

King's Research Portal

Document Version Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](https://kclpure.kcl.ac.uk/portal/en/publications/460dc4d8-2454-42e9-9b05-fe19f832c774)

Citation for published version (APA):

Onoufriadis, A., Hsu, C.-K., Ainali, C., Ying Ung, C., Rashidghamat, E., Yang, H.-S., Huang, H.-Y., Niazi, U., Tziotzios, C., Yang, J.-C., Nuamah, R., Tang, M.-J., Saxena, A., de Rinaldis, E., & McGrath, J. A. (2018). Time series integrative analysis of RNA-Seq and miRNA expression data reveals key biologic wound healing pathways in keloid-prone individuals. Journal of Investigative Dermatology. Advance online publication.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Time series integrative analysis of RNA-Seq and miRNA expression data reveals key biologic wound healing pathways in keloid-prone individuals

Alexandros Onoufriadis^{1,*}, Chao-Kai Hsu^{2,3,*}, Chrysanthi Ainali¹, Chuin Ying Ung¹, Ellie Rashidghamat¹, Hsing-San Yang², Hsin-Yu Huang^{2,4}, Umar Niazi⁵, Christos Tziotzios¹, Jui-Chu Yang⁶, Rosamond Nuamah⁷, Ming-Jer Tang^{3,8}, Alka Saxena⁷, Emanuele de Rinaldis⁵, John A. McGrath¹

¹St John's Institute of Dermatology, School of Basic and Medical Biosciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

²Department of Dermatology, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

³International Research Center of Wound Repair and Regeneration (iWRR) National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

⁴School of Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

⁵Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London NIHR Biomedical Research Centre Translational Bioinformatics Platform, Guy's Hospital, London, United Kingdom

⁶Human Biobank, Research Centre of Clinical Medicine, National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan

⁷Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London NIHR Biomedical Research Centre Genomics Research Platform, Guy's Hospital, London, United Kingdom

⁸Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding author: John A. McGrath, Dermatology Research Labs, Floor 9 Tower Wing, Guy's Hospital, Great Maze Pond, London SE1 9RT, United Kingdom. Tel: 44-2071886409; Fax: 44-2071888050; E-mail: john.mcgrath@kcl.ac.uk

Short title: Early keloid transcriptome

Abbreviations used: RNA-Seq, RNA sequencing; miRNA, microRNA; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; DE, differentially expressed; GSVA, gene set variation analysis; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; IL, interleukin

Funding sources: The authors acknowledge financial support from The Rosetrees Trust (M348) and by the grants of the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST105-2320-B-006-013).

Conflicts of interest: None declared

Word count: 1,056

Figures: 2

Keywords: Keloid, scarring, wound healing, transcriptome

Keloidal scarring is a common and disfiguring skin problem yet its pathobiology is only partially understood and treatments remain sub-optimal (Glass 2017). To date, most investigative studies have focused on established keloid lesions and the surrounding extracellular matrix (He et al., 2017). In contrast, here we explored transcriptomic alterations at an earlier time-point – during keloid formation. We studied keloid-prone individuals from pedigrees with an autosomal dominant history of keloids, as well as unaffected family members and healthy matched control subjects without any tendency to form keloids (see Supplementary Figure S1). All subjects were Taiwanese.

Following institutional ethics approval and written informed consent, we performed 3mm punch biopsies of non-lesional upper outer buttock skin, followed by a further 4mm punch biopsy of the same site 6 weeks later (see Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S2). For the study, biopsying buttock skin was deemed acceptable by both the participants and the ethics' committee (see Supplementary material for further discussion thereof). The 6-week time-point was chosen based on feedback from the keloid-prone individuals as to when they were normally first aware that a keloid scar was developing. We undertook an integrative approach of RNA-Seq and miRNA expression analysis based on the two sets of skin biopsies (baseline and 6 weeks later).

