



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.cortex.2015.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2015.01.011)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Jimenez Jimenez, D., Abete-Rivas, M., Martín-López, D., Lacruz, M. E., Selway, R. P., Valentín, A., & Alarcón, G. (2015). Incidence of functional bi-temporal connections in the human brain invivo and their relevance to epilepsy surgery. *Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior*, 65, 208-218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2015.01.011>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Manuscript Number: CORTEX-D-14-00539R2

Title: Incidence of functional bi-temporal connections in the human brain in vivo and their relevance to epilepsy surgery

Article Type: Clinical Neuroanatomy

Keywords: Limbic system Contralateral temporal connections Single pulse electrical stimulation Seizure onset pattern Epilepsy surgery

Corresponding Author: Dr. Gonzalo Alarcon, MD, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: King's College Hospital /Inst of Psychiatry

First Author: Diego Jiménez-Jiménez

Order of Authors: Diego Jiménez-Jiménez; Margely Abete-Rivas; David Martín-López ; María E Lacruz; Richard P Selway; Antonio Valentín; Gonzalo Alarcon, MD, Ph.D.

Abstract: The incidence of functional connections between human temporal lobes and their latencies were investigated using intracranial EEG responses to electrical stimulation with 1 ms single pulses in 91 patients assessed for surgery for treatment of epilepsy. The areas studied were amygdala, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, inferior and mid temporal gyrus. Furthermore, we assessed whether the presence of such connections are related to seizure onset extent and postsurgical seizure control. Responses were seen in any region of the contralateral temporal lobe when stimulating temporal regions in 30 patients out of the 91 (32.96%). Bi-hippocampal or bi-amygdalar projections were seen in only 5% of temporal lobes (N=60) and between both fusiform gyri in 7.1% (N=126). All other bi-lateral connections occurred in less than 5% of hemispheres. Depending on the structures, latencies ranged between 20 and 90 ms, with an average value of 60.2 ms. There were no statistical difference in the proportion of patients showing Engel Class I between patients with and without contralateral temporal connections. No difference was found in the proportion of patients showing bilateral or unilateral seizure onset among patients with and without contralateral temporal projections. The present findings corroborate that the functionality of bilateral temporal connections in humans is limited and does not affect the surgical outcome.

Incidence of functional bi-temporal connections in the human brain *in vivo* and their relevance to epilepsy surgery

DIEGO JIMÉNEZ-JIMÉNEZ^{1,2,3}, MARGELY ABETE-RIVAS⁴, DAVID MARTÍN-LÓPEZ^{1,2,5,6}, MARÍA ELENA LACRUZ¹,
RICHARD P. SELWAY⁷, ANTONIO VALENTÍN^{1,2&}, GONZALO ALARCÓN^{1,2,6&}.

¹ Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and
Neuroscience. King's College London, UK.

² Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, King's College Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK.

³ School of Medicine, Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador.

⁴ Departamento de Neurofisiología Clínica, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid,
Valladolid, Spain

⁵ West Surrey Clinical Neurophysiology, St Peter's Hospital, Chertsey, UK

⁶ Departamento de Fisiología, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain.

⁷ Department of Neurosurgery, King's College Hospital, NHS Trust London, UK

&Drs A. Valentín and G. Alarcón are joint senior authors.

Corresponding author:

Dr Gonzalo Alarcon

Department of Clinical Neuroscience, PO Box 43

Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London

De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF

Email: gonzalo.alarcon@kcl.ac.uk

Telephone: 0207 848 5161; Fax: 0207 848 0988

Disclosure: None of the authors has any conflict of interest to disclose.

Funding: The National Secretariat for Higher Education, Science, Technology and
Innovation of Ecuador (SENESCYT) funded part of this work as part of a PhD degree.

Abstract

The incidence of functional connections between human temporal lobes and their latencies were investigated using intracranial EEG responses to electrical stimulation with 1 ms single pulses in 91 patients assessed for surgery for treatment of epilepsy. The areas studied were amygdala, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, inferior and mid temporal gyrus. Furthermore, we assessed whether the presence of such connections are related to seizure onset extent and postsurgical seizure control. Responses were seen in any region of the contralateral temporal lobe when stimulating temporal regions in 30 patients out of the 91 (32.96%). Bi-hippocampal or bi-amygdalar projections were seen in only 5% of temporal lobes ($N=60$) and between both fusiform gyri in 7.1% ($N=126$). All other bi-lateral connections occurred in less than 5% of hemispheres. Depending on the structures, latencies ranged between 20 and 90 ms, with an average value of 60.2 ms. There were no statistical difference in the proportion of patients showing Engel Class I between patients with and without contralateral temporal connections. No difference was found in the proportion of patients showing bilateral or unilateral seizure onset among patients with and without contralateral temporal projections. The present findings corroborate that the functionality of bilateral temporal connections in humans is limited and does not affect the surgical outcome.

Keywords:

Limbic system - Contralateral temporal connections - Single pulse electrical stimulation - Seizure onset pattern - Epilepsy surgery.

Highlights:

- Hippocampus and amygdala have a low incidence of contralateral connections (5.0%).
- Fusiform gyrus showed the highest incidence of contralateral functional connections ($\leq 7.1\%$).
- Bi-temporal connectivity is related neither to bilateral seizure onset nor postsurgical outcome.

1. Introduction₁

Anatomical and neuroimaging studies have consistently shown structural connections between both temporal lobes. A component of the fornix crosses to the contralateral hippocampus constituting the hippocampal commissure (Catani, Dell'acqua, & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2013). A recent study with diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has revealed that 56.7% of 52 healthy volunteers show contralateral temporal connections (Kwon & Jang, 2014). However, it remains unclear if human bilateral temporal connections are functional in vivo. Histopathological samples in normal subjects can identify ventral and dorsal hippocampal commissures, of which only the latter is well defined and sizable in humans (Gloor, Salanova, Olivier, & Quesney, 1993). Lesional and stimulation studies support that hippocampi on either hemisphere process memory independently of each other, suggesting that connections between both hippocampi may not be functionally relevant (Goldstein & Polkey, 1992; Lacruz et al., 2010).

Patients assessed with intracranial electrodes during presurgical assessment of epilepsy provide a unique opportunity to estimate the incidence of functional bi-

¹ Abbreviations:

SPES: Single Pulse Electrical Stimulation
DEE: Diffuse Electrodecremental Event pattern
FA: Fast activity pattern
FA-DEE: Fast activity-Diffuse Electrodecremental Event
SIOP: Sustained Ictal Onset Patterns
PED: Preceding Epileptiform Discharges

1 temporal connections in the human brain in-vivo. Indeed, electrical stimulation
2 has failed to show functional connections between both hippocampi (Wilson,
3 Isokawa, Babb, & Crandall, 1990), or has shown such connections in a small
4 proportion of patients (Lacruz, Garcia Seoane, Valentin, Selway, & Alarcon, 2007;
5 Lacuey et al., 2014).

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 Single Pulse Electrical Stimulation (SPES) is used routinely at our centre as part
15 of presurgical assessment for epilepsy in order to identify the topography and
16 extent of hyperexcitable cortex, which might be potentially epileptogenic. Briefly,
17 SPES consists of recording intracranial EEG responses to cortical stimulation
18 with a brief single electrical pulse. Two main types of cortical responses are
19 evoked by the stimuli, early and late responses. Early responses are recorded in
20 areas around the stimulated cortex but sometimes also at a distance, providing
21 evidence of functional connections between stimulated cortex and the regions
22 where early responses are recorded (Enatsu et al., 2012; Fish, Gloor, Quesney, &
23 Olivier, 1993; Lacruz et al., 2010; Lacuey et al., 2014; Umeoka et al., 2009; Wilson
24 et al., 1990). Late responses are reliable biomarkers of epileptogenic cortex
25 (Flanagan, Valentin, Garcia Seoane, Alarcon, & Boyd, 2009; Valentin, Alarcon,
26 Garcia-Seoane, et al., 2005; Valentin, Alarcon, Honavar, et al., 2005).

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 Approximately 33% of patients with temporal lobe epilepsy assessed for surgery
50 with intracranial electrodes show bilateral changes at seizure onset, and some of
51 such changes have implications for surgical outcome (Jiménez-Jiménez et al.,
52 2014). However, the nature of those bilateral changes at seizure onset remains
53 unclear. We hypothesise that, if bilateral changes at seizure onset are due to
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 synaptic transmission through anatomical pathways, they should be related to
2 the presence of functional bilateral connections.
3
4
5
6

7 In the present study, we estimate the incidence and latencies of human
8 functional contralateral temporo-temporal connections in-vivo in the largest
9 series to date. Furthermore, this is the first study to address whether the
10 presence of such connections is related to bilateral changes at seizure onset or to
11 postsurgical seizure control.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2. Methods

2.1 Subjects

SPES recordings from all 269 patients who had intracranial electrodes implanted for pre-surgical evaluation at King's College Hospital between January 1999 and December 2013 were reviewed. The study included all 91 patients who had intracranial electrodes in both temporal lobes.

Patients were informed of the nature of the study and gave informed consent to undergo SPES. The ethical committee at King's College Hospital (99-017) approved the development of SPES. Single pulse electrical stimulation is now part of the clinical protocol for presurgical assessment of patients with epilepsy with intracranial recordings.

