



# King's Research Portal

DOI: [10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009)

Document Version Version created as part of publication process; publisher's layout; not normally made publicly available

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](https://kclpure.kcl.ac.uk/portal/en/publications/577221ec-75da-4848-b844-0c80872d1b08)

Citation for published version (APA):

Norman, L. J., Carlisi, C. O., Christakou, A., Murphy, C. M., Chantiluke, K., Giampietro, V., Simmons, A., Brammer, M., Mataix-Cols, D., & Rubia, K. (2018). Frontostriatal Dysfunction During Decision Making in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, 3(8), 694-703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009>

# **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Archival Report

# Frontostriatal Dysfunction During Decision Making in Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Luke J. Norman, Christina O. Carlisi, Anastasia Christakou, Clodagh M. Murphy, Kaylita Chantiluke, Vincent Giampietro, Andrew Simmons, Michael Brammer, David Mataix-Cols, and Katya Rubia

# ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The aim of the current paper is to provide the first comparison of computational mechanisms and neurofunctional substrates in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) during decision making under ambiguity.

METHODS: Sixteen boys with ADHD, 20 boys with OCD, and 20 matched control subjects (12–18 years of age) completed a functional magnetic resonance imaging version of the Iowa Gambling Task. Brain activation was compared between groups using three-way analysis of covariance. Hierarchical Bayesian analysis was used to compare computational modeling parameters between groups.

RESULTS: Patient groups shared reduced choice consistency and relied less on reinforcement learning during decision making relative to control subjects, while adolescents with ADHD alone demonstrated increased reward sensitivity. During advantageous choices, both disorders shared underactivation in ventral striatum, while OCD patients showed disorder-specific underactivation in the ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex. During outcome evaluation, shared underactivation to losses in patients relative to control subjects was found in the medial prefrontal cortex and shared underactivation to wins was found in the left putamen/caudate. ADHD boys showed disorder-specific dysfunction in the right putamen/caudate, which was activated more to losses in patients with ADHD but more to wins in control subjects.

CONCLUSIONS: The findings suggest shared deficits in using learned reward expectancies to guide decision making, as well as shared dysfunction in medio-fronto-striato-limbic brain regions. However, findings of unique dysfunction in the ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex in OCD and in the right putamen in ADHD indicate additional, disorder-specific abnormalities and extend similar findings from inhibitory control tasks in the disorders to the domain of decision making under ambiguity.

Keywords: ADHD, Computational modeling, Disorder specificity, fMRI, OCD, Reward

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009>

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is defined by ageinappropriate problems with inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity [\(1\)](#page-8-0) and affects 3% to 8% of children worldwide as well as 4% of adults [\(2\)](#page-8-0). Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), on the other hand, is characterized by obsessions, defined as recurrent and intrusive thoughts (e.g., on themes of contamination, checking, orderliness, and symmetry), and compulsions, i.e., repetitive, ego-dystonic, and time-consuming behavioral and mental rituals (e.g., repetitive washing or checking) [\(1\)](#page-8-0). OCD has a lifetime prevalence of 2% to 3% [\(3\)](#page-8-0).

In early models, ADHD and OCD were placed at opposing ends of a hypothesized impulsivity-compulsivity spectrum  $(4,5)$ . However, the high level of comorbidity between the disorders in particular during adolescence does not fit with this model and suggests a potential overlap in the two disorders in genetic and neuroendophenotypic features [\(3](#page-8-0)-7). For instance, both ADHD and OCD patients show neural dysfunction during decision making and reward processing  $(8-14)$  $(8-14)$ , with this proposed to underlie impulsive behaviors in ADHD and compulsive behaviors in OCD (15–[17\).](#page-8-0) Recent efforts including the Research Domain Criteria emphasize the importance of investigating transdiagnostic phenotypes that may be underpinned by shared and/or disorder-specific neurofunctional mechanisms [\(18\)](#page-8-0).

One of the most commonly used tasks to measure rewardbased decision making is the Iowa Gambling Task (IGT), in which participants are instructed to select cards one at a time from one of four possible decks [\(19,20\).](#page-8-0) Each card is associated with a monetary win or loss, and participants must learn to choose from advantageous decks and avoid disadvantageous decks [\(21\).](#page-8-0) Computational models of IGT performance suggest that a number of cognitive functions underlie individual differences in task performance, including the ability to learn and utilize the reinforcement contingencies of each deck, reinforcement learning rates, reward and loss sensitivity, relative use of a win-stay/lose-shift–based versus expectancy-based choice selection strategy, and a tendency to exploit advantageous decks versus continued exploration of alternative options [\(22,23\).](#page-8-0)

In the brain, performance during decision making on the IGT recruits activation in the ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex (vmOFC) and the ventral striatum (VS), regions that are closely interconnected as part of a dopaminergic mesolimbic circuit [\(21,24](#page-8-0)–26). In tandem, these brain regions support flexible emotional learning and guide decision making by encoding prospective values for available options [\(21,24,27,28\)](#page-8-0). Performance on the IGT also requires assessment of rewards and losses, which recruits the vmOFC, VS, and adjacent limbic regions [\(21,24,29,30\).](#page-8-0) During adolescence, performance on decision-making tasks such as the IGT improves in a linear fashion, independently from maturations in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (PFC)–dependent executive functioning, and in line with maturation of the vmOFC and VS [\(24,31](#page-8-0)–34).

The aim of this study was to conduct a comparison of neurofunctional abnormalities during performance of the IGT in adolescent ADHD and OCD patients. In both patient groups we anticipated an impaired ability to align deck choice with expected values [\(13,35\),](#page-8-0) as well as altered striatal activation during decision making [\(9,36,37\)](#page-8-0). Given the previous literature on orbitofrontal dysfunction in OCD, it was predicted to be more pronounced in or disorder-specific to adolescents with OCD in the current study [\(7,38](#page-8-0)–40). During outcome processing, decreased VS responses to rewards were anticipated in adolescents with OCD, while ADHD adolescents were ex-pected to show increased VS responses to rewards [\(8,41](#page-8-0)-44).

#### METHODS AND MATERIALS

#### **Participants**

Fifty-six (16 ADHD, 20 OCD, 20 control subjects) right-handed [\(45\)](#page-9-0) male adolescents aged between 12 and 18 years of age participated, with an IQ  $>80$  as measured by the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence-Revised short form [\(46\).](#page-9-0) ADHD boys met DSM-IV criteria for inattentive/hyperactive-impulsive combined subtype, as assessed using the standardized Maudsley diagnostic interview [\(1,47\)](#page-8-0), scored above clinical cutoff on the Conners' Parent Rating Scale-Revised [\(48\)](#page-9-0) as well as the inattention/hyperactivity scale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire [\(49\),](#page-9-0) and were recruited from local Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services. Medicated ADHD patients underwent a 48-hour washout period before scanning. Patients with ADHD were free of comorbidities besides conduct disorder, as determined by a consultant psychiatrist. Boys with OCD were recruited from a national specialist clinic for childhood OCD and local Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services and had clinical diagnoses of OCD, as assessed according to the ICD-10 criteria and the Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (CY-BOCS) [\(49\).](#page-9-0) Following a detailed clinical assessment, consisting of in-depth interviews with both patient and parents, patients with OCD were determined by a consultant psychiatrist to be free of comorbid diagnoses, including comorbid ADHD.

Control participants had no diagnoses of any psychiatric conditions and were recruited using local advertising. Data for some participants have been published elsewhere [\(21,24,35\).](#page-8-0)

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was obtained from the local Research Ethics Committee (05/Q0706/275). Study details were explained to both child and guardian, and written informed consent was obtained for all participants.