The study involved 8 keloid-prone subjects and 6 healthy matched individuals. Each skin biopsy was immediately immersed in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plus Universal kit (Qiagen), retaining miRNAs according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA samples were subjected to microarray analysis on Affymetrix GeneChip miRNA 4.0 arrays and total RNA-Seq analysis on Illumina pair-end sequencing (see Supplementary Materials). The RNA-Seq raw data files and metadata have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA ID: SRP137071) and the miRNA raw data and metadata in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO ID: GSE113621).

A stepwise bioinformatics strategy was followed to identify differentially expressed miRNAs that may contribute to keloid pathogenesis (see Supplementary Materials and Supplementary Figure S3). This analysis highlighted 37 miRNAs that were differentially expressed in the keloid-prone subjects. Hierarchical clustering revealed two clusters which were upregulated 6 weeks after wounding (see Supplementary Figure S4).

In parallel, differentially expression (DE) analysis was applied to the RNA-Seq data between keloid-prone and healthy subjects, which identified 8 genes at baseline and 47 genes at 6 weeks after wounding that were differentially expressed (adjusted Pvalue < 0.05; see Supplementary Materials). Comparing healthy controls before and after wounding identified 2,215 differentially expressed genes, whereas the same analysis in the keloid-prone individuals identified 3,161 differentially expressed genes (see Supplementary Figure S5a). Of those genes, there were 513 genes specific to the healthy individuals and 1,449 genes specific to the keloid phenotype (see Supplementary Figure S5b). Hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed genes specific to the keloid phenotype exhibited two distinct clusters showing changes in expression between baseline and 6 weeks after wounding (see Supplementary Figure S6).

We further assessed pathway enrichment in the RNA-Seq data using the Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) package (see Supplementary Figure S7) (Hänzelmann et al, 2013). For genes specific to the keloid phenotype, there were 101 differentially activated pathways between baseline and 6 weeks after wounding, while 24 pathways were found to be differentially activated for the genes that were specific to the healthy individuals (Figure 1, and see Supplementary Tables S2-S3). Of these, 22 pathways that were specific to the keloid-prone individuals were present on the KEGG and Reactome pathway databases, which are manually curated and well-annotated.

Of note, NOTCH signaling, MAPK signaling and Toll-like receptor pathways were found to be altered in keloid-prone individuals after wounding with a decrease in pathway activity. These pathways have already been suggested to play a role in keloid disease, and thus our analysis provides further evidence to support their involvement (Bagabir et al., 2011; Syed and Bayat., 2012; Wu et al., 2017). Moreover, DNA repair and p53 signaling pathways were also highlighted (Yamauchi et al., 2018). In addition, the analysis also identified altered regulation of insulin secretion and metabolic pathways (RNA, protein, fructose, mannose and glycerophospholipid metabolism) in keloid pathobiology. Of note, recent work has shown increased glycolytic metabolism in keloid fibroblasts suggesting that dysregulation of metabolic pathways such as glucose metabolism may contribute to keloid formation (Li et al., 2018).

To identify the targetome of the differentially expressed miRNAs for each of the two clusters of the differentially expressed genes in keloid-prone individuals (see Supplementary Figures S4 and S6), we intersected the 37 miRNAs with the 1,449 genes that were specific to the keloid phenotype and that were identified from the analyses described above. As a result, there were 403 over-expressed mRNA-miRNA interactions for 24 DE miRNAs for cluster 1 and 635 down-regulated mRNA-miRNA interactions for 29 DE miRNAs. Figure 2a visualizes the networks derived from both up- and down-regulated putative targets that are specific to the keloid phenotype 6 weeks after wounding.

Next, to investigate the functional dynamic changes of the 1,449 DE genes that were involved in the targetome we conducted gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the R package GAGE (Luo et al., 2009). This analysis identified MAPK signaling pathway as the only gene set to be significantly dysregulated in the keloid prone subjects 6 weeks after wounding (see Supplementary Tables S4-S5). Notably, other published data have shown that inhibition of MAPK hinders invasive growth of keloid fibroblasts (Wu et al., 2017).

