



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1177/0954411917749617

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Noble, J. J., Fry, N. RD., Bingham, C. R., East, R. H., & Shortland, A. P. (2018). A practical clinical kinematic model for the upper limbs. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers. Part H, Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 232(2), 207-212. https://doi.org/10.1177/0954411917749617

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Title: A practical clinical kinematic model for the upper limbs

Authors: Jonathan J. Noble PhD¹, Nicola R. D. Fry PhD¹, Carly R Booker MEng¹, Rebecca H. East MSc^{1,2}, Adam P. Shortland PhD^{1,2}

¹ One Small Step Gait Laboratory, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, United Kingdom

² School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, The Rayne Institute, 4th Floor, Lambeth Wing, St Thomas' Hospital, London SE1 7EH, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Jonathan Noble One Small Step Gait Laboratory Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust Guy's Hospital London SE1 9RT United Kingdom Tel: 020 7188 2476 Fax: 020 7188 2477 jonathan.noble@gstt.nhs.uk

Abstract

A novel clinically practical upper limb model is introduced that has been developed through clinical use in children and adults with neurological conditions to guide surgery to the elbow and wrist. This model has a minimal marker set, minimal virtual markers, and no functional joint centres to minimise the demands on the patient and duration of data collection. The model calculates forearm supination independently from the humerus segment, eliminating any errors introduced by poor modelling of the shoulder joint centre. Supination is calculated by defining the forearm segment twice, from the distal and proximal ends. Firstly, using the ulna and radial wrist markers as a segment defining line and secondly by using the medial and lateral elbow markers as a segment defining line. This is comparable to the clinical measurement of supination utilising a goniometer and enables a reduced marker set, with only the elbow, wrist, and hand markers to be applied when only the wrist and forearm angles are of interest. A sensitivity analysis of the calculated elbow flexion-extension angles to the position of the glenohumeral joint centre is performed on one healthy female subject, aged 20, during elbow flexion and a forward reaching task. A comparison of the supination angles calculated utilising the novel technique compared to the rotation between the humeral and forearm segments is also given. All angles published kinematic model that follows the are compared to а recommendations of the International Society of Biomechanics.

Keywords: Modelling/Simulation [Biomechanics], Biomechanical Testing/ Analysis, Biomedical Instrumentation, Gait Analysis, Joint Biomechanics, Motion Analysis Systems.

Introduction

There is an increasing interest in performing routine clinical upper limb movement analysis (ULMA) to assist surgical treatment planning in individuals with cerebral palsy (CP). Surgical intervention in CP is predominantly performed distally in the upper limb, with the most common interventions performed at the forearm, wrist, and hand^{4,5}. Surgical intervention to the shoulder in CP is typically only performed on severely affected individuals to correct adduction and internal rotation contractures of the shoulder that cause difficulty with underarm hygiene and wearing clothes⁵. Therefore, the main focus of a clinical ULMA in this group is the wrist and forearm. The difficulties with employing existing kinematic models is that they are complex and time consuming, primarily due to the complexity of modelling the shoulder joint¹ and scapula motion tracking^{2,3}. Therefore, there is a need for a simple kinematic model that has minimal skin contacting retro-reflective markers and virtual markers to facilitate rapid data collection whilst enabling the acquisition of accurate joint angle data for the distal upper limbs. This will maximise the clinical feasibility of performing ULMA in children and individuals with cognitive or behavioural limitations.

In this paper we describe a novel kinematic model, the Evelina Upper Limb (EUL) model that enables the calculation of wrist and forearm joint angles independent of shoulder joint centre location, together with a simple model of the shoulder for below head-height movements of the upper limb to enable

elbow flexion/extension angles to be assessed. Forearm supination and elbow flexion are compared with a model³ that is compliant with the recommendations of the International Society of Biomechanics (ISB)⁶ and has been previously utilised in children with CP⁷. A sensitivity analysis of elbow flexion on shoulder joint centre location in the EUL model is also performed.