#### IGT Paradigm

Participants were presented with four decks of cards (labeled A, B, C, and D) on a computer screen and asked to select one of the decks by pressing with their right hand one of four buttons. Participants completed 80 trials and were instructed to win as much money as possible and lose as little money as possible. Participants were not informed of how many trials they would perform. There was a 50% probability of winning on each deck. Decks A and B (disadvantageous decks) gave relatively large gains (£190, £200, or £210) but even larger losses (£240, £250, or £260), whereas decks C and D (advantageous decks) gave small gains (£90, £100, or £110) but even smaller losses (£40, £50, or £60). A £2,000 "loan" and running total were presented at the bottom of the task display.

Each trial of the IGT is divided as follows: 1) the choice phase, 2) a 6-second delay between choosing a deck and being presented with the outcome, and 3) the 3-second outcome evaluation phase. Total trial length was 15 seconds, ending with a blank screen after outcome presentation that served as an implicit baseline in the functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) analysis (Supplemental Figure S1).

Participants were informed that performance on the task determined the amount of money they would receive at the end of the session. In fact, all participants received the full amount (£30). Participants were acclimatized to the scanner environment in a "mock" scanner. This practice session consisted of 12 trials that presented equal payoffs across all decks. Participants were informed of this difference between the practice and experimental sessions. After completing the practice session, the researcher ensured that all participants understood the task through discussion with the participant and accompanying parent.

#### Analysis of Performance Data

IGT net score was calculated for all 80 trials and separately for each of four blocks of 20 trials. Analysis of performance data was conducted using Bayesian analysis in JASP (v0.7.5.6; [https://jasp-stats.org/\)](https://jasp-stats.org/). Models were favored if Bayesian factor  $(BF)_{10}$  > 10 [\(35\).](#page-9-0) Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare groups on net score. To examine differences in learning over the course of the task, a 3 (group)  $\times$  4 (block) within-between repeated-measures ANOVA was performed on net scores separated into four blocks of 20 trials. Three separate 3 (group)  $\times$  2 (advantageous/disadvantageous, postwins/post-loss, or stay/switch choices) within-between repeated-measures ANOVAs were used to examine potential group differences in reaction times.

### Computational Modeling

A hierarchical Bayesian analysis was implemented within hBayesDM [\(50\)](#page-9-0). We first compared three established models

using the Watanabe-Akaike Information Criterion [\(51\)](#page-9-0). Details of the models and the model comparison are given in the Supplement.

The winning value-plus-perseverance model is a hybrid reinforcement learning and perseverance model. In this eightparameter model, a represents feedback/magnitude sensitivity;  $\lambda$  represents loss-aversion; c represents choice consistency;  $A$ represents learning rate;  $k$  determines perseverance strength;  $\epsilon$ p and εn indicate loss/gain impact, respectively, on choice behavior (i.e., stay/switch tendency); and  $\omega$  is the reinforcement learning weight [\(52\)](#page-9-0). Group differences in mean parameter estimates were assessed by each parameter's highest density interval (HDI), i.e., the range of parameter values that spans 95% of the distribution in a pairwise comparison [\(22,50\)](#page-8-0). Parameter estimates were considered to differ between groups if the HDI did not overlap zero [\(22,50\)](#page-8-0).

## MRI Image Acquisition

The fMRI images were acquired at King's College London on a 3T General Electric Signa Horizon HDx MRI scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) (see Supplement).

## fMRI Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using the nonparametric XBAM (v4.1) software [\(53\)](#page-9-0), which overcomes many issues associated with parametric software packages (e.g., poor control of familywise error–corrected false positive clusterwise inference rates) [\(54,55\)](#page-9-0). Modeled events of interest included advantageous choices, disadvantageous choices, the anticipation period, win outcomes, and loss outcomes. fMRI analysis examined the decision phase (advantageous vs. disadvantageous choices), defined as the moment that the four decks are presented until choice execution (maximum: 6 seconds) and the outcome phase (wins vs. losses), during which the outcome appears on screen for 3 seconds (see Supplement for details).

For the group-level comparisons, analysis of covariance analyses with group as factor and head displacement in Euclidian 3D space and age as covariates were performed to compare groups. An examination of the effects of head displacement and age on brain activation is provided in the Supplement. The voxel-level threshold was set to  $p < .05$ ; so as to maximize detection power, we used the highest threshold that we have shown empirically to give good type I error control at the cluster level under the null hypothesis using our permutation-based method  $(53-56)$ . A cluster-level p value threshold was computed from the data using our permutationbased method such that the final expected number of type I error clusters was  $<$  1 (see Supplement).

Primary analyses were performed using regions of interest (ROIs) based on regions shown to play a role in IGT performance and/or to differ between ADHD and OCD groups or between patient groups and control subjects [\(7,21,24,30,40,57](#page-8-0)–60). A single ROI search space included the bilateral OFC, medial frontal gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus, insula, putamen, caudate, and nucleus accumbens. Regions were extracted from the Harvard-Oxford Atlas using FSL  $(61, 62)$ . Within this search space, <1 false activated cluster was expected at  $p < .05$  for voxel comparisons and  $p < .02$  for cluster comparisons during decision and outcome phases.

Follow-up whole-brain comparisons of between-group differences were performed. For the between-group comparisons,  $\leq$ 1 false activated cluster was expected at a cluster threshold of  $p < .004$  for the choice phase and  $p < .0045$  for the outcome phase.

To interpret the group differences in brain activation from the between-group analysis of covariance, statistical measures of blood oxygen level–dependent response for each participant were extracted from significant clusters, plotted, and subjected to pairwise (ADHD vs. OCD, ADHD vs. control subjects, OCD vs. control subjects) post hoc t tests (corrected for multiple comparisons for three groups using the least significance difference method). Within-group findings are presented in Supplemental Figures S5 and S6. Correlational analyses were performed between blood oxygen level– dependent response and performance and symptom measures (ADHD: Conners T; OCD: CY-BOCS) (see Supplement).

## RESULTS

#### Participant Characteristics

There were no group differences in age [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). Groups differed on IQ (BF<sub>10</sub> = 3.29,  $F_{2,53}$  = 4.48,  $p$  = .02), which was lower in patients with ADHD relative to control subjects  $(p = .007)$  and patients with OCD  $(p = .02)$ , although all groups scored in the normal range for IQ, and no participant had IQ  $<$ 85. Eight ADHD boys were medication naïve, and 8 were receiving stimulant medication. Sixteen boys with OCD were medication naïve, while 4 were being treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor medication, and 1 patient was receiving risperidone augmentation treatment.

#### Performance Data

A 3 (group)  $\times$  4 (block) within-between repeated measures ANOVA showed no credible main effect of group in overall net score (BF<sub>10</sub> = 0.56,  $F_{2,53}$  = 1.18,  $p = .17$ ), no main effect of block (BF<sub>10</sub> = 0.35,  $F_{3,159}$  = 2.02,  $p = .11$ ), and no group by block interaction effect (BF<sub>10</sub> = 0.2,  $F_{6,159}$  = 1.59,  $p = .15$ ). There were no group differences in reaction time or group by choice type interactions on reaction time [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). Findings were unchanged after controlling for IQ, and there were no credible or significant correlations between symptoms (ADHD: Conners T; OCD: CY-BOCS) and net score or reaction time (all  $BF_{10}$  < 10,  $p$  > .05).