Gene association network analysis was also performed to further classify the differentially expressed genes from the RNA-Seq dataset according to Reactome pathway terms and correlated expression values amongst them (Figure 2b, and see Supplementary Materials). This analysis demonstrated a divergent average expression profile of cytokine signaling genes between keloid-prone and healthy individuals during wound healing. Of note, interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α proinflammatory cytokines have been shown to be upregulated in keloid tissue (Ogawa et al., 2016). Differences in organelle biogenesis and metabolism were also highlighted, providing further support that dysregulation of metabolic pathways may contribute to keloid formation.

In summary, our study provides a comprehensive and integrative analysis of the keloid transcriptome and miRNAome and highlights biological pathways that feature during keloid formation. Functional validation will be required to confirm these findings and determine mechanistic and potential therapeutic relevance. Similar studies at earlier time-points after wounding are also likely to add further insight to keloid biogenesis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the grants of the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST105-2320-B-006-013), The Rosetrees Trust (M481) and by the UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) award to Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, in partnership with the King's College London and King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. We acknowledge statistical assistance by Dr Lazaros Mavridis and Dr Tanya Shaw for her comments on the manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge all the patients, their relatives, and volunteers who have kindly contributed samples.

References

Bagabir RA, Syed F, Rautemaa R, McGrouther DA, Paus R, Bayat A. Upregulation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) 6, 7, and 8 in keloid scars. J Invest Dermatol 2011;131:2128- 30.

Bran GM, Goessler UR, Hormann K, Riedel F, Sadick H. Keloids: current concepts of pathogenesis (review). Int J Mol Med 2009;24:283-93

Glass DA 2nd. [Current Understanding of the Genetic Causes of Keloid](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28941494) Formation. J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc 2017;18:S50-S53.

Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. BMC Bioinformatics 2013;14:7.

He Y, Deng Z, Alghamdi M, Lu L, Fear MW, He L. From genetics to epigenetics: new [insights into keloid](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28054407) scarring. Cell Prolif 2017;50.

Li Q, Qin Z, Nie F, Bi H, Zhao R, Pan B, et al. Metabolic reprogramming in keloid fibroblasts: Aerobic glycolysis and a novel therapeutic strategy. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2018;496:641-647.

Luo W, Friedman MS, Shedden K, Hankenson KD, Woolf PJ. GAGE: generally applicable gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 2009;10:161.

Ogawa R. Keloid and hypertrophic scars are the result of chronic inflammation in the reticular dermis. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:606.

Syed F, Bayat A. Notch signaling pathway in keloid disease: enhanced fibroblast activity in a Jagged-1 peptide-dependent manner in lesional vs. extralesional fibroblasts. Wound Repair Regen 2012;20:688-706.

Wu X, Bian D, Dou Y, Gong Z, Tan Q, Xia Y, et al. Asiaticoside hinders the invasive growth of keloid fibroblasts through inhibition of the GDF-9/MAPK/Smad pathway. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 2017;31.

Yamauchi M, Barker TH, Gibbons DL, Kurie JM. The fibrotic tumor stroma. J Clin Invest 2018;128:16-25.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Pathway analysis during keloid formation. Hierarchical clustering of differentially activated pathways identified by the GSVA method for the differentially expressed genes specific to the healthy (top panel) and keloid-prone individuals (bottom panel). Green represents downregulated pathways and red represents overexpressed pathways.