Model:

The EUL model consists of 16 retro-reflective markers, three marker clusters, and three anatomical landmarks tracked as virtual markers relative to the chest marker cluster. These markers are utilised to define seven segments, the thorax, upper arms, forearms, and hands. The marker locations are given in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Marker Name	Marker Type	Location	
CLAV	Marker	Superior aspect of the sternum at	
		the centre between the clavicals.	
C7	Virtual	Seventh cervical spine vertebra	
RBAK	Marker	Centre of the right scapula. This	
		marker is an asymmetry marker	
		and can be placed onto the	
		subject's clothing.	
STRN	Virtual	Inferior end of the sternum	
T10	Virtual	Tenth thoracic spine vertebra	
Chest cluster	Cluster	On the chest, inferior to the CLAV	
(CHEA, CHEB, CHEC,		marker	
CHED)			
SHO	Marker	Superior aspect of the acromion	
Upper arm cluster	Cluster	Lateral aspect up the upper arm	
(UPA, UPB, UPC, UPD)			
ELM	Marker	Medial aspect of the elbow.	
ELL	Marker	Lateral aspect of the elbow	
WRR	Marker	Radial aspect of the wrist	
WRU	Marker	Ulnar aspect of the wrist	
MCPR	Marker	Superior surface of the ring finger	
		metacarpal-phalangeal joint	
MCPI	Marker	Superior surface of the index finger	
		metacarpal-phalangeal joint	

Table 1: Marker names, types, and locations. Note: The ELM and ELL markers form a line through the elbow corresponding to the flexion/extension axis of the elbow joint. WRR and WRU should form a line through the wrist corresponding to the flexion/extension axis of the wrist joint. When the elbow is flexed 90 degrees with the forearm in neutral supination (thumb up), looking along the long axis of the forearm, the line between WRU and WRR should be perpendicular to the line between ELM and ELL except in individuals with a deformity altering the axis of flexion/extension of the elbow.

Segment				
	Origin	The mid-point between the spinous processes T10		
		and the sternal notch (STRN) markers.		
	Y	The line connecting mid-point of C7-CLAV and		
Thorax		T10-STRN.		
	Z	The line connecting the LSHO and RSHO.		
	Х	The common line perpendicular to Y and Z, pointing		
		anteriorly.		
	Origin	The elbow joint centre (EJC) is the mid-point		
		between the medial and lateral elbow		
Upper Arm		markers (ELM and ELL).		
	Shoulder	(SIC) is positioned 17% of shouldor girdle width		
	joint	(SJC) is positioned 17% of shoulder girale width		
	V	The line connecting E IC and S IC		
	7	The line connecting ELM and ELL		
	Z V	The infecting ELIVI and ELL.		
	^	anteriorly		
	Origin	The wrist joint centre (WIC) is the mid-point		
	Cligiti	between the ulnar and radial wrist markers (WRU		
Forearm		and WRR).		
	Y	The line connecting WJC and EJC.		
	Z	The line connecting WRR and WRU.		
	Х	The common line perpendicular to Y and Z, pointing		
		anteriorly		
	Origin	The WJC.		
	Υ	The line connecting WRR and WRU.		
ForarmAlt1	Z	The line connecting WJC and EJC.		
	Х	The common line perpendicular to Y and Z, pointing		
	_	anteriorly.		
	Origin	The EJC.		
	Y	The line connecting ELL and ELM.		
ForarmAlt2	Z	The line connecting WJC and EJC.		
	X	The common line perpendicular to Y and Z, pointing		
	Origin	Anterioriy.		
	Oligin	nanu origin is a point offset afteriority,		
		and MCPR markers to the midpoint of the hand		
		markers on the index and ring finger metacarpal-		
		phalangeal joints (MCPI and MCPR) where the		
Hand		hand offset is determined by:		
Tiana		Hand offset = $\frac{\text{hand thickness} + \text{marker diameter}}{1}$		
		2		
	Y	The line connecting the hand origin and W.IC		
	Z	The line connecting MCPI and MCPJ.		
	X	The common line perpendicular to Y and Z. pointing		
		anteriorly.		
Table 2: Segment definitions for the EUL model				

Segment definitions:

Segment coordinate systems were used to determine the joint angles. A right-handed co-ordinate system (XYZ) was constructed with the principal axis (Y) aligned with the principal segment axis, and the secondary axis (Z) pointing left to right in the coronal plane, perpendicular to the sagittal plane. The segments are connected by a three-degrees-of-freedom joint with a fixed centre of rotation at the shoulder (glenohumeral joint), elbow, and wrist.

А series of Euler rotations, sequenced XZY (flexion/extension, abduction/adduction, axial rotation) were used to express joint angles of the distal segment with respect to the proximal segment. The thorax angles are relative to the global reference frame. Supination/pronation is defined as the relative angle between two independent forearm segments, the first (forearmAlt1) defined using the ulnar and radial wrist markers and the second (forearmAlt2) defined using the medial and lateral elbow markers. The rotation between these segments, calculated using Euler rotations, sequenced XZY, are used to determine the angles between these two forearm segments. This defines the supination angle. This is comparable to the measurement of supination in clinic using a goniometer. The model was developed using Vicon BodyBuilder V3.6.1 (Vicon Motion Systems, Ltd., Oxford, England). Full segment definitions are given in Table 2.

