



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.3899/jrheum.170710

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Subesinghe, S., Bechman, K., Rutherford, A. I., Goldblatt, D., & Galloway, J. B. (2018). A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Anti-Rheumatic Drugs and Vaccine Immunogenicity in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Journal of* Rheumatology, 45(6), 733-744. https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.170710

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- •Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 04. Jan. 2025



0315-162X Meta-Analysis of Anti-Rheumatic Drugs and Vaccine Immunogenicity in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Journal:	The Journal of Rheumatology
Manuscript ID	2017-0710.R1
Manuscript Type:	Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Oct -2017
Complete List of Authors:	Subesinghe, Sujith; Academic Department of Rheumatology, King's College London
	Bechman, Katie; Academic Department of Rheumatology, King's College London
	Rutherford, Andrew; Academic Department of Rheumatology, King's College London
	Goldblatt, David; University College London Medical School, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health
	Galloway, James; Academic Department of Rheumatology, King's College London
Keywords:	Rheumatoid arthritis, Vaccination, Biological therapy, Immunosuppression, Metaanalysis
	3

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Anti-Rheumatic Drugs and Vaccine Immunogenicity in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Short running footline: Immunosuppression and Vaccine Immunogenicity

Dr. Sujith Subesinghe 1

Dr. Katie Bechman 1

Dr. Andrew I Rutherford ¹

Prof. David Goldblatt ²

Dr. James B Galloway 1

- Department of Academic Rheumatology, King's College London, Weston Education Centre, Cutcombe Road, Denmark Hill, London, SE5 9RJ
- Institute of Child Health, University College London, 30 Guilford Street London, WC1N 1EH
- S. Subesinghe BSc MBBS MSc MRCP. Clinical Research Fellow and Rheumatology Registrar
- K. Bechman BSc MBBS MRCP. Clinical Research Fellow and Rheumatology Registrar
- A.I. Rutherford BSc MBBS MSc MRCP. Clinical Research Fellow and Rheumatology Registrar
- D. Goldblatt MBChB, FRCPCH, FRCP, PhD. Professor of Vaccinology and Immunology
- J.B. Galloway MBChB, MSc, CHP, FRCP, PhD, FAcadMedEd. Senior Lecturer and Honorary Consultant Rheumatologist

Corresponding author: Dr James Galloway james.galloway@kcl.ac.uk
Department of Academic Rheumatology, King's College London, Weston
Education Centre, Cutcombe Road, Denmark Hill, London, SE5 9RJ

Telephone (+44) 02078485203

Request for Reprints: Dr Sujith Subesinghe ssubesinghe@nhs.net

Department of Academic Rheumatology, King's College London, Weston

Education Centre, Cutcombe Road, Denmark Hill, London, SE5 9RJ Telephone

(+44) 02078485203

Key Words: Rheumatoid Arthritis, Biological therapy, Vaccinations,

Immunosuppression, Meta-analysis

Disclaimers: The views expressed in the submitted article are the authors own

and not an official position of their host institutions.

Source of support: No funding was received for preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Word Count: 3488 words, Abstract 250 words

Tables and Figures: 3 tables (including 2 supplementary tables) and 3 figures

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Vaccination is a key strategy to reduce infection risk in RA patients

and is advocated in internationally recognised rheumatology society guidelines.

The aim was to evaluate to the impact of anti-rheumatic drugs on influenza and

pneumococcal vaccine immunogenicity.

Methods: We conducted a systematic literature review and meta-analysis

comparing the humoral response to influenza (pandemic and seasonal trivalent

subunit vaccines) and pneumococcal (PPV23, PCV-7, PCV-13) vaccination in adult

RA patients treated with anti-rheumatic drugs. Vaccine immunogenicity was

assessed by seroprotection rates measured 3 to 6-weeks post immunisation. Risk

ratios and 95% CIs were pooled.

Results: Nine studies were included in the meta-analysis (7 studies investigating anti-rheumatic drug exposures and influenza humoral response, 2 studies investigating pneumococcal vaccine response). Influenza vaccine responses to all subunit strains (H1N1, H3N2, B strain) were preserved with methotrexate and TNF inhibitor drug exposure. Methotrexate but not TNF inhibitor drug exposure was associated with reduced 6B and 23F serotype pneumococcal vaccine response (risk ratio 0.42, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.63) vs. 0.98 (95% CI 0.58 to 1,67)), however limited data were available to draw any firm conclusions. Combination of methotrexate with tocilizumab or tofacitinib was associated with reduced pneumococcal and influenza vaccine responses.

Conclusions: Anti-rheumatic drugs may negatively impact humoral responses to vaccination as evidenced by pneumococcal responses with methotrexate exposure, however they are safe and should not preclude immunisation against vaccine preventable disease. Vaccination should be considered in all RA patients and encouraged as part of routine care. Systematic review registration number: PROSPERO 2016; CRD42016048093.

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients are at an increased risk of infection compared to healthy subjects (1). The is due to a multifactorial complex interaction between inherent immune dysfunction, comorbidity, disease activity and immunosuppression (2). Highly targeted therapies (including Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor drugs (TNFi), Rituximab (RTX), Tocilizumab (TOC) and Abatacept (ABA) and most recently Tofacitinib (TOF)) have revolutionised RA management, however the infection risk associated with these drugs is a concern for clinicians and

patients.

British Society for Rheumatology (BSR), European League Against
Rheumatism (EULAR) and American College of Rheumatology (ACR) guidelines (3,
4) recommend vaccination against vaccine preventable diseases (including influenza and pneumococcal infections). The literature supports the safety of common vaccinations in autoimmune disease and the Swedish EIRA study has reported no increased risk of developing RA following common vaccination (5, 6).

In the U.K., routine vaccination schedules advise annual influenza and single PPV23 vaccination in individuals over the age of 65 or anybody with chronic comorbid illness including pulmonary, cardiac, renal or liver disease. Immunocompromised patients (of any cause) should also be offered vaccination. Historically uptake of vaccination in RA populations has been poor, particularly with pneumococcal vaccination (7, 8). The reasons may include a lack of awareness about the indications for vaccination amongst primary or secondary care providers, concerns pertaining to vaccine safety, efficacy or fear of worsening disease activity.

The seasonal influenza vaccine is an inactivated trivalent subunit vaccine comprised of 3 viral antigens (2 'A' strains, H1N1 and H3N2 and a single 'B' strain). The pandemic influenza vaccine (pH1N1) is utilised when necessary. In the U.K., two commercially available pneumococcal vaccines are currently used, a 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine (PPV23) and a 13-valent conjugate vaccine (PCV-13) which superseded a 7-valent conjugate vaccine (PCV-7) in 2010. Vaccine immunogenicity depends upon vaccine type and vaccine strain but post-vaccination antibody (Ab) titres to assess vaccine response are not routinely measured (9).

EULAR guidance recommends that influenza and pneumococcal vaccines should be administered prior to immunosuppression. Vaccination can be

administered during non-biologic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (nbDMARDs) and TNFi treatment but ideally prior to commencing RTX (3). This is because immunosuppression may blunt serological responses to vaccination.

The rationale for undertaking this systematic review of the literature and metaanalysis was to evaluate the impact of immunosuppressive drugs commonly used in RA on humoral immune responses to influenza and pneumococcal vaccination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in accordance with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta- analysis guidelines (10). The systematic review was registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews (registration number: PROSPERO 2016: CRD42016048093). Ethics board approval was not required for this study.

Search strategy and information sources

The literature was searched systematically by two investigators (S.S. and K.B.) using MEDLINE and EMBASE databases. The vaccines of interest were influenza (seasonal, pH1N1) and pneumococcal (PCV-7, PCV-13, PPV23) vaccines. The search terms were 'inflammatory arthritis' or 'rheumatoid arthritis' and 'immunisation' or 'vaccination' or 'vaccine' or 'influenza' or 'pneumovax' or 'prevenar'.

The search was undertaken in 6th October 2016 and re-run on 12th October 2017 prior to the final analysis to identify further studies that could be retrieved for analysis.

Eligibility criteria and study selection

English language publications of prospective cohort studies and randomised control trials published between 1st January 2000 and 6th October 2016 were

sought. Case reports and conference abstracts were excluded. RA patients aged over 18 years treated with anti-rheumatic drugs who had received influenza and/or pneumococcal vaccines were considered. Alternative diagnoses of inflammatory arthritis were excluded. Drugs exposures studied included methotrexate (MTX), TNFi, RTX, TOC, ABA and TOF. Other nbDMARDs were not studied.

The primary outcome of interest was evidence of seroprotection (SP) as a surrogate measure of vaccine immunogenicity, classified by anti-rheumatic drug exposure. Seroconversion (SC) and/or SR were considered if SP rates were not published or calculable from the data presented. For influenza vaccination, SP was considered as a post-vaccination Ab titre measured by haemagglutination inhibition assay (HI) of ≥ 1:40, SR or SC a 4-fold increase in post vaccine Ab titre. For this study and in the absence of an accepted universal correlate of vaccine protection, a post-vaccination Ab titre of 1 mcg/ml was used as a marker of likely protection following pneumococcal vaccination, SR was defined as ≥2-fold increase in post-vaccine Ab titres. Studies reporting only on geometric mean titres (GMT), opsonisation index (OI) or Ab response rates were excluded. Vaccine response was assessed between 3 and 6-weeks post influenza and pneumococcal vaccination. Healthy controls (HC) or RA subjects not taking anti-rheumatic or immunosuppressive therapies served as the comparator groups.