2.2 Electrode placement

The type, number and location of the electrodes were determined by the suspected location of the ictal onset region, according to non-invasive evaluation: clinical history, scalp EEG recordings obtained with the Maudsley system (Alarcon et al., 2001; Fernandez Torre et al., 1999; Kissani, Alarcon, Dad, Binnie, & Polkey, 2001), neuropsychology (Akanuma et al., 2003) and neuroimaging. All patients with normal neuroimaging were assessed with intracranial electrodes. The selection criteria and implantation procedures have been described in detail elsewhere (Alarcon, 2012; Alarcon et al., 2006). Temporal depth (intracerebral) and subdural electrodes were used as shown in

1 figure 1 and described below. The anatomical locations were defined according
2 to (Insausti et al., 1998).
3
4

5 **2.3 Subdural electrodes:**

6
7
8
9 Subdural electrodes consisted of strips and mats (AdTech Medical Instruments
10 Corp., WI, USA). Each strip consisted of a single row of 4 to 8 platinum disk
11 electrodes spaced at 10 mm between centres. The disks were embedded in a 0.7
12 mm thick polyurethane strip which overlapped the edges leaving a diameter of
13 2.3 mm exposed, and recessed approximately 0.1 mm from the surface plane.
14
15
16 Mats contained rectangular arrays of 12, 16, 20, 32 or 64 similar platinum
17 electrodes with 10 mm centre-to-centre distances within rows. Subtemporal
18 strips of electrodes were inserted through a lateral burr hole and slid under the
19 temporal lobe. The position of each electrode was assessed according to CT or
20 coregistered CT-MRI. Generally, the deepest electrodes in each strip (labelled as
21 1 and 2) were in contact with the parahippocampal gyrus, electrodes 3 and 4 in
22 contact with the fusiform gyrus, electrodes 5 and 6 in contact with inferior
23 temporal gyrus and electrodes 7 and 8 (most lateral) in contact with the mid
24 temporal gyrus.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **2.4 Intracerebral (depth) electrodes:**

47
48
49 Multielectrode flexible bundles of depth electrodes (AdTech Medical Instruments
50 Corp., WI, USA) were implanted stereotactically under MRI guidance. The
51 electrode bundles contained 8 or 10 cylindrical 2.3 mm long platinum electrodes
52 separated by 5 mm between centres of adjacent electrodes of the same bundle.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59 Usually 3 depth electrode bundles were implanted via an orthogonal lateral
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 approach with the deepest electrodes (labelled as 1 and 2) at the amygdala, the
2 anterior or posterior hippocampus according to MRI stereotactic target and the
3
4 most superficial electrodes (labelled as 5 to 7) at the mid temporal gyrus.
5
6
7
8
9

10 **2.5 EEG recordings**

11
12 Recording of intracranial EEG started when the patient had recovered from
13 electrode implantation, usually 24-48 hours after surgery. Cable telemetry with
14 up to 64 recording channels was used for data acquisition with simultaneous
15 video monitoring. In 40 patients, the Telefactor Beehive-Beekeeper system
16 (Astro-Med, RI, USA) was used. Data were digitized at 200 Hz and band pass
17 filtered (high pass cut-off frequency at 0.3 Hz and low pass cut-off frequency at
18 70 Hz). The system input range was 2 mV and data were digitized with a 12 bit
19 analog-to-digital converter (amplitude resolution of 0.488 μ V). In the remaining
20 51 patients, a Medelec-Profile system was used (Medelec, Oxford Instruments,
21 United Kingdom). Data were digitized at 256 Hz and band pass filtered (0.05-70
22 Hz). The input range was 10 mV and data were digitized with a 22 bit analog-to-
23 digital converter (an amplitude resolution of 0.153 μ V). Data were recorded as
24 common reference to Pz or to an intracranial electrode, and displayed in a
25 variety of montages including various scalp, intracranial and average common
26 references to identify the most inactive reference for review in each patient.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **2.6 Experimental protocol**

52 SPES was performed between adjacent electrodes using a constant-current
53 neurostimulator approved for use in human subjects (Medelec ST10 Sensor,
54 Oxford Instruments, UK or Leadpoint, Medtronic, UK). Electrical stimulation was
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 carried out with monophasic single pulses of 1ms duration and current intensity
2 ranging between 4 and 8 mA (4 mA being the intensity most often used). Each
3 pulse was delivered between pairs of contiguous electrodes, every 5 or 10
4 seconds and EEG responses to each pulse were recorded by the electrodes not
5 used for stimulation. No permanent neurological or neuropsychological deficits
6 have been observed associated with SPES. A more detailed description of the
7 experimental protocol for SPES is described elsewhere (Valentín et al. 2002; A.
8 Valentín et al. 2005; Antonio Valentín et al. 2005; Flanagan et al. 2009).
9

10
11
12 The term 'stimulus' or 'stimulation' will be used to designate each single pulse
13 and the term 'series' will be used to designate a batch of several identical pulses
14 applied to the same pair of electrodes, with the same polarity. Series usually
15 comprised 10 stimuli. For each pair of adjacent electrodes, two separate
16 stimulation series were carried out with opposite polarity. Series of opposite
17 polarity might stimulate slightly different regions, since neuronal stimulation is
18 assumed to be greatest at the cathode. The electrodes used for stimulation were
19 not used for recording. In patients with subdural electrodes, all available
20 electrodes were used to stimulate in at least one series. In patients with
21 intracerebral recordings only pairs of electrodes located in grey matter
22 (according to MRI obtained with the electrodes implanted) were used to
23 stimulate. Throughout the paper, the term "contralateral" will refer to the
24 temporal lobe contralateral to stimulation (i.e. the temporal lobe where
25 responses were recorded).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 The measured variables were: a) the presence or absence of early contralateral
2 temporal responses when stimulating at each location; and b) the latency of
3 contralateral responses. The presence of early responses is assumed to provide
4 evidence of connections between the stimulated cortex and the areas where
5 early responses are recorded. Responses to different stimulation locations
6 within the same structure in the same hemisphere in the same patient were
7 pooled together (counted only once for each direction).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **2.7 Data analysis**

22
23 In order to minimise stimulation artefact, responses to stimulation with opposite
24 polarity through the same electrodes were averaged. Cortical responses were
25 identified visually and were considered significant if their amplitude after
26 averaging was at least twice the amplitude of the background activity during the
27 400ms previous to the stimulus artefact.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 In each patient, contralateral (inter-hemispheric) connections were studied
39 when each temporal lobe was stimulated. Thus, for any two regions in
40 contralateral hemispheres, each patient provides two measures, one from right
41 to left and one from left to right. The likelihood of finding functional connections
42 between both regions was calculated as the proportion/percentage of
43 connections found among the number of stimulated hemispheres where such
44 connections could be tested (i.e. in subjects with electrodes implanted in both
45 regions).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Latencies of responses evoked in contralateral structures were studied. Cortical
2 contralateral responses following stimulation artefact were identified visually,
3
4 and multiple cursors were scrolled through the traces to identify synchronous
5
6 points and measure latency differences among cursors. Contralateral response
7
8 latency was measured from the stimulation artefact to the first peak of the first
9
10 identifiable deflection of the contralateral response. The presence of a response
11
12 was checked in bipolar montage and in common reference montage with
13
14 reference to the average of the electrodes from the same strip or bundle.
15
16 Latencies were measured in the latter montage in order to avoid interference
17
18 from remote references.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **2.8 Seizure Onset Analysis**

26
27
28 Seizure onset of all 40 patients who underwent resection was analysed. Ictal
29
30 onset pattern was determined by visual analysis of the pruned ictal files of
31
32 intracranial EEG recordings. The seizure onset patterns lasting for several
33
34 seconds were classified as follows (Jiménez-Jiménez et al., 2014): a) Diffuse
35
36 electroelemental event (DEE), b) Focal Fast activity (FA), c) sharp-waves, d)
37
38 spike-waves, e) alpha activity, f) theta activity, and g) delta activity. When FA and
39
40 DEE started within one second, this was classified as h) FA-DEE. These patterns
41
42 will be generically designated as “sustained ictal onset patterns” (SIOP).
43
44 Frequently, SIOPs were immediately preceded by a single epileptiform discharge
45
46 (preceding epileptiform discharge, or PED), which can show a widespread or
47
48 bilateral distribution. PEDs can precede any type of SIOP and consequently were
49
50 analysed separately. The term “seizure onset patterns” will include SIOPs and
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 PEDs. A more detailed description of the seizure onset analysis is described
2 elsewhere (Jiménez-Jiménez et al., 2014).
3
4

5 **2.9 Surgical Procedures**

6
7
8
9 Surgery included temporal resections only. Tissue was removed and pathology
10 studies performed. En-bloc temporal lobectomies followed an anatomically
11 standardised surgical techniques (Alarcon, 2009). En bloc temporal lobectomy
12 was undertaken at King's College Hospital as originally described by Falconer
13 (Falconer, 1971), later modified to achieve a more complete removal of the
14 hippocampus by use of the principles described by Spencer (D. D. Spencer,
15 Spencer, Mattson, Williamson, & Novelly, 1984). In effect, between 5.5 cm and
16 6.5 cm of temporal lobe was removed. In the dominant hemisphere, usually the
17 left, all superior temporal gyrus except the anterior 2 cm was spared. Such a
18 resection would have included at least 50% of the amygdala and 2–3 cm of
19 parahippocampal gyrus and hippocampus. Electrocorticographic intraoperative
20 recordings were carried out and the extent of the resection was occasionally
21 modified according to electrocorticographic findings (Alarcon et al., 1997).
22 Structural lesions shown on imaging were removed unless functional mapping
23 suggested a significant risk of functional deficits. Post-operative imaging was
24 performed in those patients where surgery failed.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **2.10 Surgical outcome**

50
51
52 Surgical outcome with regard to seizure control was determined at regular
53 postoperative follow up assessments. Surgical outcome was coded according to
54 Engel surgical outcome classification (Engel, Van Ness, Rasmussen, & Ojemann,
55 1993). Surgical outcome at the longest follow-up available was used for each
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 patient. For statistical analysis, only Engel classification was used. Grade I was
2 considered as “good outcome” and grades II, III or IV as “poor outcome”. Only
3 those patients with at least one year of follow up were included in this analysis.
4
5

6
7
8 **2.11 Surgical outcome analysis:**
9

10 Two-tailed χ^2 testing with one degree of freedom and with Yate’s correction was
11 used to compare the proportion of patients with favourable outcome between
12 the groups of patients showing contralateral connections temporal lobe
13 connections. Existence of significant differences was assumed if $p < 0.05$. Analysis
14 was carried out with Graphpad.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/contingency1.cfm.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3. Results

3.1 Subjects and resections

Among the 91 patients included in the study, 45 (49.5%) were female and 46 (50.5%) were male. The median age of SPES assessment was 35.07 (minimum = 10 years; maximum = 29 years). Of the 91 patients, 40 (44.0%) underwent temporal lobe resection and among these, 34 had a follow up longer than 1 year. One hundred and fifty six seizures were studied from the 40 patients who underwent surgery. The median age at resection was 33.2 years (minimum = 15 years; maximum = 55 years).