# Between-Groups Comparison of Value-Plus-Perseverance Model Parameters

Control subjects showed greater choice consistency (c) compared with patients with ADHD (95% HDI from 1.4 to 4.3, mean of HDI = 2.85;  $t_{34}$  = 28.27,  $p < .001$ ) and patients with OCD (95% HDI from 1.7 to 4.5, mean of HDI = 3.1;  $t_{38} = 35.33$ ,  $p <$  .001), as well as higher reinforcement learning weights ( $\omega$ ) than patients with ADHD (95% HDI from 0.02 to 0.57, mean of HDI = 0.3;  $t_{34}$  = 25.53,  $p < .001$ ) and patients with OCD (95% HDI from 0.15 to 0.88, mean of HDI = 0.52,  $t_{38}$  = 33.56,  $p <$ .001). Patients with ADHD showed increased feedback sensitivity relative to control subjects (95% HDI from  $-1.99$  to  $-0.02$ ,

# Dysfunction During Decision Making in ADHD and OCD



# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1. Participant Characteristics and Behavioral Performance

Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated.

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; BF, Bayesian factor; C, control subjects; CY-BOCS, Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale; OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder; RT, reaction time; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.

mean of HDI =  $-1.01$ ;  $t_{34} = 8.37$ ,  $p < .001$ ). There were no credible or significant correlations between symptoms (ADHD: Conners T; OCD: CY-BOCS) and model parameters (all  $BF_{10}$   $<$ 10,  $p > .05$ ). Complete tables of differential distributions and mean parameter estimates from the value-plus-perseverance model are presented in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2.

#### Movement

The ANOVA analysis showed no group differences in mean Euclidean displacement (BF<sub>10</sub> = 0.34,  $F_{2,53}$  = 1.2,  $p = .31$ ).

# Between-Group Differences

For the decision phase, within the ROI, left VS underactivation during advantageous choices was shared in patient groups relative to control subjects, while vmOFC underactivation was disorder specific to patients with OCD. In the whole brain, ADHD and OCD patients shared abnormal activation in posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus/supplementary motor area relative to control subjects. In control subjects, this cluster was more active to disadvantageous choices, while in patients it was more active during advantageous choices.

In the outcome phase, within the ROI, left putamen/caudate underactivation to wins was found in ADHD and OCD patients relative to control subjects. In right putamen/caudate, ADHD patients showed disorder-specific dysfunction relative to control subjects and patients with OCD. Patients with ADHD showed greater activation to losses, while control subjects showed greater activation to wins, and OCD patients showed little difference between conditions. In the whole brain, ADHD and OCD patients shared precuneus underactivation during wins relative to control subjects, as well as underactivation during losses in medial PFC (MPFC) ([Table 2](#page-5-0), [Figures 1](#page-6-0) and [2](#page-6-0)). After controlling for IQ, findings in the vmOFC, VS, and left putamen remained significant at the standard threshold  $(<1$ error cluster). Findings in the posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus/supplementary motor area ( $p = .009$ ), right putamen  $(p < .05)$ , precuneus  $(p = .02)$ , and MPFC  $(p = .03)$  remained significant only at relaxed cluster thresholds. An exploratory analysis using a whole-brain cluster threshold of  $p < .05$  is included in the Supplement.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The study investigated shared and disorder-specific neural and computational abnormalities during the IGT in adolescent ADHD and OCD. During decision making, both patient groups shared VS underactivation during advantageous choices, but patients with OCD showed disorder-specific underactivation in vmOFC relative to both control subjects and patients with ADHD. During the outcome phase, shared underactivation in patients to wins was found in the left putamen, and shared underactivation to losses was seen in the MPFC. Disorder-specific dysfunction was found in the right putamen/caudate, which was activated more to wins in control subjects but more to losses in patients with ADHD.

There were no significant group differences in net scores, unlike in previous work in both disorders in adolescent samples [\(63,64\).](#page-9-0) This may be due to a highly medicated sample (65–[67\),](#page-9-0) due to the relatively high IQ across all groups that may have compensated for suboptimal performance in patient groups [\(68,69\)](#page-9-0), or due to our use of a shortened version of the IGT. Indeed, the previous report of performance differences on the IGT in adolescents with OCD found significant differences only on the final 20 trials [\(63\)](#page-9-0). Computational modeling and neuroimaging may be more sensitive measures of abnormalities in reward and decision-making brain networks than net score, as normal overall performance may be maintained despite underlying neural dysfunction and more subtle cognitive abnormalities [\(35,60\).](#page-9-0)

The computational modeling showed that patient groups made more exploratory choices from decks with lower expected rewards and were more likely to make decisions based on feedback from the most recent trial rather than based on reward expectancies formed over successive trials relative to

# **RTICLE I**

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>Dysfunction During Decision Making in ADHD and OCD



### Table 2. ANCOVA Differences in Brain Activation Between Adolescents With ADHD and OCD and Healthy Comparison **Adolescents**

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; BA, Brodmann area; C, control subjects; L, left; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; R, right; SMA, supplementary motor area; Tal Coord, Talairach coordinates; vmOFC, ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex; VS, ventral striatum. <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Significant in region-of-interest search space.

control subjects (although all groups tended to make decisions based on reinforcement contingencies).

Previous work has reported reduced choice consistency in ADHD [\(13\).](#page-8-0) ADHD symptoms and neuropsychological performance patterns, including impaired choice consistency, may be explained in terms of low neural gain, that is, an altered balance between the neural signals supporting the chosen or optimal goals, behaviors, and attentional targets and competing signals that support alternative actions and cognitions, in which the goal-directed signals are insufficiently strong and the competing signals are poorly suppressed, thus resulting in behavioral and attentional instability [\(70\)](#page-9-0).

In OCD, increased exploration of nonoptimal decks may be related to greater intolerance of uncertainty, reduced confidence in memories and decisions, and increased need for information sampling in the disorder (71–[74\).](#page-9-0) In other words, OCD patients may have decreased confidence in their assessment of deck expectancies and their memories of previous outcomes, with this underlying an increased tendency to recheck the alternative decks [\(75\)](#page-10-0).

A disorder-specific finding was that ADHD patients alone showed heightened feedback sensitivity. Although sensitivity to the magnitude of deck outcomes is important for IGT performance, increased sensitivity may lead to a tendency to chase large wins on the disadvantageous decks. Heightened feedback sensitivity on the IGT has been associated with impulsivity related behaviors and disorders [\(22,76,77\)](#page-8-0), which are more prevalent in ADHD (78–[80\),](#page-10-0) and the current findings provide further support for a relationship between feedback sensitivity and impulsivity related disorders.

In the brain, the VS was underactive during advantageous choices in patient groups relative to control subjects. The VS responds to reinforcers including monetary reward [\(25,26\)](#page-8-0) and contributes information about the motivational properties and magnitudes of available rewards, initially biasing decision making toward impulsive, immediate, or larger but riskier rewarding actions [\(81,82\).](#page-10-0) During learning, dopamine cell responses within the VS shift from primary reinforcers to cues or behaviors that predict rewarding outcomes (83–[85\).](#page-10-0) VS responses during advantageous choices in control subjects may

# Dysfunction During Decision Making in ADHD and OCD

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 1. Analysis of covariance results for the between-group differences in brain activation for the contrast comparing advantageous and disadvantageous choices. (A) Axial slices for the group activation maps for the three groups. Red indicates regions showing significant between-group differences. Differences in the ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex (vmOFC) and ventral striatum (VS) were significant only within the region-of-interest search space. The difference in the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) was significant in the whole brain. Talairach z coordinates are indicated for slice distance (in mm) from the intercommissural line. The right side of the brain corresponds to the right side of the image. (B) Bar chart showing mean blood oxygen level– dependent response for each group in each cluster. Control subjects = blue, attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) = red, obsessivecompulsive disorder (OCD) = green.

represent the positive expected values for the advantageous decks. In other words, in control subjects robust mesolimbic signaling during decision making may have guided choices toward the optimally rewarding decks.

