

King's Research Portal

DOI: [10.1016/j.jacep.2018.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacep.2018.03.011)

Document Version Version created as part of publication process; publisher's layout; not normally made publicly available

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](https://kclpure.kcl.ac.uk/portal/en/publications/b82c3262-aa40-4b92-b0c1-f774c9010288)

Citation for published version (APA):

Sieniewicz, B. J., Behar, J. M., Gould, J., Claridge, S., Porter, B., Sidhu, B. S., Niederer, S., Betts, T. R., Webster, D., James, S., Turley, A. J., & Rinaldi, C. A. (2018). Guidance for Optimal Site Selection of a Leadless LV Endocardial Electrode Improves Acute Hemodynamic Response and Chronic Remodeling. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology. Advance online publication.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacep.2018.03.011>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

JACC: CLINICAL ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY VOL. -, NO. -, 2018

ª 2018 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.o [rg/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)) .

Guidance for Optimal Site Selection of a Leadless LV Endocardial Electrode Improves Acute Hemodynamic Response and Chronic Remodeling

Benjamin J. Sieniewicz, MBCHB FHEA,^{a,b} Jonathan M. Behar, MBBS,^{a,b} Justin Gould, MBBS,^{a,b} Simon Claridge, LLB MBBS,^{a,b} Bradley Porter, MBBS,^{a,b} Baldeep S. Sidhu, BM,^{a,b} Steve Niederer, PHD,^a Tim R. Betts, MBCHB FRCP MD,^c David Webster,^c Simon James, MBCHB HRUK,^d Andrew J. Turley, MBCHB,^d Christopher A. Rinaldi, MD, FHRS^{a,b}

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES This study hypothesized that guided implants, in which the optimal left ventricular endocardial (LV_{ENDO}) pacing location was identified and targeted, would improve acute markers of contractility and chronic markers of cardiac resynchronization (CRT) response.

BACKGROUND Biventricular endocardial (BiV_{ENDO}) pacing may offer a potential benefit over standard CRT; however, the optimal LV_{ENDO} pacing site is highly variable. Indiscriminately delivered BiV_{ENDO} pacing is associated with a reverse remodeling response rate of between 40% and 60%.

METHODS Registry of centers implanting a wireless, LV_{ENDO} pacing system (WiSE-CRT System, EBR Systems, Sunnyvale, California); John Radcliffe Hospital (Oxford, United Kingdom), Guy's and St. Thomas' Hospital (London, United Kingdom), and The James Cook University Hospital (Middlesbrough, United Kingdom). Centers used a combination of preprocedural imaging and electroanatomical mapping the identify the optimal LV_{ENDO} site.

RESULTS A total of 26 patients across the 3 centers underwent a guided implant. Patients were predominantly male with a mean age of 68.8 \pm 8.4 years, the mean LV ejection fraction was 34.2% \pm 7.8%. The mean QRS duration was 163.8 \pm 26.7 ms, and 30.8% of patients had an ischemic etiology. It proved technically feasible to selectively target and deploy the pacing electrode in a chosen endocardial segment in almost all cases, with a similar complication rate to that observed during indiscriminate BiV_{ENDO}. Ninety percent of patients met the definition of echocardiographic responder. Reverse remodeling was observed in 71%.

CONCLUSIONS Guided endocardial implants were associated with a higher degree of chronic LV remodeling compared with historical nonguided approaches. (J Am Coll Cardiol EP 2018; \blacksquare : \blacksquare) © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Manuscript received December 18, 2017; revised manuscript received March 8, 2018, accepted March 8, 2018.

From the ^aDivision of Imaging Sciences and Biomedical Engineering, King's College London, United Kingdom; ^bCardiology Department, Guys and St. Thomas' National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; Cardiology Department, The John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, United Kingdom; and the ^dCardiology Department, The James Cook Hospital, South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom. Dr. Sieniewicz is supported by a British Heart Foundation Project Grant. Dr. Behar has received speakers fees from Abbott. Dr. Gould and Dr. Porter are on clinical research fellowship programs funded by Abbott. Dr. Sidhu is on a clinical research fellowship program funded by Medtronic Inc. Dr. Niederer is supported by the Wellcome Trust. Dr. Betts has received research funding from Abbott; and speakers', consultancy, and proctor fees from Abbott and Boston Scientific. He would also like to acknowledge that he is supported by the Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. Dr. Rinaldi receives research funding and/or consultancy fees from Abbott, Medtronic Inc., Boston, and LivaNova outside of the submitted work. He has also received speakers' fees and honoraria from EBR Systems, and is part of the steering group for SOLVE-CRT Study. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

All authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology [author instructions page](http://www.electrophysiology.onlinejacc.org/content/instructions-authors).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AHR = Acute hemodynamic response

BiVENDO = biventricular endocardial

CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

CRT = cardiac resynchronization therapy

EAM = electroanatomical mapping

LV = left ventricular

LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction

LVENDO = left ventricular endocardial

Q-LV = interval between the onset of the QRS complex on the surface electrocardiogram to the first large positive or negative peak of the LV electrogram during a cardiac cycle

QRSd = QRS duration

US = ultrasound

Significant numbers of patients fail to
respond to cardiac resynchronization
(CRT) when it is delivered through an
enicardial left ventricular (LV) lead placed respond to cardiac resynchronization (CRT) when it is delivered through an epicardial left ventricular (LV) lead placed via the coronary sinus $(1-3)$ $(1-3)$. Furthermore, technical and anatomical limitations mean it is not always possible to implant an LV lead [\(4\)](#page-8-0) and patients upgrading from a preexisting pacing system may have central venous stenoses preventing transvenous LV lead implantation [\(5\).](#page-8-0) To overcome these challenges, novel methods of CRT delivery have been developed, including LV endocardial (LV_{ENDO}) stimulation $(6,7)$. Chronic LV_{ENDO} pacing was initially delivered via transseptal pacing leads, mandating lifelong anticoagulation, but the introduction of new wireless technology may increase the use of LVENDO pacing and avoid anticoagulation [\(8,9\).](#page-8-0)

The optimal LV_{ENDO} pacing location exhibits marked variability in ischemic [\(10\)](#page-8-0) and nonischemic patients (11–[13\),](#page-8-0) with indiscriminate LV_{ENDO} CRT being inferior to traditional transvenous epicardial CRT [\(6\).](#page-8-0) Avoiding

scarred tissue while targeting viable, late-activating sites may improve conventional CRT response [\(14](#page-8-0)– [16\).](#page-8-0) Targeting the site of latest mechanical activation using speckle-tracking in the Targeted Left Ventricular Lead Placement to Guide Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy study improved the reverse remodeling rate to >70% [\(17\).](#page-8-0) Alternative strategies include targeting the site of latest electrical activation, using the interval between the onset of the QRS complex on the surface electrocardiogram to the first large positive or negative peak of the LV electrogram during a cardiac cycle (Q-LV) [\(16\)](#page-8-0) or using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) to identify late-activating, viable tissue [\(18\).](#page-8-0)

We hypothesized that identification of the optimal LV_{ENDO} location for a wireless LV pacing electrode would result in improved acute hemodynamic response and chronic remodeling. We performed LENDO pacing using the WiSE-CRT wireless pacing system (WiSE-CRT System, EBR Systems, Sunnyvale, California) in conjunction with guidance to identify late-activating, viable LV_{ENDO} segments and measured acute markers of contractility and chronic markers of CRT response (reverse remodeling).

METHODS

Data were collected from 3 centers implanting the WiSE-CRT system. This co-implant system uses ultrasound (US) energy to activate a small leadless pacing electrode that is deployed transarterially via a retrograde transaortic approach in the LV_{ENDO} cavity. The US array, implanted subcutaneously, is triggered by the implanted pacemaker or transvenous defibrillator. Patients studied were part of the WiCS Post Market Surveillance Registry (Clinical trial study number [NCT02610673\)](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02610673), and all patients gave full written consent to participate in the study. The centers were the John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford University Hospitals National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust (Oxford, United Kingdom), Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust (London, United Kingdom), and The James Cook University Hospital, South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (Middlesbrough, United Kingdom).

 LV_{ENDO} GUIDANCE. At each center, a combination of either preprocedural imaging and/or electroanatomical mapping (EAM) was used to identify the optimal LV_{ENDO} pacing site.