Method

Kinematic data was collected using a 9-camera Vicon Nexus motion capture system (Vicon Motion Systems, Ltd., Oxford, England) from one healthy female volunteer aged 20 years. The subject sat on an adjustable chair with her ankles, knees and hips at 90°. The subject performed three tasks:

- 1. Elbow flexion and extension with the forearm in a neutral position.
- 2. Forearm supination and pronation with the elbow held flexed at 90°
- 3. Forward reach to touch a target located midline at chest height, at arm length anterior to the chest.

The data was collected whilst the volunteer wore two markers sets, the EUL model and an ISB compliant cluster-based model (COM Model)³, enabling direct comparison of the joint angles calculated using the two models. The COM model was processed using the model specific ULEMA³ software in Matlab (V2014a). The EUL model was labelled and processed in Vicon Nexus (V2.5).

To investigate the sensitivity of the calculated elbow flexion/extension angle to SJC position, the SJC was shifted by half of the shoulder offset⁸ (8.5% of shoulder girdle width, 34.5 mm for this subject) in 6 perpendicular directions forming a sphere of radius equal to 8.5% of shoulder girdle width as shown in figure 3(C). This was chosen to represent extreme error in SHO marker placement, and in calculation of the SJC relative to the acromion. Elbow flexion was calculated for each SJC position during the elbow flexion task and the forward reach task. Forearm supination in the EUL model is calculated as the relative rotation between the two forearm segment definitions (as explained above). This is compared to the traditional method for measuring

supination, the axial rotation between the humerous and forearm segments (the elbow rotation angle) for both the COM and EUL models. The average root mean square error (RMSE) was computed for each trial between the joint angles from the EUL and COM models. The impact of moving the SJC on the kinematics of the EUL model was evaluated by computing the average RMSE for each trial between the artificially altered model for each SJC offset and the original EUL model.

<u>Results</u>

A comparison of the EUL forearm supination, the EUL elbow rotation, and the COM model during an active supination task are given in figure 2(A). A low 2.3° RMSE was observed between the EUL forearm supination and the EUL elbow rotation angle. A 5.8° RMSE was observed between the COM and EUL model during the same task (see Table 3). Elbow flexion calculated using the EUL and COM model during isolated elbow flexion and the forward reach task are shown in figures 2(B) and 2(C). The RMSE between the EUL and COM model during the isolated elbow flexion task was 12.8°, and 4.8° during the forward reach task (Table 3).

The sensitivity of elbow flexion on SJC location during isolated elbow flexion and a forward reach task are shown in figures 3(A) and 3(B). The largest RMSE compared to the original shoulder location in the EUL model was observed between the anterior and posterior offsets, with an RMSE of 7.5° and 7.4° respectively (Table 3). During the forward reach task, elbow flexion was more sensitive to the SJC in the superior-inferior direction, with an RMSE of 5.4° and 5.8°.

Task	Model	SJC Shift direction	RMSE (°)		
Elbow flexion	COM	N/A	12.8		
	SJC 1	Superior	1.1		
	SJC 2	Inferior	1.5		
	SJC 3	Medial	2.5		
	SJC 4	Lateral	2.7		
	SJC 5	Anterior	7.5		
	SJC 6	Posterior	7.4		
Forward reach	COM	N/A	4.8		
	M1	Superior	5.4		
	M2	Inferior	5.8		
	M3	Medial	2.5		
	M4	Lateral	2.3		
	M5	Anterior	3.9		
	M6	Posterior	3.2		
Supination	EUL elbow rotation	N/A	2.3		
	COM	N/A	5.8		
Table 3: RMSE for the COM model relative to the EUL model in each task					
together with the RMSE for the joint angles calculated using the EUL model					
with the SJC shifted by half the shoulder offset in 6 perpendicular directions.					

Discussion

Good agreement was observed between the novel method of measuring forearm supination proposed in the EUL model compared to the rotation of the forearm segment relative to the upper arm segment (EUL elbow rotation) with an RMSE of 2.3°. A larger RMSE was observed between the EUL and COM model of 5.8°. This is likely to be due to the different elbow markers utilised by the two models (COM- virtual; EUL - physical), and the difference in the methods used to calculate supination. The EUL model uses two forearm segments (forearmAlt1 and forearmAlt2), with supination defined as the rotation between these segments. Alt1 is defined from the distal end, (first defining line between the two wrist markers), and Alt2 from the proximal end (first defining line between the elbow markers). This method was chosen to enable supination to be calculated independent of the SJC and to be analogous to the clinical definition of forearm supination instead of modelling supination as rotation of the elbow joint, which is not anatomically possible. Despite these differences, the EUL model performed well compared to the reference COM model.