In ADHD, the dopamine response in the VS to previously neutral cues or behaviors that are now associated with reward is hypothesized to be disrupted, meaning that the motivational features and underlying VS activation that these cues take on in control subjects may be decreased in ADHD [\(12,15\).](#page-8-0) In the IGT, weaker representations of deck reinforcement history within the VS may underlie impairments in patients in selecting decks associated with the highest expected values.

In OCD, findings of VS underactivation are consistent with previous reports of reduced VS response to cues that predict reward [\(10,11,42\)](#page-8-0). Patients with OCD show increased VS and

dorsal striatal responses during symptom provocation and habitual responding (86-[88\),](#page-10-0) enlarged basal ganglia structure [\(57,89\),](#page-9-0) and altered activity/connectivity at rest [\(90,91\).](#page-10-0) Alterations in VS-mediated salience, habit, and motivation functions may underlie an imbalance between competing unrewarded OCD behaviors and goal-related behaviors in the disorder, with VS hypoactivation during decision making underlying deficits in representing outcome contingencies and an impaired selection of goal-related choices and behaviors.

As hypothesized, adolescents with OCD showed disorderspecific underactivation in vmOFC during advantageous choices. The vmOFC is closely interconnected with VS and is a key structure for flexible emotional learning and decision making [\(21,24,28\)](#page-8-0). The vmOFC is highly implicated in OCD [\(9,39,57,86,91\)](#page-8-0). The current results extend previous findings



Figure 2. Analysis of covariance results for the between-group differences in brain activation for the contrast comparing win and loss outcomes. (A) Axial slices for the group activation maps for the three groups. Red indicates regions showing significant between-group differences. Differences in left and right putamen/caudate were significant only within the region-of-interest search space. Differences in the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) and precuneus were significant in the whole brain. Talairach z coordinates are indicated for slice distance (in mm) from the intercommissural line. The right side of the brain corresponds to the right side of the image. (B) Bar chart showing mean blood oxygen level– dependent response for each group in each cluster. Control subjects = blue, attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) = red, obsessivecompulsive disorder (OCD) = green. L, left; R, right.

# **RTICLE IN PRESS**

by suggesting a role for vmOFC dysfunction in decision making under ambiguity in the disorder. The findings also extend our comparative meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies and fMRI studies of inhibitory control tasks that showed disorder-specific underactivation and reduced structure in vmOFC in OCD relative to ADHD [\(40\),](#page-9-0) as well as our recent finding of disorder-specific vmOFC underactivation during temporal discounting in adolescents with OCD relative to adolescents with ADHD and control subjects [\(7\)](#page-8-0).

During outcome processing, an unexpected finding was that unlike in some  $(44,92)$ , but not all  $(93,94)$ , previous studies using the monetary incentive delay task, patients with ADHD did not exhibit increased activation to wins in vmOFC or VS, and instead showed disorder-specific increased activation to losses in the right putamen/caudate and shared underactivation in the left putamen/caudate to wins. A lack of increased reactivity to wins may reflect differences between the monetary incentive delay task and IGT. For instance, in the monetary incentive delay task contingencies between cues and reward outcomes do not need to be learned, whereas outcome evaluation in the IGT is important for learning the outcomes associated with each deck, and qualitatively different orbito-fronto-striatal signaling may be involved in passive reward receipt and active outcome evaluation [\(29\)](#page-8-0). The disorder specificity of the right putamen underactivation is interesting in view of previous meta-analytic findings of disorder-specific reduced right putamen gray matter volume and activation in ADHD relative to OCD in voxel-based morphometry studies and fMRI studies of inhibitory control [\(40\)](#page-9-0). The findings extend evidence for disorder-specific right striatal underactivation in ADHD relative to OCD during inhibitory control to the domain of reward-based decision making.

The whole-brain analysis revealed that patient groups shared reduced activation to losses in MPFC. Underactivation to losses in the MPFC is in line with previous findings of reduced MPFC localized feedback–related negativity to mon-etary loss in ADHD patients [\(95\).](#page-10-0) Reduced MPFC activation to losses is in line with fMRI studies of reversal learning in OCD, which report decreased activation in MPFC and adjacent OFC when participants learn to shift responses based on negative feedback [\(27,96](#page-8-0)–98), as well as with findings of reduced MPFC gray matter volume and reduced MPFC activation during inhibitory control [\(40,57\).](#page-9-0) Findings support a shared blunting of neural responses during outcome processing in adolescent ADHD and OCD.

Limitations include, first, the fact that 50% of patients with ADHD were receiving stimulant medication, while 20% of patients with OCD were receiving antidepressant medication and one patient with OCD was receiving risperidone. There were too few unmedicated patients to conduct a subgroup analysis. These medication treatments may alter functioning in the dopaminergic mesolimbic pathways responsible for decision making and outcome processing, with stimulant medications increasing striatal dopamine in ADHD, but selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and risperidone reducing dopaminergic functioning in OCD [\(99,100\)](#page-10-0). Although patients with ADHD underwent a 48-hour washout period, there is meta-analytic evidence for a normalization of frontostriatal activation and alterations in dopaminergic functioning with chronic stimulant

treatment in patients with ADHD [\(38,101\).](#page-9-0) Second, groups differed on IQ, which was lower in patients with ADHD relative to the other groups. However, lower IQ is typical for this population and all groups scored in the normal range for IQ [\(102\).](#page-10-0) Third, structured interviews to assess common comorbidities including anxiety, mood, and autism spectrum disorders in patients and undiagnosed conditions in control subjects were not performed, and owing to their common cooccurrence, subclinical comorbid ADHD and OCD symptoms might have been present in the patient groups. However, participants were considered by a consultant psychiatrist to be free of comorbidities after clinical assessment. Fourth, brain activation during the outcome phase may have been contaminated by brain activation from the anticipation phase owing to hemodynamic delay and a lack of jitter between the two phases in the task design. Fifth, findings are not generalizable to girls with ADHD or OCD. Last, although the sample size is typical for the adolescent ADHD and OCD fMRI literature, future work should aim to confirm these findings in larger samples.

In summary, this is the first study to compare decision making under ambiguity in adolescent ADHD and OCD using fMRI and computational modeling. Findings of shared choice consistency impairments and smaller reinforcement learning weights, as well as findings of shared VS underactivation during advantageous choices, suggest impairment in both disorders in representing and utilizing learned reward expectancies during decision making. Findings of reduced sensitivity to outcomes in MPFC and left putamen suggest shared alterations in outcome processing when outcomes must be used to guide future behavior. Disorder-specific dysfunction in the vmOFC in OCD and in the right putamen in ADHD parallel previous, similar multimodal meta-analytic findings in voxelbased morphometry studies and fMRI studies of inhibitory control, indicating a possible preservation of disorder-specific markers across tasks and modalities.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This work was supported by Medical Research Council Grant No. MRC G0300155 (to KR); National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London (to KR and AS); a NIHR BRC PhD studentship (to COC), a postdoctoral fellowship from the Medical Research Council (Grant No. MRC G0300155) (to AC); PhD studentships from the Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King׳s College London (to LJN). This paper represents independent research part funded by the NIHR BRC at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

KR has received funding from Lilly for another project and speaker's honoraria from Lilly, Shire, Novartis and Medice. CMM has received funding from Lilly for another project and speaker's honoraria from Flynn Pharma. MB has served as a consultant for P1Vital. The other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (LJN, COC, CMM, KC, KR); Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences (CMM), Sackler Institute for Translational Neurodevelopmental Sciences; and Department of Neuroimaging (VG, AS, MB), Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College; National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health (AS), South

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

## Dysfunction During Decision Making in ADHD and OCD

<span id="page-8-0"></span>London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London; Division of Psychology and Language Sciences (COC), Department of Clinical, Education and Health Psychology, University College London; and Behavioural Genetics Clinic (CMM), Adult Autism Service, Behavioural and Developmental Psychiatry Clinical Academic Group, South London and Maudsley Foundation NHS Trust, London; and Centre for Integrative Neuroscience and Neurodynamics (AC), School of Psychology and Clinical Language Sciences, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom; Department of Psychiatry (LJN), University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; and the Department of Neurobiology (AS), Care Sciences and Society, Center for Alzheimer Research, Division of Clinical Geriatrics; and Department of Clinical Neuroscience (DM-C), Centre for Psychiatry Research, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

LJN and COC contributed equally to this work.