Echocardiographic-guided approach. This approach was undertaken at the James Cook University Hospital. Echocardiography using Speckle-tracking 2 dimensional radial strain analysis was used to identify and target the latest mechanically activated LV segment using multisegment models, as described previously [\(19\)](#page-8-0). Regions of scar were defined as segments <0.5-mm thick and displaying abnormal increase in acoustic reflection. In addition, any myocardium that exhibited low-amplitude strain curves and a peak radial strain <16.5% was defined as scar $(20,21)$. During LV_{ENDO} implantation, the LV free wall was visualized using fluoroscopy and was subdivided into 4 segments according to coronary venous anatomy; anterolateral, lateral, posterolateral, and posterior, as previously described [\(19\)](#page-8-0). The electrode could then be implanted into the target segment.

Electrical latency (Q-LV). This work was undertaken at the John Radcliffe Hospital. Electrical latency was assessed using the WiSE-CRT delivery catheter. A minimum of 3 sites were tested. Two indices of electrical latency were used to identify the optimal pacing site; the Q-LV activation time [\(16\)](#page-8-0) and the Q-LV/QRS ratio $(7,15)$. Sites with a Q-LV <100 ms were excluded. The optimal target was the site that displayed the latest Q-LV during right ventricular pacing and a Q-LV/QRS ratio >0.66 . Viability was assessed by excluding any sites with a pacing capture threshold >2 V.

EAM and CMR. This work was undertaken at Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust. Patients were implanted using a hybrid approach of EAM and, where possible, CMR imaging, which had been performed before implantation of the co-implant device.

2

RTICLE IN PRESS

This technique allowed the identification of areas exhibiting late electrical activation (bipolar activation map) and areas of low voltage (bipolar scar map) using CARTO 3 (Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, California), as previously described [\(22\)](#page-9-0). When available, prior CMR data were also analyzed, allowing the identification of both late mechanically activated tissue and via analysis of late gadolinium enhancement imaging, areas of scared or fibrotic myocardium (Siemens Magnetom Aera 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging scanner, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany).

INCLUSION CRITERIA. The WiSE-CRT pacing system is CE marked for 3 indications [\(9\)](#page-8-0). Patients classified as nonresponders to conventional CRT, those in whom LV lead deployment is not possible (because of anatomical constraints, high capture thresholds, or phrenic nerve stimulation), and those undergoing CRT upgrade where implanting an LV lead was impractical because of venous access or previous pocket infection. Patients meeting any of these criteria were included in this study. Patients were classified as having either ischemic cardiomyopathy or nonischemic cardiomyopathy using a combination of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, coronary angiography, and clinical history. Patients were implanted via a retrograde transaortic approach, as previously described [\(10\).](#page-8-0)

Acute hemodynamic response. Acute hemodynamic response (AHR) was used to assess the immediate response to LV_{ENDO} stimulation [\(23\).](#page-9-0) This reproducible marker of acute contractility is best expressed as the change in the maximum rate of LV pressure, from a baseline control state measured using a pressure wire positioned within in the LV cavity (24) . Temporary Biventricular endocardial (BiV $_{ENDO}$) pacing was performed using the patient's own coimplant device and either the WiSE-CRT delivery catheter or a mapping catheter placed within the LV cavity. During temporary Biv_{ENDO} pacing, the A-V interval was deliberately not optimized. The A-V interval of the co-implant device was used with simultaneous V-V stimulation. We assessed how AHR varied according to measures of electrical latency including Q-LV [\(16\)](#page-8-0), Q-LV/QRS ratio, and paced QRS duration (QRSd). AHR values were obtained in multiple areas where BiV_{ENDO} capture was performed. Acute responders were defined as those that achieved a $>10\%$ increase in their AHR during LV_{ENDO} stimulation at the location chosen to deploy the WiSE-CRT LV electrode.

Chronic response to CRT (remodeling). Patients were considered echocardiographic responders to

CRT if they exhibited a $\geq 15\%$ reduction in end-systolic volume, measured using transthoracic echocardiography and/or a \geq 5% improvement in LV ejection fraction (LVEF) 6 months postimplant [\(25\)](#page-9-0).