3.2 Intracranial electrodes

3.2.1 Subdural electrodes:

Among all 91 patients, 61 patients (67.0%) had only subdural strips, which included bilateral subtemporal strips in all cases. In addition to the subtemporal strips, 12 patients (13.2%) had one additional unilateral frontal strip, 4 patients (4.4%) had one additional unilateral frontal mat, 3 patients (3.3%) had one additional occipital strip, 1 patient (1.1%) had an additional unilateral frontal mat and a subdural occipital strip, and 1 patient (1.1%) had an additional unilateral frontal strip and one occipital strip.

3.2.2 Depth electrodes:

Among all 91 patients, 28 patients (30.8%) had depth electrodes bilaterally implanted in the temporal lobes. In addition to the temporal electrodes, 4

1 patients (4.4%) had one subdural frontal strip, 3 patients (3.3%) had one
2 subdural occipital strip, and 2 patients (2.2%) had one unilateral depth frontal.
3
4
5
6

7 3.2.3 Subdural and Depth electrodes:

8
9 Two patients (2.2%) had a combination of temporal subdural and depth
10 electrodes.
11
12
13

14 3.3 Presence of contralateral temporal connections

15
16 The number and percentage of temporal lobes presenting contralateral temporal
17 responses are shown in Table 1. Responses were seen in a region of the
18 contralateral temporal lobe when stimulating temporal regions in 30 patients
19 out of the 91 (32.96%). Bi-hippocampal or bi-amygdalar projections were seen
20 in only 5% of temporal lobes ($N=60$) (Figure 2) and between fusiform gyri in
21 7.1% ($N=126$) (Figure 3). All other connections were seen less than 5% of
22 hemispheres as in figure 4.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 3.4 Latencies of contralateral temporal connections

41
42 Depending on the structures, latencies ranged between 20 and 90 ms, with an
43 average value of 60.2 ms. Table 2 shows the latencies of contralateral
44 connections.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 3.5 Seizure Onset Patterns

55
56 Table 3 shows the incidence of each SIOP for the 40 patients who underwent
57 surgery. Patients have been divided into those with and without contralateral
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 temporal connections. Overall, the most common SIOP was FA, which was seen
2 in 14 patients (35.0%), followed by DEE seen in 7 patients (17.65%) and FA-DEE
3 in 5 patients (12.5%). No difference was found in the proportion of patients
4 showing a specific SIOP among patients with and without contralateral temporal
5 projections.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 Among the 28 patients without contralateral connections, PEDs were present in
15 16 patients, were focal in 3 patients, widespread (unilateral involvement of more
16 than one temporal structure) in 12 patients and bilateral in 1 patient. Among the
17 12 patients with contralateral connections, PEDs were present in 6 patients,
18 were focal in 2 and widespread in 4 patients. Interestingly, no patient with
19 contralateral connections showed bilateral PEDs.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 No difference was found in the proportion of patients showing PED or in PED
33 extension among patients with and without contralateral temporal projections.
34
35
36

37 Table 4 shows the anatomical structure showing seizure onset and PEDs. A
38 substantial proportion of patients showed a lobar onset involving more than one
39 structure. Among the remaining patients, the majority of seizures arose from the
40 hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus. The largest proportion of PEDs
41 showed a widespread distribution, involving more than one structure. No
42 difference was found among patients with and without contralateral temporal
43 projections, in the proportion of patients showing seizure onset or PED at each
44 location.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.6 Prognostic value of contralateral temporal lobe connections

1
2
3 Surgical outcome from all 34 patients who underwent resection and had a follow
4
5 up period longer than one year after a temporal resection is summarised in
6
7 Table 5. Overall, 38.28% of patients remained seizure free after surgery. The
8
9 median follow up was 21.00 months (minimum = 12 months; maximum = 144
10
11 months). Among the 34 patients, 12 showed contralateral temporal connections
12
13
14
15 (30%).
16

17
18
19
20 Among the 26 patients without contralateral temporal connections, 10 (38.46%)
21
22 had Engel Class I whereas among the 8 patients with contralateral temporal lobe
23
24 connections 3 (37.5%) had Engel Class I. There is no statistical difference in the
25
26 proportion of patients showing Engel Class I between patients with and without
27
28 contralateral temporal connections.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

4. Discussion

Our findings confirm that the functionality of bilateral temporal connections is limited. Only 5 % of amygdala project to the contralateral amygdala. Similarly, 5% of hippocampus is connected to the contralateral hippocampus and 3.9% to the mid temporal gyrus. The highest contralateral temporal projections arise from both fusiform gyri (7.1%) (Figure 4). These findings are consistent with previous reports from smaller series (Fish et al., 1993; Lacruz et al., 2007; Lacuey et al., 2014; Umeoka et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 1991). Our findings are also consistent with the notion that the temporal lobes on either hemisphere process memory independently (Lacruz et al., 2010). This is in contrast with what occurs with other parts of the limbic system. For instance, the cingular gyrus shows profuse functional connections with contralateral frontal cortex (Lacruz et al., 2007; Rosenzweig, Beniczky, Brunnhuber, Alarcon, & Valentin, 2011; Valentin et al., 2011). The shortest inter temporal latency was between amygdalae, possibly reflecting the shorter distance or callosal propagation. Overall we have found latencies for contralateral bi-temporal connections within the range previously reported (Lacruz et al., 2007; Umeoka et al., 2009), and shorter than those reported from the temporal lobes (Lacruz et al., 2007).

The absence of functional connections between hippocampi is puzzling, as there is ample evidence for the presence of anatomical connections (Lacuey et al., 2014; Lieb & Babb, 1986; Lieb, Engel, & Babb, 1986; Wilson et al., 1990; Wilson et al., 1991). There are of two commissural systems in primates, responsible of

1 connecting contralateral hippocampi structures: a) the ventral hippocampal
2 commissure, which interconnects both hippocampi; b) the dorsal hippocampal
3 commissure interconnecting both entorhinal cortices. Neurophysiological and
4
5 neurophysiological and
6
7 neuropathological methods suggest that the ventral hippocampal commissure
8
9 has virtually disappeared in humans, while the dorsal hippocampal commissure,
10
11 which interconnects both entorhinal cortices, appears to remain functional
12
13 (Gloor, 1997; Gloor et al., 1993; Umeoka et al., 2009). We have found that the
14
15 fusiform gyrus is the temporal structure with the highest proportion of
16
17 contralateral temporal projections, supporting that the dorsal hippocampal
18
19 commissure is the most relevant pathway in humans. We found minimal
20
21 connectivity (5% of temporal lobes) between both amygdalae, in concordance
22
23 with a previous report using PET (Irwin et al., 2004).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 The functionality of bilateral temporal connections affects neither the presence
33
34 of bilateral changes at seizure onset nor seizure control after surgery. This
35
36 suggests that the presence of bilateral changes at seizure onset may not be due
37
38 to rapid propagation through the pathways studied. The absence of relation
39
40 between bitemporal connections and seizure control after surgery further
41
42 suggests that seizure propagation may not preferentially occur along these
43
44 synaptic pathways, and consequently, severance of such pathways may not be
45
46 necessary to achieve favourable outcome. However, analysis of seizure spreading
47
48 in patients implanted with intracranial electrodes has suggested that the
49
50 different commissures may be involved in ictal propagation to the contralateral
51
52 hippocampi (Gloor et al., 1993; S. S. Spencer, Williamson, Spencer, & Mattson,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1987). It is possible that seizures may propagate through anatomical pathways that may not be relevant in normal function.

In conclusion, the present study is novel in two respects:

- 1) Our series is substantially larger (91 patients) than any previous report. Because the connections described are so infrequent, their presence cannot be estimated in small series. Therefore reporting a large series like ours is crucial for such estimation.
- 2) This is the first study to address whether the presence of bilateral temporal connections is related to bilateral seizure onset patterns and/or to postsurgical seizure control, which has obvious clinical implications.

7. REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4 Akanuma, N., Alarcon, G., Lum, F., Kissani, N., Koutroumanidis, M., Adachi, N., . . .
5 Morris, R. G. (2003). Lateralising value of neuropsychological protocols
6 for presurgical assessment of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, *44*(3),
7 408-418.
8
9 Alarcon, G. (2009). Surgical techniques. In G. Alarcon, L. Nashef, H. Cross, J.
10 Nightingale, & S. Richardson (Eds.), *Epilepsy* (pp. 434-443). Oxford ; New
11 York: Oxford University Press.
12 Alarcon, G. (2012). Preoperative assesement. In G. Alarcon & A. Valentin (Eds.),
13 *Introduction to epilepsy* (pp. 419-429). Cambridge: Cambridge University
14 Press.
15
16 Alarcon, G., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Binnie, C. D., Martin Miguel, M. C., Juler, J., Polkey,
17 C. E., . . . Ortiz Blasco, J. M. (1997). Origin and propagation of interictal
18 discharges in the acute electrocorticogram. Implications for
19 pathophysiology and surgical treatment of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain*,
20 *120* (Pt 12), 2259-2282.
21
22 Alarcon, G., Kissani, N., Dad, M., Elwes, R. D., Ekanayake, J., Hennessy, M. J., . . .
23 Polkey, C. E. (2001). Lateralizing and localizing values of ictal onset
24 recorded on the scalp: evidence from simultaneous recordings with
25 intracranial foramen ovale electrodes. *Epilepsia*, *42*(11), 1426-1437.
26
27 Alarcon, G., Valentin, A., Watt, C., Selway, R. P., Lacruz, M. E., Elwes, R. D., . . .
28 Polkey, C. E. (2006). Is it worth pursuing surgery for epilepsy in patients
29 with normal neuroimaging? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *77*(4), 474-
30 480. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2005.077289
31
32 Catani, M., Dell'acqua, F., & Thiebaut de Schotten, M. (2013). A revised limbic
33 system model for memory, emotion and behaviour. *Neurosci Biobehav*
34 *Rev*, *37*(8), 1724-1737. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.07.001
35
36 Enatsu, R., Jin, K., Elwan, S., Kubota, Y., Piao, Z., O'Connor, T., . . . Nair, D. R. (2012).
37 Correlations between ictal propagation and response to electrical cortical
38 stimulation: A cortico-cortical evoked potential study. *Epilepsy Res*, *101*(1-
39 2), 76-87. doi: Doi 10.1016/J.Eplepsyres.2012.03.004
40
41 Engel, J., Jr., Van Ness, P., Rasmussen, T., & Ojemann, G. A. (1993) *Surgical*
42 *treatment of the epilepsies* (2nd ed., pp. 609-621). New York: Raven Press.
43
44 Falconer, M. A. (1971). Genetic and related aetiological factors in temporal lobe
45 epilepsy - review. *Epilepsia*, *12*(1), 13-31. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-
46 1157.1971.tb03912.x
47
48 Fernandez Torre, J. L., Alarcon, G., Binnie, C. D., Seoane, J. J., Juler, J., Guy, C. N., &
49 Polkey, C. E. (1999). Generation of scalp discharges in temporal lobe
50 epilepsy as suggested by intraoperative electrocorticographic recordings.
51 *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *67*(1), 51-58.
52
53 Fish, D. R., Gloor, P., Quesney, F. L., & Olivier, A. (1993). Clinical responses to
54 electrical brain stimulation of the temporal and frontal lobes in patients
55 with epilepsy. Pathophysiological implications. *Brain*, *116* (Pt 2), 397-
56 414.
57
58 Flanagan, D., Valentin, A., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Alarcon, G., & Boyd, S. G. (2009).
59 Single-pulse electrical stimulation helps to identify epileptogenic cortex in
60
61
62
63
64
65