Titles and abstracts of studies retrieved using the search strategy detailed above and those from additional sources (including reference lists of selected publications) were screened independently (by S.S. and K.B.). The full text of the potential studies for inclusion were retrieved and assessed for eligibility. The full electronic search strategy is available in the supplementary material accompanying this manuscript.

Data collection process and outcomes and quality assessment

Data were extracted independently (by S.S. and K.B.). Disagreements over study eligibility, quality (as assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Score (NOS) for cohort studies) or risk of bias were resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (J.G.) where necessary. Details of the assessment of study quality are available in the supplementary material (supplementary Table 1). Data collated included the source (main author, journal, publication date), study design, vaccination intervention, anti-rheumatic drug exposure and patient characteristics (age, disease duration, disease activity, quality of life measures where available). SP, SR and SC rates were documented or calculated from data available.

Data synthesis and statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using Review Manager software version 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, U.K.). Sensitivity analyses compared vaccine response within immunosuppression class and descriptive analysis was undertaken to assess the effect of vaccine response in patients with RA by drug class. Summary data rather than individual level data were aggregated for quantitative analyses. Summary estimates of response were tabulated and compared using a meta-synthesis approach with forest plots.

RESULTS

Literature search and study characteristics

The initial search strategy yielded 3893 articles for screening which was reduced to 47 after application of filters and screening of titles and abstracts. Nine studies were selected for inclusion (7 influenza (seasonal or pandemic) and 2 pneumococcal vaccine studies). The search strategy is detailed in Figure 1. The

characteristics of studies examining influenza and pneumococcal vaccine immunogenicity are detailed in Tables 1 and 2, forest plots for the risk ratio (RR) of response rates for influenza vaccine strains and pneumococcal serotype responses separated by anti-rheumatic drug exposure (MTX or TNFi) are represented in Figures 2 and 3. All studies included in the meta-analyses were prospective cohort studies. There was good agreement between reviewers on the quality of included studies; all included studies scored between 5 and 7 on the NOS scale (see supplementary material Table 1). It was not possible to evaluate the impact of RTX, ABA, TOC or TOF in meta-analyses either due to an absence HC or comparator groups, unpublished vaccine response rates or limited number of studies available for analysis. These studies are discussed further as part of a narrative review. Studies examining the immunogenicity of pneumococcal vaccine in the context of anti-rheumatic drug exposures have been included in a supplementary material (supplementary Table 2).

Influenza vaccine responses

MTX and influenza vaccination response

Five studies including 787 subjects (350 RA patients, 437 controls) assessed MTX exposure and influenza vaccine humoral responses (11-15). Three studies assessed the response to pH1N1 influenza vaccination, these results were pooled with seasonal influenza H1N1 responses (15-17). MTX exposure was not associated with reduced SP responses to H1N1 (pooled (RR) 0.88 [95% Confidence Interval (CI) 0.69 to 1.11], H3N2 (pooled RR 0.94 95% CI 0.85 to 1.04) or B strain (pooled RR 1.15, 95% CI 0.63 to 2.10).

TNFi and influenza vaccination response

In total, 803 subjects from 7 studies were pooled in the meta-analysis examining TNFi impact on influenza vaccine immunogenicity (304 RA patients, 499 controls) (11-17). Three studies exclusively examined the influence of TNFi exposure on pH1N1 influenza response, these results were combined with seasonal influenza H1N1 responses (15-17). TNFi exposure was not associated with reduced SP responses to H1N1 (pooled RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.04), H3N2 (pooled RR 0.98, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.31) or B strain (pooled RR 1.38, 95% CI 0.70 to 2.72).

RTX and influenza vaccine response

Two studies have described reduced seasonal influenza vaccine responses in RTX treated patients compared to nbDMARD treated patients and HC (18, 19). Arad et al. (18) reported that a longer interval between RTX administration and influenza vaccination was associated with an improved Ab response in contrast to Oren et al. (19) who found no such relationship.

ABA and influenza vaccine response

Ribeiro et al. (20) reported a significantly poorer humoral response to pH1N1 vaccination in ABA treated patients compared to age matched MTX treated patients and HC. Alten et al. described preserved influenza vaccine responses in 296 ABA exposed patients pooling the results from 2 multi-centre, open-label substudies (21). In total, 49.5% of patients achieved an appropriate post-vaccine humoral response. Despite vaccine responses not being compared against a comparator group, the authors felt the vaccine responses were preserved.

TOC and influenza vaccine response

Iwamoto et al. (15) reported appropriate humoral responses to pH1N1 vaccination in TOC treated patients compared to HC. However, combination MTX+TOC compared to TOC monotherapy has been associated with a blunted

vaccine response in subjects receiving pH1N1 vaccination (22). Tsuru et al. (23) reported preserved SP rates for all 3 strains of seasonal influenza vaccine in TOC exposed compared to TNFi/nbDMARD treated patients.

TOF and influenza vaccine responses

The data on influenza vaccine response and TOF exposure are limited. Winthrop et al. reported 2 studies investigating humoral responses to trivalent influenza vaccine (24). In both studies, humoral response was considered as a 4 fold increase in at least 2 of 3 influenza antigens, assessed 5 weeks post vaccination. The first study was undertaken in TOF naïve patients randomized 1:1 to TOF 10mg BD or placebo, stratified by MTX exposure. Combination TOF+MTX therapy was associated with poorer influenza humoral response compared to placebo, TOF and MTX monotherapy. In the second study, the effect of temporary withdrawal of TOF compared to continuous therapy was investigated; temporary withdrawal of TOF (1 week pre and post vaccination) had no significant impact on humoral vaccine responses.

Pneumococcal vaccination

MTX and pneumococcal vaccination response

Two studies reporting on 254 subjects (122 RA patients, 132 healthy controls) examining MTX exposure and 6B and 23F pneumococcal serotype responses were included in the meta-analysis (25, 26). From the limited data for the two serotype studies, MTX exposure was associated with a reduced vaccine response compared to HC (pooled RR 0.42, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.63).

TNFi and pneumococcal vaccination response

Two studies reporting on 273 subjects (141 RA patients, 132 healthy controls) assessing 6B and 23F pneumococcal serotype responses with TNFi exposure (25,

26) were included in the meta-analysis. From the limited data, TNFi exposure had no significant negative impact on vaccine response compared to HC, (pooled RR 0.98, 95% CI 0.58 to 1.67).

RTX and pneumococcal vaccine response

Comparing RA patients treated with RTX+MTX (n = 65) with MTX monotherapy (n = 28), Bingham et al. (27) reported that RTX exposed patients had a reduced response to vaccination for each of the 12 PPV23 serotypes tested. The proportions of RTX treated patients with a positive vaccine response (to at least 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 serotypes) was also decreased compared to MTX monotherapy.

ABA and pneumococcal vaccine response

The data on ABA exposure and humoral vaccine response are conflicting. Migita et al. (28) found significantly decreased Ab response rates for 6B and combined 6B/23F SR rates in ABA exposed patients compared to MTX and RA control groups. In contrast, Alten et al. (21) described preserved SP response to PPV23 vaccination with 55.4% of ABA exposed patients achieving adequate SP response to PPV23 vaccination.

TOC and pneumococcal vaccine response

TOC monotherapy is not associated with impaired PPV23 vaccine response however combination with MTX has been reported to blunt 6B and combined 6B/23F serotype responses (23, 29, 30).

TOF and pneumococcal vaccine response

The data on TOF exposure and pneumococcal vaccine responses are limited; the results of two studies investigating pneumococcal responses in the context of TOF exposure were reported by Winthrop et al. (24). Combination of TOF+MTX was associated with reduced humoral response to PPV23 vaccine compared to placebo,

TOF or MTX monotherapy. Temporary withdrawal of TOF (1 week pre- and post PPV23 vaccination) had little effect on humoral vaccine response compared to continuous therapy.

DISCUSSION

Our meta-analysis found no detrimental effect of MTX therapy on influenza vaccination, but a diminished response to pneumococcal vaccination. There was no observation of an adverse humoral response to influenza or pneumococcal vaccination with TNFi exposure.

Meta-analysis of pneumococcal vaccination responses with immunosuppression exposure was challenging due to the significant heterogeneity in reporting vaccine response; we only considered responses to 6B and 23F serotypes. Despite not being the most prevalent serotypes, bacterial pneumonia associated with 6B and 23F have a high mortality risk (31). We accept that vaccine response may differ across individual pneumococcal vaccine serotypes. Despite achieving a satisfactory response to one serotype, it is not appropriate to assume that vaccine responses for other serotypes will be equal. Vaccine efficacy was defined as achievement of post-vaccination SP Ab titres, however subjects could achieve SP without SR or SC. SP doesn't provide information on vaccine efficacy and we acknowledge alternative methods of reporting vaccine immunogenicity and efficacy, e.g. OI or GMT rises.