STATISTICS. Continuous variables with a Gaussian distribution were described using mean values \pm standard deviation. AHR and electrophysiology data were tested for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Significance testing on normally distributed paired data was performed using 2 tailed paired t-tests. Significance testing on non-normally distributed paired data was performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Significance testing on non-normally distributed unpaired data was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. To account for the clustering of data and multiple measurements within each patient, a generalized linear mixed-effect model was applied for all data points that achieved capture. Multiple data points recorded from an individual patient are displayed on the scatterplot using a specific color marker for each patient. Lines of best fit with 95% confidence intervals were shown to aid understanding. Results were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. Analysis was performed on PASW Statistics 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

3

RTICLE IN PRES

RESULTS

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS. A total of 26 patients across the 3 centers were implanted with the WiSE-CRT system using a guided approach ([Table 1](#page-3-0)). Patients were predominantly male with a mean age of 68.8 \pm 8.4 years, mean LVEF 34.2% \pm 7.8% (minimum: 19%; maximum: 51%). The mean QRS duration was 163.8 ± 26.7 ms. In 92% of patients, the baseline QRS morphology was a right ventricular paced rhythm because of the co-implant nature of the WiSE CRT pacing system. A total of 31% of patients had experienced AV block requiring pacemaker insertion before implantation with the WiSE CRT device, 30.8% of patients had an ischemic etiology, 53% of the patients had experienced a failed conventional transvenous CRT implant, 8% of patients were prior nonresponders, and 38% of patients had a baseline LVEF >35%. Patients with a baseline LVEF >35% were recruited if they required an upgrade to a CRT system, but it proved impossible to site a transvenous, epicardial lead. Some patients had also previously received transvenous, epicardial CRT and had experienced a degree of LV remodeling, but had gone on to develop issues with their LV lead and required an alternative means of LV stimulation.

PROCEDURAL DETAILS AND SAFETY ISSUES. Procedure times were 126 \pm 65 min with a mean of 3.0 \pm 2.6 LVENDO sites tested per patient. A similar complication rate was observed to that recognized in the literature [\(9\)](#page-8-0) (Table 2). Acute complications relating to electrode implantation $(<$ 24 hours) occurred in 1 patient with cardiac tamponade requiring emergency thoracotomy. The most commonly encountered adverse events >24 hours to 1 month were complications arising from femoral arterial access. These included pseudoaneurysm formation requiring surgical intervention. In 2 cases, it was not possible to achieve consistent capture of the LV_{ENDO} pacing electrode. In both cases, failure of the screening procedure to exclude an unsuitable patient was later confirmed. One patient had comorbid chronic

obstructive pulmonary disease with significant lung encroachment affecting the US signal between the subcutaneous array and endocardial pacing electrode. In the other case, the eventual distance between the LV pacing electrode and the US array was too great to achieve consistent capture.

ACUTE HEMODYNAMIC RESPONSE. In 16 of the patients undergoing guided electrode placement, AHR was measured intraprocedurally. A significant change in the mean maximum rate of left ventricular pressure was observed during BiV_{ENDO} pacing at the target site (baseline 915.3 \pm 211.4 mm Hg/s vs. BiV_{ENDO} CRT 1107.4 \pm 369.5 mm Hg/s; p = 0.0047) yielding a mean improvement in AHR of 21.0% (Graph 1). Six-month follow-up data were available for comparison in 14 of the patients who had undergone electrode deployment validated by AHR. Twelve patients experienced a >10% improvement in AHR at the target site; of these, 92% ($n = 11$) met the definition of an echocardiographic responder, whereas this was true for only 50% ($n = 1$) of the patients who failed to achieve a 10% improvement in AHR at the target site. Q-LV was measured at the site of endocardial stimulation, as described previously [\(10\),](#page-8-0) and correlated against the observed AHR. Delivering $\frac{B}{V_{ENDO}}$ pacing in areas of delayed electrical activation was associated with greater improvements in AHR ($R = 0.356$, $p = 0.013$; [Figure 2A](#page-5-0)). This correlation remained significant, even when accounting for repeated measures in individual patients using generalized linear mixed model analysis (mixed model: $p = 0.0007$). An even stronger correlation was found between AHR

4

CLE

5

(A) Change in AHR vs. Q-LV. (B) Change in AHR vs. Q-LV/QRS Ratio. (C) Change in AHR vs. Q-LV/QRS ratio >0.5. (D) Change in AHR vs. change in QRSd. Q-LV = the interval between the onset of the QRS complex on the surface electrocardiogram to the first large positive or negative peak of the left ventricular electrogram during a cardiac cycle; other abbreviations as in [Figure 1](#page-4-0).

and the Q-LV/QRS ratio (R = 0.432, $p = 0.003$; Figure 2B). This correlation remained significant, even when repeated measures in individual patients were accounting for (mixed model: $p = 0.0009$). When our cohort was dichotomized into endocardial locations with an Q-LV/QRS ratio >0.5 and an Q-LV/ QRS ratio <0.5, greater improvements in AHR were observed when endocardial pacing was delivered at areas displaying greater electrical latency (14.4 \pm 19.7% vs. 2.3 \pm 7.6%, p = 0.01; Figure 2C). Delivering endocardial pacing in a position that achieved a narrower paced QRSd showed a trend toward greater improvements in AHR ($R = -0.308$, $p = 0.03$; Graph 2D). This relationship failed to achieve statistical significance when evaluated for repeated measures (mixed model: $p = 0.06$).