- children. *Epilepsia*, 50(7), 1793-1803. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2009.02056.x
- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Gloor, P. (1997). *The temporal lobe and limbic system*. New York ; Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gloor, P., Salanova, V., Olivier, A., & Quesney, L. F. (1993). The human dorsal hippocampal commissure. An anatomically identifiable and functional pathway. *Brain*, 116 (Pt 5), 1249-1273.
- Goldstein, L. H., & Polkey, C. E. (1992). Everyday memory after unilateral temporal lobectomy or amygdalo-hippocampectomy. *Cortex*, 28(2), 189-201.
- Insausti, R., Juottonen, K., Soininen, H., Insausti, A. M., Partanen, K., Vainio, P., . . . Pitkanen, A. (1998). MR volumetric analysis of the human entorhinal, perirhinal, and temporopolar cortices. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 19(4), 659-671.
- Irwin, W., Anderle, M. J., Abercrombie, H. C., Schaefer, S. M., Kalin, N. H., & Davidson, R. J. (2004). Amygdalar interhemispheric functional connectivity differs between the non-depressed and depressed human brain. *Neuroimage*, 21(2), 674-686. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.09.057
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., Nekkare, R., Flores, L., Chatzidimou, K., Bodi, I., Honavar, M., . . . Alarcón, G. (2014). Prognostic value of intracranial seizure onset patterns for surgical outcome of the treatment of epilepsy. *Clin Neurophysiol*.
- Kissani, N., Alarcon, G., Dad, M., Binnie, C. D., & Polkey, C. E. (2001). Sensitivity of recordings at sphenoidal electrode site for detecting seizure onset: evidence from scalp, superficial and deep foramen ovale recordings. *Clin Neurophysiol*, 112(2), 232-240.
- Kwon, H. G., & Jang, S. H. (2014). Neural connectivity of the lateral geniculate body in the human brain: Diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neurosci Lett*, 578C, 66-70. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2014.06.033
- Lacruz, M. E., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Valentin, A., Selway, R., & Alarcon, G. (2007). Frontal and temporal functional connections of the living human brain. *Eur J Neurosci*, 26(5), 1357-1370. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05730.x
- Lacruz, M. E., Valentin, A., Seoane, J. J., Morris, R. G., Selway, R. P., & Alarcon, G. (2010). Single pulse electrical stimulation of the hippocampus is sufficient to impair human episodic memory. *Neuroscience*, 170(2), 623-632. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.06.042
- Lacuey, N., Zonjy, B., Kahriman, E. S., Kaffashi, F., Miller, J., & Luders, H. O. (2014). Functional connectivity between right and left mesial temporal structures. *Brain Struct Funct*. doi: 10.1007/s00429-014-0810-0
- Lieb, J. P., & Babb, T. L. (1986). Interhemispheric propagation time of human hippocampal seizures: II. Relationship to pathology and cell density. *Epilepsia*, 27(3), 294-300.
- Lieb, J. P., Engel, J., Jr., & Babb, T. L. (1986). Interhemispheric propagation time of human hippocampal seizures. I. Relationship to surgical outcome. *Epilepsia*, 27(3), 286-293.
- Rosenzweig, I., Beniczky, S., Brunnhuber, F., Alarcon, G., & Valentin, A. (2011). The dorsal hippocampal commissure: when functionality matters. *J*

- 1 *Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*, 23(3), E45-48. doi:
2 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.23.3.E45
- 3 Spencer, D. D., Spencer, S. S., Mattson, R. H., Williamson, P. D., & Novelly, R. A.
4 (1984). Access to the posterior medial temporal lobe structures in the
5 surgical treatment of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Neurosurgery*, 15(5), 667-
6 671.
- 7 Spencer, S. S., Williamson, P. D., Spencer, D. D., & Mattson, R. H. (1987). Human
8 hippocampal seizure spread studied by depth and subdural recording: the
9 hippocampal commissure. *Epilepsia*, 28(5), 479-489.
- 10 Umeoka, S., Terada, K., Baba, K., Usui, K., Matsuda, K., Tottori, T., . . . Mihara, T.
11 (2009). Neural connection between bilateral basal temporal regions:
12 cortico-cortical evoked potential analysis in patients with temporal lobe
13 epilepsy. *Neurosurgery*, 64(5), 847-855; discussion 855. doi:
14 10.1227/01.NEU.0000344001.26669.92
- 15 Valentin, A., Alarcon, G., Garcia-Seoane, J. J., Lacruz, M. E., Nayak, S. D., Honavar,
16 M., . . . Polkey, C. E. (2005). Single-pulse electrical stimulation identifies
17 epileptogenic frontal cortex in the human brain. *Neurology*, 65(3), 426-
18 435. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000171340.73078.c1
- 19 Valentin, A., Alarcon, G., Honavar, M., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Selway, R. P., Polkey, C.
20 E., & Binnie, C. D. (2005). Single pulse electrical stimulation for
21 identification of structural abnormalities and prediction of seizure
22 outcome after epilepsy surgery: a prospective study. *Lancet Neurol*, 4(11),
23 718-726. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(05)70200-3
- 24 Valentin, A., Lazaro, M., Mullatti, N., Cervantes, S., Malik, I., Selway, R. P., &
25 Alarcon, G. (2011). Cingulate epileptogenesis in hypothalamic
26 hamartoma. *Epilepsia*, 52(5), e35-39. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-
27 1167.2011.03060.x
- 28 Wilson, C. L., Isokawa, M., Babb, T. L., & Crandall, P. H. (1990). Functional
29 connections in the human temporal lobe. I. Analysis of limbic system
30 pathways using neuronal responses evoked by electrical stimulation. *Exp*
31 *Brain Res*, 82(2), 279-292.
- 32 Wilson, C. L., Isokawa, M., Babb, T. L., Crandall, P. H., Levesque, M. F., & Engel, J.,
33 Jr. (1991). Functional connections in the human temporal lobe. II.
34 Evidence for a loss of functional linkage between contralateral limbic
35 structures. *Exp Brain Res*, 85(1), 174-187.
- 36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Incidence of functional bi-temporal connections in the human brain *in vivo* and their relevance to epilepsy surgery

DIEGO JIMÉNEZ-JIMÉNEZ^{1,2,3}, MARGELY ABETE-RIVAS⁴, DAVID MARTÍN-LÓPEZ^{1,2,5,6}, MARÍA ELENA LACRUZ¹,
RICHARD P. SELWAY⁷, ANTONIO VALENTÍN^{1,2&}, GONZALO ALARCÓN^{1,2,6&}.

¹ Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and
Neuroscience. King's College London, UK.

² Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, King's College Hospital NHS Trust, London, UK.

³ School of Medicine, Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador.

⁴ Departamento de Neurofisiología Clínica, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid,
Valladolid, Spain

⁵ West Surrey Clinical Neurophysiology, St Peter's Hospital, Chertsey, UK

⁶ Departamento de Fisiología, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain.

⁷ Department of Neurosurgery, King's College Hospital, NHS Trust London, UK

&Drs A. Valentín and G. Alarcón are joint senior authors.

Corresponding author:

Dr Gonzalo Alarcon

Department of Clinical Neuroscience, PO Box 43

Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London

De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF

Email: gonzalo.alarcon@kcl.ac.uk

Telephone: 0207 848 5161; Fax: 0207 848 0988

Disclosure: None of the authors has any conflict of interest to disclose.

Funding: The National Secretariat for Higher Education, Science, Technology and
Innovation of Ecuador (SENESCYT) funded part of this work as part of a PhD degree.

Abstract

The incidence of functional connections between human temporal lobes and their latencies were investigated using intracranial EEG responses to electrical stimulation with 1 ms single pulses in 91 patients assessed for surgery for treatment of epilepsy. The areas studied were amygdala, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus, inferior and mid temporal gyrus. Furthermore, we assessed whether the presence of such connections are related to seizure onset extent and postsurgical seizure control. Responses were seen in any region of the contralateral temporal lobe when stimulating temporal regions in 30 patients out of the 91 (32.96%). Bi-hippocampal or bi-amygdalar projections were seen in only 5% of temporal lobes ($N=60$) and between both fusiform gyri in 7.1% ($N=126$). All other bi-lateral connections occurred in less than 5% of hemispheres. Depending on the structures, latencies ranged between 20 and 90 ms, with an average value of 60.2 ms. There were no statistical difference in the proportion of patients showing Engel Class I between patients with and without contralateral temporal connections. No difference was found in the proportion of patients showing bilateral or unilateral seizure onset among patients with and without contralateral temporal projections. The present findings corroborate that the functionality of bilateral temporal connections in humans is limited and does not affect the surgical outcome.