Vaccine responses for PCV-7 and PPV23 responses were pooled. PCV-7 however is no longer part of the routine U.K vaccine schedule and was replaced by PCV-13. Both PCV-7 and PCV-13 include 6B and 23F serotypes. Although comparing a conjugated and polysaccharide vaccination may not be appropriate

when considering long term vaccine responses, comparison of vaccine immunogenicity at 3 to 6 weeks post vaccination is similar (32).

Although it was not possible to undertake meta-analysis of the impact of RTX on humoral responses to influenza and pneumococcal vaccination, there are consistent reports in the literature of poorer serological responses to immunisation (19, 27, 33-35). The timing of RTX has also been an important consideration in the assessment of vaccine immunogenicity; a greater interval between RTX administration and vaccination has been associated with an improved vaccine response (18). There were limited data to perform meta-analysis on TOC exposure on vaccine responses compared to healthy controls, although review of the literature suggests there no significant effect on PPV or influenza vaccine immunogenicity (22, 29). Comparatively, ABA has been reported to impair the responses to pH1N1 and PPV23 response (20, 28). TOF in combination with MTX is associated with reduced influenza and pneumococcal vaccine responses. Temporary withdrawal of TOF no significant effect on influenza or PPV vaccine immunogenicity.

EULAR guidelines recommend vaccination against influenza and pneumococcal disease should be undertaken prior to commencement of TNFi or nbDMARD therapy, we accept that in practice this is challenging and may be unrealistic. EULAR guidance (3) also advises vaccination should be undertaken in a period of disease stability however in U.K. practice, biologic drugs (often a trigger to administer vaccinations) are only considered in patients with persistent high disease activity states (DAS28 >5.1). There is limited evidence that vaccine responses are attenuated in RA in patients with high disease activity states. A key clinical decision is determining the best time to vaccination, either before immunosuppressive therapy or in a period of disease stability. Live vaccines are currently contraindicated in the

setting of immunosuppression. If a live vaccine is indicated, vaccination should be administered 2 to 4 weeks prior to immunosuppression, or at least 3 months after stopping nbDMARDs. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have provided guidance on the safety of the shingles vaccine in the context of immunosuppression; it is safe to administer the shingles vaccine in patients on nbDMARDs including Azathioprine and MTX but it avoided in patients on biologics and high-dose prednisolone (>20 mg per day) (36).

Only 2 studies included in the meta-analyses reported specifically on the effect of vaccination on disease activity however several confirm no evidence of a detrimental effect on parameters of disease activity post vaccination (13, 17-19, 33, 34, 37-40).

To our knowledge, there has been 1 previous meta-analysis assessing the influence of anti-rheumatic drug therapies on influenza and pneumococcal vaccine responses (41). Of note, there was an alternative methodological approach to analysis and probable access to unpublished data. In Hua's meta-analysis, the definitions and characteristics of treatment exposed and control groups differed, for example when assessing the influence of MTX on pneumococcal vaccine response, the experimental group compared MTX + TNFi exposed patients to TNFi monotherapy rather than HC.

We recognise that biologics are co-prescribed with nbDMARDs including MTX in routine clinical practice. However, by comparing drug therapies with HC groups in our analysis, we felt it would allow better assessment of the impact of drug therapy on vaccine immunogenicity, albeit to the detriment of potential number of studies and subjects that could be included in meta-analysis. Additionally, we have considered newer anti-rheumatic therapies including ABA, TOC and TOF. Our assessment of

MTX exposure negatively impacting pneumococcal vaccine response is congruent with Hua and colleagues, although we did not observe a negative influence of MTX on influenza vaccination.

The NOS was used to assess the risk of bias and grade the quality of included studies. All studies were of 'satisfactory' or 'good' quality however, there are sources of bias in our meta-analysis that we acknowledge.

Our review was potentially subject to outcome reporting bias. We only included studies reporting on post-vaccine Ab titres (rather than OI or GMT responses) as it was the most commonly reported method of assessing vaccine response. Literature review identified several studies that could not be included due to the heterogeneity in study design or differing methods of reporting vaccine efficacy, particularly those reporting on pneumococcal vaccine immunogenicity. Several studies reported on Ab response rates, GMT rises or OI without providing numerical data on response rates for SP, SR or SC. However, the conclusions drawn from each study agreed with our findings and provided further evidence that TNFi do not significantly diminish the response to pneumococcal or influenza vaccines (33, 38, 39, 42-46).

We included 2 studies from a single centre analysing vaccine responses of 2 pneumococcal serotypes (6B and 23F), thus the generalizability of our conclusions is limited. A strength is that both studies were methodologically similar and good quality with low risk of bias. There was a relative paucity of data examining newer biologic agents including RTX, ABA, TOC and TOF compared to TNFi drugs, this may be a result of publication bias.

Adjustment for confounding factors including age and smoking status or significant comorbidity which could impact on vaccine immunogenicity was not

possible. Control groups were not necessarily age matched to the RA cohorts. Older subjects are have a higher risk of serious infection and attenuated vaccine responses to vaccination, a consequence of immunosenescence (44, 47). Smoking may reduce pneumococcal vaccine responses in RA patients treated with MTX (48), however this was poorly reported in studies included. Most studies examined established RA cohorts (evidenced by RA disease duration prior to vaccination). It is uncertain whether longer disease duration (and potentially historically more immunosuppressive exposures) impacts upon vaccine response; this was outside the scope of this study.

Seasonal and pandemic influenza vaccination utilise strains that vary each season depending on the most virulent predicted strains. Although vaccine responses were broadly categorised by A or B strain responses for the meta-analysis, there may have been variation in the immunogenicity of each vaccine between studies, this was not possible to correct for.

Co-prescription of MTX with a biologic is recommended to maximise efficacy and reduce drug immunogenicity. We aimed to compare TNFi monotherapy to a HC group to prevent aberrancies due to MTX exposure. Concerning influenza vaccine responses with TNFi exposure, 3 studies included patients taking TNFi with concomitant MTX (12, 15, 16). Excluding these studies increased the heterogeneity but not RR interpretation. Three of the 4 other studies included in the meta-analysis did not explicitly comment if TNFi exposed patients were taking concurrent MTX (13, 14, 17). Additionally, the studies included different TNFi drugs. We assumed that TNFi exposure had similar class effects irrespective of whether they were a monoclonal antibody or fusion receptor protein.

Our meta-analysis and systematic review suggests that MTX exposure diminishes humoral responses to pneumococcal but not influenza vaccination. TNFi therapy does not negatively impact influenza or pneumococcal vaccine responses. Immunosuppression should not preclude vaccination against immune preventable disease. Vaccination is safe and well tolerated and should be encouraged as part of routine clinical care. Increasing the awareness and uptake of vaccinations in RA patients will require collaborative approaches between primary and secondary care.

REFERENCES

- 1. Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, O'Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. Frequency of infection in patients with rheumatoid arthritis compared with controls: a population-based study. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:2287-93
- 2. Doran MF, Crowson CS, Pond GR, O'Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. Predictors of infection in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:2294-300
- 3. van Assen S, Agmon-Levin N, Elkayam O, Cervera R, Doran MF, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;70:414-22
- 4. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL, Jr., Akl EA, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthrit Care Res 2015;68:1-25
- 5. Rahier JF, Moutschen M, Van Gompel A, Van Ranst M, Louis E, Segaert S, et al. Vaccinations in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. Rheumatology 2010;49:1815-27
- 6. Bengtsson C, Kapetanovic MC, Kallberg H, Sverdrup B, Nordmark B, Klareskog L, et al. Common vaccinations among adults do not increase the risk of

developing rheumatoid arthritis: results from the Swedish EIRA study. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1831-3

- 7. Costello R, Winthrop KL, Pye SR, Brown B, Dixon WG. Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccination Uptake in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis Treated with Immunosuppressive Therapy in the UK: A Retrospective Cohort Study Using Data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. PLoS One 2016;11:e0153848
- 8. Subesinghe S, Rutherford AI, Ibrahim F, Harris H, Galloway J. A large two-centre study in to rates of influenza and pneumococcal vaccination and infection burden in rheumatoid arthritis in the UK. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2016;17 (1) (no pagination)
- 9. Hannoun C, Megas F, Piercy J. Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of influenza vaccination. Virus Research 2004;103:133-8
- 10. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systems Review 4:1
- 11. Kapetanovic MC, Saxne T, Nilsson JA, Geborek P. Influenza vaccination as model for testing immune modulation induced by anti-TNF and methotrexate therapy in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Rheumatology 2007;46:608-11
- 12. Kobie JJ, Zheng B, Bryk P, Barnes M, Ritchlin CT, Tabechian DA, et al. Decreased influenza-specific B cell responses in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor. Arthritis Res Ther 2011;13:R209
- 13. Salemi S, Picchianti-Diamanti A, Germano V, Donatelli I, Di Martino A, Facchini M, et al. Influenza vaccine administration in rheumatoid arthritis patients under treatment with TNFalpha blockers: Safety and immunogenicity. Clin Immunol 2010;134:113-20