Address correspondence to Luke J. Norman, Ph.D., Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London, SE5 8AF, UK; E-mail: [luken@umich.edu.](mailto:luken@umich.edu)

Received Oct 28, 2017; revised Feb 16, 2018; accepted Mar 7, 2018.

Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009) [doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.03.009).

# REFERENCES

- 1. [American Psychiatric Association \(2013\): Diagnostic and Statistical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref1) [Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref1)[chiatric Press](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref1).
- 2. [Biederman J, Petty CR, Woodworth KY, Lomedico A, Hyder LL,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref2) [Faraone SV \(2012\): Adult outcome of attention-de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref2)ficit/hyperactivity [disorder: A controlled 16-year follow-up study. J Clin Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref2) [73:941](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref2)–950.
- 3. [Ruscio A, Stein D, Chiu W, Kessler R \(2010\): The epidemiology of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref3) [obsessive-compulsive disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref3) [Replication. Mol Psychiatry 15:53](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref3)–63.
- 4. [Fineberg NA, Chamberlain SR, Goudriaan AE, Stein DJ,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref4) [Vanderschuren LJ, Gillan CM,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref4) et al. (2014): New developments in [human neurocognition: Clinical, genetic, and brain imaging correlates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref4) [of impulsivity and compulsivity. CNS Spectr 19:69](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref4)–89.
- 5. [Robbins TW, Gillan CM, Smith DG, de Wit S, Ersche KD \(2012\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref5) [Neurocognitive endophenotypes of impulsivity and compulsivity:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref5) [Toward dimensional psychiatry. Trends Cogn Sci 16:81](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref5)–91.
- 6. [Tan O, Metin B, Metin S \(2016\): Obsessive-compulsive adults with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref6) [and without childhood ADHD symptoms. Atten De](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref6)fic Hyperact Dis[ord 8:131](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref6)–138.
- 7. [Norman LJ, Carlisi CO, Christakou A, Chantiluke K, Murphy C,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref7) Simmons A, et al. [\(2017\): Neural dysfunction during temporal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref7) [discounting in paediatric attention-de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref7)ficit/hyperactivity disorder [and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychiatry Res 269:97](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref7)– [105](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref7).
- 8. [Admon R, Bleich-Cohen M, Weizmant R, Poyurovsky M, Faragian S,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref8) [Hendler T \(2012\): Functional and structural neural indices of risk](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref8) aversion in obsessive–[compulsive disorder \(OCD\). Psychiatry Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref8) [203:207](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref8)–213.
- 9. [Carlisi CO, Norman L, Murphy CM, Christakou A, Chantiluke K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref9) Giampietro V, et al. [\(2017\): Comparison of neural substrates of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref9) [temporal discounting between youth with autism spectrum disorder](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref9) [and with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychol Med 47:2513](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref9)– [2527.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref9)
- 10. [Figee M, Luigjes J, Smolders R, Valencia-Alfonso CE, van Wingen G,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref10) de Kwaasteniet B, et al. [\(2013\): Deep brain stimulation restores](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref10) [frontostriatal network activity in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Nat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref10) [Neurosci 16:386](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref10)–387.
- 11. [Figee M, Vink M, de Geus F, Vulink N, Veltman DJ, Westenberg H,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref11) et al. [\(2011\): Dysfunctional reward circuitry in obsessive-compulsive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref11) [disorder. Biol Psychiatry 69:867](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref11)–874.
- 12. [Furukawa E, Bado P, Tripp G, Mattos P, Wickens JR, Bramati IE,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref12) et al. [\(2014\): Abnormal striatal BOLD responses to reward anticipa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref12)[tion and reward delivery in ADHD. PLoS One 9:e89129.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref12)
- 13. [Hauser TU, Iannaccone R, Ball J, Mathys C, Brandeis D, Walitza S,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref13) et al. [\(2014\): Role of the medial prefrontal cortex in impaired decision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref13) making in juvenile attention-defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder. JAMA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref13) [Psychiatry 71:1165](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref13)–1173.
- 14. [Ernst M, Kimes AS, London ED, Matochik JA, Eldreth D, Tata S,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref14) et al. [\(2003\): Neural substrates of decision making in adults with attention](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref14) defi[cit hyperactivity disorder. Am J Psychiatry 160:1061](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref14)–1070.
- 15. [Tripp G, Wickens JR \(2008\): Research review: Dopamine transfer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref15) defi[cit: A neurobiological theory of altered reinforcement mecha](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref15)[nisms in ADHD. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 49:691](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref15)–704.
- 16. [Tripp G, Wickens JR \(2009\): Neurobiology of ADHD. Neuropharma](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref16)[cology 57:579](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref16)–589.
- 17. [Gillan CM, Robbins TW \(2014\): Goal-directed learning and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref17) obsessive–[compulsive disorder. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref17) [369:20130475](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref17).
- 18. [Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, Heinssen R, Pine DS, Quinn K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref18) et al. [\(2010\): Research domain criteria \(RDoC\): Toward a new classi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref18)fication [framework for research on mental disorders. Am J Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref18) [167:748](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref18)–751.
- 19. [Bechara A, Damasio AR, Damasio H, Anderson SW \(1994\): Insensi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref19)[tivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref19) [cortex. Cognition 50:7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref19)–15.
- 20. [Bechara A, Damasio H, Damasio AR, Lee GP \(1999\): Different con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref20)[tributions of the human amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref20) [to decision-making. J Neurosci 19:5473](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref20)–5481.
- 21. [Christakou A, Brammer M, Giampietro V, Rubia K \(2009\): Right](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref21) [ventromedial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices mediate adaptive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref21) [decisions under ambiguity by integrating choice utility and outcome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref21) [evaluation. J Neurosci 29:11020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref21)–11028.
- 22. [Ahn W-Y, Vasilev G, Lee S-H, Busemeyer JR, Kruschke JK,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref22) Bechara A, et al. [\(2014\): Decision-making in stimulant and opiate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref22) [addicts in protracted abstinence: Evidence from computational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref22) [modeling with pure users. Front Psychol 5:849.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref22)
- 23. [Ahn WY, Busemeyer JR, Wagenmakers EJ, Stout JC \(2008\): Com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref23)[parison of decision learning models using the generalization criterion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref23) [method. Cogn Sci 32:1376](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref23)–1402.
- 24. [Christakou A, Gershman SJ, Niv Y, Simmons A, Brammer M, Rubia K](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref24) [\(2013\): Neural and psychological maturation of decision-making in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref24) [adolescence and young adulthood. J Cogn Neurosci 25:1807](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref24)–1823.
- 25. [Tanabe J, Thompson L, Claus E, Dalwani M, Hutchison K, Banich MT](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref25) [\(2007\): Prefrontal cortex activity is reduced in gambling and non](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref25)[gambling substance users during decision-making. Hum Brain Mapp](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref25) [28:1276](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref25)–1286.
- 26. [Frangou S, Kington J, Raymont V, Shergill SS \(2008\): Examining](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref26) [ventral and dorsal prefrontal function in bipolar disorder: A functional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref26) [magnetic resonance imaging study. Eur Psychiatry 23:300](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref26)–308.
- 27. [Chamberlain SR, Menzies L, Hampshire A, Suckling J, Fineberg NA,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref27) del Campo N, et al. [\(2008\): Orbitofrontal dysfunction in patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref27) [obsessive-compulsive disorder and their unaffected relatives. Sci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref27)[ence 321:421](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref27)–422.
- 28. [Hare TA, Malmaud J, Rangel A \(2011\): Focusing attention on the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref28) [health aspects of foods changes value signals in vmPFC and im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref28)[proves dietary choice. J Neurosci 31:11077](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref28)–11087.
- 29. [Liu X, Hairston J, Schrier M, Fan J \(2011\): Common and distinct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref29) [networks underlying reward valence and processing stages: A meta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref29)[analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref29) [35:1219](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref29)–1236.
- 30. Lawrence NS, Jollant F, O'[Daly O, Zelaya F, Phillips ML \(2009\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref30) [Distinct roles of prefrontal cortical subregions in the Iowa Gambling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref30) [Task. Cereb Cortex 19:1134](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref30)–1143.
- 31. [Christakou A, Brammer M, Rubia K \(2011\): Maturation of limbic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref31) [corticostriatal activation and connectivity associated with develop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref31)[mental changes in temporal discounting. Neuroimage 54:1344](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref31)–1354.
- 32. [Hooper CJ, Luciana M, Conklin HM, Yarger RS \(2004\): Adolescents](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref32)' [performance on the Iowa Gambling Task: Implications for the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref32) [development of decision making and ventromedial prefrontal cortex.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref32) [Dev Psychol 40:1148](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref32)–1158.
- 33. [Crone EA, van der Molen MW \(2004\): Developmental changes in real](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref33) [life decision making: Performance on a gambling task previously](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref33)