Keywords:

Limbic system - Contralateral temporal connections - Single pulse electrical stimulation - Seizure onset pattern - Epilepsy surgery.

Highlights:

- Hippocampus and amygdala have a low incidence of contralateral connections (5.0%).
- Fusiform gyrus showed the highest incidence of contralateral functional connections ($\leq 7.1\%$).
- Bi-temporal connectivity is related neither to bilateral seizure onset nor postsurgical outcome.

1. Introduction₁

Anatomical and neuroimaging studies have consistently shown structural connections between both temporal lobes. A component of the fornix crosses to the contralateral hippocampus constituting the hippocampal commissure (Catani, Dell'acqua, & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2013). A recent study with diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has revealed that 56.7% of 52 healthy volunteers show contralateral temporal connections (Kwon & Jang, 2014). However, it remains unclear if human bilateral temporal connections are functional in vivo. Histopathological samples in normal subjects can identify ventral and dorsal hippocampal commissures, of which only the latter is well defined and sizable in humans (Gloor, Salanova, Olivier, & Quesney, 1993). Lesional and stimulation studies support that hippocampi on either hemisphere process memory independently of each other, suggesting that connections between both hippocampi may not be functionally relevant (Goldstein & Polkey, 1992; Lacruz et al., 2010).

Patients assessed with intracranial electrodes during presurgical assessment of epilepsy provide a unique opportunity to estimate the incidence of functional bi-

¹ Abbreviations:

SPES: Single Pulse Electrical Stimulation
DEE: Diffuse Electrodecremental Event pattern
FA: Fast activity pattern
FA-DEE: Fast activity-Diffuse Electrodecremental Event
SIOP: Sustained Ictal Onset Patterns
PED: Preceding Epileptiform Discharges

1 temporal connections in the human brain in-vivo. Indeed, electrical stimulation
2 has failed to show functional connections between both hippocampi (Wilson,
3 Isokawa, Babb, & Crandall, 1990), or has shown such connections in a small
4 proportion of patients (Lacruz, Garcia Seoane, Valentin, Selway, & Alarcon, 2007;
5 Lacuey et al., 2014).

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 Single Pulse Electrical Stimulation (SPES) is used routinely at our centre as part
15 of presurgical assessment for epilepsy in order to identify the topography and
16 extent of hyperexcitable cortex, which might be potentially epileptogenic. Briefly,
17 SPES consists of recording intracranial EEG responses to cortical stimulation
18 with a brief single electrical pulse. Two main types of cortical responses are
19 evoked by the stimuli, early and late responses. Early responses are recorded in
20 areas around the stimulated cortex but sometimes also at a distance, providing
21 evidence of functional connections between stimulated cortex and the regions
22 where early responses are recorded (Enatsu et al., 2012; Fish, Gloor, Quesney, &
23 Olivier, 1993; Lacruz et al., 2010; Lacuey et al., 2014; Umeoka et al., 2009; Wilson
24 et al., 1990). Late responses are reliable biomarkers of epileptogenic cortex
25 (Flanagan, Valentin, Garcia Seoane, Alarcon, & Boyd, 2009; Valentin, Alarcon,
26 Garcia-Seoane, et al., 2005; Valentin, Alarcon, Honavar, et al., 2005).

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 Approximately 33% of patients with temporal lobe epilepsy assessed for surgery
50 with intracranial electrodes show bilateral changes at seizure onset, and some of
51 such changes have implications for surgical outcome (Jiménez-Jiménez et al.,
52 2014). However, the nature of those bilateral changes at seizure onset remains
53 unclear. We hypothesise that, if bilateral changes at seizure onset are due to
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 synaptic transmission through anatomical pathways, they should be related to
2 the presence of functional bilateral connections.
3
4
5
6

7 In the present study, we estimate the incidence and latencies of human
8 functional contralateral temporo-temporal connections in-vivo in the largest
9 series to date. Furthermore, this is the first study to address whether the
10 presence of such connections is related to bilateral changes at seizure onset or to
11 postsurgical seizure control.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2. Methods

2.1 Subjects

SPES recordings from all 269 patients who had intracranial electrodes implanted for pre-surgical evaluation at King's College Hospital between January 1999 and December 2013 were reviewed. The study included all 91 patients who had intracranial electrodes in both temporal lobes.

Patients were informed of the nature of the study and gave informed consent to undergo SPES. The ethical committee at King's College Hospital (99-017) approved the development of SPES. Single pulse electrical stimulation is now part of the clinical protocol for presurgical assessment of patients with epilepsy with intracranial recordings.

2.2 Electrode placement

The type, number and location of the electrodes were determined by the suspected location of the ictal onset region, according to non-invasive evaluation: clinical history, scalp EEG recordings obtained with the Maudsley system (Alarcon et al., 2001; Fernandez Torre et al., 1999; Kissani, Alarcon, Dad, Binnie, & Polkey, 2001), neuropsychology (Akanuma et al., 2003) and neuroimaging. All patients with normal neuroimaging were assessed with intracranial electrodes. The selection criteria and implantation procedures have been described in detail elsewhere (Alarcon, 2012; Alarcon et al., 2006). Temporal depth (intracerebral) and subdural electrodes were used as shown in

1 figure 1 and described below. The anatomical locations were defined according
2 to (Insausti et al., 1998).
3
4

5 **2.3 Subdural electrodes:**

6
7
8
9 Subdural electrodes consisted of strips and mats (AdTech Medical Instruments
10 Corp., WI, USA). Each strip consisted of a single row of 4 to 8 platinum disk
11 electrodes spaced at 10 mm between centres. The disks were embedded in a 0.7
12 mm thick polyurethane strip which overlapped the edges leaving a diameter of
13 2.3 mm exposed, and recessed approximately 0.1 mm from the surface plane.
14
15 Mats contained rectangular arrays of 12, 16, 20, 32 or 64 similar platinum
16 electrodes with 10 mm centre-to-centre distances within rows. Subtemporal
17 strips of electrodes were inserted through a lateral burr hole and slid under the
18 temporal lobe. The position of each electrode was assessed according to CT or
19 coregistered CT-MRI. Generally, the deepest electrodes in each strip (labelled as
20 1 and 2) were in contact with the parahippocampal gyrus, electrodes 3 and 4 in
21 contact with the fusiform gyrus, electrodes 5 and 6 in contact with inferior
22 temporal gyrus and electrodes 7 and 8 (most lateral) in contact with the mid
23 temporal gyrus.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **2.4 Intracerebral (depth) electrodes:**

47
48
49 Multielectrode flexible bundles of depth electrodes (AdTech Medical Instruments
50 Corp., WI, USA) were implanted stereotactically under MRI guidance. The
51 electrode bundles contained 8 or 10 cylindrical 2.3 mm long platinum electrodes
52 separated by 5 mm between centres of adjacent electrodes of the same bundle.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 Usually 3 depth electrode bundles were implanted via an orthogonal lateral
61
62
63
64
65

1 approach with the deepest electrodes (labelled as 1 and 2) at the amygdala, the
2 anterior or posterior hippocampus according to MRI stereotactic target and the
3
4 most superficial electrodes (labelled as 5 to 7) at the mid temporal gyrus.
5
6
7
8
9

10 **2.5 EEG recordings**

11
12 Recording of intracranial EEG started when the patient had recovered from
13 electrode implantation, usually 24-48 hours after surgery. Cable telemetry with
14 up to 64 recording channels was used for data acquisition with simultaneous
15 video monitoring. In 40 patients, the Telefactor Beehive-Beekeeper system
16 (Astro-Med, RI, USA) was used. Data were digitized at 200 Hz and band pass
17 filtered (high pass cut-off frequency at 0.3 Hz and low pass cut-off frequency at
18 70 Hz). The system input range was 2 mV and data were digitized with a 12 bit
19 analog-to-digital converter (amplitude resolution of 0.488 μ V). In the remaining
20 51 patients, a Medelec-Profile system was used (Medelec, Oxford Instruments,
21 United Kingdom). Data were digitized at 256 Hz and band pass filtered (0.05-70
22 Hz). The input range was 10 mV and data were digitized with a 22 bit analog-to-
23 digital converter (an amplitude resolution of 0.153 μ V). Data were recorded as
24 common reference to Pz or to an intracranial electrode, and displayed in a
25 variety of montages including various scalp, intracranial and average common
26 references to identify the most inactive reference for review in each patient.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **2.6 Experimental protocol**

52 SPES was performed between adjacent electrodes using a constant-current
53 neurostimulator approved for use in human subjects (Medelec ST10 Sensor,
54 Oxford Instruments, UK or Leadpoint, Medtronic, UK). Electrical stimulation was
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 carried out with monophasic single pulses of 1ms duration and current intensity
2 ranging between 4 and 8 mA (4 mA being the intensity most often used). Each
3 pulse was delivered between pairs of contiguous electrodes, every 5 or 10
4 seconds and EEG responses to each pulse were recorded by the electrodes not
5 used for stimulation. No permanent neurological or neuropsychological deficits
6 have been observed associated with SPES. A more detailed description of the
7 experimental protocol for SPES is described elsewhere (Valentín et al. 2002; A.
8 Valentín et al. 2005; Antonio Valentín et al. 2005; Flanagan et al. 2009).
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 The term 'stimulus' or 'stimulation' will be used to designate each single pulse
23 and the term 'series' will be used to designate a batch of several identical pulses
24 applied to the same pair of electrodes, with the same polarity. Series usually
25 comprised 10 stimuli. For each pair of adjacent electrodes, two separate
26 stimulation series were carried out with opposite polarity. Series of opposite
27 polarity might stimulate slightly different regions, since neuronal stimulation is
28 assumed to be greatest at the cathode. The electrodes used for stimulation were
29 not used for recording. In patients with subdural electrodes, all available
30 electrodes were used to stimulate in at least one series. In patients with
31 intracerebral recordings only pairs of electrodes located in grey matter
32 (according to MRI obtained with the electrodes implanted) were used to
33 stimulate. Throughout the paper, the term "contralateral" will refer to the
34 temporal lobe contralateral to stimulation (i.e. the temporal lobe where
35 responses were recorded).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 The measured variables were: a) the presence or absence of early contralateral
2 temporal responses when stimulating at each location; and b) the latency of
3 contralateral responses. The presence of early responses is assumed to provide
4 evidence of connections between the stimulated cortex and the areas where
5 early responses are recorded. Responses to different stimulation locations
6 within the same structure in the same hemisphere in the same patient were
7 pooled together (counted only once for each direction).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **2.7 Data analysis**