- 14. Kubota T, Nii T, Nanki T, Kohsaka H, Harigai M, Komano Y, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy does not diminish the immune response to influenza vaccine in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol 2007;17:531-3
- 15. Iwamoto M, Homma S, Onishi S, Kamata Y, Nagatani K, Yamagata Z, et al. Low level of seroconversion after a novel influenza A/H1N1/2009 vaccination in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis in the 2009 season. Rheumatol Int 2012;32:3691-4
- 16. Franca ILA, Ribeiro ACM, Aikawa NE, Saad CGS, Moraes JCB, Goldsteinschainberg C, et al. Tnf blockers show distinct patterns of immune response to the pandemic influenza a h1n1 vaccine in inflammatory arthritis patients. Rheumatology 2012;51:2091-8
- 17. Ribeiro ACM, Guedes LKN, Moraes JCB, Saad CGS, Aikawa NE, Calich AL, et al. Reduced seroprotection after pandemic H1N1 influenza adjuvant-free vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Implications for clinical practice. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:2144-7
- 18. Arad U, Tzadok S, Amir S, Mandelboim M, Mendelson E, Wigler I, et al. The cellular immune response to influenza vaccination is preserved in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with rituximab. Vaccine 2011;29:1643-8
- 19. Oren S, Mandelboim M, Braun-Moscovici Y, Paran D, Ablin J, Litinsky I, et al. Vaccination against influenza in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the effect of rituximab on the humoral response. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:937-41
- 20. Ribeiro AC, Laurindo IM, Guedes LK, Saad CG, Moraes JC, Silva CA, et al. Abatacept and reduced immune response to pandemic 2009 influenza A/H1N1 vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthrit Care Res 2013;65:476-80

- 21. Alten R, Bingham CO, Cohen SB, Curtis JR, Kelly S, Wong D, et al. Antibody response to pneumococcal and influenza vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving abatacept. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2016;17 (1) (no pagination)
- 22. Mori S, Ueki Y, Hirakata N, Oribe M, Hidaka T, Oishi K. Impact of tocilizumab therapy on antibody response to influenza vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:2006-10
- 23. Tsuru T, Terao K, Murakami M, Matsutani T, Suzaki M, Amamoto T, et al. Immune response to influenza vaccine and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine under IL-6 signal inhibition therapy with tocilizumab. Mod Rheumatol 2014;24:511-6
- 24. Winthrop KL, Silverfield J, Racewicz A, Neal J, Lee EB, Hrycaj P, et al. The effect of tofacitinib on pneumococcal and influenza vaccine responses in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:687-95
- 25. Kapetanovic MC, Saxne T, Sjoholm A, Truedsson L, Jonsson G, Geborek P. Influence of methotrexate, TNF blockers and prednisolone on antibody responses to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology 2006;45:106-11
- 26. Kapetanovic MC, Roseman C, Jonsson G, Truedsson L, Saxne T, Geborek P. Antibody response is reduced following vaccination with 7-valent conjugate pneumococcal vaccine in adult methotrexate-treated patients with established arthritis, but not those treated with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63:3723-32
- 27. Bingham ICO, Looney RJ, Deodhar A, Halsey N, Greenwald M, Codding C, et al. Immunization responses in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with rituximab: Results from a controlled clinical trial. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:64-74

- 28. Migita K, Akeda Y, Akazawa M, Tohma S, Hirano F, Ideguchi H, et al. Effect of abatacept on the immunogenicity of 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination (PPSV23) in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis Res Ther 2015;17:357
- 29. Mori S, Ueki Y, Akeda Y, Hirakata N, Oribe M, Shiohira Y, et al. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving tocilizumab therapy. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:1362-6
- 30. Bingham CO, Rizzo W, Kivitz A, Hassanali A, Upmanyu R, Klearman M. Humoral immune response to vaccines in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tocilizumab: Results of a randomised controlled trial (VISARA). Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:818-22
- 31. Lujan M, Gallego M, Belmonte Y, Fontanals D, Valles J, Lisboa T, et al. Influence of pneumococcal serotype group on outcome in adults with bacteraemic pneumonia. Eur Respir J 2010;36:1073-9
- 32. Kapetanovic MC, Roseman C, Jonsson G, Truedsson L. Heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine elicits similar antibody response as standard 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine in adult patients with RA treated with immunomodulating drugs. Clin Rheumatol 2011;30:1555-61
- 33. Gabay C, Bel M, Combescure C, Ribi C, Meier S, Posfay-Barbe K, et al. Impact of synthetic and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on antibody responses to the AS03-adjuvanted pandemic influenza vaccine: A prospective, open-label, parallel-cohort, single-center study. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63:1486-96
- 34. Van Assen S, Holvast A, Benne CA, Posthumus MD, Van Leeuwen MA, Voskuyl AE, et al. Humoral responses after influenza vaccination are severely reduced in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with rituximab. Arthritis Rheum 2010;62:75-81

- 35. Gelinck LBS, Teng YKO, Rimmelzwaan GF, Van Den Bemt BJF, Kroon FP, Van Laar JM. Poor serological responses upon influenza vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with rituximab [4]. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:1402-3
- 36. Subesinghe S, Whittaker M, Galloway J. Mitigating infection risk with immunotherapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Int J Clin Rheumtol 2015;10:177-93
- 37. Adler S, Krivine A, Weix J, Rozenberg F, Launay O, Huesler J, et al. Protective effect of A/H1N1 vaccination in immune-mediated disease-a prospectively controlled vaccination study. Rheumatology 2012;51:695-700
- 38. Fomin I, Caspi D, Levy V, Varsano N, Shalev Y, Paran D, et al. Vaccination against influenza in rheumatoid arthritis: The effect of disease modifying drugs, including TNFalpha blockers. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:191-4
- 39. Elkayam O, Bashkin A, Mandelboim M, Litinsky I, Comaheshter D, Levartovsky D, et al. The effect of infliximab and timing of vaccination on the humoral response to influenza vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2010;39:442-7
- 40. Elkayam O, Paran D, Caspi D, Litinsky I, Yaron M, Charboneau D, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of pneumococcal vaccination in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Infect Dis 2002;34:147-53
- 41. Hua C, Barnetche T, Combe B, Morel J. Effect of methotrexate, anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha, and rituximab on the immune response to influenza and pneumococcal vaccines in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Arthrit Care Res 2014;66:1016-26
- 42. Kaine JL, Kivitz AJ, Birbara C, Luo AY. Immune responses following administration of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines to patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving adalimumab. J Rheumatol 2007;34:272-9

- 43. Kivitz AJ, Schechtman J, Texter M, Fichtner A, De Longueville M, Chartash EK. Vaccine responses in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with certolizumab pegol: Results from a single-blind randomized phase IV trial. J Rheumatol 2014;41:648-57
- 44. Visvanathan S, Keenan GF, Baker DG, Levinson AI, Wagner CL. Response to pneumococcal vaccine in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis receiving infliximab plus methotrexate or methotrexate alone. J Rheumatol 2007;34:952-7
- 45. Elkayam O, Caspi D, Reitblatt T, Charboneau D, Rubins JB. The Effect of Tumor Necrosis Factor Blockade on the Response to Pneumococcal Vaccination in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis and Ankylosing Spondylitis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2004;33:283-8
- 46. Gelinck LBS, Van Der Bijl AE, Beyer WEP, Visser LG, Huizinga TWJ, Van Hogezand RA, et al. The effect of anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha treatment on the antibody response to influenza vaccination. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:713-6
- 47. Weinberger B, Herndler-Brandstetter D, Schwanninger A, Weiskopf D, Grubeck-Loebenstein B. Biology of immune responses to vaccines in elderly persons. Clin Infect Dis 2008;46:1078-84
- 48. Roseman C, Truedsson L, Kapetanovic MC. The effect of smoking and alcohol consumption on markers of systemic inflammation, immunoglobulin levels and immune response following pneumococcal vaccination in patients with arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2012;14:R170

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Flow chart of studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis

Figure 2: Forest plots for the risk ratios of response rates for influenza vaccine serotypes between rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving anti-tumour necrosis factor drugs or methotrexate and healthy controls

Legend: 95% CI = 95% Confidence Interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel; MTX = Methotrexate; TNFi = Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor Drug

Figure 3: Forest plot for the risk ratios of response rates for pneumococcal vaccine (combined 6B and 23F serotype responses) between rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving methotrexate or anti-tumour necrosis factor drugs and healthy controls Legend: 95% CI = 95% Confidence Interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel; MTX = Methotrexate; TNFi = Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor Drug

Table 1: Characteristics of the studies examining influenza vaccine immunogenicity included in the meta-analysis