Figure 2. miRNA-mRNA targetome and gene association network analysis during keloid formation. (a) Targetome of DE miRNAs. Size of grey nodes indicates the padjusted value for the differentially expressed miRNAs; the larger the node the more biological significant the miRNA is likely to have. Red nodes indicate upregulated genes while green nodes indicate downregulated genes in keloid subjects during keloid formation. **(b)** Gene association network during keloid formation (top panel). Genes are represented by colored nodes and are split into 27 clusters, sized by the number of genes in them. Genes in the same cluster are connected with black edges and genes that are connected across clusters with red edges. Average expression change in each cluster of the gene association network in keloid-prone and healthy individuals between baseline and 6 weeks after wounding (bottom panel). Each cluster is referenced by the x, y coordinate system. The x-axis represents time points, while the y-axis represents the average expression in each cluster. All expression levels are standardized and centered for plotting purposes.

samples

Supplementary material

Time series integrative analysis of RNA-Seq and miRNA expression data reveals

key biologic wound healing pathways in keloid-prone individuals Alexandros Onoufriadis et al.

Supplementary Figure S1. Pedigrees from whom keloid-prone individuals were recruited for this study. Study skin biopsies were obtained from keloid-prone individuals indicated with a K and controls with N. An additional 4 matched controls not contained in these pedigrees were also included in the study. F numbers depict internal pedigree reference numbers.

Sample ID	Age (years)	Sex	Main keloid-prone areas	Duration (years)
Keloid				
$K-1$	32	male	bilateral arms, abdomen	10
$K-2$	23	male	bilateral mandibular area, chest	$\overline{7}$
$K-3$	28	female	left arm	12
$K-4$	23	male	chest	5
$K-5$	24	male	back	12
$K-6$	30	male	chest, back	18
$K-7$	57	female	Left arm	44
$K-8$	25	male	chest	10
Control				
$N-1$	24	male		
$N-2$	58	male		
$N-3$	28	male		
$N-4$	22	male		
$N-5$	31	male		
$N-6$	34	male		

Supplementary Table S1. Demographics for keloid-prone and control samples

K-1, left deltoid

K-2, right mandibular area

K-3, left deltoid

K-6, chest

K-4, chest

K-5, back

K-7, left arm

Day 0 3mm punch: Collect in RNAlater for RNA extraction and RNA-Seq 8 keloid-prone and 3mm punch 6 healthy individuals biopsies 6 weeks later 4mm punch: Collect in RNAlater for RNA extraction and RNA-Seq 4mm punch biopsies at original sites

Supplementary Figure S2. Study design and keloid phenotype. (a) Illustration of established keloid lesions in the keloid-prone individuals who participated in the study. **(b)** Skin biopsy protocol and sample preparation for non-lesional skin in these individuals and the control subjects. The upper outer buttock was selected as the biopsy site in all individuals (keloid-prone and controls). The second punch biopsy was taken

 $\mathsf b$

directly overlying the initial punch biopsy. Following the second biopsy, the biopsy site in the keloid-prone individuals was injected with intra-dermal triamcinolone (10mg/ml) to try to reduce the risk of subsequent keloid scarring at this site. Nevertheless, within 3 months, 2 of 8 individuals went on to develop keloids at the biopsy site necessitating further intralesional triamcinolone treatment to reduce keloid size. None of the control subjects wound sites went on to form keloids.

Limitations of the study model.

The buttock is not a prototypic site for keloid formation. However, it was not deemed ethically acceptable to biopsy more typical keloid-prone sites such as the skin overlying the sternum or shoulders. Nor were such biopsy sites acceptable to the keloid-prone participants (or control subjects). Ethics' committee approval also required us to try to avoid keloids forming after our second biopsies by injecting intra-lesional steroids to the biopsy sites, similar to what might be done in clinical practice in keloid-prone individual undergoing minor skin surgical procedures. The question therefore arises whether biopsying buttock skin is able to truly reflect the cellular pathology and events that typify what happens during "normal" keloid formation? The observation that two individuals in the study developed keloids at the biopsy site, despite the intra-lesional steroid injections, indicates that keloids - in keloid-prone individuals – can develop at this site, and that biopsying buttock skin may provide a useful and acceptable model for analyzing keloid biogenesis. Indeed, some of the other 6 keloid-prone individuals may also have gone on to develop keloids at the biopsy sites were it not for the injection of intra-lesional steroids, and thus undertaking subgroup analysis of those individuals who did or did not form keloids is not appropriate for this study. Nevertheless, it is important to reflect that our model for study may not precisely reflect events at other more typical keloid sites.