22 In order to minimise stimulation artefact, responses to stimulation with opposite
23 polarity through the same electrodes were averaged. Cortical responses were
24 identified visually and were considered significant if their amplitude after
25 averaging was at least twice the amplitude of the background activity during the
26 400ms previous to the stimulus artefact.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 In each patient, contralateral (inter-hemispheric) connections were studied
39 when each temporal lobe was stimulated. Thus, for any two regions in
40 contralateral hemispheres, each patient provides two measures, one from right
41 to left and one from left to right. The likelihood of finding functional connections
42 between both regions was calculated as the proportion/percentage of
43 connections found among the number of stimulated hemispheres where such
44 connections could be tested (i.e. in subjects with electrodes implanted in both
45 regions).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Latencies of responses evoked in contralateral structures were studied. Cortical
2 contralateral responses following stimulation artefact were identified visually,
3
4 and multiple cursors were scrolled through the traces to identify synchronous
5
6 points and measure latency differences among cursors. Contralateral response
7
8 latency was measured from the stimulation artefact to the first peak of the first
9
10 identifiable deflection of the contralateral response. The presence of a response
11
12 was checked in bipolar montage and in common reference montage with
13
14 reference to the average of the electrodes from the same strip or bundle.
15
16 Latencies were measured in the latter montage in order to avoid interference
17
18 from remote references.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **2.8 Seizure Onset Analysis**

26
27
28 Seizure onset of all 40 patients who underwent resection was analysed. Ictal
29
30 onset pattern was determined by visual analysis of the pruned ictal files of
31
32 intracranial EEG recordings. The seizure onset patterns lasting for several
33
34 seconds were classified as follows (Jiménez-Jiménez et al., 2014): a) Diffuse
35
36 electroelemental event (DEE), b) Focal Fast activity (FA), c) sharp-waves, d)
37
38 spike-waves, e) alpha activity, f) theta activity, and g) delta activity. When FA and
39
40 DEE started within one second, this was classified as h) FA-DEE. These patterns
41
42 will be generically designated as “sustained ictal onset patterns” (SIOP).
43
44 Frequently, SIOPs were immediately preceded by a single epileptiform discharge
45
46 (preceding epileptiform discharge, or PED), which can show a widespread or
47
48 bilateral distribution. PEDs can precede any type of SIOP and consequently were
49
50 analysed separately. The term “seizure onset patterns” will include SIOPs and
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 PEDs. A more detailed description of the seizure onset analysis is described
2 elsewhere (Jiménez-Jiménez et al., 2014).
3
4

5 **2.9 Surgical Procedures**

6
7
8
9 Surgery included temporal resections only. Tissue was removed and pathology
10 studies performed. En-bloc temporal lobectomies followed an anatomically
11 standardised surgical techniques (Alarcon, 2009). En bloc temporal lobectomy
12 was undertaken at King's College Hospital as originally described by Falconer
13 (Falconer, 1971), later modified to achieve a more complete removal of the
14 hippocampus by use of the principles described by Spencer (D. D. Spencer,
15 Spencer, Mattson, Williamson, & Novelly, 1984). In effect, between 5.5 cm and
16 6.5 cm of temporal lobe was removed. In the dominant hemisphere, usually the
17 left, all superior temporal gyrus except the anterior 2 cm was spared. Such a
18 resection would have included at least 50% of the amygdala and 2–3 cm of
19 parahippocampal gyrus and hippocampus. Electrocorticographic intraoperative
20 recordings were carried out and the extent of the resection was occasionally
21 modified according to electrocorticographic findings (Alarcon et al., 1997).
22 Structural lesions shown on imaging were removed unless functional mapping
23 suggested a significant risk of functional deficits. Post-operative imaging was
24 performed in those patients where surgery failed.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **2.10 Surgical outcome**

50
51
52 Surgical outcome with regard to seizure control was determined at regular
53 postoperative follow up assessments. Surgical outcome was coded according to
54 Engel surgical outcome classification (Engel, Van Ness, Rasmussen, & Ojemann,
55 1993). Surgical outcome at the longest follow-up available was used for each
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 patient. For statistical analysis, only Engel classification was used. Grade I was
2 considered as “good outcome” and grades II, III or IV as “poor outcome”. Only
3 those patients with at least one year of follow up were included in this analysis.
4
5
6

7
8 **2.11 Surgical outcome analysis:**
9

10 Two-tailed χ^2 testing with one degree of freedom and with Yate’s correction was
11 used to compare the proportion of patients with favourable outcome between
12 the groups of patients showing contralateral connections temporal lobe
13 connections. Existence of significant differences was assumed if $p < 0.05$. Analysis
14 was carried out with Graphpad.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/contingency1.cfm.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3. Results

3.1 Subjects and resections

Among the 91 patients included in the study, 45 (49.5%) were female and 46 (50.5%) were male. The median age of SPES assessment was 35.07 (**minimum = 10 years; maximum = 29 years**). Of the 91 patients, 40 (44.0%) underwent temporal lobe resection and among these, 34 had a follow up longer than 1 year. One hundred and fifty six seizures were studied from the 40 patients who underwent surgery. The median age at resection was 33.2 years (**minimum = 15 years; maximum = 55 years**).

3.2 Intracranial electrodes

3.2.1 Subdural electrodes:

Among all 91 patients, 61 patients (67.0%) had only subdural strips, which included bilateral subtemporal strips in all cases. In addition to the subtemporal strips, 12 patients (13.2%) had one additional unilateral frontal strip, 4 patients (4.4%) had one additional unilateral frontal mat, 3 patients (3.3%) had one additional occipital strip, 1 patient (1.1%) had an additional unilateral frontal mat and a subdural occipital strip, and 1 patient (1.1%) had an additional unilateral frontal strip and one occipital strip.

3.2.2 Depth electrodes:

Among all 91 patients, 28 patients (30.8%) had depth electrodes bilaterally implanted in the temporal lobes. In addition to the temporal electrodes, 4

1 patients (4.4%) had one subdural frontal strip, 3 patients (3.3%) had one
2 subdural occipital strip, and 2 patients (2.2%) had one unilateral depth frontal.
3
4
5
6

7 3.2.3 Subdural and Depth electrodes:

8
9 Two patients (2.2%) had a combination of temporal subdural and depth
10 electrodes.
11
12
13

14 3.3 Presence of contralateral temporal connections

15
16 The number and percentage of temporal lobes presenting contralateral temporal
17 responses are shown in Table 1. Responses were seen in a region of the
18 contralateral temporal lobe when stimulating temporal regions in 30 patients
19 out of the 91 (32.96%). Bi-hippocampal or bi-amygdalar projections were seen
20 in only 5% of temporal lobes ($N=60$) (Figure 2) and between fusiform gyri in
21 7.1% ($N=126$) (Figure 3). All other connections were seen less than 5% of
22 hemispheres as in figure 4.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 3.4 Latencies of contralateral temporal connections

41
42 Depending on the structures, latencies ranged between 20 and 90 ms, with an
43 average value of 60.2 ms. Table 2 shows the latencies of contralateral
44 connections.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 3.5 Seizure Onset Patterns

55
56 Table 3 shows the incidence of each SIOP for the 40 patients who underwent
57 surgery. Patients have been divided into those with and without contralateral
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 temporal connections. Overall, the most common SIOP was FA, which was seen
2 in 14 patients (35.0%), followed by DEE seen in 7 patients (17.65%) and FA-DEE
3 in 5 patients (12.5%). No difference was found in the proportion of patients
4 showing a specific SIOP among patients with and without contralateral temporal
5 projections.
6
7
8
9
10

11
12
13
14 Among the 28 patients without contralateral connections, PEDs were present in
15 16 patients, were focal in 3 patients, widespread (unilateral involvement of more
16 than one temporal structure) in 12 patients and bilateral in 1 patient. Among the
17 12 patients with contralateral connections, PEDs were present in 6 patients,
18 were focal in 2 and widespread in 4 patients. Interestingly, no patient with
19 contralateral connections showed bilateral PEDs.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 No difference was found in the proportion of patients showing PED or in PED
33 extension among patients with and without contralateral temporal projections.
34
35
36

37 Table 4 shows the anatomical structure showing seizure onset and PEDs. A
38 substantial proportion of patients showed a lobar onset involving more than one
39 structure. Among the remaining patients, the majority of seizures arose from the
40 hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus. The largest proportion of PEDs
41 showed a widespread distribution, involving more than one structure. No
42 difference was found among patients with and without contralateral temporal
43 projections, in the proportion of patients showing seizure onset or PED at each
44 location.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.6 Prognostic value of contralateral temporal lobe connections

1
2
3 Surgical outcome from all 34 patients who underwent resection and had a follow
4
5 up period longer than one year after a temporal resection is summarised in
6
7 Table 5. Overall, 38.28% of patients remained seizure free after surgery. The
8
9 median follow up was 21.00 months (**minimum = 12 months; maximum = 144**
10
11 **months**). Among the 34 patients, 12 showed contralateral temporal connections
12
13
14
15 (30%).
16

17
18
19
20 Among the 26 patients without contralateral temporal connections, 10 (38.46%)
21
22 had Engel Class I whereas among the 8 patients with contralateral temporal lobe
23
24 connections 3 (37.5%) had Engel Class I. There is no statistical difference in the
25
26 proportion of patients showing Engel Class I between patients with and without
27
28 contralateral temporal connections.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

4. Discussion

Our findings confirm that the functionality of bilateral temporal connections is limited. Only 5 % of amygdala project to the contralateral amygdala. Similarly, 5% of hippocampus is connected to the contralateral hippocampus and 3.9% to the mid temporal gyrus. The highest contralateral temporal projections arise from both fusiform gyri (7.1%) (Figure 4). These findings are consistent with previous reports from smaller series (Fish et al., 1993; Lacruz et al., 2007; Lacuey et al., 2014; Umeoka et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 1991). Our findings are also consistent with the notion that the temporal lobes on either hemisphere process memory independently (Lacruz et al., 2010). This is in contrast with what occurs with other parts of the limbic system. For instance, the cingular gyrus shows profuse functional connections with contralateral frontal cortex (Lacruz et al., 2007; Rosenzweig, Beniczky, Brunnhuber, Alarcon, & Valentin, 2011; Valentin et al., 2011). The shortest inter temporal latency was between amygdalae, possibly reflecting the shorter distance or callosal propagation. Overall we have found latencies for contralateral bi-temporal connections within the range previously reported (Lacruz et al., 2007; Umeoka et al., 2009), and shorter than those reported from the temporal lobes (Lacruz et al., 2007).