TISSUE VIABILITY. Scar was identified using preimplant CMR and/or EAM. Seven patients had data comparing information on tissue viability and AHR, allowing the analysis of 38 positions. The mean change in AHR varied depending on whether stimulation was performed in an area of viable or scarred tissue (-3.9% \pm 5.9% vs. +4.6% \pm 8.8%, p = 0.0456; [Figure 3](#page-6-0)).

TICLE IN PRES

OPTIMAL ENDOCARDIAL SITE SELECTION. AHR varied significantly depending on the location where LVENDO stimulation was performed. Inferior and lateral segments were frequently identified as the optimal targets using preprocedural imaging (Figure 4). The basal inferolateral segment (American Heart Association segment 5) was most frequently identified as the optimal target ($n = 8$). Once a segment had been selected, it proved technically feasible to deploy the LV_{ENDO} electrode in this area in 92% of patients. In the remaining cases, the electrode was successfully deployed in an adjacent American Heart Association segment.

CHRONIC RESPONSE. Six-month follow-up data were available in 21 of the 26 patients implanted with a guided approach. Chronic BiV_{ENDO} pacing could not be delivered effectively after implant in 2 patients. One patient exited the study before follow-up because of worsening dementia. One patient had poor echocardiographic windows, rendering assessment of LV performance postimplant impossible, and follow-up data were not available in 1 patient.

Follow-up data for our cohort are presented in [Table 3](#page-7-0). There was a significant reduction in QRS duration with BiV_{ENDO} pacing (baseline: 163.8 ± 26.7 ms vs. 6 months: 134.8 ± 25.6 ms; $p = 0.002$). LV endsystolic volume was significantly reduced at 6 months (112.7 \pm 64.4 at baseline vs. 85 \pm 9 \pm 52.3 at 6 months; p < 0.0001). LVEF increased from a baseline of 34.2 \pm 7.8% to 39% \pm 9.8%, p = 0.008). Reverse remodeling (<15% reduction end-systolic volume) was observed in 71% patients (n = 15). Ninety percent (n = 19) of patients met the definition of echocardiographic responder (LV end-systolic volume: >15% reduction and/or >5% EF increase).

7

DISCUSSION

We hypothesized that guided placement of the WiSE-CRT LV endocardial pacing electrode would achieve greater improvements in acute markers of contractility and chronic markers of CRT response. The principal findings were as follows.

- 1. It proved technically feasible to selectively target and deploy the pacing electrode in a chosen endocardial segment in almost all cases with a similar complication rate to that observed in the published literature [\(9\)](#page-8-0).
- 2. The use of a guided approach to facilitate optimal deployment of the WiSE-CRT LV endocardial pacing electrode was associated with a reverse remodeling rate of 71%, whereas 90% of patients met the definition of an echocardiographic responder.

PERIPROCEDURAL METRICS FOR OPTIMAL ENDOCARDIAL SITE SELECTION. The optimal LV_{ENDO} pacing site displays large inter- and intrapatient variability. Previous work identified that a "lateral area strategy" of delivering $\frac{B}{V_{ENDO}}$ pacing at the lateral wall in a cohort of nonischemic cardiomyopathy patients, yielded similar hemodynamic improvements to both conventional epicardial CRT and echocardio-graphically guided LVENDO lead placement [\(10\).](#page-8-0) In our analysis, infero- and inferolateral segments were most commonly identified as representing the optimal target for WiSE-CRT LV electrode delivery.