miRNA Expression Analysis

miRNA expression values were quantile normalized using Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) package in R and differential expression analysis was applied in the dataset across four different groups of samples (between keloid-prone and non-prone subjects at baseline and 6 weeks after wounding). Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to assess the clustering of samples. The limma (Linear Models for Microarray and RNA-Seq Data) package embedded in R [\(http://www.r-project.org/\)](http://www.r-project.org/) was used to select miRNAs whose mean expression level was significantly different between different experimental conditions and further generated a list of microRNAs with associated statistics (Ritchie et al., 2015). Population level control and one factor analysis were used and miRNAs with an absolute fold change greater than 1.5 and adjusted *p*-value < 0.05 were selected as candidates that have significantly different expression for each comparison.

Initially, bioinformatics analysis identified 275 differentially expressed miRNAs in healthy individuals between baseline and 6 weeks after wounding, whereas 400 differentially expressed miRNAs were identified in the keloid-prone individuals between the two time points (Supplementary Figure S3 – Step 1). Next, the intersection of mirTarBase and TargetScanDB databases was used to annotate the differential expressed miRNAs across the four different conditions with their predicted target genes, resulting in 129,477 common miRNA-mRNA interactions in the two databases and 333 unique miRNAs (Supplementary Figure S3 – Step 2). By cross-referencing the differentially expressed miRNAs with the unique miRNAs of the miRNA-mRNA interactions, we identified 91 miRNAs that differentiate the healthy individuals between the two time points and 122 miRNAs for the keloid-prone individuals. Of those, there were 6 miRNAs specific to the healthy individuals and 37 miRNAs specific to the keloid-prone subjects (Supplementary Figure S3 – Step 3).

STEP 1

miRNA Expression Matrices

Supplementary Figure S3. Stepwise differential expression profiling analysis of the miRNA expression data.

Supplementary Figure S4. Hierarchical clustering of the 37 unique differentially expressed miRNAs in the keloid phenotype, following cross-reference with the unique miRNAs of the miRNA-mRNA interaction databases. Two clusters discriminate miRNAs that exhibit an upregulation in keloid prone subjects 6 weeks after wounding.

RNA-Seq library preparation and sequencing

Whole transcriptome RNA-Seq libraries were prepared on the Agilent Bravo liquid handling system using a modification of the Agilent Sureselect stranded RNA kit (Catalog 9691B). Briefly, ribosomal RNA was removed from 900ng of high quality RNA using the Ribozero Gold rRNA removal kit (Illumina, Cambridge cat MRZG12324) and ribodepletion was verified on a Bioanalyzer Pico RNA chip (Agilent catalog 5017-1513). Ribodepleted RNA was fragmented and reverse transcribed with random primers in the presence of Actinomycin D (Sigma) to inhibit antisense artifacts through DNA dependent DNA synthesis (Perocchi et al., 2007). Second strand was synthesized with dUTP, the ends of ds-cDNA were polished followed by adaptor ligation and PCR amplification in the presence of Uracil-DNA-Glycosylase (UDG) to selectively degrade the second strand. Libraries were bead purified using Ampure beads, fragment size confirmed on Agilent Tapestation D1000 Screentape and quantified with Qubit. Sequencing was conducted on HiSeq2500 and HiSeq3000 (Illumina, Cambridge).