# **ATICLE IN PRESS**

<span id="page-9-0"></span>[shown to depend on the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Dev Neu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref33)[ropsychol 25:251](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref33)–279.

- 34. [Crone EA, van der Molen MW \(2007\): Development of decision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref34) [making in school-aged children and adolescents: Evidence from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref34) [heart rate and skin conductance analysis. Child Dev 78:1288](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref34)–1301.
- 35. [Carlisi C, Norman L, Murphy CM,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref35) Christakou A, Chantiluke K, Giampietro V, et al. [\(2017\): Shared and disorder-speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref35)fic neuro[computational mechanisms of decision-making in autism spec](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref35)[trum disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Cereb Cortex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref35) [27:5804](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref35)–5816.
- 36. [Rubia K, Halari R, Christakou A, Taylor E \(2009\): Impulsiveness as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref36) [a timing disturbance: Neurocognitive abnormalities in attention](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref36)defi[cit hyperactivity disorder during temporal processes and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref36) [normalization with methylphenidate. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref36) [Biol Sci 364:1919](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref36)–1931.
- 37. [Plichta MM, Vasic N, Wolf RC, Lesch KP, Brummer D, Jacob C,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref37) et al. [\(2009\): Neural hyporesponsiveness and hyperresponsiveness during](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref37) [immediate and delayed reward processing in adult attention-de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref37)ficit/ [hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry 65:7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref37)–14.
- 38. [Graybiel AM, Rauch SL \(2000\): Toward a Neurobiology of Obsessive-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref38)[Compulsive Disorder. Neuron 28:343](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref38)–347.
- 39. [Menzies L, Chamberlain SR, Laird AR, Thelen SM, Sahakian BJ,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref39) [Bullmore ET \(2008\): Integrating evidence from neuroimaging and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref39) [neuropsychological studies of obsessive-compulsive disorder:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref39) [The orbitofronto-striatal model revisited. Neurosci Biobehav Rev](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref39) [32:525](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref39)–549.
- 40. [Norman LJ, Carlisi C, Lukito S, Hart H, Mataix-Cols D, Radua J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref40) et al. [\(2016\): Structural and functional brain abnormalities in attention](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref40)defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder: A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref40) [comparative meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 73:815](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref40)–825.
- 41. [Becker MP, Nitsch AM, Schlosser R, Koch K, Schachtzabel C,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref41) Wagner G, et al. [\(2014\): Altered emotional and BOLD responses to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref41) [negative, positive and ambiguous performance feedback in OCD.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref41) [Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 9:1127](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref41)–1133.
- 42. [Marsh R, Tau GZ, Wang Z, Huo Y, Liu G, Hao X,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref42) et al. (2015): Reward[based spatial learning in unmedicated adults with obsessive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref42)[compulsive disorder. Am J Psychiatry 172:383](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref42)–392.
- 43. [Strohle A, Stoy M, Wrase J, Schwarzer S, Schlagenhauf F, Huss M,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref43) et al. [\(2008\): Reward anticipation and outcomes in adult males with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref43) attention-defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuroimage 39:966](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref43)–972.
- 44. [von Rhein D, Cools R, Zwiers MP, van der Schaaf M, Franke B,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref44) Luman M, et al. [\(2015\): Increased neural responses to reward in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref44) [adolescents and young adults with attention-de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref44)ficit/hyperactivity [disorder and their unaffected siblings. J Am Acad Child Adolesc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref44) [Psychiatry 54:394](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref44)–402.
- 45. Oldfi[eld RC \(1971\): The assessment and analysis of handedness: The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref45) [Edinburgh inventory. Neuropsychologia 9:97](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref45)–113.
- 46. [Wechsler D \(2008\): Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. San Antonio,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref46) [TX: NCS Pearson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref46).
- 47. [Goldberg DP, Murray R \(2006\): The Maudsley Handbook of Practical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref47) [Psychiatry. New York: Oxford University Press](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref47).
- 48. [Conners CK, Sitarenios G, Parker JD, Epstein JN \(1998\): The revised](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref48) [Conners Parent Rating Scale \(CPRS-R\): Factor structure, reliability,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref48) [and criterion validity. J Abnorm Child Psychol 26:257](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref48)–268.
- 49. [Scahill L, Riddle MA, McSwiggin-Hardin M, Ort SI, King RA,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref49) Goodman WK, et al. (1997): Children'[s Yale-Brown Obsessive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref49) [Compulsive Scale: Reliability and validity. J Am Acad Child Adolesc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref49) [Psychiatry 36:844](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref49)–852.
- 50. [Ahn W-Y, Haines N, Zhang L \(2017\): Revealing neurocomputational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref50) [mechanisms of reinforcement learning and decision-making with the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref50) [hBayesDM package. Comput Psychiatr 1:24](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref50)–57.
- 51. [Watanabe S \(2010\): Asymptotic equivalence of Bayes cross valida](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref51)[tion and widely applicable information criterion in singular learning](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref51) [theory. J Mach Learn Res 11:3571](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref51)–3594.
- 52. [Worthy DA, Hawthorne MJ, Otto AR \(2013\): Heterogeneity of strategy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref52) [use in the Iowa gambling task: A comparison of win-stay/lose-shift](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref52) [and reinforcement learning models. Psychon Bull Rev 20:364](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref52)–371.
- [Brammer MJ, Bullmore ET, Simmons A, Williams SC, Grasby PM,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref53) Howard RJ, et al. [\(1997\): Generic brain activation mapping in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref53)

[functional magnetic resonance imaging: A nonparametric approach.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref53) [Magn Reson Imaging 15:763](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref53)–770.