Our analysis provides several insights into how best to determine the optimal LV pacing site. Tissue characterization is fundamental to achieving optimal CRT. Leyva et al. [\(26\)](#page-9-0) have previously shown the value of late gadolinium enhancement CMR to optimizing epicardial LV lead deployment. Our study confirms that delivering endocardial pacing in areas of scarred of fibrotic tissue, prospectively defined using either CMR or EAM, resulted in a reduction in acute hemodynamics. The mean AHR associated with delivering BiV_{ENDO} CRT in viable tissue showed statistical supremacy over stimulating fibrotic tissue and in no patient was the optimal segment noted to be scarred/ fibrotic. Narrowing of the paced QRSd during acute

BiV_{ENDO} pacing showed a trend favoring greater improvements in AHR, although this failed to achieve statistical significance when repeated measures in some patients were accounted for. A strong linear relationship, however, was identified between AHR and both Q-LV and Q-LV/QRSd ratio. As such, targeting locations that exhibit electrical latency would appear useful when looking to identify the optimal pacing site. Endocardial locations with a Q-LV/QRS ratio >0.5 were associated with a 14.3% \pm 19.7% improvement in AHR. Achieving >10% improvement in AHR during acute biventricular epicardial CRT has been shown to be predictive of chronic reverse remodeling [\(24\)](#page-9-0), suggesting patients will be more likely to remodel if a site with an LV/QRS ratio of 0.5 is selected.

CLINICAL IMPORTANCE. In the current study, the use of a guided approach to identify and target the optimal endocardial pacing location resulted in an impressive reverse remodeling rate of 71% and a composite echocardiographic response rate of 90%. These results compare favorably with the recently published Safety and Performance of Electrodes Implanted in the Left Ventricle (SELECT-LV) study, in which indiscriminate deployment of the LV pacing electrode achieved a remodeling rate of only 52% [\(9\).](#page-8-0) This figure is consistent with the response rate of 40% to 50% reported in a large meta-analysis of endocardial CRT [\(27\).](#page-9-0) Our results would suggest that a guided approach may be able to improve remodeling response rates in patients receiving BiV_{ENDO} CRT. This is in keeping with previous studies of epicardial CRT in which guidance increased LV reverse remodeling from 55% to 70% [\(16,17\).](#page-8-0)

STUDY LIMITATIONS. The majority of our cohort were patients receiving an upgrade from a preexisting single- or dual-chamber pacing system or in which an attempt at implanting an LV lead had previously failed. Echocardiographic response rates amongst those receiving an upgrade to epicardial CRT have been found to be comparable to those receiving a de novo implant; however, the rate of reverse remodeling identified in our cohort (71%) exceeded the degree of remodeling expected [\(28,29\)](#page-9-0). This rate of remodeling compares very favorably to that observed in the SELECT-LV study [\(9\)](#page-8-0) although, the number of patients in our analysis whose indication for the WiSE-CRT LV pacing system was prior nonresponse to CRT was lower than in SELECT-LV (8% vs. 29%). Although each center used a combination of preprocedural imaging and electroanatomical mapping to identify the optimal pacing site, the precise guidance protocol varied between centers; however, all techniques targeted viable tissue that displayed late

electrical and or mechanical activation. Finally, the use of LV reverse remodeling as a surrogate for longer term CRT response is open to criticism, but it is an objective and reproducible metric of CRT response.

CONCLUSION

Guided endocardial implants were associated with a higher degree of chronic LV remodeling compared with historical nonguided approaches. WiSE-CRT offers a feasible alternative for patients who fail to derive benefit or who cannot be implanted with a conventional CRT system. The ability to target the LV endocardium irrespective of coronary venous anatomy, without any incidence of phrenic nerve stimulation is a unique advantage. Targeting late activating, nonscarred areas of the endocardium may further improve CRT outcomes in this patient group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors acknowledge Emma Perchard, Dr. Shaumik Adhya, Dr. Madhvi Vaghela, and Christopher Blauth, who aided in implanting the WiSE-CRT pacing system.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Benjamin J. Sieniewicz, Department of Imaging Sciences and Biomedical Engineering, 4th Floor, North Wing, St Thomas' Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH, UK. E-mail: [benjamin.sieniewicz@kcl.ac.uk.](mailto:benjamin.sieniewicz@kcl.ac.uk)

PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE: Guided electrode deployment, in which the optimal LV_{ENDO} site is identified and targeted proved technically feasible and achieved a higher rate of volumetric remodeling and echocardiographic response than has previously been described using endocardial pacing.

TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK: To realize the full benefits of LV_{ENDO} , it is imperative that the optimal site be identified and accurately targeted.