Author, Y	ear	Study	Number	Vaccine	Outcome	Age,	%	Disease	DAS28	HAQ	Seroconversion	Seroprotection	NOS
(ref)		design	of			years	Women	duration,	score	score	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	score
			Subjects			(SD)		years	(SD)				
			(n)					(SD)					
MTX											1		
Franca et	al.	Prospective	RA MTX	Pandemic	SP: HI	RA 46.5	RA 67,	15.6 (10.4)	1-	T-	RA 56.0 (36.5,	RA 56.0 (36.5 -	7
2012 (16)		•	(25), HC	Influenza	>1:40	(10.6),	HC 79	,			75.5), HC 74.3	75.5), HC 78.6	
		cohort	(117)	A/H1N1/2009	SR: >4-	HC 44.3					(66.4, 82.3)	(71.2 - 86.1)	
		study			fold increase from baseline	(12.4)							
					after 3 weeks								
Iwamoto et	al.	Prospective	RA MTX	Pandemic	SP: HI	RA	RA 98,	-	-	-	RA 58.5 (44.1 –	RA 60.4 (46 –	5
2012 (15) §		cohort	(41), HC	Influenza	>1:40	median	HC -				71.9) **	73.6) **, HC	
		study	(14)	A1/H1N1/2009	SR: >4- fold increase from baseline after 3	29-90)					HC 64.3	71.4	

				weeks								
Kapetanovic et	Prospective	RA MTX	Influenza	SP: HI	RA 61.3	RA 68,	Median	Pre	-	-	RA H1N1 89%,	6
al. 2007 (11)	cohort	(37), HC	trivalent	>1:40	(20.8-	HC 74	7.0 (min	Vaccine			H3N2 76%, B	
	study	(18)	subunit	SR: >4-	81.4)		0.9- max	DAS28,			95%, HC H1N1	
			vaccine,	fold	HC 30.3		46.9	low 53,			78%, H3N2	
			H1N1/H3N2/B	increase	(19.2-			med 35,			72%, B 67%	
				from	60.3)			high12				
				baseline								
				after 4-6								
				weeks								
Kobie et al.	Prospective	RA MTX	Influenza	SP: HI	RA 58.4	RA 77,	>3years	-	0.71	-	RA H1N1 88%,	6
2011 (12)	cohort	(70), HC	trivalent	>1:40	(12.2)	HC 63	60%, <1		(0.00-		H3N2 94%, B	
	study	(97)	subunit	SR: >4-	HC 39.8		year 17%		2.22)		97%, HC H1N1	
			vaccine	fold	(13.6)						100%, H3N2	
			H1N1/H3N2/B	increase							100%, B 100%	
				from								
				baseline								
				after 4								
				weeks								
Ribeiro et al.	Prospective	RA MTX	Influenza	SP: HI	RA 55.8	RA 87,	16.7 (10.4)	Pre	-	RA 46.3 (39.6 -	RA 53.2(46.6 -	7
2011 (17)	cohort	(215), HC	A/H1N1/2009	>1:40	(11.5)	HC -		Vaccine		53.0)	59.9), HC 82.9	
	study	(234)		SR: >4-				3.66		HC: 76.9 (71.0 -	(77.5 - 87.5)	
				fold				(1.35),		82.2)		
				increase				Post				

				from				Vaccine				
				baseline				3.49 (1.36)				
				after 3				No				
				weeks				significant				
								change				
TNFI								l		L	l	
Franca et al.	Prospective	RA (41)	Pandemic	SP: HI	RA	RA 60,	18.4 (10.1)	-	-	RA 65.9 (51.3,	RA 65.9 (51.3-	7
2012 (16)	cohort	(IFX/ADA	Influenza	>1:40	45.1	HC 79				80.4)	80.4), HC 78.6	
	study	30, 11	A/H1N1/2009	SR: >4-	(11.8)					HC 74.3 (66.4,	(71.2- 86.1)	
		ETA), HC		fold	HC 44.3					82.3)		
		(117		increase	(12.4)							
				from								
				baseline								
				after 3								
				weeks								
lwamoto et al.	Prospective	RA (28)	Pandemic	SP: HI	RA	RA 100,	-	-	-	RA 38.9 (23.1 –	RA 47.2 (30.4 –	5
2012 (15) §	cohort	IFX 3, ETA	Influenza	>1:40	median	HC -				56.5) *	64.5) *, HC	
	study	18, ADA	A1/H1N1/2009	SR: >4-	64.5					HC 64.3	71.4	
		7), HC		fold	(range							
		(14)		increase	29-78)							
				from								
				baseline								
				after 3								
				weeks								

Kapetanovic et	Prospective	RA TNFi	Influenza	SP: HI	RA	RA 76,	Median	Pre	-	-	RA H1N1 58%,	6
al. 2007 (11)	cohort	(62) (IFX	trivalent	>1:40	median	HC 74	20.8 (min	Vaccine			H3N2 74%, B	
	study	27, ETA	subunit	SR: >4-	53.7		1.5- max	DAS28,			87%, HC H1N1	
		35), HC	H1N1/H3N2/B	fold	(15.1-		55.9)	low 49%,			78%, H3N2	
		(18)		increase	85.3)			medium			72%, B 67%	
				from	HC 30.3			41%, high				
				baseline	(19.2-			10%				
				after 4-6	60.3)							
				weeks								
Kobie et al.	Prospective	RA: TNF	Influenza	SP: HI	RA 55.4	RA 82,	>3 years	-	0.71	-	RA H1N1 97%	6
2011 (12)	cohort	(61)	trivalent	>1:40	(12.3)	HC 63	93%,		(0.00-		H3N2 94% B	
	study	(ETA 35,	subunit				<1year 5%		2.22)		97%, HC H1N1	
		IFX 17,	H1N1/H3N2/B	SR: >4-							100%, H3N2	
		ADA 9),		fold							100%, B 100%	
		HC (97)		increase								
				from								
				baseline								
				after 4								
				weeks								
Kubota et al.	Prospective	RA TNFi	Influenza	SP: HI	RA 55.7	-	-	-	-	-	RA H1N1	6
2007 (14)	cohort	(27)	trivalent	>1:40	(12.6)						44.4%, H3N2	
	study	(ETA	subunit	SR: >4-	HC 55.9						44.4%, B	
		11/IFX 16)	H1N1/H3N2/B	fold	(9.82)						29.6%, HC	
				increase							H1N1 17.3%,	

		HC (52)		from							H3N2 25%, B	
				baseline							9.6%	
				after 4-								
				6weeks								
Ribeiro et al.	Prospective	RA: TNF	Pandemic	SP: HI	RA 55.8	RA 87,	16.7 (10.4)	Pre	-	RA: 51.0(45.0 to	RA 67.4 (53.7-	7
2011(17)	cohort	(47)	Influenza	>1:40	(11.5)	HC -		Vaccine:		57.0)	81.1), HC 82.9	
	study	(20 IFX,	A/H1N1/2009	SR: >4-				3.66 (1.35)		HC: 76.9(71.0 to	(77.5-87.5)	
		16 ADA,		fold				Post		82.2)		
		11 ETA),		increase				Vaccine:				
		HC (234)		from				3.49 (1.36)				
				baseline				No				
				after 3				significant				
				weeks				change				
Salemi et al	Prospective	RA TNFi	Influenza	SP: HI	RA 53 (3)	RA 82,	-	2.47 (0.2),	-	RA TNF	RA H1N1 68%,	5
2010 (13)	cohort	(28)	trivalent	>1:40		HC -		no		H1N1	H3N2 75%, B	
	study	(n =	subunit	SR: >4-				significant		45%/H3N2	50%, HC H1N1	
		unknown	H1N1/H3N2/B	fold				change at		35%/B 15%	90%, H3N2	
		IFX, ADA,		increase				30 days		HC: H1N1	80%, B 40%	
		ETA), HC		from						50%/H3N2		
		(20)		baseline						60%/B 20%		
				after 30								
				days								

Legend: § additional data supplied on request by the author; RA = Rheumatoid arthritis; HC = Healthy Control; TNFi = Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor drug; IFX = Infliximab; ADA = Adalimumab; ETA = Etanercept; MTX = Methotrexate; HI = haemagglutination inhibition assay; SP = Seroprotection; SR = Seroresponse; * DAS28 = Disease Activity Score in 28 joints, scores pre vaccination are

quoted unless stated otherwise; HAQ = Health Assessment Questionnaire; 95% CI = 95% Confidence Interval; - = data not provided; Disease duration = mean disease duration unless otherwise stated; ** includes RA patients on non-biological DMARDs including non-MTX users; NOS = Newcastle Ottowa Score

Table 2: Characteristics of the studies examining pneumococcal vaccine immunogenicity included in the meta-analysis