RNA-Seq Data Analysis

Sequencing reads were aligned against the human genome sequence (hg38) using hisat2. Reads with a MAPQ (mapping quality) below 10 and PCR duplicate reads were removed with Samtools package. On average, 121 million reads per samples were obtained. The UCSC hg38 genome annotation was used to generate gene count tables for each sample using the GenomicAlignments library in R (Lawrence et al., 2013). Gene counts for the technical replicates were added together and data were normalized for library size correction using DESeq2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the normalized gene counts was performed to ensure the homogeneity of the data and to exclude any potential batch effects among sequencing runs. Sample N3-2nd was removed because of outlier status. Following normalization, low-expressed genes with an average expression across all samples of less than 3 reads were removed. RNA differential expression (DE) analysis was performed with the glmmADMB package in R using a mixed effect generalized linear model with a random intercept for each patient from the negative binomial family (Fournier et al. 2012).

 $\mathbf b$

Supplementary Figure S5. (a) Differentially expressed genes across the different phenotypes before and after wounding. **(b)** Venn diagram reveals unique genes in the pairwise comparisons.

Supplementary Figure S6. Hierarchical clustering reveals two distinct clusters with expression differences between baseline and 6 weeks after wounding for the 1449 keloid-specific genes.

Pathway Enrichment Analysis

Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) of the DE RNA-Seq data was performed in R using the C2 collection of curated gene sets that form part of the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) version 3.0. GSVA transforms the data from a gene-by-sample matrix to a gene set-by-sample matrix by performing a change in coordinate systems, and therefore allowing for the evaluation of pathway enrichment for each sample (Supplementary Figure S7). A stringent cut-off (adjPvalueCutoff = 0.001) was employed to attain high level of statistical and biologic significance.

Supplementary Figure S7. Schematic representation of the GSVA method showing the conversion of a gene-by-sample matrix to a gene set-by-sample matrix.

Supplementary Table S2. Differentially regulated pathways identified by the GSVA method for the 513 genes that were specific to the healthy individuals.

* FC refers to the differential expression between control samples at day 42 vs Day 0 For MSigDB collections:

<http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/collections.jsp>

Supplementary Table S3. Differentially regulated pathways identified by the GSVA method for the 1449 genes that were specific to the keloid-prone individuals.

* Gene sets present in the Reactome and KEGG databases are highlighted in yellow and orange respectively

** FC refers to the differential expression between keloid samples at day 42 vs Day 0 For MSigDB collections:

http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/collections.jsp

Supplementary Table S4. Differentially activated pathways identified by the GSEA method for the 1,449 genes that were specific to the keloid-prone individuals.

Supplementary Table S5. MAPK signaling pathway genes involved in the keloid interactome between the RNA-Seq and miRNA datasets.

Gene Association Network Analysis

Differentially expressed genes from the four comparisons with adjusted P-value of less than 0.05 were used to construct gene association networks. Briefly, differentially expressed genes were represented in the form of a graph - where two genes are associated (i.e. were connected by a line in the graph) if they shared a Reactome ID (Croft et al., 2014) and their expression profiles were correlated above absolute value of 0.6. In this network of 757 associated genes, clusters were detected using edge betweenness community detection algorithm (Newman et al., 2004), and implemented in igraph (Csardi et al., 2006) library in R. Each cluster is assigned a class label which is the most common Reactome term shared among the member genes of the respective cluster. The average expression profile of the genes in each cluster is calculated and plotted.

Supplementary References

Croft D, Mundo AF, Haw R, Milacic M, Weiser J, Wu G, et al. The Reactome pathway knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:D472-7.

Csardi G, Nepusz T: The igraph software package for complex network research, InterJournal, Complex Systems 2006;1695.

Fournier DA, Skaug HJ, Ancheta J, Ianelli J, Magnusson A, Maunder MN, et al. AD Model Builder: Using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. Optim. Methods Softw. 2012;27:233–249.

Lawrence M, Huber W, Pagès H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, et al. Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. PLoS Comput Biol. 2013;9:e1003118.

Newman ME, Girvan M. Finding and evaluating community structure in networks. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys. 2004;69:026113.

Perocchi F, Xu Z, Clauder-Münster S, Steinmetz LM. Antisense artifacts in transcriptome microarray experiments are resolved by actinomycin D. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:e128.

Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:e47.