The absence of functional connections between hippocampi is puzzling, as there is ample evidence for the presence of anatomical connections (Lacuey et al., 2014; Lieb & Babb, 1986; Lieb, Engel, & Babb, 1986; Wilson et al., 1990; Wilson et al., 1991). There are of two commissural systems in primates, responsible of

1 connecting contralateral hippocampi structures: a) the ventral hippocampal
2 commissure, which interconnects both hippocampi; b) the dorsal hippocampal
3 commissure interconnecting both entorhinal cortices. Neurophysiological and
4
5 neurophysiological and
6
7 neuropathological methods suggest that the ventral hippocampal commissure
8
9 has virtually disappeared in humans, while the dorsal hippocampal commissure,
10
11 which interconnects both entorhinal cortices, appears to remain functional
12
13 (Gloor, 1997; Gloor et al., 1993; Umeoka et al., 2009). We have found that the
14
15 fusiform gyrus is the temporal structure with the highest proportion of
16
17 contralateral temporal projections, supporting that the dorsal hippocampal
18
19 commissure is the most relevant pathway in humans. We found minimal
20
21 connectivity (5% of temporal lobes) between both amygdalae, in concordance
22
23 with a previous report using PET (Irwin et al., 2004).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 The functionality of bilateral temporal connections affects neither the presence
33
34 of bilateral changes at seizure onset nor seizure control after surgery. This
35
36 suggests that the presence of bilateral changes at seizure onset may not be due
37
38 to rapid propagation through the pathways studied. The absence of relation
39
40 between bitemporal connections and seizure control after surgery further
41
42 suggests that seizure propagation may not preferentially occur along these
43
44 synaptic pathways, and consequently, severance of such pathways may not be
45
46 necessary to achieve favourable outcome. However, analysis of seizure spreading
47
48 in patients implanted with intracranial electrodes has suggested that the
49
50 different commissures may be involved in ictal propagation to the contralateral
51
52 hippocampi (Gloor et al., 1993; S. S. Spencer, Williamson, Spencer, & Mattson,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1987). It is possible that seizures may propagate through anatomical pathways that may not be relevant in normal function.

In conclusion, the present study is novel in two respects:

- 1) Our series is substantially larger (91 patients) than any previous report. Because the connections described are so infrequent, their presence cannot be estimated in small series. Therefore reporting a large series like ours is crucial for such estimation.
- 2) This is the first study to address whether the presence of bilateral temporal connections is related to bilateral seizure onset patterns and/or to postsurgical seizure control, which has obvious clinical implications.

7. REFERENCES

- 1
2
3
4 Akanuma, N., Alarcon, G., Lum, F., Kissani, N., Koutroumanidis, M., Adachi, N., . . .
5 Morris, R. G. (2003). Lateralising value of neuropsychological protocols
6 for presurgical assessment of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, *44*(3),
7 408-418.
8
9 Alarcon, G. (2009). Surgical techniques. In G. Alarcon, L. Nashef, H. Cross, J.
10 Nightingale, & S. Richardson (Eds.), *Epilepsy* (pp. 434-443). Oxford ; New
11 York: Oxford University Press.
12 Alarcon, G. (2012). Preoperative assesement. In G. Alarcon & A. Valentin (Eds.),
13 *Introduction to epilepsy* (pp. 419-429). Cambridge: Cambridge University
14 Press.
15
16 Alarcon, G., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Binnie, C. D., Martin Miguel, M. C., Juler, J., Polkey,
17 C. E., . . . Ortiz Blasco, J. M. (1997). Origin and propagation of interictal
18 discharges in the acute electrocorticogram. Implications for
19 pathophysiology and surgical treatment of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain*,
20 *120* (Pt 12), 2259-2282.
21
22 Alarcon, G., Kissani, N., Dad, M., Elwes, R. D., Ekanayake, J., Hennessy, M. J., . . .
23 Polkey, C. E. (2001). Lateralizing and localizing values of ictal onset
24 recorded on the scalp: evidence from simultaneous recordings with
25 intracranial foramen ovale electrodes. *Epilepsia*, *42*(11), 1426-1437.
26
27 Alarcon, G., Valentin, A., Watt, C., Selway, R. P., Lacruz, M. E., Elwes, R. D., . . .
28 Polkey, C. E. (2006). Is it worth pursuing surgery for epilepsy in patients
29 with normal neuroimaging? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *77*(4), 474-
30 480. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2005.077289
31
32 Catani, M., Dell'acqua, F., & Thiebaut de Schotten, M. (2013). A revised limbic
33 system model for memory, emotion and behaviour. *Neurosci Biobehav*
34 *Rev*, *37*(8), 1724-1737. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.07.001
35
36 Enatsu, R., Jin, K., Elwan, S., Kubota, Y., Piao, Z., O'Connor, T., . . . Nair, D. R. (2012).
37 Correlations between ictal propagation and response to electrical cortical
38 stimulation: A cortico-cortical evoked potential study. *Epilepsy Res*, *101*(1-
39 2), 76-87. doi: Doi 10.1016/J.Eplepsyres.2012.03.004
40
41 Engel, J., Jr., Van Ness, P., Rasmussen, T., & Ojemann, G. A. (1993) *Surgical*
42 *treatment of the epilepsies* (2nd ed., pp. 609-621). New York: Raven Press.
43
44 Falconer, M. A. (1971). Genetic and related aetiological factors in temporal lobe
45 epilepsy - review. *Epilepsia*, *12*(1), 13-31. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-
46 1157.1971.tb03912.x
47
48 Fernandez Torre, J. L., Alarcon, G., Binnie, C. D., Seoane, J. J., Juler, J., Guy, C. N., &
49 Polkey, C. E. (1999). Generation of scalp discharges in temporal lobe
50 epilepsy as suggested by intraoperative electrocorticographic recordings.
51 *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, *67*(1), 51-58.
52
53 Fish, D. R., Gloor, P., Quesney, F. L., & Olivier, A. (1993). Clinical responses to
54 electrical brain stimulation of the temporal and frontal lobes in patients
55 with epilepsy. Pathophysiological implications. *Brain*, *116* (Pt 2), 397-
56 414.
57
58 Flanagan, D., Valentin, A., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Alarcon, G., & Boyd, S. G. (2009).
59 Single-pulse electrical stimulation helps to identify epileptogenic cortex in
60
61
62
63
64
65

- children. *Epilepsia*, 50(7), 1793-1803. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2009.02056.x
- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Gloor, P. (1997). *The temporal lobe and limbic system*. New York ; Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gloor, P., Salanova, V., Olivier, A., & Quesney, L. F. (1993). The human dorsal hippocampal commissure. An anatomically identifiable and functional pathway. *Brain*, 116 (Pt 5), 1249-1273.
- Goldstein, L. H., & Polkey, C. E. (1992). Everyday memory after unilateral temporal lobectomy or amygdalo-hippocampectomy. *Cortex*, 28(2), 189-201.
- Insausti, R., Juottonen, K., Soininen, H., Insausti, A. M., Partanen, K., Vainio, P., . . . Pitkanen, A. (1998). MR volumetric analysis of the human entorhinal, perirhinal, and temporopolar cortices. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 19(4), 659-671.
- Irwin, W., Anderle, M. J., Abercrombie, H. C., Schaefer, S. M., Kalin, N. H., & Davidson, R. J. (2004). Amygdalar interhemispheric functional connectivity differs between the non-depressed and depressed human brain. *Neuroimage*, 21(2), 674-686. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.09.057
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., Nekkare, R., Flores, L., Chatzidimou, K., Bodi, I., Honavar, M., . . . Alarcón, G. (2014). Prognostic value of intracranial seizure onset patterns for surgical outcome of the treatment of epilepsy. *Clin Neurophysiol*.
- Kissani, N., Alarcon, G., Dad, M., Binnie, C. D., & Polkey, C. E. (2001). Sensitivity of recordings at sphenoidal electrode site for detecting seizure onset: evidence from scalp, superficial and deep foramen ovale recordings. *Clin Neurophysiol*, 112(2), 232-240.
- Kwon, H. G., & Jang, S. H. (2014). Neural connectivity of the lateral geniculate body in the human brain: Diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neurosci Lett*, 578C, 66-70. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2014.06.033
- Lacruz, M. E., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Valentin, A., Selway, R., & Alarcon, G. (2007). Frontal and temporal functional connections of the living human brain. *Eur J Neurosci*, 26(5), 1357-1370. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05730.x
- Lacruz, M. E., Valentin, A., Seoane, J. J., Morris, R. G., Selway, R. P., & Alarcon, G. (2010). Single pulse electrical stimulation of the hippocampus is sufficient to impair human episodic memory. *Neuroscience*, 170(2), 623-632. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.06.042
- Lacuey, N., Zonjy, B., Kahrman, E. S., Kaffashi, F., Miller, J., & Luders, H. O. (2014). Functional connectivity between right and left mesial temporal structures. *Brain Struct Funct*. doi: 10.1007/s00429-014-0810-0
- Lieb, J. P., & Babb, T. L. (1986). Interhemispheric propagation time of human hippocampal seizures: II. Relationship to pathology and cell density. *Epilepsia*, 27(3), 294-300.
- Lieb, J. P., Engel, J., Jr., & Babb, T. L. (1986). Interhemispheric propagation time of human hippocampal seizures. I. Relationship to surgical outcome. *Epilepsia*, 27(3), 286-293.
- Rosenzweig, I., Beniczky, S., Brunnhuber, F., Alarcon, G., & Valentin, A. (2011). The dorsal hippocampal commissure: when functionality matters. *J*