Elbow flexion-extension was found to be sensitive to SJC location, with an RMSE of 1.1° to 7.5° during isolated elbow flexion. However, the SJC offsets applied are much larger than the anticipated repeatability in SHO marker placement, and therefore the repeatability of elbow flexion angle between assessments may be less than the maximum RMSE of 7.5°.

An RMSE of 12.8° was observed between the EUL and COM models during the isolated elbow flexion task, with the largest difference observed at full elbow extension. During the forward reach task a lower RMSE of 4.8° was observed. The difference between the models may be due to a difference in the location of the virtual medial and lateral elbow markers between the models, which will be most pronounced near full extension; however further investigation of this is required.

The EUL model performed well compared to a more complex published model that is compatible with the recommendations of the ISB, but had a shorter data collection time, with the EUL model requiring only 3 virtual markers to be defined for a bilateral assessment compared to 18 plus a shoulder functional joint centre calibration trial in the COM model for a unilateral assessment. The EUL model has potential as a clinically feasible upper limb model and future investigation of the repeatability of the model and validation in a clinical population is required.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

References

- 1. Rettig O, Fradet L, Kasten P, Raiss P, Wolf SI. A new kinematic model of the upper extremity based on functional joint parameter determination for shoulder and elbow. Gait Posture 2009;30(4):469-476.
- 2. Seth A, Matias R, Veloso AP, Delp SL. A Biomechanical Model of the Scapulothoracic Joint to Accurately Capture Scapular Kinematics during Shoulder Movements. PLoS One 2016;11(1):e0141028.
- 3. Jaspers E, Feys H, Bruyninckx H, Harlaar J, Molenaers G, Desloovere K. Upper limb kinematics: development and reliability of a clinical protocol for children. Gait Posture 2011;33(2):279-285.
- 4. Van Heest AE, House JH, Cariello C. Upper extremity surgical treatment of cerebral palsy. The Journal of hand surgery 1999;24(2):323-330.
- 5. Horstmann HM, Hosalkar H, Keenan MA. Orthopaedic issues in the musculoskeletal care of adults with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 2009;51 Suppl 4:99-105.
- 6. Wu G, van der Helm FC, Veeger HE, Makhsous M, Van Roy P, Anglin C, Nagels J, Karduna AR, McQuade K, Wang X, Werner FW, Buchholz B, International Society of B. ISB recommendation on definitions of joint coordinate systems of various joints for the reporting of human joint motion--Part II: shoulder, elbow, wrist and hand. J Biomech 2005;38(5):981-992.
- 7. Jaspers E, Feys H, Bruyninckx H, Cutti A, Harlaar J, Molenaers G, Desloovere K. The reliability of upper limb kinematics in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy. Gait Posture 2011;33(4):568-575.
- 8. Rab G, Petuskey K, Bagley A. A method for determination of upper extremity kinematics. Gait Posture 2002;15(2):113-119.

Figure captions:

Figure 1: Schematic showing retro-reflective marker placement in the EUL model.

Figure 2: (A) Forearm supination and pronation during selective full range supination and pronation. EUL model (solid line) - the rotation between the two forearm segment definitions, forearmAlt1 and forearmAlt2; Euler elbow rotation for EUL model (long dashed line); COM model (short dashed line). B) Elbow flexion/extension during isolated elbow flexion for the EUL model (solid line) and the COM model (dashed line). (B) Elbow flexion/extension during a forward reach task for the EUL model (solid line) and the COM model (dashed line).

Figure 3: (A) Elbow flexion/extension during isolated elbow flexion for the EUL model and each SJC location. (B) Elbow flexion/extension during a forward reach task for the EUL model and each SJC location. (C) Schematic diagram of the shoulder showing the estimated SJC location (X) and the shifted position of the SJC for each shoulder offset used in A and B.





Figure 2: (A) Forearm supination and pronation during selective full range supination and pronation. EUL model (solid line) - the rotation between the two forearm segment definitions, forearmAlt1 and forearmAlt2; Euler elbow rotation for EUL model (long dashed line); COM model (short dashed line). B) Elbow flexion/extension during isolated elbow flexion for the EUL model (solid line) and the COM model (dashed line). (B) Elbow flexion/extension during a forward reach task for the EUL model (solid line) and the COM model (dashed line).

