = 0.0816	wR2 = 0.0875	wR2 = 0.0774	
wR2 = 0.0869wR2 = 0.1106wR2 = 0.0886wR2 = 0.0834Largest diff. peak/hole0.657/-0.4520.615/-1.2481.584/-1.2990.92 -0.84 $(a : \hat{\lambda}^{-3})$ (a : \hat{\lambda}^{-3})(a : \hat{\lambda}^{-3})(a : \hat{\lambda}^{-3})(a : \hat{\lambda}^{-3})	R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1007,	R1 = 0.0892,	R1 = 0.0339,	R1 = 0.0402,	
Largest diff. peak/hole 0.657/-0.452 0.615/-1.248 1.584/-1.299 0.92 -0.84 $(2 + \frac{3}{2})^{-3}$		wR2 = 0.0869	wR2 = 0.1106	wR2 = 0.0886	wR2 = 0.0834	
(α, λ^{-3})	Largest diff. peak/hole	0.657/-0.452	0.615/-1.248	1.584/-1.299	0.92 -0.84	
	$(e \cdot \dot{A}^{-3})$					

Table 1. Crystallographic data

Graphical abstract

Graphic abstract

Reactions of $[Pd(\kappa^2-Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ with two equivalents of sodium saccharinate (Nasac), thiosaccharin (Htsac) or sodium benzisothiozolinate (Nabit) results in stepwise chloride substitution to afford $[Pd(\kappa^2-Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4)(\mu-X)]_2$ (X = sac, tsac, bit), while with 2-amino-3-methylpyridine (ampyH) in the presence of NEt₃ mononuclear $[PdCl(\kappa^2-Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4)(\kappa^1-ampyH)]$ results.



Highlights

- Synthesis of dipalladium complexes with bridging sac, tsac and bit ligands
- Crystal structures of three examples showing subtle differences in conformation
- adi Formation of mononuclear complex resulting from bridge cleavage upon addition of 2-•

19