Author,	Study	Number of	Vaccine	Outcome	Age, years	% Women	Disease	DAS28	HAQ	SC %	SP %	NOS score
Year (ref)	Design	Subjects	Intervention		(SD)	(SD)	duration	score (SD)		(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
		(n)					years (SD)					
MTX		l	1		l	l		l	1	l	1	1
Kapetanovic	Prospective	RA MTX	PPV-23	2-fold	RA 61.3	RA:68	Median 7.0	Pre	-	-	RA 13.5	5
et al. 2006	cohort	(37), HC		increase in	(20.8-81.4)	HC: 74	(minimum	Vaccine			HC 38.2	
(25)	study	(47)		post-	HC 30.3		0.9 -	DAS28, low				
				vaccination	(19.2-60.3)		maximum	53%				
				titres for 6B			46.9)	medium				
				and 23F				35%, high				
				serotypes,				12%				
				4-6 weeks								
				post								
				vaccination								
Kapetanovic	Prospective	RA MTX	PCV-7	2-fold	RA 61.5	RA 78.8	RA 11.4	RA	0.7 (0.6)	-	RA 21.2	6
et al. 2011	cohort	(85), HC		increase in	(14)		(10)	3.7 (1.2)			HC 47.7	
(26)	study	(86)		post-	HC 51.6	HC: 45	HC 12.7					
				vaccination	(12)		(12)					
				titres for 6B								
				and 23F								
				serotypes,								
				4-6 weeks								
				post								

				vaccination								
TNFi												
Kapetanovic	Prospective	RA TNFi	PPV-23	2-fold	RA median	RA: 76	Median	Pre	-	-	RA 50	5
et al. 2006	cohort	(62)		increase in	53.7 (15.1-	HC: 74	20.8 (1.5 -	Vaccine			HC 38.2	
(25)	study	(IFX		post-	85.3), HC		55.9)	DAS28, low				
(20)	Study	27/ETA 35)		vaccination	median		00.0)	49%,				
				titres for 6B								
		HC (47)			30.3 (19.2-			medium				
				and 23F	60.3)			41%, high				
				serotypes,				10%				
				4-6 weeks								
				post								
				vaccination								
Kapetanovic	Prospective	RA TNFi	PCV-7	2-fold	RA 59.8	RA TNF: 87	RA 20.6	RA 3.9	1.2 (0.7)	-	RA 36.7	6
et al. 2011	cohort	(79)		increase in	(14), HC	HC: 45	(11)	(1.1)			HC 47.7	
(26)	study	(TNFi not		post-	51.6 (12)		HC 12.7					
		specified)		vaccination			(12)					
		HC (85)		titres for 6B								
				and 23F								
				serotypes,								
				4-6 weeks								
				post								
				vaccination								

Legend: RA = Rheumatoid arthritis; HC = Healthy Control; TNFi = Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor drug; IFX = Infliximab; ADA = Adalimumab; ETA = Etanercept; MTX = Methotrexate; HI = haemagglutination inhibition assay; * DAS28 = Disease Activity Score in 28 joints, scores pre-vaccination are quoted unless stated otherwise; HAQ = Health Assessment Questionnaire; 95% CI =

95% Confidence Interval; - = data not provided; PPV23: Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine; PCV-7: Conjugate pneumococcal vaccine; Disease duration = mean disease duration unless otherwise stated; Age = mean age unless otherwise stated, NOS score = Newcastle Ottowa Score

Records identified through Figure 1: Flow chart of studies included database searching in the systematic review and meta-(n = 3939)analysis Records after duplicates removed (n = 2432)Records excluded Records screened (n = 518)**Full-text articles Full-text articles** excluded (n = 26, no eligibility healthy control (n = 47)group n = 7, excluded based on full text article = 13, response rates not Studies included in published or qualitative synthesis alternative method (n = 12)of reporting vaccine immunogenicity n = 6) Studies included in quantitative synthesis (metaanalysis) (n = 9)

Page 34 of 55

Figure 2: Forest plots for the risk ratios of response rates for influenza vaccine serotypes between rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving anti-tumour necrosis factor drugs or methotrexate and healthy controls

(a) Treatment with MTX and H1N1 strain responses (including pandemic and seasonal H1N1 pooled)

	MTX Control			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Franca 2012	14	25	66	117	16.0%	0.99 [0.68, 1.45]	
lwamoto 2012	24	41	9	14	13.2%	0.91 [0.57, 1.45]	*
Kapetanovic 2007	33	37	14	18	20.2%	1.15 [0.87, 1.50]	-
Kobie 2011	28	32	54	54	25.3%	0.87 [0.76, 1.00]	-
Ribeiro 2011	115	215	194	234	25.3%	0.65 [0.56, 0.74]	
Total (95% CI)		350		437	100.0%	0.88 [0.69, 1.11]	
Total events	214		337				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect				= 4 (P =	= 0.0003)	$; I^2 = 81\%$	0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2 Favours control Favours drug exposure

(b) Treatment with TNFi and H1N1 strain responses (including pandemic and seasonal H1N1 pooled)

	TNFi exposed Control			Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI
Franca 2012	27	41	92	117	17.7%	0.84 [0.66, 1.06]		
Iwamoto 2012	11	28	9	14	6.8%	0.61 [0.33, 1.12]		
Kapetanovic 2007	36	62	14	18	14.1%	0.75 [0.54, 1.03]		
Kobie 2011	35	36	54	54	24.4%	0.97 [0.90, 1.04]		*
Kubota 2007	12	27	9	52	5.1%	2.57 [1.24, 5.32]		·
Ribeiro 2011	31	47	194	234	18.9%	0.80 [0.64, 0.98]		
Salemi 2009	15	22	9	10	13.1%	0.76 [0.53, 1.08]		
Total (95% CI)		263		499	100.0%	0.86 [0.72, 1.04]		•
Total events	167		381					
Heterogeneity: Tau2 =	= 0.03; Chi	$^{2}=19.9$	94, df =	6 (P = 0)	0.003); I ²	= 70%	0.1	
Test for overall effect	z = 1.55	(P = 0.1)	12)				0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours control Favours drug exposure

(c) Treatment with MTX and H3N2 strain responses

	MTX Control		rol	Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Kapetanovic 2007	28	37	13	18	8.1%	1.05 [0.75, 1.47]			
Kobie 2011	30	32	54	54	91.9%	0.93 [0.84, 1.03]		-	
Total (95% CI)		69		72	100.0%	0.94 [0.85, 1.04]			
Total events	58		67						
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect				1 (P =	0.40); l ² =	= 0%	0.5	0.7 1 1.5 Favours control Favours drug exposi	2 ure

(d) Treatment with TNFi and H3N2 strain responses

	TNFi exposed Control			ol	Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI			M-H, Rando	m, 95% CI		
Kapetanovic 2007	46	62	13	18	27.7%	1.03 [0.74, 1.42]			-			
Kobie 2011	34	36	54	54	40.7%	0.94 [0.86, 1.03]			-			
Kubota 2007	12	27	13	52	13.9%	1.78 [0.94, 3.34]			+	-	- -	
Salemi 2009	11	22	8	10	17.7%	0.63 [0.37, 1.05]			-			
Total (95% CI)		147		134	100.0%	0.98 [0.74, 1.31]			•	-		
Total events	103		88									
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =				(P = 0.	03); $I^2 = 0$	65%	0.1	0.2	0,5 1	2	- ! 5	10
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.14$ ($P = 0.89$)							Favours control	Favours drue	a exposu	ire		

(e) Treatment with MTX and B strain responses

	MTX Control			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	CI M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Kapetanovic 2007	35	37	12	18	46.3%	1.42 [1.01, 1.98]	3]	
Kobie 2011	31	32	54	54	53.7%	0.96 [0.89, 1.04]	4]	
Total (95% CI)		69		72	100.0%	1.15 [0.63, 2.10]	0]	_
Total events	66		66					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.17; CI	$hi^2 = 12$	2. 1 5, df :	= 1 (P =	= 0.0005)	$; I^2 = 92\%$	0.5 0.7 1 1.5	_
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.46	6 (P = 0)).64)				Favours control Favours drug exposure	2

(f) Treatment with TNFi and B strain responses

	TNFi exposed Control			Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI			M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Kapetanovic 2007	54	62	12	18	29.2%	1.31 [0.93, 1.84]			+	
Kobie 2011	35	36	54	54	31.5%	0.97 [0.90, 1.04]			*	
Kubota 2007	8	27	5	52	18.5%	3.08 [1.12, 8.51]			-	_
Salemi 2009	11	22	4	10	20.8%	1.25 [0.53, 2.97]			-	
Total (95% CI)		147		134	100.0%	1.38 [0.70, 2.72]				
Total events	108		75						300000	
Heterogeneity: Tau2 =	= 0.38; Chi	$^{2}=33.$	52, df =	3 (P < 0)	0.00001);	$I^2 = 91\%$	0.1	0 2		10
Test for overall effect	z = 0.93	(P = 0.3)	35)				0.1	0.2	Favours control Favours drug exposure	10

Legend: 95% CI = 95% Confidence Interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel; MTX = Methotrexate; TNFi = Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor Drug

Figure 3: Forest plot for the risk ratios of response rates for pneumococcal vaccine (combined 6B and 23F serotype responses) between rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving methotrexate or anti-tumour necrosis factor drugs and healthy controls