- 1 *Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*, 23(3), E45-48. doi:
2 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.23.3.E45
3 Spencer, D. D., Spencer, S. S., Mattson, R. H., Williamson, P. D., & Novelly, R. A.
4 (1984). Access to the posterior medial temporal lobe structures in the
5 surgical treatment of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Neurosurgery*, 15(5), 667-
6 671.
7 Spencer, S. S., Williamson, P. D., Spencer, D. D., & Mattson, R. H. (1987). Human
8 hippocampal seizure spread studied by depth and subdural recording: the
9 hippocampal commissure. *Epilepsia*, 28(5), 479-489.
10 Umeoka, S., Terada, K., Baba, K., Usui, K., Matsuda, K., Tottori, T., . . . Mihara, T.
11 (2009). Neural connection between bilateral basal temporal regions:
12 cortico-cortical evoked potential analysis in patients with temporal lobe
13 epilepsy. *Neurosurgery*, 64(5), 847-855; discussion 855. doi:
14 10.1227/01.NEU.0000344001.26669.92
15 Valentin, A., Alarcon, G., Garcia-Seoane, J. J., Lacruz, M. E., Nayak, S. D., Honavar,
16 M., . . . Polkey, C. E. (2005). Single-pulse electrical stimulation identifies
17 epileptogenic frontal cortex in the human brain. *Neurology*, 65(3), 426-
18 435. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000171340.73078.c1
19 Valentin, A., Alarcon, G., Honavar, M., Garcia Seoane, J. J., Selway, R. P., Polkey, C.
20 E., & Binnie, C. D. (2005). Single pulse electrical stimulation for
21 identification of structural abnormalities and prediction of seizure
22 outcome after epilepsy surgery: a prospective study. *Lancet Neurol*, 4(11),
23 718-726. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(05)70200-3
24 Valentin, A., Lazaro, M., Mullatti, N., Cervantes, S., Malik, I., Selway, R. P., &
25 Alarcon, G. (2011). Cingulate epileptogenesis in hypothalamic
26 hamartoma. *Epilepsia*, 52(5), e35-39. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-
27 1167.2011.03060.x
28 Wilson, C. L., Isokawa, M., Babb, T. L., & Crandall, P. H. (1990). Functional
29 connections in the human temporal lobe. I. Analysis of limbic system
30 pathways using neuronal responses evoked by electrical stimulation. *Exp*
31 *Brain Res*, 82(2), 279-292.
32 Wilson, C. L., Isokawa, M., Babb, T. L., Crandall, P. H., Levesque, M. F., & Engel, J.,
33 Jr. (1991). Functional connections in the human temporal lobe. II.
34 Evidence for a loss of functional linkage between contralateral limbic
35 structures. *Exp Brain Res*, 85(1), 174-187.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5. Figures

5.1 Figure 1




Figure 1. Two examples of typical bilateral subtemporal strips (A) and depth electrode (B) implantations. A) Subtemporal strips are slid under the under-surface of the temporal lobes and record from the lateral cortex, fusiform gyrus and parahippocampal gyrus. B) On each temporal lobe, three depth electrode bundles are implanted stereotactically targeting at the amygdala, anterior and posterior hippocampus. For each bundle, the depth electrode records from one of these structures, and the more superficial electrodes record from the mid temporal gyrus.


5.2 FIGURE 2

Figure 2. Example of contralateral hippocampal response evoked by single pulse electrical stimulation. The patient had bilateral depth electrodes implanted. When stimulating through electrodes 1 and 2 of the left posterior temporal bundle (LpH1-LpH2) there is a response in the contralateral hippocampus (RpH4-RpH2, RmH4-RmH1, RaH1-RaH2) suggesting the presence of functional connections between both hippocampi. The flat horizontal lines show the stimulating electrodes (LpH1-LpH2). For each depth electrode bundle, electrode 1 was the most distal electrode to the insertion burr hole (i.e. the deepest electrode). Recordings are shown in common reference montage. RaH, Right anterior Hippocampus; RpH, Right posterior Hippocampus; RmH, Right middle Hippocampus; LaH left anterior Hippocampus; LmH, Left middle Hippocampus.


5.3 FIGURE 3

Figure 3. Example of contralateral response at the left fusiform gyrus evoked by single pulse electrical stimulation of the right fusiform gyrus. The patient had bilateral subtemporal electrodes implanted. When stimulating through electrodes 5 and 4 of the right temporal bundle (RT5-RT6), there is a response in the contralateral temporal lobe (LT4-LT3), suggesting the presence of contralateral functional connections between both fusiform gyri. The flat horizontal lines show the stimulating electrodes. Recording shown in common average reference. For each strip, electrode 1 was the most distal electrode to the insertion burr hole. RT= Right temporal. LT= Left temporal.

5.4 FIGURE 4




Figure 4. Coronal MRI showing void flows from the stimulation site to all identified contralateral temporal responses. **The stimulated site is shown next to panel letter.** The percentages of hemispheres presenting each projection are shown in yellow. Arrows go from stimulated site to the regions showing responses. Data from all hemispheres from all patients are pooled together as going from right to left. A) Contralateral projections from the amygdala. B) Contralateral projections from the hippocampus. C) Contralateral projections from the parahippocampal gyrus. D) Contralateral projections from the fusiform gyrus. E) Contralateral projections from the inferior temporal gyrus. F) Contralateral projections from the mid temporal gyrus.

6. TABLES

6.1 TABLE 1

Table 1. Incidence of contralateral responses to stimulation of temporal lobes.

Regions showing responses

		Amygdala		Hippocampus		Fusiform gyrus		Inferior temporal gyrus		Mid temporal gyrus	
		n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/N	%
Stimulated Regions	Amygdala	3/60	5.0	0/60	0.0	X	X	X	X	0/60	0.0
	Hippocampus	0/60	0.0	3/60	5.0	X	X	X	X	5/126	3.9
	Parahippocampal Gyrus	X	X	X	X	4/126	3.1	0/126	0.0	0/126	0.0
	Fusiform gyrus	X	X	X	X	9/126	7.1	6/126	4.7	2/126	1.0
	Inferior temporal gyrus	X	X	X	X	4/126	3.1	2/182	1.0	0/182	0.0
	Mid temporal gyrus	0/126	0.0	0/126	0.0	2/126	1.0	6/182	3.2	8/182	4.3
	Total	3	5.0	3	5.0	19	13.3	14	8.9	15	5.3

n = number of temporal lobes where connection was present;
 N = number of temporal lobes where connections were studied.
 X = not tested.

6.2TABLE 2

Table 2. Latency (ms) of contralateral temporal connections. N= number of temporal lobes stimulated, n=number of temporal lobe with connection.					
Stimulated area	Contralateral response	n/N	Median	Minimum	Maximum
Amygdala	Amygdala	3/60	45.75	35.00	70.60
Hippocampus	Hippocampus	3/60	53.61	40.0	58.38
Mid temporal gyrus	Mid temporal gyrus	5/60	61.03	48.0	64.40
Parahippocampal Gyrus	Fusiform gyrus	4/126	57.63	20.0	84.21
Fusiform gyrus	Fusiform gyrus	9/126	62.15	40.0	86.99
	Inferior temporal gyrus	8/126	58.50	45.65	70.66
	Mid temporal gyrus	2/126	74.41	59.09	89.74
Inferior temporal gyrus	Fusiform gyrus	4/126	74.88	59.66	85.00
	Inferior temporal gyrus	6/126	58.66	53.73	63.6
Mid temporal gyrus	Fusiform gyrus	2/126	60.61	60.00	61.22
	Inferior temporal gyrus	6/126	63.64	55.00	73.77
	Mid temporal gyrus	8/182	59.94	45.00	77.72

6.3 **TABLE 3****Table 3. Presence and percentage of each SIOP in patients with and without contralateral connections.**

SIOP	Alpha		DEE		Delta		FA		FA-DEE		Sharp - wave		Sharps		Spike - wave		Theta		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Patients with connections	1	2.5	2	5.0	0	0.0	4	10.0	1	2.5	1	2.5	1	2.5	1	2.5	1	2.5	12	30.0
Patients without Connections	0	0.0	2	12.5	1	2.5	10	25.0	4	10.0	1	2.5	1	2.5	3	7.5	3	7.5	28	70.0
Total	1	2.5	7	17.5	1	2.5	14	35.0	5	12.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	4	10.0	4	10.0	40	100.0

6.4TABLE 4

Table 4. Number and percentage of anatomical occurrence of SIOP and PED in patients with and without contralateral temporal lobe connections												
SIOP	Hippocampus		Parahippocampus Gyrus		Fusiform gyrus		Inferior Temporal gyrus		Lobar		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Patients with connections	3	25.0	3	25.0	1	8.3	0	0.0	5	41.7	12	100.0
Patients no connections	3	10.7	2	7.1	3	10.7	4	14.3	16	57.1	28	100.0
Total (n=40)	6	15.0	5	12.5	4	10.0	4	10.0	21	52.5	40	100.0
PED	Hippocampus		Parahippocampus Gyrus		Fusiform gyrus		Inferior Temporal gyrus		Widespread unilateral		Total (excluding bilateral)*	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Patients with connections	0	0.0	2	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	6	100.0
Patients with no connections	1	6.3	1	6.3	1	6.3	0	0.0	12	75	16	100.0
Total (n=40)	1	4.5	3	13.6	1	4.5	0	0.0	17	77.2	22	100.0
SIOP= Sustained ictal onset pattern PED= Preceding epileptiform discharge *= One patient with no connections had bilateral PED and no patient with connections showed bilateral PED												

6.5 TABLE 5

Table 5. Number and percentage of patients with each outcome grade in patients with and without acontralateral temporal lobe connections. Roman numbers refer to Engel surgical outcome classification.										
Engel Class	I		II		III		IV		Total	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%
Patients with connections	10	38.5	4	15.4	7	26.9	5	19.2	26	100.0
Patients with no connections	3	37.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	8	100.0
TOTAL (n=34)	13	38.2	6	17.6	7	20.6	8	23.5	34	100.0