- 54. [Eklund A, Nichols TE, Knutsson H \(2016\): Cluster failure: Why fMRI](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref54) [inferences for spatial extent have in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref54)flated false-positive rates. Proc [Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:7900](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref54)–7905.
- 55. [Bullmore ET, Suckling J, Overmeyer S, Rabe-Hesketh S, Taylor E,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref55) [Brammer MJ \(1999\): Global, voxel, and cluster tests, by theory and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref55) [permutation, for a difference between two groups of structural MR](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref55) [images of the brain. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 18:32](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref55)–42.
- 56. [Bullmore E, Long C, Suckling J, Fadili J, Calvert G, Zelaya F,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref56) et al. [\(2001\): Colored noise and computational inference in neurophysio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref56)[logical \(fMRI\) time series analysis: Resampling methods in time and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref56) [wavelet domains. Hum Brain Mapp 12:61](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref56)–78.
- 57. [Carlisi CO, Norman LJ, Lukito SS, Radua J, Mataix-Cols D, Rubia K](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref57) [\(2017\): Comparative multimodal meta-analysis of structural and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref57) [functional brain abnormalities in autism spectrum disorder and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref57) [obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biol Psychiatry 82:83](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref57)–102.
- 58. [Carlisi CO, Chantiluke K, Norman L, Christakou A, Barrett N,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref58) Giampietro V, et al. [\(2016\): The effects of acute](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref58) fluoxetine adminis[tration on temporal discounting in youth with ADHD. Psychol Med](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref58) [46:1197](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref58)–1209.
- 59. [Carlisi CO, Norman L, Murphy CM, Christakou A, Chantiluke K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref59) Giampietro V, et al. (2017): Disorder-specifi[c and shared brain ab](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref59)[normalities during vigilance in autism and obsessive-compulsive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref59) [disorder. Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci 2:644](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref59)–654.
- 60. [Norman LJ, Carlisi CO, Christakou A, Cubillo A, Murphy CM,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref60) Chantiluke K, et al. [\(2017\): Shared and disorder-speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref60)fic task-positive [and default mode network dysfunctions during sustained attention in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref60) paediatric attention-defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder and obsessive/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref60) [compulsive disorder. Neuroimage Clin 15:181](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref60)–193.
- 61. [Kennedy DN, Lange N, Makris N, Bates J, Meyer J, Caviness VS Jr](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref61) [\(1998\): Gyri of the human neocortex: An MRI-based analysis of vol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref61)[ume and variance. Cereb Cortex 8:372](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref61)–384.
- 62. [Makris N, Meyer JW, Bates JF, Yeterian EH, Kennedy DN,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref62) [Caviness VS \(1999\): MRI-Based topographic parcellation of human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref62) [cerebral white matter and nuclei II. Rationale and applications with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref62) [systematics of cerebral connectivity. Neuroimage 9:18](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref62)–45.
- 63. [Kodaira M, Iwadare Y, Ushijima H, Oiji A, Kato M, Sugiyama N,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref63) et al. [\(2012\): Poor performance on the Iowa gambling task in children with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref63) [obsessive-compulsive disorder. Ann Gen Psychiatry 11, 25-25.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref63)
- 64. [Garon N, Moore C, Waschbusch DA \(2006\): Decision making in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref64) [children with ADHD only, ADHD-anxious/depressed, and control](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref64) [children using a child version of the Iowa Gambling Task. J Atten](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref64) [Disord 9:607](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref64)–619.
- 65. [Miu AC, Crisan LG, Chis A, Ungureanu L, Druga B, Vulturar R](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref65) [\(2012\): Somatic markers mediate the effect of serotonin trans](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref65)[porter gene polymorphisms on Iowa Gambling Task. Genes Brain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref65) [Behav 11:398](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref65)–403.
- 66. [Beninger RJ, Wasserman J, Zanibbi K, Charbonneau D, Mangels J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref66) [Beninger BV \(2003\): Typical and atypical antipsychotic medica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref66)[tions differentially affect two nondeclarative memory tasks in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref66) [schizophrenic patients: A double dissociation. Schizophr Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref66) [61:281](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref66)–292.
- 67. [DeVito EE, Blackwell AD, Kent L, Ersche KD, Clark L, Salmond CH,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref67) et al. [\(2008\): The effects of methylphenidate on decision making in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref67) attention-defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry 64:636](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref67)–639.
- 68. [Toplak ME, Sorge GB, Benoit A, West RF, Stanovich KE \(2010\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref68) [Decision-making and cognitive abilities: A review of associations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref68) [between Iowa Gambling Task performance, executive functions, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref68) [intelligence. Clin Psychol Rev 30:562](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref68)–581.
- 69. [Demaree HA, Burns KJ, DeDonno MA \(2010\): Intelligence, but not](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref69) [emotional intelligence, predicts Iowa Gambling Task performance.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref69) [Intelligence 38:249](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref69)–254.
- 70. [Hauser TU, Fiore VG, Moutoussis M, Dolan RJ \(2016\): Computational](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref70) [Psychiatry of ADHD: Neural gain impairments across Marrian levels](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref70) [of analysis. Trends Neurosci 39:63](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref70)–73.
- 71. [Banca P, Vestergaard MD, Rankov V, Baek K, Mitchell S, Lapa T,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref71) et al. [\(2015\): Evidence accumulation in obsessive-compulsive dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref71)[order: The role of uncertainty and monetary reward on perceptual](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref71)

# **RTICLE IN PRES**

# Dysfunction During Decision Making in ADHD and OCD

<span id="page-10-0"></span>[decision-making thresholds. Neuropsychopharmacology 40:1192](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref71)– [1202](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref71).