(a) Treatment with MTX and pneumococcal 6B/23F serotype responses

	MTX (no TNFi) Control			Risk Ratio		Ri						
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI		M-H, Ra	ndom, 9	5% CI		
Kapetanovic 2006	5	37	18	47	21.4%	0.35 [0.14, 0.86]	-		-			
Kapetanovic 2011	18	85	41	85	78.6%	0.44 [0.28, 0.70]						
Total (95% CI)		122		132	100.0%	0.42 [0.28, 0.63]						
Total events	23		59									
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi	$^{2} = 0.18$	df = 1	(P = 0.6)	$(57); 1^2 = 0$	0% ⊢	0.2	0,5		+		10
Test for overall effect	Z = 4.13	(P < 0.0)	001)				0.2	Favours cont	rol Favo	urs druç	o g exposu	

(b) Treatment with TNFi and pneumococcal 6B/23F serotype responses

	TNFi monothe	erapy	Conti	rol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Kapetanovic 2006	31	62	18	47	47.3%	1.31 [0.84, 2.03]	
Kapetanovic 2011	29	79	41	85	52.7%	0.76 [0.5 3, 1.09]	-
Total (95% CI)		141		132	100.0%	0.98 [0.58, 1.67]	
Total events	60		59				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =			= 1 (P =	0.06); I	$^{2} = 71\%$		0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.07 (P = 0.07)	0.95)					Favours control Favours drug exposure

Legend: 95% CI = 95% Confidence Interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel; MTX = Methotrexate; TNFi = Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor Drug

Supplementary Table 1: Quality assessment of studies using the Newcastle Ottowa Score

		Selection		Comparat	oility		Outcome			
Study	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the non- exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability of cohorts	Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur?	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts	Total NOS score	Comment
Franca 2012 (16)	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	7	RA and control cohorts not aged matched. Control population from hospital population.
Iwamoto 2012 (15)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	5	Method of recruitment of RA or control cohorts not discussed. Demographic data for comparability of cohorts not presented. Previous vaccination status not documented.
Kapetanovic 2006 (25)	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	RA and control cohorts were not aged matched. Controls were selected from healthy hospital controls. Previous vaccination status not documented.
Kapetanovic 2007 (11)	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	6	RA and control cohorts not aged matched. Controls were selected from healthy hospital controls. Previous vaccination status not documented.
Kapetanovic 2011 (26)	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	6	RA and control cohorts not aged matched.
Kobie 2011 (12)	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	6	RA and control cohorts not aged matched.

Kubota 2007 (14)	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	6	No information of how control cohort were recruited.
Ribeiro 2011 (17)	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	7	RA and control cohorts not aged matched.
Salemi 2009 (13)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	5	No data presented comparing ages of two cohorts.

COHORT STUDIES

Selection (4 points in total)

Representativeness of the exposed cohort (1 point)

Yes if:	
	rheumatoid arthritis (RA) diagnosis confirmed by American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 1987/2010 criteria.
	details on selection process of the RA cohort, e.g. randomly selected from a hospital clinic, or invited via postal-invitation to participate in the study
No if:	
	RA patients do not fulfil ACR RA diagnostic criteria details on the method of recruitment of RA patients not presented
Select	ion of the non-exposed cohort (1 point)
Yes if:	
	RA patients serving as healthy cohorts were stated to not have any immunosuppressive exposure prior to vaccination the healthy control cohort were randomly selected from the local population
No if:	the control cohort were drawn from a hospital workers only the control drawn from a different source and not from the same community there was no description of the derivation of the non-exposed cohort
Ascer	tainment of exposure (1 point)
Yes if:	
	it was documented that no recent vaccination against influenza (within the same vaccination season) and/or pneumococcal vaccine (within 5 years) had been performed
	details were from a secure record (e.g. medical records)
No if:	
	there was no description of pre-vaccination antibody titres or no evidence of exclusion criteria including previous vaccination history
<u>Demo</u>	nstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study (1 point)
Yes if:	
	pre-vaccine titres were checked pre-immunisation and details provided on to calculate number/proportion of patients who achieved seroprotection, seroconversion or seroresponse

No if

	there was no history of vaccination within 12 months for influenza vaccination or 5 years for pneumococcal vaccination
Comp	parability (2 points)
Yes if	: RA and control cohorts were age matched RA and control cohorts were age and sex matched The RA population serving as a control cohort, matched for disease duration
No if:	Cohorts not age matched
Outco	ome (3 points)
<u>Asses</u>	ssment of outcome (1 point)
Yes if	The percentages of achieving seroprotection or seroconversion calculable from data presented Blinded analysis of post-vaccination titres
No if:	No data presented for post vaccination titres
Was f	ollow-up long enough for outcomes to occur (1 point)
Yes if No if:	3-6 week follow up period for post-vaccination titres to assess seroprotection or seroconversion Follow up period outside set time frame
<u>Adequ</u>	uacy of follow up of cohorts (1 point)
Yes if	: Complete follow up - all subjects accounted for or >80% subject follow up rate
No if:	Follow up rates unable to be calculated or data not presented
0 - 3 = 4 - 6	retation of Newcastle Ottowa Scale score: = poor quality study = satisfactory quality study = high quality study

Supplementary Table 2: Studies examining pneumococcal vaccine responses with different anti-rheumatic drug exposures

Author,	Subjects (n)	Vaccine	Outcome	Mean Age,	Female n (%)	Disease	DAS28 score (SD)	HAQ score	Vaccine response	Comments
Year				years (SD)		duration,		(SD)		
[Reference]						years (SD)				
Kapetanovic	RA (149, 62	PPV23	≥ 2-fold or higher	Median age:	TNFi (76)	TNFi 20.8	% of patients with	-	% of patients with	MTX associated with
et al. 2006	TNFi		increase in 6b and	TNFi	TNFi +	TNFi + MTX	Low/Intermediate/High		adequate vaccine	a reduced response to
(25)	monotherapy,		23f serotype Ab	monotherapy	MTX (70)	10.8	DAS28 at time of		response:	PPV23 vaccination,
	50 TNFi +		concentration, 4 to 6-	53.7	MTX (68)	MTX 7.0	vaccination:		TNFi 50%,	no effect of TNFi on
	MTX, 37 MTX		weeks post	TNF+MTX	HC (74)	HC -	TNFi: 49/41/10		TNFi + MTX 32%,	vaccine response
	monotherapy)		vaccination	52.8			TNF+MTX: 50/44/6		MTX 13.5%,	
	HC (47)			MTX			MTX: 53/35/12		HC 38.2%	
				monotherapy						
				61.3						
				HC 30.3						
Visvanathan	RA (70,	PPV23	≥ 2-fold increase at	Median age:	IFX 3mg/kg:	-	-	-	>80% of patients in	No impact of MTX
et al. 2007	20 IFX		least 6 vaccine	IFX 3mg/kg:	(65)				each group	exposure on vaccine
(44)	3mg/kg +		serotypes compared	52	IFX 6mg/kg:				responded to 1≥	responses
	MTX, 36 IFX		to pre-vaccine levels	IFX 6mg/kg	(66.7)				serotypes, 20-25%	
	6mg/kg +			50	Placebo				responded to 6≥	
	MTX, 14			Placebo 50	(78.6)				different serotypes	
	Placebo +									
	MTX)									

Kaine et al.	RA (218, 109	PPV23	≥ 2-fold titre increase	51.7 ± 11.66	Placebo 82	-	-	-	% of patients	No impact of	TNFi
2007 (42)	Placebo ±		in ≥ 3 of 5 vaccine		(75.2),				achieving a ≥ 2-fold	exposure on	vaccine
	MTX, 109		serotypes and		ADA 84				increase in ≥ 3 of 5	responses	
	ADA ± MTX)		protective Ab titres		(84.8),				pneumococcal Ab		
			≥1.6 mcg/ml, 4-weeks		Overall 166				titres: ADA 37.4%,		
			post vaccination.		(79.8)				Placebo 40.4%. % of		
			Serotypes 9V, 14,						patients achieving		
			18C, 19F, and 23F						protective Ab titres		
									>1.6mcg/ml in ≥ 3 of		
									5 antigens) 4 weeks		
									post vaccination:		
									ADA 85.9%, Placebo		
									81.7%		
Bingham et	RA (93,	PPV23	≥2-fold increase or an	RTX + MTX	RTX + MTX	-	RTX +MTX 6.2 (1.1)	-	Decreased	Reduced	vaccine
al. 2010	RTX+MTX		increase of 1 mcg/ml	49.7 (9.6)	51 (75), MTX		MTX -		responses to PPV23	response in	RTX
(27)	65, MTX 28)		from pre-vaccination	MTX 49.7	25 (78)				RTX+MTX 57% of	exposed	patients
			level. 12	(10.5)					subjects had a 2-fold	compared to N	MTX
			pneumococcal						rise in Ab titre		
			serotype responses						response to >1		
			assessed						serotype, compared		
									with 82% of MTX		
									monotherapy		
									patients. Lower		
									proportions of		