REFERENCES

1. [Cleland JG, Daubert JC, Erdmann E, et al. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref1) [effect of cardiac resynchronization on morbidity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref1) [and mortality in heart failure. N Engl J Med 2005;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref1) [352:1539](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref1)–49.

2. [Brignole M, Auricchio A, Baron-Esquivias G,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [et al. 2013 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [cardiac resynchronization therapy: the Task Force](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [on cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [of the European Society of Cardiology \(ESC\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [Developed in collaboration with the European](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [Heart Rhythm Association. Europace 2013;15:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2) [1070](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref2)–118.

3. [Yu CM, Bleeker GB, Fung JW-H, et al. Left](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref3) [ventricular reverse remodeling but not clinical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref3) [improvement predicts long-term survival after](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref3) [cardiac resynchronization therapy. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref3) [2005;112:1580](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref3)–6.

4. [Yu C-M, Wing-Hong Fung J, Zhang Q,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref4) [Sanderson JE. Understanding nonresponders of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref4) [cardiac resynchronization therapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref4)—current and [future perspectives. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref4) [2005;16:1117](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref4)–24.

5. [Abu-El-Haija B, Bhave PD, Campbell DN, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref5) [Venous stenosis after transvenous lead place](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref5)[ment: a study of outcomes and risk factors in 212](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref5) [consecutive patients. J Am Heart Assoc 2015;4:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref5) [e001878.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref5)

6. [Behar JM, Jackson TA, Hyde ER, et al. Opti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref6)[mized left ventricular endocardial stimulation is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref6) [superior to optimized epicardial stimulation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref6) [ischemic patients with poor response to cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref6) [resynchronization therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol EP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref6) [2016;2:799](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref6)–809.

7. [Van Gelder BM, Nathoe R, Bracke FA. Haemo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref7)[dynamic evaluation of alternative left ventricular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref7)

[endocardial pacing sites in clinical non-responders](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref7) [to cardiac resynchronisation therapy. Netherlands](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref7) [Hear J 2016;24:85](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref7)–92.

8. [Auricchio A, Delnoy PP, Butter C, et al. Feasi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref8)[bility, safety, and short-term outcome of leadless](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref8) [ultrasound-based endocardial left ventricular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref8) [resynchronization in heart failure patients: results](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref8) [of the Wireless Stimulation Endocardially for CRT](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref8) [\(WiSE-CRT\) study. Europace 2014;16:681](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref8)–8.

9. [Reddy VY, Miller MA, Neuzil P, et al. Cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref9) [resynchronization therapy with wireless left ven](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref9)[tricular endocardial pacing: the SELECT-LV Study.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref9) [J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:2119](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref9)–29.

10. [Derval N, Steendijk P, Gula LJ, et al. Opti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref10)[mizing hemodynamics in heart failure patients by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref10) [systematic screening of left ventricular pacing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref10) [sites. The lateral left ventricular wall and the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref10) [coronary sinus are rarely the best sites. J Am Coll](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref10) [Cardiol 2010;55:566](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref10)–75.

11. [Spragg DD, Dong J, Fetics BJ, et al. Optimal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref11) [left ventricular endocardial pacing sites for cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref11) [resynchronization therapy in patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref11) [ischemic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref11) [56:774](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref11)–81.

12. [Ginks M, Shetty AK, Lambiase PD, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12) Benefi[ts of endocardial and multisite pacing are](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12) [dependent on the type of left ventricular elec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12)[tric activation pattern and presence of ischemic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12) [heart disease: Insights from electroanatomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12) [mapping. Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2012;5:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12) [889](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref12)–97.

13. [Shetty AK, Sohal M, Chen Z, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref13) [A comparison of left ventricular endocardial,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref13) [multisite, and multipolar epicardial cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref13) [resynchronization: an acute haemodynamic and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref13) [electroanatomical study. Europace 2014;16:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref13) [873](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref13)–9.

14. [Bilchick KC, Kuruvilla S, Hamirani YS, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref14) [Impact of mechanical activation, scar, and elec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref14)[trical timing on cardiac resynchronization therapy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref14) [response and clinical outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref14) [2014;63:1657](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref14)–66.

15. [Singh JP, Fan D, Heist EK, et al. Left ventricular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref15) [lead electrical delay predicts response to cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref15) [resynchronization therapy. Heart Rhythm 2006;3:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref15) [1285](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref15)–92.