- 72. [Stern ER, Welsh RC, Gonzalez R, Fitzgerald KD, Abelson JL,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref72) [Taylor SF \(2013\): Subjective uncertainty and limbic hyperactivation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref72) [obsessive-compulsive disorder. Hum Brain Mapp 34:1956](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref72)–1970.
- 73. [Hermans D, Engelen U, Grouwels L, Joos E, Lemmens J, Pieters G](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref73) (2008): Cognitive confi[dence in obsessive-compulsive disorder:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref73) [Distrusting perception, attention and memory. Behav Res Ther](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref73) [46:98](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref73)–113.
- 74. [Gillett CB, Bilek EL, Hanna GL, Fitzgerald KD \(2018\): Intolerance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref74) [uncertainty in youth with obsessive-compulsive disorder and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref74) [generalized anxiety disorder: A transdiagnostic construct with im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref74)[plications for phenomenology and treatment. Clin Psychol Rev](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref74) [60:100](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref74)–108.
- 75. [Hauser TU, Iannaccone R, Dolan RJ, Ball J, Hattenschwiler J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref75) Drechsler R, et al. [\(2017\): Increased fronto-striatal reward prediction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref75) [errors moderate decision making in obsessive-compulsive disorder.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref75) [Psychol Med 47:1246](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref75)–1258.
- 76. [Fridberg DJ, Queller S, Ahn WY, Kim W, Bishara AJ, Busemeyer JR,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref76) et al. [\(2010\): Cognitive mechanisms underlying risky decision-making](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref76) [in chronic cannabis users. J Math Psychol 54:28](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref76)–38.
- 77. [Vassileva J, Ahn WY, Weber KM, Busemeyer JR, Stout JC,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref77) Gonzalez R, et al. [\(2013\): Computational modeling reveals distinct](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref77) [effects of HIV and history of drug use on decision-making processes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref77) [in women. PLoS One 8:e68962.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref77)
- 78. [Du Rietz E, Kuja-Halkola R, Brikell I, Jangmo A, Sariaslan A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref78) Lichtenstein P, et al. [\(2017\): Predictive validity of parent- and self](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref78)[rated ADHD symptoms in adolescence on adverse socioeconomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref78) [and health outcomes. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 26:857](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref78)–867.
- 79. [Young S, Sedgwick O \(2015\): Attention de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref79)ficit hyperactivity disorder [and substance misuse: An evaluation of causal hypotheses and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref79) [treatment considerations. Exp Rev Neurother 15:1005](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref79)–1014.
- 80. [Sarver DE, McCart MR, Sheidow AJ, Letourneau EJ \(2014\): ADHD](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref80) [and risky sexual behavior in adolescents: Conduct problems and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref80) [substance use as mediators of risk. J Child Psychol Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref80) [55:1345](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref80)–1353.
- 81. [Kuhnen CM, Knutson B \(2005\): The neural basis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref81) financial risk [taking. Neuron 47:763](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref81)–770.
- 82. [Matthews SC, Simmons AN, Lane SD, Paulus MP \(2004\): Selective](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref82) [activation of the nucleus accumbens during risk-taking decision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref82) [making. Neuroreport 15:2123](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref82)–2127.
- 83. Frank MJ, Santamaria A, O'[Reilly RC, Willcutt E \(2007\): Testing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref83) [computational models of dopamine and noradrenaline dysfunction in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref83) attention defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref83) [32:1583](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref83)–1599.
- 84. [Kollins SH, Adcock RA \(2014\): ADHD, altered dopamine neuro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref84)[transmission, and disrupted reinforcement processes: Implications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref84) [for smoking and nicotine dependence. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref84) [Biol Psychiatry 52:70](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref84)–78.
- 85. [Keeler JF, Pretsell DO, Robbins TW \(2014\): Functional implications of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref85) [dopamine D1 vs. D2 receptors: A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref85) 'prepare and select' model of the [striatal direct vs. indirect pathways. Neuroscience 282:156](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref85)–175.
- 86. [Banca P, Voon V, Vestergaard MD, Philipiak G, Almeida I, Pocinho F,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref86) et al. [\(2015\): Imbalance in habitual versus goal directed neural sys](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref86)[tems during symptom provocation in obsessive-compulsive disorder.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref86) [Brain 138:798](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref86)–811.
- 87. [Gillan CM, Apergis-Schoute AM, Morein-Zamir S, Urcelay GP, Sule A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref87) Fineberg NA, et al. [\(2015\): Functional neuroimaging of avoidance habits](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref87) [in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Am J Psychiatry 172:284](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref87)–293.
- 88. [Thorsen AL, Hagland P, Radua J, Mataix-Cols D, Kvale G, Hansen B,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref88) et al. [\(2018\): Emotional processing in obsessive-compulsive disorder:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref88) [A systematic review and meta-analysis of 25 functional neuroimaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref88) [studies \[published online ahead of print Feb 3\]. Biol Psychiatry Cogn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref88) [Neurosci Neuroimaging.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref88)
- 89. [Boedhoe PS, Schmaal L, Abe Y, Ameis SH, Arnold PD,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref89) [Batistuzzo MC, van den Heuvel OA \(2017\): Distinct subcortical vol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref89)[ume alterations in pediatric and adult OCD: A worldwide meta- and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref89) [mega-analysis. Am J Psychiatry 174:60](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref89)–69.
- 90. [de Vries FE, de Wit SJ, van den Heuvel OA, Veltman DJ, Cath DC, van](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref90) Balkom AJLM, et al. [\(2017\): Cognitive control networks in OCD: A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref90) [resting-state connectivity study in unmedicated patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref90) [obsessive-compulsive disorder and their unaffected relatives \[pub](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref90)[lished online ahead of print Sep 18\]. World J Biol Psychiatry.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref90)
- [Whiteside SP, Port JD, Abramowitz JS \(2004\): A meta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref91)-analysis of [functional neuroimaging in obsessive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref91)–compulsive disorder. Psychi[atry Res Neuroimaging 132:69](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref91)–79.
- 92. [Paloyelis Y, Mehta MA, Faraone SV, Asherson P, Kuntsi J \(2012\): Striatal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref92) [sensitivity during reward processing in attention-de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref92)ficit/hyperactivity [disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 51:722](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref92)–732.e729.
- 93. [Scheres A, Milham MP, Knutson B, Castellanos FX \(2007\): Ventral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref93) [striatal hyporesponsiveness during reward anticipation in attention](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref93)defi[cit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry 61:720](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref93)–724.
- 94. [Wilbertz G, van Elst LT, Delgado MR, Maier S, Feige B, Philipsen A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref94) et al. [\(2012\): Orbitofrontal reward sensitivity and impulsivity in adult](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref94) attention defi[cit hyperactivity disorder. Neuroimage 60:353](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref94)–361.
- 95. [Gonzalez-Gadea ML, Sigman M, Rattazzi A, Lavin C, Rivera-Rei A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref95) Marino J, et al. [\(2016\): Neural markers of social and monetary re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref95)[wards in children with attention-de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref95)ficit/hyperactivity disorder and [autism spectrum disorder. Sci Rep 6:30588.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref95)
- 96. [Freyer T, Kloppel S, Tuscher O, Kordon A, Zurowski B, Kuelz AK,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref96) et al. [\(2011\): Frontostriatal activation in patients with obsessive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref96)[compulsive disorder before and after cognitive behavioral therapy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref96) [Psychol Med 41:207](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref96)–216.
- 97. [Remijnse PL, Nielen MM, Balkom AJ, Cath DC, Oppen P, Uylings HB,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref97) et al. [\(2006\): Reduced orbitofrontal-striatal activity on a reversal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref97) [learning task in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref97) [63:1225](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref97)–1236.
- 98. [Remijnse PL, Nielen MM, van Balkom AJ, Hendriks GJ,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref98) [Hoogendijk WJ, Uylings HB,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref98) et al. (2009): Differential frontal[striatal and paralimbic activity during reversal learning in major](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref98) [depressive disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder. Psychol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref98) [Med 39:1503](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref98)–1518.
- 99. [Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Tomasi D, Kollins SH, Wigal TL, Newcorn JH,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref99) et al. [\(2012\): Methylphenidate-elicited dopamine increases in ventral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref99) [striatum are associated with long-term symptom improvement in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref99) adults with attention defi[cit hyperactivity disorder. J Neurosci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref99) [32:841](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref99)–849.
- 100. [Ichikawa J, Meltzer HY \(1995\): Effect of antidepressants on striatal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref100) [and accumbens extracellular dopamine levels. Eur J Pharmacol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref100) [281:255](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref100)–261.
- 101. [Fusar-Poli P, Rubia K, Rossi G, Sartori G, Balottin U \(2012\): Striatal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref101) [dopamine transporter alterations in ADHD: Pathophysiology or](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref101) [adaptation to psychostimulants? A Meta-Analysis. Am J Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref101) [169:264](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref101)–272.
- 102. [Bridgett DJ, Walker ME \(2006\): Intellectual functioning in adults](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref102) [with ADHD: a meta-analytic examination of full scale IQ differ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref102)[ences between adults with and without ADHD. Psychol Assess](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref102) [18:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2451-9022(18)30072-7/sref102)–14.