									patients responding	
									to each serotype in	
									RTX+MTX group	
									compared to MTX	
									monotherapy	
Kapetanovic	RA (253,	PCV-7	ARR ≥ 2, 4 to 6-	MTX	MTX: 67	MTX 11.4	MTX 3.7 (1.2)	MTX	Number (%) of	MTX associated with
et al. 2011	MTX 85,		weeks post-	61.5 (14)	(78.8)	(10)	TNFi + MTX 3.4 (1.2)	0.7 (0.6)	subjects achieving ≥	a reduced response to
(32)	TNF+MTX		vaccination,	TNFi + MTX	TNFi + MTX	TNFi + MTX	TNFi 3.9 (1.1)	TNFi +	2-fold increase in	PCV-7, no effect of
	89, TNF 79)		serotypes 6b and 23f	60.1 (10)	69 (77.5)	16.2 (12)	SpA/HC 3.0 (1.1)	MTX 0.9	pre-vaccination Ab	TNFi therapy on
	SpA/HC (85)			TNFi 59.8	TNFi 69	TNFi 20.6		(0.7)	levels	vaccine response
				(14)	(87.3)	(11)		TNFi 1.2	MTX 18 (21.2), TNFi	
				SpA/HC 51.6	SpA/HC 39	SpA/HC 12.7		(0.7)	+ MTX 14 (15.7),	
				(12)	(45.3)	(12)		SpA/HC	TNFi 29 (36.7),	
								0.5 (0.5)	SpA/HC 41 (47.7)	
Mori et al.	RA (190,	PPV23	≥2-fold in IgG	MTX 68.3,	MTX 51	MTX 10.0,	-	-	Fold increases 6b/23f	Post-vaccination Ab
2012 (29)	MTX 62, TOC		concentrations or	TOC + MTX	(82.3), TOC +	TOC + MTX			(SD)	responses may be
	+ MTX 54,		≥10-fold or more	65.1, TOC	MTX 50	9.1, TOC			MTX 1.5 (1.1-3.0)/	reduced when TOC
	TOC 50,		increase in	68.3, Control	(92.6), TOC	12.5, Control			2.6 (1.4-4.1	combined with MTX.
	Control 24)		opsonisation indices	69.2	43 (86),	11.3			TOC + MTX 1.6 (1.2-	
					Control 19				1.9)/ 2.9 (1.0-6.9),	
					(79.2)				TOC 2.8 (1.4-4.4)/	
									3.4 (1.5-6.8), Control	
									1.8 (1.3-3.7)/ 3.5	

									(1.7-5.6)	
Kivitz et al.	RA (207,	PPV23	≥ 2-fold increase in ≥	Placebo 52.7	Placebo	Placebo 7.9	Placebo 5.5 (0.9),	-	Adequate response	No significant effect of
2014 (43)	Placebo +/-		3 of 6 pneumococcal	(11.1), CZP	(76.3), CZP	(8.4), CZP 7.4	CZP 5.5 (1.0)		in patients	TNFi exposure on
	MTX 110,		antigens at 6 weeks,	53.1 (11.8)	(83.6)	(8.1)			with/without	vaccine response.
	CZP+/- MTX		serotypes: 6b, 9v, 14,						protective titres at	
	107)		18c, 19f, 23f						baseline: Placebo	
									58.2%/62.5%, CZP	
									53.3%/54.5%	
Tsuru et al.	RA (21, TOC)	PPV23	≥2-fold increase in Ab	54	17 (81)	9.0	-	-	100% patients	No comparator group
2014 (23)			titres in at least 6 of						achieved adequate	in study.
			12 measured						sero-response	
			serotypes							
Bingham et	RA (74, TOC	PPV23	≥2-foldincrease or an	TOC + MTX	TOC+MTX	TOC+MTX	-	-	Proportions of	No significant effect of
al, 2015	+ MTX 50,		increase of 1 mcg/ml	51.1 (8.9),	41 (75.9),	13.2 (11.5),			responders to ≥6 of	TOC exposure on
(30)	MTX 24)		from pre-vaccination	MTX 51.4	MTX 22	MTX 8.4 (7.0)			12 anti-	vaccine response,
			level, to ≥6/12	(9.5)	(81.5)				pneumococcal	however individual
			serotypes						antibody serotypes:	serotype responses
									TOC + MTX 60%,	may vary.
									MTX 70.8%	

Migita et al.	RA (111, RA	PPV23	≥2-fold increase in	RA control	RA control 23	RA control	RA control 2.79	-	Fold increase in GMT	Reduced responses in
2015 (28)	control		IgG concentrations of	70.5 (10.8),	(65.7), MTX	11.7 (12.5),	(1.17), MTX 2.61		6b (95%CI)/23f (95%	ABA and MTX
	35, MTX 55,		6b or 23f serotypes	MTX 63.8	44 (80), ABA	MTX 14.1	(0.98), ABA 2.48		CI) RA control 2.38	exposed patients
	ABA 21)			(11.5), ABA	17 (81)	(10.9), ABA	(1.31)		(1.41 -	compared to RA
				59.8 (12.0)		13.5 (11.2)			5.62)/3.36(1.85 to	control group.
									9.42), MTX	
									1.75(1.15-3.11)/	
									2.00(1.27 to 5.48),	
									ABA 1.41(0.87-3.09)/	
									2.45 (1.23-7.44)	
Alten et al.	RA (125, 115	PPV23	≥2-fold increase in	45.7 (13.8)	107 (85.6)	-	5.0 (1.9)	1.4 (0.8)	83.9 % demonstrated	No comparator group
2016 (21)	ABA + MTX,		post-vaccination titers						protective Ab levels	in study.
	10 ABA)		to ≥3 of 5 antigens						following PPV23	
			and						vaccination.	
			protective Ab levels							
			of ≥1.6 mcg/mL to ≥3							
			of 5 antigens.							
			Serotypes							
			measured 9V, 14,							
			18C, 19F, 23F							

Winthrop et	RA (200,	PPV23	2-fold increase in	RA (TOF	RA (TOF	-	RA (TOF exposed)	-	TOF overall 46/102	TOF exposure,
al. 2016	TOF + MTX		post-vaccination titers	exposed) 53	exposed) 75		6.03 (1.05)		(45.1%)	particularly in
(24)	57, TOF 45,		to ≥6 of 12 antigens,	RA (placebo	(73.5) RA		RA (placebo or MTX		demonstrated	combination with MTX
	MTX 55,		5-weeks post-	or MTX	(placebo or		monotherapy) 5.78		appropriate vaccine	is associated with
	placebo 43)		vaccination.	monotherapy)	MTX		(1.10)		response. In TOF	reduced humoral
			Serotypes measured	53	monotherapy)				non-exposed	response to PPV23
			1,3,4,5,6B,7F,14,19A,		79 (80.6)				patients, response	vaccination.
			19F, 23F, 18C)						rate was higher	Temporary withdrawal
									67/98 (68.4%).	of TOF has no benefit
									Achievement of	on vaccine response
									appropriate PPV23	compared to
									vaccine response	continuous therapy.
									stratified by MTX use	
									at baseline: TOF +	
									MTX 18/57 (31.6%),	
									TOF monotherapy	
									28/45 (62.2%). MTX	
									monotherapy 34/55	
									(61.8%)	
									demonstrated	
									appropriate vaccine	
									response compared	
									to 33/43 (76.7%) in	
									the placebo group.	

Legend: n: number, SD: standard deviation, DAS28: Disease Activity Score of 28 joints, HAQ: Health Assessment Questionnaire, RA: rheumatoid arthritis, HC: Healthy Controls, SpA:

Spondyloarthropathy, MTX: methotrexate, TNFi: Tumour Necrosis Factor inhibitor drug, PPV23: pneumovax vaccine, PCV-7: 7 conjugate pneumococcal vaccine, PCV-13: 13 conjugate

pneumococcal vaccine, Ab: antibody, ARR: antibody response ratio (i.e., ratio of post to pre-vaccination antibody levels), DMARD: Disease Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drug, RTX: Rituximab, ABA:

Abatacept, TOC: Tocilizumab, IFX: infliximab, CZP: Certolizumab pegol, ADA: Adalimumab, ETA: Etanercept, TOF: Tofacitinib

A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Anti-Rheumatic Drugs and Vaccine Immunogenicity in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Supplementary material – Literature Search Strategy

Initial search undertaken 6th October 2016, repeated 12th October 2017 Databases:

- 1. EMBASE 1974 2017 Week 41
- Ovid MEDLINE ® Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed
 Citations, Ovid MEDLINE ® Daily and Ovid MEDLINE ® 1946 Present

Literature Search Strategy

- inflammatory arthritis.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 2. rheumatoid arthritis.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 3. immunisation.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 4. vaccination.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 5. vaccine.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 6. influenza.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 7. influenza vaccine.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- pneumovax.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]

- 9. pneumococcal vaccine.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 10. prevenar.mp. [mp=ti, ab, hw, tn, ot, dm, mf, dv, kw, fx, nm, kf, px, rx, an, ui, sy]
- 11. 1 or 2
- 12. 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10
- 13. 11 and 12
- 14. remove duplicates from 13
- 15. limit 14 to english language
- 16. limit 15 to human
- 17. limit 16 to yr="2000 -Current