16. [Gold MR, Birgersdotter-Green U, Singh JP,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref16) [et al. The relationship between ventricular elec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref16)[trical delay and left ventricular remodelling with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref16) [cardiac resynchronization therapy. Eur Heart J](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref16) [2011;32:2516](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref16)–24.

17. [Khan FZ, Virdee MS, Palmer CR, et al. Targeted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref17) [left ventricular lead placement to guide cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref17) [resynchronization therapy: the TARGET study: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref17) [randomized, controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref17) [2012;59:1509](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref17)–18.

18. [Behar JM, Mountney P, Toth D, et al. Real-time](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref18) [X-MRI-guided left ventricular lead implantation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref18) [for targeted delivery of cardiac resynchronization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref18) [therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol CE 2017;3:803](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref18)–14.

19. [Saba S, Marek J, Schwartzman D, et al. Echo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref19)[cardiography-guided left ventricular lead place](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref19)[ment for cardiac resynchronization therapy:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref19) [results of the Speckle Tracking Assisted Resynch](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref19)[ronization Therapy for Electrode Region Trial. Circ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref19) [Hear Fail 2013;6:427](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref19)–34.

20. Bakos Z, Ostenfeld E, Markstad H, et al. A comparison between radial strain evaluation by speckle-tracking echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, for assessment of

RT **CLE**

suitable segments for left ventricular lead placement in cardiac resynchronization therapy. 2014;16:1779–86.

21. [Delgado V, Van Bommel RJ, Bertini M, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref21) [Relative merits of left ventricular dyssynchrony,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref21) [left ventricular lead position, and myocardial scar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref21) [to predict long-term survival of ischemic heart](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref21) [failure patients undergoing cardiac resynchroni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref21)[zation therapy. Circulation 2011;123:70](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref21)–8.

22. [Behar JM, Sieniewicz BJ, Mountney P, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref22) [Image integration to guide wireless endocardial LV](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref22) [electrode implantation for CRT. J Am Coll Cardiol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref22) [Cardiovasc Img 2017;10:1526](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref22)–8.

23. [Butter C, Auricchio A, Stellbrink C, et al. Effect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref23) [of resynchronization therapy stimulation site on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref23) [the systolic function of heart failure patients.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref23) [Circulation 2001;104:3026](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref23)–9.

24. [Duckett S, Ginks M, Shetty AK, et al. Invasive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref24) [acute hemodynamic response to guide left ventric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref24)[ular lead implantation predicts chronic remodeling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref24) [in patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref24) [Therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:1128](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref24)–36.

25. [Picard MH, Young Park M, Altman RK, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref25) [Characteristics of responders to cardiac resynch](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref25)[ronization therapy: the impact of echocardio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref25)[graphic left ventricular volume. Clin Cardiol 2012;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref25) [35:779](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref25)–80.

26. [Leyva F, Foley PW, Chalil S, et al. Cardiac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref26) [resynchronization therapy guided by late](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref26) [gadolinium-enhancement cardiovascular mag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref26)[netic resonance. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2011;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref26) [13:29.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref26)

27. [Gamble JHP, Herring N, Ginks M, Rajappan K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref27) [Bashir Y, Betts TR. Endocardial left ventricular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref27)

[pacing for cardiac resynchronization: systematic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref27) [review and meta-analysis. Europace 2016;9:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref27) [1798](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref27)–804.

28. [Duray GZ, Israel CW, Pajitnev D, Hohnloser SH.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref28) [Upgrading to biventricular pacing/de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref28)fibrillation [systems in right ventricular paced congestive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref28) [heart failure patients: prospective assessment of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref28) [procedural parameters and response rate. Euro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref28)[pace 2007;10:48](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref28)–52.

29. [Rickard J, Cheng A, Spragg DD, et al. QRS](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref29) [narrowing is associated with reverse remodeling in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref29) [patients with chronic right ventricular pacing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref29) [upgraded to cardiac resynchronization therapy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref29) [Heart Rhythm 2013;10:55](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2405-500X(18)30226-3/sref29)–60.

KEY WORDS Biventricular, CRT, endocardial, heart failure, resynchronization